Page 1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of Matador Production Company for Compulsory Pooling Eddy County, New Mexico Case No. 21985, 21986

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

STATUS CONFERENCE

THURSDAY, AUGUST 19, 2021

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiner, Kathleen Murphy, Technical Examiner, on August 19, 2021, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane. New Mexico CCR #122 PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS 500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY: 3 Kaitlyn A. Luck, Esq. Holland & Hart 4 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421 5 kaluck@hollandhart.com б FOR MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, LLC 7 Deana M. Bennett, Esq. Modrall Sperling 8 P.O Box 2168 9 Albuquerque, NM (505) 848-1845 deana.bennett@modrall.com 10 11 Jennifer A. Bradfute, Esq 12 FOR S.K. WARREN RESOURCES, LLC: 13 Sharon T. Shaheen, Esq. Montgomery & Andrews 14 325 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe NM 87501 15 (505) 986-2678 sshaheen@montand.com. 16 17 CONTENTS 18 CASE NOS. 21985, 21986 PAGE 19 CASE CALLED: 4 8 20 INQUIRY BY MS. MURPHY: 21 REQUEST FOR NEW LANGUAGE: 13 14 22 TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT: 23 24 25

			Page 3
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT N	O. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	А	Compulsory Pooling Application Checklists	13
4 5	В	Applications of Matador for Compulsory Pooling	13
6	С	Affidavit of Landman Sara Hartsfield	13
7	C-1	C-102s	13
	C-2	Land Tract Map	13
8	C-3	Working Interest Ownership	13
	C-4	Overriding Royalty Interest Owners	13
10 11	C-5	Sample Well Proposal Letters with AFEs	13
12	С-б	Chronology of Contacts	13
	D	Affidavit of Geologist Andrew Parker	13
13	D-1	Locator Map	13
14	D-2	Structure and Cross-Section Map	13
15	D-3	Stratisgraphic Cross-Section	13
16	Ε	Notice Affidavit	13
17	F	Affidavit of Publication for each case	13
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 4 1 (Time noted 9:16 a.m.) 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. We have one more set 3 of items on the scheduled docket for today's hearing. There is also a motion hearing to follow 4 the following two cases, so Ms. Macfarlane, stick around. 5 And so let me just call these last two б 7 cases and see what we are going to have here, and maybe we need to take a break. So let's find out. 8 I'm calling Cases 21985, 21986. These are 9 Items 43 and 44 on today's agenda. Matador Production 10 11 Company. 12 MS. LUCK: Good morning. Kaitlyn Luck of the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart for Matador Production 13 14 Company in these two cases. 15 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. I have Marathon. 16 MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC, in these 17 18 two cases. 19 EXAMINER BRANCARD: S.K. Warren Resources. MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 20 Sharon Shaheen, Montgomery Andrews, on behalf of S.K. Warren. 21 22 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Are we having a contested hearing today? Where are we going with this? 23 24 Ms. Luck, can you start off? 25 MS. LUCK: Yes. It's my understanding that

Page 5 Matador is able to proceed unopposed at this hearing, 1 2 based on the discussions of the parties leading up to it. 3 Matador worked through a deal with S.K. Warren earlier 4 this week, and it's my understanding that Marathon is not 5 opposed, but I will defer to the parties to confirm. б EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. 7 Ms. Shaheen. MS. SHAHEEN: Ms. Luck is correct that S.K. 8 Warren has reached agreement with Matador and it does not 9 object to this case proceeding by affidavit. 10 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. Bennett. 11 12 MS. BENNETT: Thank you. It is correct that 13 Matador has reached an agreement with Marathon, and for 14 the record that agreement is that Matador has agreed to 15 not pool Marathon in these two cases. And with that 16 understanding Marathon has agreed to allow these cases, or 17 does not oppose these cases going by affidavit today. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any 18 19 other interested parties in Cases 21985 and 21986? (Note: Pause.) Hearing none, Ms. Luck you may proceed. 20 21 MS. LUCK: Thank you. In these cases that is 22 correct that Matador is not intending to pool Marathon. 23 So I'll walk through the exhibits we filed 24 on Tuesday. 25 These cases have been consolidated into a

single exhibit packet, which was filed with the Division
on Tuesday.

3 The first case starts off with Case 21985, 4 and in that case Matador is seeking to pool the Bone Spring Formation underlying the north half of the south 5 half of Section 14 and 15. And that spacing unit will be 6 7 dedicated to the George 14 and 15-24S-28E 113H Well, and the API number and details of that well are provided in 8 the affidavit of Ms. Sara Hartsfield, which is included as 9 Matador's Exhibit C. 10 Then in Case 21986, Matador is seeking to 11 pool the south half of the south half of Sections 14 and 12 15, and that will be initially dedicated to the George 13 114H well. 14 15 These sections are all located in Township 16 24 South, Range 28 East in Eddy County, New Mexico. 17 In the exhibit packet that was filed with the Division on Tuesday, Matador included first as 18 Exhibit A copies of the application checklist that 19 included all the information required by the Division, 20 21 followed by Exhibit B, which are copies of both 22 applications for both cases. 23 And then, as I mentioned, Exhibit C is the 24 affidavit of Ms. Sara Hartsfield, who is Matador's landman 25 for these two cases. With her affidavit she provides all

Page 6

	Page 7	
1	of the standard land exhibits, including the C-102, a	
2	Tract and Unit Rehab as Exhibit C-2, which does reflect	
3	that Matador is not seeking to pool Marathon, and then	
4	that's also shown on Exhibit C-3.	
5	Matador Exhibit C-4 reflects the overriding	
б	royalty interest owners that Matador is seeking to pool,	
7	followed by Exhibit C-5, which is copies of the Well	
8	Proposal Letter and AFEs that were sent to the working	
9	interest partners.	
10	Then finally Exhibit C-6 is the chronology	
11	of Matador's contacts with the uncommitted working	
12	interest owners.	
13	Next in the packet is Matador's Exhibit D,	
14	which is the affidavit of Andrew Parker, who is the	
15	geologist for this project. His affidavit provides the	
16	required geology information for both cases, and he also	
17	provides his opinion that the Bone Spring is appropriate	
18	for horizontal well development in this area.	
19	Finally in the exhibit packet are Exhibits	
20	E and F, which are the Notice information, reflecting that	
21	Notice was timely provided in advance of this hearing.	
22	So with that I would move the admission of	
23	Exhibits A through F and request this case be taken under	
24	advisement.	
25	EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. All right.	

Page 8 1 Any questions, Ms. Shaheen, or any 2 objections? 3 MS. SHAHEEN: None for me. Thank you. 4 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. Bennett. MS. BENNETT: No questions. Thank you. 5 б EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. Murphy. 7 MS. MURPHY: Good morning. I'll try to get my questions in before they start construction, apparently, 8 in the next room. 9 10 But my question to you is: A two-mile lateral, Ms. Luck? 11 12 MS. LUCK: That's correct. These are two-mile laterals underlying Sections 14 and 15, and there is one 13 14 well in each spacing unit. 15 MS. MURPHY: And you're pooling the overriding 16 royalty interest, which is Devin? 17 MS. LUCK: That's right. MS. MURPHY: And about 82 percent are already 18 19 joined in this, either joinders or they're committed. Correct? 20 21 MS. LUCK: Yeah, that's right. That's right. 22 It looks like we are only looking to pool about 16.4 23 percent. MS. MURPHY: And 8 percent is OXY and then 3 1/2 24 25 percent for S.K. Warren and 3 1/2 percent for Calm Fort

Page 9 1 Worth, correct? 2 MS. LUCK: That is correct. 3 MS. MURPHY: I do have the same request of you 4 for these two cases, of the Midland map, that I had for 5 Mr. Bruce. There is a Mrs. Butler who apparently, according to the Midland map owns land, and so we really 6 7 need to have pertinent useful data on here. So I'll request that you submit those two exhibits, I said C-2, I 8 believe, in both of the packets. 9 MS. LUCK: I'll do that. 10 11 MS. MURPHY: And again OCD and SLO do have maps 12 that you can have with useful data. Thank you. 13 MS. LUCK: Thanks. We'll (inaudible) both of 14 the maps. 15 MS. MURPHY: And again, Mr. Brooks did affirm 16 that, so it would be good to start getting people to 17 submit better maps. Thank you. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, if Mr. Brooks affirmed 18 19 that, I'm certainly not going to disagree. 20 MS. MURPHY: Do you hear a phone ringing? 21 EXAMINER BRANCARD: No. Okay. 22 So what are we doing with Marathon here, Ms. Luck? You are not pooling them? 23 24 MS. LUCK: That's correct. If you turn to our 25 Exhibit C-3 you will see the working interest partners

Page 10 that we're seeking to pool, and Marathon is not being 1 2 pooled in these proceedings. 3 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. So do we need special 4 language for our Order? 5 MS. LUCK: I don't believe so, because this would be like any other typical voluntary agreement where б 7 the Division understands that there's voluntary agreements that are reached with the working interest partners that 8 are being pooled. 9 10 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. So you have a voluntary agreement with them? 11 12 MS. LUCK: I don't know the status of it, if it's complete at this point, but I would represent that 13 14 Marathon and Matador are working towards voluntary 15 agreement. 16 MS. BENNETT: This is Deana. 17 I too just have a -- Deana Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian. 18 19 Just one quick note, which is just that the OCD's Orders do say they pool all uncommitted interest 20 21 owners, and so long as everyone here is clear that the exhibit that Ms. Luck has provided identified the 22 uncommitted interest owners, then that not including 23 24 special language in the Order would be okay. But 25 certainly to reduce any ambiguity, I think it would be

Page 11 helpful at this point, because there is not an agreement 1 2 fully in place yet, to make that point clear in the Order. 3 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So you're saying that our 4 Order should just pool the uncommitted interest owners that are listed? 5 MS. BENNETT: I think that's implied in the 6 7 Order based on the exhibits that we prepare and submit, but there is some ambiguity, I think in the Orders. 8 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, our Orders are 9 designed to pool all uncommitted interest owners, and it's 10 the job of the Applicant to provide Notice to all of the 11 12 uncommitted interest owners, and then, boom, you're 13 pooled. 14 Okay. Maybe we can figure this out. 15 MS. BENNETT: I suppose one thing -- and, Ms. 16 Luck, I didn't look at your exhibit Compulsory Pooling 17 Checklist, but in the Compulsory Pooling Checklist there 18 is a portion, a section that says Special Requests or Other Provisions, and perhaps if it was just stated 19 expressly in the Compulsory Pooling Checklist, that would 20 21 suffice. 22 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Brancard, this is Jennifer Bradfute with Marathon Oil. 23 24 Perhaps the simplest solution would be just 25 one sentence in the Order saying that Marathon's interests

Page 12 are not pooled by this Order, that the parties are working 1 2 on a voluntary agreement. 3 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. If you want to 4 offer that. 5 I mean, I would also say: Look, it doesn't б matter, right? Because the Order can say you're pooled, 7 but the Order also says that you're supposed to be given Notice and an opportunity to be unpooled -- uncommitted 8 operators to become committed. 9 You know, saying that you're pooled is 10 meaningless if you go and sign an agreement. Then you're 11 12 not pooled. 13 So give us a sentence and we can work on 14 that. Thank you very much. 15 MS. BRADFUTE: 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Anything else, Ms. Luck? 17 MS. LUCK: Nothing further for me. I would just ask the cases be taken under advisement. The parties will 18 19 work together on language to get submitted to the Division. 20 21 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. So are there any other interested persons 22 for Cases 21985, 21986? (Note: Pause.) 23 24 Hearing none, the exhibits are admitted 25 into the record. In Cases 21985 we require a new map for

Page 13 your exhibits, and if you are going to offer language I will give you a week. And I mean it, because we get these Orders out quickly. We don't have a lot of Orders from this week's hearing, so they will get out quickly. So if you can get it to me by let's say Monday for any new language, because otherwise the Order б may be gone out already. With that, Cases 21985 and 21986 will be taken under the advisement. Thank you. (Time noted 9:31 a.m.)

	Page 14
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO).
2) SS
3	COUNTY OF TAOS)
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, August
8	19, 2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter
9	were taken before me; that I did report in stenographic
10	shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the
11	foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to
12	the best of my ability and control.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
17	disposition of this case in any court.
18	/s/ Mary Macfarlane
19	/S/ Mary Macrariane
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122
21	License Expires: 12/31/2021
22	
23	
24	
25	