STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS: 21713

APPLICATION OF MANZANO LLC TO AMEND ORDER NO R-21572 FOR APPROVAL OF THE EXPANSION OF THE VINDICATOR CANYON STATE EXPLORATORY UNIT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

March 4, 2021

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING OFFICER FELICIA ORTH and TECHNICAL EXAMINER BAYLEN LAMKIN on Thursday, March 4, 2021, through the Webex Platform.

Reported by: Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105

Albuquerque, NM 87102

505-843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For the Applicant:	
3	MICHAEL FELDEWERT	
4	HOLLAND & HART 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
5	Santa Fe, NM 87501 505-954-7286	
6		
7	INDEX	
8	CASE CALLED	
9	SUMMARY OF CASE AND EXHIBITS	03
10	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT	07
11	REPORTER CERTIFICATE	08
12		
13	EXHIBIT INDEX	
14		Admitted
15	All Exhibits and Attachments	07
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Moving to case 21713.

- 2 Manzano is the applicant to request to amend an earlier
- 3 order. The name of the well is Deep Sparkling Muddler.
- 4 Mr. Feldewert, are you here for the applicant?
- 5 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, except I believe that the
- 6 matter here, 21713, involves what we call the Vindicator
- 7 unit. I'm not sure whether the -- what did you say?
- 8 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Muddler.
- 9 MR. FELDEWERT: I'm not sure what that came from.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: I would have pulled it
- 11 out of the application.
- 12 MR. FELDEWERT: Because 21713 involves Manzano's
- 13 application to amend the order approving the Vindicator.
- 14 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: So I did see the
- 15 Vindicator unit mentioned in the application, and then the
- 16 name of -- the crazy name of this well. Let me see if there
- 17 are any other appearances.
- 18 (No audible response.)
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: No? Please go ahead.
- 20 MR. FELDEWERT: So I think in this application --
- 21 well, to start, in this application the unit agreement for
- 22 the vindicator unit and the unit area was approved by the
- 23 Division in Case 21463 under Order Number 21572.
- 24 We now seek to add additional acreage to the
- 25 approved unit area, and I think the best way to proceed is

1 if you look at the PDF that we filed, there is an Exhibit

- 2 C-1, and it's the State Land Office's final approval letter.
- And, Examiner Lamkin, it's Page 9 of 22 of the
- 4 PDF that we filed. And you will see in that final approval
- 5 letter the State Land Office requested in the second to the
- 6 last paragraph that Manzano add to the unit area the E/2 of
- 7 Section 20 and all of Section 22 in 17 South, 36 East.
- 8 Now, what happened is that after the approval of
- 9 this unit, the Manzano group was able to acquire the working
- 10 interest in this acreage, and it's state land. And so the
- 11 State Land Office then in their final approval letter has
- 12 requested that this acreage be added, and they go on to say
- 13 that they want us to provide a copy of the OCD order adding
- 14 these tracts back to the unit. So that's why we are here.
- 15 Exhibit -- Nick McClelland, he testified in the
- 16 initial case, has filed a second affidavit which we have
- 17 marked as Exhibit C. And the reason we did that is because
- 18 in the initial case there was an Exhibit A and Exhibit B
- 19 admitted into evidence. So I thought we would start with
- 20 Exhibit C.
- 21 So we added the affidavit of Nick McClelland. He
- 22 is the landman that testified in the initial case. He has
- 23 attached as Exhibit C-1 the final approval letter from the
- 24 State Land Office that we just went through.
- 25 He then attached to his affidavit as Exhibit C-2

- 1 a revised Exhibit A to the unit agreement that is a plat
- 2 that shows the added acreage in the E/2 of Section 20 and
- 3 then all of Section 22, and you will see that it involves
- 4 essentially three tracts, all of which are now owned by
- 5 working interest, by the the Manzano Group.
- 6 He then provides as Exhibit C-3 a revised
- 7 scheduled ownership, which is the exhibit to the unit
- 8 agreement, and it reflects the addition of this acreage.
- 9 And then as part of that Exhibit C-3, at the very end of
- 10 that Exhibit C-3, you will see a breakdown of what we call
- 11 the Manzano Group, which is a number of various parties that
- 12 are involved.
- 13 Exhibit C-4 to his affidavit is then a revised
- 14 schedule of tract participation which is also attached now
- 15 to the revised unit agreement.
- 16 So that's the documents now that would encompass
- 17 the unitized area. The unit agreement remains the same
- 18 other than the addition of this acreage.
- 19 You will also find in our package an Exhibit D,
- 20 as in David, which is an affidavit of notice that was
- 21 provided to two parties. One is BTA Oil Producers who
- 22 actually appeared in the initial case, which is why we
- 23 noticed them here. They are not within the unit. They
- 24 actually own a -- have a working interest in Section 21,
- 25 which is between Section 20 and 22. That is not included in

- 1 the unit agreement.
- 2 Bta did not want to be part of this unit, and so
- 3 we provided notice to them, since they appeared in the
- 4 initial case, and then you will see we also provided notice
- 5 to a company called Klabzuba -- I think that's how you say
- 6 it -- Oil & Gas. They are a working interest owner within
- 7 the unit area that has chosen not to participate in the
- 8 unit.
- 9 We also then added a notice of publication as
- 10 Exhibit E because of the time that notice went out, and if
- 11 you look at the tracking sheet, you will see that it still
- 12 has not made its way to BTA Oil Producers, although we used
- 13 the same address that we utilized first time around, but out
- 14 of abundance of caution, we did provide notice to those two
- 15 parties as reflected in Exhibit E.
- 16 So with that, we ask that the Division issue an
- 17 order that would amend the unit area to add the E/2 of
- 18 Section 20 and all of Section 22, and you will find a list
- 19 of the revised unit area which includes this acreage not
- 20 only in our prehearing statement but also in Mr.
- 21 McClelland's affidavit so it's easier for the Division to
- 22 get the correct acreage into the revised order.
- 23 So we ask that Exhibits C, D and E be admitted
- 24 into the record and that the Division issue an order
- 25 amending the approved unit area to include this additional

Page 7 language. HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Feldewert. Mr. Lamkin, do you have questions about any of that? TECHNICAL EXAMINER LAMKIN: I don't have any questions. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER ORTH: All right, thank you. So the exhibits are admitted, and the matter is taken under advisement. (Exhibits admitted.) (Taken under advisement.)

Page 8 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 I, IRENE DELGADO, New Mexico Certified Court 6 7 Reporter, CCR 253, do hereby certify that I reported the 8 foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and 9 that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript 10 of those proceedings to the best of my ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 11 nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case 12 13 and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this 14 case. 15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was of poor to good quality. 16 Dated this 4th day of March 2021. 17 18 /s/ Irene Delgado 19 Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253 20 License Expires: 12-31-21 2.1 22 23 2.4 25