## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Applications of XTO Energy, Inc. for Compulsory Pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico Case Nos. 22609, 22610

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, APRIL 7, 2022

## EXAMINER HEARING

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq. Hearing Examiners, John Garcia, Technical, Examiner, on Thursday, April 7, 2022, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR #122

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		F	Page 2
1		APPEARANCES	
2	FOR X	TO ENERGY: Michael Feldewert, Esq. Holland & Hart	
3		110 North Guadalupe, Sui Santa Fe, New Mexico 875	
4		(505) 988-4421 mfeldewert@hollandhart.c	
5		reraewereenerranare.	· • · · · ·
6		C O N T E N T S	
7	CASE	NOS. 22609 22610	PAGE
8	CASE	CALLED:	3
9	CASE	22609 DISMISSED:	3
10		22610 TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT:	9
11		open for one week for submission of ed Exhibit A-3)	
12		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
13	XTO E	NERGY EXHIBITS	PAGE
14	A	Affidavit of Carlos R. Salinas (Landman)	9
15	A-1	C-102	9
16	A-2	General Location Map	9
17	A-3	Ownership Breakdown	9
18	A-4	Sample Well Proposal Letter and AFE	9
19	A-5	Chronology of Contact	9
20	В	Affidavit of Arturo Ayala (Geologist)	9
21	B-1	Structure Map	9
22	B-2	Stratigraphic Cross Section	9
23	C	Notice Affidavit	9
24	D .	Affidavit of Publication	9
25			

- 1 (Time noted 9:52 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So with that, I
- 3 will call Cases 22609 and 22610, XTO Energy.
- 4 MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner and
- 5 Mr. Garcia. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of
- 6 Holland & Hart appearing on behalf of the applicant XTO
- 7 Energy.
- 8 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Are there any other persons
- 9 here for Cases 22609, 22610? (Note: Pause.)
- Hearing none, you may proceed, Mr.
- 11 Feldewert.
- 12 MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, first off we can
- 13 dismiss Case 22609. I have been informed that all the
- 14 parties that were subject to that case, the mineral owners
- 15 for that particular matter, the company has reached a
- 16 voluntary agreement with them, so that Case 22609, which
- 17 involved the Remuda North 30 State 707H well can be
- 18 dismissed.
- 19 With respect to the remaining case, which
- 20 is Case 22610, XTO in this matter seeks to form a standard
- 21 400-acre horizontal spacing unit in the Bone Spring
- 22 Formation underlying the east half of the west half of
- 23 Sections 19 and 30 and the east half of the northwest
- 24 quarter of Section 31, Township 23 South, 30 East, in Eddy
- 25 County, and it would be for the proposed Remuda North 30

- 1 State 708 well.
- 2 Again they seek to pool a group of unleased
- 3 mineral owners in the northeast quarter of the northwest
- 4 quarter of Section 19. The remaining mineral owners they
- 5 have reached an agreement with prior to the hiring.
- 6 You will see that when we filed these
- 7 exhibits, I put them together earlier this week, it
- 8 related to both cases, but, like I said, Case 22609 can be
- 9 dismissed, so we are only looking now at Case 22610 for
- 10 the 708H well.
- 11 We have as Exhibit A the affidavit of
- 12 Mr. Salinas. He has provided the C-102 for the proposed
- 13 well as Exhibit A-1.
- 14 His Exhibit A-2 is a General Location Map.
- 15 Exhibit A-3 provides the Ownership
- 16 Breakdown, which, based on a prior discussion, we will not
- 17 need to update. Well, I guess we may need to update in
- 18 order to identify the remaining parties in Tract A that
- 19 are subject to pooling.
- 20 He's also provided a sample of the Well
- 21 Proposal Letter and the AFE that went out to these parties
- 22 that they seek to pool.
- 23 And Exhibit A-5 is his Chronology of
- 24 Contacts with the parties that they seek to pool.
- 25 Exhibit B, as in boy, is the affidavit of

- 1 Arturo Ayala, who is a geologist who has previously
- 2 testified before the Division. He provides as Exhibit B-1
- 3 a Structure Map of the Second Bone Spring Interval, which
- 4 is the target zone for these wells, and he's then also
- 5 provided as Exhibit B-1 a Cross-Section A to A prime, and
- 6 then utilized the well logs that's shown on Exhibit B-1 to
- 7 create the stratigraphic Cross-Section which is marked as
- 8 Exhibit B-2, and he shows the target interval and location
- 9 for the 708H well, which is the initial well for this
- 10 spacing unit.
- 11 XTO Exhibit C is the affidavit from our
- 12 office providing the Notice Letter that went out to the
- 13 parties that remain to be pooled, along with the status
- 14 report from the UPS for these remaining parties.
- 15 And then finally Exhibit D, as in David, is
- 16 the Affidavit of Publication naming the parties that were
- 17 to be pooled at that time directly.
- 18 So with that we would move the admission of
- 19 Exhibits A, B, C and D, and we ask that this case be taken
- 20 under advisement, knowing that we will supplement Exhibit
- 21 A-3 to highlight the remaining parties that need to be
- 22 pooled.
- 23 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- Mr. Garcia, questions?
- 25 EXAMINER GARCIA: Yes.

I'm just looking at the tract, and it looks

- 2 like your tracts slightly vary in ownership, and they're
- 3 labeled different than the last case we discussed, but
- 4 your Tract E on the current Case 22610 shows three
- 5 companies, and then Tract C, which is equal in the last
- 6 case, has two companies. So I guess maybe some review is
- 7 needed on that.
- 8 MR. FELDEWERT: Well, Mr. Salinas I think is
- 9 available. My guess would be that the previous case
- 10 involved the Wolfcamp and this involves the Bone Spring.
- 11 (Note: Carlos Salinas, previously sworn,
- 12 responded as follows.)
- MR. SALINAS: Yes, sir, you're correct. There's
- 14 a depth severance in the Bone Spring Chevron does own a
- 15 small interest in. The Wolfcamp, they did not.
- 16 (Note: Sound lost.)
- 17 MR. SALINAS: Can you hear me?
- 18 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Now we can, yes.
- MR. SALINAS: I'm sorry.
- 20 But yes, Mr. Feldewert was correct. In the
- 21 Wolfcamp Chevron does not own a working in Bone Spring,
- 22 they own a small working interest.
- 23 EXAMINER GARCIA: Thank you. That's all my
- 24 questions.
- 25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you for clearing that

- 1 up.
- 2 So we have a drilling island here? Is that
- 3 the problem, Mr. Feldewert. Is that why you're drilling a
- 4 well in Section 30 and then you're sending that well
- 5 south/southeast about a mile into Section 31, and then
- 6 completing the well north from Section 31 through 30 and
- 7 19?
- 8 MR. FELDEWERT: That's a difficult question for
- 9 me to answer. Mr. Brancard. I'm sure there's good
- 10 reasons that XTO is incurring the additional cost of doing
- 11 that giration, so to speak. I think Mr. Salinas may be
- 12 able to answer that question.
- MR. SALINAS: Yes, sir. That's the case. We
- 14 had service constraints in Section 31 going down south
- 15 that prohibited us from accessing the acreage from that
- 16 particular location. In order to develop the acreage in
- 17 Tract H it was necessary to kick out from the pad in
- 18 Section 30. We have spoken with our drilling department.
- 19 They're quite confident they will be able to access that
- 20 acreage from that drilling location.
- 21 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Is this federal
- 22 drilling islands? Is that what we are drilling with here?
- MR. SALINAS: No, this is a state. It's going
- 24 to be a state pad, state island.
- 25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay.

Okay. So again I guess the same issue;

- 2 that is, Exhibit A-3 will need to be updated, Mr.
- 3 Feldewert?
- 4 MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
- 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: It does appear from your
- 6 mailing report that a number of more people were given
- 7 notice, but there again that could be because of the depth
- 8 issues, the Formation issues.
- 9 Anyway, if you could just verify that the
- 10 people who needed to get Notice got Notice.
- 11 MR. FELDEWERT: Certainly.
- 12 EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Anything else,
- 13 Mr. Garcia?
- 14 EXAMINER GARCIA: I have no more questions.
- 15 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So for the record, then,
- 16 Case 22609 will be dismissed, is that correct, Mr.
- 17 Feldewert?
- MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
- 19 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So Case 22610 will be taken
- 20 under advisement with again leaving the record open for a
- 21 week here for updating Exhibit, I believe it's A-3, to
- 22 clarify which interest owners are being pooled under this
- 23 Order.
- MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
- 25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And to verify that they were

```
Page 9
     receiving Notice, did receive Notice. Thank you.
1
                    Any other interested persons, then, on
 2
 3
     Cases 22610 or 22609? (Note: Pause.)
 4
                    Hearing none, we can move on to our next
 5
     item.
 6
              (Time noted 10:03 a.m.)
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 10 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) 2 : ss 3 COUNTY OF TAOS ) 4 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 5 I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter 6 CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, April 7, 8 2022, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me; that I did report in stenographic 9 shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the 10 foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to 11 12 the best of my ability and control. 13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 14 nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the 15 rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and 16 that I have no interest whatsoever in the final 17 disposition of this case in any court. 18 19 /S/CCR/Mary Therese Macfarlane 20 MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122 21 License Expires: 12/31/2022 22 23 24 25