## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of Cimarex Energy Co. for a Horizontal Spacing Unit and Compulsory Pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico

Case Nos. 22018, 22019

Application of Colgate
Operating, LLC for Compulsory
Pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico Case No. 21629

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

STATUS CONFERENCE

JULY 1, 2021

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiner, and John Garcia, Technical Examiner, on July 1, 2021, via the Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources.

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR #122

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87187

(505) 843-9241

|    |  | Page | 2 |
|----|--|------|---|
| 1  | APPEARANCES  |      |   |
| 2  | FOR CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY:                              |      |   |
| 3  | Darin C. Savage, Esq.                                    |      |   |
| 4  | Abadie & Schill, PC. 214 McKenzie Street.                |      |   |
| 5  | Santa Fe, NM 87501<br>(970) 385-4401                     |      |   |
| 6  | dain@abadieschill.com.                                   |      |   |
| 7  | FOR COLGATE OPERATING, LLC:                              |      |   |
| 8  | Ernest L. Padilla, Esq.<br>P.O. Box 2523                 |      |   |
| 9  | Santa Fe, NM 87504<br>(505) 988.7577                     |      |   |
| 10 | padillalawnm.outlook.com.                                |      |   |
| 11 | FOR EOG RESOURCES:                                       |      |   |
| 12 | Kaitlyn A. Luck, Esq.<br>Holland & Hart                  |      |   |
| 13 | 110 North Guadalupe, Suite<br>Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 | 1    |   |
| 14 | (505) 988-4421.<br>kaluck@hollandhart.com.               |      |   |
| 15 |  |      |   |
| 16 | CONTENTS   |      |   |
| 17 | CASES 22018, 22019                                       | PAG  | E |
| 18 | CASES CALLED:  | 3    |   |
| 19 | CASE CONTINUED FOR ACTION BY COMMISSION:                 | 6    |   |
| 20 |  |      |   |
| 21 |  |      |   |
| 22 |  |      |   |
| 23 |  |      |   |
| 24 |  |      |   |
| 25 |  |      |   |
|    |  |      |   |

- 1 (Time noted 9:31 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Now we are moving to
- 3 Item No. 5 and 6 on the agenda, Cases 22018, 22019. I
- 4 hope we can move through this one quickly.
- 5 Cimarex Energy. Who is here for Cimarex?
- 6 MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Darin
- 7 Savage present for Cimarex Energy Company.
- 8 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Colgate
- 9 Operating?
- 10 COMMISSIONER PADILLA: Ernest L. Padilla for
- 11 Colgate Operating in both cases.
- 12 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. EOG Resources,
- 13 Inc?
- 14 MS. LUCK: Kaitlyn Luck with the Santa Fe Office
- 15 of Holland and Hart for EOG.
- 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Any other interested parties
- 17 in Cases 22019 and 22019? (Note: No response.)
- 18 All right. So my understanding is that
- 19 these are competing applications related to a case
- 20 currently pending before the Oil Conservation Commission.
- 21 Is that correct, Mr. Savage?
- MR. SAVAGE: That is correct, Mr. Hearing
- 23 Examiner.
- 24 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I understand also that at
- 25 next week's Commission meeting you have a Prehearing

- 1 Conference in that matter.
- 2 MR. SAVAGE: July 8th we have a motion hearing
- 3 to decide the issues surrounding these applications.
- 4 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. And at this point
- 5 there is a hearing scheduled for sometime in September
- 6 before the Commission?
- 7 MR. SAVAGE: As I understand, there is an open
- 8 question about which forum, whether the Commission or
- 9 Division would be the appropriate forum for hearing these.
- I was hoping we could establish a date at
- 11 the Division level pending a decision with the Commission.
- 12 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I have brought this -- I
- 13 made the Commission Chair aware of these competing
- 14 applications, and so she is aware that you-all will -- can
- 15 bring this up next week before the Commission.
- MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
- 17 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I really do think that's the
- 18 best place to organize what's going to happen here.
- 19 MR. SAVAGE: I appreciate that. We were
- 20 uncertain about how to proceed with that and wanted to get
- 21 things in place. But, you know, as long as everybody is
- 22 informed and, you know, in a position to make that
- 23 decision, that's fine with us.
- 24 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So that would be my
- 25 suggestion is to discuss this before the Commission next

1 week. If the Commission decides, I hope not, to send it

- 2 back to the Division, you-all could file hopefully some
- 3 sort of motion requesting a particular hearing date. And
- 4 As I mentioned, we're looking at, you know, October now,
- 5 so...
- 6 MR. SAVAGE: Right.
- 7 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Padilla, any concerns?
- 8 MR. PADILLA: I agree with you, Mr. Examiner, I
- 9 don't think that this case should go back to the Division
- 10 at all. I think that the competing applications should be
- 11 heard between -- before the Commission. That was my
- 12 understanding of where we were going.
- Now, there's Motions to Dismiss by both
- 14 parties for different reasons, but you're correct, there
- 15 is already a September hearing to hear these cases in the
- 16 event that the dispositive motions are not approved. So I
- 17 think it makes sense that they all proceed to the
- 18 Commission level and be heard there, and not bifurcate
- 19 these cases between the Division and the Commission.
- 20 So that's my take on it, but I think a lot
- 21 can be resolved next week since we have hearings on the
- 22 respective motions that have been filed by both sides.
- But, again, I think that this application
- 24 should be heard by the Commission at this point, because
- 25 we are in a de novo situation with the Commission, so that

Page 6 1 would be heard there. 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Correct. You would have to 3 start all over anyway before the Commission. 4 MR. PADILLA: Yeah. 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. Luck, any comments, 6 concerns? 7 MS. LUCK: No additional comments. EOG has entered an appearance to preserve its rights in this case. 8 That is the only position it's taking at this point. 9 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. We will -- I don't 10 know what we will do. We will continue this indefinitely 11 in hopes that the Commission gives us clear direction as 12 to how to handle this matter. So we will leave this next 13 14 week in the Commission hearing. 15 MR. SAVAGE: Thank you. 16 (Time noted 9:34 a.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

|    | Page 7   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 1  | STATE OF NEW MEXICO )  |  |
| 2  | : SS   |  |
| 3  | COUNTY OF TAOS )   |  |
| 4  |  |  |
| 5  | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE   |  |
| 6  | I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter                  |  |
| 7  | CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, July 1,         |  |
| 8  | 2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were         |  |
| 9  | taken before me; that I did report in stenographic               |  |
| 10 | shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the              |  |
| 11 | foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to          |  |
| 12 | the best of my ability and control.                              |  |
| 13 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by                  |  |
| 14 | nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the       |  |
| 15 | rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and         |  |
| 16 | that I have no interest whatsoever in the final                  |  |
| 17 | disposition of this case in any court.                           |  |
| 18 | /s/ Mary Macfarlane  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 | MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122 |  |
| 21 | License Expires: 12/31/2021                                      |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |