STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS: 21709 - 21711

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

March 4, 2021

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING OFFICER FELICIA ORTH and TECHNICAL EXAMINER BAYLEN LAMKIN on Thursday, March 4, 2021, through the Webex Platform.

Reported by: Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105

Albuquerque, NM 87102

505-843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For the Applicant:	
3	JAMES BRUCE	
4	P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1056 505-982-2151	
5	jamesbruce@aol.com	
6		
7	I N D E X	
8	CASE CALLED	
9	SUMMARY OF CASE AND EXHIBITS	03
10	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT	06
11	REPORTER CERTIFICATE	07
12		
13	EXHIBIT INDEX	
14		Admitted
15	All Exhibits and Attachments	07
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Moving then to the next

- 2 three matters, 21709, 21710 and 21711. Mewbourne Oil is the
- 3 applicant. These are compulsory pooling applications. Easy
- 4 Company is the name of the well. Mr. Bruce, you are here
- 5 for the applicant?
- 6 MR. BRUCE: Correct.
- 7 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Let me pause for a moment
- 8 in the event there are other entries.
- 9 (No audible response.)
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: No? If you would,
- 11 please, go ahead.
- 12 MR. BRUCE: In these three cases, all three --
- 13 the wells involved in all three cases were pooled in 2020,
- 14 and the pooling orders expired in February or were set to
- 15 expire in February, so we just refiled the pooling
- 16 applications.
- 17 Case 21709 was the same as original Case 21001.
- 18 Case 21710 is the same as original Case 21002, and Case
- 19 21711 is the same as original case 21000. And in those
- 20 cases, the first case sought to force pool the N/2 N/2 of
- 21 Section 36, and N/2 NE of Section 35 as to the Bone Spring
- 22 formation. That's in 20 South, 28 East.
- In the second case, Mewbourne sought to force
- 24 pool the S/2 N/2 of Section 36 and S/2 NE of Section 35 in
- 25 the Bone Spring formation. That would be Case 21710.

1 And in this case 27111, they sought to force pool

- 2 the N/2 of Section 36 and the N/2 and NE/4 of Section 35 of
- 3 20 South, 28 East.
- 4 As to the Wolfcamp formation, again, a number of
- 5 people were force pooled at the original hearings, but only
- 6 one party remained subject to the pooling orders, and that
- 7 party is EOG Resources. There is a couple of things that I
- 8 would note, which is, first of all, I opened up my file this
- 9 morning and noticed that the pooling checklist which I had
- 10 updated were just sitting in the file and I hadn't filed
- 11 those, and I would ask permission to file those updated
- 12 pooling checklists later this morning.
- 13 And then the other factor that plays in is, I
- 14 gave proper notice to EOG, but I never received a green card
- 15 back. And I don't -- if the matter needs to be continued,
- 16 I would ask -- I do not object to that continuance, and I
- 17 would file through the fee portal just so we can verify that
- 18 EOG Resources did indeed receive notice.
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Okay. Mr. Lamkin, any
- 20 question or direction on that?
- 21 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: So what exactly is it
- 22 that you would want to file through the fee portal?
- MR. BRUCE: Well, the -- what I did, of course,
- 24 was take the old exhibit packets and submit them, and the
- 25 old pooling checklists were attached to those exhibit

1 packets and I meant to submit ones that showed the current

- 2 case names and dates. Other than that nothing really
- 3 changed, but I would like to file those. So assuming orders
- 4 are granted, the pooling checklist will correspond with the
- 5 cases and hearing dates.
- 6 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: Okay. When you, when
- 7 file that, can you also send another copy of this exhibit
- 8 file? It seems like this one is corrupted as well.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: What's that now? A copy of what?
- 10 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: The exhibit packet
- 11 for this --
- 12 MR. BRUCE: Oh, okay. Okay. I will do that.
- 13 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: Okay, thank you.
- 14 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Mr. Lamkin, specifically
- 15 as to the green card that was not received back, although
- 16 notice was sent out, the green card wasn't received back, do
- 17 you believe a continuance to a subsequent session is
- 18 necessary?
- 19 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: I don't believe so.
- 20 You were -- you filed notice and published also, or was
- 21 that not --
- 22 MR. BRUCE: No, I didn't. I presumed EOG
- 23 Resources was findable.
- 24 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: Do you by chance have
- 25 a tracking number?

1 MR. BRUCE: I do. And I went on there about 8

- 2 o'clock this morning and it didn't say anything.
- 3 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: If you can -- if you
- 4 can submit the tracking number to us, that should be
- 5 sufficient, I believe.
- 6 MR. BRUCE: Okay. Anyway, I would move -- I
- 7 marked the exhibits X, which is my statement as to the short
- 8 history of the cases in question, and then exhibits 1
- 9 through 4, I would move their admission.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. So subject to
- 11 the updated list, the pooling list, and the provision of an
- 12 uncorrupted file and the provision of a tracking number for
- 13 the notice to EOG, and the exhibits are admitted and matters
- 14 taken under advisement. Mr. Lamkin, just double checking,
- 15 did you have any other questions?
- 16 TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: I don't have any more
- 17 questions, thank you.
- 18 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right. Thank you.
- 19 And thank you, Mr. Bruce.
- MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
- 21 (Exhibits admitted.)
- 22 (Taken under advisement.)

23

2.4

25

Page 7 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 I, IRENE DELGADO, New Mexico Certified Court 6 7 Reporter, CCR 253, do hereby certify that I reported the 8 foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and 9 that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript 10 of those proceedings to the best of my ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 11 nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case 12 13 and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this 14 case. 15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was of poor to good quality. 16 Dated this 4th day of March 2021. 17 18 /s/ Irene Delgado 19 Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253 20 License Expires: 12-31-21 2.1 22 23 2.4 25