STATE OF NEW MEXICO.

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of MARATHON OIL
PERMIAN, LLC FOR (1) to the
Extent Necessary Approval of a
Non-Standard Spacing Unit, and (2)
Compulsory Pooling,
Eddy County, New Mexico

Case No. 22384

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, JANUARY 6, 2022

## EXAMINER HEARING

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. HearingExaminer, John Garcia Technical Examiner, on Thursday, January 6, 2022, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR #122

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

	ı
	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES.
2	FOR MARATHON OIL PERMIAN COMPANY, LLC:
3	Deana M. Bennett, Esq.
4	Modrall Sperling. P.O Box 2168
5	Albuquerque, NM (505) 848-1845
6	deana.bennett@modrall.com
7	FOR S.K. WARREN RESOURCES, LLC
8	Sharon T. Shaheen, Esq.
9	Montgomery & Andrews 325 Paseo de Peralta
10	Santa Fe NM 87501 (505) 986-2678
11	sshaheen@montand.com
12	CONTENTS
13	CASE NO. 22384 PAGE
14	CASE CALLED: 4
15	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT: 11
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

			Page 3
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2	MARA	THON OIL PERMIAN EXHIBITS	ADMITTED
3	A	Compulsory Pooling Checklist	11
4	В	Declaration of Chase Rice, Land Professioal	11
5	1	Application	11
6	2	C-102s	11
7	3	Lease Tract Map/Summary of Interests	11
8	4	Summary of Contacts	11
9	5	Sample Well Proposal	11
10	6	AFE	11
11	7	Notice Affidavit	11
12	С	Declaration of Matt Baker, Geologist	11
13	8	Locator Map	11
14	9	Wellbore Schematic	11
15	10	Structure Map	11
16	11	Cross Section Reference Map	11
17	12	Stratigraphic Cross Section	11
18	13	Gross Interval Isochore	11
19	14	Overview of Stress Orientation	11
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 (Time noted 9:34 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that I will call Case
- 3 22384, Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.
- 4 Ms. Bennett, are you there? Are you muted?
- 5 MS. BENNETT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Deana
- 6 Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.
- 7 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Let's figure out
- 8 what's going on here.
- 9 We've got entries of appearance from MRC
- 10 Permian?
- MR. RANKIN: May it please the Court -- uh, may
- 12 it please the Court? Mr. Examiner, Adam Rankin appearing
- on behalf of MRC Permian in these cases, with the law firm
- 14 of Holland & Hart, the Santa Fe office. Mr. Examiner, we
- 15 do not object to these cases proceeding by affidavit.
- 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. S.K. Warren
- 17 Resources.
- MS. SHAHEEN: Mr. Examiner, Sharon Shaheen of
- 19 Montgomery & Andrews on behalf of S.K. Warren Resources.
- 20 Mr. Warren does not object to these cases going forward by
- 21 affidavit.
- 22 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Thank you.
- 23 Any other interested persons for Case
- 24 22384.
- 25 Hearing none, Ms. Bennett you may proceed.

1 MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much. I submitted

- 2 exhibits for this case on Tuesday. And I submitted an
- 3 initial packet, but upon reviewing the initial packet I
- 4 realized I made a couple of typographical errors so I
- 5 immediately submitted an amended exhibit packet, and it
- 6 has in red on the cover page "Amended Exhibits".
- 7 And I also included right after the cover
- 8 page a Notice of Amended Exhibits, requesting that these
- 9 exhibits be the operative set of exhibits and identifying
- 10 the errors that I made in the original exhibit packet.
- 11 And so I'm hopeful that what you have
- 12 before you now is the amended exhibit packet for Case No.
- 13 22384.
- 14 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I do see both of those in
- 15 our file.
- Mr. Garcia, do you have both of those?
- 17 EXAMINER GARCIA: I do.
- 18 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 19 Please proceed, then.
- MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
- 21 So in the amended exhibit packet, I have
- 22 included as Tab A the Compulsory Pooling Checklist, and I
- 23 did want to point out the information that we've included
- in the Additional Information box of the Compulsory
- 25 Pooling Checklist, which is at this very last page of the

1 checklist, and in that Additional Information Special

- 2 Provision stipulation section, I have indicated that
- 3 Marathon and MRC Permian and Marathon and Foran Oil have
- 4 come to an agreement in this case, and that Marathon has
- 5 agreed that MRC Permian's uncommitted interests are not
- 6 pooled under this Order, nor are Foran Oil LP's interests
- 7 being pooled under the Order.
- 8 So I included that in the Special
- 9 Provisions portion of the Compulsory Pooling Checklist so
- 10 that it's clear in the record what special provision is
- 11 Marathon is requesting be included in the Order.
- 12 The next set of materials in the exhibit
- 13 are the Self-Affirmed Declaration of Chase Rice. Mr. Rice
- is a landman from Arizona and he's previously testified
- 15 before the Division and his credentials have been accepted
- 16 as a matter of record.
- 17 In his Declaration he goes through the
- 18 usual testimony or statements, including that Marathon
- 19 seeks to be designated as operator of the well; Marathon
- 20 seeks \$7500 and \$750 as overhead and administrative costs;
- 21 and Marathon is requesting that the standard risk penalty
- 22 be assessed.
- Behind Mr. Rice's affidavit -- or excuse
- 24 me, his declaration, are the usual suite of exhibits,
- 25 including the C-102s; the lease tract maps; and the

- 1 interests, the owners of interest in the well -- I'm
- 2 sorry, in the unit; and a list of the overriding royalty
- 3 interest owners.
- 4 And behind that set of materials is the
- 5 list of the parties that Marathon seeks to pool.
- 6 We've also included a sample of the
- 7 Proposal Letter, as well as the AFEs, and Mr. Rice
- 8 testifies that the costs for drilling and completing the
- 9 wells are in line with similar costs of other wells of
- 10 this length and depth in New Mexico.
- In this case Marathon is proposing a
- 12 480-acre spacing unit in the Purple Sage Wolfcamp, and the
- 13 reason its 480 is because the quarter section is excluded
- 14 from the spacing unit. And Mr. Rice testifies in his
- 15 Declaration, or states in his Declaration that that will
- 16 not result in any stranding of acreage because that
- 17 quarter section is already dedicated to a unit that's
- 18 operated by MRC Permian. And that's as the "Anne Com."
- 19 wells and unit.
- 20 And Mr. Rice testifies to that in paragraph
- 21 14 of his Declaration.
- 22 Behind his Declaration there's also the
- 23 Notice Affidavit prepared by our firm, and it shows that
- 24 Notice of the Hearing was timely mailed and that
- 25 publication was timely.

1 Then behind Mr. Rice's declaration is the

- 2 declaration or Mr. Matt Baker. Mr. Baker is a geologist
- 3 with Marathon and he's previously testified before the
- 4 Division and his credentials have been accepted as a
- 5 matter of record.
- 6 And his geology study includes the usual
- 7 suite of geology exhibits, including a Structure Map,
- 8 Cross Reference Locator Map, the Cross Section and
- 9 Isopach, as well as an expert of from the Snee, Zoback
- 10 paper for providing the justification for the orientation
- 11 of the wells in this area. And in his Declaration Mr.
- 12 Baker states that he concludes that the horizontal spacing
- 13 unit is justified, that there are no structural
- 14 impediments or faulting, and that each quarter section of
- 15 the unit will contribute more or less equally to
- 16 production.
- 17 With that let me check my notes.
- 18 And with that I would ask that the exhibits
- 19 in Case No. -- the amended exhibits in Case No. 22384 be
- 20 admitted into the record and this case be taken under
- 21 advisement.
- Thank you.
- 23 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- Ms. Shaheen, any questions.
- 25 MS. SHAHEEN: Not from S.K. Warren. Thank you.

- 1 EXAMINER Brancard: Mr. Rankin.
- MR. RANKIN: No questions, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
- I would just note we appreciate
- 4 clarification of the status of my client's interests in
- 5 the filings. So nothing further.
- 6 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 7 Mr. Garcia.
- 8 EXAMINER GARCIA: I guess I'm just curious on
- 9 the wording on the application "to the extent necessary"
- 10 approval of a nonstandard spacing unit.
- I guess the "to the extent necessary" stood
- 12 out to me.
- MS. BENNETT: Yes. Thank you.
- 14 There is at least anecdotal discussion or
- 15 there's some on the ground, I guess, thinking that a
- 16 nonstandard unit in the -- I'm sorry the Purple Sage
- 17 Wolfcamp can be a 480-acre unit so long as it's a 360
- 18 building block and then you build on the 360 going forward
- 19 so that the minimum is met.
- 20 That's what I have heard just in
- 21 conversations with other people. But, you know, there is
- 22 the rule that Purple Sage Wolfcamp Orders seem to
- 23 contemplate 360 building blocks only, so to the extent
- 24 that 360 building blocks are the requirement for Purple
- 25 Sage Wolfcamp for each building block, then this would

- 1 require a nonstandard unit approval.
- 2 And we did notify MRC Permian, which is the
- 3 party that would be excluded from the unit, the operator
- 4 of the quarter section that would be excluded in the event
- 5 that a nonstandard approval was required.
- 6 EXAMINER GARCIA: Thank you.
- 7 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Just to clarify the
- 8 record, you were referring to a 360 unit. I think it
- 9 should actually be a 320-acre unit.
- MS. BENNETT: Yes. Sorry. 320.
- 11 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I guess we will research
- 12 whether approval of a nonstandard spacing unit is
- 13 necessary here in terms of the Wolfcamp Pool rules.
- MS. BENNETT: Yes. And just for the Division's
- 15 information, I was a bit confused by, or I found some
- ambiguity in 19.15.16.15C(1)D, which says that a
- 17 horizontal spacing unit shall contain at least the minimum
- 18 acreage required by the Special Pool Orders for a spacing
- 19 unit; and if that's the rule that applies, then this would
- 20 not be nonstandard.
- Wow, that was a lot of negatives.
- 22 This would be standard because this unit
- 23 would include at least the minimum acreage required by the
- 24 Purple Sage Wolfcamp Pool Order.
- 25 So that's where I think some of my

1 ambiguity comes from, is harmonizing C(1)D with B(2). And

- 2 B(2) seems to suggest that if the pool has more than 40
- 3 acres then you go with just what the pool rule says. But
- 4 I can't really harmonize C(1)D and B(2) because they both
- 5 seems to contemplate pools that aren't 40-acre building
- 6 blocks.
- 7 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Right. It's a very good
- 8 point. We'll try to twist that one around, see which way
- 9 it comes out.
- 10 But thank you for providing testimony about
- 11 what could potentially be considered stranded acreage,
- 12 which it appears it's not, it's already part of an
- 13 existing spacing unit for laydown wells (inaudible) your
- 14 standup wells. So any other questions, Mr. Garcia?
- 15 EXAMINER GARCIA: No further questions.
- 16 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Any other
- 17 interested persons in Case 22384?
- 18 Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted
- 19 into the record and Case 22384 will be taken under
- 20 advisement. We will look at the provisions you provided
- 21 for not pooling MRC Permian, and we will look at the issue
- 22 of a nonstandard spacing unit, as you state, if necessary.
- MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much.
- MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 25 (Time noted 9:48 a.m.)

Page 12 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) 2 : ss 3 COUNTY OF TAOS ) 4 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter 6 CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, 8 January 6, 2022, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me; that I did report in 9 stenographic shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, 10 and the foregoing pages are a true and correct 11 12 transcription to the best of my ability and control. 13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 14 nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the 15 rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and 16 that I have no interest whatsoever in the final 17 disposition of this case in any court. 18 /s/ Mary MacFarlane 19 20 MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122 21 License Expires: 12/31/2022 22 23 24 25