

P. O. Drawer M
Artesia, New Mexico
June 6, 1963

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attn: Mr. A. L. Porter

Gentlemen:

I hereby request permission to commingle the oil from the following two small wells:

State B-1 Unit M Section 36-T17S-R27E, Empire Pool, Eddy County
Bedingfield-State 3 Unit M Section 36-T17S-R27E, Red Lake Pool

Production for the first 3 months of 1963 from these wells was as follows:

	Jan	Feb	Mar	
State B-1	1	12	14	(Yates-Seven Rivers)
Bedingfield-State 3	55	46	24)Grayburg-S.A.)


I desire to produce both of these wells into one 100 barrel tank with a flow line from each well. If I am not allowed to commingle or if provisions for commingling are too expensive it will be necessary to abandon the State B-1.

These wells can be tested by producing only one well at a time. They are on the same lease - same forty acres. I am the only interest owner in the State B-1, and the other small interest owners in the Bedingfield-State 3 are more than willing for this arrangement to be made.

Yours very truly,

J. E. Bedingfield
J. E. Bedingfield

JEB:jd



The No. 6-M well is a new well which makes approximately 20 barrels per day, or expect to get 20 barrels. It is now in the process of completing and still recovering load oil.

SW SW 36-T17S-R27E

J. E. BEDINGFIELD
Oil Operator and Producer
ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO

June 13, 1963

Oil Conservation Commission
Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Daniel S. Nutter, Chief Engineer

Dear Mr. Nutter:

In answer to your letter of June 10th, 1963, regarding my letter of June 6, 1963 in regard to commingling the oil from my State B 1 and Bedingfield State No. 3 both located in SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 36. T. 17-S. R. 27-E. Eddy County, New Mexico.

I gather from your letter that I would have to get consent of royalty owners, which I believe would be the State of New Mexico. As I have no other royalty owners. I do have associates that own an interest in the Bed. State No. 3 well. However, I have consulted them regarding the commingling, etc, and as I own all other interest, working interest, in B 1, there could be no objection from my interest owners to commingling of two wells.

My number State B 1 only makes a few barrels a month, therefore I could hardly afford to buy a tank, etc to produce this one well. Therefore, I would rather commingle the 2 wells and give my interest owners in No. 3 equal percentage in B 1 production.

Hoping that this will meet with your approval, and I assure you there is no objection to this deal from my interest associates, and as the state is the only Royalty owner, I hope this will answer satisfactorily your letter of June 10, 1963 in regarding to commingling of No. B 1 and No. 3 State wells.

On my Hastie off-lease storage, I am at present waiting for approval from the U.S. G.S. Office and will write you regarding it at my earliest convenience.

If there is any other information, that I can furnish, or help in any way, I will be glad to do so.

Yours respectfully,


J. E. Bedingfield

JEB/abs

ILLEGIBLE

June 19, 1963

Mr. J. E. Redingfield
Drawer M
Artesia, New Mexico

Re: Request permission to commingle production from
two wells, Empire Pool and Red Lake Pool, SW SW
Sec. 36, Twp. 17N, Rgn. 27E, Eddy County.

Dear Sir:

Since the above request involves state land, Mr. Butter of
the Oil Conservation Commission asked us to check the acra-
ge and approve. We approve this since ownership is Common
and we feel that by commingling, you will gain a little.

We shall request that you follow the rules set by the
Commission and also send us a periodic report.

Very truly yours,

E. S. JOHNNY WALKER
COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS

By:

ROSELLO MARTINEZ
Oil and Gas Department

EM/EM/pr

cc: Oil Conservation Commission

Oil and Gas Accounting Commission

C
O
P
Y

2
0
P
V

1

1950
1951
1952

1953
1954
1955

1956
1957
1958
1959

1960
1961
1962

1963

1964
1965

1966

1967
1968

1969

1970

1971