

-----  
**From:** Stogner, Michael  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 07, 2001 2:55 PM  
**To:** Hayden, Steven  
**Subject:** RE: Burlington's Seymour #5-B

My goof. Thanks, Steve, I thought this might have happened. Sorry about the delay.

I agree, in the future all replies should be by e-mail so that this won't again. I will continue to e-mail and provide copies of applications to both, you two can straighten any confusion out after that. Also, beware that I will act on any one reply from Aztec.

Thanks again and I apologize.

-----  
**From:** Hayden, Steven  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 07, 2001 2:46 PM  
**To:** Stogner, Michael  
**Subject:** RE: Burlington's Seymour #5-B

Mike, I replied to you by phone on this soon after I received it on October 31. The topographic relief is severe and I have no objection. The application is still here in the pile of engineering bureau stuff that has piled up during the confusion. These days I reply by email so that there is a record for you to look back on. I hope there are not many more like this. As soon as I can, I will make up a list of everything here and send it to you. Also, the ones that are topographic only should go to Charlie as he has objected to me dealing with them.

-----  
**From:** Stogner, Michael  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 07, 2001 2:20 PM  
**To:** Hayden, Steven  
**Subject:** FW: Burlington's Seymour #5-B

I've had an internal inquiry why a Burlington application that was received on Oct. 27, 2000 has not yet been issued.

I show that on 11-14-2000, after the e-mail below went out, that I mailed you a copy of this application. I don't show that haven't heard back from Aztec. I need to either deny it for a reason or get it on its way. I know your busy and all, so I'm sorry to be nagging you on this. I may have forgotten our telephone conversation about this if that be the case. Please help. Sorry. Thanks.

-----  
**From:** Stogner, Michael  
**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2000 11:11 AM  
**To:** Hayden, Steven  
**Subject:** Burlington's Seymour #5-B

Can I assume Burlington's NSL request for its proposed Seymour Well No. 5-B to be drilled 1515' FNL & 2145' FWL (6/F) of 23-T31N-R9W is OK with Aztec?