STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY TO RE-OPEN CASE 21496 FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO Case No. 21964

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

THURSDAY, JUNE 17, 2021

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Legal Examiner William Brancard, Technical Examiner Leonard Lowe, on Thursday, June 17, 2021, via the Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform.

Mary Therese Macfarlane Reported by:

New Mexico CCR No. 122 PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

1 APPEARANCES 2 For Mewbourne Oil Company: 3 James Bruce, Esq. Post Office Box 10: 4 Santa Fe, NM 87504 (505) 982-2043 jamesbruc@aol.com	56
James Bruce, Esq. Post Office Box 109 Santa Fe, NM 87504 (505) 982-2043	56
Post Office Box 109 4 Santa Fe, NM 87504 (505) 982-2043	56
4 Santa Fe, NM 87504 (505) 982-2043	56
6	
7 CONTENTS	
8 CASE No. 21964 PAGE	E
9 CASE CALLED: 3	
10 INQUIRY BY EXAMINER LOWE: 4	
11 INQUIRY BY EXAMINER BRANCARD: 5	
12 TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT: 6	
13	
14 EXHIBIT INDEX	
15 EXHIBITS: PAGE	E
16 1 Application and Proposed Order 6	
17 2 Landman's Affidavit 6	
18 3 Geologist's Affidavit 6	
19 4 Notice Affidavit 6	
20 5 Affidavit of Publication 6	
21 6 Pooling Checklist 6	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 (Time noted 9:23 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that I'm going to call
- 3 case 21908, OXY USA, Inc. Holland & Hart.
- 4 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner there is one more
- 5 Mewbourne case.
- 6 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I'm sorry. You're correct,
- 7 Mr. Bruce. I jumped ahead. 14 is Case 21964, Mewbourne
- 8 Oil Company. Mr. Bruce.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, this well was
- 10 originally force pooled about a year ago under Case No.
- 11 21222 and order R-21353 was issued. The well was never
- 12 drilled and so the Order expired on June 9th, but it was
- one of the old form Orders where we were not allowed to
- 14 seeks an extension of the Order for good cause shown. So
- 15 this is a whole new pooling application identical to the
- 16 other one.
- 17 And so Exhibit 1 is the Application.
- 18 No. 2 is the Landman's Affidavit. It's
- 19 actually the landman's affidavit for Case No. 21222, and
- 20 everything is identical. Nothing new has happened, so
- 21 that's why I'm submitting that.
- 22 Same thing for the geology.
- 23 Mewbourne is seeking to force pool the
- 24 south half/south half of Sections 9 and 10, 20 South, 29
- 25 East as to the Wolfcamp Formation, and this describes the

- 1 Wolfcamp geology in this area.
- 2 Again, nothing has changed in the geology,
- 3 so I just attached the old information.
- 4 Exhibit 4 is my affidavit of Notice, and
- 5 all of the parties originally pooled were again notified
- 6 of this hearing. A few green slips didn't come back. I
- 7 think I did pick up yesterday a few more green slips, but
- 8 they are not in the exhibit package.
- 9 But everybody was notified by Publication
- 10 of Notice. That's marked Exhibit 5.
- 11 Then Exhibit 6 is the Pooling Application
- 12 Checklist, which is virtually the same as the original
- 13 Pooling Application Checklist but I updated it as to the
- 14 case number and the hearing date.
- 15 So I believe the exhibit package is
- 16 complete, and thereby I request the admission of
- 17 Exhibits 1 through 6 and ask that the matter be taken
- 18 under advisement.
- 19 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- Mr. Lowe, any questions?
- 21 EXAMINER LOWE: Mr. Bruce.
- MR. BRUCE: Yes.
- 23 EXAMINER LOWE: I notice in your exhibits that
- you're referencing another Case 21222 and 21221.
- 25 MR. BRUCE: Yes. In the landman exhibits and

- 1 the geologic exhibits. That well was subject to a
- 2 different Order and that well was drilled and completed,
- 3 so there's no need to worry about that particular case.
- 4 EXAMINER LOWE: Okay. It also looks like
- 5 there's -- there are two exhibits here for this case. Is
- 6 that the same scenario as the previous cases, redundancy?
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Yes.
- 8 EXAMINER LOWE: One appears to be not as big is
- 9 the other one so suspect we will keep the larger document
- 10 and dispose of the smaller document. Is this correct?
- MR. BRUCE: Okay.
- 12 EXAMINER LOWE: I need to look at it and I'll
- 13 verify with you prior to approving either/or.
- MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
- 15 EXAMINER LOWE: Thank you. That's all I have.
- 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any
- other parties interested in Case 21964? (Note: Pause.)
- 18 Hearing none, Mr. Bruce what was the prior Order that
- 19 expired? Do you have a number of that?
- 20 MR. BRUCE: Yeah. It is -- it is -- oops. I'm
- 21 in the wrong part of the file.
- The order number was R-21353.
- 23 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. And that's Case --
- 24 because, as Mr. Lowe pointed out, your exhibit, because
- it's your old exhibit, referenced two cases.

1 MR. BRUCE: Yeah, I put on the two cases at one

- time together. As I said, Case 21221 we are not speaking
- 3 anything about that because that Order has been complied
- 4 with.
- 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So that well has been
- 6 drilled.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Yes.
- 8 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So it's 21222.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: Is what we're concerned with, yes.
- 10 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. We may want to
- 11 make a note of it in the Order just so it's clear for the
- 12 record that we're replacing that old Order with this one.
- 13 I mean, it's gone but we just need to maybe make that
- 14 clear.
- MR. BRUCE: Yes. Fine with me.
- 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 17 With that the exhibits in Case 21964 are
- 18 taken -- are admitted and the case is taken under
- 19 advisement.
- MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
- 21 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I think we are going to take
- 22 a short break here to help our court reporter out, so if
- 23 we can get back here by 9:40, that would be great.
- 24 (Time noted 9:31 a.m.)

25

	Page 7
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
2	: SS
3	COUNTY OF TAOS)
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, June 17,
8	2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were
9	taken before me; that I did report in stenographic
10	shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the
11	foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to
12	the best of my ability and control.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
17	disposition of this case in any court.
18	/s/ Mary Macfarlane
19	
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122
21	License Expires: 12/31/2021
22	
23	
24	
25	