## WILLARD C. FRANKS

Briggsdale, Colo. Oct. 12, 1966

Cil Conservation Commission P. D. Box 288 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Att: Mr. Kapteina

Dear Mr. Kapteina:

Re: Lakoe No. 2 well NUSW S 19 T 27 V R 22 E Colfax County, M. M.

 $\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi}$ 

I am leaving this morning for New Mexico to check on the LaRoe No. 2 well and report to you.

766 Oct 14 AH 7 59

In our moving sometime ago we lost records of the two LARDE wells and one here in Colorado but on going through my old checks I find one paid to the Graham Ready Mix Company of Raton, N. M., in payment for cement run in the LaRoe No. 2.

I had the two wells confused in my mind as it was the Mo. 1 that had the 188 sacks of cement set in the bottom and not the No. 2. I have tried to find the bill or sales slip for the No. 2 cement but it strikes me that I sent it to you with my report. I regret that I made this mistake.

I shall check the No. 2 and determine the present depth with the cement that has gone down to the bottom and will call you that your representative may come up to Maxwell, N. M., and I shall do the work. The  $6\frac{1}{4}$ " inch hole with the cement in it will be considerably less than 1800' in depth.

Yours very truly,

Kielard Tranks

## WILLARD C. FRANKS

Griggsdale, Colo. Oct. 6, 1966

Ph. 656**-**25**16** 

Cil Conservation Commission Santa Fe. New Mexico

Att: Mr. Kapteina

Jear Jir:

Re: LaRoe No. 2 well
NuSw - S 7 T 27 N R 22£A!R OFFICE A County

Regarding the additional work that may be required to GG Oct 10  $\Delta$ M  $\otimes$  17 plug the LaRoe No. 2 well, I would appreciate your suggestion that I may have some idea of the kind of equipment that I shall have to engage to do this work.

I plan to go to New Mexico the early part of next—possibly Tuesday——which I think will be possible by taking an extra dosage of penicilan. I'll get down there all right.

Am englosing an air mail stamp for a reply to my address here for your convenience.

The well will be plugged under your supervision after which we shall proceed to sue the Layne-Western Company for deteliction of duty in failing to perform as they were directed to do.

Yours very truly,

Helard Tracks

Willard C. Granks
GEOLOGY - OIL OPERATOR

BOX 144 BRIGGSDALE, COLO.

Sept. 22, 1966

Mr. J. E. Kapteina, Engineer Dil Conservation Commission P. D. Box 2088 Santa Fe. New Mexico

Dear Mr. Kapteina:

Your letter of Sept. 20, 1966 regarding the LaRoe No. 2 well, Sec. 19, Twp 27 N R 22 E Colfax County, N. M., was the greatest shock and surprise that I have had.

As stated in my report to you the cementing company brought out readi-mix cement for the 100 sack bottom hole plug and the 25 sacks to be set at 100, also 12 sacks of dry cement for the surface plug and the marker pipe.

A wooden plug had been prepared to place at the bottom of the second plug to hold the cement from going down into the well below and when I returned from using the hoone the cement had been run so I was certain the wooden plug had precened the setting of the 25 sack plug.

The drilling Company wanted to move the rig off the hole after dismissing the cement truck prior to their running of the surface plug by hand which they were to do. I was called home after their assurance that the 10 sack plug would be run after removal of the heavy blowout preventor which might not be for a couple of days.

It appears that there was no arresting plug run below the 25 sack plug nor was there below the 10 sack surface plug or the present situation would not exist.

I shall do whatever is necessary to take care of this negligence on part of the Layne-Western Drilling Company of Denver, and satisy you at the very earliest date. Their word doesn't seem tobe good in many respects.

I should like to know what you may require over and above the 100' foot plug and the surface plug. The loss of fluid below must be due to the exceeding dry formation that has absorbed the water from the drilling mud as the mud strod up into the surface pipe at the time the 25 sacks were run at the top.

The light gas loss there from the shale that always bears a small amount of gas in that country and was not noticeable as it was in the LaRoe No. 1 which would build up 4 pounds of pressure now and then at night but soon faded on opening of the valve.

I would assume that a wire line would enable me to determine the present fluid level in the well and whatever I may have to do there will be done in keeping with your instructions.

I would appreciate doing it with as little expense as I can as I am desperately short of money now but the job must be done and I shall do it.

Yours very truly,

Thereard to Tracks

## OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 2088

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

September 20, 1966

Mr. Willard C. Franks P. O. Box 144 Briggsdale, Colorado

Dear Sir:

A field inspection was made on September 19, 1966, of LaRoe Well No. 2 located in Unit L of Section 19, Township 27 North, Range 22 East, NMPM, Colfax County, New Mexico, to determine whether or not the well had been plugged in accordance with the report filed by you and dated April 19, 1966. From surface evidence it has been determined that the well has not been plugged as reported. On Form C-103 filed March 28, 1964, on La Roe Well No. 1, you reported a showing of gas at 1309; while standing close to the 8 5/8-inch casing protruding from LaRoe Well No. 2, gas or air can be heard bubbling through water at some depth inside the casing. A pebble dropped in the hole took several seconds to drop to the water level. From this evidence it is concluded that the plug at surface, the 10 sacks set in surface casing, and the 25 sacks set at 100 feet are non-existent. Another field inspection of the well is scheduled within the next 30 days and it is expected that the above situation will be corrected. In view of the fact that you have not performed the work as reported, this office desires to witness the proper plugging of LaRoe Well No. 2, and would appreciate being informed at least 24 hours prior to the time plugging operations are started.

Your cooperation in resolving this problem is respectfully solicited.

Very truly yours,

J. E. KAPTEINA Engineer

JEX/og

well Time

## OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION F IN EDX 2088 SANTA DE NEW MEXICO

Sant ebbook 26, 1965

Mr. Fillerd C. Franks P. C. bor 144 Erigesdale, Colocal

Dear Sim:

tidado traporeciones sede en Cerca tot 19, 1600, of bakoe Well No. 2 locates to late but Section 1., Tamable 27 North, Renge 22 East, KETH, Golfer Country, No. Maximus, to decorpte whether or not the well had been lugged in accordance when the maport filed by you and detail April 19, 1969. From surface avainnes it has been detopmined that the well was seen been chapped as convert. Or some C-103 filed Mercan Sty Set, or := Ren Pell To. : you reported a showing of yes at tane, while averthe times of the inch taking protecting from Laker 11110. Free or sin or be beend bubbling through lates at some darth inside the entiry. A pentile dropped in the hole took several seconds to drop to the water level. From this evidence it is complyded that the plug of such on, the CO sacks set in suntant craing, and the 21 cons set 100 for our mon-existent. Another field inspection of the ull is subdivided within the next 30 days and it is expected that the sleve diseat on vill be connected. In view of the fact that you have not perfored the sork as norseted, this office desimas to witness that repar the grant of Inkoe 'ell No. 2, and would appreciate boing informed at least to inches prior to the time pluaging operations are started.

Your consertion or used virt tids problem is a specially solicited.

**₹8**12000 € 12500 1987

po Mill

Willard C. Granks

GEOLOGY - OIL OPERATOR

BOX 144

BRIGGSDALE, COLO.

April 20, 1966

MAIN OFFICE OCC APR 21 PM 1 10

New Mexico Dil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Att: Mr. Kapetina

Dear Mr. Kapteina:

In mailing my plugging report yesteray covering the:

LaRoe No. 2 well NWSW Sec. 19 Twp. 27 " R 22 E Colfax County,

Thean Of manha

I failed to enclose the customer's statement from the Graham Ready Mix Company, Raton, New Mexico as I had promised to do. So am sending it herewith.

Yours very truly,

Enc. 1