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(8:17 a.m.)
EXAMINER McMILLAN: Good morning. I'd like to call this hearing to order. Today's date is April the 4th, 2019. This is docket 12-19. My name is Michael McMillan. I will be the hearing examiner. And David Brooks will be here shortly.

EXAMINER JONES: Also, here is our new director, Adrienne Sandoval.

EXAMINER McMILLAN: What I'd like to do now is go through the dismissals and continuances.

Okay. On page 1, Case Number 20322 shall
be dismissed. Case Number 20323 shall be dismissed. Case 20325 shall be continued. Case Number 20326 shall be continued. Case Number 20330 shall be continued. Case Number 20333 shall be dismissed. Case Number 20334 shall be dismissed. Case Number 20336 shall be continued. Case Number 20337 shall be continued.

Turning to page 2, Case Number 20338 shall
be continued. Case Number 20339 shall be continued. Case Number 20340 shall be continued. Case Number 20341 shall be continued. Case Number 20342 shall be continued. Case Number 20343 shall be continued. Case Number 20344 shall be continued. Case Number 20345 shall be continued. Case Number 20346 shall be continued. Case Number 20347 shall be continued.

Turning to page 3, Number 52 on your docket, Case Number 20227 shall be continued. Case Number 20369 shall be continued. Case Number 203 -excuse me -- 203 -- 20232 shall be continued. Case Number 20371 shall be continued. Case Number 20373 shall be dismissed. Case Number 20374 shall be continued. Case Number 20375 shall be continued.

Turning to page 4, Case Number 20385 shall be continued. Case Number 20386 shall be continued. Case Number 20387 shall be continued. Case Number 20388 shall be continued. Case Number 20389 shall be continued. Case Number 20390 shall be continued. Case Number 20391 shall be continued. Case Number 20392 shall be continued. Case Number 20393 shall be continued. Case Number 20394 shall be continued.

Turning to page 5, Case Number 20399 shall be continued. Case Number 20400 shall be continued. Case Number 20401 shall be continued. Case Number 20402 shall be continued. Case Number 20403 shall be continued. Case Number 20404 shall be continued. Case Number 20312 shall be dismissed.

What did I miss?
EXAMINER WARNELL: On page 1, did you mention 20328 and 329? I missed those.

EXAMINER McMILLAN: No. We've not
discussed the scheduling conferences yet.
EXAMINER WARNELL: Okay.
EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any others?
Okay. What $I$ want to do now is talk about the scheduling conferences. The first one I want to talk about -- actually, I take that -- where's Jim?

EXAMINER WARNELL: Right here (indicating).
(Laughter.)
MR. BRUCE: Did I turn invisible?
EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yes.
Okay. I would like to discuss the scheduling conferences for Cases 20328 and 20329, Mewbourne Charolais wells and the other party involved.

EXAMINER JONES: Catena is Anchor.
And is Sharon here?
MS. SHAHEEN: I'm back here.
EXAMINER JONES: Sharon, what's the name of
the wells?
MS. SHAHEEN: The Anchor wells and the Cable wells.

EXAMINER BROOKS: They quit drilling wells with cable tools a long time ago.

EXAMINER JONES: We had a motion to --
Sharon, do you want to talk about your motion?
(Recess, 8:22 a.m. to 9:20 a.m.)
(See separate record for emergency motion to suspend drilling in Case Numbers 20298, 20328 and 20329.)
(9:21 a.m.)
EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Next issue we're going to talk about is the Mesquite SWD.

EXAMINER WARNELL: It's my understanding that the cases associated with Mesquite SWD are Cases 20313 and 20314. And this is just a scheduling conference here, so call for appearances.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning. Deana Bennett on behalf of Mesquite SWD.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Warnell, Jim Bruce representing Kaiser-Francis Oil Company.

And we're not here to disrupt the hearing or anything. We're just -- they're an interested oil and gas lessee in the area, and they've come to terms with Mesquite.

EXAMINER WARNELL: So you're not here to object?

MR. BRUCE: We're not here to object.
EXAMINER JONES: We have another
appearance.
MR. BROOKS: David Brooks for OCD.

EXAMINER WARNELL: Ms. Bennett, could you give me a best-guess estimate as to how much time you're going to need for this hearing, your direct and cross?

MS. BENNETT: Well, I'd say a day. That's
my best guess. We've had some uncontested SWD hearings that take the better part of the morning. And $I$ will be having four witnesses, and $I$ understand that OCD will also have a witness, and so I imagine that a day would likely be sufficient.

EXAMINER WARNELL: So right now we're looking at the May 2nd docket, I believe, which the second day of that, of course, would be May $3 r d$.

MS. BENNETT: Well, what I would like to propose, Mr. Warnell, if it's acceptable to the Division, is the second day of the April 18th-19th docket, so it would be April 19th. Mesquite has agreed twice to continue this case at the Division's request, and I have conferred with my witnesses and they're all available on the 19th. So it would be our strong preference to have the hearing that day. And I think that's a reasonable request given that we've agreed to continue this case twice at the Division's request. EXAMINER JONES: Three specials within a week and a half.

EXAMINER WARNELL: I don't think that's
going to happen, although -- I mean, that really wouldn't be a special hearing. We've got a hearing April 18th and the second day --

EXAMINER JONES: I'm not sure how the other side feels about this.

MR. BROOKS: Well, I don't know how long we need -- how long we need to prepare. Probably a week would be sufficient. But we can't have a special hearing -- full-day special hearing on the same day we have another hearing set.

MS. BENNETT: Is there another hearing set on the 19th at this point?

MR. BROOKS: I don't know.
EXAMINER WARNELL: I haven't seen the --
EXAMINER JONES: It's the 25 th, 26 th and then the 30 th.

EXAMINER WARNELL: But what's the 18th docket looks like?

EXAMINER JONES: It's way over 100 cases, and then there are -- but, you know, who knows? Mr. Bruce usually continues some so --

MR. BRUCE: Lord, I try.
(Laughter.)
EXAMINER JONES: Hopefully, Holland \& Hart will come through with continuances, too, and Modrall.

MS. BENNETT: I continued four yesterday already so --

MR. BROOKS: Well, the point of a special setting is to get a date that it's not going to interfere with other things and other things are not going to interfere with it. So we would -- I don't really -- it's not that $I$ care what happens on the 19th. I'll be here anyway. But $I$ just like orderly procedures.

MS. BENNETT: And, frankly, that's why I proposed the 19th to my witnesses as a potential date because it does follow a docket date, which I thought would be more convenient for you-all and would be a good way to wrap this up -- these two cases up because we would all be here already. I haven't -- frankly, I haven't asked for -- I asked for two sets of dates, all of which were between now and April 19th. That's what I asked our witnesses for, were dates next week and dates April 18th and 19th. So I'm not prepared at this time to say whether our witnesses would be available on May 2nd. We have four witnesses that we're coordinating, and so I would have to go back to them.

If April 19th isn't workable, what I would appreciate is two dates that I could propose to my witnesses that are dates certain, that if we -- if I'm

1 able to get my witnesses to have availability either one of those two dates, that we can be guaranteed that that would be the date that we would have the hearing. What I don't want to do is leave this without a date that I can propose to my witnesses or leave with only a single date and have to come back and try again if they're not available.

EXAMINER WARNELL: Right.
MS. BENNETT: And the witnesses, as it
evident, are crucial to this hearing, and so their
availability is a factor that $I$ have to consider.
MR. GOETZE: Examiner Warnell, may I speak?
EXAMINER WARNELL: You may.
MR. GOETZE: On behalf of the UIC program, we currently have 175 applications outstanding. We have 56 cases in process. We have -- of those, 60 contested cases. We are trying to do our best.

The location proposed for the two wells have been set aside so other competing applications may be processed there. And talking about the scale, this is a large issue which will impact the program and has been so directed by the director to go forth with a proper case. And having seen that we have just gotten done with appearances before the district -- or Court of Appeals, as well as all the other hearings, that we

1 would like to do the 30 -day window and, from there, find a date applicable for all parties.

EXAMINER WARNELL: So you're saying the 19th won't work for you.

MR. GOETZE: I may be appearing in another case on that day.

EXAMINER WARNELL: That pushes us into May.
EXAMINER JONES: (Indicating.)
EXAMINER WARNELL: We have an OCC hearing on May 9th.

One of the unique things about May for our docket is that we have three dockets in May. We have a May 30th docket.

MS. BENNETT: What's the plan for the May $30 t h$ docket?

EXAMINER WARNELL: Well, we may just decide on a plan right now.

EXAMINER JONES: It has been agreed that we would not make that a new case docket.

MS. BENNETT: That's what $I$ was mostly wondering.

EXAMINER JONES: It would be a continuance docket. Mr. Wade agreed.

MS. BENNETT: I would rather not wait until May 30th. I know that my druthers are perhaps just

1 that, but if it's possible to have something before 2 that -- just looking at my calendar, that's a month and 3 a half, almost two months from now.

EXAMINER WARNELL: I don't think the OCD wants it to linger either.

MR. GOETZE: No. We don't want it to linger, but then we'd have to do just the case and nothing else, and that impacts the overall program, including pending cases which have been taken under advisement.

MS. BENNETT: Well, what about May 17th? That's the day after the May 16 th docket?

EXAMINER WARNELL: Yeah, the 16 th docket.
MS. BENNETT: Do you happen to have the note from when we set the Homework, Larry and Duda Bides?

MR. FELDEWERT: That's the 17th.
MS. BENNETT: Okay. It's the 17th.

EXAMINER WARNELL: So that's out.
EXAMINER JONES: Is that out, depending how
long that would take?
MR. BRUCE: Whatever date they decide on is
okay with me.
(Mr. Bruce exits the room.)
EXAMINER JONES: Have a good trip.
MR. BRUCE: I'm still here.
MS. BENNETT: The Homework, Larry cases, there are four of those. And Duda Bides, there's one. And there are probably six or five of Jim's cases. So I don't know that we'd be able to do both that day.

EXAMINER WARNELL: Sometime between the 17 th and the 30 th.

EXAMINER JONES: Okay.
MS. BENNETT: What about -- any time the week of the $20 t h$ ?

EXAMINER JONES: Marlene, do you have the calendar?

EXAMINER WARNELL: What's the Thursday?
MS. BENNETT: Thursday is the 23rd.
EXAMINER WARNELL: $23 r d ?$
MS. BENNETT: Uh-huh.
EXAMINER WARNELL: May $23 r d$.
MS. BENNETT: And can I have the 23 rd and

1 the 24 th or the 22 nd and the $23 r d$ just so $I$ have two 2 dates to propose to my witnesses? I'm just really -3 I'm trying to avoid having to come back and ask for a 4 different date.

EXAMINER JONES: Okay. We just have to clear it because Florene mentioned there is one thing happening here in Porter Hall that can't be changed, but I don't remember the dates. So just like most of these cases, we would have to let you know in the next day or so about the continuance to which date, if you're agreeable, Mr. Warnell.

EXAMINER WARNELL: Yeah. So let's say
Tuesday, the 21st, and Thursday, the 23rd?
EXAMINER JONES: Can you do Tuesday?
MR. GOETZE: Not for me. I have an
obligation on the 21 st. But the 22 nd through the 24 th, we're available, or the Monday.

MS. BENNETT: Or Monday, the 20th? Any day but the 21st?

MR. GOETZE: Correct.
MS. BENNETT: Okay. What I would propose then is that I take these dates back to my client and the witnesses and see what days they're available and get a couple of days so that if Porter Hall is taken one of those two days, we have an alternative date without
having to reconvene.
MR. GOETZE: Uh-huh.
EXAMINER WARNELL: And those dates are?
MS. BENNETT: The 20th and the 22 nd through
the 24th. That gives me four days to work with, and those are dates that Mr . Goetze is available and that I'm hopeful you-all are available for it as well. But if not, Mr. Goetze and I can work it out.
(Laughter.)
EXAMINER WARNELL: David, how many
witnesses are you going to have?
MR. BROOKS: One.
EXAMINER WARNELL: Possibly two?
MR. BROOKS: I didn't know we had but one.
MR. GOETZE: Yeah. They might change your mind.

MR. BROOKS: Do it quickly.
MR. GOETZE: We try.
EXAMINER JONES: So it sounds like interimly we could continue these two cases to a docket before then and then -- and then you could bump it -bump them. Because we're only talking about two cases, and we've just got to decide what's going to happen on this docket.

MS. BENNETT: That's right. So if we want
to continue them to May 16 th , because it sounds like there is no availability between now and May 16th.

EXAMINER WARNELL: Yeah.
EXAMINER JONES: Sounds good. And then you
can talk and --
EXAMINER WARNELL: Do we have written prehearing statements?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, in fact, we do. Both Mr. Goetze -- I'm sorry -- Mr. Brooks and I had filed prehearing statements.

MR. BROOKS: You were right the first time.
MS. BENNETT: But I imagine -- my
prehearing statements and Mr. Brooks' prehearing statements covered three cases, and we have since dismissed one, and so we may need to amend those. But when the cases were originally set for hearing, we did file prehearing statements.

EXAMINER WARNELL: Good.
MS. BENNETT: And my witness list has not changed from that prehearing statement that I filed.

EXAMINER WARNELL: All right. So Cases 20313 and 20314 will be continued to May 16th.

MR. GOETZE: Thank you.
EXAMINER JONES: We'll take a quick break and get started on the regular docket.
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