STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Notice of Violation issued to Slayton, dba Escudilla Oil Company

Case No. 21899

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 2, 2021

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, before Hearing Examiner William Brancard on Wednesday, June 2, 2021, via the Webex virtual Conferencing platform

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR No. 122 PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR THE OCD: Eric Ames, Esq. Office of General (New Mexico EMNRD	Counsel
4	1220 South St. Fran Santa Fe, NM 87505 (505) 476-3463	ncis Drive
5	(303) 470-3403	
6	CONTENTS	
7	CASE NUMBER 21900 CALLED	PAGE
8	OCD WITNESS DANIEL SANCHEZ	
9	EXAMINATION BY MR. AMES:	3
10	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT:	7
11	EXHIBIT INDEX	
12	OCD EXHIBITS	PAGE
13	1 CV	7
14	2 Notice of Violation	7
15	3 Civil Penalty calculations	7
16	4 Civil penalty calculations	7
17	5 Return Certified Mail sent out for the NOV	7
18	6 Electronic mail return for the NOV	7
19	7 Evidence Electronic mail not received	7
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: I call the third

- 2 item on our agenda today, Case 21899. This is a Notice of
- 3 Violation issued to Roger Slayton dba Escudilla, if I
- 4 pronounce that correctly, Oil Company.
- 5 Entries of appearance. For the Division?
- 6 MR. AMES: Eric Ames for the OCD.
- HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Is there anyone here
- 8 on behalf of, or the Respondent, willing to speak up
- 9 today?
- 10 Haring none, Mr. Ames are you ready to
- 11 proceed?
- 12 MR. AMES: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. OCD
- intends to proceed in the same manner that we just did for
- 14 Flare Oil And for Tipton and Zimmerman.
- 15 OCD calls Daniel Sanchez.
- Mr. Hearing Examiner, can we assume that
- 17 Mr. Sanchez continues to be sworn, or should we do it
- 18 again?
- 19 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, I'm not sure
- 20 if the court reporter is going to prepare separate
- 21 transcripts on these cases, so I will have Mr. Sanchez
- 22 sworn in.
- JOSE DANIEL SANCHEZ,
- 24 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 25 EXAMINATION

1 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

- 2 You may proceed.
- 3 EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. AMES:
- 5 Q. Good morning, Daniel.
- 6 A. Good morning.
- 7 Q. So for the third time, please state your name
- 8 for the record.
- 9 A. Jose Daniel Sanchez.
- 10 Q. Where do you work, how long have you worked
- 11 there, and what do you do?
- 12 A. I work for the Oil Conservation Division, a
- division of the Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources
- 14 Department, and I am the Adminstration and Compliance
- 15 Bureau Chief.
- 16 Q. Did you prepare Direct Testimony in this case?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Is that Direct Testimony produced in the
- 19 Prehearing Statement submitted by OCD in this case?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- 21 Q. Under Section 2, entitled Direct Testimony,
- 22 there is a recitation. Is this recitation your complete
- 23 and accurate testimony in this case?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Let's review the NOV together with the

- 1 violations and the sanctions.
- With the first violation, could you please
- 3 identify the citation, the violation, and the nature of
- 4 the violation.
- 5 A. Okay. The first violatiobn is Rule 19.15.5.9
- (A)(4)(a), and it is Slayton has more inactive wells than
- 7 required by the rule.
- Q. What sanction does OCD propose for this alleged
- 9 violation?
- 10 A. We would like an Order requiring Slayton to plug
- 11 and abandon six of the inactive wells listed on the table
- of the testimony, and also allow the OCD to do so if they
- 13 fail to, and forfeit the financial assurance.
- We are also looking at a civil penalty of
- 15 \$1800.
- 16 Q. What is the second violation?
- 17 A. That's of Rule 19.15.8.9(C). It's insufficient
- 18 plugging financial assurance.
- 19 Q. What sanction is proposed here?
- 20 A. We are asking for an Order requiring Slayton to
- 21 increase its current financial assurance from \$50,000 to
- \$75,000 and also requesting a civil penalty in the amount
- 23 of \$300.
- Q. Thank you. And finally, what is the third
- 25 violation?

1 A. The third violation is of rule 19.15.8.9 (D),

- 2 which is insufficient financial assurance for wells that
- 3 have been inactive for more than two years.
- 4 O. And the sanction?
- 5 To require Slayton to increase or to -- well,
- 6 increase the financial assurance on two of the wells to
- 7 the proper amounts, and submit financial assurance for the
- 8 other six wells; or in the amount of 300,000, a T.A.
- 9 Blanket Bond.
- 10 Q. And a civil penalty? Has one been proposed
- 11 here?
- 12 A. Yes. A civil penalty of \$2400.
- 13 Q. Thank you. Let's go down to Tab 3 of the
- 14 Prehearing Statement. There is a list of exhibits there.
- 15 Could you review those for the record.
- 16 A. Exhibit 1 is my curriculum again.
- 17 Exhibit 2 is the Notice of Violation
- 18 issued.
- 19 Exhibits 3, 4 and 5 are the civil penalty
- 20 calculations for the three penalties.
- 21 Exhibit 6 is the Return Certified Mail for
- 22 the NOV.
- 23 And Exhibit 7 showing that the electronic
- 24 mial was not received, as well.
- MR. AMES: OCD now offers those exhibits.

Page 7 1 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. 2 Once again I'll just open the mic here. 3 Is there anyone here to speak on behalf of the Respondent in this case? (Note: No 5 response.) Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted 6 into the record and we will take the case under advisement Mr. Ames, are you prepared to do Findings 8 and Conclusions in this case? 9 I am, Mr. Hearing Examiner. 10 MR. AMES: propose to submit the Findings of Fact in this case on 11 12 the two-week time frame that we previously specified for 13 Flare. HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you very 14 much. With that, this case will be taken under the 15 16 advisement upon submittal of Proposed Findings and Conclusions from the Division. 17 18 (Time noted: 10:33 a.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Page 8	
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)	
2	: SS	
3	COUNTY OF TAOS)	
4		
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter	
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Wednesday, June 2,	
8	2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were	
9	taken before me; that I did report in stenographic	
10	shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the	
11	foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to	
12	the best of my ability and control.	
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by	
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the	
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and	
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final	
17	disposition of this case in any court.	
18	/s/ Mary Macfarlane	
19		
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122	
21	License Expires: 12/31/2021	
22		
23		
24		
25		