

## **Stogner, Michael**

---

**From:** Stogner, Michael  
**Sent:** Friday, January 31, 2003 2:46 PM  
**To:** Mary Corley (E-mail)  
**Subject:** Vandewart "B" #3-M

Ms. Corley,

I am reviewing your NSL application (reference No. pKRV0-303133525) for BP's proposed Vandewart "B" Well No. 3-M. The notification requirements for unorthodox locations under Division Rule 1207.A (2) (a) (iii) states:

"In the event the operator of the proposed unorthodox well is also the operator of an existing adjoining spacing unit and ownership is not common between the adjoining spacing unit and the spacing unit containing the proposed unorthodox well, then "affected persons" include all working interest owners in that spacing unit".

Please help me out here, it appears that BP is also the operator in both the Dakota and Mesaverde gas spacing and proration in the E/2 of Section 11-T29N-R8W. You stated in your application that all offset operators were furnished a copy of the application and that SG Interest I, Ltd. of Durango, CO is the only other party listed as being "cc-ed" other than our Aztec office. Please clarify for me if SG Interest is the only other working interest in the E/2 of Section 11 (if so please indicate the percentage difference between the E/2 and W/2 of Section 11), is BP 100% WI in both the W/2 and E/2 of Section 11, is all of Section 11 one Federal lease with common (identical) mineral ownership (if so what is the lease No.), or is the WI common (identical) between both the E/2 and W/2 of Section 11.

Should you have any questions please call me at (505) 476-3465. Thanks.

## Stogner, Michael

---

**From:** Corley, Mary L [corleym1@bp.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 04, 2003 9:17 AM  
**To:** 'Stogner, Michael'  
**Subject:** RE: Vandewart "B" #3-M

Michael,

For clarification I offer the following:

Working Interest Owners in both the Dakota & Mesaverde Formation  
in the E/2 section 11: BP 50% & Conoco Phillips 50%  
in the W/2 section 11: BP 50% & Conoco Phillips 50%  
Entire section is Federal lands - Federal ID SF-078502

SG operates one well in the W/2 of section 11 - the Vandewart #12  
which is completed in the Fruitland Coal Formation.

Please advise if additional data is required.

Mary Corley  
Senior Regulatory Analyst  
San Juan Performance Unit  
281-366-4491

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Stogner, Michael [mailto:MSTOGNER@state.nm.us]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 31, 2003 3:46 PM  
**To:** Corley, Mary L  
**Subject:** Vandewart "B" #3-M

Ms. Corley,

I am reviewing your NSL application (reference No. pKRV0-303133525) for BP's proposed Vandewart "B" Well No. 3-M. The notification requirements for unorthodox locations under Division Rule 1207.A (2) (a) (iii) states:

"In the event the operator of the proposed unorthodox well is also the operator of an existing adjoining spacing unit and ownership is not common between the adjoining spacing unit and the spacing unit containing the proposed unorthodox well, then "affected persons" include all working interest owners in that spacing unit".

Please help me out here, it appears that BP is also the operator in both the Dakota and Mesaverde gas spacing and proration in the E/2 of Section 11-T29N-R8W. You stated in your application that all offset operators were furnished a copy of the application and that SG Interest I, Ltd. of Durango, CO is the only other party listed as being "cc-ed" other than our Aztec office. Please clarify for me if SG Interest is the only other working interest in the E/2 of Section 11 (if so please indicate the percentage difference between the E/2 and W/2 of Section 11), is BP 100% WI in both the W/2 and E/2 of Section 11, is all of Section 11 one Federal lease with common (identical) mineral ownership (if so what is the lease No.), or is the WI common (identical) between both the E/2 and W/2 of Section 11.

Should you have any questions please call me at (505) 476-3465. Thanks.