## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

IN RE LEASE HOLDERS ACQUISTIONS, INC. Case No. 22220

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 1, 2021

## EXAMINER HEARING

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division before Hearing Examiner, Ripley Harwood, Esq. on Wednesday, December 1, 2021, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Department of Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR #122

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR NM EMNRD, OIL & GAS DIVISION:	
3	Jesse Tremaine, Esq. Assistant General Counsel, NM	LUMMDIJ I
4	122 S. St. Francis Drive	
5	Santa Fe, NM 87505 (505) 231-9312	
6	JesseK.Tremaine@state.nm.us	
7	CONTENTS	
8	CASE NO. 22220	PAGE
9	CASE CALLED:	3
10	CASE TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT:	13
11		
12	INDEX OF WITNESSES	
13	OIL AND GAS DIVISION WITNESS	PAGE
14	ROB JACKSON	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TREMAINE:	5
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		INDEX of EXHIBITS	Page 3
2	No.	DESCRIPTION	ADMITTED
3	1	Resume	9
4	2	Notice of Violation	9
5	3	OCD Docketing Notice	9
6	4	Certified Mail tracking information	9
7	5	Civil Penalty Calculation	9
8	6	Civil Penalty Calculation	9
9	7	Civil Penalty Calculation (FA)	9
10	8	Email correspondence	9
11	9	Email correspondence	9
12	10	Email correspondence	9
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 (Time noted 1:00 p.m.)
- EXAMINER HARWOOD: Okay. So, Ms. Macfarlane,
- 3 let's go back on the record. And we are here on the
- 4 December 1, 2021, Docket, Notice of Violation Hearings,
- 5 and I believe we are down to the last three, Case No.
- 6 22220, 22221, and then No. 6, which is 22222.
- 7 Is there anybody in attendance at this
- 8 hearing that represents any of Respondents in any of those
- 9 three, Primal Energy Corporation, Robinson Oil, LLC, or
- 10 Lease Holders Acquisitions Incorporated. Speak up now.
- 11 (Note: Pause.) All right. I'll note for
- 12 the record that nobody unmuted themselves on the list of
- 13 people listening in on this, so we are going to that that
- 14 those three entities are not represented today.
- 15 Do you have -- Mr. Tremaine, do you have a
- 16 preference to which case goes first?
- 17 MR. TREMAINE: I would just take them in order,
- 18 in docket order.
- 19 EXAMINER HARWOOD: That's fine.
- 20 Let's proceed, then, with Case No. 22220.
- 21 When we are done with that I will re-announce a request
- for representation in the next case, and so on and so
- 23 forth.
- 24 Let's proceed. If you are ready to proceed
- 25 with that case, Mr. Tremaine, the floor is yours.

- 1 MR. TREMAINE: Yes, sir.
- 2 I understand that Mr. Jackson is still
- 3 sworn in, and I would, just for the sake of time, skip the
- 4 introductory testimony and go right on to the exhibits, if
- 5 that's all right with you.
- 6 EXAMINER HARWOOD: Sure. Mr. Jackson, you do
- 7 understand you are still under oath from this morning?
- 8 MR. JACKSON: Yes, sir.
- 9 EXAMINER HARWOOD: Okay.
- 10 ROB JACKSON,
- 11 previously sworn, testified as follows:
- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. TREMAINE:
- 14 Q. All right. Mr. Jackson, we are talking about
- 15 Lease Holders Acquisitions, Case No. 22202.
- 16 I want to ask you a few questions about the
- 17 Prehearing Statement in this case and then move on to the
- 18 exhibits.
- 19 Are you aware that the OCD filed a
- 20 Prehearing Statement and Exhibit Binder in this case?
- 21 You're muted.
- 22 A. Yes. My apologies.
- 23 Q. Have you reviewed both the Prehearing Statement
- 24 and the Exhibit Binder?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

1 Q. And who provided the initial information and the

- numbers that form the basis of the Notice of Violation in
- 3 this matter?
- 4 A. I did.
- 5 Q. Are you aware that there is a section labeled
- 6 Direct Testimony in the Prehearing Statement?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you affirm and adopt the Direct Testimony
- 9 section of the Prehearing Statement as the testimony that
- 10 you intend to provide today?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. I want to move on to the exhibits.
- 13 Mr. Jackson, Exhibit 1 is your resume; is
- 14 that correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. You created that exhibit?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And is that true and accurate to the best of
- 19 your knowledge?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Exhibit No. 2, is it true that is the Notice of
- Violation which you worked on and was issued on August 12,
- 23 2021 to Lease Holders Acquisitions?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And to the best of your knowledge is the

1 information contained in that Notice of Violation true and

- 2 accurate?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And Exhibit No. 3, is it true this is the Oil
- 5 Conservation Division's Docketing Notice?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. Exhibit No. 4 I want to ask you in a little bit
- 8 more -- a couple of additional questions.
- 9 So Exhibit No. 4, is it true that this is
- 10 the Certified Mail tracking information related to the
- 11 Notice of Violation and the Docket Notice for Lease
- 12 Holders Acquisitions.
- 13 A. It is.
- 14 Q. And have you reviewed the tracking information
- 15 associated with those tracking numbers?
- 16 A. I have.
- 17 Q. And have you been able to verify delivery dates
- 18 of that Certified Mail?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And specifically are you able to verify that the
- 21 delivery, the status of that Certified Mail is accurate as
- 22 it's presented in the Prehearing Statement?
- 23 A. It is.
- Q. Moving on to Exhibit 5. Mr. Jackson, is it true
- 25 that this is the OCD's proposed civil penalty for the

1 violation of having inactive wells exceeding the threshold

- 2 set out in 19.15.5.9(A)?
- 3 A. Correct. It is.
- 4 Q. Were you able to review the numbers of inactive
- 5 wells that form that basis of this civil penalty
- 6 calculation?
- 7 A. I did.
- 8 Q. Based on your review are the numbers here true
- 9 and accurate?
- 10 A. They are.
- 11 Q. Same question for Exhibit 6. Is it accurate
- 12 that this is the OCD's proposed civil penalties for
- operator's failure to file C-115s?
- 14 A. It is.
- 15 Q. And were you able to review the numbers that are
- 16 the basis of this violation?
- 17 A. I have.
- 18 Q. And those are also true and accurate?
- 19 A. It is.
- 20 Q. Moving on to Exhibit 7, Mr. Jackson. Is it true
- 21 that this is the proposed civil penalty for the violation
- 22 of failure to provide financial assurance?
- 23 A. It is.
- Q. And have you reviewed for accuracy the numbers
- 25 that form the basis of this civil penalty calculation?

- 1 A. I have.
- Q. Are they accurate?
- 3 A. They are.
- 4 MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Exhibit
- 5 No. 8 is email correspondence that I believe speaks for
- 6 itself and that Mr. Jackson cannot speak to.
- 7 And Exhibit No. 9 is also email
- 8 correspondence that speaks for itself, that Mr. Jackson
- 9 cannot speak to.
- 10 And the same is true for Exhibit No. 10.
- 11 Exhibits 8 through 10 constitute attempts by either myself
- 12 or my paralegal to provide Notice, in addition to the
- 13 Certified Mail tracking to Lease Holders Acquisitions.
- 14 And I would note that as things
- 15 progressed -- actually, strike that.
- 16 So at this time I would move admission of
- 17 all Exhibits 1 through 10.
- 18 EXAMINER HARWOOD: And I'll note for the record
- 19 that the Respondent has failed to appear either on its own
- 20 or through counsel, so since there's no objections to any
- 21 of these exhibits, they will be admitted.
- 22 MR. TREMAINE: At this point, Mr. Hearing
- 23 Examiner, with the admission and the adoption of the
- 24 Direct Testimony and the admission of the exhibits, I
- 25 don't believe that -- I only have a couple of additional

1 questions for Mr. Jackson, but I don't intend to walk

- 2 through each of the violations unless you want me to, or
- 3 have specific questions.
- 4 EXAMINER HARWOOD: I don't see the need for
- 5 that. I would like the record to reflect either in
- 6 testimony or in a Proposed Order that you submit, when the
- 7 Certified Mail, Exhibit 4, when those Certified Mail
- 8 returns were received and what they show the date was for
- 9 service of the NOV and the Docket Notice.
- I don't need to know that in testimony but
- 11 I think that should be in an Order.
- 12 MR. TREMAINE: Okay. Just for the record, I
- don't have a record of the return receipts. I can't speak
- 14 for the United States Postal Service, but this is a
- 15 problem we've had consistent across Certified Mail since
- 16 August. We are seeing three-plus weeks for delivery in
- 17 certain instances, and often -- or regularly we're not
- 18 getting the return receipts.
- 19 What happened in this case was that I asked
- 20 Mr. Jackson to verify the tracking information, so I have
- 21 provided in Exhibit 4 the Certified Mail receipt from the
- 22 mailing but not the return receipt, because I don't
- 23 believe the OCD has it. And what Mr. Jackson did is
- 24 verify that the tracking information through the United
- 25 States Postal Service website indicates the NOV and the

- 1 Docketing Notice were delivered.
- In this case the Docketing Notice was not
- 3 accepted. I would note, though, that the Docketing Notice
- 4 is not required to be delivered by Certified Mail, and all
- 5 of the Notices were also sent to the email address that
- 6 the operator has on record in OCD's system.
- 7 EXAMINER HARWOOD: Okay. Well, I doubt that the
- 8 regulations require delivery by Certified Mail. I may be
- 9 wrong, but legally I think it is generally sufficient if
- 10 you can show that you mailed to the last known, you know,
- 11 provided address of record and that the document was not
- 12 returned to you.
- So I would just include what -- the best
- 14 information you can as to Notice in that Proposed Order.
- 15 MR. TREMAINE: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
- 16 I want to note that the Notice of Violation
- must be delivered by Certified Mail in 19.15.5.10.(C)(2).
- 18 The Notice of Violation is required by Certified Mail, and
- 19 may provide -- the Division may provide the Notice of
- 20 Violation by electronic mail, if possible.
- 21 EXAMINER HARWOOD: Okay.
- 22 MR. TREMAINE: So what I'm attempting to show
- 23 here is that OCD exceeded that requirement and actually
- 24 provided the Docketing Notice by Certified Mail, however
- 25 it was not accepted, for unknown reasons, and we went belt

1 and suspenders and also sent it by email, both, to the

- 2 last address on record with the OCD. So I can provide
- 3 supplemental information capturing that address of record
- 4 in OCD's system.
- 5 EXAMINER HARWOOD: I think it would be
- 6 sufficient just to state all of that in a Proposed Order.
- 7 MR. TREMAINE: Understood. Thank you.
- 8 EXAMINER HARWOOD: Also that Proposed Order
- 9 should include all of the findings of violations and the
- 10 proposed penalties, which you probably know better than I
- 11 do.
- MR. TREMAINE: I'm happy to provide that.
- 13 EXAMINER HARWOOD: Okay.
- MR. TREMAINE: So I just have a couple of
- 15 additional questions for the record for Mr. Jackson.
- 16 Q. Rob, to your knowledge has the operator taken
- any of the compliance actions that were required by OCD
- 18 under Section 3 of the NOV?
- 19 A. No, they haven't.
- 20 Q. And to your knowledge has this operator
- 21 contacted OCD generally, or Administrative Compliance
- 22 Bureau specifically, to discuss the NOV?
- 23 A. No.
- MR. TREMAINE: No further questions.
- 25 EXAMINER HARWOOD: Okay. Well, give me a draft

```
Page 13
     of that Proposed Order at your earliest convenience.
 1
 2
                     And we will move on to the next case if
 3
     there's nothing further.
               MR. TREMAINE: Nothing further.
 4
               (Time noted 1:12 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 14
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
2	) SS
3	COUNTY OF TAOS )
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter
7	CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Wednesday,
8	December 1, 2021, the proceedings in the above-captioned
9	matter were taken before me; that I did report in
10	stenographic shorthand the proceedings set forth herein,
11	and the foregoing pages are a true and correct
12	transcription to the best of my ability and control.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
14	nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the
15	rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and
16	that I have no interest whatsoever in the final
17	disposition of this case in any court.
18	/s/ Mary MacFarlane
19	
20	MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122
21	License Expires: 12/31/2021
22	
23	
24	
25	