## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS: 21611, 21612

APPLICATION OF TAP ROCK RESOURCES LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

JULY 15, 2021

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING OFFICER WILLIAM BRANCARD and TECHNICAL EXAMINER DEAN McCLURE on Thursday, July 15, 2021, through the Webex Platform.

Reported by: Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105

Albuquerque, NM 87102

505-843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For EOG:	
3	MICHAEL FELDEWERT	
4	HOLLAND & HART 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1	
5	Santa Fe, NM 87501 505-954-7286	
6	For Tap Rock:	
7	JAMES BRUCE P.O. Box 1056	
8	Santa Fe, NM 87504-1056	
9	505-982-2151 jamesbruce@aol.com	
10	For Cimarex:	
11	EARL DEBRINE MODRALL SPERLING ROEHL HARRIS & SISK PA	
	500 4th Street, NW, Suite 1000	
	Albuquerque, NM 87102 505-848-9710	
14	I N D E X	
15	CASE CALLED	03
16	SUMMARY OF CASE AND EXHIBITS	04
17	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT (Pending)	
18	EXHIBITS (Pending)	
19	REPORTER CERTIFICATE	08
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that I believe

- 2 we are on Item 38 on today's agenda, and we may have
- 3 actually moved beyond status conferences to actual hearings.
- 4 I will call cases 21611, 21612, Tap Rock
- 5 Resources. Entry of appearance?
- 6 MR. BRUCE: This is Jim Bruce representing Tap
- 7 Rock.
- 8 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. I have a
- 9 listing here for Cimarex Energy.
- 10 MR. DEBRINE: Earl Debrine with the Modrall
- 11 Sperling firm on behalf of Cimarex Energy Company.
- 12 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Debrine, do you
- 13 have any opposition to the cases going forward by affidavit?
- MR. DEBRINE: Cimarex does not.
- 15 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. EOG
- 16 Resources?
- 17 MR. FELDEWERT: May it please the Examiner,
- 18 Michael Feldewert of the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart
- 19 appearing on behalf of EOG. I have not yet confirmed this
- 20 with Mr. Bruce, but it's my understanding that EOG may be
- 21 dismissed from these cases.
- MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
- 23 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Does EOG have any
- 24 objection to these cases going forward by affidavit?
- 25 MR. FELDEWERT: In light of the representation

- 1 they are dismissed from the pooling cases, we do not.
- 2 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there
- 3 any other interested persons in Cases 21611, 21612?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, you may
- 6 proceed Mr. Bruce.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, in the 611 case, Tap
- 8 Rock seeks forced pooling of Wolfcamp formation in the W/2
- 9 of Section 9 and the W/2 of Section 16, 25 South, 26 East,
- 10 for the purpose of drilling a couple of Wolfcamp wells known
- 11 as the Schlitz Fed Com Wells.
- 12 In Case 612, they seek pooling of the E/2 of
- 13 Sections 9 and 6 in the same township and range for the
- 14 purposes of drilling another two Wolfcamp wells. I have
- 15 handed you -- there are two sets of exhibits.
- 16 First, they are similar. Exhibit A is
- 17 application and proposed notice.
- 18 Exhibit B is the landman's affidavit, contains
- 19 all the usual information, including C-102s, statement of
- 20 interest, listing of the tracts, and a statement of interest
- 21 being pooled, et cetera.
- 22 Exhibit C is the geologist affidavit, and it
- 23 contains the usual structure, isopach, cross section, and I
- 24 believe that's all of the information that is provided,
- 25 usual information is provided in those two affidavits.

1 Exhibit D is my affidavit of written notice, and

- 2 then Exhibit E is an affidavit of publication from the
- 3 Carlsbad Newspaper. So one way or another all the parties
- 4 being pooled did get actual or constructive notice.
- 5 Same thing in Case 612, it's all pretty similar,
- 6 so I won't run through it.
- 7 The pooling checklist, one thing on those is I
- 8 originally -- when I drafted them I intended to insert a
- 9 special provision applicable to Cimarex Energy. I have
- 10 corrected that this morning and resubmit pooling checklists
- 11 for each cases because it specifies an election provision
- 12 for the benefit of Cimarex, and that language was agreed to
- 13 by Mr. Debrine and myself.
- 14 But I believe all of the information is complete
- 15 other than the pooling checklist which was adding that
- 16 election language that Cimarex desires, and I will submit
- 17 those today. So I would ask that Exhibits A through F in
- 18 each case be admitted and the cases be taken under
- 19 advisement.
- 20 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Did you
- 21 need to make any changes to the checklist to deal with EOG?
- 22 MR. BRUCE: No, not since they are being
- 23 dismissed, no.
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Mr. Debrine,
- 25 any questions or concerns.

1 MR. DEBRINE: No, Mr. Bruce sent me a copy of the

- 2 amended checklist with respect to the special election
- 3 language concerning Cimarex, and so we are fine with that.
- 4 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Mr.
- 5 Feldewert?
- 6 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, we have no
- 7 objection to the admission of these exhibits, and as Mr.
- 8 Bruce has confirmed, EOG has been dismissed from this
- 9 pooling proceeding.
- 10 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Mr.
- 11 McClure, questions?
- 12 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: No, I have no
- 13 questions regarding these cases, Mr. Brancard.
- 14 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So just
- 15 to be assured, Mr. Bruce, the only thing that's going to be
- 16 submitted is the revised checklist; is that correct?
- MR. BRUCE: For each case, yes.
- 18 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: For each case.
- 19 MR. BRUCE: I will get those -- they are done, I
- 20 just need to scan them in and send them to the Division.
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Are there any
- other interested persons for cases 21611, 21612?
- 23 (No response.)
- 24 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, the
- 25 exhibits will be admitted into the record. The record will

Page 7 be left open for the submittal of a revised checklist, and then after that the case will be taken under advisement. Thank you. (Case remained opening pending revised documents.) 

Page 8 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 2. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO 3 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 I, IRENE DELGADO, New Mexico Certified Court 6 7 Reporter, CCR 253, do hereby certify that I reported the 8 foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and 9 that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript 10 of those proceedings to the best of my ability. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 11 nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case 12 13 and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this 14 case. 15 I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was of poor to good quality. 16 Dated this 15th day of July 2021. 17 18 /s/ Irene Delgado 19 Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253 20 License Expires: 12-31-21 2.1 22 23 2.4 25