Page 1

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS: 22505

APPLICATION OF NOVO OIL & GAS NORTHERN DELAWARE LLC TO AMEND ORDER NO. R-21092-A TO EXCLUDE THE SECOND BONE SPRING INTERVAL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

> REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING February 3, 2022 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING OFFICER WILLIAM BRANCARD and TECHNICAL EXAMINER DYLAN ROSE-COSS on Thursday, February 3, 2022, through the Webex Platform.

Reported by: Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253 PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, NM 87102 505-843-9241

A P P E A R A N C E S

Page 2 For the Applicant: ADAM RANKIN HOLLAND & HART 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, NM 87501 INDEX CASE CALLED SUMMARY OF CASE AND EXHIBITS CONTINUED REPORTER CERTIFICATE EXHIBIT INDEX Admitted Exhibits and Attachments 

Page 3 1 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that, I will 2 call Item 43, Case 22505, Novo Oil & Gas. MR. RANKIN: May it please the Division, Adam 3 Rankin with the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart appearing 4 5 on behalf of the applicant in this case, Novo Oil & Gas Northern Delaware LLC. 6 7 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Are there any other interested persons for Case 22505? 8 9 (No audible response.) 10 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, 11 Mr. Rankin, you may proceed. MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. This is a 12 13 little bit different than the cases that we normally see. In this case Novo is requesting that the Second Bone Spring 14 interval of the Bone Spring formation in its existing 15 16 spacing unit as created under order R-21092-A be excluded 17 from the order to enable Novo to develop the remainder of the Bone Spring formation in the Second Bone Spring 18 formation without the inclusion of an existing well in the, 19 20 in the portion of the acreage that is drilled to be completed within the Second Bone Spring formation. 21 Included in the exhibits that were filed on 22 23 Tuesday, Exhibits A through D are -- Exhibit A, which is a 24 copy of the application which lays out what it is that Novo 25 is requesting, and, in particular, Novo is requesting that

the order, R-21092-A, be amended for the purposes of excluding the Second Bone Spring formation from specific interval, the stratigraphic equivalent of about 7,575 feet to 8,442 feet as defined in a neutron density log in the nearby well, the HS 13 Com Number 1C well.

Exhibit D is the affidavit of Mr. Brandon 6 7 Patrick, landman with Novo who has previously testified 8 before the Division. He reviews in his affidavit the 9 situation arose where having already pooled the acreage and 10 working with Oxy, they realized there was an existing horizontal Bone Spring well in the N/2 -- a one mile well in 11 12 the N/2 of the N/2 of -- let me see what section is it --13 irregular Section 18 within the existing spacing unit.

Having identified that, they conferred with Oxy and discussed excluding that second interval of the Bone Spring from the pooling order, and Oxy did not object, so Novo has proceeded to file its application seeking to exclude that portion of the Bone Spring.

This will allow them to develop the First and Third and other portions of the Bone Spring formation that they prefer two mile laterals, and then they plan to come back in, and they are already in the process of developing the Second Bone Spring with a one mile lateral in the N/2 N/2 of adjoining Section 13, and then they will come back and drill a two mile lateral in the S/2 of the N/2 of

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

1 Section 13 in irregular Section 18 later.

	5
2	Now that two mile lateral lateral in the Second
3	Bone Spring will require a forced pooling application, and
4	so Novo will proceed to propose that well and then seek
5	compulsory pooling at a later time.
6	So with Mr. Patrick's affidavit is a locator map
7	that identifies the specific spacing unit and it identifies
8	as well in Exhibit B-1 the C-102 for the existing Oxy well
9	at issue.
10	And in Exhibit B-2 is a land plat that depicts
11	the existing spacing unit at issue along with the location
12	of the Oxy well in the N/2 of irregular Section 13 or,
13	rather, 18 and they just recently drilled a Second Bone
14	Spring lateral that did not require pooling in the $N/2~N/2$
15	of Section 18. And then it also identified in the blue box
16	their proposed two mile lateral in the Second Bone Spring
17	that they will seek to pool at a later time.
18	Exhibit C is the affidavit of Novo's geologist,
19	Michael Hale. He provides a type log of the Bone Spring
20	Formation using the HF 13 Com Number 1C Well, which depicts
21	the top and bottom of the Second Bone Spring interval and
22	specifies the stratigraphic equivalent of footages that Novo
23	seeks to exclude from the pooling order.
24	In Exhibit C-2 is an overview map that identifies
25	the surrounding acreage in the area and their plan to

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

1 develop the excluded Second Bone Spring formation.

Exhibit C-3 is a structure map that just identifies the -- on the Second Bone Spring that shows that there are no impediments to developing the Second Bone Spring at a later time as they propose.

6 Exhibit C-4 is a cross section that identifies 7 the entire Bone Spring, as well as the Second Bone Spring 8 that they are seeking to exclude and shows that there is a 9 large zone of carbonates that isolate the Second Bone Spring 10 from the Third Bone Spring, meaning that, in his opinion, 11 the Second Bone Spring can be developed separately without 12 impact, vice versa as to the First and Third Bone Springs.

Exhibit D is a copy of the affidavit that we prepared giving notice of this application to the parties who were affected under the pooling order. I will note that some of those notices reflect that the package was, was delivered, so we also published notice in the newspaper.

18 That notice was published on the 21st, which by 19 my calculation, is one day late, so based on that, Mr. 20 Examiner, we would ask that this case be continued to 21 February 17 for purposes of perfecting publication.

Otherwise, Mr. Examiner, if there are no other questions, we ask that Exhibits A through E be accepted into the record and the case be continued for purposes of perfecting notice publication.

HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Mr.
 Rose-Coss?

TECHNICAL EXAMINER ROSE-COSS: I'm curious how 3 4 the story unfolded and maybe it's (inaudible) but the plan is to go above and below this well that exists, and there is 5 no -- the geologist attests that there shouldn't be any 6 7 effects on the resources that that well is producing from. 8 MR. RANKIN: That's correct. In addition, the interests are uniform across this pool, and so all parties, 9 10 you know (inaudible) so it just made sense to (inaudible) Novo to not include that existing -- not to deal with that 11 overlapping spacing unit here and just have separate spacing 12 13 units for the Bone Spring for that separate Oxy well. 14 TECHNICAL EXAMINER ROSE-COSS: Okay. And what

15 is -- Oxy doesn't have additional plans for more wells in 16 the Second Bone Spring, or they are going to get hemmed in 17 like at a later date with Novo drilling wells around them? 18 Are they parties to this compulsory pooling?

MR. RANKIN: They are. They're parties to the compulsory pooling, so they will be a party to the proposed two mile lateral in the S/2 of the N/2, and their interest will be included in that development. So all of the Second Bone Spring will be developed once, once Novo proceeds with its plans to drill the S/2 N/2 well.

25 TECHNICAL EXAMINER ROSE-COSS: Okay. And do the

# PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

surface facilities get muddled this way, or how is that going to work?

3 MR. RANKIN: They will all be in the same pool, 4 the interest will be uniform, so I suppose I don't -- I 5 haven't thought through this carefully, Mr. Rose-Coss, but I 6 don't suppose it would be an issue if you are thinking about 7 commingling. I guess if there were, it would be a matter of 8 dealing with that administratively.

9 TECHNICAL EXAMINER ROSE-COSS: Okay. Okay. It's 10 my understanding that typically the three Bone Springs are 11 grouped, and then -- so you are asking for a special 12 exclusion of a, like the middle section of a group, like 13 that's the First and second three are left together, but 14 these were leaving the meat of the sandwich out.

15 MR. RANKIN: With all respect, I'm fine whether the meat is in their zone or not. It's the middle part of 16 17 that pool would be excludes, the Second Bone Spring would be excluded and developed separately under separate spacing 18 units under this proposal. And there is precedence for 19 developing, under this Division's orders, for developing 20 separate intervals separately where there is existing 21 development and production so that they are not included in 22 the (inaudible) so this is a whole different approach. It's 23 24 done after the fact, but here we are seeking to simply 25 exclude that Second Bone Spring in order to allow the

Page 9 acreage to be developed under their preferred lateral in a 1 2 way that wouldn't include the existing well. TECHNICAL EXAMINER ROSE-COSS: Okay. Well, I 3 4 suppose that clears up some of my questions, and I will pass the microphone. Thank you. 5 6 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Not sure where to start here. I guess I'll avoid the obvious question which 7 8 is, how the heck did this happen? I would assume that Oxy's 9 spacing unit is not limited to the Second Bone Spring. Is 10 that correct? MR. RANKIN: I believe that's true, Mr. Brancard, 11 12 that their spacing unit is a 160 dedicated to the Bone 13 Spring formation. 14 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: So even with dropping 15 the Second Bone Spring, you still have an overlapping spacing unit. 16 17 MR. RANKIN: That is -- that would be true, there would be portions of their spacing unit that would be 18 included in the full Bone Spring. Those, as I understand --19 as I understand from Novo that -- that that well is a 20 stand-alone well in the zones -- I am not sure exactly, Mr. 21 Examiner. I'm not sure if that lapse for that acreage is in 22 23 the N/2 N/2, so I would have to abstain from commenting on 24 that. 25 But I believe that the intent is for Novo to

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102 drill a two mile lateral across the N/2 N/2 overlying Oxy's spacing unit in the N/2 N/2 of irregular Section 18. So the full Bone Spring will be developed, and there are full -there is a spacing unit that would result but Oxy did not object to that in this case.

6 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Well, it's 7 just that the rules have a process for dealing with 8 overlapping spacing units, and it would be good to know 9 that, although it's not an onerous process, a lot of it is 10 notice to people who already have a spacing unit that Novo 11 do what is required for an overlapping spacing unit to 12 remainder of the Bone Spring.

MR. RANKIN: I guess my understanding of the rule, Mr. Examiner, is that where there is no objection, there is no need to obtain approval between from the Division for an overlapping spacing unit. In this case Oxy is not objecting to the existence of an overlapping spacing unit, so there is no need to obtain approval.

HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: People do come to hearing with those, I mean, it would be just, if you looked at 19.15.16.15.B.9, kind of go back to what you are required to do, which, of course, reference 19.15.13 and also some other requirements, it would be good to know that you went through the steps that you had to do in order to make this work.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, if there is something that you would like us to do to confirm, I guess we can adjust that, but my reading of the rule is that unless there is an objection, we can proceed with an overlapping spacing unit because the existing well would be dedicated to its own spacing unit.

7 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: So your Exhibit D-2,
8 I'm trying to figure this one out.

9 MR. RANKIN: So D-2, Mr. Examiner, depicts only, 10 number one, that red outline is a depiction of Novo's 11 spacing unit that was approved under Order R-21092-NA. The 12 black lines show the existing Second Bone Spring wells that 13 have been drilled.

Oxy's wells are the N/2 N/2 of Section 18 was drilled and has been producing for some time. Novo's well in the N/2 N/2 of Section -- and I may be getting my sections wrong -- 13, was just recently drilled between December and January of 2021 and 2022, and that well has yet to be completed, but it was, it was dedicated to a 160 acre spacing unit.

Now, Novo's plan is to come back and develop the S/2 of the N/2 which is depicted in the red -- I'm sorry -the blue box of Sections 13 and 18 with the two mile lateral within the Second Bone Spring. That's the intent of that exhibit is to show the Second Bone Spring development within

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

the acreage at issue.

1

HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. What about the N/2 of the S/2 -- the N/2 of the N/2 in 13, Novo already has a well there? You are already in the Second Bone Spring, so how does it help you to take the Second Bone Spring out of the order if you already have a well in the Second Bone Spring?

8 MR. RANKIN: That well was drilled with the intent it would be subject to its own spacing unit having 9 10 been reviewed from this order. So they, they had a drilling rig schedule and were committed to it, so their intent would 11 12 be to develop that acreage separate from -- because it They own that 100 percent as 13 wasn't necessary for pooling. 14 the working interest owner, so their intent was to drill 15 that and dedicate it to a separate unit not under the order. HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: So there is no 16 17 pooling involved within the N/2 section MR. RANKIN: In Section 13, there wouldn't be, 18 19 Mr. Examiner, because Novo owns that acreage 100 percent. HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. I 20 probably should have a lot more questions, but I don't. 21 22 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I would offer to be

23 available for questions if upon review, if no attorneys 24 entered an appearance, we would be happy to address any 25 questions you have during the review, and if there is things

Page 13 you would like us to do or, you know, subsequently maybe, or 1 2 to provide, we would be happy to do that. HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there 3 4 any other interested persons, you know, like Oxy, that's interested in Case 22476 -- no, sorry -- 22505? 5 6 (No audible response.) 7 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, your 8 exhibits will be admitted into the record. As you 9 mentioned, this case will be continued to February 17 10 because of notice issues. Is that correct, is that going to be enough time? 11 12 MR. RANKIN: Yes, it will be, Mr. Examiner. The 13 notice of publication was one day late so February 17 is 14 ample time to perfect notice publication. 15 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: And as always, if we have questions, we will get direct them to you, Mr. Rankin. 16 17 Thank you, Mr. Rankin. No need to file a continuance. 18 MR. RANKIN: Thank you. (Exhibits admitted.) 19 20 (Case continued.) 21 22 23 2.4 25

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

	Page 14
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	
б	I, IRENE DELGADO, New Mexico Certified Court
7	Reporter, CCR 253, do hereby certify that I reported the
8	foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and
9	that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript
10	of those proceedings to the best of my ability.
11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
12	nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case
13	and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this
14	case.
15	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was
16	of poor to good quality.
17	Dated this 3rd day of February 2022.
18	/s/ Irene Delgado
19	Irene Delgado, NMCCR 253
20	License Expires: 12-31-22
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	