

Jones, William V., EMNRD

From: Kautz, Paul, EMNRD
Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2009 7:19 AM
To: Jones, William V., EMNRD
Cc: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Mull, Donna, EMNRD; Brooks, David K., EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD
Subject: RE: Pool for Atoka Production: N/2 Sec19 T19S R33E

Will

Do not go by the pool maps online. They have not been updated since early 2006. We are to use the pool maps in RBDMS. However, these maps have not been working since 2007. And since the GIS used in RBDMS is obsolete, it probably will not get fixed.

For this DHC you will need to use Tonto;Atoka (Gas) and Gem;Morrow (Gas)

Paul

From: Jones, William V., EMNRD
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 3:43 PM
To: Kautz, Paul, EMNRD
Cc: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Mull, Donna, EMNRD; Brooks, David K., EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD
Subject: Pool for Atoka Production: N/2 Sec19 T19S R33E

Hello Paul:

I am working on a Mewbourne DHC application in Lea County:

Mewbourne has completed an Atoka gas interval from 12788 to 12802 in the 30-025-39160 and applied to DHC the Atoka with the (also new) Morrow gas.

Looks like the Morrow would be Undesignated Gem Morrow Gas (37389) Pool?

But the Atoka is the question?

There is a Buffalo Pennsylvanian Gas Pool that is within 1 mile to the north, and the first well in this Pool had the perforation top at 13,270 feet (R-1559) which is likely Morrow – but the pool name does not limit this to the Morrow.

What pool should this Atoka completion be in?

Thanks for this,

Will