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P R O C E E D I N G S
THE HEARING OFFICER: This is
November 17, 2022, and these are the hearings of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. My name is Bill Brancard; I am the Hearing Examiner. With me today is Mr. Leonard Lowe the Technical Examiner. As always, we have a court reporter so please speak clearly and slowly if possible.

We have a worksheet listed on our website. It has a mere 34 cases today so we may not be with you long today.

Are there any announcements, Mr. Lowe?
MR. LOWE: No announcements. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I will just sort of continue the announcements that I made the last time out which is number one, please on your notice documents use the correct web addresses and e-mail addresses for our department. They have changed. We have a new -- I don't know what you call it domain name is that it: emnrd.nm.gov.

Since most of these cases today are continuances, your notices would have gone out a while ago so didn't look too closely at that. But starting next month, the first of the month, new cases we'll be
looking at that to make sure everyone's in compliance.
Also, as noted before, in order for us to move forward with processing orders, we do need any corrections that are noted at the hearings to be delivered within two weeks. So I appreciate everyone who has been complying with that so far.

And with that, we can begin the docket for today. We are starting with Items 1 through 7. These are Cases 22093, 22349, 22171, 22172, 22408, 22112, 22184. I hope I got that right. Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Lowe, Mr. Brancard. Michael Feldewert with the Sante Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Alpha Energy Partners.

MS. HARDY: Good morning. Dana Hardy with the Sante Fe office of Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Alpha Energy Partners.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And then we have Ascent Energy, but I believe that may now be Matador.

MR. SAVAGE: Correct. Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner. Darin Savage with the Sante Fe office of Abadie \& Schill on
behalf of Matador Production Company successor to Ascent Energy.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. For various cases, we have entries and appearances from let's start with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.

MR. TREMAINE: Good morning,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. This is Jesse Tremaine for the Oil Conservation Division.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. MRC Permian Company -- or is that Matador?

MR. SAVAGE: Correct. Darin Savage on behalf of MRC Permian Company.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Okay. City of Carlsbad.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning. Deana Bennett, Modrall Sperling on behalf of the City of Carlsbad.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And are there any other entries of appearance? 22093, 22349, 171, 172, 408, 112, 184.

MR. HAJNY: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. Brandon Hajny with Cavin \& Ingram representing Realeza Del Spear, an interested party in 22172 .

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else?

Well, let's start at the top Mewbourne Oil Company. This appears to be overlapping spacing inter-proposals. We've had a couple of status conferences on this. You were quite close, I believe, to the Carlsbad Brine wells. So where are we Mr. Feldewert?

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, Mr. Examiner, I did kind of sort this out last night. You're right. I mean Alpha and Mewbourne's applications do not compete, but Ascent's applications essentially overlap both of those.

But, you know, the parties have been in discussions for a long time. I think the first status conference was back in July of 2021.

It's my understanding that the remediation efforts at the Brine well are complete such that Mewbourne has been authorized and allowed to begin development again in this area.

Initially had plans to start drilling in this area in early 2023. So Mewbourne would like to get at least its cases set for a hearing so that we can get the pooling order and other relief that we need to be in a position to commence drilling in early

| 1 | 2023. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So |
| 3 | hearing, not status conference? |
| 4 | MR. FELDEWERT: Correct. |
| 5 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. All |
| 6 | right. |
| 7 | Ms. Hardy, Alpha. |
| 8 | MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, that's fine |
| 9 | with Alpha. |
| 10 | THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. |
| 11 | Mr. Savage. |
| 12 | MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, |
| 13 | Matador has been in close conversations with the |
| 14 | parties, in particular Mewbourne. |
| 15 | Mewbourne has a unique position in this |
| 16 | because they're also involved in the Ascent Matador |
| 17 | matter for the Anvil wells so they're in negotiations |
| 18 | with Anvil Oil which is another case that's rather |
| 19 | complex and involves competing applications both at |
| 20 | the Division that will also go to the Commission so in |
| 21 | a substantial kind of way. |
| 22 | So Mewbourne and Matador are involved |
| 23 | in these conversations. Conversations have been going |
| 24 | good and appear to be very close to reaching some kind |
| 25 | of resolution that would not only take care of this |
|  | Page 12 |

matter but would also go a long ways towards resolving the Anvil matter.

So I believe that the
Division -- Mewbourne and Matador have a lot at stake and a lot of investment in the negotiations. I understand that, you know, they're pretty close. There's a lot of moving pieces in these trade negotiations, but $I$ believe they're pretty close.

Matador's preference would be another status conference to, you know, finalize the trade agreements. The parties have not objected to that except for Mewbourne.

I think it would be in the best interest of Mewbourne to try to resolve this matter as opposed to going and doing prematurely a competing application. But Matador is agreeable to setting a hearing date if that hearing date could accommodate the final efforts to finalize an agreement that would resolve this.

And so after February, a March hearing date would maybe -- virtual connectivity interruption.

Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner, those involved another Ascent Energy matter in which Ascent prevailed on a pooling -- the grant of a pooling application and it was protested -- or it was appealed
to the Commission and then the Commission remanded it back to the Division. And right now, it's currently sitting at the Division.

It involves Apache, Mewbourne, and Matador, and there's numerous cases involved in that, I believe. I believe that, and Ms. Hardy's involved in that. She may clarify but I believe that Mewbourne has four cases, and Matador has two cases, and Apache, I believe, has three cases. Those are competing cases.

They'll be heard at the Division and then they will also be heard at the Commission so a substantial amount of effort and time and energy in that. And if that could be resolved, that would be, I believe, $a \operatorname{big} h e l p$ both to the Division and the parties.

The trade negotiations involve lands in both the Anvil wells and the current cases. So they are closely tied together and linked in that way.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Yes, I do recall those remanded cases now. I'm getting to the well names.

All right. So let me just go around the horn here and see if there are any comments or concerns from the other parties. Let's start with
this backwards Realeza Del Spear.
MR. HAJNY: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. I think we're also currently in discussions on getting our unleased interests leased.

So a timeframe that would accommodate additional time to make sure that gets closed prior to a hearing in this matter would be preferable for us, either a status conference or the March hearing date, late February hearing date suggested by Mr. Savage.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
City of Carlsbad.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
The City of Carlsbad is a mineral $F B$ in a case that I've entered an appearance in and so it's just monitoring the case to understand with whom it will ultimately be leasing. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Oil Conversation Division, any
thoughts?
MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, the Oil Conservation Division's concerns at this point primarily relate to making sure OCD is getting a notice of activity within the former area of review.

But we do not anticipate putting on a case regarding further restrictions at least outside
of one mile from the Carlsbad Brine well. So we really don't have any thoughts on the timing of the case or any objection to what's been presented

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
All right. So let me throw something out here.
We did a very good job two weeks ago of
filling up our January dockets with contested cases.
So I will throw out February $16 t h$ as a date for a
contested hearing in this matter. Obviously, if folks work things out prior to that, that's fine too. Mr. Feldewert.

MR. FELDEWERT: That's fine,
Mr. Examiner. You know these parties have been in discussions since July. So I think -- and I
understand your docket constraints so February 16th is fine with Mewbourne.

And you know, hopefully, things will get resolved. And sometimes having a hearing date helps things get resolved.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Ms. Hardy, any comments?
MS. HARDY: Yeah, that plan is fine with Alpha, Mr. Examiner. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
Mr. Savage, you got some time?
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MR. SAVAGE: That works for Matador. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. All right. So with that, any further comments on Cases 22093, 349, 171, 172, 408, 112, 184?

Hearing none, these cases will be set for a hearing on February 16, 2023. I will issue an order to that effect.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you, sir.
MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
MR. HAJNY: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So with that, we're on Item 8. This is Case 22853, Pride Energy Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: I can.
MR. BRUCE: Okay. I'm having telephone problems that's all. Jim Bruce for Pride Energy.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
I have an entry from Coterra Energy, et al.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. Darin Savage on behalf of Coterra Energy
and Cimarex Energy Company.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
ConocoPhillips.
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning,
Mr. Brancard. Oceans Munds-Dry with ConocoPhillips.
THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
Marathon Oil Permian.
MS. BENNETT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett, Modrall Sperling, on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian LLC.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Any other interested persons for Case 22853?

Hearing none, Mr. Bruce, people are objecting. Where are we?

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I think all of you have seen the motion that Mr. Savage filed. It sets out the Coterra or Cimarex's position pretty clearly. The issue is that Cimarex says there may be -- to take a step back.

Pride seeks to drill a Wolfcamp well, upper Wolfcamp well.

Cimarex, $I$ don't know if -- I can't remember now $I$ don't have the file in front of me, if they have an interest in the Wolfcamp, it's minor.

They have a much larger interest in the Bone Spring, and that's where they would like to drill.

And it's the foot for Pride. They don't have much in the Bone Spring, but they own a large interest in the Wolfcamp.

And Mr. Savage asserts that -- or Cimarex asserts that only a Bone Spring well should be drilled. So right there we come to two problems.

Number one is their communication between the zones, and number two if you allow one party to drill but not the other, one of the parties is going to have its drilling rights adversely affected.

So we need to go to hearing. The parties have been discussing this, but they haven't been able to resolve it yet. And I think Mr. Savage will agree with that.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So
Cimarex's motion was fascinating, not that $I$ understood most of it. But what I did understand at the end, Mr. Savage seemed to be asking for a February $16 t h$ date. Is that okay with you, Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Let me go to Mr. Savage then.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, thank you,
Mr. Hearing Examiner, that is correct. Cimarex needs to get out their well proposals which they're doing in the next two weeks, and then we need the 30 -day grace period before filing the applications.

And so I believe a February 16th date would accommodate all that and Cimarex appreciates that much.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. ConocoPhillips.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: February 16th sounds swell to us. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
Marathon.
MS. BENNETT: That's fine with Marathon as well. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Let me just $I$ forgot to ask Marathon and Conoco are you all thinking of filing competing applications?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: No, Mr. Hearing
Examiner, ConocoPhillips is not.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
MS. BENNETT: This is Deana again for Marathon, and $I$ was just looking back through my note to see about Marathon's position in this case. And I
don't believe that Marathon is going to be filing competing applications, but $I$ will confirm today and be prepared to send an e-mail to this group with that information.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. All right.
So why don't we set this for a February 16th hearing and if Coterra/Cimarex files competing applications, please inform us so we can add them to the pre-hearing order.

Are there any other comments then on Case 22853?

Hearing none, this will be set for a February 16th hearing. I'll issue a pre-hearing order. Thank you.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: With that, I believe we're on Items 9 and 10: 22935, 22936, Pride Energy Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Pride Energy.

THE HEARING OFFICER: I have an entry from ConocoPhillips.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry with ConocoPhillips.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other parties then for Cases 22935, 22936?

Hearing none, Ms. Munds-Dry, does Conoco have an objection here?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: We do, Mr. Brancard, and we do intend to file counterapplications in this matter, so we ask for a continuance. I think we asked for January 20th.

I recognize that those dockets are getting full so at your discretion, but we intend to send out the counterproposals late this week or early next week. So that will put us on track for end of January, beginning of February-ish.

THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
Mr. Bruce, any preferences? February 2nd or February $16 t h$ how about that?

MR. BRUCE: I guess February-ish is okay. Either one is fine with me.

THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Well, we'll define February-ish as February 2nd just to break things up here.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING OFFICER: And I will issue a pre-hearing order. But any other comments then on Cases 22935, 22936?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: No. Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Hearing none, these cases will be set for an impeding compulsory pooling hearing on February 2 -- 2023 that is.

All right. With that, maybe we should try a few hearings. So I'm on Item number 11, Case 23172, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Brancard and Mr. Lowe. Michael Feldewert with the Sante Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. And are there any other entries for 23172?

Hearing none, I believe we started this case, and we continued it because there was a problem with the legal description in the notice.

MR. FELDEWERT: Your memory is correct. This was actually presented at the last docket and the Division noted that the acreage description in the landman's affidavit and in the compulsory pooling checklist incorrectly said Lot 6 instead of Lot 7 or what would be the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter equivalent of a regular Section 6 .

So we have now filed in the case Notice of Revised Exhibits and the first one is a corrected
compulsory pooling checklist for this case which has the correct lot number.

And then secondly, we provided an additional landman's statement wherein he corrects the acreage description that was in his previous affidavit and namely Lot 7 instead of Lot 6 .
(Item 11 Exhibits were marked for
identification.)
So we ask that these additional
exhibits be accepted and this matter be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And I think the mistake was also in the notice.

MR. FELDEWERT: The docket notice, Mr. Examiner. Yes, that has been --

THE HEARING OFFICER: I believe you said someone sent us a corrected version.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir. In fact, if you look at the docket today, it does correctly say Lot 7 instead of Lot 6 .

THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm looking at it right now. Thank you. All right. Are there any other interested persons then for Case 23172?

Hearing none, the new exhibits will be admitted into the record, and Case 23172 will be taken
under advisement.
(Item 11 Exhibits were received into evidence.)

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, gentlemen.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. With that,
we are on items, I believe, 12 through 15. Cases 22605, 22606, 22607, 22608, EGL Resources.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of EGL.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
And then we have an entry from EOG Resources.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Sante Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other interested persons for Cases 22605, 606, 607, 608?

So let me start with Mr. Feldewert. Does EOG object to this case being heard by affidavit?

MR. FELDEWERT: We do not, Mr. Examiner.

You may recall that EOG Resources had competing pooling applications that were the Goldfinger wells which have now been dismissed. So you will not see a Goldfinger vs. Moonraker case
before you. So we have no objection to these matters proceeding by affidavit.

THE HEARING OFFICER: That's just too strange to be a coincidence. All right. Thank you. Yes, thank you for clarifying that this was a competing case, no longer is.

And with that, Mr. Bruce, you may
proceed.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, in these cases, the first two cases 605 and 607 seek to force pool the Bone Spring Formation.

The second two cases 607 and 608 seek to pool the Wolfcamp Formation well units or separately the west half west half of Sections 22 and 27, 19 South, 33 East, and then a separate well unit the east half west half of those same sections. I've submitted exhibits and I'll go through them just quickly. First of all, Exhibit 1 are the applications and notices; Exhibit 2 the Landman's affidavit.

Matt Langhoff is the landman. He hasn't testified before. He does set forth his educational and employment experience. And I would tender him as an expert petroleum landman in case there are any objections.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Any objections? MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Hearing none, so accepted.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, the land affidavit contains the usual information C102s. I would note that yesterday $I$ did submit a tract map which more specifically describes the tracts involved in this matter and the owners in those tracts.

EOG does own a 50 percent interest in this well unit. EGL at this point owns a 25 percent interest.

The parties have been in discussions since May and even earlier, I believe. I think the ultimate resolution may be an acreage creator or something along that line. But EOG has withdrawn its opposition to this matter proceeding by affidavit.

Of course, the well proposals and AFEs are included in the package. I would note that yesterday I received -- these AFEs are almost a year old, but yesterday I did receive updated AFEs which I did a supplemental filing yesterday.

So I would refer the examiners to those recently submitted AFEs to see what EGL is now proposing as the proposed well costs.

Exhibit 3 is the affidavit of the geologist Matthew Pardee. Again, he has not testified before the Division. He does set forth pretty quickly his education and experience.

I would note that if $I$ had had time, I would have beefed it up a little bit more, but he has been out of the country so contacting him has been difficult. But $I$ would tender him as an expert petroleum geologist absent objection.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Any objections?

Hearing none, so accepted.
MR. BRUCE: And he includes the usual attachments: the structure map, isopach map, and cross-sections for both the Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp. Both zones are continuous across the well units.

There is a little structural relief in this area, but the zone is continuous and fairly uniform across the Bone Spring and Wolfcamp well units, and they expect each quarter-to-quarter section to contribute more or less equally to production.

Exhibit 4 is my notice affidavit. I would note that all parties did receive notice.

I would note that with respect to one
of the parties Earthstone, the interest of which was formerly owned by Chisholm Energy, I never received a green card back despite notice being mailed out in late March. And I reached out to one of Earthstone's attorneys Dana Hardy, and she sent me an e-mail confirming that Chisholm and Earthstone did receive the application. So I believe notice is complete. And then, of course, Exhibit 6 are the bane of my existence the pooling checklists. And I think with that -- oh, the one other thing the pooling checklists should actually be Exhibit 5. I guess I just had a brain malfunction when $I$ was noticing that. Exhibit 6 there is an
overlapping -- there are overlapping well units in the Bone Spring. Recently submitted yesterday is a landman's affidavit regarding the overlapping well units, and a letter was sent to the operator and the working interest owners in the existing Bone Spring well units.
(Items 12-15 Exhibits were marked for identification.)

No objection has been received, and more than 20 days -- or, I think it's yeah, 20 days have elapsed with no objection to the overlapping well units. So I believe with that the applications packet

```
is complete.
```

I would move the admissions of
Exhibits 1 through 6 including the misnumbered Exhibit 6 the pooling checklists and ask that the cases be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. Feldewert, any questions?
MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. Lowe.
MR. LOWE: Good morning. I have a few questions for Mr. Bruce. Morning, Mr. Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: Good morning.
MR. LOWE: In reference to your Cases 22605 and 606, both pertain to the Bone Springs pool; is that correct?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
MR. LOWE: And those two Bone Springs according to what $I$ see on your C102 they indicate that they are seeking 640 acres, west half. Well, actually, I don't know. I need clarification on that.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. And I meant to address that. Yeah, they are 320 -acre well units. And I'm guessing that when EGL was looking at it, they were considering it as a whole the two cases, and I
can correct that. I'll have those corrected and resubmit those.

MR. LOWE: Okay. So yeah, I see 640
acres on the C102 for the number 201 well and the 202 H well. And just to, I guess, make sure I understand, those were just typo errors in -- well, not typo errors but $I$ guess errors in the way that they're going to be resubmitted to reflect 320 acres?

MR. BRUCE: We will do that.
MR. LOWE: Okay. I just wanted to be sure of that. Those were my questions. Thank you, Mr. Jim Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you, Mr. Lowe.
MR. LOWE: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Lowe, for catching that. I covered my question there.

So, Mr. Bruce, on your first supplemental exhibits --

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: You have a tract map.

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So this would be a tract map for all of the cases; is that
correct?
MR. BRUCE: That is correct, and I should have clarified that. I think it was done this way because Tract 1 covers the entire northwest quarter and so it's common acreage, common interest throughout each of the separate wells units; the west half west half and the east half west half of the sections.

The leases cover both well -- all
leases, all three leases cover both well units equally.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Because at the top it says, west half west half, but it's not, it's the west half. I'm looking at your Exhibit 1.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. Yeah. You have a sharper eye than $I$ do, Mr. Examiner. It should be -- that is true that is the -- this tract map despite the heading shows the west half of Sections 22 and 27.

But if you look down below when it describes the tracts, you know, it's northwest quarter of 22 , southwest quarter of 22 , and west half of 27 . Like I said, there are leases that cover that acreage.

So if you drew a line down the center of the west half, the acreage -- the ownership does
not change let's put it that way.
THE HEARING OFFICER: So, yeah. So maybe if you could just resubmit that and just clarify that this is a plat for all four cases, right? Because the Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp are covering the same acreage; correct?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: And the same ownership for Bone Spring and Wolfcamp; is that correct?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So if you just put a title on there that indicates that it's for all four cases --

MR. BRUCE: I will do that.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- and the ownership is the same for Bone Spring and Wolfcamp, I think that would be helpful.

MR. BRUCE: Correct.
THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you. Any other questions then for Cases 22605, 606, 607, 608?

Hearing none, these cases will be -- all the exhibits will be admitted including the
supplemental exhibits.
(Items 12-15 Exhibits were received into evidence.)

The cases will be taken under
advisement. We will leave the record open for revised C102s, a revised tract map I guess that's Exhibit 2A, Supplemental Exhibit 2A. If you can find a green card in the meanwhile, that would be great, Mr. Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: After six months I'm kind of doubting I will.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. So you have information here about the overlapping spacing units.

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sure you all are getting confused about this, and we're getting confused about this.

I think what we're going to do going forward is add a line or two to the checklist so that if you're seeking approval at the hearing, then you should indicate that. Okay?

MR. BRUCE: Okay.
THE HEARING OFFICER: So we know that you're seeking approval. Otherwise, it's more of an administrative approval that you handle through the

EPD stage.
MR. BRUCE: Yeah. And also, I mean it seems like just in the past year this has become a major deal, and I myself have noted on my personal checklist that I need to go online and check the Division's files for overlapping space units because my clients do not always inform me of them.

And that way, we can get that taken care of. Mr. Feldewert is better at it than $I$ am.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, I do think it's a growing issue because companies are now going back into areas where wells have already been drilled saying the first Bone Spring and they want to go into the third Bone Spring, etcetera.

So yes, $I$ think it's something we need to make a little clearer in the checklists so that we can have the information we need if you're going to use the hearing process for that.

MR. BRUCE: Correct. Thank you.
MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, while you're on that topic, $I$ do have a question then.

Do you still in pooling cases where the company is not seeking approval of an overlapping spacing unit, do you still want to have information on the notice that went out with respect to the
overlapping spacing unit?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Hopefully, the checklist will clarify that, and you can just say, you know, yes but we're doing this administrative approval for this or something. I think that's what you're talking about. Is that what you're talking about, Mr. Feldewert?

MR. FELDEWERT: A little bit. I mean, I think my understanding is the last time we had this conversation you wanted to see in the compulsory pooling packages the letter that went out regarding notice of the overlapping spacing unit even if we were not seeking approval from the Division for the overlapping spacing unit.

So that's my question. Do we still need to include that letter in the compulsory pooling hearing package if a company is not seeking approval of the overlapping spacing unit?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, you have to show that you complied with the provisions of the rule for overlapping spacing unit.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Some folks are bringing it up at the hearing as if we're going to do it through the hearing process and the hearing order.

MR. FELDEWERT: Right.
THE HEARING OFFICER: But you don't have to. So it's sort of your choice but you know, hopefully, we will make that clearer by you can write in the checklist and say, you know, we will seek approval through the administrative process for this.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Same thing with, you know, people bring up nonstandard location sometimes, things like that that are clearly stuff that you could do administratively but maybe they want to have it all in one neat hearing order. Which really is not exactly our preference.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
THE HEARING OFFICER: But we can't stop you from doing that. But we just want to make it clear that if you do ask for that approval, this is what you need to submit.

Well, thank you. Thank you for those questions. All right.

So we are now on Items 16 through 19.
I believe this is a similar situation with a former compulsory pooling ordered, competing compulsory pooling ordered. These are Cases 22641, 22642, 22643, 22644, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. COG Operating.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Ocean Munds-Dry with COG Operating LLC.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Devon
Energy Production.
MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner, Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Devon Energy Production Company.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for Cases 22641, 642, 643, 644?

Hearing none, Mr. Bruce to proceed.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, in these cases Mewbourne seeks to first pool collectively all of Section 32 in the west half of Section 33, 20 South, 28 East to drill Wolfcamp wells.

The well units they're oil wells, and each well unit covers 240 acres. Devon had filed applications which partially overlapped Mewbourne's proposed wells in, I believe, it was Section 33.

Devon has dismissed its applications and I'm sure is going to proceed separately as to the
other acreage that was in Devon's applications. I believe the parties have worked out some type of acreage creed which led to the resolution of this matter.

Exhibit 1 contains the applications. I have submitted four exhibit packages, one for each case. They are virtually identical except as to the lands involved. Exhibit 1 in each package is the application for post notice.

Exhibit 2 is the affidavit -- well, I see my first mistake on this one. I do have an affidavit of the landman, and $I$ don't know why it's not complete in this package because I do have that. If I messed up, I will submit it.

But the landman Brad Dunn has attached or has submitted the land plats, the C102s, the acreage, tract plats, and showing the percentage interest and the interest owners in each package. As part of the tract ownership, it shows the interest owners and the parties being pooled. I would note at this time that both COG Operating and ConocoPhillips who are in this matter have come to terms with Mewbourne, and they are not being pooled in this matter -- in these matters I should say.

The proposal letters and a summary of contacts with the interest owners is included along with the AFEs.

And there is the affidavit of the landman Charles Crosby. He has previously testified many times before. And all of the geology is -- his affidavit covers all four cases. It shows a structure map, a cross-section showing the uniformity of the reservoir and also attaches the proposed horizontal drilling plan for the well.

Exhibit 4 is my Affidavit of Notice as to all cases. Originally, I did not receive green cards back from everyone, but since the matter has been continued, I have received more and more green cards back and just recently, I submitted a spreadsheet to make things easier. And you'll notice that on the spreadsheet green cards were received from all interest owners.

There is a publication affidavit covering all four cases, but at this point, that is unnecessary.

And then Exhibit 6 contains the pooling checklists. And other than making sure $I$ can dig up the landman's affidavit which if $I$ have it, I will -- yeah, $I$ guess it's missing from the first

22641 case, but it should be -- well, maybe not. I don't know what happened to it. It magically disappeared, but $I$ will submit the landman's affidavit.
(Items 16-19 Exhibits were marked for identification.

It contains the usual information regarding overhead rates which are $\$ 8,800$ per month and requesting that non-consent risk charge, etcetera. I apologize for omitting that from the exhibit packages, but $I$ will submit that.

And with that, I'd ask that the exhibits be admitted in each case and that the matters be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Any questions or objections from COG?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: No questions, no
objections. Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Any questions or objections from Devon
Energy?
MR. SAVAGE: No questions, no
objections. Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Lowe.
MR. LOWE: Morning, Mr. Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: Good morning.
MR. LOWE: All these wells -- actually, all these cases are basically per well and as you mentioned earlier, a concern that you might have is that there might be other -- there might be a concern on the Section 33 location of the spacing unit for each of the wells; is that correct?

MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Mr. Examiner, Mewbourne's wells include the west half of Section 33. Devon had counterapplications which included the west half of Section 33 plus acreage to the east of that. So they were partially counterapplications.

And they were in discussions for quite some time, and they worked things out. And so Devon graciously dismissed its application to allow Mewbourne to go forward. I think Devon is still planning on drilling two-mile laterals to the east of Mewbourne's wells.

MR. LOWE: Okay. And all these wells are basically spread about on 40 -acre building blocks, laid down wells from basically each 40-acre blocks' entire -- basically everything in 32 and the west half of 33.

MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
MR. LOWE: Okay. Then for all of these
cases, they're all seeking the same pooling formation; correct?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, it's the Alacran Hills, upper Wolfcamp pool which is an oil pool.

MR. LOWE: Okay. Thank you for that information. Those are all my questions. Thank you. MR. BRUCE: Sure.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I don't know why Mr. Savage didn't notice the fact that Mr. Bruce, you misspelled Devon in the checklist. MR. SAVAGE: Devon and myself are very forgiving.

MR. BRUCE: I think I did it late in the evening. I might have had a drink or two, Mr. Examiner.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I can't object because the other day $I$ was doing an amended pre-hearing order for a Devon case, and I realized the original pre-hearing order I had misspelled Devon, so. So all right. I guess I got a little confused. Did you say that some of these parties' interest owners are not being pooled?

MR. BRUCE: If you go to the alleged landman's affidavit, there is a listing of interest owners showing the parties and their percentage
interests. And COG and ConocoPhillips collectively own about 20 percent of the interest in the well units.

But just $I$ think on Monday they signed a JOA with Mewbourne, so they are dismissed.

All of the other parties with asterisks by their names are being pooled. And I'm pretty sure that one of the parties being pooled is Devon Energy. But Devon and Mewbourne are close to making a deal so eventually Devon -- and pursuant to usual regulations, we will notify the Division.

But Devon in the end will not be a forced pool party, I believe. But at this time, we're still seeking to force pool them. And that is by agreement of the parties.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Here's what I'd like to do, Mr. Bruce, is obviously the landman's affidavit is pretty important not just for what the landman says but the explanation of all the attachments that follow.

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: So why don't we continue this case to December 1 --

MR. BRUCE: Okay.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- for you to
submit the landman's affidavit. And if you need to update any of the attached exhibits to the landman's affidavit because right now it states that COG is being pooled, please do so.

MR. BRUCE: Right. I will. These exhibits were originally prepared in June, I believe, Mr. Examiner, and so --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I mean that is something that when we have these cases that were ready for hearing a while ago, and then now we have the hearing.

MR. BRUCE: Yes. Okay. I will.
THE HEARING OFFICER: The landman's affidavit, obviously, is pretty key to our evaluation of this so if you can submit the landman's affidavit and then if we have any questions on December 1, we will let you know.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Once again, any more comments on Cases 22641, 642, 643, 644?

Hearing none, the exhibits including any supplemental exhibits will be accepted into the record. The case will be continued to December 1 and with requiring the submittal of a landman's affidavit
and any revised attachments or exhibits with the landman's affidavit. Thank you.
(Items 16-19 Exhibits were received into evidence.)

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. With that,
we are on Items 20 through 23, and these are cases 22943, 22944, 22945, 22946. Colgate Operating.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Colgate Operating.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Devon Energy Production.

MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner, Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Devon Energy Production Company.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Mr. Savage, does Devon object to this case going forward by affidavit?

MR. SAVAGE: No objection. Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for cases 22943, 944, 945, 946?

Hearing none, you may proceed, Ms. Hardy.

MS. HARDY: Thank you. In these cases,
collectively, Colgate seeks to pool the Bone Spring Formation in Sections 5 and 6, Township 20 South, Range 28 East in Eddy County. And there are four Bone Spring spacing units. Each one is 320 acres. And there is one well dedicated to each spacing unit.

Our exhibits include the affidavits of landman Mark Haddock and geologist David DaGian. Mr. Haddock provides the standard land exhibits. The plat of tracts, ownership, and pooled parties are identified in Exhibit A3.

In these cases, we are pooling only one working interest owner and that is Devon and one record title owner which is Mewbourne. And then we have several overriding royalty interests that are also being pooled.

Mr. DaGian provides the standard geology exhibits including a location map, cross-section map, structure map, cross-section, and gun barrel diagram.

My notice affidavit is Exhibit $C$ and includes a chart of the addresses and the certified mail receipts. We received green cards back from all parties other than two overriding royalty interests, and one of those we had confirmed receipt for with the postal service records. We did also timely publish

```
notice.
```

(Items 20-23 Exhibits were marked for identification.)

So with that, unless there are
questions $I$ request that the exhibits be admitted and that the cases be taken under advisement. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Any questions from Devon?
MR. SAVAGE: No questions. We're just preserving rights at this time.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Mr. Lowe.
MR. LOWE: Good morning, Ms. Dana Hardy.

MS. HARDY: Morning.
MR. LOWE: I'm trying to locate your exhibits here, but my computer is slow. I think I have the right -- this is -- 943 exhibit on page 15 of 47. I think it's the AFE. The well name is not complete.

I don't know if that particular -- is this by default in reference to the named case. It only has the Mad Max 6 Fed Com. It doesn't say anything about the well number.

MS. HARDY: Oh, I see that. I think
that --
MR. LOWE: Is this correct for this case then, I guess?

MS. HARDY: Yes, it is.
MR. LOWE: Okay.
MS. HARDY: Yeah.
MR. LOWE: Other than that, I have no other questions at this time. Thank you.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And, Ms. Hardy, you have answered my question which was in looking at Exhibit A3 I got myself confused trying to figure out what the interests were. But just to be clear, it lists Mewbourne and Devon as lessees of record but only Devon as a working interest owner.

MS. HARDY: That's correct,
Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING OFFICER: So Mewbourne is just a record title owner?

MS. HARDY: Correct.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
And with that, are there any other questions or concerns for Cases $22943,944,945,946 ?$

Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and these cases will be taken
under advisement.
(Items 20-23 Exhibits were received into evidence.)

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: With that, I
believe we are on Item 24. This is case 23094, Spur Energy Partners.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Spur Energy Partners.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Are there any other interested persons for case 23094? Hearing none, Spur may proceed. MS. HARDY: Thank you. In this case, Spur seeks an order pooling uncommitted interests in the Yeso Formation underlying a 320 -acre more or less standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the north half of Section 25, Township 17 South, Range 27 East in Eddy County.

And the unit will be dedicated to the Taylorcrest 25 Federal $10 \mathrm{H}, 20 \mathrm{H}, 70 \mathrm{H}, 21 \mathrm{H}, 71 \mathrm{H}$, and 90 H wells. This is a proximity tract unit with the 10H well being the proximity tract defining well.

Our exhibits include the affidavits of landman Lance Young and geologist Matthew Van Wie. Mr. Young has not previously testified before the

Division, and we provided an exhibit that includes his resume. And I would request that he be considered an expert by the Division.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Hearing no objections, he's so accepted.

MS. HARDY: Thank you. Mr. Young provides the standard land exhibits. The plat of tracts, ownership interest, and pooled parties are identified in Exhibit A3.

Mr. Van Wie provides a location map, structure map, cross-section, and gun barrel diagram. My notice affidavit is Exhibit $C$ and includes a chart of the addresses and also the certified mail receipts. Several of the notices were undeliverable, but we did send to multiple addresses on several of them. And we also did timely publish notice.
(Item 24 Exhibits were marked for identification.)

And on Tuesday we filed an updated notice exhibit and an updated checklist that reflects the hearing date since this was continued from a prior docket. With that, unless there are questions I request that the exhibits be admitted and that the case be taken under advisement.


MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: With that, we are on Item 25, Case 22987, Matador Production Company.

MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. Lowe. Paula Vance with the Sante Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of Matador Production Company.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons for Case 22987?

Hearing none, Matador may proceed.
MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. In Case 22987, Matador seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring Formation, Pool Willow Lake, Bone Spring West, and the code is 96415.

And that's underlying a standard 320 -acre more or less horizontal spacing unit comprised of the north half of the south half of Sections 11 and 12, Township 24 South, Range 27 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

The applicant seeks to initially dedicate the horizontal spacing unit to the proposed David Edelstein State Com 113H well and David Edelstein State Com 123H well both to be horizontally drilled from a surface location in the northeast
quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 12 to a bottom hole location in the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 11.

In this case, we have provided the compulsory pooling checklist as well as the affidavit and statement of landman Hannah Bollenbach and geologist Daniel -- I'm going to misspell this and I apologize, Brugioni, both of whom have previously testified before the Division, and their credentials have been accepted as a matter of record.

Ms. Bollenbach's affidavit is Exhibit C which includes sub-exhibit C1, C102, C2 a land tract map, C3 a list of uncommitted interest owners and parties to be pooled, C4 sample well proposal orders and AFEs, and C5 a chronology of contacts.

This is followed by Mr. Brugioni's affidavit which is Exhibit D. It includes sub-exhibits D1 a locator map, D2 a sub-C structure map and cross-section map, and D3 a stratigraphic cross-section.

And in this case, Mr. Brugioni did not observe any faulting pinchouts or other geological impediments to the horizontal drilling of these wells.

And lastly is Exhibit E a self-affirmed statement of notice with sample letters that were
timely mailed on August 12, 2022, and also additional notice that was sent out on October 18, 2022.

And Exhibit $F$ an affidavit of notice of publication which was timely published on September 1, 2022 .
(Item 25 Exhibits were marked for identification.)

And with that, unless there are any questions $I$ would ask that the exhibits and sub-exhibits be admitted into the record and that Case 22987 be taken under advisement by the Division at this time.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Mr. Lowe, any questions?
MR. LOWE: Good morning, Ms. Paula
Vance. This is Leonard.
MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Lowe.
MR. LOWE: I just took a quick glance at the pool formation that is being seeked for these two wells is the Willow Lake, Bone Spring west; correct?

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
MR. LOWE: So a quick look at what I'm seeing here it shows that -- I'm going to investigate it on my side furthermore to verify that
this -- referenced here when $I$ look at $I$ don't know if it's real or not. Well, you know, I need to clarify that on my side.

So according to what you have for a Bone Spring pool formation that you have indicated on your C102, you look orthodox. According to the pool formation that $I$ see on our side, it shows that you might be encroaching. If you are, I'm going to look into that, and $I$ will let you know to clarify that on my side.

But other than that, I'm just seeing to get, you know, an understanding of Bone Spring more so on my side.

MS. VANCE: Just for reference and maybe it will help, on the checklist an $A$-- the footages -- I included the footages there so if that's easier to see and reference.

MR. LOWE: Well, I can see that in your exhibits, and then that's understandable to a Bone Spring, I guess, a general Bone Spring pool formation. But just on our side, $I$ see different numbers on my side, and I'm going to clarify that on my side before I -- you know, I'll ask you about this later on if that'll be okay.

MS. VANCE: -- Mr. Lowe.

MR. LOWE: But that's my only question. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I think maybe what Mr. Lowe is talking about is I see kind of a discrepancy between the C102 and what you put on your checklist. Your checklist indicates that well 113 is orthodox because it's 1650 from the south line; correct?

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
THE HEARING OFFICER: 1320 plus 330. But when you look at the C102, it shows 274 and 321 as the distances from the edge of the spacing unit which would, I believe, Mr. Lowe, make that non-standard. Is that correct?

MS. VANCE: I'm sorry, where are you looking?

THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm looking at the C102 for 113 H .

MS. VANCE: And you're looking at the tape, correct, that are on the diagram because --

THE HEARING OFFICER: On the diagram, it shows 274 from the bottom hole to the edge of the unit.

MS. VANCE: It's not what I have for the -- so what I have for the -- is 1650.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I see the 1650, but $I$ don't show the 274 is then. What are you measuring?

MS. VANCE: Where are you seeing the -- virtual connectivity interruption --

THE HEARING OFFICER: C102 that's in
our file it has a 274 on the bottom hole location to a point that appears to be on the edge of the spacing unit, but maybe that's some other point.

MS. VANCE: Yeah, because the C102 we submitted has the first taped point as 1650 from the south line and 100 feet from the east line and then the opposing same footage as 1650 --

THE HEARING OFFICER: We're losing your voice there, Ms. Vance, sorry.

MS. VANCE: I'm sorry. So what I'm looking at is 1650 from the south line and 100 feet off the east line for the first taped point and then -- I'm sorry -- then 1650 off the south line and 150 off the west line for the last taped point.

So that's what's in the C102, but if you're seeing something -- are you saying in the well file or something?

THE HEARING OFFICER: No, no. I'm looking at the C102 on file with us. It has a

274 -foot notation pointing the arrow at the last taped point Anvil location and then pointing an arrow at a point that appears to be on the edge of the spacing unit, but $I$ don't know. I don't know what that 274 is. This is 113H.

MS. VANCE: Well, I'm certainly happy to look into anything that you would like me to get clarification on. But what we've submitted in the packet, as far as $I$ understand, is the correct C102 and the footages to be used for the drilling of this well.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, if you could just look it over, see what you submitted to us.

MS. VANCE: Absolutely.
THE HEARING OFFICER: I noticed there were a number of folks I guess these are old leases so a number of interest owners that were hard to locate.

MS. VANCE: That's correct,
Mr. Examiner, and we pointed out in the checklist there were still four unlocatable parties. But and that was after trying four different mailing addresses which you can see in Exhibit C5 the number of different addresses that were attempted for those parties with no success.

And the landman Ms. Bollenbach she did note in her affidavit paragraph 14 the steps that Matador in attempting to locate those parties.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
MS. VANCE: Are there any other
questions, Mr. Examiner?
THE HEARING OFFICER: No.
Are there any other interested persons then in the Case 22987?

THE REPORTER: Good morning. Sorry, this is the reporter. Just before we move on, could I get the spelling of Brugioni?

MS. VANCE: Sure. Absolutely. B as in boy, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{B}$ as in boy, $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$.

THE HEARING OFFICER: That's Bollenbach, Ms. Vance.

MS. VANCE: Oh, I'm sorry, Bollenbach. I'm sorry, Brugioni. I would have needed assistance spelling both of them, but $I$ will give you the other last name as well. Daniel Brugioni, $B-R-U-G-I-O-N-I$.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.
MS. VANCE: My apologies. Sorry.
THE REPORTER: Thanks for the help.
MS. VANCE: Absolutely.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

If there are no other questions, then we will admit all of the exhibits into the record for Case 22987, and Case 22987 will be taken under advisement. Thank you.
(Item 25 Exhibits were received into evidence)

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
Thank you, Mr. Lowe.
THE HEARING OFFICER: With that, we are on Items 26 through 29. These are Cases 23063, 23064, 23065, 23066, Matador Production Company.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Sante Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. COG Operating LLC.

MS. RYAN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Beth Ryan on behalf of COG Operating and Concho Oil and Gas.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Does COG Concho have any objections to these cases being heard by affidavit?

MS. RYAN: No, sir. We're just wanting to note on the record that Mr. Feldewert would
acknowledge we're not going to be pooled today. I think we've worked everything out.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons then for Cases 23063, 064, 065, 066?

Hearing none, Matador may proceed.
MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, in these consolidated cases, Matador seeks to create four 280-acre spacing units in the south half acreage of Sections 21 and 22 and 20 South, 29 East in Eddy Country. Two of those spacing units in Bone Spring Formation and two in the Wolfcamp for their proposed Michael K wells.

Now, the landman notes, and I will get to it when we run through the exhibit, that Matador has excluded from pooling the east half of the southeast quarter of Section 22 . That's why we have 280-acre spacing units.

And he notes in the affidavit that the reason they have done that is because they have an agreement, a development agreement with Devon where Devon Energy is going to develop that acreage with wells coming in from the east. So that's why these spacing units are 280 acres for this area.

We have provided in our exhibit package
the compulsory pooling checklist as Exhibit A and then the applications as Exhibit B.

The landman's affidavit starts as Exhibit C which is page 31 of our PDF. Mr. Holder has previously testified, and he provides the usual exhibits.

I do want to note a couple things though and that is that the reference to the Devon agreement you'll find in paragraph 8 of his statement where he references the agreement with Devon.

And then you'll see that we're pooling a group of working interest owners and a group of overriding royalty interest owners.

So Exhibit C1 is the C102s for all four spacing units.

Exhibit C2 provides a very nice depiction of the tracts that are involved here in this south half area of Sections 21 and 22.

Exhibit C3 provides the ownership breakdown both listing the working interest owners and the overriding royalty interest owners.

I will note that -- and Ms. Ryan, I don't have an answer for you, but it's my understanding that I'm not sure COG owns in the south half acreage. Okay. I did not see them on the
pooling list. We don't show them as owning in the south half acreage.

But in any event, $I$ know that there's an agreement in place between the companies so certainly COG is not being pooled here.

Exhibit C4 is a well proposal letter and then there was an amendment to that letter providing additional information about the Michael K wells.

Exhibit 5 provides the summary of communications with the working interest owners that they seek to pool.

And then Exhibit C6, Mr. Examiner, provides the notice letter that went out for an overlapping spacing unit in the Bone Spring, and you'll see that letter identifies both the existing spacing units that are proposed and then the overlapping spacing unit that will occur here. And it did it by well that's why you see two letters here.

We're not seeking requests for approval because no one has objected, but I guess I'm a little confused. It's my understanding that you still want something like this in the record which is why we have provided it.
Exhibit D as in David is the affidavit
of Mr. Parker the geologist who has also previously testified in this matter. He provides Exhibits D1 and D2. They relate to the -- well, let me step back. D1 is a locator map so it gives you a general area, shows the general area involved here. And then D2 is the structure map relating to the Bone Spring with D3 being the structural cross-section made a prime again for the Bone Spring showing the targeted interval.

And then he provides the same exhibits in D4 and D5 but for the Wolfcamp interval that's at issue here.

Exhibit E is my affidavit confirming that notice was provided on the letters that are attached along with the status of the delivery that we have received from the post office concerning those mailings.

And then Exhibit $F$ is an affidavit of publication for each of these matters that listed in those publications all of the parties including the overriding interest owners that Matador seeks to pool.
(Items 26-29 Exhibits were marked for identification.)

So with that, I would move the admission of Exhibits $A$ through $F$ and ask that the
matter be taken under advisement.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Any questions or concerns from COG?
MS. RYAN: No. Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Lowe.
MR. LOWE: Good morning, Mr. Feldewert.
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning.
MR. LOWE: At the beginning of your presentation, you verbalized that you are excluding the acreages in that, $I$ guess, 40 -acre building blocks, and you stated your reason for doing so. That information that you verbalized is that somewhere in the packet indicating the reason why you excluded that acreage?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah. So just to clarify, they excluded the east half of the southeast quarter of Section 22 because there's an agreement with Devon where Devon is going to develop that acreage.

And if you look at the affidavit of Mr. Holder which is Exhibit C, and if you go to paragraph 8 which is on page 33 of the PDF, that's where he references the agreement that they have with Devon and that Devon is going to develop that particular -- those two 40 -acre tracts along with the
south half of Sections 23 and 24. So they're going to come in from the east into that acreage.

MR. LOWE: Okay. And I guess some feedback from me in reference to your C102s. From what I see, I like when $I$ review C102s in any format I'm reviewing it, it's good that operators put information on the dedicated acreage number, in this case 280 acres, which helps us out a lot.

And also, the depiction of the horizontal spacing unit on your map schematic helps out as well too because a lot of the c102s we have to look at we have to track down the actual horizontal spacing unit that is being sought for the well.

But in this case here, you indicate for the 123 H well, you give your 280 acres, and it visually shows 280 acres. But when you go down to your 203H, it gives you 280 acres and visually it looks like you're seeking more than that on your map schematic.

I'll review -- I have to double-check what was being presented here, and that could slow things down on my side, so.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good catch. I did not see that.

MR. LOWE: So this is, I guess, a

Page 67
general for everyone to indicate whatever -- we appreciate that you annotate that dedicated acreage in Box 13. It helps us out a lot.

The schematic on this map portion of the C102 indicating your horizontal spacing unit helps us in review in any instance for anything that we have to review. So that's just an overall notice.

Other than that, those are my
questions. Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So the 203 and 204 H those are for which applications?

MR. FELDEWERT: So that would
be -- hold on a second -- they would be for the Wolfcamp applications and let me get you the case numbers.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So that's 65 and 66.

MR. FELDEWERT: I'm confirming that. That's correct, Mr. Examiner, yes.

So the application, the notice, everything says 280 , dedicated acreage says 280 , but Mr. Lowe is correct the depiction on the c102, on this draft C102, will need to be corrected. And they can certainly do that in an hour whenever they get around to filing the C102.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And so that's for -- he said 203 H , but it's also 204 H it appears to be.

MR. FELDEWERT: It would be both, correct. Well, I object to 204. Let me check. I'm assuming that's -- yes. So the dedicated acreage is correct. It's just on the plat itself their rectangle is too big.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, and I concur with Mr. Lowe that it is very helpful when the spacing unit is indicated on the C102. You have a lot of information on your C102, but it is helpful.

MR. FELDEWERT: Agreed.
THE HEARING OFFICER: So thank you for that. All right.

Are there any other questions or concerns then for Cases 23063, 064, 065, 066?

Hearing none, Mr. Lowe, would you like to see a revised C102?

MR. LOWE: Just, I guess, in the end once the -- not currently for the case but for the life of the well.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Resubmit the APD?
MR. LOWE: Just because I assure you in the end upon the C104 review it'll cause more stoppage
time at that time. So it's just best to present everything clear and concise upfront for all instances.

But in this case, I understand what's there, but $I$ guess, in general, in the end once it's for sure, any area along the life of the well that everything is, you know, in correct order in that sense.

MR. FELDEWERT: No, and I appreciate that, Mr. Lowe, and I will certainly contact the company and make sure that when they actually get around to filing the APDs that they make this correction.

MR. LOWE: Yes. And yeah, just especially right now where we just need to have a lot of the information in line with what is going on. And it just makes it a little faster.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Yes, as you indicated, Mr. Feldewert, these are draft C102s. So with that, the exhibits in these cases will be admitted into the record in Cases 23063, 064, 065, and 066 will be taken under advisement.
(Items 26-29 Exhibits were received into evidence.)

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, gentlemen.

THE HEARING OFFICER: With that, we are on Item number 30. This is Case 23074, Centennial Resource Production.

MS. VANCE: Good morning again, Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. Lowe. Paula Vance with the Sante Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of Centennial -- or yeah, sorry, Centennial Resource Production LLC.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for Case 23074?

Hearing none, Centennial may proceed.
MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. In Case 23074, Centennial seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interest owners in the Bone Spring Formation.

The pool is Featherstone Bone Spring, and the pool code is 24240. And that's underlying a standard 480-acre more or less horizontal well spacing unit comprised of the northwest quarter of section 22 and the west half of Section 15, Township 20 South, Range 53 East, Lee County, New Mexico.

Centennial seeks to pool and initially this Bone Spring spacing unit to the proposed Woody 22 Fed Com 501 H and the Woody 22 Fed Com 502H. And I
would note that the 501 H is the defining well using the proximity tracts allowing for the larger unit. In this case, we have provided the compulsory pooling checklist as well as self-affirmed statement of the landman Travis Macha and geologist Isabel Harper, both of whom have previously testified before the Division and their credentials have been made a matter of record.

Mr. Macha's self-affirmed statement is
Exhibit C which includes sub-exhibits C1 the C102's, C2 a land tract map, C3 an ownership schedule, C4 sample well proposal letters and AFEs, and C5 a chronology of contacts.

This is followed by Ms. Harper's self-affirmed statement which is Exhibit $D$ and includes sub-exhibits D1 a locator map, D2 a sub-C structure map, D3 a cross-section map, and D4 a stratigraphic cross-section.

In this case, Ms. Harper did not observe any faulting pinch-outs or other geological impediments to the horizontal drilling of these wells.

Lastly is Exhibit E a self-affirmed statement of notice with sample letters that were timely mailed on September 16, 2022.

And Exhibit $F$ an affidavit of notice of
publication which was timely published on
September 18, 2022.
(Item 30 Exhibits were marked for identification.)

But before $I$ ask for any questions, I
did want to note, and I'm happy to wait until you get there, on Exhibit C 3 which is the ownership schedule in this case we initially provided notice to Incline Permian, and you'll see them as a working interest. I think they are only an entrapped pour. They were the party of interest at the time of filing, but you'll also see BEXT alpha and omega. After filing, Incline assigned a portion of their working interest to these parties and so they were included on the pooling. But Incline is the party that was noticed.

And also, Centennial is no longer
seeking to pool KWF Enterprises which is also I believe they are only entrapped pour and they're an override. But again, Centennial is no longer seeking to pool them.

And with that, unless there is any
questions $I$ would ask that all exhibits and sub-exhibits be admitted into the record and that Case 23074 be taken under advisement by the Division
at this time. Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Mr. Lowe, questions.
MR. LOWE: Good morning. These two wells are basically -- the spacing unit for the wells are in the east half of the west half of Section 15 and the east half of the northwest quarter of 22 ; right?

MS. VANCE: Can you say that one more time?

MR. LOWE: Both of these wells are located in the east half of the west half of 15 and the east half of the northwest quarter of Section 22; right?

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
MR. LOWE: And then --
MS. VANCE: And the 501 is the defining well allowing for using the proximity tracts allowing for the larger spacing unit.

MR. LOWE: Yes. And I can see that going on. I was just curious to know are you going to base any other wells in the west half of the west half of this area?

MS. VANCE: I am not sure about that, but I'm happy to follow up with Centennial. It is my
understanding that they are, you know, in this case, obviously, seeking to be assigned operator to these lands.

But just south of them in that southwest quarter and also further south, I believe it's Section -- the west half of Section 27. I do know that they are the designated operator for those lands and have plans to develop so no stranded acreage.

But I believe that they have further plans to develop in this area. But again, happy to follow up with Centennial.

MR. LOWE: Well, I was just asking right now. You don't need to look into or gather any other information. I was just wondering if that was -- I assumed that might have been, and what you have here is allowed. So it's not like an area of concern. I was just curious.

The latest information you provided verbally is that overriding a royalty interest being excluded? I think it was -- what was that -- is that also in your exhibit as well too or is it just a verbal as of now?

MS. VANCE: That is just a verbal update for the Division subsequent to filing the
hearing packet.
MR. LOWE: Okay. Those are all my questions. Thank you.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Lowe.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So I'm getting a little confused about who's being pooled here. So your Exhibit C3 was I think six working interests to be pooled; is that correct?

MS. VANCE: That is correct. And just to clarify one more time since you're -- at the time of filing Incline Permian LLC, they were the working interest owner with the interest to be pooled at the time of filing.

Subsequent to filing, they did make a small assignment of their working interest you'll see to BEXT alpha and omega. So they were included, but the party at the time of filing that we're seeking to pool is Incline and who was provided notice.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. In C5, your communication it seems to indicate that some of these parties have agreed to participate.

MS. VANCE: That's correct, but they have not signed a JOA yet. So they have elected to participate but have not yet signed a JOA.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.

In looking here at Exhibit D1, and you may have addressed this with Mr. Lowe, but Exhibit D1 shows your wells going north south in the north half northwest quarter of 22. But down in 27, wells ending at the section line which creates kind of a quarter section with nothing in it.

MS. VANCE: Yes, I believe I did answer that with Mr. Lowe but happy to, you know, provide an additional response on that.

I did talk with Centennial about the southwest quarter of Section 22 and then the west half of 27. They're already the designated operator in that area and have plans to further develop.

So there should not -- that quarter section in Section 22, the southwest quarter of Section 22 , will not be stranded acreage or is not intended to be stranded acreage. Centennial does have plans to develop it.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. So and then lastly, your Exhibit E, your notice statement --

MS. VANCE: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- seems to indicate that in paragraph 5 that you're going to publish tomorrow.

MS. VANCE: This is an oversight. That should have been September, not November. Thank you for the catch on that, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. And your affidavit of publication concurred September 18. So if you can correct that.

Let me see, where is your checklist?
Is it all the way at the beginning?
MS. VANCE: It is yes, all the way at the beginning Exhibit A.

THE HEARING OFFICER: And when you get down to your wells where you list your Well 1 and Well 2.

MS. VANCE: I'm there.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I believe these are the Woody wells, not the Wood wells.

MS. VANCE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, they are.

THE HEARING OFFICER: And you know that's a small thing but since our order says the wells as shown in the checklist, I'm going to need to have that right. So if you could correct the checklist.

MS. VANCE: I will correct the checklist and also make sure we've got the correct

| 1 | date of notice of publication in my self-affirmed |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | statement. |
| 3 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. All |
| 4 | right. |
| 5 | Are there any other persons then for |
| 6 | Case 23074? |
| 7 | Hearing none, the exhibits will be |
| 8 | admitted to the record. The case will be taken under |
| 9 | advisement, the record left open for a corrected |
| 10 | checklist and a corrected notice affidavit. And as I |
| 11 | mentioned earlier, two-week deadline to get that into |
| 12 | us. |
| 13 | (Item 30 Exhibits were received into |
| 14 | evidence.) |
| 15 | MS. VANCE: Absolutely. Thank you, |
| 16 | Mr. Hearing Examiner. |
| 17 | Thank you, Mr. Lowe. And have a great |
| 18 | day. |
| 19 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. |
| 20 | All right. Item number 31, Case 23081, |
| 21 | Longfellow Energy. |
| 22 | MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning, |
| 23 | Mr. Examiner, Sharon Shaheen on behalf of Longfellow |
| 24 | Energy. |
| 25 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. |
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Apache Corporation.
MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett, Modrall Sperling on behalf of Apache Corporation. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And does Apache object to this case going forward by affidavit?

MS. BENNETT: No, Apache does not object to the case going forward by affidavit, and I am just entering our appearance to preserve our rights if necessary.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. With that, Longfellow may proceed.

MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you. In this case, Longfellow seeks to pool a standard 320 -acre unit in the south half of Section 31, Township 17 South, Range 28 East in Eddy County to develop the Yeso Formation.

There are actually proximity tracts included in this spacing unit. The $3 H$ well is the proximity well. It is located in the south half of the south half of Section 31 , but it will be -- the completed lateral will be less than 330 feet from the boundary between the south half of the south half and the north half of the south half.

Our checklist is included in the
exhibit package at Tab 1. The application follows at Tab 2.

The landman testimony is Exhibit A at
Tab 3 PDF 14. Included with the landman affidavit is the general location map as Exhibit A1, the tract map at Exhibit A2, the spacing unit ownership as Exhibit A3, ownership breakdown Exhibit A4, chronology of contacts Exhibit A5, C102s Exhibit A6, the well proposal letter and AFEs at Exhibit A7, and the notice letter at Exhibit A8.

The geologist's testimony follows as Exhibit B on Tab 5. She includes her usual exhibits B1, B2 through B7. A regional view and generalized stratigraphic column, regional Yeso structure map, a spacing unit schematic with well bores, a type log, a structural cross-section map of the Yeso, the structural cross-section, and the gun barrel diagram.

That is followed by my affidavit of notice as Exhibit $C$ at Tab 7. Attached to that is our spreadsheet indicating the status of the mailings to each of the parties.
(Item 31 Exhibits were marked for identification.)

I did notice a typo in the spreadsheet. It actually says that the mailings were done in 2020,
but $I$ just noticed that that is incorrect that they were actually done in 2022. And if you like, we can make that correction.

There were a number of folks that were undeliverable, but we did publish in an abundance of caution and that affidavit of publication reflects publication on September 22 nd of this year.

Both of the affiants have previously testified before the Division and their credentials, qualifications have been accepted.

So with that, I'm happy to answer any questions, but $I$ would ask that all of the exhibits be admitted and the case be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Any questions from Apache or concerns?
MS. BENNETT: No questions. Thank you,
Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Mr. Lowe.
MR. LOWE: I'm still looking through the exhibits here. The letter that -- I'm not sure what page it's on, on page 38 the Longfellow to the -- Exhibit A7. Is that what Longfellow created or is it something that you created or you wrote up?

MS. SHAHEEN: This would be it looks
like it's missing an exhibit label. The one on the Longfellow letterhead was created -- oh, there it is at the top.

MR. LOWE: Yeah, it's A7.
MS. SHAHEEN: Yes. This was generated at Longfellow. This is their well proposals with the AFEs that went out.

MR. LOWE: Well, I just want to say I like the way it was written. So they had provided options and stuff so that was good on my part. That's just a feedback.

And other than that, $I$ have no questions. Thank you.

MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Yes, thank you for catching that typo in the spreadsheet. I did notice in the spreadsheet that you were unable to deliver a letter to UNM Board of Regents?

MS. SHAHEEN: Well, and $I$ went back and looked at it, and $I$ can find the PDF if we want. But we never got a green card back and then when you look for it -- here, let me see if $I$ can find it because that might be most helpful.

It just got stuck in -- oh, it's not popping up -- in the certified mailing system. There
is I believe -- I'm just trying to remember where it is -- there's when you look it up on the website, it says "In transit on September 20th," and it just stops there. There's no further information on the website.

We had that issue with one other Mannix
royalty. That was an override. But same thing when you look at it, we never got a green card. When you look at it on the website, it says, "In transit on September" -- in that case September 22 nd.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Maybe we need to go back to, like, hand delivery.

MS. SHAHEEN: Well, Fed Ex is pretty well, but it's so much more expensive when you have numerous said owners, you go with the certified mailing. But when you only have one or two, you go with the Fed Ex. It's been working well.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, I guess that explains why more people haven't gone to Fed Ex. Thank you.

Okay. So any other questions or concerns then for Case 23081?

Hearing none, the exhibits in Case
23081 will be admitted to the record. The case will be taken under advisement, and if you could just submit us a revised spreadsheet.
(Item 31 Exhibits were received into evidence.)

MS. SHAHEEN: Will do. Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Okay. We're getting down to the end here. We're on Items 32 and 33, Case 23119 and 23120, Devon Energy Production Company.

MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner, Darin Savage at the Sante Fe office of Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Devon Energy Production Company.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
Are there any other interested persons for Case 23119 or 23120?

Hearing none, I believe, Mr. Savage, this is a continued case?

MR. SAVAGE: That is correct, Mr. Examiner. We continued it for the main purpose of hearing publication notice which was published just a few days after the deadline, no fault to Devon on that, October 21, 2022, and I believe that sufficient time has passed for sharing that.

The Division also asked for a revised Exhibit A2 in Case 23120. There was an oversight showing regarding providence and providence is
committed in both cases, so we made that consistent and filed that.
(Items 32-33 Exhibits were marked for identification.)

And then $I$ was listening to Mr. Lowe's discussion of his needs and what he's looking for in the C102s and I looked up to see if we had a dedicated acreage. And I have to apologize that we did miss the dedicated acreage on that box and we would certainly inform the applicant that they need to update those C102s for the C104 review and any other approval.

I believe that would cover everything for the satisfaction of the continuance.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, thank you. Any questions, Mr. Lowe?

MR. LOWE: I have no questions. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
Yeah, you know, it says draft C102. Some people's draft C102s are a little more drafty than yours. You know, yours knowing that it doesn't have the dedicated acreage, it doesn't have the pool codes and pool names either.

MR. SAVAGE: So that's correct. Again, we will keep a close eye on that for future
purposes --
THE HEARING OFFICER: And as Mr. Lowe indicated, it's really helpful if in your C102 if you kind of outline the spacing unit.

MR. SAVAGE: Yeah. Yeah.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Instead of a quick review of it a lot faster.

MR. SAVAGE: I agree with that, and a lot of them have that, and then for some reason, some of those do not. And we will get those back and see if we can get a better refinement on those.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So yes, you have provided the supplemental exhibits. The time has rung for the notice. So with that, the exhibits that have been provided for Cases 23119 and 23120 will be admitted into the record, and the cases will be taken under advisement. Thank you.
(Items 32-33 Exhibits were received into evidence.)

MR. SAVAGE: All right. Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Down to the last one here. Item 34, Case 22817, Petro Mex. Do we have an entry for Petro Mex? Entry for the Oil Conversation Division?

MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
this is Jesse Tremaine of the Oil Conservation Division.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And I believe we have a filing $I$ don't know if it was late yesterday or today on a settlement of this matter. Is that correct, Mr. Tremaine?

MR. TREMAINE: That is correct. I filed a joint motion to vacate the hearing today. Obviously, that was quite late in the process late yesterday, so it wasn't processed prior to this hearing and simultaneously a motion to dismiss the case. We have reached an executed settlement.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And so we have a stipulated final order attached to that motion?

MR. TREMAINE: That is correct.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. It looks like it's even signed by the director. All right. Assuming that Petro Mex is in agreement, then we will indicate this in the file and dismiss this case and enter the stipulated final order. Thank you.

MR. TREMAINE: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: With that, I believe we are done for November 17, 2022. Are we done, Mr. Lowe?
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| 23074 1:15 71:2 | $29 \quad 61: 11$ 62:10 | $47 \quad 48: 19$ | $66 \quad 7$ |
| 71:11,14 73:25 79:6 | $\begin{array}{lr} \mathbf{2 9 / 3 4} & 6: 9 \\ \text { 2a } & 34: 6,7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \mathbf{4 8 / 5 0} & 6: 19 \\ \mathbf{4 8 0} & 71: 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { 9:8 23:21 24:6 } \\ 24: 2081: 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| 23081 1:15 79:20 | 2nd 22:15,20 | 5 | 70h 50:20 |
| 84:21,23 | 3 | 5 29:11 47:2 | 71h 50:20 |
| 23094 $1: 14$ <br> $50: 6$  <br> $50: 11$ $52: 20,23$ | 3 28:1 81:4 | 64:10 77:24 | 73/79 7:14 |
| 23119 1:15 85:6 | 30 7:13,14 20:4 | 81:12 | 8 |
| 85:14 87:15 | 71:2 73:3 79:13 | $\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{5 0} & 27: 10 \\ \mathbf{5 0 0} & 3: 15\end{array}$ | 8 17:14 63:9 |
| 23120 1:15 85:6 | $79: 20 \text { 80:16,21 }$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{5 0 0} & 3: 15 \\ \mathbf{5 0 1} & 74: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66: 22 \\ \mathbf{8 , 8 0 0 \quad 4 1 : 8} \end{gathered}$ |
| 85:14,24 87:16 | 81:22 85:1 | 501h 71:25 72:1 | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{8 , 8 0 0} & 41: 8 \\ \mathbf{8 1 / 8 5} & 7: 19 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 23172 & 1: 11 \\ 23: 13 & 24: 23,8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 32 38:18 42:22 | 502h 71:25 | 86/87 7:24 |
| $24 \quad 6: 23,2450: 6$ | 85:6 | 51/52 6:24 | 87102 3:16,23 |
| 51:18 52:24 | 32-33 7:23,24 | 53 71:22 | 87501 1:23 2:16 |
| 53:19 67:1 | $\begin{gathered} 86: 387: 18 \\ \mathbf{3 2 0} \quad 30: 2331: 8 \end{gathered}$ |  | 3:9 4:14,21 5:6 |
| 24/25 6:4 |  |  | 5:13 |
| 240 38:21 |  | 6 | 87504 2:23 4:7 |
| 24240 71:18 |  | 6 23:21,23 24:6 | 87505 2:8 |
| 25 7:3,4 27:11 |  | 24:20 29:8,13 | 8:17 1:20 |
| 50:17,20 53:3 | $325 \quad 5 \cdot 12$ | 30:3,4 40:22 | 9 |
| 55:661:5 | 33 26:15 38:18 | $47: 248: 23$ $\mathbf{6 0 5} 26: 10$ | 9 21:17 |
| 26-29 7:8,9 | 38:23 42:6,9,11 | 606 25:17 30:15 | 90h 50:21 |
| 65:22 70:23 | 42:23 66:22 | 33:22 | $943 \text { 48:18 }$ |
| 26372 90:17 |  | 607 25:17 26:10 | 945 46:22 49:23 |
| 27 26:15 32:19 | $34 \quad 8: 1087: 22$ | 26:12 33:23 | 946 46:22 49:23 |
| 32:22 50:17 | $349 \quad 17: 5$ | 608 25:17 26:12 | 96415 53:15 |
| 53:19 75:6 77:4 | 38 82:22 | 33:23 | a |
| 77:12 $274 \quad 57: 1$ | 3h 80:19 | $\begin{array}{llll}610 & 3: 22 \\ \mathbf{6 4 0} & 30: 20 & 31: 3\end{array}$ | a.m. 1:20 89:7,8 |
| $58: 2,759: 1,4$ | 4 | 642 38:14 45:20 | a1 81:5 |
| 28 38:19 47:3 | 4 28:23 40:11 | 643 38:14 45:20 | a2 81:6 85:24 |
| 80:17 | 40 3:22 42:20,21 | 644 38:14 45:21 | a3 47:10 49:12 |
| $\begin{gathered} 280 \quad 62: 9,18,24 \\ 67: 8,15,16,17 \end{gathered}$ | 66:10,25 | 65 68:16 | 51:9 81:7 |
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| a4 81:7 | 69:6 75:9 77:16 | 34:5 41:14 48:6 | agree 19:17 87:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a5 81:8 | 77:17 86:8,9,22 | 50:1 51:25 | agreeable 13:16 |
| a6 81:8 | acreages 66:10 | 52:23 55:11 | agreed 69:13 |
| a7 81:9 82:23 | acres 30:20 31:4 | 61:4 66:1 70:22 | 76:21 |
| 83:4 | 31:8 38:21 47:4 | 73:25 79:9 | agreement 13:18 |
| a8 81:10 | 62:24 67:8,15,16 | 82:13 84:24 | 44:15 62:21,21 |
| abadie 3:7 9:25 | 67:17 | 87:17 | 63:9,10 64:4 |
| 38:10 46:14 | action 90:12,16 | afe 48:19 | 66:17,23 88:19 |
| 85:10 | 91:8,12 | afes 27:18,20,21 | agreements |
| ability 90:10 | activity 15:23 | 27:24 40:3 | 13:11 |
| 91:7 | actual 67:12 | 54:15 72:12 | al 17:23 |
| able 19:16 | add 21:8 34:19 | 81:9 83:7 | alacran 43:3 |
| absent 28:9 | additional 15:6 | affiants 82:8 | albuquerque |
| absolutely 59:15 | 24:4,9 55:1 64:8 | affidavit 23:20 | 3:16,23 |
| 60:13,24 79:15 | 77:9 | 24:5 25:19 26:2 | alleged 43:23 |
| abundance 82:5 | address 30:23 | 26:20 27:6,17 | allow 19:10 |
| accepted 24:10 | addressed 77:2 | 28:1,23 29:16 | 42:15 |
| 27:4 28:12 | addresses 8:18 | 39:10,12 40:4,7 | allowed 11:19 |
| 45:23 51:5 | 8:19 47:21 | 40:11,19,24 41:4 | 75:17 |
| 54:10 82:10 | 51:13,15 59:22 | 43:24 44:18 | allowing 72:2 |
| accommodate | 59:24 | 45:1,3,14,15,25 | 74:18,18 |
| 13:17 15:5 20:7 | administrative | 46:2,18 47:20 | alpha 2:18 9:15 |
| accurate 90:9 | 34:25 36:4 37:6 | 51:12 54:5,11,17 | 9:19 11:11 12:7 |
| 91:5 | administratively | 55:3 60:2 61:23 | 12:9 16:23 |
| acknowledge | 37:11 | 62:19 63:3 | 73:12 76:16 |
| 62:1 | admission 65:25 | 64:25 65:13,18 | amended 43:17 |
| acre 30:23 42:20 | admissions 30:2 | 66:20 72:25 | amendment 64:7 |
| 42:21 50:15 | admit 61:2 | 78:5 79:10 80:7 | amount 14:13 |
| 52:12 53:17 | admitted 24:25 | 80:9 81:4,18 | andrews 5:11 |
| 62:9,18 66:10,25 | 33:25 41:13 | 82:6 | annotate 68:2 |
| 71:19 80:15 | 48:5 49:25 | affidavits 47:6 | announcements |
| acreage 23:19 | 51:24 55:10 | 50:23 | 8:12,13,16 |
| 24:5 27:15 32:5 | 70:21 73:24 | affirmed 54:24 | answer 63:23 |
| 32:23,25 33:6 | 79:8 82:13 | 72:4,9,15,22 | 77:7 82:11 |
| 39:1,3,17 42:11 | 84:23 87:16 | 79:1 | answered 49:11 |
| 62:9,22 63:25 | adversely 19:12 | ago 8:24 16:6 | anticipate 15:24 |
| 64:2 66:14,19 | advisement | 45:10 | anvil 12:17,18 |
| 67:2,7 68:2,21 | 24:11 25:1 30:5 |  | 13:2 14:18 59:2 |
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| aol.com 4:8 | 86:11 | attorneys 29:5 | 85:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apache 3:12 14:4 | area 11:20,22 | audio 90:8 91:3 | believe 9:21 11:6 |
| 14:8 80:1,3,6,8 | 15:23 28:19 | august 55:1 | 13:3,8 14:6,6,7,9 |
| 82:15 | 62:24 63:18 | authorized | 14:15 20:6 21:1 |
| apd 69:23 | 65:5,5 70:6 | 11:19 | 21:17 23:14 |
| apds 70:12 | 74:23 75:11,17 | b | 24:16 25:6 |
| apologies 60:22 | 77:13 | b 6:17:1 60:13 | 27:14 29:7,25 |
| apologize $41: 10$ | areas 35:12 | b 60:14,20 63:2 | 37:22 38:23 |
| 54:8 86:8 | arrow 59:1,2 | 81:12 | 39:2 44:13 45:6 |
| appealed 13:25 | ascent 3:2 9:21 | b1 81.13 | 50:6 57:13 |
| appear 12:24 | 10:2 12:16 | b2 81:13 | 73:19 75:5,10 |
| appearance | 13:23,23 | b7 81:13 | 77:7 78:15 84:1 |
| 10:20 15:14 | ascent's 11:12 | back 11:16 14:2 | 85:15,21 86:12 |
| 80:10 | asked 22:7 85:23 | 18:20 20:24 | 88:4,24 |
| appearances | asking 19:21 | 29:3 35:12 | bennett 3:13 |
| 10:4 | 75:13 | $0 \cdot 13,1547 \cdot 22$ | 10:16,17 15:12 |
| appears 11:4 | asserts 19:6,7 | 65:3 83:19,21 | 18:8,9 20:15,23 |
| 58:8 59:3 69:3 | assigned 73:13 | 84:11 87:10 | 80:2,3,8 82:16 |
| applicant 53:21 | 75:2 | backwards 15:1 | best 13:13 70:1 |
| 86:10 | assignment | bane 29:9 | 90:10 91:6 |
| application | 76:15 | barrel 47:19 | beth 61:19 |
| 13:16,25 29:7 | assistance 60:18 | 51:11 81:17 | beth.ryan 5:7 |
| 39:9 42:15 | assumed 75:16 | base 74:22 | better 35:9 |
| 68:20 81:1 | assuming 69:6 | basically 42:3,20 | 87:11 |
| applications | 88:19 | $42: 21,2274: 5$ | bext 73:12 76:16 |
| 11:11,12 12:19 | assure 69:24 | beefed $28: 6$ | big 14:15 69:8 |
| 20:5,19 21:2,7 | asterisks 44:6 | beginning 22:13 | bill 1:21 8:4 |
| 25:23 26:19 | attached 39:15 | 66:8 78:8,10 | bit 28:6 36:8 |
| 29:25 38:22,24 | 45:2 65:15 | behalf $2: 2,11,18$ | blocks 42:20,21 |
| 39:1,5 63:2 | 81:19 88:14 | 3:2,11,19 4:2,10 | 66:11 |
| 68:11,14 | attaches 40:9 | $4: 16 \text { 5:2,9 9:18 }$ | board 83:18 |
| appreciate 9:5 | attachments | $10: 1,13,17 \quad 17: 25$ | bollenbach 54:6 |
| 68:2 70:9 | 28:14 44:20 |  | 60:1,16,17 |
| appreciates 20:7 | 46:1 |  | bollenbach's |
| approval 34:20 | attempted 59:24 | 46:10,15 50:9 | 54:11 |
| 34:24,25 35:23 | attempting 60:3 | $3: 6 \text { 61:19 } 71$ | bone 19:1,4,7 |
| 36:4,13,17 37:6 | attorney 4:5 |  | 26:11 28:15,20 |
| 37:17 64:20 | 90:14 91:10 |  | 29:15,18 30:15 |

[bone - certainly]

| 30:18 33:5,10,18 | 31:12,13,18,20 | c2 54:12 63:16 | 75:1 79:6,8,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:13,14 47:1,3 | 31:23 32:2,15 | 72:11 | 80:6,9,14 82:13 |
| 53:13,14 55:20 | 33:7,12,16,20 | c3 54:13 63:19 | 84:9,21,22,23 |
| 56:5,12,19,20 | 34:8,9,14,22 | 72:11 73:7 76:7 | 85:6,14,16,24 |
| 62:11 64:15 | 35:2,19 38:1,1 | c4 54:14 64:6 | 87:22 88:12,21 |
| 65:7,9 71:15,17 | 38:15,16 41:25 | 72:11 | cases 8:10,22,25 |
| 71:24 | 42:1,8,24 43:3,7 | c5 54:15 59:23 | 9:9 10:4 11:23 |
| bores 81:15 | 43:10,13,23 | 72:12 76:19 | 14:5,8,8,9,10,18 |
| bottom 54:2 | 44:17,21,24 45:5 | c6 64:13 | 14:21 16:7 17:4 |
| 57:22 58:7 | 45:12,18 46:5 | call 8:20 | 17:6 22:2,25 |
| boundary 80:23 | brugioni 54:8,21 | called 1:6 | 23:4 25:6,17 |
| box 2:22 4:6 | 60:12,18,20 | card 29:3 34:7 | 26:10,10,12 30:5 |
| 68:3 86:9 | brugioni's 54:16 | 83:21 84:7 | 30:14,25 31:25 |
| boy 60:14,14 | building 42:20 | cards 40:13,15 | 33:4,15,22,24 |
| brad 39:15 | 66:10 | 40:17 47:22 | 34:4 35:22 |
| brain 29:12 | c | care 12:25 35:9 | 37:24 38:14,17 |
| brancard 1:21 | c 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 | carlsbad 3:11 | 40:7,12,20 42:3 |
| 8:5 9:13 18:5 | 8:1 47:20 51:12 | 10:15,18 11:7 | 43:1 45:9,20 |
| 22:5 23:10 |  | 15:11,13 16:1 | 46:7,22,25 47:11 |
| brandon 3:20 | 63:4 66:21 | case 1:9 12:18 | 48:6 49:23,25 |
| 10:23 | 72:10,16 81:19 | 15:13, 15,25 16:3 | 61:11,22 62:5,8 |
| break 22:21 | c1 $54: 1263: 14$ | 17:14 18:12 | 69:17 70:20,21 |
| breakdown | 72:10 | 20:25 21:11 | 86:1 87:15,16 |
| 63:20 81:7 | c102 30:19 31:4 | 23:7,15,24 24:1 | catch 67:23 78:3 |
| brett 1:24 90:2 | 54:12 56:6 | 24:23,25 25:19 | catching 31:16 |
| 90:18 | 57:11,18 58:6,10 | 25:25 26:6,24 | 83:16 |
| brine 11:7,18 | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 11,1858: 6, \\ & 58: 21,25 \text { 59:9, } \end{aligned}$ | 39:7 41:1,13 | cause 69:25 |
| 16:1 | $35,22,23,25$ | 43:18 44:23 | caution 82:6 |
| bring 37:9 | $69: 11,12,19$ | 45:24 46:17 | cavin 3:21 10:23 |
| bringing 36:24 | 86:19 87:3 | 48:22 49:3 50:6 | centennial 4:16 |
| bruce 4:4,5 | c102's 72:10 | 50:11,13 51:25 | 71:2,7,7,12,14 |
| 17:16,19,20 | $\text { c102s 27:6 } 34: 6$ | 52:20,23 53:3,9 | 71:23 73:17,20 |
| 18:14,16 19:22 | $39: 16 \text { 63:14 }$ | 53:12 54:4,21 | 74:25 75:12 |
| 19:23 21:19,19 | 67:4,5,11 70:20 | 55:10 60:9 61:3 | 77:10,17 |
| 22:15,17,22 25:8 | 81:8 86:7,11,20 | 61:3 67:8,14 | center 3:22 |
| 25:8 26:7,9 27:5 | c104 69:25 86:11 | 68:14 69:21 | 32:24 |
| 28:13 30:12,12 |  | 70:4 71:2,11,14 | certainly 59:6 |
| 30:13,17,22 31:9 |  | 72:3,19 73:8,25 | 64:5 68:24 |
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| 70:10 86:9 | clarification | coming 62:23 | complex 12:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| certificate 90:1 | 30:21 59:8 | commence 11:25 | compliance 9:1 |
| 91:1 | clarified 32:3 | comments 14:24 | complied 36:20 |
| certified 47:21 | clarify 14:7 33:3 | 16:21 17:4 | complying 9:6 |
| 51:14 83:25 | 36:3 56:2,9,22 | 21:10 22:24 | comprised 50:16 |
| 84:14 | 66:16 76:10 | 45:20 | 53:18 71:20 |
| certify 90:4 91:2 | clarifying 26:5 | commission | compulsory 23:4 |
| change $33: 1$ | clear 37:17 | 12:20 14:1,1,12 | 23:20 24:1 |
| changed 8:20 | 49:14 70:2 | committed 86 | 36:10,16 37:23 |
| charge 41:9 | clearer 35:16 | common 32:5,5 | 37:23 54:5 63:1 |
| charles 40:5 | 37:4 | communication | 72:4 |
| chart 47:21 | clearly 8:7 18:19 | 19:9 76:20 | computer 48:17 |
| 51:13 | 37:10 | communications | concern 42:4,5 |
| check 35:5 67:20 | clients 35:7 | 64:11 | 75:18 |
| 69:5 | close 11:6 12:13 | companies 35:11 | concerning |
| checklist 23:21 | 12:24 13:6,8 | 64:4 | 65:16 |
| 24:1 34:19 35:5 | 44:9 86:25 | company 2:11 | concerns 14:25 |
| 36:3 37:5 43:10 | closed 15:6 | 2:12 3:2,3,3,4,5 | 15:21 49:23 |
| 51:21 54:5 | closely 8:24 | 4:2,3,16 9:11 | 66:3 69:17 |
| 56:15 57:6,6 | 14:19 | 10:1,11,13 11:4 | 82:15 84:21 |
| 59:20 63:1 72:4 | code 53:15 71:18 | 17:15 18:1 | concho 5:2 61:19 |
| 78:7,21,23,25 | codes 86:23 | 21:18 23:8 | 61:22 |
| 79:10 80:25 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o g }}$ 4:10 5:2,4 | 35:23 36:17 | concise 70:2 |
| checklists 29:9 | 38:3,6 39:21 | 37:25 38:11 | concluded 89:9 |
| 29:11 30:4 | 41:16 44:1 45:3 | 46:15 53:3,7 | concur 69:10 |
| 35:16 40:23 | 61:16,19,22 | 61:12 70:11 | concurred 78:5 |
| chisholm 29:2,6 | 63:24 64:5 66:3 | 85:7,11 | conference |
| choice 37:3 | coincidence 26:4 | compete 11:12 | 11:16 12:3 |
| chronology | colgate 2:18 46:8 | competing 12:19 | 13:10 15:8 |
| 54:15 72:13 | 46:10 47:1 | 13:15 14:9 | conferences 11:6 |
| 81:7 | collectively | 20:19 21:2,7 | confirm 21:2 |
| cimarex 3:4 18:1 | 38:17 44:1 47:1 | 25:23 26:6 | confirmed 47:24 |
| 18:19,23 19:7 | column 81:14 | 37:23 | confirming 29:6 |
| 20:2,7 21:7 | com 48:23 53:23 | complete 11:18 | 65:13 68:18 |
| cimarex's 18:18 | 53:24 71:25,25 | 29:7 30:1 39:13 | confused 34:16 |
| 19:19 | come 19:8 39:23 | 48:20 | 34:17 43:21 |
| city 3:11 10:15 | 67:2 | completed 80:22 | 49:12 64:22 |
| 10:17 15:11,13 |  |  | 76:6 |
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| connectivity | continue 8:16 | corrects 24:4 | crosby 40:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:21 58:5 | 44:23 | ts 27:25 | cross 28:15 40:8 |
| conoco 20:18 | continued 23:15 | coterra 3:3 | 47:18,18 51:11 |
| 22:4 | 40:14 45:24 | 17:22,25 18:18 | 54:19,20 65:8 |
| conocophillips | 51:22 85:16,18 | 21:7 | 72:17,18 81:16 |
| 4:10 18:3,5 | continuous | counsel 6:5,10 | 81:17 |
| 20:10,21 21:22 | 28:16,19 | 6:15,20,25 7:5 | curious 74:21 |
| 21:25 39:22 | contribute 28:22 | 7:10,15,20,25 | 75:18 |
| 44:1 | conversation | 90:11,14 91:7,10 | current 14:18 |
| conocophillips... | 15:18 36:10 | counterapplica... | currently 14:2 |
| 5:7 | 87:24 | 22:6 42:10,12 | 15:3 69:21 |
| consent 41:9 | conversations | counterpropos... | d |
| conservation 1:3 | 12:13,23,23 | 22:11 | d 3:20 8:1 54:17 |
| 1:6 2:2,6 8:4 | corporation 3:12 | country 28:7 | 64:25 72:15 |
| 10:5,9 15:21 | 80:1,4 | 62:11 | d1 54:18 65:2,4 |
| 88:1 | correct 8:18 | county 47:3 | 72:16 77:1,2 |
| considered 51:2 | 9:23 10:12 12:4 | 50:18 53:20 | d2 54:18 65:3,6 |
| considering 1:8 | 20:2 23:17 24:2 | 71:22 80:17 | 72:16 |
| 30:25 | 30:16,17 31:1 | couple 11:5 63:7 | d3 54:19 65:7 |
| consistent 86:1 | 32:1,2 33:6,7,11 | course 27:18 | 72:17 |
| consolidated | 33:12,20 35:19 | 29:8 | d4 65:11 72:17 |
| 62:8 | 42:7,24 43:2 | court 8:7 | d5 65:11 |
| constraints | 49:2,16,20 55:21 | cover 32:9,10,23 | dagian |
| 16:15 | 55:22 57:8,9,14 | 86:12 | dana 2:20 9:17 |
| cont'd 3:1 4:1 | 57:20 59:9,19 | covered 31:16 | 29:5 46:9 48:13 |
| 5:17:1 | 68:19,22 69:5,7 | covering 33:5 | $50: 8 \text { 52:4 }$ |
| contact 70:10 | 70:7 74:15 76:8 | 40:20 | daniel 54:7 |
| contacting 28:7 | 76:9,22 78:6,22 | covers 32:4 | 60:20 |
| contacts 40:2 | 78:24,25 85:17 | 38:21 40:7 | darin 3:6 9:24 |
| 54:15 72:13 | 86:24 88:6,7,16 | create 52:8 62:8 | 10:12 17:25 |
| 81:8 | corrected 23:25 | created 82:23,24 | 38:10 46:14 |
| contains 27:6 | 24:17 31:1 | 83:2 | 85:9 |
| 39:5 40:22 41:7 | 68:23 79:9,10 | creates 77:5 | date 1:19 13:17 |
| contested 16:7,9 | correction 70:13 | creator 27:15 | $13: 17,21 \quad 15: 8,9$ |
| continuance | 82:3 | credentials 54:9 | 16:8,18 19:22 |
| 22:7 86:13 | corrections 9:4 | 72:7 82:9 | 20:6 51:22 79:1 |
| continuances $8: 23$ | correctly $24: 19$ | creed 39:3 |  |

[david - earlier]

| david 47:7 53:23 | deposition 90:1 | disappeared | doing 13:15 20:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53:23 64:25 | describes 27:8 | 41:3 | 36:4 37:16 |
| day 20:4 43:17 | 32:21 | discrepancy | 43:17 66:11 |
| 79:18 89:5 | description 6:2,7 | 57:5 | domain 8:21 |
| days 29:23,23 | 6:12,17,22 7:2,7 | discretion 22:10 | double 67:20 |
| 85:20 | 7:12,17,22 23:16 | discussing 19:15 | doubting 34:10 |
| de 5:5,12 | 23:19 24:5 | discussion 86:6 | draft 68:23 |
| deadine 79:11 | designated 75:7 | discussions | 70:19 86:19,20 |
| 85:20 | 77:12 | 11:15 15:3 | drafty 86:20 |
| deal 35:4 44:9 | despite 29:3 | 16:14 27:13 | drew 32:24 |
| deana 3:13 | 32:18 | 42:13 | drill 18:21 19 |
| 10:16 18:9 | develop 62:22 | dismiss 88:11,20 | 19:11 38:19 |
| 20:23 80:3 | 66:18,24 75:8,11 | dismissed 25:24 | drilled 19:8 |
| deana.bennett | 77:13,18 80:17 | 38:24 42:15 | 35:12 53:25 |
| 3:17 | development | 44:5 | drilling 11:21,25 |
| december 44:23 | 11:20 62:21 | distances 57:12 | 19:12 40:10 |
| 45:16,24 | devon 3:4 38:7 | division 1:3,7 | 42:17 54:23 |
| dedicate 53:22 | 38:11,21,24 | 2:2,6 8:4 10:6,9 | 59:10 72:21 |
| dedicated 47:5 | 41:20 42:10,14 | 12:20 13:4 14:2 | drink 43:14 |
| 50:19 67:7 68:2 | 42:16 43:10,11 | 14:3,11,15 15:18 | drive 2:7 |
| 68:21 69:6 86:7 | 43:18,19 44:8,9 | 23:19 28:3 | dry 4:11 18:4,5 |
| 86:9,22 | 44:10,12 46:11 | 36:13 44:11 | 20:11,20 21:23 |
| default 48:22 | 46:15,17 47:12 | 51:1,3 54:9 | 21:24 22:3,5 |
| define 22:20 | 48:8 49:14,15 | 55:11 72:7 | 23:1 38:5,5 |
| defining 50:22 | 62:21,22 63:8,10 | 73:25 75:25 | 41:17 |
| 52:4,7 72:1 | 66:18,18,24,24 | 82:9 85:23 | duly 90:5 |
| 74:17 | 85:7,10,20 | 87:24 88:2 | dunn 39:15 |
| del 3:19 10:24 | devon's 39:1 | division's 15:21 | e |
| 15:1 | diagram 47:19 | 35:6 | e $2: 1,13: 1,14: 1$ |
| deliver 83:18 | 51:11 57:20,21 | docket 9:7 16:15 | 4:1 5:1,1 6:1 7:1 |
| delivered 9:5 | 81:17 | 23:18 24:14,19 | 8:1,1,19 21:3 |
| delivery 65:15 | different 56:21 | 51:23 | 29:5 54:24 |
| 84:11 | 59:22,24 | dockets 16:7 | 60:14 65:13 |
| department 1:2 | difficult 28:8 | 22:9 | 72:22 77:20 |
| 2:5 8:19 | dig 40:23 | documents 6:4,9 | earlier 27:14 |
| depiction 63:17 | digital 90:8 91:3 | 6:14,19,24 7:4,9 | 42:4 79:11 |
| 67:9 68:22 | director 88:18 | 7:14,19,24 8:18 |  |

[early - exhibit]

| early $11: 22,25$ | ely 91:2,15 | $\text { epd } 35: 1$ | 26:9 27:5 30:6,9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22:11 | emnrd.nm.gov. | equally 28:22 | 32:16 35:20 |
| earthstone 29:1 | 2 | 32:1 | 38:1,9,10,16 |
| 29:6 | employed 90:11 | equivalent 23:23 | 41:18 42:8 |
| earthstone's | 90:14 91:8,11 | errors 31:6,7,7 | 43:15 45:7 46:9 |
| 29:4 | employee 90:13 | es 90:4 | 46:13,14 49:17 |
| easier 40:16 | 91:10 | especially 70:15 | 50:8 53:5,12 |
| 6:17 | employmen | esquire 2:3,13 | 59:20 60:6 61:8 |
| east 26:15 | 26:23 | 2:20 3:6,13,20 | 61:14,18 62:7 |
| 32:7 38:1 | encroaching | 4:4,11,18 5:3,10 | 64:13 68:19 |
| 42:11,17 47:3 | 56:8 | essentially 11:12 | 71:5,14 78:3,17 |
| 50:18 53:19 | energy 1:2 $2: 4$ | et 17:22 | 79:16,23 82:17 |
| 58:12,18 62:10 | 2:18,19 3:3,3,4,4 | etcetera 35: | 85:8,9,18 87:25 |
| 62:16,23 66:16 | 4:2 5:9 9:15,19 | 41:9 | examiners 27:23 |
| 67:2 71:22 74:6 | 9:21 10:2 13:23 | evaluation 45:14 | excluded 62:16 |
| 74:7,12,13 80:17 | 14:13 17:15,20 | evd $6: 2,7,12,17$ | 66:13,16 75:21 |
| eddy 47:3 50:18 | 17:22,25 18:1 | 6:22 7:2,7,12,17 | excluding 66:9 |
| 53:20 62:10 | 21:18,20 29:2 | 7:22 | executed 88:12 |
| 80:17 | 38:8,11 41:21 | evening 43:14 | exhibit 26:18,19 |
| edelstein 53:23 | 44:8 46:11,15 | event 64:3 | 28:1,23 29:8,11 |
| 53:24 | 50:7,9 62:22 | eventually 44:10 | 29:13 30:4 |
| edge 57:12,22 | 79:21,24 85:7,10 | everyone's 9:1 | 32:14 34:6,7 |
| 58:8 59:3 | enter 88:21 | evidence 25:3 | 39:5,6,8,10 |
| education 28:4 | entered 15:14 | 34:3 46:4 50:3 | 40:11,22 41:10 |
| educational | entering 80:10 | 52:25 61:6 | 47:10,20 48:18 |
| 26:23 | enterprises | 70:24 79:14 | 49:12 51:1,9,12 |
| effect 17:8 | 73:18 | 85:2 87:19 | 51:21 54:11,12 |
| effort 14:13 | entire 32:4 42:22 | ex 84:12,16,19 | 54:17,24 55:3 |
| efforts 11:18 | entrapped 73:10 | exactly 37:13 | 59:23 62:15,25 |
| 13:18 | 73:19 | examiner 8:5,6 | 63:1,2,4,14,16 |
| egl 4:2 25:7,9 | entries 10:4,20 | 9:24,24 10:8,23 | 63:19 64:6,10,13 |
| 27:11,24 30:24 | 23:13 | 11:1,9 12:8,12 | 64:25 65:13,18 |
| either 15:8 22:18 | entry 17:22 | 13:22 15:3,12,20 | 66:21 72:10,15 |
| 86:23 | 21:21 25:11 | 16:13,23 17:12 | 72:22,25 73:7 |
| elapsed 29:24 | 87:23,23 | 17:16,25 18:9,16 | 75:22 76:7 77:1 |
| elected 76:23 | $\operatorname{eog}$ 2:11 25:11 | 20:2,21 21:19,24 | 77:2,20 78:10 |
| elizabeth 5:3 | 25:19,22 27:10 | 22:22 23:2 | 81:1,3,5,6,6,7,8 |
|  | 27:16 | 24:15 25:8,14,21 | 81:8,9,10,12,19 |
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[forward - hart]

| forward 9:3 | geologist's 81:11 | 67:6,23 71:4 | 32:14,18,22,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:19 42:16 | geology 40:6 | 74:4 79:22 80:2 | 38:18 42:9,11,22 |
| 46:18 80:6,9 | 47:17 | 83:10 | 50:17 52:8,11,11 |
| four 14:8 33:4 | getting 14:22 | grace 20:4 | 52:12,12 53:18 |
| 33:15 39:6 40:7 | 15:4,22 22:10 | graciously 42:15 | 53:18 62:9,16 |
| 40:20 47:3 | 34:16,16 76:6 | grant 13:24 | 63:18,25 64:2 |
| 59:21,22 62:8 | 85:5 | great 34:8 79:17 | 66:16 67:1 |
| 63:14 | give 60:19 67:15 | 89:4 | 71:21 74:6,6,7 |
| fourth 3:15 | gives 65:4 67:17 | green 29:3 34:7 | 74:12,12,13,22 |
| francis 2:7 | glance 55:18 | 40:12,14,17 | 74:22 75:6 77:3 |
| front 18:24 | go 12:20 13:1 | 47:22 83:21 | 77:11 80:16,20 |
| full $22: 10$ | 14:23 19:14,25 | 84:7 | 80:21,23,23,24 |
| further 15:25 | 26:17 35:5,13 | group 21:3 | 80:24 |
| 17:4 75:5,10 | 42:16 43:23 | 63:12,12 | hand 84:11 |
| 77:13 84:4 | 66:21 67:16 | growing 35:11 | handle 34:25 |
| 90:13 91:9 | 84:11,14,15 | guadalupe 2:15 | hannah 54:6 |
| furthermore | going 12:23 | 4:13,20 | happened 41:2 |
| 55:25 | 13:15 19:12 | guess 22:17 | happy 59:6 73:6 |
| future 86:25 | 21:1 31:8 34:18 | 29:11 31:5,7 | 74:25 75:11 |
| g | 34:18 35:11,17 | 34:6 40:25 | 77:8 82:11 |
| g 8:1 60:20 | 36:24 38:25 | 43:20 49:3 | hard 59:18 |
| gail $91: 2,15$ | 46:17 52:8 54:7 | 56:20 59:17 | hardy 2:20 9:17 |
| gas 5:2 61:20 | 55:24 56:8,22 | 64:21 66:10 | 9:17 12:7,8 |
| gather 75:14 | 62:1,22 66:18,24 | 67:3,25 69:20 | 16:21,22 17:11 |
| general 56:20 | 67:170:16 | 70:5 84:18 | 29:5 46:9,9,24 |
| $\text { 65:5,5 68:1 } 70$ | 74:21,21 77:3,24 | guessing 30:24 | 46:25 48:14,15 |
| 1:5 | 78:21 80:6,9 | gun 47:19 51:11 | 48:25 49:4,6,9 |
| neralized | 89:6 | 81:17 | 49:11,16,20 50:4 |
| 81:13 | goldfinger 25:24 | h | 50:8,8,13 51:6 |
| erated 83:5 | 25: |  | 52:5,10,16 53:1 |
| gentlemen 25:4 | good 9:12,17,23 | haddock 47:7,8 | hardy's 14:6 |
| 70:25 | 10:7,16,22 12:24 | hajny 3:20 10:22 | harper 72:6,19 |
| geological 54:22 | 16:6 17:24 18:4 | 10:23 15:2 | harper's 72:14 |
| 72:20 | 18:8 21:23 23:9 | 17:12 | hart 2:14 4:12 |
| geologist 28:2,9 | 25:13 30:11,13 | half 26:14,14,16 | 4:19 9:14 23:11 |
| 47:7 50:24 54:7 | 42:1 48:13 53:4 | 26:16 30:20 | 25:15 53:6 |
| 65:1 72:5 | 55:15,17 60:10 | 32:7,7,7,7,13,13 | 61:15 71:6 |
|  | 61:13,18 66:6,7 |  |  |
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| heading 32:18 | 43:18,19 44:16 | helps 16:19 67:8 | identifies 64:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hear 17:16 | 44:22,25 45:8,10 | 67:10 68:3,5 | impediments |
| heard 14:11,12 | 45:11,13,19,22 | hereto 90:15 | 54:23 72:21 |
| 25:19 61:23 | 46:6,11,13,16,20 | 91:11 | impeding 23:4 |
| hearing 1:5,18 | 46:23 48:7,11 | hills 43:4 | important 44:18 |
| 1:21 8:2,5,15 | 49:10,18,21,24 | hinkle 2:21 9:18 | incline 73:8,13 |
| 9:15,20,24 10:3 | 50:5,10,12 51:4 | 46:10 50:9 | 73:15 76:11,18 |
| 10:8,10,14,19,22 | 51:4,22 52:1,17 | hold 68:13 | include 36:16 |
| 11:1,23 12:2,3,5 | 52:21 53:2,4,8 | holder 63:4 | 42:9 47:6 50:23 |
| 12:10,12 13:17 | 53:10,11 55:13 | 66:21 | included 27:19 |
| 13:17,20,22 | 57:3,10,17,21 | hole 54:2 57:22 | 40:2 42:10 |
| 14:20 15:2,7,8,9 | 58:1,6,14,24 | 58:7 | 56:16 73:15 |
| 15:10,17,20 16:4 | 59:12,16 60:4,7 | holland 2:14 | 76:16 80:19,25 |
| 16:9,18,20,24 | 60:15,25 61:7,10 | 4:12,19 9:14 | 81:4 |
| 17:3,6,7,13,18 | 61:16,21 62:3,6 | 23:11 25:15 | includes 28:13 |
| 17:21,24 18:2,6 | 66:2,5 68:10,16 | 53:6 61:15 71:6 | 47:21 51:1,13 |
| 18:11,14 19:14 | 69:1,9,14,18,23 | hope 9:10 | 54:12,17 72:10 |
| 19:18,24 20:2,9 | 70:18 71:1,5,9 | hopefully 16:17 | 72:16 81:12 |
| 20:13, 17, 20,22 | 71:12,13 74:2 | 36:2 37:4 | including 30:3 |
| 21:5,6,8,12,13 | 76:1,5,19,25 | horizontal 40:9 | 33:25 45:22 |
| 21:13, 16, 21,24 | 77:19,23 78:3,4 | 50:16 53:17,22 | 47:17 52:21 |
| 22:1,3,14,19,23 | 78:11,15,17,19 | 54:23 67:10,12 | 65:20 |
| 22:24 23:2,3,3,5 | 79:3,7,16,19,25 | 68:5 71:19 | incorrect 82:1 |
| 23:12,14 24:12 | 80:5,12 82:14,18 | 72:21 | incorrectly |
| 24:16,21,24 25:5 | 83:15 84:10,17 | horizontally | 23:21 |
| 25:10,16 26:3 | 84:22 85:4,8,12 | 53:24 | indicate 30:19 |
| 27:1,3,3 28:10 | 85:15,19 86:14 | horn 14:24 | 34:21 67:14 |
| 28:12 30:6,9 | 86:18 87:2,6,12 | hour 68:24 | 68:1 76:20 |
| 31:15,21,24 | 87:21,25 88:3,8 | i | 77:24 88:20 |
| 32:12 33:2,9,13 | 88:11,13,17,23 |  | indicated 56:5 |
| 33:17,21,24 | 89:2 | identification | 69:11 70:19 |
| 34:11,15,20,23 | hearings 8:3 9:4 | 24:8 29:21 41:6 | 87:3 |
| 35:10,18 36:2,17 | 23:7 | 48:3 51:19 55:7 | indicates 33:14 |
| 36:19,23,24,25 | help 14:15 56:15 | 65:23 73:4 | 57:6 |
| 36:25 37:2,8,12 | 60:23 | 81:23 86:4 | indicating 66:13 |
| 37:15 38:3,7,9 | helpful 33:19 | identified 47:10 | 68:5 81:20 |
| 38:12,15 41:15 | 69:10,12 83:23 | $51: 9$ | inform 21:8 35:7 |
| 41:19,24 43:8,16 | 87:3 |  | 86:10 |
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[information - large]

| information | interests 15:4 | 37:21 41:5 46:3 | 52:3 56:1,2,9,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:4 27:6 34:12 | 44:1 47:14,23 | 46:7 48:2 50:2 | 56:23 59:4,4 |
| 35:17,24 41:7 | 49:13 50:14 | 61:11 65:22 | 64:3 70:7 74:2 |
| 43:6 64:8 66:12 | 53:13 76:8 | 70:23 85:6 86:3 | 75:1,7 77:8 |
| 67:7 69:12 | interruption | 87:18 | 78:19 86:19,21 |
| 70:16 75:15,19 | 13:21 58:5 | j | 88:4 |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} 84: 4 \\ \text { ingram } \end{array} & 3: 21 \end{array}$ | interval 65:9,11 investigate | james 4:5 | knowing 86:21 knowledge 90:10 |
| 10:23 | - | jamesbruce 4:8 <br> january $16: 7$ | 91:6 |
| initially 11:21 | investment 13:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { anuary } \\ 22: 8,13 \end{gathered}$ | kwf 73:18 |
| 53:21 71:23 | involve 14:17 | jesse 2:3 10:8 | I |
| 73:8 | involved 12:16 | 88:1 | l 60:14,14 |
| instance 68:6 | 12:22 13:23 | jessek.tremaine | label 83:1 |
| instances 70:3 | 14:5,6 27:8 39:8 | 2:9 | laid 42:21 |
| intend 22:6,10 | 63:17 65:5 | jim 4:4 17:20 | lake 53:14 55:20 |
| intended 77:17 | involves 12:19 | 21:19 25:8 | lance 50:24 |
| inter 11:5 | $14: 4$ isaber | 31:12 38:1 | land 27:5 39:16 |
| 18.25 19.15 |  | joa 44:5 76:23 | 47:8 51:7 54:12 |
| 18:25 19:1,5 | ish 22:13,17,20 | 76:24 | 72:1 |
| 27:10,12 29:1,18 | isopach 28:14 | job 1:25 16:6 | landman 26:21 |
| 32:5 39:18,18,20 | issue 17:7 18:19 | joint 88:8 | 26:24 39:12,15 |
| 40:2,18 43:22,24 | 21:13 22:23 | july 11:16 16:14 | 40:5 44:19 47:7 |
| 44:2 47:12 | 35:11 65:12 | june 45:6 | 50:24 54:6 60:1 |
| 49:15 51:8 | 84:5 | k | 62:14 72:5 81:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 54: 13 \text { 59:18 } \\ & 63: 12,13,20,21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { it'll } & 69: 25 \\ \text { item } & 6: 3,4 \end{array}$ | k 62:13 64:8 | 81:4 |
| 64:11 65:21 | 7:3,4,13,14,18 | keep 86:25 | landman's 23:20 |
| 71:15 73:9,11,14 | 7:19 17:14 23:7 | key 45:14 | 24:4 26:20 |
| 75:20 76:12,12 | 24:7 25:2 50:6 | kind 11:10 12:21 | 29:16 40:24 |
| 76:15 | 51:18 52:24 | 12:24 34:9 57:5 | 41:3 43:24 |
| interested 10:24 | 53:3 55:6 61:5 | 77:5 87:4 | -15,25 |
| 18:12 24:23 | 71:2 73:3 79:13 | know 8:20 11:14 | $63: 3$ |
| 25:17 38:13 | 79:20 81:22 | 13:6,10 16:13,17 | lands 14:17 39:8 |
| 46:21 50:11 | 85:1 87:22 | 18:23 30:21 | 75:3,8 |
| 52:19 53:9 60:8 | items 6:8,9,13,14 | 32:21 34:23 |  |
| 62:4 71:10 | 6:18,19 7:8,9,23 | 36:4 37:3,5,9 | large 19:5 |
| 85:13 90:15 | 7:24 9:8 21:17 | 39:12 41:2 43:9 |  |
| 91:12 | 25:6 29:20 34:2 | 45:17 48:21 |  |
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[larger - map]

| larger 19:1 52:8 | linked 14:19 | look 8:24 24:19 | 70:14 71:5 74:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:2 74:19 | list 54:13 64:1 | 32:20 55:23 | 74:4,11,16,20 |
| lastly 54:24 | 78:12 | 56:1,6,8 57:11 | 75:13 76:2,4 |
| 72:22 77:20 | listed 8:9 65:19 | 59:7,13 66:20 | 77:2,8 79:17 |
| late 15:9 22:11 | listening 86:5 | 67:12 75:14 | 82:19,20 83:4,8 |
| 29:4 43:13 88:4 | listing 43:24 | 83:21 84:2,7,8 | 86:15,16 87:2 |
| 88:9,9 | 63:20 | looked 83:20 | 88:25 89:1 |
| lateral 80:22 | lists 49:14 | 86:7 | lowe's 86:5 |
| laterals 42:17 | little 28:6,18 | looking 9:1 | lp 3:19 |
| latest 75:19 | 35:16 36:8 | 20:24 24:21 | m |
| law 4:5 5:11 | 43:20 64:21 | 30:24 32:14 | macha 72:5 |
| leased 15:4 | 70:17 76:6 | 49:12 57:16,17 | macha's 72:9 |
| leases 32:9,10,10 | 86:20 | 57:19 58:17,25 | mad 48:23 |
| 32:23 59:17 | llc 2:19 3:12 | 77:1 82:20 86:6 | magically |
| leasing 15:16 | 4:10 5:2,4 18:10 | looks 67:18 | mail 8:19 21:3 |
| leave 34:5 | 38:6 61:17 71:8 | 82:25 88:18 | 29:5 47:22 |
| led 39:3 | 76:11 | losing 58:14 | 51:14 |
| lee 71:22 | llp 2:21 | lot 13:4,5,7 | mailed 29:3 55:1 |
| left 79:9 | locate 48:16 | 23:21,21 24:2,6 | 72:24 |
| legal 23:16 | 59:18 60:3 | 24:6,20,20 67:8 | mailing 59:22 |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { leonard } & 8: 6 \\ 55: 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { located } 74: 12 \\ 80: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 67:11 68:3 69:11 70:15 | 83:25 84:15 |
| lessees 49:14 | location 1:22 | 87:7,9 | mailings 65:17 |
| letter 29:17 | 37:9 42:6 47:17 | lowe 8:6,12,13 | $\begin{gathered} 81: 20,25 \\ \text { main } 85: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| 36:11,16 64:6,7 | 51:10 53:25 | 9:12 23:10 | major 35:4 |
| 64:14,16 81:9,10 | 54:2 58:7 59:2 | 30:10,11,14,18 | making 15:22 |
| 82:21 83:18 | 81:5 | 31:3,10,13,14,16 | $40: 23 \text { 44:9 }$ |
| letterhead 83:2 | locator 54:18 | 41:24,25 42:2,19 | malfunction |
| letters 40:1 | 65:4 72:16 | 42:25 43:5 | 29:12 |
| 54:25 64:19 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} 81: 15$ | 48:12,13,16 49:2 | mannix 84:5 |
| 65:14 72:12,23 | long 8:11 11:15 | 49:5,7 52:2,3,7 | map 27:7 28:14 |
| life 69:22 70:6 | 13:1 | 52:14 53:5 | 28:14 31:22,25 |
| line 27:16 32:24 | longer 26:6 | 55:14,15,17,18 | 32:17 34:6 40:8 |
| 34:19 57:8 | 73:17,20 | 55:23 56:18,25 | 47:17,18,18 |
| 58:12,12,17,18 | longfellow 5:9 | 57:1,4,13 61:9 | $51: 10,1154: 13$ |
| 58:19,20 70:16 | 79:21,23 80:13 | 66:5,6,8 67:3,25 | 54:18,19,19 65:4 |
| 77:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 1582: 22,23 \\ & 83 \cdot 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 2269: 10,18 \\ & 69: 20.2470: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 65:6 67:10,18 |

[map - never]

| $\begin{aligned} & 68: 472: 11,16,17 \\ & 72: 17 \text { 81:5,5,14 } \\ & \text { 81:16 } \\ & \text { marathon } 3: 11 \\ & 18: 7,1020: 14,15 \\ & \text { 20:18,24 21:1 } \\ & \text { marathon's } \\ & 20: 25 \\ & \text { march } 13: 20 \end{aligned}$ | max $48: 23$ mckenzie $3: 8$ mean 11:11 $35: 2$ $36: 8$ 45:8 meant $30: 22$ measuring $58: 3$ memory $23: 17$ mentioned $42: 4$ $52: 379 \cdot 11$ |  | moving $13: 7$ <br> mre $3: 3$ $10: 10,13$ <br> mt $89: 7$  <br> multiple $51: 15$ <br> munds $4: 11 \quad 18: 4$ <br> 18:5 20:11,20  <br> $21: 23,2422: 3,5$  <br> $23: 138: 5,5$  <br> $41: 17$  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:8 29:4 | mere 8:10 | 10:17 18:9 80:3 | n |
| mark 47:7 | messed 39:14 | modrall.com | n $2: 13: 14: 15: 1$ |
| marked 24:7 | mewbourne 2:11 | 3:17 | 8:1 60:14,20 |
| 9:20 41:5 48:2 | :3 9:10 11:3,19 | monday 44:4 | name 8:4,21 |
| 51:18 55:6 | 11:22 12:14,15 | monitoring | 48:19 60:20 |
| 65:22 73:3 | 12:22 13:4,12,14 | 5:15 | named 48:22 |
| 81:22 86:3 | 14:4,7 16:16 | montgomery | names 14:22 |
| matador 2:12 | 23:8 37:25 38:2 | 5:11 | 44:7 86:23 |
| 3:2 4:16 9:22 | 38:17 39:23 | month 8:25,25 | natural 1:2 2 |
| 10:1,11 12:13,16 | 42:16 44:5,9 | 41:8 | neat 37:12 |
| 12:22 13:4,16 | 47:13 49:14,18 | months 34: | necessary 80:11 |
| 14:5,8 17:1 53:3 | mewbourne's | moonraker | need 9:3 11:25 |
| 53:6,10,12 60:3 | 11:11 38:22 | 25:25 | 19:14 20:4 |
| 61:12 62:6,8,15 | 42:9,18 | morning 9:12,17 | 30:21 35:5,15,17 |
| 65:21 | mex 87:22,23 | 9:23 10:7,16,22 | 36:16 37:18 |
| matador's 13:9 | 88:19 | 17:24 18:4,8 | 45:1 56:2 68:23 |
| matt 26:21 | mexico 1:1 8:4 | 21:23 23:9 | 70:15 75:14 |
| matter 1:5 12:17 | 10:5 53:20 | 25:13 30:11,12 | 78:21 84:11 |
| 13:1,2,14,23 | 71:22 | 30:13 41:25 | 86:10 |
| 15:7 16:9 22:7 | michael 2:13 | 42:1 48:13,15 | needed 60:18 |
| 24:10 27:9,17 | 9:13 23:10 | 53:4 55:15,17 | needs 20:2 86:6 |
| 39:4,22,24 40:13 | 25:14 61:14 | 60:10 61:13,18 | negotiations |
| 54:10 65:2 66:1 | 62:13 64:8 | 66:6,7 71:4 74:4 | 12:17 13:5,8 |
| 72:8 88:5 | mile 16:1 42:17 | 79:22 80:2 | 14:17 |
| matters 26:1 | mineral 15:13 | motion 18:17 | neither 90:11 |
| 39:24 41:13 | minerals 1:2 2:4 | 19:19 88:8,11,15 | 91:7 |
| $\begin{array}{cl} \text { 65:19 } \\ \text { matthew } & 28: 2 \end{array}$ | minor 18:2 | move 9:3 30:2 | never 29:2 83:21 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { matthew } 28: 2 \\ 50: 24 \end{gathered}$ | misnumbered 30:3 | 60:11 65:24 | 84:7 |

[new - oil]

| new 1:1 8:4,20 | 39:9 40:11,16 | objection 16:3 | 31:15,21,24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:25 10:5 24:24 | 43:9 47:20 48:1 | 22:4 26:1 28:9 | 32:12 33:2,9,13 |
| 53:20 71:22 | 51:12,17,21 | 29:22,24 46:19 | 33:17,21 34:11 |
| nice 63:16 | 54:25 55:2,3 | objections 26:25 | 34:15,23 35:10 |
| night 11:10 | 64:14 65:14 | 27:1 28:11 | 36:2,19,23 37:2 |
| nm 1:23 2:8,16 | 68:7,20 72:23,25 | 41:16,18,20,23 | 37:8,15 38:3,7 |
| 2:23 3:9,16,23 | 73:8 76:18 | 51:5 61:22 | 38:12 41:15,19 |
| 4:7,14,21 5:6,13 | 77:20 79:1,10 | observe 54:22 | 41:24 43:8,16 |
| non 41:9 57:13 | 81:9,19,24 83:17 | 72:20 | 44:16,22,25 45:8 |
| nonstandard | 85:19 87:14 | obviously 16:9 | 45:13,19 46:6,11 |
| 37:9 | noticed 59:16 | 44:17 45:14 | 46:16,20 48:7,11 |
| north 2:15 4:13 | 73:16 82:1 | 75:2 88:9 | 49:10,18,21 50:5 |
| 4:20 50:17 52:8 | notices 8:23 | occur 64:18 | 50:10 51:4 52:1 |
| 52:11,11,12 | 26:19 51:14 | ocd 15:22 | 52:17 53:2,8 |
| 53:18 77:3,3 | noticing 29:12 | ocean 4:11 21:24 | 55:13 57:3,10,17 |
| 80:24 | notify 44:11 | 38:5 | 57:21 58:1,6,14 |
| northeast 53:25 | november 1:19 | oceans 18:5 | 58:24 59:12,16 |
| northwest 32:4 | 8:3 78:2 88:2 | october 55:2 | 60:4,7,15,25 |
| 32:21 54:2 | number $8: 17$ | 85:21 | 61:10,16,21 62:3 |
| 71:20 74:7,13 | 19:9,10 23:7 | office $9: 14,18,2$ | 66:2,5 68:10,16 |
| 77:4 | 24:2 31:4 48:24 | 23:11 25:15 | 69:1,9,14,23 |
| nos 1:9 | 17,18,23 67:7 | 53:6 61:15 | 70:18 71:1,9 |
| notary 1:24 | 71:2 79:20 82:4 | 65:16 71:6 | 74:2 76:5,19,25 |
| 90:19 | numbers | 85:10 | 77:19,23 78:4,11 |
| notation 5 | 68:15 | officer 1:21 8:2 | 78:15,19 79:3,19 |
| note 20:24 27 | numerous 14:5 | 8:15 9:15,20 | 79:25 80:5,12 |
| 27:19 28:5,24,25 | 84:14 | 10:3, 10, 14, 19 | 82:14,18 83:15 |
| 39:21 60:2 | nw 3:15,22 | 12:2,5,10 14:20 | 84:10,17 85:4,12 |
| 61:25 63:7,22 | 0 | 15:10,17 16:4,20 | 86:14,18 87:2,6 |
| 72:173: |  | 16:24 17:3,13,18 | 87:12,21 88:3,13 |
| noted 9:2,4 | object | 17:21 18:2,6,11 | 88:17,23 89:2 |
| 23:19 35:4 |  | 19:18,24 20:9,13 | 90:1,2 |
| notes 62:14,19 | 69:5 80:6. | 20:17,22 21:5,16 | oh 29:10 48:25 |
| notice 8:18 |  | 21:21 22:1,14,19 | 60:17 83:2,24 |
| 15:23 23:16,24 |  | 22:23 23:3,12 | oil $1: 3,62: 2,6,11$ |
| 24:13,14 28:23 | objecting $18 \cdot 15$ | 24:12,16,21 25:5 | 3:11 4:3 5:2 8:4 |
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| 18:7,10 23:8 | opposing 58:13 | 65:21 75:20 | 77:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37:25 38:20 | opposition 27:17 | oversight 78:1 | pardee 28:2 |
| 43:4 61:19 | options 83:10 | 85:24 | parker 65:1 |
| 87:23 88:1 | order 9:2 11:24 | owned 29:2 | part 39:19 83:10 |
| okay 10:14 11:1 | 17:8 21:9,14 | owner 47:12,13 | partially 38:22 |
| 14:20 16:4,24 | 22:24 36:25 | 49:15,19 76:12 | 42:12 |
| 17:19 19:18,22 | 37:12 43:18,19 | owners 27:9 | participate |
| 20:9 21:5 22:18 | 50:14 53:12 | 29:18 39:18,20 | 76:21,24 |
| 25:5 31:3,10,24 | 70:7 71:14 | 40:2,18 43:22,25 | particular 12:14 |
| 33:13 34:21,22 | 78:20 88:14,21 | 54:13 59:18 | 48:21 66:25 |
| 36:22 37:7,14 | ordered 37:23 | 63:12,13,20,21 | parties 11:14 |
| 38:3,7 42:19,25 | 37:24 | 64:11 65:21 | 12:14 13:11 |
| 43:5 44:16,24 | orders 9:3 54:14 | 71:15 84:14 | 14:16,25 16:13 |
| 45:12,18 46:6 | original 43:19 | ownership 32:25 | 19:11,15 22:1 |
| 49:5 52:14 | originally $40: 12$ | 33:10,18 39:19 | 27:13 28:24 |
| 56:24 59:12 | 45:6 | 47:9 51:8 63:19 | 29:1 39:2,20 |
| 60:4 63:25 67:3 | orthodox 56:6 | 72:11 73:7 81:6 | 43:21,25 44:6,8 |
| 69:1 70:18 76:2 | 57:7 | 81:7 | 44:15 47:9,23 |
| 76:19,25 77:19 | outcome 90:16 | owning 64:1 | 51:8 54:14 |
| 78:15 84:10,17 | 91:12 | owns 27:11 | 59:21,25 60:3 |
| 84:20 85:5,12 | outline 87:4 | 63:24 | 65:20 73:14 |
| old 27:21 59:17 | outs 72:20 | p | 76:21 81:21 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { omega } 73: 12 \\ 76: 16 \end{gathered}$ | outside 15:25 overall 68:7 | $\text { p } 2: 1,13: 1,14: 1$ | 90:12,14 91:8,11 <br> partners 2:18,19 |
| omitting 41:10 | overhead 41:8 | p.a. 3:21 | 9:16,19 50:7,9 |
| once 45:19 69:21 | overlap 11:12 | p.o. 2:22 4:6 | party 10:24 |
| 70:5 | overlapped | package 27:19 | 19:11 44:13 |
| online 35:5 | 8:22 |  | 73:11,15 76:17 |
| open 34:5 79:9 | overlapping | $62: 2581: 1$ | paseo 5:5,12 |
| operating 2:18 | 11:4 29:14,14,16 | packages 36:11 | passed 85:22 |
| 4:10 5:2,4 38:4 | 29:24 34:12 | 39:6 41:11 | paula 4:18 53:5 |
| 38:6 39:22 46:8 | 35:6,23 36:1,12 | packet 29.25 | 55:15 71:5 |
| 46:10 61:17,19 | 36:14,18,21 | 59:9 66:13 76:1 | pdf 63:4 66:22 |
| operator 29:17 | 64:15,18 | page 48:18 63:4 | 81:4 83:20 |
| 75:2,7 77:12 | override 73:20 | 66:22 82:22,22 | people 18:14 |
| operators 67:6 | 84:6 | paragraph 60:2 | 37:9 84:18 |
| opposed 13:15 | overriding 47:14 $47: 23 \text { 63:13,21 }$ | 63:9 66:22 | people's 86:20 |

[peralta - provided]

| peralta 5:5,12 | point 15:21 | possible 8:8 | 90:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percent 27:10,11 | 27:11 40:20 | post 39:9 65:16 | problem 23:15 |
| 44:2 | 58:8,9,11,18,20 | postal 47:25 | problems 17:20 |
| percentage | 59:2,3 | pour 73:11,19 | 19:8 |
| 39:17 43:25 | pointed 59:20 | pre 21:8,13 | proceed 26:8 |
| period 20:5 | pointing 59:1,2 | 22:24 43:18,19 | 38:15,25 46:23 |
| permian 3:3,11 | pool 26:11,13 | preferable 15:7 | 50:12 53:10 |
| 10:11,13 18:7,10 | 30:15 38:17 | preference 13:9 | 62:6 71:12 |
| 73:9 76:11 | 43:4,4 44:13,14 | 37:13 | 80:13 |
| personal 35:4 | 47:1 53:14 | preferences | proceeding 1:22 |
| persons 18:12 | 55:19 56:5,6,20 | 22:15 | 26:2 27:17 89:9 |
| 24:23 25:17 | 64:12 65:21 | prematurely | 91:4 |
| 38:13 46:21 | 71:17,18,23 | 13:15 | proceedings |
| 50:11 52:20 | 73:18,21 76:18 | prepared 21:3 | 90:3,5,6,9 91:6 |
| 53:9 60:8 62:4 | 80:15 86:22,23 | 45:6 91:3 | process 35:18 |
| 71:10 79:5 | pooled 39:21,24 | present 70:1 | 36:25 37:6 88:9 |
| 85:13 | 43:22 44:7,8 | presentation | processed 88:10 |
| pertain 30:15 | 45:4 47:9,15 | 66:9 | processing 9:3 |
| petro 87:22,23 | 51:8 54:14 62:1 | presented 16:3 | production 2:12 |
| 88:19 | 64:5 76:6,8,12 | 23:18 67:21 | 3:2,4 4:16,17 |
| petroleum 26:24 | pooling 11:24 | preserve 80:10 | 10:1 28:22 38:8 |
| 28:9 | 13:24,24 23:5,20 | preserving 48:10 | 38:11 46:12,15 |
| pieces 13:7 | 24:1 25:23 29:9 | pretty 13:6,8 | 53:3,7 61:12 |
| pinch 72:20 | 29:10 30:4 | 18:18 28:3 44:7 | 71:3,8 85:7,11 |
| pinchouts 54:22 | 35:22 36:11,16 | 44:18 45:14 | proposal 40:1 |
| place 64:4 | 37:23,24 40:22 | 84:12 | 54:14 64:6 |
| plan 16:22 40:10 | 43:1 47:11 | prevailed 13:24 | 72:12 81:9 |
| planning 42:17 | 50:14 53:13 | previous 24:5 | proposals 11:5 |
| plans 11:21 75:8 | 54:5 62:16 63:1 | previously 40:5 | 20:3 27:18 83:6 |
| 75:11 77:13,18 | 63:11 64:1 | 50:25 54:8 63:5 | proposed 27:25 |
| plat 33:4 47:9 | 71:15 72:4 | 65:1 72:6 82:8 | 38:23 40:9 |
| 51:7 69:7 | 73:15 | pride 4:2 17:14 | 53:22 62:12 |
| plats 39:16,17 | popping 83:25 | 17:20 18:21 | 64:17 71:24 |
| plaza 3:22 | portion 68:4 | 19:3 21:17,20 | proposing 27:25 |
| please 8:7,17 | 73:13 | primarily 15:22 | protested 13:25 |
| 21:8 45:4 | position 11:25 | prime 65:8 | provide 77:8 |
| plus 42:11 57:10 | 12:15 18:18 | prior 15:6 16:10 | provided 24:3 |
|  | 20:25 | 51:22 88:10 | 51:1 54:4 62:25 |

[provided - relative]

| 64:24 65:14 | q | range 47:3 50:17 | 61:2,25 64:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:3 73:8 75:19 | qualifications | 53:19 71:22 | 70:21 72:8 |
| 76:18 83:9 | 82:10 | 80:16 | 73:24 79:8,9 |
| 87:13,15 | qualified 90:7 | rates 41:8 | 84:23 87:16 |
| providence | quarter 23:22,23 | reached 29:4 | 89:6 90:9 91:5 |
| 85:25,25 | 28:21,21 32:5,21 | 88:12 | recorded 90:6 |
| provides 47:8,16 | 32:22 54:1,1,2,3 | reaching 12:24 | recording 90:8 |
| 51:7,10 63:5,16 | 62:17 66:17 | ready $45: 10$ | 91:4 |
| 63:19 64:10,14 | 71:20 74:7,13 | real 56:2 | records 47:25 |
| 65:2,10 | 75:5 77:4,5,11 | realeza 3:19 | rectangle 69:7 |
| providing 64:8 | 77:14,15 | 10:24 15:1 | reduced 90:7 |
| provisions 36:20 | question 31:16 | realized 43: | refer 27:23 |
| proximity 50:21 | 35:21 36:15 | really 16:2 37:13 | reference 30:14 |
| 50:22 52:13 | 49:11 57:1 | 87:3 | 48:22 56:14,17 |
| 72:2 74:18 | questions 30:7 | reason 62:20 | 63:8 67:4 |
| 80:18,20 | 30:12 31:11 | 66:11,13 87:9 | referenced 56:1 |
| public 1:24 | 33:22 37:20 | recall $14: 21$ | references 63:10 |
| 90:19 | $41: 16,17,20,22$ | 25:22 | 66:23 |
| publication | 43:6 45:16 48:5 | receipt 47:24 | refinement |
| 40:19 55:4 | 48:8,9 49:8,23 | receipts 47:22 | 87:11 |
| 65:19 73:1 78:5 | $51: 2352: 2,15,18$ | 51:14 | reflect 31:8 |
| 79:1 82:6,7 | 55:9,14 60:6 | receive 27:21 | reflects 51:21 |
| 85:19 | 61:1 66:3 68:9 | 28:24 29:6 | 82:6 |
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| 65:20 | 74:3 76:3 82:12 | received 25:2 | 29:16 36:11 |
| publish 47:25 | 82:15,16 83:13 | 27:20 29:2,22 | 41:8 85:25 |
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| 82:5 | quick 55:18,23 | 46:3 47:22 50:2 | regional 81:13 |
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| 73:1 85:19 | quickly | 65:16 70:23 | regular 23:23 |
| purpose 1:7 | $28: 3$ | 79:13 85:1 | regulations |
| 85:18 | quite 11:6 42:13 | 87:18 | 44:10 |
| purposes 87:1 | $88: 9$ | recognize 22:9 | relate 15:22 65:3 |
| pursuant 44:10 | r | record 24:25 | related 90:11 |
| put 22:12 33:1 |  | 34:5 45:24 | 91:7 |
| 33:14 57:6 67:6 | ( 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 | 47:13 49:15,19 | relating 65:7 |
| putting 15:24 | 8:1 60:20 | 49:25 52:23 | relative 90:13 |
|  |  | 54:10 55:10 | 91:10 |

[relief - see]

| relief 11:24 | restrictions | royalty $47: 14,23$ | says 18:19 32:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:18 | 15: | 63:13,21 75:20 | 44:19 68:21,21 |
| remanded 14:1 | resubmit 31:2 | 84:6 | 78:20 81:25 |
| 14:21 | 33:3 69:23 | rule $36: 20$ | 84:3,8 86:19 |
| remediation | resubmitted | run 62:1 | schedule 72:1 |
| 11:18 | 1: | rung $87: 1$ | 73:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { remember } 18: 24 \\ & 84: 1 \end{aligned}$ | resume 51:2 | ryan 5:3 61:18 | schematic 67:10 |
|  | retained 6:5,10 | 61:19,24 63:22 | 67:19 68:4 |
| remote 1:22 | 15,20,25 7:5 | 66:4 | 81:15 |
| reported | 0,15,20,25 | S | schill 3:7 9:25 |
| reporter | re | ( $2: 13: 14: 15: 1$ | $38: 1146: 15$ |
| 89:6 | ):25 86:1 | $6 \cdot 17 \cdot 18 \cdot 1$ | second $26 \cdot 12$ |
| representin |  | sample 54:14,25 | second 26.12 |
| 10:24 | reviewing | 72:12,23 | secondly 24 |
| request 48:5 | revised 23:25 |  | section 23:23 |
| :2,24 | 34:5,6 4 | $14.215: 6.13$ | 28:21 38:18,18 |
| requesting 41:9 | 9:19 84:25 | sante 9:13,18,25 | 38:23 40:8 42:6 |
| requests 64:20 | 85:23 | $23: 1125: 14$ | 42:9,11 47:18,18 |
| requiring 45:25 | right 9:10 11:10 | $53: 5 \text { 61:14 71:6 }$ | 50:17 51:11 |
| reservoir 40:9 | 12:6,10 14:2,23 |  | 52:9 54:1,3,19 |
| resolution 12:25 | 16:5 17:4 18:6 | satisfactio | 54:20 62:17 |
| 3 | 19:8,24 20:13,17 | 86:13 | 65:8 66:17 |
| resolve 13:14,19 | 21:5 22:14,19 | savage 3:6 9:23 | 71:20,21 72:17 |
| 19:16 | 3:6,12 24:22,22 | $9: 2510: 12,12$ | 72:18 74:6,13 |
| resolved 14:14 | 26:4 33:4,21 | $12: 11,1215: 9$ | 75:6,6 77:5,6,11 |
| , | 37:1,20 43:20 |  | 77:15,15,16 |
| resolving 13:1 | 45:3,5,19 48:18 | $7: 25 \quad 18: 17$ | 80:16,21 81:16 |
| resource 4:17 | 52:11 61:1 | .25 18.17 | 81:17 |
| 1:3,7 | 69:15 70:15 | $20: 121: 1538: 9$ | sections 26:14 |
| resources 1 | 74:8,14 75:14 | $38: 1041: 22$ | 26:16 28:15 |
| 4,11 4:2 25:7 | 78:22 79:4,20 | $9.1146: 13.14$ | 32:8,18 47:2 |
| 25:12,22 | 87:20,21 88:19 | $9,1146: 13,14$ | 53:19 62:10 |
| respect $28: 25$ | 89:3 | 85:8.9.15.17 | 63:18 67:1 |
| 35:25 | rights 19:12 | 86:24 87:5,8,20 | see 14:24 20:25 |
| response 77:9 | 48:10 80:10 | saying 35:13 | 25:25 27:24 |
| rest 89:4 | risk 41:9 | $58: 22$ | 30:19 31:3 |
|  |  |  | 36:10 39:11 |

[see - spring]

| 48:25 56:7,17,18 | september 55:4 | signed 44:4 | 54:3 75:5 77:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56:21 57:4 58:1 | 72:24 73:2 78:2 | 76:23,24 88:18 | 77:15 |
| 59:13,23 63:11 | 78:5 82:7 84:3,9 | similar 37:22 | space 35:6 |
| 63:25 64:16,19 | 84:9 | simultaneously | spacing 11:4 |
| 67:5,24 69:19 | service 47:25 | 88:11 | 34:12 35:24 |
| 73:9,12 74:20 | set 11:23 17:6 | sir 17:9 19:23 | 36:1,12,14,18,21 |
| 76:15 78:7 | 21:6,12 23:4 | 24:18 27:2 30:8 | 42:6 47:4,5 |
| 83:22 86:7 | 26:22 28:3 | 61:24 | 50:16 52:8 |
| 87:10 | sets 18:18 | sitting 14:3 | 53:17,22 57:12 |
| seeing 55:24 | setting 13:16 | situation 37:22 | 58:8 59:3 62:9 |
| 56:11 58:4,22 | settlement 88:5 | six 34:9 76:7 | 62:11,18,24 |
| seek 26:10,12 | 88:12 | skills 90:10 91:6 | 63:15 64:15,17 |
| 37:5 64:12 | shaheen 5:10 | slow 48:17 67:21 | 64:18 67:10,13 |
| seeked 55:19 | 79:22,23 80:14 | slowly $8: 8$ | 68:5 69:11 |
| seeking 30:20 | 82:25 83:5,14,19 | small 76:15 | 71:19,24 74:5,19 |
| 34:20,24 35:23 | 84:12 85:3 | 78:20 | 80:19 81:6,15 |
| 36:13,17 43:1 | shanor 2:21 9:18 | sorry 57:15 | 87:4 |
| 44:14 64:20 | 46:10 50:9 | 58:15,16,19 | speak 8:7 |
| 67:18 73:18,20 | sharing 85:22 | 60:10,17,18,22 | spear 3:19 10:24 |
| 75:2 76:17 | sharon 5:10 | 71:7 | 15:1 |
| seeks 18:21 | 79:23 | sort 8:16 11:10 | specifically 27:8 |
| 38:17 47:1 | sharper 32:16 | 37:3 | spelling 60:12,19 |
| 50:14 53:12,21 | show 36:20 58:2 | sought 67:13 | sperling 3:14 |
| 62:8 65:21 | 64:1 | sounds 20:11 | 10:17 18:9 80:3 |
| 71:14,23 80:15 | showing 39:17 | south 2:7 26:15 | spread 42:20 |
| seen 18:17 | 40:8 43:25 65:9 | 38:19 47:2 | spreadsheet |
| self 54:24 72:4,9 | 85:25 | 50:17 52:12 | 40:16,17 81:20 |
| 72:15,22 79:1 | shown 78:21 | 53:18,19 57:7 | 81:24 83:16,17 |
| send 21:3 22:11 | shows 32:18 | 58:12,17,19 62:9 | 84:25 |
| 51:15 | 39:20 40:7 | 62:10 63:18,24 | spring 19:1,4,7 |
| sense 70:8 | 55:24 56:7 | 64:2 67:171:21 | 26:11 28:15,20 |
| sent 24:17 29:5 | 57:11,22 65:5 | 75:4,5 77:3 | 29:15,18 33:5,10 |
| 29:17 55:2 | 67:16 77:2 | 80:16,16,20,21 | 33:18 35:13,14 |
| separate 26:15 | side 55:25 56:3,7 | 80:23,23,24 | 47:1,4 53:13,14 |
| 32:6 | 56:10,13,21,22 | southeast 54:1 | 55:20 56:5,12,20 |
| separately 26:14 | 56:22 67:22 | 62:17 66:16 | 56:20 62:11 |
| 38:25 | signature 90:17 | southwest 23:22 | 64:15 65:7,9 |
|  | 91:14 | 23:22 32:22 | 71:16,17,24 |

[springs - thank]

| ```springs \(30: 15,18\) spur 2:19 50:6,9 50:12,14 st 2:7 stage 35:1 stake 13:4 standard 47:8 47:16 50:16 51:7 52:12 53:16 57:13 71:19 80:15 start 10:5 11:3 11:21 14:25 25:18 started 23:14 starting 8:24 9:8 starts 63:3 state 1:1 53:23 53:24 90:20 state.nm.us 2:9 stated 66:11 statement 24:4 54:6,25 63:9 72:5,9,15,23 77:21 79:2 states 45:3 status 11:5,15 12:3 13:10 15:8 65:15 81:20 step 18:20 65:3 steps 60:2 stipulated 88:14 88:21 stop 37:15 stoppage 69:25 stops 84:3 stranded 75:8 77:16,17``` | ```strange 26:4 stratigraphic 54:19 72:18 81:14 street 3:8,15 structural 28:18 65:8 81:16,17 structure 28:14 40:7 47:18 51:11 54:18 65:6 72:17 81:14 stuck 83:24 stuff \(37: 1083: 10\) sub 54:12,18,18 55:10 72:10,16 72:16 73:24 submit 27:7 37:18 39:14 41:3,11 45:1,15 84:25 submittal 45:25 submitted 26:17 27:24 29:15 39:6,16 40:15 58:11 59:8,13 subsequent 75:25 76:14 substantial 12:21 14:13 success 59:25 successor 10:1 sufficient 85:21 suggested 15:9 suite 2:15 3:15 3:22 4:13,20 summary 40:1 64:10``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[thank - uniform]

| 50:4,13 51:6 | 57:4 62:2 73:10 | township 47:2 | 30:18,25 34:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52:1,15,16,17 | 75:21 76:7 89:1 | 50:17 53:19 | 42:17 43:14 |
| 53:1,8,11 55:13 | thinking 20:19 | 71:21 80:16 | 47:23 55:20 |
| 57:2,3 60:4,21 | third 35:14 | track 22:12 | 62:11,12 64:19 |
| 60:25 61:4,7,9 | thoughts 15:19 | 67:12 | 66:25 74:4 |
| 61:21 62:3 66:2 | 16:2 | tract 27:7 31:21 | 79:11 84:15 |
| 66:4 68:9,10 | three 14:9 32:10 | 31:25 32:4,17 | type 39:2 81:15 |
| 69:14 70:18,25 | throw 16:5,8 | 34:6 39:17,19 | typewriting 90:7 |
| 71:9,13 74:1,2 | thursday 1:19 | 50:21,22 52:13 | typo 31:6,6 |
| 76:3,4,5,25 | tied 14:19 | 54:12 72:11 | 81:24 83:16 |
| 77:19 78:2 79:3 | time 1:20 8:17 | 81:5 | u |
| 79:15,17,19,25 | 11:15 14:13 | tracts 27:8,9 | u 60:20 |
| 80:4,5,12,14 | 15:6 16:25 28:5 | 32:21 47:9 51:8 | ultimate 27:15 |
| 82:14,16,18 | 36:9 39:21 | 63:17 66:25 | ultimately $15: 16$ |
| 83:13,14,15,16 | 42:14 44:13 | 72:2 74:18 | unable 83:17 |
| 84:19 85:3,4 | 48:10 49:8 | 80:18 | uncommitted |
| 86:14,16,18 | 55:12 70:1,1 | trade 13:7,10 | 50:14 53:13 |
| 87:12,17,20 88:3 | 73:11 74:1,10 | 14:17 | 54:13 71:15 |
| 88:13,17,21,22 | 76:10,10,13,17 | transcriber 91:1 | undeliverable |
| 89:2,5 | 85:22 87:14 | transcript 91:3,5 | 51:15 82:5 |
| thanks 60:23 | timeframe 15:5 | transcriptionist | underlying |
| thing 29:10 37:8 | timely 47:25 | 90:8 | 50:15 53:16 |
| 78:20 84:6 | 51:16 55:1,4 | transit 84:3,8 | 71:18 |
| things 16:10,17 | 72:24 73:1 | travis 72:5 | understand 13:6 |
| 16:19 22:21 | times 40:6 | tremaine $2: 3$ | 15:15 16:15 |
| 37:10 40:16 | timing 16:2 | 10:7,8 15:20 | 19:20 31:5 59 |
| 42:14 63:7 | title 33:14 47:13 | 87:25 88:1,6,7 | 70:4 |
| 67:22 | 49:19 | 88:16,22 | understandable |
| think 11:15 | today $8: 5,10,11$ | true 32:17 90:9 | 56:19 |
| 13:13 15:3 | 8:22 9:8 21:2 | 91:5 | understanding |
| 16:14 18:16 | 24:19 62:1 88:5 | try 13:14 23:7 | 11:17 36:9 |
| 19:16 22:7 | 88:8 | trying 48:16 | 56:12 63:24 |
| 24:13 27:14 | tomorrow 77:25 | 49:12 59:22 | 64:22 75:1 |
| 29:10,23 32:3 | top 11:3 32:13 | 84:1 | understood |
| 33:19 34:18 | 83:3 | tuesday 51:20 | 19:20 |
| 35:10,15 36:5,9 | topic 35:21 | two 9:5 14:8 | uniform 28:20 |
| 42:16 43:13 | torrence 1:24 | 16:6 19:8,10 |  |
| 44:4 48:17,19,25 | 90:2,18 | 20:4 26:10,12 |  |
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| uniformity 40:8 | usual 27:6 28:13 | vs 25:25 | $\text { west } 26: 14,14,16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unique 12:15 | 41:7 44:10 63:5 | w | 30:20 32:6,7,7 |
| unit 26:15 27:11 | 81:12 | wait 73 | 32:13,13,14,18 |
| 35:24 36:1,12,14 | v | want $35: 13,24$ | 32:22,25 38:18 |
| 36:18,21 38:21 | vacate $88: 8$ | 37:11,16 63:7 | 42:9,10,22 53:14 |
| 42:6 47:5 50:16 | van 50:24 51:10 | 64:22 73:6 83:8 | 55:20 58:20 |
| 50:19,21 52:13 | vance 4:18 53:4 | 83:20 | 71:21 74:6,12,22 |
| 53:17,22 57:12 | 53:5,11 55:16,17 | wanted 31:10 | 74:22 75:6 |
| 57:23 58:9 59:4 | 55:22 56:14,25 | 36:10 | 77:11 |
| 64:15,18 67:10 | $57: 9,15,19,24$ | wanting 61:24 | wie 50:24 51:10 |
| 67:13 68:5 | 58:4,10,15,16 | way $12: 21 \quad 14: 19$ | willow 53:14 |
| 69:11 71:20,24 | 59:6,15,19 60:5 | 31:7 32:4 33:1 | 55:20 |
| 72:2 74:5,19 | 60:13,16,17,22 | 35:8 78:8,9 83:9 | withdrawn |
| 80:15,19 81:6,15 | 60:24 61:7 71:4 | ways $13: 1$ | 27:16 |
| 87:4 | 71:5,13 74:9,15 | we've 11:5 59:8 | witness 90:4 |
| units 26:13 | 74:17,24 75:24 | 62:2 78:25 | wolfcamp 18:21 |
| 28:17,21 29:14 | 76:4,9,22 77:7 | web 8:18 | 18:22,25 19:5 |
| 29:17,19,25 | 77:22 78:1,9,14 | website $8: 10$ | 26:13 28:16,20 |
| 30:23 32:6,10 | $78: 17,24 \text { 79:15 }$ | $84: 2,4,8$ | 33:5,10,18 38:19 |
| 34:13 35:6 | various 10:4 | week 22:11,12 | 43:4 62:12 |
| 38:20 44:3 47:4 | verbal 75:23,24 | 79:11 | 65:11 68:14 |
| 62:9,11,18,24 | verbalized 66:9 | weeks 9:5 16:6 | wondering |
| 63:15 64:17 | 66:12 | 20:4 | 75:15 |
| unleased 15:4 | verbally 75:20 | wells 11:7 12:17 | wood 78:16 |
| unlocatable | verify $55: 25$ | 14:18 25:24 | woody 71:24,25 |
| 59:21 | version 24:17 | 32:6 35:12 | 78:16 |
| unm 83:18 | videoconference | $38: 19,20,2342: 2$ | work 16:10 |
| unnecessary | 1:18 2:3,13,20 | 42:7,9,18,19,21 | worked 39:2 |
| 40:21 | 3:6,13,20 4:4,11 | $50: 2154: 23$ | 42:14 62:2 |
| update 45:2 |  |  | working 29:18 |
| 75:25 86:10 | view $81: 13$ | 64:9 72:21 74:5 | 47:12 49:15 |
| updated 27:21 |  |  | 63:12,20 64:11 |
| 51:20,21 | 58:5 | $77: 478: 12,16,16$ | 73:9,14 76:7,11 |
| upfront 70:2 | virtually 39:7 | 78:21 | 76:15 84:16 |
| upper 18:22 | visually $67: 16,17$ | went $35: 25$ | works 17:1 |
| 43:4 | voice 58:15 | 36:11 64:14 | worksheet 8:9 |
| use 8:18 35:18 |  | 83:7,19 | write 37:4 |
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New Mexico Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts Article 5, Rule 1-030
(e) Review by Witness; Changes; Signing. If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have thirty (30) days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph $F$ of this rule whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during the period allowed.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.
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