STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of Spur Energy Partners for Compulsory Pooling and Case No. 21676 Eddy County, New Mexico

Application of Spur Energy Partners for Compulsory Pooling and Case No. 21677 Eddy County, New Mexico

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2021

EXAMINER HEARING

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiner, Leonard Lowe, Technical Examiner, on Thursday, September 23, 2021, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR #122

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEA	RANCES
2	FOR SPUR ENERGY PARTNERS:	Hinkle Shanor, LLP
3 4		P.O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2068 (505) 982-4544
5		dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com.
6	For CONCOCOPHILLIPS:	Adam G. Rankin, Esq. Holland & Hart
7		110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
8		(505) 988-4421 agrankin@hollandhart.com
9	CONT	E N T S
10	CASES NO. 22176, 22177	PAGE
11	CASES CALLED:	4
12	INQUIRY BY EXAMINER LOWE:	7
13	INQUIRY BY EXAMINER BRANCARD:	8
14	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT	9
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		

			Page 3
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2	SPUR	ENERGY EXHIBITS: AI	DMITTED
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION	
4	A	Self-Affirmed Statement of Morgan Landry	9
5	A-1	Application and Proposed Notice of Hearing	g 9
6	A-2	C-102s	9
7	A-3	Plat of Tracts/ownership	9
8	A-4	Sample Well Proposal Letter & AFEs	9
9	A-5	Summary of Communications	9
10	А-б	Hearing Notice Letter and return receipts	9
11	A-7	Notice of Publication	9
12	В	Self-Affirmed Statement of C.J. Lipinski	9
13	B-1	Location Map	9
14	B-2	Structure Map	9
15	B-3	Cross Section	9
16	B-4	Gunbarrel Schematic	9
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 (Time noted 9:38 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So with that I am going to
- 3 call Case 21676 -- I'm not sure whether Spur would like to
- 4 combine that with 21677, but anyway Spur Energy Partners.
- 5 Ms. Hardy.
- 6 MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
- 7 Dana Hardy with the Santa Fe Office of Hinkle Shanor on
- 8 behalf of Spur Energy Partners, and I would like to
- 9 combine Case 21676 and 21677 for purposes of hearing.
- 10 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. And so with that
- 11 I believe we have an Entry of Appearance for
- 12 ConocoPhillips.
- 13 MR. RANKIN: Good morning Mr. Examiner. Adam
- 14 Rankin with the law form of Holland and Hart, the Santa Fe
- 15 Office, appearing on behalf of ConocoPhillips Company in
- 16 both cases.
- 17 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any
- 18 other interested parties for Cases 21676 and 21677?
- 19 (Note: Pause.) Hearing none, you may proceed,
- 20 Ms. Hardy.
- MS. HARDY: Thank you.
- In Case 21676 Spur seeks an Order pooling
- 23 all uncommitted mineral interests in the Yeso Formation at
- 24 a depth of approximately 4,225 feet to 5,000 feet in a
- 25 315-acre, more or less, standard horizontal spacing unit

1 comprised of the south half of Section 7, Township 17

- 2 South, Range 30 East in Eddy County.
- 3 There are five wells in the proposed
- 4 spacing units and we are using proximity tracts, and the
- 5 proximity tract defining well is the Marek 7 Federal 22H.
- In Case No. 21677, Spur seeks an Order
- 7 pooling the same acreage at a depth of approximately 5,000
- 8 to 6,341 feet. So we are just dealing with different
- 9 depths on the same acreage, and in 21677 there is one well
- 10 that is a proximity tract well.
- 11 Spur's exhibits were initially submitted in
- 12 May and the matters were subsequently continued. As a
- 13 result we submitted an update regarding the land exhibits
- 14 on Tuesday of this week.
- 15 In both cases we have submitted the
- 16 self-affirmed statements of landman Morgan Landry and
- 17 geologist C.J. Lipinski. Landman Blair Brummell has since
- 18 replaced Mr. Landry, and we submitted his affidavit
- 19 adopting his testimony and exhibits on Tuesday.
- 20 The land ownership information is provided
- 21 in Exhibit A-3 in both cases, and that includes the plat,
- 22 tract ownership, pooled parties, and recapitulation. We
- 23 did update that exhibit on Tuesday with Mr. Brummell's
- 24 affidavit. The only difference is that ConocoPhillips was
- 25 removed as a pooled party.

1 The hearing Notice Letter and Certified

- 2 Mail receipts are provided in Exhibit A-6. Although there
- 3 were no unlocatable interests, we published Notice out of
- 4 an abundance of caution, and those Affidavits of
- 5 Publication are provided in Exhibit A-7 in both cases.
- 6 Mr. Lipinski provides the standard geology
- 7 exhibits, including a location map, structure map, cross
- 8 section and gunbarrel.
- 9 With that, unless there are questions I
- 10 request the exhibits be admitted and the cases be taken
- 11 under advisement.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 14 Mr. Rankin, questions or concerns on behalf
- of Conocophillips?
- MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
- No objections. Just one request that -- I
- 18 believe the exhibits are clear but if Ms. Hardy will just
- 19 confirm that ConocoPhillips is removed in both cases as a
- 20 pooled party.
- 21 MS. HARDY: That's correct, Mr. Rankin.
- MR. RANKIN: Thank you. No questions and no
- 23 objections to the admission of the exhibits or affidavits
- 24 in the record.
- 25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

- 1 Mr. Lowe, any questions?
- 2 EXAMINER LOWE: Just one of clarification on
- 3 your exhibits there.
- 4 On page 6 of 6 where you highlight in
- 5 yellow, where you indicate three parties as a leasehold
- 6 interest, and then the last of that is the Southwest
- 7 Royalties, Inc., and then below that is the overriding
- 8 royalty interest, and it indicates there are 28 items
- 9 under that.
- 10 What's the highlight for? Are they the
- 11 same thing? I'm just curious.
- MS. HARDY The highlighting on Exhibit A-3 -- is
- 13 that the exhibit you are referring to, Mr. Lowe?
- 14 EXAMINER LOWE: Let's see here.
- 15 MS. HARDY: I believe it is, but --
- 16 EXAMINER LOWE: It's page 6. I don't know which
- 17 one it is.
- 18 MS. HARDY: Okay. I think it is.
- 19 The highlighting shows which parties are
- 20 being pooled.
- 21 EXAMINER LOWE: Okay.
- 22 MS. HARDY And that's stated in the affidavits.
- 23 EXAMINER LOWE: Okay. Okay. That's all the
- 24 questions.
- MS. HARDY Thank you.

1 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Yeah, I follow Mr.

- 2 Lowe's questions. I had a hard time figuring out what was
- 3 different about your new Exhibit A-3. I finally noticed
- 4 that the highlighting was different.
- 5 But for Case 21676, unlike 21677, exhibit
- 6 A-3, it doesn't indicate what the highlighting is for. In
- 7 21677 it does say the highlighting indicates pooled
- 8 parties.
- 9 To me -- maybe this is my simple nature,
- 10 but a sort of a confusing table in Exhibit A-3, where
- 11 there is a table there that lists the tracts and
- 12 percentage of interest in the unit and number of acres
- 13 committed, and it sort of looks like all the acres are
- 14 committed. But all the acres are not committed, are they?
- 15 Or maybe that's just sort of a poor choice
- 16 of words there.
- 17 MS. HARDY Well, Mr. Examiner, I think -- are
- 18 you referring to the table on page -- on the
- 19 Recapitulation?
- 20 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Yes.
- MS. HARDY: I think that's just identifying the
- 22 percentages of the interests, it's not showing who is
- 23 committed.
- 24 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Because when I first
- 25 looked at that I thought: Oh, everybody is committed, 100

- 1 percent, what are we doing here?
- 2 MS. HARDY: Nope. We would not be here if that
- 3 were the case.
- 4 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Again, please be
- 5 careful when you submit exhibits that they clearly
- 6 indicate what they're talking about. You know, Mr.
- 7 Brummell's exhibit simply says, you know, the new exhibit
- 8 reflects the current parties Spur seeks to pool, but I had
- 9 a hard time figuring out what those were.
- 10 MS. HARDY Okay. Noted, Mr. Examiner. Thank
- 11 you.
- 12 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Are there any other
- 13 questions or concerns on Cases 21676, 21677? (Note:
- 14 Pause.) Hearing none, the exhibits in Cases 21676 and
- 15 21677 are admitted and their cases are taken under
- 16 advisement.
- MS. HARDY: Thank you.
- 18 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Why don't we
- 19 take about a 10-minute break here and come back at about
- 20 9:55.
- 21 (Time noted 9:45 a.m.)
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

	Page 10		
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)		
2	: ss		
3	COUNTY OF TAOS)		
4			
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter		
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday,		
8	September 23, 2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned		
9	matter were taken before me; that I did report in		
10	stenographic shorthand the proceedings set forth herein,		
11	and the foregoing pages are a true and correct		
12	transcription to the best of my ability and control.		
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by		
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the		
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and		
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final		
17	disposition of this case in any court.		
18	/s/ Mary Macfarlane		
19	——————————————————————————————————————		
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122		
21	License Expires: 12/31/2021		
22			
23			
24			
25			