District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-147
Revised April 3, 2017

Recycling Facility and/or Recycling Containment

Type of Facility: ☑ Recycling Facility ☑ Recycling Containment*

Type of action: ☑ Permit ☑ Registration
☐ Modification ☐ Extension
☐ Closure ☐ Other (explain) _____

*** At the time C-147 is submitted to the division for a Recycling Containment, a copy shall be provided to the surface owner.**

Be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: Enduring Resources IV, LLC (For multiple operators attach page with information) OGRID #: 372286
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name (include API# if associated with a well): SEU 2206 - 20M
OCD Permit Number: _____ (For new facilities the permit number will be assigned by the district office)
U/L or Qtr/Qtr SE/4 Section 20 Township 22N Range 6W County: Sandoval
Surface Owner: ☑ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.
☑ **Recycling Facility:**
Location of recycling facility (if applicable): Latitude 36.117776 Longitude -107.488825 NAD83
Proposed Use: ☑ Drilling* ☑ Completion* ☑ Production* ☑ Plugging *
The re-use of produced water may NOT be used until fresh water zones are cased and cemented
☐ Other, ***requires permit for other uses. Describe use, process, testing, volume of produced water and ensure there will be no adverse impact on groundwater or surface water.***
☑ Fluid Storage
☑ Above ground tanks ☑ Recycling containment ☐ Activity permitted under 19.15.17 NMAC explain type _____
☐ Activity permitted under 19.15.36 NMAC explain type: _____ ☐ Other explain _____
☐ For multiple or additional recycling containments, attach design and location information of each containment
☐ **Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion):** ☐ Recycling Facility Closure Completion Date: _____

3.
☑ **Recycling Containment:**
☑ Annual Extension after initial 5 years (attach summary of monthly leak detection inspections for previous year)
Center of Recycling Containment (if applicable): Latitude 36.117776 Longitude -107.488825 NAD83
☑ For multiple or additional recycling containments, attach design and location information of each containment
☐ Lined ☑ Liner type: Thickness 45 mil ☑ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____
☑ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☑ Welded ☑ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: 212,746 bbl Dimensions: L 320' x W 350' x D 25'
☐ Recycling Containment Closure Completion Date: _____

NMOCD
OCT 18 2018
DISTRICT III

4.

Bonding:

☑ Covered under bonding pursuant to 19.15.8 NMAC per 19.15.34.15(A)(2) NMAC **(These containments are limited to only the wells owned or operated by the owners of the containment.)**

☐ Bonding in accordance with 19.15.34.15(A)(1). Amount of bond $_____ **(work on these facilities cannot commence until bonding amounts are approved)**

☐ Attach closure cost estimate and documentation on how the closure cost was calculated.

5.

Fencing:

☑ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

☐ Alternate. Please specify_____

6.

Signs:

☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

☑ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

7.

Variances:

Justifications and/or demonstrations that the proposed variance will afford reasonable protection against contamination of fresh water, human health, and the environment.

Check the below box only if a variance is requested:

☑ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. If a Variance is requested, include the variance information on a separate page and attach it to the C-147 as part of the application.

If a Variance is requested, it must be approved prior to implementation.

8.

Siting Criteria for Recycling Containment

Instructions: The applicant must provide attachments that demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below as part of the application. Potential examples of the siting attachment source material are provided below under each criteria.

General siting

Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the Recycling Containment. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ NA
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Minerals Division	☐ Yes ☑ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; topographic map	☐ Yes ☑ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. FEMA map	☐ Yes ☑ No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☑ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; aerial photo; satellite image	☐ Yes ☑ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☑ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; topographic map; visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☑ No

9.
Recycling Facility and/or Containment Checklist:
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☑ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements.
- ☑ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements.
- ☑ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements.
- ☑ Site Specific Groundwater Data -
- ☑ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations –
- ☑ **Certify that notice of the C-147 (only) has been sent to the surface owner(s)**

10.
Operator Application Certification:
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this application are true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): Andrea Felix Title: Regulatory Manager

Signature: Date: 10-18-18

e-mail address: afelix@enduringresources.com Telephone: (505) 386-8205

11.
OCD Representative Signature: **Approval Date:** 10/25/2018

Title: Environmental Specialist **OCD Permit Number:** 3RF-30

- ☐ OCD Conditions
- ☒ Additional OCD Conditions on Attachment

C-147 Registration Package

Prepared for

Enduring Resources IV, LLC
200 Energy Court
Farmington, NM 87401
(505) 386-8205

Developed by

EIS
Energy Inspection Services
479 Wolverine Drive
Bayfield, Colorado 81122
Phone: (970) 881-4080

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

Applicant	Enduring Resources IV, LLC
Project Name	SEU 2206 - 20M
Project Type	Recycling Containment Registration
Legal Location	SE/4, Section 20, T22N, R6W
Lease Number(s)	NMNM-119281

In accordance with NMAC 19.15.34, Enduring Resources IV, LLC (Enduring) requests the registration of the proposed Recycling Containment through the approval of this C-147 registration package. The facility and containments will be used to treat and recycle produced water for re-use in Enduring Resources IV, LLC completion activities.

This package contains the C-147 form and associated documents for registration of the SEU 2206-20M Recycling Containment.

A copy of the C-147 has been submitted to the land owner, the Bureau of Land Management.

2. Variance Explanation

All requested variance provide equal or better protection of fresh water, public health, and the environment.

C-147 #5 Fencing

19.15.34.12.D(1) NMAC states "Recycling containments shall be fenced with a four foot fence that has at least four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced in the interval between one foot and four feet above ground level."

Enduring will install an eight (8) foot chain link fence with one strand of barbed wire around the facility as requested by the surface owners to allow for greater protection to the facility than the requirements of 19.15.34.12.D(1)

3. Siting Criteria

3.1. Distance to Groundwater

The NM State Engineers Office iWaters Database shows no water well information for the Township 22N, Range 6W. A ground bed log within section 10 of township 21N and range 7W was found for the N. Escavada Unit #329H. The elevation of the ground bed log is approximately 6944' with a groundwater depth of 340'. The SEU 2206-20M has an elevation of 7051' which is an increase of 107' establishing the estimated groundwater depth for the SEU 2206-20M to be greater than 200'. Therefore, the groundwater depth is greater than 50 feet below the bottom of the recycling containment.

3.2. Distance to Surface Water

There are not any continuously flowing watercourses within 300' nor any other significant watercourse and lakebed or playa lake within 200' of the recycling containment as shown on the Aerial or Topo maps provided. As discussed during the onsite of the proposed location on July 16, 2018, Enduring Resources does not believe that the "blue line" is indicative of a watercourse, due to not having defined banks or bottom, having no evidence of water flow, and not being a tributary of a significant watercourse. NMOCD approved the request on July 19, 2018 via email.

3.3. Distance to Structures

There are no permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church at the time of initial registration within 1000' of the recycling containment as shown on the Aerial and Topo maps provided.

3.4. Distance to Non-Public Water Supply

There are no springs or fresh water wells used for domestic or stock water purposes within 500' in existence at the time of initial registration as shown on the Aerial and Topo maps provided.

3.5. Distance to Municipal Boundaries and Defined Fresh Water Fields

The recycling facility is not within any incorporated municipal boundaries within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered by a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to Section 3-27-3 NMSA 1978, as amended.

3.6. Distance to Subsurface Mines

The recycling containment is not located in an "unstable" area. The location is not over a mine and is not on the side of a hill. The location of the excavated surface material will not be located within 100 feet of a continuously flowing or significant watercourse. According to the NM EMNRD Mining and Mineral Divisions database there are no subsurface mines in Section 24, Township 23N, Range 9W of San Juan County.

3.7 Distance to 100-Year Floodplain

The SEU 2206-20M proposed recycling containment is not located within a 100-year floodplain as demonstrated on the FEMA Map.

4. Design and Construction Plan

In accordance with Rule 19.15.34 the following information describes the design and construction of the recycling containment on Enduring's locations.

The Enduring Design and Construction Plan assists Enduring personnel in ensuring compliance with the minimum design and construction requirements for recycling containments as defined by the NMOCD outlined in 19.15.34.12 NMAC. The plan applies to any Enduring Employee(s) and subcontractor(s) whose job requires them to assist with the design and construction of the recycling facility. The plan is designed to ensure

compliance with the minimum design and construction requirements for recycling facilities as defined by the NMOCD outlined in 19.15.34.12 NMAC.

Enduring shall design and construct a recycling containment in accordance with the following specifications.

4.1. Foundation Construction

Approximately 6" of topsoil will be stripped and stockpiled for final cover at the time of closure. The topsoil will be stored on the perimeter of the permitted facility.

The recycling containment will have a properly constructed foundation and interior slopes consisting of a firm, unyielding base, smooth and free of rocks, debris, sharp edges or irregularities to prevent the liner's rupture or tear. The containment will ensure confinement of produced water, to prevent releases and to prevent overtopping due to wave action or rainfall. A geotextile under the liner will be used, if needed, to reduce the localized stress-strain or protuberances that otherwise may compromise the liner's integrity. The final sub grade shall be scarified to a minimum depth of 12 inches, moisture conditioned to near Optimum Moisture and compacted to 95% of maximum dry density as determined by a Standard Proctor (ASTM 698).

Positive draining should be provided during construction and maintained throughout the life of the proposed project to prevent surface runoff from entering the pond. Protective slopes should be provided with a minimum grade of approximately 5 percent for at least 10 feet from the structures. Backfill against footings, exterior walls, and in utility trenches should be well compacted and free of all construction debris to reduce the possibility of moisture infiltration.

The pond inside Levey grade will be constructed no steeper than 2H:1V grade and the pond outside Levey grade will be constructed no steeper than 3H:1V grade.

4.2. Liner Construction

Enduring's recycling containment shall incorporate, a primary (upper) liner and a secondary (lower) liner with a leak detection system. The primary (upper) liner will be a 45-mil LLDPE string reinforced liner resistant to UV light, petroleum hydrocarbons, salt and acidic/alkaline solutions with a single sided texture to increase traction for emergency escape from the pit and shall cover the bottom and sides of the pit including the minimum three (3) feet of freeboard per NMOCD 19.15.17.11.G.9. Integrity of the primary liner shall be tested using the Dipole Method - Water Covered Geomembrane (ASTM D7007). The secondary liner will be a 45-mil LLDPE string reinforced liner with a single sided conductive coating for initial leak detection and shall cover the bottom and sides of the pit including the minimum three (3) feet of freeboard per NMOCD 19.15.17.11.G.9. Integrity of the secondary liner shall be tested using the Conductive-Backed Geomembrane Spark Testing Method (ASTM D7240).

A secondary leak detection system will be installed at the designated corner of each pit. The pit bottom will be sloped to the detection system that will be comprised of SDR-17 HDPE solid and perforated pipe with 1-1/2" Type F coarse drain rock bedding. Enduring will install manufacturer recommended Geoconduct 250 geocomposite with a conductive grid between non-woven needle-punched geotextiles produced by Afitex Texel. The product consists of two geotextile layers

comprised of short synthetic fibers of 100% polypropylene or polyester which are needle punched together with a structural conductive grid. The conductive grid comprises two conductive inox cables forming a 50 mm x 50 mm network. Geoconduct is compatible with geoelectrical leak location surveys.

Enduring shall ensure the subcontractor installing the recycling containment minimized liner seams and orient them up and down, not across, a slope of the levee. Enduring shall ensure that factory welded seams shall be used where possible. Enduring shall ensure the subcontractor installing the recycling containment ensures field seams in the geosynthetic material are thermally seamed and that prior to any field seaming, the installer overlaps the liners four to six inches. The subcontractor installing the liner shall minimize the number of field seams and corners and irregularly shaped areas. Enduring will only hire qualified personnel to perform field welding and testing.

Enduring shall install manufacturer recommended DrainTube gas ventilation geocomposite grid produced by Afitex Texel. This layer is intended to vent in situ gases that have potential to create "whale" in the produced water pit that would decrease storage capacity. The product consists of a drainage layer and a filter layer comprised of short synthetic staple fibers of 100% polypropylene needle-punched together with perforated corrugated polypropylene pipes regularly spaced, up to 4 pipes per meter, inside. The pipes have two perforations per corrugation at 180 degrees and alternating at 90 degrees. https://www.draintube.net/docs/en/download/technical_data_sheet/draintube_300p_st_series_fos.pdf

The liner system shall be anchored as designed in a 2 FT x 2.5 FT anchor trench and topped with 6 inches of road base.

At the point of discharge into or suction from the recycling containment, Enduring will insure that the liner is protected from excessive hydrostatic force and potential mechanical damage. External discharge and/or suction lines will not penetrate the liner.

4.3. Leak Detection System

Enduring shall place a leak detection system between the upper and lower geomembrane liners that shall consist of a 200-mil genet to facilitate drainage. The leak detection system shall consist of a properly designed drainage and collection and removal system placed above the lower geomembrane liner in depressions and sloped to facilitate the earliest possible leak detection. A 3 foot wide by 3 foot long by 2 foot deep depression will be contracted to allow for collection of any leaking liquid. A 4 inch PVC liner will be installed in between the primary and secondary liners from the top of the tank to the depression to allow for detection and removal of liquid.



4.4. Signage

Enduring will sign the containment with an upright sign no less than 12″ by 24″ with lettering not less than 2″ in height in a conspicuous place near the containment. Enduring will provide the operator's name, location of the containment by quarter-quarter or unit letter, Section, Township, Range and emergency telephone numbers.

4.5. Entrance Protection

Enduring will surround the containment with an eight foot chain link fence. All gates leading in and out of the containment will be closed and locked when personnel are not on-site. The fencing will be kept in good repair, and shall be inspected as part of the weekly inspection performed at the containment facility.

4.6. Wildlife Protection

Enduring will install a bird deterrent system pursuant to the attached *Migratory Bird Mitigation Plan*. The containment will be inspected weekly for dead migratory birds and will be reported accordingly.

5. Maintenance and Operating Plan

In accordance with Rule 19.15.34 the following information describes the operation and maintenance of recycling containments on Enduring's locations.

5.1. Inspection Timing

Enduring shall inspect the recycling containment and associated leak detection systems weekly while it contains fluids. A current log of inspections will be maintained and the log will be made available for review upon division request. If fluids are found in the sump, a primary liner test utilizing the Dipole Method - Water Covered Geomembrane (ASTM D7007) will be conducted. In addition to human monitoring the pond fluid level will be determined via two (2) hydrostatic pressure gauges and a float gauge. At a fluid height of 22′, an automated valve will close and prevent any more fluid from entering the containment.

5.2. Maintenance

1. Enduring shall maintain and operate the recycling containment as follows:
   A. Removing any visible lay of oil from the surface of the containment.
   B. Maintaining at least 3′ of freeboard at each containment
   C. The injection or withdrawal of fluids from the containment shall be accomplished through a header, diverter or other hardware that prevents damage to the liner by erosion, fluid jets, or impact from installation and removal of hoses and pipes
   D. If the containment's primary liner is compromised above the fluid's surface, Enduring will repair the damage or initiate replacement of the primary liner within 48 hours of discovery or seek an extension from the division district office.

E. If the primary liner is compromised below the fluid's surface, Enduring will remove all fluid above the damage or leak within 48 hours of discovery, notify the divisions distraction office and repair the damage or replace the primary liner.
F. The containment will be operated to prevent the collection of surface water run-on with containment walls of 9.5' height.
G. Enduring will install, or maintain on site, an oil absorbent boom or other device to contain an unanticipated release.
H. Enduring will not store or discharge any hazardous waste at the facility or within the containment.

5.3. Cessation of Operations

Enduring will report the cessation of operations or if less than 20% of the total fluid capacity is used every six months following the first withdrawal of produced water for use to the appropriate division district office. If additional time is needed for closure, Enduring will request an extension from the appropriate division district office prior to the expiration of the initial six month time period.

6. Closure Plan

In accordance with Rule 19.15.34 the following information describes the closure requirements of recycling containments on Enduring's locations.

All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to the OCD within 60 days of closure. Closure report will be filed on C-147 and incorporate the following:

- Details on capping and covering, where applicable
- Inspection Reports
- Sampling Results

Once Enduring has ceased operations, all fluids will be removed within 60 days and the containment shall be closed within six months.

6.1 Fluid Removal

The containment will be closed by first removing all fluids, contents and synthetic liners and disposed of in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse or reclaim the liquids in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

6.2 Soil Sampling

Enduring will test the soils beneath the containment for contamination with a five-point composite sample which includes stained or wet soils, if any, and that sample shall be analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I below:

Components	Test Method	51' - 100' GW Depth Limit (mg/kg)	>100' GW Depth Limit (mg/kg)
Chloride	EPA 300.0	10,000	20,000
TPH (GRO+DRO+MRO)	EPA SW-846 Method 8015M	2,500	2,500
GRO + DRO	EPA SW-846 Method 8015M	1,000	1,000
BTEX	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B	50	50
Benzene	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B	10	10

a. If any containment concentration is higher than the parameters listed in Table I, Enduring will receive approval before proceeding with closures as the division may required additional delineation upon review of the results.
b. If all contaminant concentrations are less than or equal to the parameters listed in Table I then Enduring will proceed to backfill with non-waste containing, uncontaminated, earthen material.

6.3 Reclamation

The topsoil and subsoil will be replaced to their original relative positions and contoured so as to achieve erosion control, long-term stability and preservation of surface water flow patterns.

Enduring will reclaim and reseed the recycling containment area pursuant to the requirements listed in 19.15.34.14. Once Enduring has closed the recycling containment, we will reclaim the containment's location to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area and matches the existing grade. Topsoils and subsoils shall be replaced to their original relative positions and contoured so as to prevent ponding and erosion. The disturbed area shall then be reseeded in the first favorable growing season following closure of a recycling containment. Enduring will restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to the construction of the recycling containment.

Reclamation of all disturbed areas no longer in use shall be considered completed when all ground surface disturbing activities at the site have been completed, and a uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plug or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre-disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds.

The re-vegetation and reclamation obligations imposed by federal, state trust land or tribal agencies on lands managed by those agencies shall supersede these provisions and govern the obligations of any operator subject to those provisions, provided that the other requirements provide equal or better protection of fresh water, human health and the environment. Enduring will notify the OCD district office when reclamation and revegetation have been completed.

7. iWATERS REPORT

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer

Water Column/Average Depth to Water

(quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)
(quarters are smallest to largest) (NAD83 UTM in meters)

No records found.

PLSS Search:

Section(s): 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 28, 29, 30 Township: 22N Range: 06W

9/26/18 8:39 PM

WATER COLUMN/ AVERAGE DEPTH TO WATER



SEU 2206-20M WRF

N Excavada Unit #329H

SEU 2206-20M WRF Pad

FEMA High Risk Flood Zone

NHD Water Body

NHD Water Course

N



Operator: Enduring Resources

Contractor: EIS

0 5,000 10,000 Feet

NAD 1983 UTM Zone 13N Author: MM (EIS LLC) Date: 10/16/2018

Ground Bed Drilling Log

Company: WPX Energy | Well: North Escavada Unit #329H | Date: 10-12-2016
Location: Sec 10 T 32N R 7W | State: New Mexico | Rig: Stacy #1
Ground Bed Depth: 340' | Water Depth: 0 | Diameter: 10"
Fuel: 88 gal. | Latitude: 36.146522 | Longitude: -107.567754

DEPTH	FORMATION	OTHER
0-60	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	PVC
60-100	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	
100-140	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	
140-190	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	
190-250	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	
250-300	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	
300-340	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	
	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	
	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	
	Sand Stone, Shale, Sand w/ Shale w/ Sand	

GROUNDWATER DEPTH LOG

Company: WPX Energy | Location: North Escavada Unit #329H
Lat/Long: 36.146522/107.567754
Elevation:

Probe type: Powell Sounder
Casing Installation Method: Push
Required Test Depths 30', 55', & 105' unless otherwise requested

Date	Time	Depth	Comments
10-12-16	10 am	30'	drilled 30'
	11 am	30'	tested NO water
	11:30	55'	drilled to 55'
	12:30	55'	tested No water
	1:45	105'	drilled to 105'
	2:45	105'	tested NO water set 60' casing
10-13-16	8:30 am	105'	No water
	11:45	340'	finished anode bed



SEU 2206-20M WRF
N Excavada Unit #329H
SEU 2206-20M WRF Pad
FEMA High Risk Flood Zone
NHD Water Body
NHD Water Course

N



Operator: Enduring Resources

Contractor: EIS

Feet
0 5,000 10,000

NAD 1983 UTM Zone 13N Author: MM (EIS LLC) Date: 10/16/2018



- SEU 2206-20M WRF
- N Excavada Unit #329H
- SEU 2206-20M WRF Pad
- FEMA High Risk Flood Zone
- NHD Water Body
- NHD Water Course

FEMA Flood Hazard Zones

- 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard
- Regulatory Floodway
- Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard
- 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard
- Area of Minimal Flood Hazard

N



Operator: Enduring Resources

Contractor: EIS

EIS
Energy Infrastructure Services

0 5,000 10,000 Feet

NAD 1983 UTM Zone 13N Author: MM (EIS LLC) Date: 10/16/2018

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette

FEMA



Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS
- Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) *Zone A, V, A99*
- With BFE or Depth *Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR*
- Regulatory Floodway

OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD
- 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile *Zone X*
- Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard *Zone X*
- Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. *Zone X*
- Area with Flood Risk due to Levee *Zone D*

OTHER AREAS
- NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard *Zone X*
- Effective LOMRs
- Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard *Zone D*

GENERAL STRUCTURES
- Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer
- Levee, Dike, or Floodwall

OTHER FEATURES
- 20.2 / 17.5 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance / Water Surface Elevation
- Coastal Transect
- 513 Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE)
- Limit of Study
- Jurisdiction Boundary
- Coastal Transect Baseline
- Profile Baseline
- Hydrographic Feature

MAP PANELS
- Digital Data Available
- No Digital Data Available
- Unmapped

N

The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 10/1/2018 at 1:27:22 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

Baltz, E.H., 1967, Stratigraphy and regional tectonic implications of part of Upper Cretaceous rocks, east-central San Juan Basin, New Mexico: USGS Professional Paper 552, 101 p.
Brimhall, R.M., 1973, Ground-water hydrology of Tertiary rocks of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico, in Fassett, J.E., ed., Cretaceous and Tertiary rocks of the Southern Colorado Plateau: Four Corners Geological Society Memoir, p. 197-207.
Fassett, J.E., 1974, Cretaceous and Tertiary rocks of the eastern San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Colorado, in Guidebook of Ghost Ranch, central-northern New Mexico: New Mexico Geological Society, 25th Field Conference, p. 225-230.
Fassett, J.E., and Hinds, J.S., 1971, Geology and fuel resources of the Fruitland Formation and Kirtland Shale of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Colorado: USGS Professional Paper 676, 76 p.
Levings, G.W., Craigg, S.d., Dam, W.L., Kernodle, J.M., and Thorn, C.R., 1990, Hydrogeology of the San Jose, Nacimiento, and Animas Formations in the San Juan structural basin, New Mexico, Colorado, Arizona, and Utah: USGS Hydrologic Investigations Atlas HA-720-A, 2 sheets.
Stone, W.J., Lyford, F.P., Frenzel, P.F., Mizell, N.H., and Padgett, E.T., 1983, Hydrogeology and water resources of San Juan Basin, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Hydrologic Report 6.

13. SURFACE OWNER NOTIFICATION

Form 3160-5
(June 2015)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

FORM APPROVED
OMB No. 1004-0137
Expires: January 31, 2018

SUNDRY NOTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS

Do not use this form for proposals to drill or to re-enter an abandoned well. Use Form 3160-3 (APD) for such proposals.

SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE *- Other instructions on page 2*

1. Type of Well ☒Oil Well ☐Gas Well ☐Other	5. Lease Serial No. NMNM-119281
	6. If Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name
	7. If Unit of CA/Agreement, Name and/or No.
	8. Well Name and No. S ESCAVADA UNIT #361H
2. Name of Operator Enduring Resources, LLC	9. API Well No. 30-043-21310
3a. Address 332 Cr 3100 Aztec, NM 87410 3b. Phone No. *(include area code)* 505-636-9741	10. Field and Pool or Exploratory Area S ESCAVADA UNIT
4. Location of Well *(Footage, Sec., T.,R.,M., or Survey Description)* SHL: 290' FSL & 1613' FEL SEC 20 22N 6W BHL: 2311' FSL & 922' FEL SEC 13 22N 7W	11. Country or Parish, State Sandoval, NM

12. CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX(ES) TO INDICATE NATURE OF NOTICE, REPORT OR OTHER DATA

TYPE OF SUBMISSION	TYPE OF ACTION			
☒Notice of Intent	☐Acidize	☐Deepen	☐Production (Start/Resume)	☐Water ShutOff
	☐Alter Casing	☐Hydraulic Fracturing	☐Reclamation	☐Well Integrity
☐Subsequent Report	☐Casing Repair	☐New Construction	☐Recomplete	☐Other
☐Final Abandonment Notice	Change Plans	☐Plug and Abandon	☐Temporarily Abandon	**S ESCAVADA UNIT 361H PAD STAGING AREA**
	☐Convert to Injection	☐Plug Back	☐Water Disposal	

13 Describe Proposed or Completed Operation. Clearly state all pertinent details, including estimated starting date of any proposed work and approximate duration thereof. If the proposal is to deepen directionally or recomplete horizontally, give subsurface locations and measured and true vertical depths of all pertinent markers and zones. Attach the Bond under which the work will be perfonned or provide the Bond No. on file with BLM/BIA. Required subsequent reports must be filed within 30 days following completion of the involved operations. If the operation results in a multiple completion or recompletion in a new interval, a Form 3160-4 must be filed once testing has been completed. Final Abandonment Notices must be filed only after all requirements, including reclamation, have been completed and the operator has determined that the site is ready for final inspection.)

S ESVAVADA UNIT 361H PAD-

Enduring Resources IV, LLC is changing the well completion operation from a nitrogen to a slick water completion operation. This change in completion operations will allow for the use and reuse of nonpotable water and will significantly reduce the amount of flaring needed to clean a well up to pipeline quality.

Enduring would like to utilize the approved S Esvavada Unit 361H pad staging area as a Water Recycling Facility in order to achieve the goal of a slick water completion operation.

The facility will consist of a water supply well sourcing nonpotable water from the Entrada formation for oil and gas completion and recycling purposes which will be permitted with the Office of the State Engineer. This facility will supply water for Enduring Resources IV, LLC operations only and within the approved W Escavada, S Escavada and N Escavada Units. Surface water lines will be utilized within the already approved pipeline access road corridors to transfer the water to each location for completion activities. No new surface approvals are necessary for this request; Enduring will follow all existing stipulations and COA's.

A C102 of the approved S Escavada Unit 361H pad area is attached.

14. I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Name *(Printed/Typed)* Andrea Felix	Title Regulatory Manager
Signature	Date 7/10/18

THE SPACE FOR FEDERAL OR STATE OFICE USE

Approved by	Title	Date
Conditions of approval, if any, are attached. Approval of this notice does not warrant or certify that the applicant holds legal or equitable title to those rights in the subject lease which would entitle the applicant to conduct operations thereon.	Office	

Title 18 U.S.C Section 1001 and Title 43 U.S.C Section 1212, make it a crime for any person knowingly and willfully to make to any department or agency of the United States any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

ATTACHMENT A - MIGRATORY BIRD PLAN

Enduring Resources, LLC's
Recycling Containment
Migratory Bird Mitigation Plan

Enduring Resources, LLC (Enduring) is proposing this Migratory Bird Mitigation Plan (Mitigation Plan) in compliance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Rule 19.15.34.12.E Enduring shall ensure that the recycling containment is protective of wildlife by implementing the following proposed Mitigation Plan. Enduring employees will inspect the containment weekly for and, within 30 days of discovery, report the discovery of dead migratory birds or other wildlife to the appropriate wildlife agency and to the division district office in order to facilitate assessment and implementation of measures to prevent incidents from reoccurring. This Mitigation Plan will utilize a combination of visual and audio deterrents to discourage wildlife, particularly birds and bats, from the recycling containment in order to mitigate potential impacts. This Mitigation Plan would be implemented while the Recycling Containment is active and in use, as to not desensitize birds to the deterrents.

The following mitigations will be implemented to reduce any wildlife impacts that may occur from the Recycling Containment:

- The following visual bird deterrents will be installed (Appendix A):
    - Bird-X Prowler Owl decoys will be installed at all four corners of the Containment.
    - Scare-Eye Balloons will be installed along the perimeter of the Containment.
- A Bird-X BroadBand PRO System will be installed at the Containment facility. It utilizes sonic (naturally-recorded bird destress calls & predator cries) to deter birds; as well as, ultrasonic high-frequency sound waves to deter bats. Bird propane cannons were avoided, so as not to disturb other wildlife species.
- The containment will be inspected on a monthly basis when water is present in the containment. All inspectors will insure the containment is receiving only filtered produced water with no hydrocarbons, as well as being trained to inspect the premises for, and respond to any wildlife incident, should it occur.
- Inspection will include:
    - An inspection of the filtration system and all visual and audio deterrents to insure they are in working order and functioning properly.
    - A thorough search of the entire containment facility, and just beyond, for the presence of any wildlife (entrapped, injured, dead, etc.).
- In the event a wildlife incident should occur, James McDaniel with Enduring will be contacted immediately and he will notify the appropriate wildlife agency and division district office. Enduring, appropriate wildlife agency, and division district office will then work collaboratively to address the incident appropriately to insure the incident does not reoccur.

BIRD-X
Pest control for today's environment

Search

Call Us 888.683.1834

Home | About | News | Blog | International | GSA | Retail Products | Contact

Bird Products | Animal/Rodent Products | Insect Products | Interactive Problem Solver | Knowledge Center | FREE Evaluation

All Bird-X Products

Electronic Bird Control >
- Sonic Bird Control
- Ultrasonic Bird Control
- Other Electronic Bird Deterrents
- Solar Panel Products

Bird Spikes
- Bird Spikes Kits
- Stainless Steel Spikes
- Plastic Spikes

Bird Netting

Drones

Laser Bird Control

Shock Track Systems

Bird Balls

Bird Wire

Visual Scares and Predator Decoys

Bird Gels, Taste Aversions, & OvoControl® P

For Songbird Lovers

Remote Control Drone

Retail Products

Accessories

BroadBand PRO

- **Combines SONIC and ULTRASONIC Bird Control Technology**
- **Creates Uninviting Environment For Birds**
- **Covers Up To SIX ACRES**



IN STOCK - AVAILABLE IMMEDIATELY!

Deter Birds With Multi-Faceted Sonic and Ultrasonic Attack! The BroadBand PRO's 4-speaker system simultaneously emits sounds that are both audible and inaudible to humans that confuse, disorient, and intimidate pest birds, keeping them away.

Starting at ~~$850.00~~ NOW $725.00 (15% SAVINGS!)



Voltage Options: BroadBand PRO 110v ($725
Quantity: 1
Price: **$725.00**
Product Total: $725.00

ADD TO CART >

Reviews | Details | Applications | Benefits | Add & Combine | Specs | Case Studies

Guarantee + Warranty

Backed by our 30 Day Electronics Performance Satisfaction Guarantee AND our 6-Month Manufacturer's Warranty Against Material Defects

- **Option to add 3 Visual Scares to package for added efficacy**
- Emits a combination of audible noises & high-frequency sound waves that are silent-to-most-humans
  - **SONIC**: Uses naturally-recorded bird distress calls & predator cries, covers up to 6 acres
  - **ULTRASONIC**: Uses high-frequency sound waves, covers up to 3,600 sq. ft.
- 4 speakers included – 4 independent speakers with 100 ft. of wire each
- Fully programmable – control volume, sound delays, & daylight / night operation
- Weather resistant – NEMA type box is designed to withstand outdoor use
- Option to add an assortment of three (3) high-quality visual scare products

BIRD-X

Pest control for today's environment.

Search

Call Us 888.683.1834

Home About News Blog International GSA Retail Products Contact

Bird Products | Animal/Rodent Products | Insect Products | Interactive Problem Solver | Knowledge Center | FREE Evaluation

All Bird-X Products

Electronic Bird Control
- Sonic Bird Control
- Ultrasonic Bird Control
- Other Electronic Bird Deterrents
- Solar Panel Products

Bird Spikes
- Bird Spikes Kits
- Stainless Steel Spikes
- Plastic Spikes

Bird Netting

Drones

Laser Bird Control

Shock Track Systems

Bird Balls

Bird Wire

Visual Scares and Predator Decoys >

Bird Gels, Taste Aversions, & OvoControl® P

For Songbird Lovers

Remote Control Drone

Retail Products

Accessories

Prowler Owl

- **Proven Visual Scare**
- **Saves Money on Cleanup & Repair**
- **Eliminates Bird & Small Pest Problems**
- **Money-Back Guarantee**

Decades-proven visual deterrent, improved with dynamic realism & movement! Scare away birds & small pests with this predator replica of the most-feared aerial predator, the Great Horned Owl, which catches & eats nearly everything it can catch.

- Lifelike, wind-catching design increases effectiveness
- Accurate plumage & hunting flight pose
- Intimidating, glassy eyes "follow" pests
- Flexible wings move & flap in the wind realistically

Without movement, an owl scare is useless – don't be fooled by imitations that are immobile! **Install Prowler Owl decoy in any open outdoor area where pest birds or small critters are a problem.**

Quantity 1

Price **$ 39.25**

Product Total $ 39.25

ADD TO CART >

Quality Guarantee

Guaranteed to be manufactured to specifications & free from defect at the time of purchase.

Reviews | Details | Applications | Benefits | Add & Combine | Specs

- Predator owl replica, life-size owl
- Owl scare repels pest birds & other small animals
- Always-moving "hunting" posture keeps birds away
- 4-foot wingspan & accurate markings
- Safe, humane, non-toxic, silent
- Covers up to 6,000 sq. ft.


BIRD-X
Pest control for today's environment.
Search
Call Us 888.683.1834
Home
About
News
Blog
International
GSA
Retail Products
Contact
Bird Products
Animal/Rodent Products
Insect Products
Interactive Problem Solver
Knowledge Center
FREE Evaluation
All Bird-X Products
Electronic Bird Control
Sonic Bird Control
Ultrasonic Bird Control
Other Electronic Bird Deterrents
Solar Panel Products
Bird Spikes
Bird Spikes Kits
Stainless Steel Spikes
Plastic Spikes
Bird Netting
Drones
Laser Bird Control
Shock Track Systems
Bird Balls
Bird Wire
Visual Scares and Predator Decoys >
Bird Gels, Taste Aversions, & OvoControl® P
For Songbird Lovers
Remote Control Drone
Scare-Eye Balloons
Simple, Highly Effective Bird Repellent
Reduce Time & Energy Spent on Cleanup
Reflective Mylar Eyes and Tails Included
(3-Pack)
Keep birds away with these simple vinyl ball visual deterrents that move with the wind & intimidate pest birds within visible range
Includes three balloons – one white, one yellow, one black
Easy to use, cost-effective solution – hang the balloons anywhere
Balloons move in the wind for fear of movement
Scare Eye® balloons are useful in many applications – homes, gardens, barns, trees, garages, mannas, doorways, & many more
Quantity 1
Price $ 32.55
Product Total $ 32.55
ADD TO CART
Quality Guarantee
Guaranteed to be manufactured to specifications and free from defect at the time of purchase.
Reviews
Details
Applications
Benefits
Add & Combine
Specs
Predator decoy: 3D balloons
Three balloons included: one (1) white, one (1) black, and one (1) yellow
Includes mylar eyes, mylar tails, and strings for each balloon
Weatherproof, vinyl, inflatable balloon
Design exaggerates the glaring stare and gaping mouth of natural predators
Wind causes the Scare-Eye Balloons to move in the wind, increasing efficacy
Easy installation

ATTACHMENT B - CONTAINMENT CONSTRUCTION PLANS

ENDURING RESOURCES
SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
CONSTRUCTION PLANS

SITE CONTROL

CENTER OF WELL: Lat: 36° 7' 2" N Long: 107° 29' 20" W

SECTION 20, TOWNSHIP 22 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN,
SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
September 2018

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
SOUTH ESCAVADA RECYCLING PIT



LOCATION MAP
NTS



VICINITY MAP
NTS

Sheet List Table

Sheet Number	Sheet Title
G100	COVER SHEET
G101	GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND
C101	SITE PLAN
C102	SITE GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN
C103	SITE PROFILE
C104	SITE CROSS SECTIONS
C105	HORIZONTAL CONTROL PLAN
C106	LINERS, BALLAST TUBES AND GEOCOMPOSITE GRID PLAN
C107	GEOCOMPOSITE DETAILS
C108	LINER AND BALLAST TUBE DETAILS
C109	PIT ACCESS ROAD AND PAD SECTIONS AND LEAK DETECTION DETAILS
C110	CHAIN LINK SECURITY FENCE DETAILS
C111	SITE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN
C112	SITE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL DETAILS

THESE DETAILED PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION ON BEHALF OF SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES.

Heather D McDaniel — September 28, 2018

HEATHER D. MCDANIEL, P.E. NM #22047 — DATE
PROJECT MANAGER

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Chk'd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
8000 W. 14th Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone: (303) 239-0611 Fax: (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
Albuquerque, Cortland, Farmington, Hobbs, Las Cruces, Roswell, Santa Fe, NM;
Cortez, Grand Junction, Lakewood, CO; Safford, AZ; El Paso, TX

P:\S-Enduring Resources - 16B Pond Design (5127383)\CAD\Civil\S ESCAVADA PIT\5127383 SES_COVER.dwg, 9/28/2018 4:18:05 PM, gif

GENERAL NOTES:

1. SIGNED AND SEALED CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS ARE PREPARED BASED ON EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS AND REGULATIONS PER THE SEALED DATE ON THE PLANS. DUE TO POSSIBLE CHANGES TO THE SITE, CODES, REGULATIONS, UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, ETC. BETWEEN THE SEALED DATE ON THE PLANS AND DATE OF CONSTRUCTION COMMENCEMENT, THE DESIGN AND RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED ARE LIMITED TO THE SEALED DATE ON THE PLANS. IF CHANGES TO ANY SITE CONDITIONS AND/OR REGULATIONS OCCUR BEFORE THE PROJECT CONSTRUCTION DATE, THE OWNER SHALL NOTIFY ENGINEER OF SUCH CHANGES AND OBTAIN THE ENGINEER'S OPINION AS TO THE COMPLETENESS OF THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. ANY SUCH CHANGE IN FIELD CONDITIONS AND/OR REGULATIONS MAY REQUIRE ADDITIONAL DESIGN SERVICES AND COMMENSURATE FEE INCREASE TO ACCOMMODATE SUCH CHANGES.

2. CLARIFICATIONS AND/OR REQUESTS REGARDING PROJECT INTENT AND MODIFICATIONS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER PRIOR OR DURING CONSTRUCTION IN A FORMAL WRITTEN REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI). THE ENGINEER SHALL NOT BE HELD LIABLE IF RECOMMENDATION(S) ARE ALTERED BY OTHERS.

3. SITE CONDITIONS, EACH SUBCONTRACTOR DOING WORK ON THE PROJECT SHALL ASSUME SOLE AND COMPLETE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE SAFETY OF ALL PERSONS AND PROPERTY WITHIN THEIR WORK AREAS, DAY AND NIGHT, DURING BOTH WORKING AND NONWORKING HOURS; AND, SHALL PROVIDE ALL BARRICADES, SHORING, FLAG MEN, SIGNS, LIGHTING AND OTHER DEVICES REQUIRED THEREOF.

4. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPAIR AND/OR REPLACEMENT OF ANY DAMAGE DETERMINED TO BE CAUSED BY THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT TO ROADS, FENCES, DRAINAGE'S, DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, UTILITIES, INCLUDING CONDUIT, WIRING, EQUIPMENT, AND FIBER-OPTICS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPAIR AND/OR REPLACE ALL DESTROYED OR DAMAGED SURFACE IMPROVEMENTS WITH IMPROVEMENTS EQUAL TO THOSE REMOVED.

5. STOCKPILING OF TOP SOIL: CONTRACTOR SHALL SEGREGATE AND STOCKPILE ALL TOPSOIL OUTSIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION AREA WITH APPROPRIATE SEDIMENT CONTROL. TOP SOIL SHALL BE REDISTRIBUTED ON THE OUTSIDE OF CONSTRUCTED BERMS, AND EITHER SEEDED, AND MULCHED OR PROTECTED WITH EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. REFER TO CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR DETAILS.

6. ALL EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNS, MILEPOST MARKERS AND DELINEATORS WITHIN CONSTRUCTION LIMITS SHALL BE REMOVED OR OFFSET BY THE CONTRACTOR AS DIRECTED BY THE OWNER'S DESIGNEE. INFORMATION SIGNS ARE TO BE OFFSET, AND ALL OTHERS ARE TO BE REMOVED. THIS WORK WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE UNIT BID PRICE FOR REMOVAL OF STRUCTURES AND OBSTRUCTIONS.

7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN REASONABLE ACCESS TO ALL ADJACENT PROPERTIES BY PROVIDING EASY RIDING CONNECTIONS TO TURNOUTS AND DRIVEWAYS AS DETERMINED ACCEPTABLE BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OR DESIGNEE. THIS WORK WILL BE CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT AND NO MEASUREMENT OF PAYMENT WILL BE MADE THEREFORE.

8. THE CONTRACTOR IS HEREBY ADVISED THAT UTILITY RELOCATION BY UTILITY COMPANIES WILL BE DONE CONCURRENTLY WITH CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE FOR UTILITY WORK IN CONJUNCTION WITH CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS AND SHALL COORDINATE THE SCHEDULING OF WORK WITH THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY COMPANIES IN ORDER TO AVOID DELAYS DUE TO UTILITY WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE FOR THESE CONTINGENCIES WHEN BIDDING THE PROJECT. NO CLAIM FOR DELAYS DUE TO UTILITY WORK WILL BE ALLOWED.

9. THERE IS NO CONSTRUCTION CLEAR ZONE FOR THIS PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT STORE EQUIPMENT OR MATERIAL OUTSIDE OF THE PROJECT BOUNDARIES ON THIS PROJECT. THIS WORK SHALL BE CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT AND NO SEPARATE MEASUREMENT OR PAYMENT WILL BE MADE THEREFORE.

10. EMERGENCY ACCESS SHALL REMAIN OPEN AT ALL TIMES.

11. THE CONTRACTOR WILL REMOVE AND PROTECT ROAD NAME SIGNS DURING CONSTRUCTION AND REPLACE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AFTER CONSTRUCTION.

12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REPORTING AND CLEAN UP OF SPILLS ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECT CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL REPORT AND RESPOND TO SPILLS OF HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SUCH AS GASOLINE, DIESEL, MOTOR OILS, SOLVENTS, CHEMICALS, TOXIC AND CORROSIVE SUBSTANCES, AND OTHER MATERIALS WHICH MAY BE A THREAT TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR THE ENVIRONMENT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REPORTING PAST SPILLS ENCOUNTERED DURING CONSTRUCTION AND OF CURRENT SPILLS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH CONSTRUCTION. REPORTS SHALL BE MADE IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL EMERGENCY SPILL REPORTING LINE AT 1-866-425-6535 AND TO THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OR DESIGNEE. ANY UNREPORTED SPILLS IDENTIFIED AFTER CONSTRUCTION AND ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECT CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CLEANED UP BY THE CONTRACTOR IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRACT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BEAR THE FULL COST OF CLEANUP OF SUCH UNREPORTED SPILLS.

13. FINAL PAYMENT OF CONCRETE AND REINFORCING BARS SHALL BE BASED ON PLAN QUANTITIES. IF THE DESIGN IS REVISED DURING CONSTRUCTION OR IF A QUANTITY CHANGE IS REQUIRED DUE TO ERRORS ON THE PLANS, THE PAYMENT SHALL BE BASED ON COMPUTED FIELD QUANTITIES MEASURED TO NEAT LINES.

14. EXISTING FENCE, SIGNS AND OTHER ITEMS OF PRIVATE PROPERTY FOUND TO BE WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY ARE TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED AT THE EDGE OF RIGHT-OF-WAY, BY THE CONTRACTOR. THIS WORK WILL BE CONSIDERED INCIDENTAL TO THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT AND NO MEASUREMENT OF PAYMENT WILL BE MADE THEREFORE.

15. THROUGHOUT THE LIFE OF THE PROJECT THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP LANDOWNERS INFORMED IN TIMELY FASHION, OF ANY LANE CLOSURES WHICH WILL RESTRICT THE NORMAL FLOW OF TRAFFIC. THERE WILL BE NO DIRECT PAYMENT FOR THIS WORK.

16. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN UP TO DATE SETS OF AS-BUILT PLANS FOR THE PROJECT. THESE PLANS SHALL BE KEPT CURRENT, WITHIN FIFTEEN (15) DAYS, AT ALL TIMES AND SHALL BE SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OR DESIGNEE THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT AND WILL BE REVIEWED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OR DESIGNEE FOR ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS AT LEAST ONCE EVERY 15 DAYS. THE FINAL AS-BUILT PLANS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OR DESIGNEE PRIOR TO FINAL PAYMENT.

17. ALL WORK IN THE VICINITY OF LIVE STREAMS, WATER IMPOUNDMENTS, WETLANDS OR IRRIGATION SUPPLIES SHALL BE AFFECTED IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO MINIMIZE VEGETATION REMOVAL, SOIL DISTURBANCE AND EROSION. CROSSINGS OF LIVE STREAMS WITH HEAVY EQUIPMENT SHALL BE MINIMIZED, AS DETERMINED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OR DESIGNEE. EQUIPMENT REFUELING, MAINTENANCE AND CEMENT DUMPING IN THE VICINITY OF WATER COURSES IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND SHALL BE PERFORMED IN PROPER CONTAINMENT AREAS.

18. TOPOGRAPHY SHOWN ON THESE PLANS IS ACCORDING TO FIELD LOCATION BY NCE SURVEYS, INC. JAMES C. EDWARDS P.L.S. #15269, DATED AUGUST 2, 2018.

19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL REMOVALS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT. ADDITIONAL REMOVALS NOT SHOWN ON THE PLANS WILL BE DESIGNATED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE OR DESIGNEE. THIS WORK WILL BE CONSIDERED AS INCLUDED IN THE CONTRACT PRICE FOR REMOVAL OF STRUCTURES AND OBSTRUCTIONS AND THE CONTRACTOR WILL NOT RECEIVE ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION FOR UNLISTED REMOVALS.

20. UNSUITABLE CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS AND DEBRIS FROM CLEARING AND GRUBBING ARE TO BE PLACED IN AN ENVIRONMENTALLY SUITABLE DISPOSAL SITE.

21. UTILITY LOCATIONS SHOWN WITHIN THE PROJECT BOUNDARY ARE BASED UPON THE BEST AVAILABLE EVIDENCE. BUT THE POSITIONS ARE NOT WARRANTED TO BE ACCURATE. CONTACT UTILITY PROVIDERS BEFORE STARTING ANY EXCAVATION WORK. SHOULD CONFLICTING INFORMATION OR INTERFENCE PROBLEMS APPEAR IN THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BRING THAT INFORMATION TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO INSTALLATION. FAILURE TO DO SO SHALL NOT BE A BASIS OF EXTRA PAYMENT TO THE CONTRACTOR.

22. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY ALL UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR COMPLYING WITH NEW MEXICO ONE-CALL PROCEDURES. ANY DAMAGE TO EXISTING UTILITIES MUST BE IMMEDIATELY REPORTED TO THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY.

23. NEW MEXICO 811 LOCATES SHALL BE FIELD VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR THROUGH POTHOLING AND COORDINATION WITH UTILITY OWNER.

24. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY COMPANIES PRIOR TO GRADING OR TRENCHING.

25. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW AND FOLLOW THE RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED IN THE "GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT STUDY SOUTH ESCAVADA 361H WATER RECYCLE FACILITY SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, PREPARED BY GEOMAT INC., DATED SEPTEMBER 05, 2018 FOR MOISTURE CONTENT, MAXIMUM COMPACTED LIFT DEPTHS, AND MINIMUM COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PROJECT.

26. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFORM TO ALL REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH BY THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS LOCATED IN THE PROJECT MANUAL.

27. TRENCHES DEEPER THAN 5' IN DEPTH MUST BE SHORED, SLOPED, OR SHIELDED PER OSHA REGULATIONS.

28. EARTHWORK ESTIMATES ARE BASED ON COMPACTED AND IN-PLACE MATERIAL. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING THE REQUIRED MATERIAL AND HAUL ASSETS TO EMPLACE AND REMOVE THE CORRECT VOLUMES USING LOOSE SOIL CORRECTION FACTORS. NO SEPARATE MEASUREMENT OR PAYMENT WILL BE MADE THEREFORE.

29. PLACE AND COMPACT FILL IN HORIZONTAL LIFTS, USING EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURES THAT WILL PRODUCE RECOMMENDED MOISTURE CONTENTS AND DENSITIES THROUGHOUT THE LIFT.

UN-COMPACTED FILL LIFTS SHOULD NOT EXCEED 10 INCHES LOOSE THICKNESS.

MATERIALS SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO THE FOLLOWING:

MATERIAL	MINIMUM PERCENT (ASTM D698)
LINER SUBGRADE	PER LINER MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS
SUBGRADE SOILS BENEATH FILL AREAS	95
ON SITE OR IMPORTED SOIL FILLS:	
BENEATH FOOTINGS AND SLABS ON GRADE	95
AGGREGATE BASE BENEATH SLABS AND PAVEMENTS	95
MISCELLANEOUS BACKFILL	90

ON-SITE AND IMPORTED SOILS SHOULD BE COMPACTED AT MOISTURE CONTENTS NEAR OPTIMUM.
EMBANKMENT FILLS SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM 95 PERCENT OF THE MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AS DETERMINED BY ASTM D698 AT NEAR OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT IN LIFTS NOT EXCEEDING 10-INCHES IN LOOSE THICKNESS.

30. BACKFILL MATERIALS TO BE PLACED UNDER CONCRETE SLABS SHALL BE A GRANULAR SOIL AS SPECIFIED IN THE ACCOMPANYING TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS. EXPANSIVE TYPE SOILS ARE PROHIBITED AS BACKFILL MATERIALS.

31. THE EARTHWORK HAUL ON THIS PROJECT WILL BE CONSIDERED AS INCLUDED IN THE CONTRACT PRICE FOR UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION AND BORROW AS APPLICABLE, AND NO SEPARATE MEASUREMENT OR PAYMENT WILL BE MADE THEREFORE.

32. THE PROJECT WILL HAVE ALTERATION, VERIFICATION, AND SUBGRADE DENSITY TESTS COMPLETED BY A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING COMPANY TO VERIFY COMPACTION. PROOF ROLLING WILL BE COMPLETED ALONG THE PROJECT SUBGRADE AND ANY SOFT SPOTS WILL BE REMOVED AND RECONSTRUCTED BEFORE THE CONTRACTOR BEGINS WORK.

33. NOTWITHSTANDING THE APPROVAL OF THESE GRADING PLANS, THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PREVENTION OF DAMAGE TO ADJACENT PROPERTY. NO PERSON SHALL EXCAVATE ON LAND SO CLOSE TO THE PROPERTY LINE AS TO ENDANGER ANY SUCH PROPERTY FROM SETTLING, CRACKING, EROSION, SILTING, SCOUR OR OTHER DAMAGE, WHICH MIGHT RESULT FROM THE GRADING DESCRIBED ON THE PLAN.

34. SPECIAL CONDITION: IF ANY ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES ARE DISCOVERED ON THE SITE OF THIS GRADING OPERATION, SUCH OPERATION WILL CEASE IMMEDIATELY, AND THE PERMITTEE WILL NOTIFY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

35. ALL PROJECT LIMITS AND CONSTRUCTION AREAS SHALL BE CLEARLY DELINEATED IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY CONSTRUCTION AND/OR GRADING.

36. DURING ROUGH GRADING OPERATIONS AND PRIOR TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF ANY PERMANENT DRAINAGE STRUCTURES, TEMPORARY DRAINAGE CONTROL SHALL BE PROVIDED TO PREVENT PONDING WATER AND DAMAGE TO CONTIGUOUS PROPERTIES.

37. NO OBSTRUCTION OF FLOOD PLAINS OR NATURAL WATER COURSES WILL BE PERMITTED.

38. ALL EXISTING DRAINAGE COURSES ON THE PROJECT SITE MUST CONTINUE TO FUNCTION DURING STORM CONDITIONS. PROTECTIVE MEASURERS AND TEMPORARY DRAINAGE PROVISIONS MUST BE USED TO PROTECT CONTIGUOUS PROPERTIES DURING GRADING OPERATIONS.

39. THE FINISHED GRADE SHALL BE SLOPED AWAY FROM ALL EXTERIOR BUILDING WALLS AND FACILITIES TO PROMOTE POSITIVE DRAINAGE AWAY FROM FOUNDATIONS.

40. SANDOVAL COUNTY SHALL BE NOTIFIED 72 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY.

41. ROADWAY SECTION REPLACEMENT SHALL MEET CURRENT SANDOVAL COUNTY AND UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT GOLD BOOK STANDARDS FOR DEPTH OR MATCH EXISTING DEPTH, WHICHEVER IS THICKER.

42. RECORD DRAWINGS OR WORK COMPLETED SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO ENGINEER PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE INSTALLATIONS.

43. IN THE EVENT A SERVICE OUTAGE IS REQUIRED, CONTRACTOR WILL NOTIFY ALL AFFECTED PARTIES WHEN AND HOW LONG THEY WILL BE WITHOUT SERVICE.

44. OWNER WILL ENSURE THAT ALL INSTALLED EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL MEASURES COMPLY WITH THEIR EXISTING ASSET STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP).

45. EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED AND SHALL BE KEPT IN PLACE UNTIL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION POTENTIAL IS MITIGATED. REMOVAL OF SILT AND SEDIMENT IS REQUIRED ONCE SILT AND SEDIMENT HAS REACHED HALF THE HEIGHT OF THE SILT FENCE. EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE CHECKED AND MAINTAINED PER THE OWNERS PERMIT.

46. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS IN THE FIELD.

47. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS WITH OTHER DRAWINGS FOR INDIVIDUAL ITEMS. DISCREPANCIES UNCOVERED, IF ANY, SHALL BE REPORTED BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK SO THAT PROPER ADJUSTMENT CAN BE MADE.

48. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING SAFE AND ADEQUATE SHORING FOR ALL PARTS OF THE PROJECT DURING CONSTRUCTION. ALL STRUCTURES SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS HAVE BEEN DESIGNED FOR STABILITY UNDER FINAL CONFIGURATION.

49. THE OWNER WILL PROVIDE CONSTRUCTION OBSERVERS AND MATERIAL TESTERS TO OBSERVE AND TEST ALL CONTROLLED EARTHWORK. THE CONSTRUCTION OBSERVERS AND MATERIAL TESTERS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS ON-SITE OBSERVATION AND TESTING DURING CONSTRUCTION OF CONTROLLED EARTHWORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CONSTRUCTION OBSERVERS AND MATERIAL TESTERS AT LEAST TWO WORKING DAYS IN ADVANCE OF ANY FIELD OPERATIONS OF THE CONTROLLED EARTHWORK.

50. CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH ANY AND ALL CONDITIONS OF APPROVALS ISSUED BY THE REGULATORY AGENCIES AS DETERMINED BY OWNER.

51. ENGINEER HAS NO CONTROL OVER COST OF LABOR, MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT OR SERVICES FURNISHED BY OTHERS. COMPETITIVE BIDDING OR MARKET CONDITIONS.

FACILITY/PIT OWNER

ENDURING RESOURCES
332 COUNTY ROAD 3100
AZTEC, NEW MEXICO, 87410
(505) 386-8887

CIVIL ENGINEER

HEATHER D. MCDANIEL, P.E.
SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES (SMA)
8000 WEST FOURTEENTH AVENUE
LAKEWOOD, COLORADO 80214
(303) 239-9011

SURFACE OWNER

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
6251 COLLEGE BLVD, SUITE A
FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87402
(505) 564-7600

ABBREVIATIONS

BLM	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT	N.T.S.	NOT TO SCALE
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL	O.C.	ON CENTER
CU. FT.	CUBIC FEET	SQ. FT.	SQUARE FEET
CU. YD.	CUBIC YARDS	STA.	STATION
DIA.	DIAMETER (Ø)	TOE	TOE OF BANK
ELEV.	ELEVATION	TOP	TOP OF BANK
EX	EXISTING	TYP	TYPICAL
FT	FEET	w/	WITH
FG	FINISH GRADE		
HORIZ	HORIZONTAL		
LF	LINEAR FEET		
LLDPE	LINEAR LOW-DENSITY POLYETHYLENE		
MAX.	MAXIMUM		
ME	MATCH EXISTING		
MCC	MECHANICAL CONTROL CENTER		
MIL or MM	MILLIMETER		
MIN.	MINIMUM		

LEGEND

- EXISTING INDEX CONTOURS
- EXISTING INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
- PROPOSED INDEX CONTOURS
- PROPOSED INTERMEDIATE CONTOURS
- PROPOSED DRAINAGE PIPE
- PROPOSED CHAIN LINK FENCE
- PROPOSED MAN WAY PEDESTRIAN GATE

No. #	Date	Description	By	Ck'd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 239-9442 Fax (303) 239-9181
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES

SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED.

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018

Scale: Horiz: N/A Vert: N/A

Project No: 5127383

Sheet: G101

nm811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.



PROPOSED POND INFORMATION:

TOP OF BERM ELEVATION (3' FREEBOARD):	7057.75FT
WATER SURFACE ELEVATION:	7054.42 FT
WATER SURFACE AREA (ELEVATION 7054.42)	63,240 SQ. FT. (1.51 ACRES)
POND STORAGE VOLUME:	33,180 CU. YD. (212,746 BBLS)

NOTES:

1. STOCKPILING OF TOP SOIL: CONTRACTOR SHALL SEGREGATE AND STOCKPILE ALL TOPSOIL OUTSIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION AREA WITH APPROPRIATE SEDIMENT CONTROL. TOP SOIL SHALL BE REDISTRIBUTED ON THE OUTSIDE OF CONSTRUCTED BERMS, AND EITHER SEEDED, AND MULCHED OR PROTECTED WITH EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. REFER TO CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR DETAILS.
2. SEE SHEET C105 FOR ALL BEARINGS, DISTANCES & COORDINATES FOR THE SITE.



WB-62 TURNING TEMPLATE 2 C101



BOBTAIL AND PUP TURNING TEMPLATE 3 C101

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Chkd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 Lewis Road, Florence, Colorado 81226
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll Free (877) 239-9422 Fax (303) 239-9715
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES

SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT

SITE PLAN

NEW MEXICO 22047 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER 8/29/2018

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018

Scale: Horiz: VARIES Vert: N/A

Project No: 5127383

Sheet: C101

nm811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.



On-Site Drainage	Area (ACRES)	NRCS Soil Types	Percent Imperviousness	Methodology for Analysis	Time of Concentration (MINUTES)	2-Year, 24-Hour Flow Rate (CFS)	5-Year, 24-Hour Flow Rate (CFS)	10-Year, 24-Hour Flow Rate (CFS)	25-Year, 24-Hour Flow Rate (CFS)	50-Year, 24-Hour Flow Rate (CFS)	100-Year, 24-Hour Flow Rate (CFS)	NOTE
Pre-Development Area	9.8	C	2	Rational Method	30.85	0.11	0.70	2.41	6.73	9.49	13.30	Historical
Post-Development Pad Area	2.4	C	50	Rational Method	15.22	1.41	2.19	2.98	4.42	5.46	6.74	Post Development

Rainfall Impact on Pit Freeboard			
Storm Event	Stormwater Volume (CF)	Pit Volume Increase (CF)	Impact on Freeboard (FT)
Maximum Storage	978,318.00	0	0.000
25-Yr, 24-Hr	17,630.46	995,948.46	0.017
50-Yr, 24-Hr	20,372.85	1,016,321.31	0.020
100-Yr, 24-Hr	23,376.60	1,039,697.91	0.022

NOTES:

1. STOCKPILING OF TOP SOIL: CONTRACTOR SHALL SEGREGATE AND STOCKPILE ALL TOPSOIL OUTSIDE OF THE CONSTRUCTION AREA WITH APPROPRIATE SEDIMENT CONTROL. TOP SOIL SHALL BE REDISTRIBUTED ON THE OUTSIDE OF CONSTRUCTED BERMS, AND EITHER SEEDED, AND MULCHED OR PROTECTED WITH EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. REFER TO CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR DETAILS.
2. SEE SHEET C105 FOR ALL BEARINGS, DISTANCES & COORDINATES FOR THE SITE.

PROPOSED SITE EARTHWORK:

APPROXIMATE CUT:	27,791 CU. YD.
APPROXIMATE FILL:	14,600 CU. YD.
APPROXIMATE NET CUT:	13,191 CU. YD.
TOPSOIL REMOVAL (0.50' DEPTH) CUT:	3,700 CU. YD.
SITE GRAVEL (0.33' DEPTH (4"))	977 CU. YD.

P:\5-Enduring Resources - 16B Pond Design (5127383)\CAD\Civil\S ESCAVADA PIT\5127283 SES_GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN.dwg, 9/28/2018 4:18:40 PM GJF

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Chkd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8040 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 299-6942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com

SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
SITE GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018
Scale: Horiz: 1" = 30'
Vert: N/A
Project No: 5127383
Sheet: C102



NOTE:
ON-SITE AND IMPORTED SOILS SHOULD BE COMPACTED AT MOISTURE CONTENTS NEAR OPTIMUM. EMBANKMENT FILLS SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM 95 PERCENT OF THE MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AS DETERMINED BY ASTM D698 AT NEAR OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT IN LIFTS NOT TO EXCEED 10 INCHES IN LOOSE THICKNESS

nm811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Chk'd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 299-6942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES
SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA
WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
SITE PROFILE

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018
Scale: Horiz: 1" = 30'
Vert: 1" = 5'
Project No: 5127383
Sheet: C103



SECTION C
STA 5+00.00



SECTION B
STA 2+50.00



SECTION A
STA 1+12.50

NOTE:

1. ON-SITE AND IMPORTED SOILS SHOULD BE COMPACTED AT MOISTURE CONTENTS NEAR OPTIMUM. EMBANKMENT FILLS SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM 95 PERCENT OF THE MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AS DETERMINED BY ASTM D698 AT NEAR OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT IN LIFTS NOT EXCEEDING 10-INCHES IN LOOSE THICKNESS.

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 290-9031 Toll-Free (877) 290-9042 Fax (303) 298-0745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES — SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA
WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
SITE CROSS SECTIONS

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018
Scale: Horiz: 1" = 30' Vert: 1" = 30'
Project No: 5127383
Sheet: C104



NOTE:
DATUM COORDINATES ARE NAD83, STATE-PLANE, NEW MEXICO CENTRAL

Point Table

POINT #	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION	DESCRIPTION
5000	1864292.98	1274549.26	7052.81	WATER WELL
5001	1864282.89	1274320.27	7051.10	FENCE CORNER
5002	1864714.20	1274661.55	7051.55	FENCE CORNER
5003	1864518.74	1274908.57	7052.30	FENCE CORNER
5004	1864087.43	1274567.29	7051.33	FENCE CORNER
5005	1864113.29	1274587.76	7051.62	GATE
5006	1864110.31	1274585.39	7051.60	GATE
5007	1864107.03	1274582.81	7051.57	GATE
5008	1864087.74	1274567.60	7051.33	GATE
5009	1864212.56	1274409.15	7051.29	GATE
5010	1864214.92	1274406.16	7051.28	GATE
5011	1864347.66	1274371.52	7051.92	GATE
5012	1864350.65	1274373.88	7051.96	GATE
5013	1864353.49	1274376.13	7052.00	FACILITY
5014	1864471.11	1274469.21	7053.51	FACILITY
5015	1864440.09	1274508.42	7053.60	FACILITY
5016	1864322.46	1274415.34	7052.04	FACILITY
5017	1864292.12	1274389.18	7051.65	RIG ANCHOR
5018	1864448.96	1274513.27	7053.70	RIG ANCHOR
5019	1864293.84	1274709.33	7055.50	RIG ANCHOR
5020	1864137.00	1274585.24	7051.74	RIG ANCHOR
5021	1864547.87	1274533.77	7056.51	ACCESS ROAD
5022	1864649.60	1274614.26	7056.51	ACCESS ROAD
5023	1864678.30	1274665.73	7056.50	77' RADIUS
5024	1864662.20	1274722.43	7056.51	ACCESS ROAD
5025	1864566.03	1274843.98	7056.51	ACCESS ROAD
5026	1864514.55	1274872.68	7056.50	77' RADIUS
5027	1864457.86	1274856.58	7056.51	ACCESS ROAD
5028	1864296.70	1274729.06	7056.51	ACCESS ROAD
5029	1864222.60	1274670.43	7052.52	ACCESS ROAD
5030	1864230.05	1274661.02	7052.53	ACCESS ROAD
5031	1864293.81	1274711.47	7055.84	ACCESS ROAD
5032	1864302.64	1274713.98	7056.17	12' RADIUS
5033	1864310.67	1274709.50	7056.51	ACCESS ROAD
5034	1864439.70	1274546.38	7056.51	ACCESS ROAD
5035	1864491.17	1274517.67	7056.50	77' RADIUS
5036	1864540.42	1274543.18	7056.75	TOP OF PIT
5037	1864642.15	1274623.67	7056.75	TOP OF PIT
5038	1864666.38	1274667.12	7056.74	65' RADIUS
5039	1864652.79	1274714.98	7056.75	TOP OF PIT
5040	1864562.19	1274829.55	7056.75	TOP OF PIT
5041	1864513.17	1274860.79	7056.74	65' RADIUS
5042	1864465.31	1274847.17	7056.75	TOP OF PIT
5043	1864363.59	1274766.89	7056.75	TOP OF PIT
5044	1864339.31	1274723.25	7056.74	65' RADIUS
5045	1864352.95	1274675.39	7056.75	TOP OF PIT
5046	1864449.07	1274553.79	7056.75	TOP OF PIT
5047	1864492.55	1274529.59	7056.74	65' RADIUS
5048	1864498.02	1274592.65	7031.77	PIT BOTTOM
5049	1864499.80	1274591.67	7031.75	2.5' RADIUS
5050	1864501.76	1274592.21	7031.77	PIT BOTTOM
5051	1864603.32	1274672.58	7031.77	PIT BOTTOM
5052	1864604.30	1274674.36	7031.75	2.5' RADIUS
5053	1864603.76	1274676.32	7031.77	PIT BOTTOM
5054	1864507.68	1274797.74	7031.77	PIT BOTTOM
5055	1864505.93	1274798.71	7031.75	2.5' RADIUS
5056	1864504.01	1274798.17	7031.77	PIT BOTTOM
5057	1864402.34	1274717.72	7031.77	PIT BOTTOM
5058	1864401.39	1274716.01	7031.77	2.5' RADIUS
5059	1864401.93	1274714.13	7031.77	PIT BOTTOM
5060	1864405.08	1274715.58	7030.42	SUMP
5061	1864414.36	1274703.84	7030.75	SUMP
5062	1864426.15	1274713.12	7030.75	SUMP
5063	1864416.85	1274724.64	7030.75	SUMP

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Chkd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 299-9942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES

SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
HORIZONTAL CONTROL PLAN

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018

Scale: Horiz 1" = 30'
Vert N/A

Project No: 5127383

Sheet: C105

nm811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.



0 20' 40'

SCALE: 1" = 20'

LEGEND

- LINERS
- CONDUCTIVE GEOCOMPOSITE LAYER
- 8" Ø BALLAST TUBE
- GEOCOMPOSITE GAS VENTILATION GRID STRIPS
- PIT ACCESS ROAD

NOTES:

1. LINERS AND CONDUCTIVE GEOCOMPOSITE LAYER SHALL COVER PIT BOTTOM, SIDE SLOPES AND END IN THE ANCHOR TRENCH. SEE DETAIL SHEETS C107 AND C108 AND MANUFACTURES SPECIFICATIONS.
2. 8" Ø BALLAST TUBES SHALL BE PLACED EVERY 100'± AND SET 50' FROM THE PIT GEOCOMPOSITE GAS VENTILATION GRID WHERE POSSIBLE.
3. THE PIT GEOCOMPOSITE GAS VENTILATION STRIPS SHALL BE INSTALLED 100' PARALLEL AND 100' PERPENDICULAR TO EACH OTHER IN A GRID PATTERN ACROSS THE PIT, ENDING IN THE ANCHOR TRENCH. THEY SHALL BE PLACED 50' FROM THE 8" Ø BALLAST TUBES.

QUANTITIES:

45 MIL LLDPE LINERS:	8,960 SQ.YDS. x 2 LAYERS = 17,920 SQ.YDS.
45 MIL SACRIFICIAL LINERS:	1,871 SQ. YDS. x 2 LAYERS = 3,742 SQ. YDS.
CONDUCTIVE GEOCOMPOSITE LAYER:	8,960 SQ.YDS.
BALLAST TUBES:	8 TUBES = 615 L.F.
GEOCOMPOSITE VENTILATION GRID:	1,180 L.F.
ANCHOR TRENCH:	986 L.F.

Dev.#	Date	Description	By	Chk'd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-5611 Fax (303) 239-5612 Toll Free (877) 239-5745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES

SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
LINERS, BALLAST TUBES AND GEOCOMPOSITE GRID PLAN

NEW MEXICO 22047 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER 8/29/2018

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018

Scale: Horiz: 1" = 20' Vert: N/A

Project No: 5127383

Sheet: C106

P:\5-Enduring Resources - 16B Pond Design (5127383)\CAD\Civil\S ESCAVADA PIT\5127283 SES_LINER, BALLAST TUBES & GEOGRID.dwg, 9/28/2018 4:19:19 PM GJF



BERM VENT SIMPLE CONNECTION TO PIPE

N.T.S. — 1 / C107



ADJACENT SHEETS OF CONDUCTIVE GEOCOMPOSITE SHALL BE OVERLAPPED AS DESCRIBED BELOW:

1. ROLLS ARE TO BE ASSEMBLED BY SEWING OF THE SUPERIOR GEOTEXTILE TO 100 MM (4 INCHES) OF EACH OF THE PANEL SIDES OR BY FLAME WELDING OR HOT AIR FLOW ON A 200 MM (8 INCHES) WIDTH. THEN, PARTICULAR ATTENTION MUST BE GIVEN TO WELDS IN ORDER NOT TO DAMAGE THE SUPERIOR LAYER OF THE GEOTEXTILE.
2. THE SUPERIOR GEOTEXTILE LAYERS OF THE ADJACENT ROLLS SHALL BE ROLLED BACK 250 MM (10 INCHES). GEOCONDUCT CONDUCTIVE GEOCOMPOSITE SHALL BE OVERLAPPED SUCH AS THE CONDUCTIVE GRIDS MUST BE IN DIRECT CONTACT ON A MINIMUM OF 200 MM (8 INCHES).

CONDUCTIVE GEOCOMPOSITE DETAILS

N.T.S. — 2 / C107



GAS VENTING GEOCOMPOSITE PANEL JOINING OPTIONS FOR SIDE

N.T.S. — 3 / C107

NOTE:

DETAILS SHOWN ON THIS PAGE ARE FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY AND PER MANUFACTURE.



NOTE:
DETAILS SHOWN ON THIS PAGE ARE FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES ONLY AND PER MANUFACTURE.

GAS VENTING GEOCOMPOSITE PANEL JOINING OPTIONS FOR END

N.T.S. — 4 / C107

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Check

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9001 Toll-Free (877) 299-0942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES — SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
GEOCOMPOSITE DETAILS

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018
Scale: Horiz: N/A Vert: N/A
Project No: 5127383
Sheet: C107



NOTE:
DETAIL SHOWN IS PER MANUFACTURE

LINER TO LINER SECTION 1 C108
N.T.S.



TYPICAL ANCHOR TRENCH DETAIL 2 C108
N.T.S.



NOTE:
DETAIL SHOWN IS PER MANUFACTURE

BERM VENT DETAIL 3 C108
N.T.S.



GEOCOMPOSITE GAS VENTILATION LAYER DETAIL 4 C108
N.T.S.



PROTECTION LINER DETAIL 5 C108
N.T.S.



NOTES:
1. BALLAST TUBES TO BE 45-MIL LLDPE OR APPROVED EQUAL.
2. FILL 8"Ø BALLAST TUBES WITH SAND. ANCHOR IN LINER TRENCH AND EXTEND SEVERAL FEET ONTO POND FLOOR AS NOTED.

BALLAST TUBE DETAILS 6 C108
N.T.S.



FINISHED GEOCOMPOSITE GAS VENTILATION LAYER WITH GEOMEMBRANE LINER SYSTEM AND BERM VENT DETAIL 7 C108
N.T.S.



NOTES:
1. LINER SHEETS SHALL BE TACK WELDED TOGETHER AT OVERLAP TO FORM TEMPORARY BOND PRIOR TO WELDING.
2. DOUBLE FUSION WELDING SHALL BE THE PRIMARY SEAMING TECHNIQUE USED.
3. GRINDING NOT TO EXCEED 1/4" PAST "SQUEEZE-OUT" ON EITHER SIDE. PROPER CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN TO INSURE THAT VERY LITTLE MATERIAL IS TAKEN WHEN GRINDING.
4. AIR PRESSURE OR VACUUM TESTING SHALL BE THE NON-DESTRUCTIVE SEAM TEST METHODS FOR DOUBLE FUSION WELDS.
5. SUBGRADE PREPARATION, SELECT FILL (IF REQUIRED) SHALL BE PER LINER MANUFACTURES REQUIREMENTS.
6. DETAIL SHOWN IS PER MANUFACTURE

LINER SEAMING DOUBLE FUSION WELD DETAIL 8 C108
N.T.S.



EXTRUSION WELD AT ANCHOR DETAIL 9 C108
N.T.S.

NOTE:
DETAIL SHOWN IS PER MANUFACTURE



ANCHOR TRENCH LINER OVERLAP DETAIL 10 C108
N.T.S.



NOTES:
1. LINER SHEETS TACK WELDED TOGETHER AT OVERLAP TO FORM TEMPORARY BOND PRIOR TO WELDING.
2. GRINDING NOT TO EXCEED 1/4" PAST "SQUEEZE-OUT" ON EITHER SIDE. PROPER CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN IN NOT REMOVING TOO MUCH MATERIAL WHEN GRINDING.
3. VACUUM TESTING IS THE NON-DESTRUCTIVE SEAM TEST METHOD FOR EXTRUSION WELDS.

EXTRUSION WELD DETAIL 11 C108
N.T.S.

NOTE:
DETAIL SHOWN IS PER MANUFACTURE

nm811 Know what's below. Call before you dig.

No.	Date	Description	By	Check

SOULDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
SMA
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8060 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 239-6942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soulemiller.com

SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
LINER AND BALLAST TUBE DETAILS

NEW MEXICO 22047 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER 9.28.2018

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018
Scale: Horiz: N/A Vert: N/A
Project No: 5127383
Sheet: C108



PRODUCED WATER PIT ACCESS ROAD SECTION (1 / C109)
N.T.S.



SITE PAD SECTION (2 / C109)
N.T.S.



PRODUCED WATER PIT LEAK DETECTION (3 / C109)
N.T.S.



LEAK DETECTION SYSTEM PIPE DETAIL (4 / C109)
N.T.S.

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Chkd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 299-6942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES — SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
PIT ACCESS ROAD AND PAD SECTIONS AND LEAK DETECTION DETAILS

NEW MEXICO 22047 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018
Scale: Horiz: N/A Vert: N/A
Project No. 5127383
Sheet: C109



CHAIN LINK GATE 1 / C110
N.T.S.



NOTE:
AT BROW CROSSING, EMBED ON 6" CENTERS, 4 - 1/2"X24" GALVANIZED RODS WITH 2" HOOKED END INTO BROW DITCH CONCRETE. EXPOSED END OF ROD SHALL BE WOVEN INTO FENCE FABRIC.

CHAIN LINK FENCE 2 / C110
N.T.S.



PEDESTRIAN GATE 3 / C110
N.T.S.



GATE POST

GATE LEAF WIDTH	GATE POST (OD)	FABRIC HEIGHT	"A" DIAM	"B" DEPTH	"C" POST EMBED.
3' TO 6'	2.785"	3' TO 5'	12"	38"	36"
		6' TO 9'	14"	42"	40"
		10' TO 12'	16"	46"	44"
7' TO 12'	4.000"	3' TO 5'	14"	38"	36"
		6' TO 9'	16"	42"	40"
		10' TO 12'	18"	46"	44"
13'	6.625"	8'-0"	16"	42"	40"

LINE AND TERMINAL POSTS

FABRIC HEIGHT	TYPE POST	"A" DIAM	"B" DEPTH	"C" POST EMBEDMENT
3'-0" TO 4'-0"	LINE	6"	26"	24"
	TERMINAL	10"	32"	30"
5'-0"	LINE	8"	32"	30"
	TERMINAL	10"	32"	30"
6'-0" TO 9'-0"	LINE	12"	38"	36"
	TERMINAL	12"	38"	36"
10'-0" TO 12'-0"	LINE	18"	38"	36"
	TERMINAL	18"	38"	36"
13'-0" TO 18'-0"	LINE	24"	42"	40"
	TERMINAL	24"	42"	40"

NOTE: TERMINAL POSTS INCLUDE END, CORNER, AND PULL POSTS SEE SPECIFICATIONS

CHAIN LINK FENCE FOUNDATION 4 / C110
N.T.S.



NOTE:
ADD SUPPORTING ARM AND BARBED WIRE TO NEW AND EXISTING CHAINLINK FENCE POSTS AND GATES

SUPPORTING ARM & BARBED WIRE 5 / C110
N.T.S.

NOTICE
NON-POTABLE WATER NOT FOR DRINKING OR COOKING USE
AVISO
AGUA NO POTABLE NO APTA PARA BEBER NI COCINAR

WARNING SIGN 6 / C110
N.T.S.

WARNING SIGN 7 / C110
N.T.S.

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Chk'd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 299-6942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES — SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
CHAIN LINK SECURITY FENCE DETAILS

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018
Scale: Horiz: N/A Vert: N/A
Project No: 5127383
Sheet: C110



PERMANENT BMPs

GS	GRASS SEEDING
MU	MULCH

TEMPORARY BMPs

WD	10" DIA WADDLE/FIBER ROLL
CWO	CONCRETE WASHOUT
RD	ROCK CHECK DAM

NOTES:

1. ALL FACILITY INFORMATION CAN BE FOUND ON SHEETS C101 AND C102.
2. ALL SLOPES SHALL HAVE WADDLES PLACED.
3. CONTRACTOR SHALL ADD GRASS SEED AND MULCH TO ALL UNPAVED/UNGRAVELED SURFACES THROUGHOUT THE SITE.
4. ALL SOIL STOCKPILES ARE TO HAVE WADDLE/FIBER ROLL PLACE AROUND THEM.

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Chk'd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-8617 Toll Free (866) 239-8617 Fax (303) 239-8718
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES

SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT
SITE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018

Scale: Horiz: 1" = 40' Vert: N/A

Project No: 5127383

Sheet: C111



PLAN VIEW



8" DIAMETER WATTLE SPACING TABLE	
SLOPE	MAXIMUM SPACING
1:1	10'
2:1	20'
3:1	30'
4:1	40'

SECTION (A)

WADDLE INSTALLATION ON SLOPES (WD)

N.T.S.



WATTLE DETAIL

NOTES:

1. INSTALL WATTLES ALONG CONTOURS.
2. SECURELY KNOT EACH END OF WATTLE. OVERLAP ADJACENT WATTLE ENDS 12" BEHIND ONE ANOTHER AND SECURELY TIE TOGETHER.
3. COMPACT EXCAVATED SOIL AND TRENCHES TO PREVENT UNDERCUTTING. ADDITIONAL STAKING MAY BE NECESSARY TO PREVENT UNDERCUTTING.
4. INSTALL WATTLE PERPENDICULAR TO FLOW ALONG CONTOURS.
5. WATTLES SHALL BE INSPECTED REGULARLY, AND IMMEDIATELY AFTER A RAINFALL PRODUCES RUNOFF, TO ENSURE THEY REMAIN THOROUGHLY ENTRENCHED AND IN CONTACT WITH THE SOIL.

GENERAL NOTES

1. SEE SHEET C111 FOR SITE SPECIFIC APPLICATION OF EROSION CONTROL.
2. EROSION CONTROL SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED TO PROTECT PROPERTIES AND PUBLIC FACILITIES FROM THE ADVERSE EFFECTS OF EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION AS A RESULT OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SET, LOCATE, AND MAINTAIN EROSION CONTROL MEASURES PER THE EROSION CONTROL PLAN, AND THE OWNER'S EXISTING ASSET STORMWATER POLLUTION PROTECTION PLAN. (SWPPP)
4. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED AND SHALL BE KEPT IN PLACE UNTIL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION POTENTIAL IS MITIGATED. REMOVAL OF SILT AND SEDIMENT IS REQUIRED PER SWPPP.
5. EROSION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE CHECKED AFTER EVERY STORM. REPAIRS OR REPLACEMENT TO THE EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MADE AS REQUIRED BY THE OWNERS PERMIT TO MAINTAIN PROPER PROTECTION.
6. SWPPP SHALL BE MODIFIED TO CONTROL EROSION AND SEDIMENT. TRANSPORT BY USING ANY MEANS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN OR IMPLEMENTING OTHER CONTROL MEASURES.
7. PERMANENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP'S) (I.E. SEEDED, MULCH) MUST BE IMPLEMENTED WITHIN 14 DAYS OF LAST CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY IN THE AREA, AS REQUIRED PER THE SWPPP.
8. THE CONTRACTOR/OWNER SHALL UPDATE OR MODIFY THIS PLAN AS NEEDED TO COMPLY WITH THE APPLICABLE POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.
9. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REQUIRED TO HAUL EXCESS CONCRETE AND WASHOUT OFF-SITE TO AN APPROVED/PERMITTED DISPOSAL SITE.
10. CONTRACTOR SHALL SPREAD STOCKPILED TOPSOIL BEFORE PLACING GRASS SEED AT CUT AND FILL LOCATIONS USING OWNER APPROVED MIX.
11. CONTRACTOR SHALL PLACE MULCH IN CONJUNCTION WITH GRASS SEEDING.



ROCK CHECK DAM SPACING	
CHANNEL SLOPE (%)	SPACING (FEET)
< 2	100
2 TO 5	40
5 TO 10	20
10 TO 15	
15 TO 20	

EXTENDED SECTION (A)

ROCK CHECK DAM (RD)

N.T.S.

TEMPORARY BMPs

(WD)	10" DIA WADDLE/FIBER ROLL
(CTO)	CONCRETE TRUCK WASHOUT FACILITY
(RD)	ROCK DAM

PERMANENT BMPs

(GS)	GRASS SEEDING
(MU)	MULCH



SECTION A-A



PLAN

CONCRETE TRUCK WASH OUT FACILITY (CTW)

N.T.S.

Rev # | Date | Description | By | Chk'd

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 299-0942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES | SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES, SOUTH ESCAVADA WATER CONTAINMENT PIT PROJECT

SITE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL DETAILS

DeLaine McDaniel, NEW MEXICO, 22047, PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER, 9-28-2018

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: September 2018

Scale: Horiz: N/A Vert: N/A

Project No: 5127383

Sheet: C112

P:\5-Enduring Resources - 16B Pond Design (5127383)\CAD\Civil\S ESCAVADA PIT\5127383 SES_Erosion Control Details.dwg, 9/28/2018 4:21:19 PM GJF

ATTACHMENT C - GEOMAT REPORT

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT
SOUTH ESCAVADA 361H WATER RECYCLE FACILITY
SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Submitted To:

James McDaniel
Enduring Resources
332 CR 3100
Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Submitted By:

GEOMAT Inc.
915 Malta Avenue

Farmington, New Mexico 87401

September 05, 2018

GEOMAT Project 182-3039

GEOMAT INC.
915 Malta Avenue ◆ Farmington, NM 87401 ◆ Tel (505) 327-7928 ◆ Fax (505) 326-5721

September 05, 2018

James McDaniel
Enduring Resources
332 CR 3100
Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Geotechnical Engineering Study
South Escavada 361H Water Recycle Facility
Sandoval County, New Mexico
GEOMAT Project No. 182-3039

GEOMAT Inc. (GEOMAT) has completed the geotechnical engineering exploration for the proposed South Escavada 361H Water Recycle Facility (SE361) to be located in Sandoval County, New Mexico. This revised report includes supplemental borings and analyses that resulted from design changes to the SE361, revising the proposed pond design from one fully incised to a partially incised pond with engineered embankments. This study was performed in general accordance with the scope of services in our Proposal No. 182-04-22 dated April 20, 2018 and in alignment with the request for supplemental work made via email dated July 18, 2018.

The results of our engineering study, including the geotechnical recommendations, site plan, boring records, and laboratory test results are attached. Based on the geotechnical engineering analyses, subsurface exploration and laboratory test results, the pond could be constructed as an incised with embankments and double synthetic-lined pond as proposed. Other design and construction details, based upon geotechnical conditions, are presented in the report.

We have appreciated being of service to you in the geotechnical engineering phase of this project. If you have any questions concerning this report, please contact us.

Sincerely yours,

GEOMAT Inc.



Robert "Bob" Flegal, P.E.
Senior Engineer

Matthew J. Cramer, P.E.
Vice President

Copies to: Addressee (1),
E. Stevens P.E., Enduring Resources, and
H. McDaniel, P.E., C.F.M. @ SMA via E-mail

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT
SOUTH ESCAVADA 361H WATER RECYCLE FACILITY
SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
GEOMAT PROJECT NO. 182-3037

INTRODUCTION

This report contains the results of our geotechnical engineering exploration for the proposed South Escavada 361H Water Recycle Facility (SE361) to be located in San Juan County, New Mexico, as depicted on the Vicinity Map and Site Plan in Appendix A of this report.

The purpose of these services is to provide information and geotechnical engineering recommendations about:

- subsurface soil conditions
- groundwater conditions
- lateral soil pressures
- earthwork
- slopes for pond walls and embankments, and
- drainage.

The opinions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon the results of field and laboratory testing, engineering analyses, and experience with similar soil conditions, structures, and our understanding of the proposed project as stated below.

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

The SE361 pond will have dimensions of approximately 320 feet by 350 feet and will be located at 36.117776° north latitude / 107.488825° west longitude. As shown in the attached 30% review drawing provided by Souder Miller and Associates (SMA) on September 29, 2018, we understand the pond will be partially incised into the existing grade with constructed embankments to an approximate elevation of 7060'. The maximum height of constructed embankment is approximately 10 feet above existing grade. The pond will be incised to an elevation of 7035', resulting in a total depth of 25 feet. The maximum water level is designed at 7057', maintaining 3 feet of freeboard. The pond will be lined with a double HDPE liner system. The pond is to be located on a graded flat terrain with an adjacent well pad design. It is assumed that, although cleared and graded, the surficial soils have not been compacted and that the existing surface is equivalent and representative of the native soils.

SITE EXPLORATION

Our scope of services performed for this project included three site reconnaissance visits by a staff geologist, a subsurface exploration program, laboratory testing and engineering analyses.

Field Exploration:

Subsurface conditions at the site were explored on June 26, July 2 and again on July 19, 2018 by drilling seven exploratory borings, designated B-1 through B-7, at the approximate locations shown on the Site Plan in Appendix A. Borings B-1 through B-7, were drilled to the planned depths of approximately 35 feet below existing ground surface. Borings B-6 and B-7 were drilled to obtain additional representative samples for laboratory testing to support the addition of embankments to the pond design.

The borings were advanced using a CME-55 truck-mounted drill rig with continuous-flight, 7.25-inch O.D. hollow-stem auger. The borings were continuously monitored by a geologist from our office who examined and classified the subsurface materials encountered, obtained representative samples, observed groundwater conditions, and maintained a continuous log of each boring.

Soil samples were obtained from the borings using a combination of standard 2-inch O.D. split spoon and 3-inch O.D. modified California ring barrel samplers. The samplers were driven using a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. The standard penetration resistance was determined by recording the number of hammer blows required to advance the sampler in six-inch increments. Representative bulk samples of subsurface materials were also obtained.

Groundwater evaluations were made in each boring at the time of site exploration. Soils were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System described in Appendix A. Boring logs were prepared for B-1 through B-5 and are presented in Appendix A.

Laboratory Testing:

Samples retrieved during the field exploration were transported to our laboratory for further evaluation. At that time, the field descriptions were confirmed or modified as necessary, and laboratory tests were performed to evaluate the engineering properties of the subsurface materials.

Bulk samples from B-6 and B-7 were also prepared and shipped Knight Piesold and Co. Soils Laboratory in Denver, Colorado for direct shear testing.

SITE CONDITIONS

The SE361 site is located approximately 6 miles south of Counselor, New Mexico off the west side of Indian Service Route 46. The ground surface across the site of the proposed pond was graded flat with berms surrounding the outer edge of the site ranging from 3 to 15 feet in height. The area had no vegetation at the time of our exploration. No evidence of prior structural development other than the aforementioned grading and berms was noted at the site. The photo below depicts the site conditions at the time of our exploration.



Drill Rig at Boring B-2

View Toward the East

SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Soil Conditions:

As presented on the Boring Logs in Appendix A, in all five borings, B-1 through B-5, we encountered predominantly sandy soils to the total depths explored in the borings. The sandy soils were interlayered with clayey soils in borings B-4 and B-5, alternating to the total depths

explored. The sandy soils were medium dense and were generally dry to damp. In boring B-1, we encountered shale bedrock at the 33 feet below ground surface.

Groundwater Conditions:

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the borings. Groundwater elevations can fluctuate over time depending upon precipitation, irrigation, runoff and infiltration of surface water. We do not have any information regarding the historical fluctuation of the groundwater level in this vicinity.

Laboratory Test Results:

Laboratory analyses of samples tested indicate the sandy and clayey soils have fines contents (silt- and/or clay-sized particles passing the U.S. No. 200 sieve) ranging from approximately 17 to 64 percent. Plasticity indices ranged from non-plastic to an index of 32. In-place dry densities of the soil and rock samples tested ranged from approximately 103 to 122 pounds per cubic foot (pcf), with natural moisture contents between approximately 3 and 9 percent.

Direct shear testing results from Knight Piesold indicate an effective friction angle, θ', ranging from 23° to 30°, and an effective cohesion, c', of approximately 70 psf for construction of embankments of compacted fill. These values were utilized in the slope stability analysis of the revised embankment design. Results of all laboratory tests are presented in Appendix B.

OPINIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Geotechnical Considerations:

The site is considered suitable for the proposed fracking water pond based on the geotechnical conditions encountered and tested for this report and our understanding of the project. If there are any significant deviations from the assumed finished elevations and/or pond locations noted at the beginning of this report, the opinions and recommendations of this report should be reviewed and confirmed/modified as necessary to reflect the final planned design conditions.

Pond Design and Construction:

The SE361 pond could be constructed as an incised basin with engineered constructed embankments as proposed. The double HDPE liner system should be installed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Compaction of the subgrade within the incised portions of the pond below the line should be in accordance with the liner manufacturer's recommendations. Subgrade and fill for the embankments should be constructed in accordance with the recommendation found within the **Placement and Compaction** section of this report.

Our recommendations for construction are based on the information obtained from the borings performed during our subsurface exploration. It should be realized that subsurface conditions could vary across the extent of the pond area, and these variations may not become apparent until construction is underway. If, during construction, soil types other than those encountered during our exploration are encountered, we should be contacted to observe the actual conditions and confirm/modify our recommendations, as appropriate.

Slope Stability Analysis:

A slope stability analysis was performed for SE361 to evaluate the proposed design of the incised portions of the pond and the surrounding constructed pond embankments. A representative cross section was selected, modeled and evaluated utilizing Galena Slope Stability software (version 6.1) as an aid in developing our recommendations. Slopes were modeled utilizing an internal grade of 2.5:1 (horizontal:vertical) and a 3:1 external. These parameters are consistent with the supplied designs.

An access roadway is proposed in the design to be located on the top surface of the constructed embankments. As a result, light vehicle loads were added to the model as two 1500-pound point loads to represent possible additional loading. Analyses were performed for both the internal and external profiles at the selected cross section. Printouts of the software graphical analyses are attached in Appendix B. Table 1 summarizes the results of the analyses.

Seismic Considerations and Slope Stability:

Based on the subsurface conditions encountered in the borings, we estimate that Site Class C is appropriate for the site according to Table 1613.5.2 of the 2009 International Building Code. This parameter was estimated based on extrapolation of data beyond the deepest depth explored, using methods allowed by the code. Actual shear wave velocity testing/analysis and/or exploration to a depth of 100 feet were not performed as part of our scope of services for this project. Slope stability analyses were performed to include seismic forces at the representative cross section and incorporating the designed internal and external grades. Graphical printouts are attached and the results included in Table 1.

Table 1 - Slope Stability Analysis.

		Factor of Safety	
	Slope	**Base**	**Seismic Applied**
Internal Slope	2.5:1	1.81	1.46
External Slope	3.0:1	2.22	1.88

Based on the results of our subsurface exploration, laboratory testing, and engineering analyses, the designed grades of the incised pond walls and the constructed embankments are acceptable at the proposed 2.5:1 internal and 3:1 external in the site soils if constructed as recommended herein.

Lateral Earth Pressures:

For soils above any free water surface, recommended equivalent fluid pressures for unrestrained foundation elements are presented in the following table:

- **Active:**
  Granular soil backfill (on-site sand) .....35 psf/ft
  Undisturbed subsoil .....30 psf/ft

- **Passive:**

  Shallow foundation walls .....250 psf/ft
  Shallow column footings.....350 psf/ft
  Sump walls .....400 psf/ft

- **Coefficient of base friction**: 0.40
  The coefficient of base friction should be reduced to 0.30 when used in conjunction with passive pressure.

Where the design includes restrained elements, the following equivalent fluid pressures are recommended:

- **At rest:**

  Granular soil backfill (on-site sand) .....50 psf/ft
  Undisturbed subsoil.....60 psf/ft

Earthwork:

General Considerations:

The opinions contained in this report for the proposed construction are contingent upon compliance with recommendations presented in this section. Although underground facilities

such as foundations, septic tanks, cesspools, basements and irrigation systems were not encountered during site reconnaissance, such features could exist and might be encountered during construction.

Site Clearing:

1. Strip and remove all existing fill, debris and other deleterious materials from the proposed construction areas.

2. If unexpected fills or underground facilities are encountered during site clearing, we should be contacted for further recommendations. All excavations should be observed by GEOMAT prior to backfill placement.

3. Stripped materials consisting of vegetation and organic materials should be removed from the site, or used to re-vegetate exposed slopes after completion of grading operations. If it is necessary to dispose of organic materials on-site, they should be placed in non-structural areas, and in fill sections not exceeding 5 feet in height.

4. Sloping areas steeper than 5:1 (horizontal:vertical) should be benched to reduce the potential for slippage between existing slopes and fills. Benches should be level and wide enough to accommodate compaction and earth moving equipment.

5. All exposed areas which will receive fill, once properly cleared and benched where necessary, should be scarified to a minimum depth of eight inches, conditioned to near optimum moisture content, and compacted to at least 95% of standard proctor (ASTM D698).

Excavation:

We present the following general comments regarding our opinion of the excavation conditions for the designers' information with the understanding that they are opinions based on our boring data. More accurate information regarding the excavation conditions should be evaluated by contractors or other interested parties from test excavations using the equipment that will be used during construction.

Based on our subsurface evaluation it appears that shallow excavations in soils at the site will be possible using standard excavation equipment. Although not anticipated, excavations that encounter formational rock are expected to be difficult and may necessitate the use of heavy-duty equipment and/or specialized techniques.

On-site soils may pump or become unstable or unworkable at high water contents. Dewatering may be necessary to achieve a stable excavation. Workability may be improved by scarifying and drying. Over-excavation of wet zones and replacement with granular materials may be necessary. Lightweight excavation equipment may be required to reduce subgrade pumping.

Fill Materials:

1. Native soils could be used in any areas cut for facilitation of the pond excavation.

2. Select granular materials should be used as backfill behind walls that retain earth.

3. On site or imported soils to be used in structural fills should conform to the following:

Gradation	**Percent finer by weight (ASTM C136)**
3"	100
No. 4 Sieve	50-100
No. 200 Sieve	50 Max
Maximum expansive potential (%)*	1.5

* Measured on a sample compacted to approximately 95 percent of the ASTM D698 maximum dry density at about 3 percent below optimum water content. The sample is confined under a 144-psf surcharge and submerged.

4. If required, aggregate base should conform to Type I Base Course as specified in Section 303 of the 2014 New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) *"Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction."*

Placement and Compaction:

1. Place and compact fill in horizontal lifts, using equipment and procedures that will produce recommended moisture contents and densities throughout the lift.

2. Un-compacted fill lifts should not exceed 10 inches loose thickness.

3. Materials should be compacted to the following:

Material	Minimum Percent (ASTM D698)
Liner Subgrade	Per Liner Manufacturer's Recommendations
Subgrade soils beneath fill areas	95
On site or imported soil fills:	
Beneath footings and slabs on grade	95
Aggregate base beneath slabs and pavements	95
Miscellaneous backfill	90

4. On-site and imported soils should be compacted at moisture contents near optimum.

Compliance:

To assess compliance, observation and testing should be performed by GEOMAT.

Drainage:

Surface Drainage:

Positive drainage should be provided during construction and maintained throughout the life of the proposed project to prevent surface runoff from entering the pond.

Protective slopes should be provided with a minimum grade of approximately 5 percent for at least 10 feet from the structures. Backfill against footings, exterior walls, and in utility trenches should be well compacted and free of all construction debris to reduce the possibility of moisture infiltration.

Subsurface Drainage:

Free-draining, granular soils containing less than five percent fines (by weight) passing a No. 200 sieve should be placed adjacent to walls which retain earth. A drainage system consisting of either weep holes or perforated drain lines (placed near the base of the wall) should be used to intercept and discharge water which would tend to saturate the backfill. Where used, drain lines should be embedded in a uniformly graded filter material and provided with adequate clean-outs for periodic maintenance. An impervious soil should be used in the upper layer of backfill to reduce the potential for water infiltration.

GENERAL COMMENTS

It is recommended that GEOMAT be retained to provide a general review of final design plans and specifications in order to confirm that grading recommendations in this report have been interpreted and implemented. In the event that any changes of the proposed project are planned, the opinions and recommendations contained in this report should be reviewed and the report modified or supplemented as necessary.

GEOMAT should also be retained to provide services during excavation, grading, and construction phases of the work. Construction testing, including field and laboratory evaluation of fill, backfill, and compacted slopes should be performed to determine whether applicable project requirements have been met.

The analyses and recommendations in this report are based in part upon data obtained from the field exploration. The nature and extent of variations beyond the location of test borings may not become evident until construction. If variations then appear evident, it may be necessary to re-evaluate the recommendations of this report.

Our professional services were performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable geotechnical engineers practicing in this or similar localities at the same time. No warranty, express or implied, is intended or made. We prepared the report as an aid in design of the proposed project. This report is not a bidding document. Any contractor reviewing this report must draw his own conclusions regarding site conditions and specific construction equipment and techniques to be used on this project.

This report is for the exclusive purpose of providing geotechnical engineering and/or testing information and recommendations. The scope of services for this project does not include, either specifically or by implication, any environmental assessment of the site or identification of

contaminated or hazardous materials or conditions. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination, other studies should be undertaken. This report has also not addressed any geologic hazards that may exist on or near the site.

This report may be used only by the Client and only for the purposes stated, within a reasonable time from its issuance. Land use, site conditions (both on and off site), or other factors may change over time and additional work may be required with the passage of time. Any party, other than the Client, who wishes to use this report, shall notify GEOMAT in writing of such intended use. Based on the intended use of the report, GEOMAT may require that additional work be performed and that an updated report be issued. Non-compliance with any of these requirements, by the Client or anyone else, will release GEOMAT from any liability resulting from the use of this report by an unauthorized party.

Appendix A



Approximate Not to Scale	VICINITY MAP Locations (approximate) GEOMAT Project No. 182-3039 Date of Exploration: June 26 & July 2, 2018	PROJECT S. Escavada 361 Facility Pond Enduring Resources Sandoval County, New Mexico	GEOMAT INC.



Approximate Not to Scale	SITE PLAN Boring Locations (approximate) GEOMAT Project No. 182-3039 Date of Exploration: June 26 and July 2 &19, 2018	PROJECT S. Escavada 361 Facility Pond Enduring Resources Sandoval County, New Mexico

GEOMAT INC.

GEOMAT INC
915 Malta Avenue
Farmington, NM 87401
Tel (505) 327-7928
Fax (505) 326-5721

Borehole B-1

Project Name: South Escavada 361 Facility Pond
Project Number: 182-3039
Client: Enduring Resources
Site Location: Sandoval County, New Mexico
Rig Type: CME-55
Drilling Method: 7.25" O.D. Hollow Stem Auger
Sampling Method: Bulk, Ring and Split spoon samples
Hammer Weight: 140 lbs
Hammer Fall: 30 inches

Date Drilled: 6/26/2018
Latitude: Not Determined
Longitude: Not Determined
Elevation: Not Determined
Boring Location: See Site Plan
Groundwater Depth: None Encountered
Logged By: SY
Remarks: None

Laboratory Results										
Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing #200 Sieve	Plasticity Index	Moisture Content (%)	Blows per 6"	Sample Type & Length (in)	Symbol	Material Type	Soil Symbol	Depth (ft)	Soil Description
	48	16			A					Clayey SAND, tan/brown, fine- to medium grained, medium dense, slightly damp to damp (potential FILL up to ~5ft)
111.8			4.3	10-17-26	R 18		SC		5	Tan/orange, fine grained
										Grades to silty sand
	17	NP		10-10-14	SS 18				10	Silty SAND, tan/orange, fine grained, medium dense to dense, slightly damp to damp, contains caliche
107.4			5.3	25-42-50/6"	R 18				15	
				8-11-17	SS 18		SM		20	Gray/tan, fine- to coarse grained
				16-21-28	R 18				25	Contains trace gravel
				12-13-25	SS 18				30	
				50/5"	SS 5		RK		35	SHALE, gray/tan, slightly damp, fissile/friable
									40	Total Depth 35½ feet

A = Auger Cuttings R = Ring-Lined Barrel Sampler SS = Split Spoon GRAB = Manual Grab Sample D = Disturbed Bulk Sample

GEOMAT 182-3039.GPJ GEOMAT.GDT 8/30/18

GEOMAT INC.
915 Malta Avenue
Farmington, NM 87401
Tel (505) 327-7928
Fax (505) 326-5721

Borehole B-2

Project Name: South Escavada 361 Facility Pond
Project Number: 182-3039
Client: Enduring Resources
Site Location: Sandoval County, New Mexico
Rig Type: CME-55
Drilling Method: 7.25" O.D. Hollow Stem Auger
Sampling Method: Bulk, Ring and Split spoon samples
Hammer Weight: 140 lbs
Hammer Fall: 30 inches

Date Drilled: 6/26/2018
Latitude: Not Determined
Longitude: Not Determined
Elevation: Not Determined
Boring Location: See Site Plan
Groundwater Depth: None Encountered
Logged By: SY
Remarks: None

Laboratory Results										
Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing #200 Sieve	Plasticity Index	Moisture Content (%)	Blows per 6"	Sample Type & Length (in)	Symbol	Material Type	Soil Symbol	Depth (ft)	Soil Description
					A		SC			Clayey SAND, tan/brown, fine- to medium grained, slightly damp
	64	10		6-7-10	SS 18		CL		5	Sandy Lean CLAY, tan/orange, very stiff, slightly damp
110.8			4.1	17-30-45	R 18		SM		10	Silty SAND, tan/gray, fine- to coarse grained, medium dense to very dense, slightly damp, contains fine grained lenses Contains lenses of coarser grains
	30	NP		10-11-15	SS 18				15	
109.6			3.4	28-50/6"	R 12				20	Tan/orange, fine grained
				14-15-16	SS 18				25	Tan/gray, contains gray/brown, clay rich lenses
									30	
									35	
									40	Total Depth 36½ feet

A = Auger Cuttings R = Ring-Lined Barrel Sampler SS = Split Spoon GRAB = Manual Grab Sample D = Disturbed Bulk Sample

GEOMAT INC.
915 Malta Avenue
Farmington, NM 87401
Tel (505) 327-7928
Fax (505) 326-5721

Borehole B-3

Project Name: South Escavada 361 Facility Pond
Project Number: 182-3039
Client: Enduring Resources
Site Location: Sandoval County, New Mexico
Rig Type: CME-55
Drilling Method: 7.25" O.D. Hollow Stem Auger
Sampling Method: Bulk, Ring and Split spoon samples
Hammer Weight: 140 lbs
Hammer Fall: 30 inches

Date Drilled: 7/2/2018
Latitude: Not Determined
Longitude: Not Determined
Elevation: Not Determined
Boring Location: See Site Plan
Groundwater Depth: None Encountered
Logged By: SY
Remarks: None

Laboratory Results										
Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing #200 Sieve	Plasticity Index	Moisture Content (%)	Blows per 6"	Sample Type & Length (in)	Symbol	Material Type	Soil Symbol	Depth (ft)	Soil Description
					A		SC		5	Clayey SAND, tan/brown, fine- to medium grained, dense, slightly damp to damp
114.8			3.2	10-18-24	R 18					
	39	6		11-13-15	SS 18		SC-SM		10	Silty, Clayey SAND, tan, fine- to medium grained, medium dense to very dense, slightly damp Tan/orange, fine grained
111.6			4.5	25-34-50/4"	R 10				15	Tan/gray, fine- to medium grained
				14-24-21	SS 18				20	Coarse grained layere with gravel approximately 6 inches thick
				50/6"	R 6		SM		25	Silty SAND with trace gravel, tan/brown/gray, fine- to coarse grained, dense to very dense, slightly damp
				14-24-25	SS 18				30	No gravel, lenses of fine grained material
				15-24-24	SS 18				35	
									40	Total Depth 36½ feet

A = Auger Cuttings R = Ring-Lined Barrel Sampler SS = Split Spoon GRAB = Manual Grab Sample D = Disturbed Bulk Sample

GEOMAT 182-3039.GPJ GEOMAT.GDT 8/30/18

GEOMAT INC.
915 Malta Avenue
Farmington, NM 87401
Tel (505) 327-7928
Fax (505) 326-5721

Borehole B-4

Project Name:	South Escavada 361 Facility Pond	Date Drilled:	7/2/2018
Project Number:	182-3039	Latitude:	Not Determined
Client:	Enduring Resources	Longitude:	Not Determined
Site Location:	Sandoval County, New Mexico	Elevation:	Not Determined
Rig Type:	CME-55	Boring Location:	See Site Plan
Drilling Method:	7.25" O.D. Hollow Stem Auger	Groundwater Depth:	None Encountered
Sampling Method:	Bulk, Ring and Split spoon samples	Logged By:	SY
Hammer Weight:	140 lbs	Remarks:	None
Hammer Fall:	30 inches		

Laboratory Results: Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing #200 Sieve	Plasticity Index	Moisture Content (%)	Blows per 6"	Sample Type & Length (in)	Symbol	Material Type	Soil Symbol	Depth (ft)	Soil Description
					A		SC			Clayey SAND, tan/brown, fine- to medium grained, slightly damp (potential FILL up to ~3½ft)
				4-2-2	SS 3		CL		5	Sandy Lean CLAY, gray/brown, slightly damp, poor sample recovery (clay pushed into sand)
										Grades to clayey sand
116.3			4.9	14-21-30	R 18		SC		10	Clayey SAND, tan/orange, fine- to medium grained, medium dense to dense, slightly damp, contains caliche
										Grades to sandy lean clay
	60	16		12-11-11	SS 18				15	Sandy Lean CLAY, brownt to gray/tan with orange mottling, very stiff, slightly damp, contains sandy lenses
112.3			3.1	28-37-50/4"	R 16				20	
	61	21		12-11-22	SS 18		CL		25	
				12-13-18	SS 18				30	Contains tan/brown, sandy lenses
				15-19-26	SS 18		SC		35	Clayey SAND, tan/gray, fine- to coarse grained, dense, slightly damp
									40	Total Depth 36½ feet

A = Auger Cuttings R = Ring-Lined Barrel Sampler SS = Split Spoon GRAB = Manual Grab Sample D = Disturbed Bulk Sample

GEOMAT INC.
915 Malta Avenue
Farmington, NM 87401
Tel (505) 327-7928
Fax (505) 326-5721

Borehole B-5

Project Name:	South Escavada 361 Facility Pond	Date Drilled:	7/2/2018
Project Number:	182-3039	Latitude:	Not Determined
Client:	Enduring Resources	Longitude:	Not Determined
Site Location:	Sandoval County, New Mexico	Elevation:	Not Determined
Rig Type:	CME-55	Boring Location:	See Site Plan
Drilling Method:	7.25" O.D. Hollow Stem Auger	Groundwater Depth:	None Encountered
Sampling Method:	Bulk, Ring and Split spoon samples	Logged By:	SY
Hammer Weight:	140 lbs	Remarks:	None
Hammer Fall:	30 inches		

Laboratory Results: Dry Density (pcf)	% Passing #200 Sieve	Plasticity Index	Moisture Content (%)	Blows per 6"	Sample Type & Length (in)	Symbol	Material Type	Soil Symbol	Depth (ft)	Soil Description
					A		SC		0	Clayey SAND, tan/brown, fine grained, medium dense to dense, slightly damp (potential FILL up to ~5ft)
103.1	44	27	8.3	15-16-19	R 18				5	Tan/orange, contains caliche
				12-11-12	SS 18				10	
122.8	63	32	9.3	13-17-43	R 18		CL		15	Grades to sandy lean clay Sandy Lean CLAY, brown, very stiff, damp
				13-14-16	SS 18		SC		20	Clayey SAND, tan/brown, fine grained, medium dense to very dense, slightly damp Contains layer of coarse grained sands
				25-37-50/3"	R 15				25	
				14-18-21	SS 18				30	Contains intermittent lenses of coarse grained sands
									35	Total Depth 31½ feet
									40	

A = Auger Cuttings R = Ring-Lined Barrel Sampler SS = Split Spoon GRAB = Manual Grab Sample D = Disturbed Bulk Sample

GEOMAT 182-3039.GPJ GEOMAT.GDT 8/30/18

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM					CONSISTENCY OR RELATIVE DENSITY CRITERIA		
Major Divisions			Group Symbols	Typical Names			
Coarse-Grained Soils More than 50% retained on No. 200 sieve	**Gravels** 50% or more of coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieve	Clean Gravels	GW	Well-graded gravels and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Standard Penetration Test Density of Granular Soils		
			GP	Poorly graded gravels and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Penetration Resistance, N (blows/ft.)	Relative Density	
		Gravels with Fines	GM	Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures	0-4	Very Loose	
			GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures	5-10	Loose	
	Sands More than 50% of coarse fraction passes No. 4 sieve	Clean Sands	SW	Well-graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines	11-30	Medium Dense	
			SP	Poorly graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines	31-50	Dense	
		Sands with Fines	SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures	>50	Very Dense	
			SC	Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures	Standard Penetration Test Density of Fine-Grained Soils		
Fine-Grained Soils 50% or more passes No. 200 sieve	**Silts and Clays** Liquid Limit 50 or less		ML	Inorganic silts, very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands	Penetration Resistance, N (blows/ft.)	Consistency	Unconfined Compressive Strength (Tons/ft2)
			CL	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays	<2	Very Soft	<0.25
			OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity	2-4	Soft	0.25-0.50
	Silts and Clays Liquid Limit greater than 50		MH	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous free sands or silts, elastic silts	4-8	Firm	0.50-1.00
			CH	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays	8-15	Stiff	1.00-2.00
			OH	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity	15-30	Very Stiff	2.00-4.00
Highly Organic Soils			PT	Peat, mucic & other highly organic soils	>30	Hard	>4.0

U.S. Standard Sieve Sizes

>12"	12"	3"	3/4"	#4	#10	#40	#200	
Boulders	Cobbles	Gravel			Sand			Silt or Clay
		coarse	fine		coarse	medium	fine	

MOISTURE CONDITIONS	
Dry	Absence of moist, dusty, dry to the touch
Slightly Damp	Below optimum moisture content for compaction
Moist	Near optimum moisture content, will moisten the hand
Very Moist	Above optimum moisture content
Wet	Visible free water, below water table

MATERIAL QUANTITY	
trace	0-5%
few	5-10%
little	10-25%
some	25-45%
mostly	50-100%

OTHER SYMBOLS
R Ring Sample
S SPT Sample
B Bulk Sample
▼ Ground Water

BASIC LOG FORMAT:

Group name, Group symbol, (grain size), color, moisture, consistency or relative density. Additional comments: odor, presence of roots, mica, gypsum, coarse particles, etc.

EXAMPLE:

SILTY SAND w/trace silt (SM-SP), Brown, loose to med. Dense, fine to medium grained, damp

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

TEST DRILLING EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES

Description of Subsurface Exploration Methods

Drilling Equipment – Truck-mounted drill rigs powered with gasoline or diesel engines are used in advancing test borings. Drilling through soil or softer rock is performed with hollow-stem auger or continuous flight auger. Carbide insert teeth are normally used on bits to penetrate soft rock or very strongly cemented soils which require blasting or very heavy equipment for excavation. Where refusal is experienced in auger drilling, the holes are sometimes advanced with tricone gear bits and NX rods using water or air as a drilling fluid.

Sampling Procedures - Dynamically driven tube samples are usually obtained at selected intervals in the borings by the ASTM D1586 test procedure. In most cases, 2" outside diameter, 1 3/8" inside diameter, samplers are used to obtain the standard penetration resistance. "Undisturbed" samples of firmer soils are often obtained with 3" outside diameter samplers lined with 2.42" inside diameter brass rings. The driving energy is generally recorded as the number of blows of a 140-pound, 30-inch free fall drop hammer required to advance the samplers in 6-inch increments. These values are expressed in blows per foot on the boring logs. However, in stratified soils, driving resistance is sometimes recorded in 2- or 3-inch increments so that soil changes and the presence of scattered gravel or cemented layers can be readily detected and the realistic penetration values obtained for consideration in design. "Undisturbed" sampling of softer soils is sometimes performed with thin-walled Shelby tubes (ASTM D1587). Tube samples are labeled and placed in watertight containers to maintain field moisture contents for testing. When necessary for testing, larger bulk samples are taken from auger cuttings. Where samples of rock are required, they are obtained by NX diamond core drilling (ASTM D2113).

Boring Records - Drilling operations are directed by our field engineer or geologist who examines soil recovery and prepares boring logs. Soils are visually classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D2487), with appropriate group symbols being shown on the logs.

Appendix B

LAB NO.	BORING NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH (ft)	ASTM D698		MOISTURE CONT. (%)	DENSITY		ATTERBERG LIMITS			SWELL (%)	CONSOL TEST	% PASS #200 SIEVE	CLASSIFICATION
			Density	Moisture		WET (pcf)	DRY (pcf)	LL	PL	PI				
6753	B-1	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	29	13	16	-	-	48	Clayey **SAND** (SC)
6754	B-1	5.0	-	-	4.3	116.7	111.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	Clayey **SAND** (SC)
6781	B-1	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	NLL	NPL	NP	-	-	17	Silty **SAND** (SM)
6755	B-1	15.0	-	-	5.3	113.1	107.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	Silty **SAND** (SM)
6782	B-2	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	25	15	10	-	-	64	Sandy Lean **CLAY** (CL)
6756	B-2	10.0	-	-	4.1	115.4	110.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	Clayey **SAND** (SC)
6783	B-2	15.0	-	-	-	-	-	NLL	NPL	NP	-	-	30	Silty **SAND** (SM)
6757	B-2	20.0	-	-	3.4	113.3	109.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	Silty **SAND** (SM)
6758	B-3	5.0	-	-	3.2	118.6	114.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	Clayey **SAND** (SC)
6759	B-3	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	23	17	6	-	-	39	Silty, Clayey **SAND** (SC-SM)
6760	B-3	15.0	-	-	4.5	116.5	111.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	Silty, Clayey **SAND** (SC-SM)
6761	B-4	10.0	-	-	4.9	122.0	116.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	Clayey **SAND** (SC)
6784	B-4	15.0	-	-	-	-	-	27	11	16	-	-	60	Sandy Lean **CLAY** (CL)
6762	B-4	20.0	-	-	3.1	115.7	112.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	Clayey **SAND** (SC)
6785	B-4	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	33	12	21	-	-	61	Sandy Lean **CLAY** (CL)
6786	B-5	5.0	-	-	8.3	111.7	103.1	42	15	27	-	-	44	Clayey **SAND** (SC)
6787	B-5	15.0	-	-	9.3	134.2	122.8	44	12	32	-	-	63	Sandy Lean **CLAY** (CL)

GEOMAT INC.

SUMMARY OF SOIL TESTS

Project	South Escavada 361 Facility Pond
Job No.	182-3039
Location	Sandoval County, New Mexico
Date of Exploration	6/26 & 7/2/2018

LABORATORY TESTING PROCEDURES

Consolidation Tests: One-dimensional consolidation tests are performed using "Floating-ring" type consolidometers. The test samples are approximately 2.5 inches in diameter and 1.0 inch high and are usually obtained from test borings using the dynamically-driven ring samplers. Test procedures are generally as outlined in ASTM D2435. Loads are applied in several increments to the upper surface of the test specimen and the resulting deformations are recorded at selected time intervals for each increment. Samples are normally loaded in the in-situ moisture conditions to loads which approximate the stresses which will be experienced by the soils after the project is completed. Samples are usually then submerged to determine the effect of increased moisture contents on the soils. Each load increment is applied until compression/expansion of the sample is essentially complete (normally movements of less than 0.0003 inches/hour). Porous stones are placed on the top and bottom surfaces of the samples to facilitate introduction of the moisture.

Expansion Tests: Tests are performed on either undisturbed or recompacted samples to evaluate the expansive potential of the soils. The test samples are approximately 2.5 inches in diameter and 1.0 inch high. Recompacted samples are typically remolded to densities and moisture contents that will simulate field compaction conditions. Surcharge loads normally simulate those which will be experienced by the soils in the field. Surcharge loads are maintained until the expansion is essentially complete.

Atterberg Limits/Maximum Density/Optimum Moisture Tests: These tests are performed in accordance with the prescribed ASTM test procedures.





	Fail.	Ult.
C, psf	318	78
ϕ, deg	22.8	29.4
Tan(ϕ)	0.42	0.56



Specimen No.		1	2	3
Initial	Water Content, %	14.9	14.7	14.5
	Dry Density, pcf	108.1	108.4	108.3
	Saturation, %	72.0	71.7	70.5
	Void Ratio	0.5599	0.5546	0.5561
	Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	2.42
	Height, in.	1.00	1.00	1.00
At Test	Water Content, %	20.4	20.1	19.7
	Dry Density, pcf	108.6	109.3	110.1
	Saturation, %	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Void Ratio	0.5517	0.5428	0.5312
	Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	2.42
	Height, in.	0.99	0.99	0.98
Normal Stress, psf		500	1000	1500
Fail. Stress, psf		504	786	923
Strain, %		0.6	0.8	5.8
Ult. Stress, psf		354	654	917
Strain, %		14.9	14.9	14.9
Strain rate, %/min.		0.04	0.04	0.04

Sample Type: Reconstituted
Description:

Assumed Specific Gravity= 2.7
Remarks: Failure chosen at peak shear stress and 15% strain. Test was inundated.

Figure _____

Client: Geomat

Project: Kimbeto, S.Escavada & Rincon Ponds

Sample Number: 6823 **Depth:** 0-10'

Proj. No.: DV108-00304/04 **Date Sampled:** 8/2/18

Knight Piésold CONSULTING

Tested By: EAG **Checked By:** JDB

DIRECT SHEAR TEST

8/9/2018

Date: 8/2/18
Client: Geomat
Project: Kimbeto, S.Escavada & Rincon Ponds
Project No.: DV108-00304/04
Depth: 0-10' **Sample Number:** 6823
Description:
Remarks: Failure chosen at peak shear stress and 15% strain. Test was inundated.
Type of Sample: Reconstituted
Assumed Specific Gravity=2.7 **LL=** **PL=** **PI=**

Parameters for Specimen No. 1

Specimen Parameter	Initial	Consolidated	Final
Moisture content: Moist soil+tare, gms.	149.930		549.870
Moisture content: Dry soil+tare, gms.	130.460		523.220
Moisture content: Tare, gms.	0.000		392.760
Moisture, %	14.9	20.4	20.4
Moist specimen weight, gms.	149.9		
Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	
Area, in.^{2}	4.60	4.60	
Height, in.	1.00	0.99	
Net decrease in height, in.		0.01	
Wet density, pcf	124.2	130.8	
Dry density, pcf	108.1	108.6	
Void ratio	0.5599	0.5517	
Saturation, %	72.0	100.0	

Test Readings for Specimen No. 1

Load ring constant = 31.408 lbs. per input unit
Normal stress = 500 psf
Strain rate, %/min. = 0.04
Fail. Stress = 504 psf **at reading no.** 3
Ult. Stress = 354 psf **at reading no.** 72

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0	0	0.0000
1	0.0050	0.3056	9.6	0.2	301	0.0001
2	0.0100	0.4489	14.1	0.4	441	0.0002
3	0.0150	0.5126	16.1	0.6	504	0.0008
4	0.0200	0.4744	14.9	0.8	466	0.0017
5	0.0250	0.4171	13.1	1.0	410	0.0019
6	0.0300	0.4043	12.7	1.2	398	0.0019
7	0.0350	0.4011	12.6	1.4	394	0.0019
8	0.0400	0.4011	12.6	1.7	394	0.0019
9	0.0450	0.4011	12.6	1.9	394	0.0019
10	0.0500	0.3980	12.5	2.1	391	0.0018
11	0.0550	0.4011	12.6	2.3	394	0.0017
12	0.0600	0.3980	12.5	2.5	391	0.0016
13	0.0650	0.3980	12.5	2.7	391	0.0015

Test Readings for Specimen No. 1

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
14	0.0700	0.3980	12.5	2.9	391	0.0015
15	0.0750	0.4011	12.6	3.1	394	0.0015
16	0.0800	0.4043	12.7	3.3	398	0.0014
17	0.0850	0.4043	12.7	3.5	398	0.0015
18	0.0900	0.4043	12.7	3.7	398	0.0015
19	0.0950	0.4043	12.7	3.9	398	0.0016
20	0.1000	0.4043	12.7	4.1	398	0.0017
21	0.1050	0.4043	12.7	4.3	398	0.0018
22	0.1100	0.4043	12.7	4.5	398	0.0019
23	0.1150	0.4011	12.6	4.8	394	0.0019
24	0.1200	0.4011	12.6	5.0	394	0.0021
25	0.1250	0.3980	12.5	5.2	391	0.0022
26	0.1300	0.3916	12.3	5.4	385	0.0022
27	0.1350	0.3852	12.1	5.6	379	0.0022
28	0.1400	0.3852	12.1	5.8	379	0.0022
29	0.1450	0.3852	12.1	6.0	379	0.0021
30	0.1500	0.3852	12.1	6.2	379	0.0021
31	0.1550	0.3820	12.0	6.4	376	0.0022
32	0.1600	0.3820	12.0	6.6	376	0.0023
33	0.1650	0.3789	11.9	6.8	373	0.0023
34	0.1700	0.3789	11.9	7.0	373	0.0024
35	0.1750	0.3789	11.9	7.2	373	0.0025
36	0.1800	0.3789	11.9	7.4	373	0.0026
37	0.1850	0.3789	11.9	7.6	373	0.0027
38	0.1900	0.3789	11.9	7.9	373	0.0028
39	0.1950	0.3789	11.9	8.1	373	0.0029
40	0.2000	0.3789	11.9	8.3	373	0.0030
41	0.2050	0.3789	11.9	8.5	373	0.0031
42	0.2100	0.3789	11.9	8.7	373	0.0031
43	0.2150	0.3725	11.7	8.9	366	0.0032
44	0.2200	0.3725	11.7	9.1	366	0.0033
45	0.2250	0.3693	11.6	9.3	363	0.0033
46	0.2300	0.3661	11.5	9.5	360	0.0034
47	0.2350	0.3661	11.5	9.7	360	0.0035
48	0.2400	0.3661	11.5	9.9	360	0.0035
49	0.2450	0.3661	11.5	10.1	360	0.0035
50	0.2500	0.3693	11.6	10.3	363	0.0035
51	0.2550	0.3693	11.6	10.5	363	0.0036
52	0.2600	0.3661	11.5	10.7	360	0.0036
53	0.2650	0.3661	11.5	11.0	360	0.0037
54	0.2700	0.3661	11.5	11.2	360	0.0037
55	0.2750	0.3661	11.5	11.4	360	0.0037
56	0.2800	0.3629	11.4	11.6	357	0.0038
57	0.2850	0.3597	11.3	11.8	354	0.0038
58	0.2900	0.3597	11.3	12.0	354	0.0039
59	0.2950	0.3597	11.3	12.2	354	0.0039
60	0.3000	0.3597	11.3	12.4	354	0.0040

Test Readings for Specimen No. 1

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
61	0.3050	0.3597	11.3	12.6	354	0.0041
62	0.3100	0.3597	11.3	12.8	354	0.0041
63	0.3150	0.3597	11.3	13.0	354	0.0042
64	0.3200	0.3597	11.3	13.2	354	0.0043
65	0.3250	0.3597	11.3	13.4	354	0.0043
66	0.3300	0.3597	11.3	13.6	354	0.0045
67	0.3350	0.3597	11.3	13.8	354	0.0046
68	0.3400	0.3566	11.2	14.0	351	0.0046
69	0.3450	0.3534	11.1	14.3	347	0.0047
70	0.3500	0.3566	11.2	14.5	351	0.0047
71	0.3550	0.3597	11.3	14.7	354	0.0048
72	0.3600	0.3597	11.3	14.9	354	0.0048
73	0.3650	0.3597	11.3	15.1	354	0.0049
74	0.3700	0.3597	11.3	15.3	354	0.0050
75	0.3750	0.3597	11.3	15.5	354	0.0051
76	0.3800	0.3566	11.2	15.7	351	0.0053
77	0.3850	0.3534	11.1	15.9	347	0.0054
78	0.3900	0.3534	11.1	16.1	347	0.0055
79	0.3950	0.3534	11.1	16.3	347	0.0056
80	0.4000	0.3534	11.1	16.5	347	0.0057
81	0.4050	0.3534	11.1	16.7	347	0.0058
82	0.4100	0.3534	11.1	16.9	347	0.0059
83	0.4150	0.3534	11.1	17.1	347	0.0060
84	0.4200	0.3534	11.1	17.4	347	0.0061
85	0.4250	0.3534	11.1	17.6	347	0.0062
86	0.4300	0.3502	11.0	17.8	344	0.0063
87	0.4350	0.3470	10.9	18.0	341	0.0064
88	0.4400	0.3470	10.9	18.2	341	0.0065
89	0.4450	0.3470	10.9	18.4	341	0.0066
90	0.4500	0.3470	10.9	18.6	341	0.0067
91	0.4550	0.3470	10.9	18.8	341	0.0068
92	0.4600	0.3470	10.9	19.0	341	0.0068
93	0.4650	0.3470	10.9	19.2	341	0.0069
94	0.4700	0.3470	10.9	19.4	341	0.0069
95	0.4750	0.3438	10.8	19.6	338	0.0070
96	0.4800	0.3438	10.8	19.8	338	0.0070
97	0.4850	0.3438	10.8	20.0	338	0.0071
98	0.4900	0.3406	10.7	20.2	335	0.0071
99	0.4950	0.3438	10.8	20.5	338	0.0071

Parameters for Specimen No. 2

Specimen Parameter	Initial	Consolidated	Final
Moisture content: Moist soil+tare, gms.	150.200		550.160
Moisture content: Dry soil+tare, gms.	130.910		523.850
Moisture content: Tare, gms.	0.000		392.940
Moisture, %	14.7	20.1	20.1
Moist specimen weight, gms.	150.2		
Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	
Area, in.^{2}	4.60	4.60	
Height, in.	1.00	0.99	
Net decrease in height, in.		0.01	
Wet density, pcf	124.4	131.2	
Dry density, pcf	108.4	109.3	
Void ratio	0.5546	0.5428	
Saturation, %	71.7	100.0	

Test Readings for Specimen No. 2

Load ring constant = 31.408 lbs. per input unit

Normal stress = 1000 psf

Strain rate, %/min. = 0.04

Fail. Stress = 786 psf **at reading no.** 4

Ult. Stress = 654 psf **at reading no.** 72

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0	0	0.0000
1	0.0050	0.4616	14.5	0.2	454	0.0000
2	0.0100	0.6717	21.1	0.4	661	0.0003
3	0.0150	0.7736	24.3	0.6	761	0.0007
4	0.0200	0.7991	25.1	0.8	786	0.0014
5	0.0250	0.7800	24.5	1.0	767	0.0021
6	0.0300	0.7482	23.5	1.2	736	0.0026
7	0.0350	0.7227	22.7	1.4	711	0.0030
8	0.0400	0.7131	22.4	1.7	701	0.0031
9	0.0450	0.7099	22.3	1.9	698	0.0032
10	0.0500	0.7099	22.3	2.1	698	0.0032
11	0.0550	0.7099	22.3	2.3	698	0.0032
12	0.0600	0.7099	22.3	2.5	698	0.0033
13	0.0650	0.7099	22.3	2.7	698	0.0034
14	0.0700	0.7163	22.5	2.9	704	0.0035
15	0.0750	0.7163	22.5	3.1	704	0.0035
16	0.0800	0.7163	22.5	3.3	704	0.0037
17	0.0850	0.7195	22.6	3.5	707	0.0038
18	0.0900	0.7227	22.7	3.7	711	0.0040
19	0.0950	0.7227	22.7	3.9	711	0.0042
20	0.1000	0.7227	22.7	4.1	711	0.0044
21	0.1050	0.7195	22.6	4.3	707	0.0045
22	0.1100	0.7163	22.5	4.5	704	0.0048
23	0.1150	0.7163	22.5	4.8	704	0.0050
24	0.1200	0.7099	22.3	5.0	698	0.0052
25	0.1250	0.7036	22.1	5.2	692	0.0053
26	0.1300	0.6972	21.9	5.4	686	0.0054

Test Readings for Specimen No. 2

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
27	0.1350	0.6940	21.8	5.6	682	0.0055
28	0.1400	0.6908	21.7	5.8	679	0.0056
29	0.1450	0.6908	21.7	6.0	679	0.0056
30	0.1500	0.6908	21.7	6.2	679	0.0057
31	0.1550	0.6908	21.7	6.4	679	0.0057
32	0.1600	0.6908	21.7	6.6	679	0.0059
33	0.1650	0.6908	21.7	6.8	679	0.0060
34	0.1700	0.6908	21.7	7.0	679	0.0060
35	0.1750	0.6908	21.7	7.2	679	0.0060
36	0.1800	0.6908	21.7	7.4	679	0.0061
37	0.1850	0.6908	21.7	7.6	679	0.0062
38	0.1900	0.6908	21.7	7.9	679	0.0063
39	0.1950	0.6908	21.7	8.1	679	0.0064
40	0.2000	0.6908	21.7	8.3	679	0.0065
41	0.2050	0.6908	21.7	8.5	679	0.0066
42	0.2100	0.6908	21.7	8.7	679	0.0067
43	0.2150	0.6908	21.7	8.9	679	0.0068
44	0.2200	0.6908	21.7	9.1	679	0.0068
45	0.2250	0.6908	21.7	9.3	679	0.0069
46	0.2300	0.6877	21.6	9.5	676	0.0070
47	0.2350	0.6877	21.6	9.7	676	0.0072
48	0.2400	0.6845	21.5	9.9	673	0.0073
49	0.2450	0.6845	21.5	10.1	673	0.0074
50	0.2500	0.6845	21.5	10.3	673	0.0075
51	0.2550	0.6813	21.4	10.5	670	0.0076
52	0.2600	0.6845	21.5	10.7	673	0.0077
53	0.2650	0.6845	21.5	11.0	673	0.0078
54	0.2700	0.6781	21.3	11.2	667	0.0079
55	0.2750	0.6781	21.3	11.4	667	0.0080
56	0.2800	0.6781	21.3	11.6	667	0.0081
57	0.2850	0.6781	21.3	11.8	667	0.0082
58	0.2900	0.6749	21.2	12.0	664	0.0083
59	0.2950	0.6717	21.1	12.2	661	0.0085
60	0.3000	0.6717	21.1	12.4	661	0.0085
61	0.3050	0.6717	21.1	12.6	661	0.0086
62	0.3100	0.6717	21.1	12.8	661	0.0087
63	0.3150	0.6717	21.1	13.0	661	0.0088
64	0.3200	0.6717	21.1	13.2	661	0.0089
65	0.3250	0.6717	21.1	13.4	661	0.0090
66	0.3300	0.6717	21.1	13.6	661	0.0091
67	0.3350	0.6717	21.1	13.8	661	0.0092
68	0.3400	0.6717	21.1	14.0	661	0.0094
69	0.3450	0.6654	20.9	14.3	654	0.0095
70	0.3500	0.6654	20.9	14.5	654	0.0096
71	0.3550	0.6654	20.9	14.7	654	0.0096
72	0.3600	0.6654	20.9	14.9	654	0.0098
73	0.3650	0.6654	20.9	15.1	654	0.0099

Test Readings for Specimen No. 2

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
74	0.3700	0.6654	20.9	15.3	654	0.0100
75	0.3750	0.6590	20.7	15.5	648	0.0102
76	0.3800	0.6590	20.7	15.7	648	0.0103
77	0.3850	0.6590	20.7	15.9	648	0.0104
78	0.3900	0.6590	20.7	16.1	648	0.0105
79	0.3950	0.6558	20.6	16.3	645	0.0106
80	0.4000	0.6558	20.6	16.5	645	0.0108
81	0.4050	0.6558	20.6	16.7	645	0.0108
82	0.4100	0.6526	20.5	16.9	642	0.0109
83	0.4150	0.6526	20.5	17.1	642	0.0110
84	0.4200	0.6495	20.4	17.4	639	0.0110
85	0.4250	0.6463	20.3	17.6	635	0.0111
86	0.4300	0.6463	20.3	17.8	635	0.0111
87	0.4350	0.6463	20.3	18.0	635	0.0112
88	0.4400	0.6399	20.1	18.2	629	0.0112
89	0.4450	0.6335	19.9	18.4	623	0.0113
90	0.4500	0.6335	19.9	18.6	623	0.0113
91	0.4550	0.6335	19.9	18.8	623	0.0113
92	0.4600	0.6335	19.9	19.0	623	0.0113
93	0.4650	0.6335	19.9	19.2	623	0.0112
94	0.4700	0.6335	19.9	19.4	623	0.0111
95	0.4750	0.6335	19.9	19.6	623	0.0110
96	0.4800	0.6335	19.9	19.8	623	0.0108
97	0.4850	0.6335	19.9	20.0	623	0.0104
98	0.4900	0.6335	19.9	20.2	623	0.0098
99	0.4950	0.6335	19.9	20.5	623	0.0090

Parameters for Specimen No. 3

Specimen Parameter	Initial	Consolidated	Final
Moisture content: Moist soil+tare, gms.	149.770		559.450
Moisture content: Dry soil+tare, gms.	130.780		533.710
Moisture content: Tare, gms.	0.000		402.930
Moisture, %	14.5	19.7	19.7
Moist specimen weight, gms.	149.8		
Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	
Area, in.²	4.60	4.60	
Height, in.	1.00	0.98	
Net decrease in height, in.		0.02	
Wet density, pcf	124.0	131.7	
Dry density, pcf	108.3	110.1	
Void ratio	0.5561	0.5312	
Saturation, %	70.5	100.0	

Test Readings for Specimen No. 3

Load ring constant = 31.408 lbs. per input unit
Normal stress = 1500 psf
Strain rate, %/min. = 0.04
Fail. Stress = 923 psf **at reading no.** 28
Ult. Stress = 917 psf **at reading no.** 72

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0	0	0.0001
1	0.0050	0.5094	16.0	0.2	501	-0.0002
2	0.0100	0.6972	21.9	0.4	686	-0.0004
3	0.0150	0.7768	24.4	0.6	764	-0.0006
4	0.0200	0.8086	25.4	0.8	795	-0.0009
5	0.0250	0.8246	25.9	1.0	811	-0.0013
6	0.0300	0.8309	26.1	1.2	817	-0.0017
7	0.0350	0.8437	26.5	1.4	830	-0.0021
8	0.0400	0.8564	26.9	1.7	842	-0.0025
9	0.0450	0.8596	27.0	1.9	845	-0.0029
10	0.0500	0.8819	27.7	2.1	867	-0.0032
11	0.0550	0.8850	27.8	2.3	870	-0.0035
12	0.0600	0.8882	27.9	2.5	873	-0.0038
13	0.0650	0.8946	28.1	2.7	880	-0.0040
14	0.0700	0.9010	28.3	2.9	886	-0.0042
15	0.0750	0.9073	28.5	3.1	892	-0.0043
16	0.0800	0.9105	28.6	3.3	895	-0.0045
17	0.0850	0.9137	28.7	3.5	898	-0.0046
18	0.0900	0.9137	28.7	3.7	898	-0.0047
19	0.0950	0.9201	28.9	3.9	905	-0.0047
20	0.1000	0.9232	29.0	4.1	908	-0.0048
21	0.1050	0.9264	29.1	4.3	911	-0.0049
22	0.1100	0.9264	29.1	4.5	911	-0.0050
23	0.1150	0.9296	29.2	4.8	914	-0.0050
24	0.1200	0.9328	29.3	5.0	917	-0.0050
25	0.1250	0.9328	29.3	5.2	917	-0.0051
26	0.1300	0.9328	29.3	5.4	917	-0.0051

Test Readings for Specimen No. 3

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
27	0.1350	0.9328	29.3	5.6	917	-0.0051
28	0.1400	0.9392	29.5	5.8	923	-0.0051
29	0.1450	0.9392	29.5	6.0	923	-0.0050
30	0.1500	0.9392	29.5	6.2	923	-0.0049
31	0.1550	0.9392	29.5	6.4	923	-0.0049
32	0.1600	0.9392	29.5	6.6	923	-0.0048
33	0.1650	0.9328	29.3	6.8	917	-0.0048
34	0.1700	0.9328	29.3	7.0	917	-0.0047
35	0.1750	0.9328	29.3	7.2	917	-0.0047
36	0.1800	0.9328	29.3	7.4	917	-0.0046
37	0.1850	0.9328	29.3	7.6	917	-0.0046
38	0.1900	0.9296	29.2	7.9	914	-0.0046
39	0.1950	0.9296	29.2	8.1	914	-0.0046
40	0.2000	0.9296	29.2	8.3	914	-0.0046
41	0.2050	0.9328	29.3	8.5	917	-0.0045
42	0.2100	0.9296	29.2	8.7	914	-0.0045
43	0.2150	0.9296	29.2	8.9	914	-0.0045
44	0.2200	0.9296	29.2	9.1	914	-0.0045
45	0.2250	0.9264	29.1	9.3	911	-0.0045
46	0.2300	0.9264	29.1	9.5	911	-0.0045
47	0.2350	0.9232	29.0	9.7	908	-0.0045
48	0.2400	0.9232	29.0	9.9	908	-0.0046
49	0.2450	0.9232	29.0	10.1	908	-0.0046
50	0.2500	0.9201	28.9	10.3	905	-0.0046
51	0.2550	0.9201	28.9	10.5	905	-0.0046
52	0.2600	0.9201	28.9	10.7	905	-0.0046
53	0.2650	0.9232	29.0	11.0	908	-0.0047
54	0.2700	0.9232	29.0	11.2	908	-0.0047
55	0.2750	0.9232	29.0	11.4	908	-0.0047
56	0.2800	0.9264	29.1	11.6	911	-0.0047
57	0.2850	0.9264	29.1	11.8	911	-0.0047
58	0.2900	0.9264	29.1	12.0	911	-0.0046
59	0.2950	0.9264	29.1	12.2	911	-0.0047
60	0.3000	0.9264	29.1	12.4	911	-0.0047
61	0.3050	0.9264	29.1	12.6	911	-0.0047
62	0.3100	0.9264	29.1	12.8	911	-0.0047
63	0.3150	0.9296	29.2	13.0	914	-0.0046
64	0.3200	0.9328	29.3	13.2	917	-0.0046
65	0.3250	0.9328	29.3	13.4	917	-0.0046
66	0.3300	0.9360	29.4	13.6	920	-0.0046
67	0.3350	0.9360	29.4	13.8	920	-0.0045
68	0.3400	0.9360	29.4	14.0	920	-0.0045
69	0.3450	0.9360	29.4	14.3	920	-0.0044
70	0.3500	0.9328	29.3	14.5	917	-0.0044
71	0.3550	0.9328	29.3	14.7	917	-0.0044
72	0.3600	0.9328	29.3	14.9	917	-0.0043
73	0.3650	0.9328	29.3	15.1	917	-0.0043

Test Readings for Specimen No. 3

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
74	0.3700	0.9328	29.3	15.3	917	-0.0042
75	0.3750	0.9328	29.3	15.5	917	-0.0043
76	0.3800	0.9328	29.3	15.7	917	-0.0042
77	0.3850	0.9360	29.4	15.9	920	-0.0041
78	0.3900	0.9328	29.3	16.1	917	-0.0041
79	0.3950	0.9328	29.3	16.3	917	-0.0041
80	0.4000	0.9328	29.3	16.5	917	-0.0040
81	0.4050	0.9328	29.3	16.7	917	-0.0040
82	0.4100	0.9328	29.3	16.9	917	-0.0039
83	0.4150	0.9296	29.2	17.1	914	-0.0039
84	0.4200	0.9264	29.1	17.4	911	-0.0038
85	0.4250	0.9264	29.1	17.6	911	-0.0038
86	0.4300	0.9201	28.9	17.8	905	-0.0037
87	0.4350	0.9201	28.9	18.0	905	-0.0037
88	0.4400	0.9201	28.9	18.2	905	-0.0036
89	0.4450	0.9232	29.0	18.4	908	-0.0036
90	0.4500	0.9201	28.9	18.6	905	-0.0036
91	0.4550	0.9201	28.9	18.8	905	-0.0036
92	0.4600	0.9137	28.7	19.0	898	-0.0035
93	0.4650	0.9137	28.7	19.2	898	-0.0035
94	0.4700	0.9073	28.5	19.4	892	-0.0035
95	0.4750	0.9073	28.5	19.6	892	-0.0035
96	0.4800	0.9137	28.7	19.8	898	-0.0036
97	0.4850	0.9137	28.7	20.0	898	-0.0036
98	0.4900	0.9137	28.7	20.2	898	-0.0036
99	0.4950	0.9137	28.7	20.5	898	-0.0037





	Fail.	Ult.
C, psf	69	66
ϕ, deg	30.2	29.4
Tan(ϕ)	0.58	0.56



Specimen No.		1	2	3
Initial	Water Content, %	12.7	12.4	13.0
	Dry Density, pcf	109.1	108.7	108.7
	Saturation, %	63.1	60.6	63.9
	Void Ratio	0.5447	0.5506	0.5504
	Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	2.42
	Height, in.	1.00	1.00	1.00
At Test	Water Content, %	20.0	19.6	19.7
	Dry Density, pcf	109.5	110.2	110.0
	Saturation, %	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Void Ratio	0.5396	0.5301	0.5318
	Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	2.42
	Height, in.	1.00	0.99	0.99
Normal Stress, psf		500	1000	1500
Fail. Stress, psf		366	639	949
Strain, %		10.1	10.1	10.1
Ult. Stress, psf		341	642	905
Strain, %		20.5	20.5	20.5
Strain rate, %/min.		0.04	0.04	0.04

Sample Type: Remolded
Description:

Assumed Specific Gravity= 2.7
Remarks: Failure chosen at 10% and 20% strain. Test was inundated.

Figure _____

Client: Geomat

Project: Kimbeto, S.Escavada & Rincon Ponds

Sample Number: 6834 **Depth:** 10-20'

Proj. No.: DV108-00304/04 **Date Sampled:** 8/6/18

Knight Piésold CONSULTING

Tested By: EAG **Checked By:** JDB

DIRECT SHEAR TEST

8/18/2018

Date: 8/6/18
Client: Geomat
Project: Kimbeto, S.Escavada & Rincon Ponds
Project No.: DV108-00304/04
Depth: 10-20' **Sample Number:** 6834
Description:
Remarks: Failure chosen at 10% and 20% strain. Test was inundated.
Type of Sample: Remolded
Assumed Specific Gravity=2.7 **LL=** **PL=** **PI=**

Parameters for Specimen No. 1

Specimen Parameter	Initial	Consolidated	Final
Moisture content: Moist soil+tare, gms.	148.510		550.800
Moisture content: Dry soil+tare, gms.	131.750		524.480
Moisture content: Tare, gms.	0.000		392.730
Moisture, %	12.7	20.0	20.0
Moist specimen weight, gms.	148.5		
Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	
Area, in.^{2}	4.60	4.60	
Height, in.	1.00	1.00	
Net decrease in height, in.		0.00	
Wet density, pcf	123.0	131.4	
Dry density, pcf	109.1	109.5	
Void ratio	0.5447	0.5396	
Saturation, %	63.1	100.0	

Test Readings for Specimen No. 1

Load ring constant = 31.408 lbs. per input unit
Normal stress = 500 psf
Strain rate, %/min. = 0.04
Fail. Stress = 366 psf **at reading no.** 49
Ult. Stress = 341 psf **at reading no.** 99

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0	0	0.0000
1	0.0050	0.3470	10.9	0.2	341	-0.0002
2	0.0100	0.5253	16.5	0.4	517	0.0002
3	0.0150	0.5826	18.3	0.6	573	0.0012
4	0.0200	0.5571	17.5	0.8	548	0.0025
5	0.0250	0.4839	15.2	1.0	476	0.0036
6	0.0300	0.4457	14.0	1.2	438	0.0041
7	0.0350	0.4234	13.3	1.4	416	0.0045
8	0.0400	0.4139	13.0	1.7	407	0.0048
9	0.0450	0.4107	12.9	1.9	404	0.0051
10	0.0500	0.4043	12.7	2.1	398	0.0054
11	0.0550	0.4043	12.7	2.3	398	0.0056
12	0.0600	0.3980	12.5	2.5	391	0.0058
13	0.0650	0.4011	12.6	2.7	394	0.0060

Test Readings for Specimen No. 1

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
14	0.0700	0.3980	12.5	2.9	391	0.0061
15	0.0750	0.3948	12.4	3.1	388	0.0062
16	0.0800	0.3916	12.3	3.3	385	0.0063
17	0.0850	0.3884	12.2	3.5	382	0.0063
18	0.0900	0.3852	12.1	3.7	379	0.0064
19	0.0950	0.3852	12.1	3.9	379	0.0065
20	0.1000	0.3820	12.0	4.1	376	0.0066
21	0.1050	0.3789	11.9	4.3	373	0.0067
22	0.1100	0.3789	11.9	4.5	373	0.0067
23	0.1150	0.3789	11.9	4.8	373	0.0068
24	0.1200	0.3789	11.9	5.0	373	0.0069
25	0.1250	0.3789	11.9	5.2	373	0.0070
26	0.1300	0.3789	11.9	5.4	373	0.0071
27	0.1350	0.3789	11.9	5.6	373	0.0071
28	0.1400	0.3789	11.9	5.8	373	0.0072
29	0.1450	0.3852	12.1	6.0	379	0.0073
30	0.1500	0.3852	12.1	6.2	379	0.0073
31	0.1550	0.3852	12.1	6.4	379	0.0074
32	0.1600	0.3852	12.1	6.6	379	0.0074
33	0.1650	0.3820	12.0	6.8	376	0.0075
34	0.1700	0.3789	11.9	7.0	373	0.0076
35	0.1750	0.3789	11.9	7.2	373	0.0077
36	0.1800	0.3789	11.9	7.4	373	0.0079
37	0.1850	0.3789	11.9	7.6	373	0.0080
38	0.1900	0.3789	11.9	7.9	373	0.0082
39	0.1950	0.3789	11.9	8.1	373	0.0083
40	0.2000	0.3789	11.9	8.3	373	0.0084
41	0.2050	0.3789	11.9	8.5	373	0.0086
42	0.2100	0.3820	12.0	8.7	376	0.0087
43	0.2150	0.3820	12.0	8.9	376	0.0088
44	0.2200	0.3820	12.0	9.1	376	0.0089
45	0.2250	0.3789	11.9	9.3	373	0.0091
46	0.2300	0.3789	11.9	9.5	373	0.0092
47	0.2350	0.3789	11.9	9.7	373	0.0093
48	0.2400	0.3725	11.7	9.9	366	0.0093
49	0.2450	0.3725	11.7	10.1	366	0.0095
50	0.2500	0.3725	11.7	10.3	366	0.0095
51	0.2550	0.3725	11.7	10.5	366	0.0095
52	0.2600	0.3725	11.7	10.7	366	0.0096
53	0.2650	0.3725	11.7	11.0	366	0.0096
54	0.2700	0.3725	11.7	11.2	366	0.0096
55	0.2750	0.3725	11.7	11.4	366	0.0097
56	0.2800	0.3725	11.7	11.6	366	0.0097
57	0.2850	0.3725	11.7	11.8	366	0.0097
58	0.2900	0.3693	11.6	12.0	363	0.0097
59	0.2950	0.3725	11.7	12.2	366	0.0098
60	0.3000	0.3693	11.6	12.4	363	0.0098

Test Readings for Specimen No. 1

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
61	0.3050	0.3661	11.5	12.6	360	0.0099
62	0.3100	0.3661	11.5	12.8	360	0.0100
63	0.3150	0.3661	11.5	13.0	360	0.0101
64	0.3200	0.3661	11.5	13.2	360	0.0101
65	0.3250	0.3661	11.5	13.4	360	0.0102
66	0.3300	0.3661	11.5	13.6	360	0.0103
67	0.3350	0.3661	11.5	13.8	360	0.0104
68	0.3400	0.3661	11.5	14.0	360	0.0106
69	0.3450	0.3661	11.5	14.3	360	0.0107
70	0.3500	0.3629	11.4	14.5	357	0.0107
71	0.3550	0.3597	11.3	14.7	354	0.0107
72	0.3600	0.3629	11.4	14.9	357	0.0108
73	0.3650	0.3597	11.3	15.1	354	0.0109
74	0.3700	0.3597	11.3	15.3	354	0.0110
75	0.3750	0.3597	11.3	15.5	354	0.0111
76	0.3800	0.3597	11.3	15.7	354	0.0112
77	0.3850	0.3597	11.3	15.9	354	0.0114
78	0.3900	0.3597	11.3	16.1	354	0.0116
79	0.3950	0.3597	11.3	16.3	354	0.0117
80	0.4000	0.3597	11.3	16.5	354	0.0118
81	0.4050	0.3566	11.2	16.7	351	0.0119
82	0.4100	0.3534	11.1	16.9	347	0.0120
83	0.4150	0.3534	11.1	17.1	347	0.0121
84	0.4200	0.3534	11.1	17.4	347	0.0122
85	0.4250	0.3534	11.1	17.6	347	0.0123
86	0.4300	0.3534	11.1	17.8	347	0.0124
87	0.4350	0.3534	11.1	18.0	347	0.0125
88	0.4400	0.3534	11.1	18.2	347	0.0126
89	0.4450	0.3534	11.1	18.4	347	0.0127
90	0.4500	0.3534	11.1	18.6	347	0.0128
91	0.4550	0.3534	11.1	18.8	347	0.0129
92	0.4600	0.3534	11.1	19.0	347	0.0129
93	0.4650	0.3534	11.1	19.2	347	0.0130
94	0.4700	0.3534	11.1	19.4	347	0.0130
95	0.4750	0.3502	11.0	19.6	344	0.0131
96	0.4800	0.3470	10.9	19.8	341	0.0132
97	0.4850	0.3470	10.9	20.0	341	0.0132
98	0.4900	0.3470	10.9	20.2	341	0.0133
99	0.4950	0.3470	10.9	20.5	341	0.0133

Parameters for Specimen No. 2

Specimen Parameter	Initial	Consolidated	Final
Moisture content: Moist soil+tare, gms.	147.470		550.450
Moisture content: Dry soil+tare, gms.	131.250		524.670
Moisture content: Tare, gms.	0.000		393.420
Moisture, %	12.4	19.6	19.6
Moist specimen weight, gms.	147.5		
Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	
Area, in.^{2}	4.60	4.60	
Height, in.	1.00	0.99	
Net decrease in height, in.		0.01	
Wet density, pcf	122.1	131.8	
Dry density, pcf	108.7	110.2	
Void ratio	0.5506	0.5301	
Saturation, %	60.6	100.0	

Test Readings for Specimen No. 2

Load ring constant = 31.408 lbs. per input unit

Normal stress = 1000 psf

Strain rate, %/min. = 0.04

Fail. Stress = 639 psf **at reading no.** 49

Ult. Stress = 642 psf **at reading no.** 99

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0	0	0.0000
1	0.0050	0.2706	8.5	0.2	266	-0.0005
2	0.0100	0.4043	12.7	0.4	398	-0.0010
3	0.0150	0.4807	15.1	0.6	473	-0.0014
4	0.0200	0.5380	16.9	0.8	529	-0.0017
5	0.0250	0.5762	18.1	1.0	567	-0.0019
6	0.0300	0.6208	19.5	1.2	610	-0.0020
7	0.0350	0.6399	20.1	1.4	629	-0.0020
8	0.0400	0.6431	20.2	1.7	632	-0.0020
9	0.0450	0.6335	19.9	1.9	623	-0.0019
10	0.0500	0.6144	19.3	2.1	604	-0.0020
11	0.0550	0.6081	19.1	2.3	598	-0.0022
12	0.0600	0.6081	19.1	2.5	598	-0.0025
13	0.0650	0.6081	19.1	2.7	598	-0.0027
14	0.0700	0.6081	19.1	2.9	598	-0.0029
15	0.0750	0.6081	19.1	3.1	598	-0.0031
16	0.0800	0.6081	19.1	3.3	598	-0.0033
17	0.0850	0.6081	19.1	3.5	598	-0.0034
18	0.0900	0.6113	19.2	3.7	601	-0.0036
19	0.0950	0.6144	19.3	3.9	604	-0.0038
20	0.1000	0.6144	19.3	4.1	604	-0.0039
21	0.1050	0.6144	19.3	4.3	604	-0.0040
22	0.1100	0.6208	19.5	4.5	610	-0.0041
23	0.1150	0.6208	19.5	4.8	610	-0.0042
24	0.1200	0.6272	19.7	5.0	617	-0.0043
25	0.1250	0.6272	19.7	5.2	617	-0.0044
26	0.1300	0.6272	19.7	5.4	617	-0.0045

Test Readings for Specimen No. 2

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
27	0.1350	0.6272	19.7	5.6	617	-0.0045
28	0.1400	0.6272	19.7	5.8	617	-0.0046
29	0.1450	0.6272	19.7	6.0	617	-0.0047
30	0.1500	0.6272	19.7	6.2	617	-0.0048
31	0.1550	0.6304	19.8	6.4	620	-0.0049
32	0.1600	0.6335	19.9	6.6	623	-0.0049
33	0.1650	0.6335	19.9	6.8	623	-0.0050
34	0.1700	0.6399	20.1	7.0	629	-0.0051
35	0.1750	0.6399	20.1	7.2	629	-0.0051
36	0.1800	0.6399	20.1	7.4	629	-0.0051
37	0.1850	0.6399	20.1	7.6	629	-0.0052
38	0.1900	0.6399	20.1	7.9	629	-0.0052
39	0.1950	0.6399	20.1	8.1	629	-0.0052
40	0.2000	0.6399	20.1	8.3	629	-0.0052
41	0.2050	0.6399	20.1	8.5	629	-0.0052
42	0.2100	0.6431	20.2	8.7	632	-0.0052
43	0.2150	0.6463	20.3	8.9	635	-0.0053
44	0.2200	0.6463	20.3	9.1	635	-0.0053
45	0.2250	0.6463	20.3	9.3	635	-0.0053
46	0.2300	0.6463	20.3	9.5	635	-0.0053
47	0.2350	0.6463	20.3	9.7	635	-0.0053
48	0.2400	0.6463	20.3	9.9	635	-0.0053
49	0.2450	0.6495	20.4	10.1	639	-0.0053
50	0.2500	0.6495	20.4	10.3	639	-0.0053
51	0.2550	0.6495	20.4	10.5	639	-0.0053
52	0.2600	0.6495	20.4	10.7	639	-0.0052
53	0.2650	0.6526	20.5	11.0	642	-0.0052
54	0.2700	0.6463	20.3	11.2	635	-0.0051
55	0.2750	0.6463	20.3	11.4	635	-0.0051
56	0.2800	0.6463	20.3	11.6	635	-0.0051
57	0.2850	0.6463	20.3	11.8	635	-0.0050
58	0.2900	0.6463	20.3	12.0	635	-0.0050
59	0.2950	0.6463	20.3	12.2	635	-0.0050
60	0.3000	0.6463	20.3	12.4	635	-0.0049
61	0.3050	0.6495	20.4	12.6	639	-0.0049
62	0.3100	0.6526	20.5	12.8	642	-0.0049
63	0.3150	0.6526	20.5	13.0	642	-0.0049
64	0.3200	0.6526	20.5	13.2	642	-0.0048
65	0.3250	0.6463	20.3	13.4	635	-0.0048
66	0.3300	0.6463	20.3	13.6	635	-0.0047
67	0.3350	0.6463	20.3	13.8	635	-0.0047
68	0.3400	0.6463	20.3	14.0	635	-0.0046
69	0.3450	0.6463	20.3	14.3	635	-0.0046
70	0.3500	0.6463	20.3	14.5	635	-0.0045
71	0.3550	0.6463	20.3	14.7	635	-0.0045
72	0.3600	0.6526	20.5	14.9	642	-0.0045
73	0.3650	0.6495	20.4	15.1	639	-0.0044

Test Readings for Specimen No. 2

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
74	0.3700	0.6495	20.4	15.3	639	-0.0044
75	0.3750	0.6463	20.3	15.5	635	-0.0044
76	0.3800	0.6495	20.4	15.7	639	-0.0044
77	0.3850	0.6495	20.4	15.9	639	-0.0044
78	0.3900	0.6526	20.5	16.1	642	-0.0044
79	0.3950	0.6526	20.5	16.3	642	-0.0044
80	0.4000	0.6526	20.5	16.5	642	-0.0043
81	0.4050	0.6526	20.5	16.7	642	-0.0043
82	0.4100	0.6526	20.5	16.9	642	-0.0042
83	0.4150	0.6590	20.7	17.1	648	-0.0042
84	0.4200	0.6590	20.7	17.4	648	-0.0042
85	0.4250	0.6590	20.7	17.6	648	-0.0041
86	0.4300	0.6590	20.7	17.8	648	-0.0041
87	0.4350	0.6590	20.7	18.0	648	-0.0041
88	0.4400	0.6590	20.7	18.2	648	-0.0041
89	0.4450	0.6558	20.6	18.4	645	-0.0040
90	0.4500	0.6526	20.5	18.6	642	-0.0041
91	0.4550	0.6590	20.7	18.8	648	-0.0041
92	0.4600	0.6590	20.7	19.0	648	-0.0041
93	0.4650	0.6590	20.7	19.2	648	-0.0041
94	0.4700	0.6590	20.7	19.4	648	-0.0042
95	0.4750	0.6590	20.7	19.6	648	-0.0042
96	0.4800	0.6590	20.7	19.8	648	-0.0043
97	0.4850	0.6590	20.7	20.0	648	-0.0043
98	0.4900	0.6558	20.6	20.2	645	-0.0044
99	0.4950	0.6526	20.5	20.5	642	-0.0044

Parameters for Specimen No. 3

Specimen Parameter	Initial	Consolidated	Final
Moisture content: Moist soil+tare, gms.	148.360		550.870
Moisture content: Dry soil+tare, gms.	131.260		524.880
Moisture content: Tare, gms.	0.000		392.950
Moisture, %	13.0	19.7	19.7
Moist specimen weight, gms.	148.4		
Diameter, in.	2.42	2.42	
Area, in.^{2}	4.60	4.60	
Height, in.	1.00	0.99	
Net decrease in height, in.		0.01	
Wet density, pcf	122.9	131.7	
Dry density, pcf	108.7	110.0	
Void ratio	0.5504	0.5318	
Saturation, %	63.9	100.0	

Test Readings for Specimen No. 3

Load ring constant = 31.408 lbs. per input unit

Normal stress = 1500 psf

Strain rate, %/min. = 0.04

Fail. Stress = 949 psf **at reading no.** 49

Ult. Stress = 905 psf **at reading no.** 99

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0	0.0	0	0.0000
1	0.0050	0.6845	21.5	0.2	673	0.0001
2	0.0100	0.9137	28.7	0.4	898	0.0001
3	0.0150	0.9710	30.5	0.6	955	0.0004
4	0.0200	0.9774	30.7	0.8	961	0.0007
5	0.0250	0.9710	30.5	1.0	955	0.0009
6	0.0300	0.9646	30.3	1.2	949	0.0011
7	0.0350	0.9615	30.2	1.4	945	0.0012
8	0.0400	0.9583	30.1	1.7	942	0.0012
9	0.0450	0.9583	30.1	1.9	942	0.0012
10	0.0500	0.9519	29.9	2.1	936	0.0012
11	0.0550	0.9519	29.9	2.3	936	0.0012
12	0.0600	0.9487	29.8	2.5	933	0.0012
13	0.0650	0.9455	29.7	2.7	930	0.0011
14	0.0700	0.9455	29.7	2.9	930	0.0011
15	0.0750	0.9424	29.6	3.1	927	0.0011
16	0.0800	0.9455	29.7	3.3	930	0.0012
17	0.0850	0.9424	29.6	3.5	927	0.0012
18	0.0900	0.9455	29.7	3.7	930	0.0012
19	0.0950	0.9487	29.8	3.9	933	0.0012
20	0.1000	0.9519	29.9	4.1	936	0.0013
21	0.1050	0.9519	29.9	4.3	936	0.0013
22	0.1100	0.9583	30.1	4.5	942	0.0013
23	0.1150	0.9646	30.3	4.8	949	0.0013
24	0.1200	0.9646	30.3	5.0	949	0.0013
25	0.1250	0.9646	30.3	5.2	949	0.0013
26	0.1300	0.9646	30.3	5.4	949	0.0013

Test Readings for Specimen No. 3

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
27	0.1350	0.9710	30.5	5.6	955	0.0014
28	0.1400	0.9710	30.5	5.8	955	0.0014
29	0.1450	0.9710	30.5	6.0	955	0.0014
30	0.1500	0.9710	30.5	6.2	955	0.0014
31	0.1550	0.9742	30.6	6.4	958	0.0015
32	0.1600	0.9774	30.7	6.6	961	0.0017
33	0.1650	0.9774	30.7	6.8	961	0.0018
34	0.1700	0.9774	30.7	7.0	961	0.0019
35	0.1750	0.9774	30.7	7.2	961	0.0019
36	0.1800	0.9774	30.7	7.4	961	0.0020
37	0.1850	0.9806	30.8	7.6	964	0.0021
38	0.1900	0.9806	30.8	7.9	964	0.0022
39	0.1950	0.9774	30.7	8.1	961	0.0023
40	0.2000	0.9742	30.6	8.3	958	0.0023
41	0.2050	0.9710	30.5	8.5	955	0.0024
42	0.2100	0.9710	30.5	8.7	955	0.0024
43	0.2150	0.9710	30.5	8.9	955	0.0025
44	0.2200	0.9678	30.4	9.1	952	0.0026
45	0.2250	0.9646	30.3	9.3	949	0.0027
46	0.2300	0.9646	30.3	9.5	949	0.0027
47	0.2350	0.9646	30.3	9.7	949	0.0028
48	0.2400	0.9646	30.3	9.9	949	0.0028
49	0.2450	0.9646	30.3	10.1	949	0.0029
50	0.2500	0.9583	30.1	10.3	942	0.0029
51	0.2550	0.9583	30.1	10.5	942	0.0030
52	0.2600	0.9551	30.0	10.7	939	0.0030
53	0.2650	0.9551	30.0	11.0	939	0.0030
54	0.2700	0.9519	29.9	11.2	936	0.0031
55	0.2750	0.9519	29.9	11.4	936	0.0031
56	0.2800	0.9487	29.8	11.6	933	0.0031
57	0.2850	0.9519	29.9	11.8	936	0.0031
58	0.2900	0.9519	29.9	12.0	936	0.0032
59	0.2950	0.9519	29.9	12.2	936	0.0033
60	0.3000	0.9519	29.9	12.4	936	0.0034
61	0.3050	0.9455	29.7	12.6	930	0.0034
62	0.3100	0.9455	29.7	12.8	930	0.0035
63	0.3150	0.9455	29.7	13.0	930	0.0035
64	0.3200	0.9455	29.7	13.2	930	0.0035
65	0.3250	0.9392	29.5	13.4	923	0.0036
66	0.3300	0.9392	29.5	13.6	923	0.0037
67	0.3350	0.9392	29.5	13.8	923	0.0038
68	0.3400	0.9392	29.5	14.0	923	0.0039
69	0.3450	0.9360	29.4	14.3	920	0.0040
70	0.3500	0.9328	29.3	14.5	917	0.0041
71	0.3550	0.9328	29.3	14.7	917	0.0042
72	0.3600	0.9328	29.3	14.9	917	0.0043
73	0.3650	0.9328	29.3	15.1	917	0.0044

Test Readings for Specimen No. 3

No.	Horizontal Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load lbs.	Strain %	Shear Stress psf	Vertical Def. Dial in.
74	0.3700	0.9328	29.3	15.3	917	0.0045
75	0.3750	0.9328	29.3	15.5	917	0.0045
76	0.3800	0.9328	29.3	15.7	917	0.0046
77	0.3850	0.9328	29.3	15.9	917	0.0047
78	0.3900	0.9328	29.3	16.1	917	0.0047
79	0.3950	0.9328	29.3	16.3	917	0.0047
80	0.4000	0.9296	29.2	16.5	914	0.0047
81	0.4050	0.9264	29.1	16.7	911	0.0048
82	0.4100	0.9264	29.1	16.9	911	0.0048
83	0.4150	0.9264	29.1	17.1	911	0.0048
84	0.4200	0.9264	29.1	17.4	911	0.0049
85	0.4250	0.9264	29.1	17.6	911	0.0049
86	0.4300	0.9264	29.1	17.8	911	0.0049
87	0.4350	0.9264	29.1	18.0	911	0.0049
88	0.4400	0.9264	29.1	18.2	911	0.0049
89	0.4450	0.9264	29.1	18.4	911	0.0049
90	0.4500	0.9264	29.1	18.6	911	0.0048
91	0.4550	0.9264	29.1	18.8	911	0.0048
92	0.4600	0.9264	29.1	19.0	911	0.0048
93	0.4650	0.9264	29.1	19.2	911	0.0048
94	0.4700	0.9264	29.1	19.4	911	0.0047
95	0.4750	0.9264	29.1	19.6	911	0.0047
96	0.4800	0.9232	29.0	19.8	908	0.0046
97	0.4850	0.9264	29.1	20.0	911	0.0046
98	0.4900	0.9201	28.9	20.2	905	0.0045
99	0.4950	0.9201	28.9	20.5	905	0.0044



PROPOSED SITE EARTHWORK:

APPROXIMATE CUT:	22,047 CU. YD.
APPROXIMATE FILL:	21,228 CU. YD.
APPROXIMATE NET CUT:	819 CU. YD.
TOPSOIL REMOVAL (0.50' DEPTH)	6,417 CU. YD.

Rev #: | Date: | By: | Description: | Chk'd:

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES
Engineering • Environmental • Surveying
Serving the Southwest & Rocky Mountains
8000 West Fourteenth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80214
Phone (303) 239-9011 Toll-Free (877) 239-0942 Fax (303) 239-0745
www.soudermiller.com

ENDURING RESOURCES — SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM

ENDURING RESOURCES ESCAVADA UNIT #361H
WATER RECYCLE FACILITY
GRADING & DRAINAGE PLAN

30% REVIEW

PRELIMINARY
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
8/2018

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED.

Designed	Drawn	Checked
HDM	TLS	HDM

Date: August 2018
Scale: Horiz: 1" = 30' Vert: N/A
Project No: 5127383
Sheet: C102



GALENA Version 6.10

Licensed to: GEOMAT Inc.

Material Keys

Compacted Berm

Silty Sand (insitu)

Analysis 1

Multiple Stability Analysis

Method: Bishop Simplified

Surface: Circular

Results

Critical Factor of Safety: 1.81

Project 182-3039 S Escavada Pond - August 2018
Stability As Designed

File: P:\Eng\Project 2018\182-3039 S Escavada 361 Facility Pond\Engineering\Slope Stability\S Escavad Pond 2.5to1 INSIDE B.gmf

Edited: 5 Sep 2018
Processed: 5 Sep 2018

GEOMAT Inc.



GALENA Version 6.10

Licensed to: GEOMAT Inc.

Material Keys

Compacted Berm

Silty Sand (insitu)

Analysis 1

Multiple Stability Analysis

Method: Bishop Simplified

Surface: Circular

Results

Critical Factor of Safety: 2.22

Project 182-3039 S Escavada Pond - August 2018
Stability As Designed

File: P:\Eng\Project 2018\182-3039 S Escavada 361 Facility Pond\Engineering\Slope Stability\S Escavad Pond 2.5to1 OUTSIDE B.gmf

Edited: 5 Sep 2018
Processed: 5 Sep 2018

GEOMAT Inc.



GALENA Version 6.10

Licensed to: GEOMAT Inc.

Material Keys

Compacted Berm

Silty Sand (insitu)

Analysis 1

Multiple Stability Analysis

Method: Bishop Simplified

Surface: Circular

Results

Critical Factor of Safety: 1.46

Project 182-3039 S Escavada Pond - August 2018
Stability As Designed

File: P:\Eng\Pro...\S Escavad Pond 2.5to1 INSIDE B - Seismic.gmf

Edited: 5 Sep 2018
Processed: 5 Sep 2018

GEOMAT INC.



GALENA Version 6.10

Licensed to: GEOMAT Inc.

Material Keys

Compacted Berm

Silty Sand (insitu)

Analysis 1

Multiple Stability Analysis

Method: Bishop Simplified

Surface: Circular

Results

Critical Factor of Safety: 1.88

Project 182-3039 S Escavada Pond - August 2018
Stability As Designed

File: P:\Eng\Pro...\S Escavad Pond 2.5to1 OUTSIDE B - Seismic.gmf

Edited: 5 Sep 2018
Processed: 5 Sep 2018

GEOMAT INC

Appendix C

Important Information about This

Geotechnical-Engineering Report

Subsurface problems are a principal cause of construction delays, cost overruns, claims, and disputes.

While you cannot eliminate all such risks, you can manage them. The following information is provided to help.

The Geoprofessional Business Association (GBA) has prepared this advisory to help you – assumedly a client representative – interpret and apply this geotechnical-engineering report as effectively as possible. In that way, clients can benefit from a lowered exposure to the subsurface problems that, for decades, have been a principal cause of construction delays, cost overruns, claims, and disputes. If you have questions or want more information about any of the issues discussed below, contact your GBA-member geotechnical engineer. Active involvement in the Geoprofessional Business Association exposes geotechnical engineers to a wide array of risk-confrontation techniques that can be of genuine benefit for everyone involved with a construction project.

Geotechnical-Engineering Services Are Performed for Specific Purposes, Persons, and Projects

Geotechnical engineers structure their services to meet the specific needs of their clients. A geotechnical-engineering study conducted for a given civil engineer will not likely meet the needs of a civil-works constructor or even a different civil engineer. Because each geotechnical-engineering study is unique, each geotechnical-engineering report is unique, prepared *solely* for the client. *Those who rely on a geotechnical-engineering report prepared for a different client can be seriously misled.* No one except authorized client representatives should rely on this geotechnical-engineering report without first conferring with the geotechnical engineer who prepared it. *And no one – not even you – should apply this report for any purpose or project except the one originally contemplated.*

Read this Report in Full

Costly problems have occurred because those relying on a geotechnical-engineering report did not read it *in its entirety*. Do not rely on an executive summary. Do not read selected elements only. *Read this report in full.*

You Need to Inform Your Geotechnical Engineer about Change

Your geotechnical engineer considered unique, project-specific factors when designing the study behind this report and developing the confirmation-dependent recommendations the report conveys. A few typical factors include:

- the client's goals, objectives, budget, schedule, and risk-management preferences;
- the general nature of the structure involved, its size, configuration, and performance criteria;
- the structure's location and orientation on the site; and
- other planned or existing site improvements, such as retaining walls, access roads, parking lots, and underground utilities.

Typical changes that could erode the reliability of this report include those that affect:

- the site's size or shape;
- the function of the proposed structure, as when it's changed from a parking garage to an office building, or from a light-industrial plant to a refrigerated warehouse;
- the elevation, configuration, location, orientation, or weight of the proposed structure;
- the composition of the design team; or
- project ownership.

As a general rule, *always* inform your geotechnical engineer of project changes – even minor ones – and request an assessment of their impact. *The geotechnical engineer who prepared this report cannot accept responsibility or liability for problems that arise because the geotechnical engineer was not informed about developments the engineer otherwise would have considered.*

This Report May Not Be Reliable

Do not rely on this report if your geotechnical engineer prepared it:

- for a different client;
- for a different project;
- for a different site (that may or may not include all or a portion of the original site); or
- before important events occurred at the site or adjacent to it; e.g., man-made events like construction or environmental remediation, or natural events like floods, droughts, earthquakes, or groundwater fluctuations.

Note, too, that it could be unwise to rely on a geotechnical-engineering report whose reliability may have been affected by the passage of time, because of factors like changed subsurface conditions; new or modified codes, standards, or regulations; or new techniques or tools. *If your geotechnical engineer has not indicated an "apply-by" date on the report, ask what it should be,* and, in general, *if you are the least bit uncertain* about the continued reliability of this report, contact your geotechnical engineer before applying it. A minor amount of additional testing or analysis – if any is required at all – could prevent major problems.

Most of the "Findings" Related in This Report Are Professional Opinions

Before construction begins, geotechnical engineers explore a site's subsurface through various sampling and testing procedures. *Geotechnical engineers can observe actual subsurface conditions only at those specific locations where sampling and testing were performed.* The data derived from that sampling and testing were reviewed by your geotechnical engineer, who then applied professional judgment to form opinions about subsurface conditions throughout the site. Actual sitewide-subsurface conditions may differ – maybe significantly – from those indicated in this report. Confront that risk by retaining your geotechnical engineer to serve on the design team from project start to project finish, so the individual can provide informed guidance quickly, whenever needed.

This Report's Recommendations Are Confirmation-Dependent

The recommendations included in this report – including any options or alternatives – are confirmation-dependent. In other words, *they are not final*, because the geotechnical engineer who developed them relied heavily on judgment and opinion to do so. Your geotechnical engineer can finalize the recommendations *only after observing actual subsurface conditions* revealed during construction. If through observation your geotechnical engineer confirms that the conditions assumed to exist actually do exist, the recommendations can be relied upon, assuming no other changes have occurred. *The geotechnical engineer who prepared this report cannot assume responsibility or liability for confirmation-dependent recommendations if you fail to retain that engineer to perform construction observation.*

This Report Could Be Misinterpreted

Other design professionals' misinterpretation of geotechnical-engineering reports has resulted in costly problems. Confront that risk by having your geotechnical engineer serve as a full-time member of the design team, to:

- confer with other design-team members,
- help develop specifications,
- review pertinent elements of other design professionals' plans and specifications, and
- be on hand quickly whenever geotechnical-engineering guidance is needed.

You should also confront the risk of constructors misinterpreting this report. Do so by retaining your geotechnical engineer to participate in prebid and preconstruction conferences and to perform construction observation.

Give Constructors a Complete Report and Guidance

Some owners and design professionals mistakenly believe they can shift unanticipated-subsurface-conditions liability to constructors by limiting the information they provide for bid preparation. To help prevent the costly, contentious problems this practice has caused, include the complete geotechnical-engineering report, along with any attachments or appendices, with your contract documents, *but be certain to note conspicuously that you've included the material for informational purposes only.* To avoid misunderstanding, you may also want to note that "informational purposes" means constructors have no right to rely on the interpretations, opinions, conclusions, or recommendations in the report, but they may rely on the factual data relative to the specific times, locations, and depths/elevations referenced. Be certain that constructors know they may learn about specific project requirements, including options selected from the report, *only* from the design drawings and specifications. Remind constructors that they may perform their own studies if they want to, and *be sure to allow enough time* to permit them to do so. Only then might you be in a position to give constructors the information available to you, while requiring them to at least share some of the financial responsibilities stemming from unanticipated conditions. Conducting prebid and preconstruction conferences can also be valuable in this respect.

Read Responsibility Provisions Closely

Some client representatives, design professionals, and constructors do not realize that geotechnical engineering is far less exact than other engineering disciplines. That lack of understanding has nurtured unrealistic expectations that have resulted in disappointments, delays, cost overruns, claims, and disputes. To confront that risk, geotechnical engineers commonly include explanatory provisions in their reports. Sometimes labeled "limitations," many of these provisions indicate where geotechnical engineers' responsibilities begin and end, to help others recognize their own responsibilities and risks. *Read these provisions closely.* Ask questions. Your geotechnical engineer should respond fully and frankly.

Geoenvironmental Concerns Are Not Covered

The personnel, equipment, and techniques used to perform an environmental study – e.g., a "phase-one" or "phase-two" environmental site assessment – differ significantly from those used to perform a geotechnical-engineering study. For that reason, a geotechnical-engineering report does not usually relate any environmental findings, conclusions, or recommendations; e.g., about the likelihood of encountering underground storage tanks or regulated contaminants. *Unanticipated subsurface environmental problems have led to project failures.* If you have not yet obtained your own environmental information, ask your geotechnical consultant for risk-management guidance. As a general rule, *do not rely on an environmental report prepared for a different client, site, or project, or that is more than six months old.*

Obtain Professional Assistance to Deal with Moisture Infiltration and Mold

While your geotechnical engineer may have addressed groundwater, water infiltration, or similar issues in this report, none of the engineer's services were designed, conducted, or intended to prevent uncontrolled migration of moisture – including water vapor – from the soil through building slabs and walls and into the building interior, where it can cause mold growth and material-performance deficiencies. Accordingly, ***proper implementation of the geotechnical engineer's recommendations will not of itself be sufficient to prevent moisture infiltration.*** Confront the risk of moisture infiltration by including building-envelope or mold specialists on the design team. ***Geotechnical engineers are not building-envelope or mold specialists.***

GEOPROFESSIONAL BUSINESS ASSOCIATION

Telephone: 301/565-2733
e-mail: info@geoprofessional.org www.geoprofessional.org