## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

Application of Spur Energy Partners, LLC, for a compulsory pooling order replacing and revoking Order Nos. R-21580 and R-21853, Eddy County, New Mexico

Case No. 22767

## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

May 5, 2022

## EXAMINER HEARING

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, William Brancard, Esq., Hearing Examiner, Dean McClure, Technical Examiner, on May 5th, 2022, via Webex Virtual Conferencing Platform hosted by the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

REPORTED BY: SHANON R. MYERS, CCR, RPR, CRR, RMR, CRC

CCR No. 275

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105

Albuquerque, NM 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	For Spur Energy Partners, LLC:	
3	HOLLAND & HART, LLP P.O. Box 2208	
4	Santa Fe, NM 87504-2208 (505) 988-4421	
5	agrankin@hollandhart.com	
6	BY: ADAM RANKIN	
7		
8	I N D E X	
9	Case called Summary of case and exhibits	3:1 3:14
10	Taken under advisement	12:8
11	Court Reporter's Certificate	13:1
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 (Time noted as 11:44 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Let's see if we can get a few
- 3 more done here. Item Number 70 on today's docket worksheet.
- 4 This is Case Number 22767, Spur Energy Partners.
- 5 MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Adam
- 6 Rankin, with the Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart,
- 7 appearing on behalf of the applicant in this case, Spur
- 8 Energy Partners.
- 9 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I do not see any other entries
- 10 of appearance.
- 11 Is there any other parties entering an appearance in
- 12 Case 22767?
- 13 Hearing none, Mr. Rankin, you may proceed.
- MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. In this
- 15 case, Spur is seeking to combine essentially acreage in the
- 16 Yeso formation that was previously pooled under different
- 17 orders and so, therefore, is seeking to dismiss or, rather,
- 18 I guess, dismiss or replace or revoke those prior pooling
- 19 orders, and is now seeking a single order pooling that
- 20 acreage and dedicating new initial wells to the revised
- 21 enlarged 320-acre proposed spacing unit. The cases at issue
- 22 and the orders at issue previously, that were previously
- 23 issued by the Division pooling this acreage, are Orders
- 24 R-21580, as amended; they were extended by a year, and
- 25 R-121583, as amended, because it was extended by a year.

- 1 So consequently, Spur in this case, as I mentioned, is
- 2 seeking to replace and revoke those orders with a single
- 3 order pooling all uncommitted interests in this case only on
- 4 a portion of the Yeso formation from 3,000 -- from below
- 5 3,000 feet or from 3,001 feet down to the base of the Yeso
- 6 within the proposed 320-acre horizontal spacing unit that
- 7 would be comprised, now, of the S/2 of Section 18 in
- 8 Township 18 South, Range 27 East, in Eddy County.
- 9 Submitted on Tuesday is an exhibit packet consisting
- 10 of Exhibits A through F. A is the checklist that identifies
- 11 the formation, the dedicated assigned pool, which is the Red
- 12 Lake Glorieta-Yeso pool. The acreage that will be dedicated
- 13 in the wells, that would be dedicated. In this case, there
- 14 will be a defining well that serves as a proximity well. It
- is located within 330 feet of the adjacent quarter-quarter
- 16 sections allowing that acreage to be included, and that will
- 17 be the Baffin 20H well. The C -- Exhibit A also identifies
- 18 all the other wells that will be initial wells dedicated to
- 19 the spacing unit and the other elements required for the
- 20 pooling.
- 21 Exhibit B is the application that was filed in this
- 22 case reviewing the reasoning and the justification for
- 23 seeking to combine the acreage into a single spacing unit.
- 24 Exhibit C is the affidavit of Spur's landman, Mr. Drew
- 25 Oldis. Mr. Oldis has not previously had the opportunity to

1 testify before the Division. He reviews his education and

- 2 work experience as a landman, and attached to his affidavit,
- 3 Exhibit C1, which is his resume. Based on his experience
- 4 and education, Mr. Examiner, I would move to tender
- 5 Mr. Oldis as an expert in petroleum land matters before the
- 6 Division.
- 7 EXAMINER BRANCARD: So accepted as an expert.
- 8 MR. RANKIN: Thank you. Mr. Oldis' affidavit
- 9 identifies the acreage that they're seeking to pool and
- 10 explains, again, the reasoning behind it. And identifies
- 11 fact of a depth severance in this acreage. He identifies
- 12 also the wells that will be initially proposed to be
- 13 dedicated to the enlarged spacing unit. His affidavit
- 14 includes Exhibit C2, which are the draft form C-102s for
- 15 each of the proposed initial wells, reflecting that they'll
- 16 be assigned to the Red Lake Glorieta-Yeso pool and that they
- 17 are compliant with the setback requirements for oil wells on
- 18 a statewide basis.
- 19 Exhibit C3 is the plat that outlines the proposed
- 20 spacing unit being pooled along with the individual
- 21 separately owned tracks that comprise it. It's a little bit
- 22 of a complicated tract map. Along with it, Mr. Examiner,
- 23 are the breakdown of the ownership interests in each tract
- 24 and also on a spacing-unit basis. The parties that Spur is
- 25 seeking to pool are identified, highlighted on that exhibit

- 1 in yellow, and then following is the sample of the well
- 2 proposal letter that was sent -- there's two examples. One
- 3 was sent to working interest owners and one was sent to
- 4 unleased middle owners, reflecting their efforts to reach
- 5 agreement.
- 6 Included with each is an AFE of estimated costs for
- 7 each of the wells that are proposed. So there's a lot of
- 8 that exhibit. Following the well proposals and AFEs is
- 9 the -- Exhibit C5, which is a breakout of Spur's efforts to
- 10 reach agreement with each of the uncommitted parties and the
- 11 status of those discussions. Exhibit D is an affidavit of
- 12 Spur's geologist, Mr. C.J. Lipinski. Mr. Lipinski has
- 13 previously testified before the Division and has been
- 14 qualified as an expert in petroleum geology. His
- 15 Exhibits D1 through D4 are -- reflect his geologic analysis
- 16 of the land and reviews his opinion that the acreage has no
- 17 impediments to horizontal well development and that each of
- 18 the tracts and the acreage as a whole will contribute, more
- 19 or less, equally to productions from the well.
- 20 Included in his exhibits are -- is a 2D gun barrel
- 21 view reflecting the target intervals for each of the wells
- 22 proposed with -- in relation to the various zones within the
- 23 Yeso formation. Also depicted on his gun barrel and
- 24 cross-section is the location of that depth severance in a
- 25 gray dashed line. Exhibit E is a copy of the -- is the

- 1 affidavit that was prepared by me, reflecting that we
- 2 provided a notice to each of the parties subject to the
- 3 pooling on the date identified in the attached covered host
- 4 letter, and then the status of the certified mails --
- 5 deliveries is -- was updated on April 27th and included in
- 6 the exhibit, and that we caused publication of the notice to
- 7 be issued in the newspaper on April 19th, as reflected in
- 8 the attached Exhibit F, which is the affidavit of
- 9 publication by the newspaper confirming that it was
- 10 published on that date, showing that each of the parties
- 11 that Spur is seeking to pool has been -- have been
- 12 identified by name.
- 13 Mr. Examiner, at this time, I would just move the
- 14 admission of Exhibits A through F, each of their
- 15 attachments, and ask that this case be taken under
- 16 advisement. And if there are any questions, I'll do my best
- 17 to address them.
- 18 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
- 19 Mr. McClure, any questions?
- 20 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Yes, Mr. Brancard, I
- 21 do.
- Mr. Rankin, unfortunately, my internet kind of quit on
- 23 me at the beginning. So just to make sure I'm under the
- 24 correct understanding, essentially originally there was two
- 25 different compulsory pooling orders, and now you're wanting

- 1 to essentially cancel those and have a new one that
- 2 encompasses the entirety of both areas, is -- of both those
- 3 previous pooling orders, correct?
- 4 MR. RANKIN: Correct.
- 5 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Okay. And then you
- 6 mentioned that the depth severance is on the gun barrel
- 7 exhibit. Does it actually reference -- because your depth
- 8 severance, is it from 3,000 down, then? Is that correct?
- 9 MR. RANKIN: Yeah, yeah.
- 10 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Okay. So it's not to
- 11 the base of the Yeso or anything like that, then?
- MR. RANKIN: Well, what we're --
- 13 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Actually, I'm
- 14 looking -- I'm sorry; go ahead. I apologize.
- MR. RANKIN: What we are seeking to pool is from
- 16 that depth severance down to the base of the Yeso.
- 17 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Okay. Yeah, I
- 18 scrolled up on the exhi- -- on the checklist, and I did that
- 19 down lower. I didn't see it. On the gun barrel, it -- let
- 20 me get back down to that page.
- 21 MR. RANKIN: You have to scroll through a lot of
- 22 AFEs and well proposals.
- 23 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Yeah, I think it's on
- 24 Page 73 and 72, it looks like. Now, the -- so the Blinebry
- 25 top, is that essentially what you're considering the Yeso --

- 1 the base of the Yeso, then?
- MR. RANKIN: No. I understand that the Blinebry
- 3 is all part of the Yeso, so -- but I'm not a geologist, but
- 4 my understanding is that Yeso goes down below Blinebry.
- 5 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: And it definitely
- 6 may. I'm sorry; I was looking at a strap right here. Okay.
- 7 Yep, I apologize. Yeah, it goes to the base of the
- 8 Drinkard, is what it should be, and that looks like it does
- 9 encompass all your gun barrels here. I was going to say it
- 10 doesn't look like we actually have that identified anywhere
- 11 where that base is, but --
- 12 Do we actually need that, Mr. Brancard, on these
- 13 orders? An actual footage in a specific well or a base?
- 14 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I don't know. It's up to you
- 15 geologists, I guess. I think we often have a -- you know,
- 16 some sort of well, nearby well, that people use as --
- 17 MR. RANKIN: If I may interject, my understanding
- 18 is that, you know, the pool and the depths are defined by
- 19 the Division, and so we're just seeking to pool down to the
- 20 base of the defined Yeso formation pool in that pool.
- 21 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Yeah, speaking as an
- 22 engineer rather than a geologist, clearly speaking, yeah, I
- 23 wasn't -- I'm not sure, I guess, how we typically orientate
- 24 it on the CP orders here. But if that's how we've been
- 25 doing it, that's probably perfectly fine, then. I think

1 those were the only things I was looking at. Thank you,

- 2 Mr. Rankin.
- 3 And thank you, Mr. Brancard. I think that's all my
- 4 questions.
- 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. So looking at
- 6 your -- your exhibit of the interest orders, there are a
- 7 number of them, and it looks like your client hasn't gotten
- 8 anybody to commit.
- 9 MR. RANKIN: They are -- and I -- I mean, that's
- 10 correct, Mr. Examiner. My understanding is that they are
- 11 working -- there have been discussions with some of these
- 12 folks, and if you look at -- let me see if I can find the --
- 13 pull it up. You'll see on the -- Exhibit C5, Mr. Examiner,
- 14 if you look at -- let me know when you get there and I can
- 15 kind of guide you there, because you'll see that they're
- 16 working towards agreement on -- with a number of parties.
- 17 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Oh, is that your contacts?
- 18 MR. RANKIN: Yeah, yeah. If you see on the far
- 19 right column on their comments, you'll see there are a
- 20 number of parties who have elected to participate in the
- 21 wells and/or have -- see if some of them have actually
- 22 reached agreement on leases. But those agreements haven't
- 23 been finalized yet, and so for that reason, we are seeking
- 24 to pool the parties, and as --
- 25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I didn't realize -- yes, okay,

- 1 now I see the comment column.
- 2 MR. RANKIN: So if and when those agreements with
- 3 finalized, Spur will notify the Division that those parties
- 4 are no longer subject to the pooling order.
- 5 EXAMINER BRANCARD: Do you have any idea how the
- 6 land was divided in this area? How'd they come up with
- 7 this -- it looks like a drainage going through there or
- 8 something.
- 9 MR. RANKIN: Yeah, there may be a river. I -- I
- 10 don't know the answer to that question. I'd have to look at
- 11 a -- a map to determine, but it may be that there's a river
- 12 that explains why there's some strange orientations on the
- 13 tracts.
- 14 EXAMINER BRANCARD: I mean, my map has it about
- one township over from the Pecos River. Maybe there's some
- 16 sort of tributary there. Okay. Interesting. So the goal,
- 17 then, is to revoke the prior orders, create an entire new
- 18 order as if we're starting -- well, we will be starting from
- 19 scratch.
- MR. RANKIN: Yep.
- 21 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And so -- so a number of
- 22 these -- these parties you would have contacted for the
- 23 prior orders, too.
- MR. RANKIN: Correct.
- 25 EXAMINER BRANCARD: And you're now getting another

Page 12 year to drill the well. Okay. MR. RANKIN: That is an effect of the new pooling order. EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Well, that seems, actually, really straight forward. So with that, are there any other interested persons in Case 22767? Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and Case 22767 will be taken under advisement. (Proceeding concluded at 12:01 p.m.) 

	Page 13	
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO	
3		
4		
5		
6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	
7	I, SHANON R. MYERS, New Mexico Certified Court	
8	Reporter, CCR #275, do hereby certify that I reported the	
9	foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and	
10	that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript	
11	of those proceedings to the best of my ability.	
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by	
13	nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case	
14	and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this	
15	case.	
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the virtual proceeding was	
17	of extremely poor to good quality.	
18	Dated this 2nd day of June 2022.	
19		
20	/s/ Shanon R. Myers	
21	SHANON R. MYERS, CCR, RPR, CRR, RMR, CRC	
22	License Expires: 12/31/22	
23		
24		
25		