## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Case Nos.

Application of Cimarex Energy Co. 22143, 22144 for a Horizontal Spacing and Proration Unit and Compulsory Pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS THURSDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2021

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiner, on October 21, 2021, via Webex Virtual Conferencing platform hosted by New Mexico Department of Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Reported by: Christine J. Roybal New Mexico CCR #50

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	
4	For Matador Production Co., Chevron and Mewbourne:
5	MCWDOULTIC.
6	Michael Feldewert, Esq. Holland & Hart
7	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
8	Santa Fe, NM 87501
9	(505) 988-4421 mfeldewert@hollandhart.com
10	
11	
12	
13	For Cimarex Energy and Ascent Energy, LLC:
14	Darin Savage, Esq. Abadie & Schill, PC
15	214 McKenzie Street
16	Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 385-4401
17	darin@abadieschill.com
18	Technical Examiner:
19	Dean McClure
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

1 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Now call items 30 and 31,

- 2 Cimarex Energy, 22143, 22144.
- 3 MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner,
- 4 this is Darin Savage with Abadie & Schill for Cimarex Energy
- 5 Company.
- 6 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And I have Chevron USA, Inc.
- 7 MR. FELDEWERT: May it please the Examiner,
- 8 Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland &
- 9 Hart, appearing on behalf of Chevron.
- 10 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 11 And are there any other interested persons for cases
- 12 22143 or 22144?
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce. Late
- 14 yesterday I did fi- -- or sometime yesterday afternoon I did
- 15 file entries of appearance for MRC Permian Company and MRC
- 16 Permian LKE Company, LLC.
- 17 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 18 Are there any other interested persons?
- 19 Okay, I believe we're here on a status conference
- 20 because Chevron has filed an objection to this case going
- 21 ahead by affidavit.
- 22 MR. FELDEWERT: That's correct, Mr. Examiner.
- 23 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Does Chevron have competing
- 24 cases, or you're just being ornery?
- MR. FELDEWERT: I'm not just being ornery, no.

1 Mr. Examiner, we have a dispute here between the

- 2 companies on the development of the Bone Spring and the Wolf
- 3 Camp under this acreage Chevron owns in Section 20 and the
- 4 south half of Section 17, Cimarex owns in the north half of
- 5 17. Chevron, I can say, thought that they had an agreement
- 6 with Cimarex on the development of both the Bone Spring and
- 7 the Wolfcamp under these sections, but recent statements,
- 8 recent actions by Cimarex seem to indicate otherwise, so
- 9 Chevron has sent out some well proposals involving this
- 10 acreage. They intend to file pooling applications in
- 11 November if the parties cannot confirm or reach an
- 12 agreement.
- 13 I think -- I know Matador, as we found out, has now
- 14 filed appeared in this case. I also found out this morning
- 15 that I guess it appears that Cimarex has filed some
- 16 additional pooling applications involving some, if not all,
- 17 of this acreage. And they were filed, it looks like,
- 18 October 5th. So I think there's a number of matters, a
- 19 number of cases that are going to involve this subject area
- 20 so it seems to me we need to either set a status conference
- 21 at some point in the future or a contested hearing probably
- 22 some time given your schedule in January or February.
- 23 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- Mr. Savage, your thoughts?
- MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Mr. Feldewert is accurate, the

- 1 parties did engage in good faith conversations and
- 2 apparently those have come, I guess, to an impasse and the
- 3 parties have not been able to reach agreement on the
- 4 property development of the Wolfcamp and the Bone Spring.
- 5 We did receive some additional pooling inf- -- pooling code
- 6 information that showed that we needed to do an amended
- 7 application. And that has been filed and that was, you
- 8 know, formerly -- that was formerly case 22143, and now it
- 9 is a new case, 22317. And that would be as part of the
- 10 evaluation of the best development plans. Yeah, I -- I
- 11 agree with Mr. Feldewert that, you know, there needs to be a
- 12 scheduling, sounds like to me, for a competing application
- 13 hearing and that that would be, you know, something that
- 14 would be at a time appropriate for the Division to hear.
- MR. FELDEWERT: You know, I'm wondering,
- 16 Mr. Brancard, I have got to digest this new application. I
- 17 think, it looks to me, like the parties are trying to figure
- 18 out what applications are being filed in light of this
- 19 recent breakdown in their discussions and, you know, what
- 20 cases involve what acreage. I'm wondering if it makes more
- 21 sense to have a, perhaps a status conference a month from
- 22 now when we get all this kind of sorted out and then set a,
- 23 you know, the matter for a contested hearing. Because at
- 24 this point, there's going to be some additional cases filed
- 25 with additional case numbers, including, I guess the ones

- 1 that were recently filed by Cimarex. So it is -- you know,
- 2 I can guess, but at this point in time, it may be difficult
- 3 for you to come up with a list of cases that are going to be
- 4 set for a competing, you know, contested case docket.
- 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I was thinking the same thing,
- 6 Mr. Feldewert. I was thinking like maybe December 2nd for a
- 7 status conference. So just let me check that for a second
- 8 with Mr. Savage and then go to Mr. Bruce.
- 9 Mr. Savage, are you saying that 22317 is replacing one
- 10 of the cases today?
- 11 MR. SAVAGE: That is correct. The moment we filed
- 12 that, we provided notice to all parties who made an
- 13 appearance, including Chevron. So everybody is fully
- 14 informed on that. That should replace 22143. 22317 should
- 15 replace that. And it has to -- it accounts for a bit of an
- 16 overlapping unit that Cimarex owns in that proposed
- 17 three-mile unit. But that sounds reasonable,
- 18 Mr. Feldewert's proposition. He suggested that a competing
- 19 application would take place sometime in January or
- 20 February. So checking in with a status conference in
- 21 December sounds pretty useful for us.
- 22 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So, Mr. Savage, are you going
- 23 to file a dismissal for 22143?
- MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Yes, we plan to do that. And
- 25 we'll do the full notice on that.

1 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Let me check with Mr. Bruce

- 2 for a second here.
- 3 MR. BRUCE: I'm just sitting on the sidelines, so
- 4 whatever the other parties agree to is fine with me.
- 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay, thank you.
- 6 All right. So why don't we set for -- this for a
- 7 status conference on December I believe it is 2nd.
- 8 Is that right, Marlene? Is that the date first date
- 9 in December?
- 10 MS. SALVIDREZ: You are correct.
- 11 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And at this point, I will list
- 12 all -- I will list 22143, 144 and 22317 for the status
- 13 conference, unless you get your dismissal in really quickly,
- 14 Mr. Savage --
- MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
- 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: -- in the scheduling order.
- Does that work for everyone?
- 18 Mr. Feldewert?
- 19 MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah. I'm looking here,
- 20 Mr. Examiner. I know Mr. Savage mentioned some overlapping
- 21 applications. I believe those are the ones I'm looking at
- 22 now that were filed on the 5th that would currently appear
- 23 on the November 4th docket. It looks like those are cases
- 24 22295, 22296, and 22297. It would seem that we might want
- 25 to include those for the status conference at this point

- 1 until we get all this sorted out.
- 2 MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Feldewert, I believe that's the
- 3 Southern Hills application and this is the Whites City that
- 4 Chevron made an appearance in. I notified Chevron about
- 5 the -- about the Southern Hills applications. They are
- 6 reviewing those and see what they want to do about those.
- 7 MR. FELDEWERT: That is correct. And it was
- 8 brought to my attention, so it does seem to me that we would
- 9 want to have a -- include those, Mr. Examiner, in the status
- 10 conference.
- 11 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. For now, I will
- 12 include the three cases I have just discussed. If you all
- 13 could confer about these other cases, and if you wanted,
- 14 then, you know, to propose a motion to add them to that
- 15 status conference, that would be fine, if that would be
- 16 helpful.
- 17 MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
- 18 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay with you, Mr. Savage?
- 19 MR. SAVAGE: Yes, Mr. Examiner. Thank you.
- 20 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So
- 21 with that, cases 22143 and 22144 will be set for a status
- 22 conference on December 2nd. File continuances.
- 23 MR. FELDEWERT: Did you mean, then, to also add
- 24 22317.
- 25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Yes. And also 22317.

```
Page 9
                MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
 1
          (Concluded 8:41 a.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 10
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
2	) SS
3	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO )
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	
7	I, CHRISTINE J. ROYBAL, New Mexico Reporter
8	No. CCR-50, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, October 21,
9	2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were
10	taken before me; that I did repot in stenographic shorthand
11	the proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages
12	are true and correct transcription to the best of my ability
13	and control.
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor
15	related to nor contracted with any of the parties or
16	attorneys in this case, and that I have no interest
17	whatsoever in the final disposition of this case in any
18	court.
19	
20	/S/ Christine J. Roybal
21	CHRISTINE J. ROYBAL, CCR, RPR NEW MEXICO CERTIFIED COURT
22	REPORTER NO. 50 LICENSE EXPIRES 12/31/22
23	
24	
25	