Page 1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of Advance EnergyPartners Hat Mesa forCompulsory Pooling,Case Nos. 22105, 22106Eddy County, New Mexico22107, 22108

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

STATUS CONFERENCE

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 9 2021

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiner, Kurt Simmons, Technical Examiner, on September 9, 2021, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane. New Mexico CCR #122 PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS 500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR ADVANCE ENERGY PARTNERS HAT MESA: 3 Michael Rodriguez, Esq. Hinkle Shanor, LLP P.O. Box 2068 4 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2068 (505) 982-4544 5 mrodriguez@hinklelawfirm.com б 7 FOR CONOCPHILLIPS: Michael Feldewert, Esq. 8 Holland & Hart 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 9 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com. 10 11 12 CONTENTS CASE NOS. 22105, 22106, 22107, 22108 13 PAGE Case Called: 14 4 Exhibits admitted, record left open 15 11 for submission of documents, . 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

| | | | Page 3 | |
|----|-----------|--|-----------|--|
| 1 | | INDEX OF EXHIBITS | | |
| 2 | A | Self-Affirmed Statement of Paul Burdick | 11 | |
| 3 | A-1 | Application and Proposesd Notice of Hearing | 11 | |
| 4 | A-2 | C-102s | | |
| 5 | A-3 | Plat of Tracts, Tract Ownership, Unit Recap | 11 | |
| б | A-4 | Sample Well Proposal Letter | 11 | |
| 7 | A-5 | Summary of Communications | 11 | |
| 8 | А-б | Hearing Notice Letter and Return Receipts | 11 | |
| 9 | A-7 | Affidavit of Publication | 11 | |
| 10 | В | Self-Affirmec Statement of Edward Caamano | 11 | |
| 11 | B-1 | Location Map | 11 | |
| 12 | B-2 | Structure Map | 11 | |
| 13 | B-3 | Stratigraphic Cross Section | 11 | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | (Time noted 9:09 a.m.) | | |
| 16 | | EXAMINER BRANCARD: So maybe a real hear | ring now. | |
| 17 | Case | No. 21 and I'm not sure whether we are | | |
| 18 | cons | olidating these. It's 22105, there's also a - | -106, | |
| 19 | -107 | , -108, Advance Energy Partners. | | |
| 20 | | This is a single hearing or multip | le | |
| 21 | hearings? | | | |
| 22 | | Hinkle Shanor. | | |
| 23 | | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Mr. Examin | ner. | |
| 24 | Mich | ael Rodriguez of Hinkle Shanor on behalf of th | ne | |
| 25 | appl | icant Advance Energy Partners Hat Mesa. And I | I would | |

Page 4 request that these matters be consolidated as they are 1 2 competing cases, different intervals underlying adjacent 3 acreage. 4 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. So we have Cases 22105, 22106, 22107, 22108. 5 We have an Entry of Appearance from б 7 ConocoPhillips. 8 MR. FELDEWERT: May it please the Examiner, Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe Office of Holland and 9 Hart on behalf of ConocoPhillips in all four cases. 10 11 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Feldewert, do you have 12 any objection with this case going ahead by affidavit? 13 MR. FELDEWERT: I do not. 14 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons in Cases 22105, -106, 107 and 15 16 -108. (Note: Pause.) 17 Hearing none, Mr. Rodriguez you may 18 proceed. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. First, Ms. Macfarlane, can you hear me all right? 20 21 (Note: The reporter responded.) 22 Thank you. I'll try to keep this brief. I'll start with Case 22105. Advance is 23 24 seeking an Order pooling all uncommitted mineral interests 25 in the Bone Spring Formation underlying a 360-acre

Page 5 standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the 1 2 southeast quarter/northeast quarter and east 3 half/southeast quarter, of Section 13, east half/east half 4 of Section 24, and east half/northeast quarter of 5 Section 25, Township 21 South, Range 32 East, in Lea County New Mexico, and it seeks to dedicate this unit to 6 7 its Margarita Federal Com 7H, 8H, 12H and 16H wells. 8 And in Case No. 22106, Advance is seeking an Order pooling all uncommitted mineral interests within 9 the Wolfcamp Formation underlying a 360-acre standard 10 horizontal spacing unit comprised of the same acreage as 11 12 22105, in Section 25, Township 21 South, Range 32 East, in Lea County New Mexico, and it seeks to dedicate this unit 13 14 to its Margarita Federal Com 20 and 24H wells. 15 In Case No. 22107 Advance is seeking an 16 Order pooling all uncommitted mineral interests within the 17 Bone Spring Formation once again, underlying a 360-acre standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the 18 19 southwest quarter/northeast quarter and west half/southeast quarter of Section 13, west half/east half 20 21 of Section 24, and the west half/northeast quarter of 22 Section 25, Township 21 South, Range 32 East, in Lea County, New Mexico, and it seeks to dedicate this unit to 23 24 its Margarita Federal Com 5, 6, 11 and 15H wells. And, finally, in Case No. 22108 Advance 25

seeks an Order pooling all uncommitted mineral interests 1 2 within the Wolfcamp Formation underlying a 360-acre 3 standard horizontal spacing unit within the same acreage as Case 22107 in Township 21 South, Range 32 East, in Lea 4 5 County New Mexico, and it seeks to dedicate this unit to its Margarita Federal Com 19 and 23H wells. б 7 Identical exhibit packets were submitted 8 for these four cases to the Division, and those exhibit packets contain: The Compulsory Pooling Checklist; 9 Exhibit A, which is the landman's testimony and related 10 land exhibits identified in the testimony; Exhibit B, 11 12 which is the geologist's testimony and related geology exhibits identified within its testimony; and Exhibits A-7 13 are the sample Notice of Hearing Letter, Green Cards, and 14 Affidavit of Publication reflecting all Notice 15

16 requirements have been met.

With that I ask that Exhibits A, A-1
through A-7, B and B-1 through B-3 in all four of these
cases be admitted into the record and Case Nos. 22105,
22106, 22107 and 22108 be taken under advisement.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Mr. Feldewert,

22 any questions or concerns?

23 MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir.

24 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Mr. Simmons, any 25 questions?

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

Page 6

Page 7 EXAMINER SIMMONS: No, everything appears to be 1 2 Thank you. If anything comes up, we'll contact in order. 3 the applicant while writing up the Order. 4 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. So, Mr. Rodriguez, I have one concern and 5 б perhaps you can address this. 7 In each of the applications -- and maybe -you know, it would be embarrassing given how much emphasis 8 I put on this in our oil and gas law class. I may be 9 getting my Township, Ranges and Sections and Quarter 10 Sections wrong, but there appears to be a quarter/quarter 11 section in each of these units to the north. I believe 12 it's Section 13 northeast/northeast and 13 14 northwest/northeast. Are they being stranded? 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can you repeat which acreage 16 you're referring to? 17 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I think It's Section 13. It's the top part of the section. The northeast/northeast 18 tract and the northwest of the northeast, depending on 19 which of these units we are looking at. 20 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I believe what's happening is the surface hole location is kicking off in Unit A, and 22 the first take point is right on that boundary line. So I 23 24 do believe that all that acreage is actually being 25 utilized. But it's something that maybe I should confer

Page 8 with our landman for a more firm answer. 1 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Because the take point seems 3 to be in the quarter/quarter below 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, it seems like it's right on the borderline. 5 6 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So those quarter/quarter 7 sections are not being developed, it appears. Mr. Simmons, am I getting this right? 8 EXAMINER SIMMONS: Yes, that appears correct to 9 10 me, too, that these do appear to be stranded, and unless they have a take point within the required setbacks, 11 12 they're going to -- you know, they are not going to be producing Units A and B of Section 13 in any of these four 13 14 wells or four applications. Yeah. 15 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I don't know if you know, 16 Mr. Rodriguez, but is that acreage under Advance Energy 17 Partners' control? MR. RODRIGUEZ: I believe so. But again, I 18 would have to -- I believe there's a few other interested 19 parties that may have some of that acreage, but I will 20 21 have to take a further look at that and get back to you, 22 if you don't mind. 23 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Feldewert, did you have 24 any concerns? 25 MR. FELDEWERT: I'm looking for the land plat.

Page 9 Because obviously that's ascertaining whether 1 2 ConocoPhillips has an interest in the north half of the 3 northeast quarter. 4 Do we have a land plat some place? 5 Here we go. Okay. б MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. The C-102s are on page 2, 7 I believe. MR. FELDEWERT: I'm looking for -- it looks like 8 9 Exhibit A-3, perhaps. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Uh-huh. On page 16. Correct. 10 11 MR. FELDEWERT: Correct. 12 I would have to -- I doubt if Mr. Rodriguez 13 knows, just like I would not know. I don't know if 14 ConocoPhillips has an interest in the north half of the 15 northeast quarter, Mr. Brancard, but I do see your point 16 about the first take point, based on the footages, is 17 appearing to start in the the south half of the northeast 18 quarter. MR. RODRIGUEZ: As I look at this exhibit, there 19 is also an indication that it's on a drill island, the 20 21 northeast quarter. So I believe that this might have 22 something to do with the first take point. 23 MR. FELDEWERT: Are you including or is Advance 24 Energy including -- doesn't look they are -- the north 25 half of the northeast quarter? That's being excluded from 1 the spacing unit?

2

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Right.

3 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Simmons, I would leave 4 it up to you. How would you like Advance to respond to this question? Can they just respond directly to you or 5 would you like to continue this to another hearing? б 7 EXAMINER SIMMONS: I don't see a need to continue it. We can try to deal with it through 8 communication with counsel, and try to resolve it. 9 Because it will potentially strand that acreage, we need 10 to know why the plan is not including it at this point; 11 and if it does strand it, we will have to address it, 12 13 so... 14 But, yeah, we can handle it without a 15 hearing, I believe. 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. So we will leave 17 the record -- I will admit your exhibits, Mr. Rodriguez, and all your evidence today. We will leave the record 18 open for any further submittals that Mr. Simmons and you 19 work out to explain whether there's something innocent or 20 21 nefarious about what's going on up there in the north half 22 of the northeast quarter of Section 13. 23 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Brancard, I would like the 24 opportunity just to check with ConocoPhillips and just 25 alert Mr. Rodriguez and Mr. Simmons on whether we see an

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

Page 10

| | Page 11 |
|----|---|
| 1 | issue there. |
| 2 | EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. I appreciate |
| 3 | that. That would be great, too. |
| 4 | All right. So with that, the record is |
| 5 | left open in this case, and hopefully we will be able to |
| б | resolve this without having to go back to hearing. If so, |
| 7 | I will alert the parties if we need to continue this and |
| 8 | go back to hearing. |
| 9 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. |
| 10 | MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you. |
| 11 | (Time noted 9:20 a.m.) |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| | Page 12 |
|----|---|
| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO). |
| 2 | : 55 |
| 3 | COUNTY OF TAOS) |
| 4 | |
| 5 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| б | I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter |
| 7 | CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, |
| 8 | September 9, 2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned |
| 9 | matter were taken before me; that I did report in |
| 10 | stenographic shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, |
| 11 | and the foregoing pages are a true and correct |
| 12 | transcription to the best of my ability and control. |
| 13 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by |
| 14 | nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the |
| 15 | rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and |
| 16 | that I have no interest whatsoever in the final |
| 17 | disposition of this case in any court. |
| 18 | /s/ Mary Macfarlane |
| 19 | |
| 20 | MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122 |
| 21 | License Expires: 12/31/2021 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |