Page 1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NOS: 22922, 22923

APPLICATIONS OF MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY TO RE-OPEN CASES FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

> REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS EXAMINER HEARING JULY 7, 2022 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for virtual hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, HEARING OFFICER WILLIAM BRANCARD and TECHNICAL EXAMINER DEAN McCLURE on Thursday, July 7, 2022, through the Webex Platform.

Reported by: PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 Fourth Street, NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, NM 87102 505-843-9241

Page 3 1 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that, same applicant, new lawyer. Cases 72, 73, Cimarex Energy. 2 Mr. 3 Bruce, are you with us? 4 (No audible response.) HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Marlene, do we have 5 Mr. Bruce on the line? 6 7 MS. SALVIDREZ: He is here, yes. 8 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce here for 9 Cimarex. Sorry. 10 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. MR. BRUCE: If I could, you will see below cases 11 12 22771, et al, these are related to those cases, and I would 13 ask that we skip over these two cases and put them with the 14 other three cases so we don't have to explain too much. And 15 then you go to the Nina Cortell cases after which time I would presume that most people would be taking a lunch 16 break. 17 18 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. So we are going to move to Items 74 and 75 in the checklist? 19 20 MR. BRUCE: Correct. 21 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: 22922, 22923. Matador Production. 22 23 MR. BRUCE: Jim Bruce representing Matador. HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Are there any other 24 25 interested persons for Case 22922, 22923?

Page 4 1 (No audible response.) 2 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, Mr. 3 Bruce, you may proceed. 4 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, in 22922, Matador seeks to reopen case 21796 which pooled the E/2 E/2 of both 5 6 Sections 3 and 10, 22 South, 32 East, to pool the Bone 7 Spring formation. 8 In Case Number 22923, seek to force pool the same -- seek to reopen case 21798 which force pooled the 9 10 Wolfcamp formation in the same acreage. Exhibit 1 -- exhibit A contains the applications 11 12 and proposed notices. I would note that one of these is 13 also -- so one of the prior Matador Nina Cortell cases 14 which sought to change the well name, I think. But anyway, 15 the applications, proposed ads, orders are contained. There is affidavit by Rob Helbing, landman, who has testified 16 17 previously. What they seek to do is reopen the case to add 18 additional parties and his affidavit also reflects the prior 19 Nina Cortell case where the well name is being changed or 20 the -- or I should say the initial well is being changed 21 from a Second to a Third Bone Spring well. 22 23 The exhibit package contains the usual C-102s, 24 the acreage plats, interest ownership, and it also lists the 25 parties to be pooled, the proposal letter, et cetera, and

the AFEs. Matador does request 8,000 and \$800 per month. 1 2 Exhibit C is -- Exhibit C is the landman's affidavit. No geology was submitted. I can do that, 3 4 obviously, in this case geology would be the same as was 5 presented in Cases 22848 and 22849 besides the Second Bone Spring geology which was submitted in the original case. 6 And I would have no trouble resubmitting geology affidavits, 7 8 so one is of record in these matters. 9 Affidavit of notice. There are, I don't know, 10 about eight people I think being added to the pooled list. The green cards you are included. Additional ones --11 additional green cards have come back, but notice, I did 12 13 publish notice, I published it and sent it to the Carlsbad 14 newspaper by accident, and I have included that just to show

15 I actually did do a publication notice.

About an hour and a half after I filed the 16 17 application or the sent the notice to the Carlsbad paper, I realized what had happened and I sent an e-mail to the Hobbs 18 paper with the publication notice. And once again, I never 19 heard back from them, and so I think the application packet 20 with the applications, landman's affidavit, affidavit of 21 notice is complete as to both cases, but I do need to 22 23 publish notice. And I would request, since it's only 24 publication in these matters, if I could move to continue 25 them to August 4 which would give me time to hopefully get

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

Page 5

Page 6 the Hobbs newspaper to publish the notice I sent them and 1 2 then the cases could be taken under advisement, but I would ask that Exhibits A through E be admitted into the record. 3 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Mr. McClure? 4 5 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Mr. Brancard, I was 6 going to say, I don't have any questions. I guess the only recommendation I would make is since we are changing the 7 8 formation in the amending, maybe it would be worthwhile to request the applicant to submit the geology exhibits again. 9 MR. BRUCE: I will do that. I will do that. I 10 will have the geologist in the other Nina Cortell 11 12 present -- prepare an affidavit for the Third Bone Spring 13 formation in these cases, and I will submit that here quite 14 shortly. 15 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. I quess I'm not paying close enough attention, Mr. Bruce, but are we 16 amending the same order in 922, 933 as we are amending in 17 848, 849? 18 19 MR. BRUCE: No, we are -- hold on a minute. Ι want to make sure I got this correct. I believe that case 20 22922 is dealing with the same -- check -- yeah. 21 22 TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: That 923 is supposed 23 to be for Wolfcamp, or am I wrong? 24 MR. BRUCE: Correct. That's for Wolfcamp, so 25 case 22922 deals with the same property as Case 22849, which

Page 7 is also continued -- which has been continued to August 4 1 2 because I had the same publication problem. But those two cases involve the same property, and the same parties were 3 4 notified in the applications. 5 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: So both are seeking to amend order R-21667. 6 7 MR. BRUCE: That's correct. 8 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Let's see. So we need to continue this case to August 4, both of these cases 9 10 to August 4. Is that correct, Mr. Bruce? MR. BRUCE: That is correct. 11 12 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: And so you need to 13 get us the publication information from the Hobbs paper. 14 MR. BRUCE: Yes. 15 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Which you said you already sent that in, it's just getting the 16 confirmation; is that correct? 17 MR. BRUCE: Yeah, I may well have to resubmit it. 18 I have had to do that before with them, but moving it to 19 August 4 would allow the, you know, the necessary 20 publication period to expire in each. Even if had I gotten 21 it done, well, the notice in the Carlsbad paper, I thought, 22 23 was timely, and then it dawned on me that it wasn't because 24 of 4th of July. 25 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Not a work day. So

Page 8 is it possible then to, if you had -- you had geology 1 exhibits for 848 and 849? 2 3 MR. BRUCE: I do have geology exhibits, and I can 4 dress those up and get an affidavit pertinent to this. 5 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: That should be pretty 6 simple. MR. BRUCE: Yes. 7 8 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Just use the same one. All right. So anything else that we need to consider 9 10 with these cases? Mr. McClure, questions? TECHNICAL EXAMINER McCLURE: Nothing here. 11 12 MR. BRUCE: Just shoot me if --HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that, any other 13 14 interested persons in cases 22922, 22923? 15 (No audible response.) HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, these 16 cases will be continued to August 4, the evidence of 17 publication notice and evidence that we have the geologic 18 exhibits. 19 20 MR. BRUCE: Yes. 21 (Continued.) HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Thank you. So 22 23 we are at the noon hour. I may live eight miles away, but I 24 can hear my dog whining, and so we will take a lunch break 25 and.

Page 9 1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Brancard, Number 2 22874, is this going to be heard? 3 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: 22874, is this 4 Mr. Samonega. 5 MR. SAMONEGA: Yes. 6 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: I don't see that on 7 the list. Is that on the list, Marlene. 8 MR. SAMONEGA: It should have been Number 69. MS. SALVIDREZ: That case is closed. 9 10 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: That case was dismissed. 11 12 MR. SAMONEGA: On what date? 13 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: June 21. 14 MR. SAMONEGA: June 21, Novo filed to dismiss it, 15 so it won't be heard no more? HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: No, it's gone. 16 MR. SAMONEGA: Okay, thank you, Mr. Brancard. 17 And on the case of the 22615 which was the W/2, I hired a 18 lawyer and he went ahead and filed termination to Oxy on the 19 lease, so I believe that the Turk wells of Novo on the W/220 are no longer good because their interest is terminated. 21 22 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: They may have dismissed that one too. 23 MR. SAMONEGA: Yes, sir. 24 25 HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay, well, good luck

Page 10 with that. MR. SAMONEGA: Thank you, Mr. Brancard, and have a good lunch. HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD: So with that we will be back at 1 o'clock. And we will start with the Southern Hills cases. Thank you, everyone. б (Lunch recess taken.)

	Page 11
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	
б	I do hereby certify that I reported the
7	foregoing virtual proceedings in stenographic shorthand and
8	that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript
9	of those proceedings to the best of my ability.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
11	nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case
12	and that I have no interest in the final disposition of this
13	case.
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Virtual Proceeding was
15	of poor to good quality.
16	Dated this 7th day of July 2022.
17	/s/ Irene Delgado
18	Court Reporter
19	License Expires: 12-31-22
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	