## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of Spur Energy Partners, LLC, Case No. 21937 for Compulsory Pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

THURSDAY, JUNE 17, 2021

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Legal Examiner, and Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiner, on Thursday June 17, 2021, via the Webex virtual Conferencing platform.

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR No. 122 PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Dago 2
1	APPEARANCES	Page 2
2		
	For Spur Energy Partners:  Dana S. Hardy, Esq.	
3	215 Montezuma Aver Santa Fe, NM 87504	
4	(505) 982-4544 dhardy@hinklelawfi	irm.com.
5	For ConcocoPhillips: Ocean Munds-Dry, F	
6	1048 Paseo de Pera	alta
7	Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 780-8000	
8	omundsdry@concho.c	COM
9	For Mewbourne Oil Company:	
10	James Bruce, Esq.	
11	Post Office Box 10 Santa Fe, NM 87504	
12	(505) 982-2043 jamesbruc@aol.com	
13		
14	CONTENTS	
15	CASE No. 21937	PAGE
16	Case Called:	3
17	Statement by Mr. Bruce:	3
18	Statement by Ms. Hardy:	3
19	Continued to August 5, 2021:	5
20		
21	EXHIBIT INDEX	
22	(Note: No exhibits marked.)	
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (Time noted 8:17 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that, Case No. 1 on the
- 3 docket is Case 21937, Spur Energy, Hinkle Shanor. Who is
- 4 here?
- 5 MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Dana
- 6 Hardy of the Santa Fe office of Hinkle, Shanor on behalf
- 7 of Spur Energy.
- 8 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Then I have an entry from
- 9 ConocoPhillips.
- 10 MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
- 11 Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry on behalf of ConocoPhillips.
- 12 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Then Mewbourne Oil?
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Jim Bruce here
- 14 for Mewbourne.
- 15 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And so any other parties
- 16 interested in Case 21937?
- 17 Hearing none, Mr. Bruce I believe you filed
- 18 a Prehearing Statement and were objecting to this case
- 19 going forward by Affidavit. Is that correct?
- 20 MR. BRUCE: At this time yes, but I believe Ms.
- 21 Hardy and I came to an agreement, and I'd turn it over to
- 22 her.
- 23 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Oh, well, then Ms. Hardy.
- MS. HARDY: Thank you.
- 25 Spur filed its application in early May for

- 1 the June docket and has these wells on its drilling
- 2 schedule for later this year. Spur would really prefer to
- 3 go forward by affidavit today but if that's not possible
- 4 Mr. Bruce and I have communicated and would agree that the
- 5 case could be set on the July 15th docket.
- 6 It seems that this may be resolved by then,
- 7 and even if it's not a contested hearing would be very,
- 8 very brief, it seems, based on Mewbourne's Prehearing
- 9 Statement.
- 10 MR. BRUCE: I agree, Mr. Examiner, and I highly
- 11 doubt the matter will be contested. It's just Mewbourne
- 12 would like a little more time to negotiate a deal with
- 13 Spur.
- EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. So you're preferring
- 15 to not treat this as a contested case, just set it for a
- 16 future hearing?
- 17 MR. BRUCE: Yes.
- 18 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. We have a few
- 19 requests today for moving cases to our July 15th docket.
- 20 When I checked yesterday our July 15 docket had 110 cases
- 21 on it, so I don't think we are going to be moving anything
- 22 onto the July 15 docket. Since this is not contested,
- 23 however, we could put it on the August docket. Is that
- okay, Ms. Hardy?
- 25 MS. HARDY: If the July docket is not possible

1 then the first August docket would seem to be the best

- 2 alternative. If we could do the first one, though, that
- 3 would be much preferred to the second docket.
- 4 MR. BRUCE: For me, too.
- 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Ms. Salvidrez, what
- 6 is the date of the first August docket?
- 7 MS. SALVIDREZ: It is August 5, and I will
- 8 approve it for that date.
- 9 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. We will move these
- 10 cases to August 5.
- Do we need a continuance filed, Marlene?
- MS. SALVIDREZ: No. There's already one in the
- 13 portal.
- 14 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Excellent.
- 15 All right. So these cases will then be set
- 16 for August 5th. Anything further on Case 21937?
- 17 MS. HARDY: Not from me, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
- MR. BRUCE: No.
- 19 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Great. Thank you.
- 20 And by my saying we're not treating this as
- 21 contested, I don't see the need to do a Prehearing Order
- 22 in this matter. Is that okay with the parties?
- MR. BRUCE: Yes.
- MS. HARDY: Yes, it is. Thank you.
- 25 (Time noted 8:20 a.m.)

	Page 6	
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )	
2	: SS	
3	COUNTY OF TAOS )	
4		
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter	
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, June 17,	
8	2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were	
9	taken before me; that I did report in stenographic	
10	shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the	
11	foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to	
12	the best of my ability and control.	
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by	
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the	
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and	
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final	
17	disposition of this case in any court.	
18	/s/ Mary Macfarlane	
19		
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122	
21	License Expires: 12/31/2021	
22		
23		
24		
25		