1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERAL AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
6	CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
7	DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF
8	CONSIDERING:
9	Case Nos. 23205, 23188, 23189,
10	23190, 23191, 23192, 23193,
11	22947, 23211, 23212, 22745,
12	22746, 23062, 23157, 23061,
13	22845, 22933, 22934, 23180,
14	23181, 23182, 22782, 23149,
15	23150, 23151, 23152, 23153,
16	23154, 23155, 23156, 22323,
17	22701, 23042, 23045, 22539,
18	22540
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	D= 1
	Page 1

1		VIDEOCONFERENCE HEARING
2	DATE:	Thursday, December 15, 2022
3	TIME:	9:16 a.m.
4	BEFORE:	Hearing Officer Bill Brancard
5	LOCATION:	Remote Proceeding
6		Santa Fe, NM 87501
7	REPORTED BY:	Dana Fulton, Notary Public
8	JOB NO.:	5610304
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		Page 2

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION:
3	MARLENE SALVIDREZ, ESQUIRE
4	DYLAN ROSE-COSS, ESQUIRE
5	KAITLYN LUCK, ESQUIRE
6	Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
7	Oil Conservation Division
8	1220 South Street Francis Drive
9	Santa Fe, NM 87505
10	marlene.salvidrez@state.nm.us
11	dylanh.rose-coss@state.nm.us
12	
13	ON BEHALF OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:
14	DANA HARDY, ESQUIRE
15	Hinkle Shanor LLP
16	P.O. Box 0268
17	Santa Fe, NM 87504
18	
19	MICHAEL FELDEWERT, ESQUIRE
20	Holland and Hart
21	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
22	Santa Fe, NM 87501
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF ROCKWOOD RESOURCES, LLC:
3	DARIN SAVAGE, ESQUIRE
4	Abadie & Schill
5	214 McKenzie Street
6	Santa Fe, NM 87501
7	
8	ON BEHALF OF MRC PERMIAN/ MRC DELAWARE RESOURCES, LLC:
9	MICHAEL FELDEWERT, ESQUIRE
10	Holland and Hart
11	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
12	Santa Fe, NM 87501
13	
14	ON BEHALF OF ELIZABETH KAYE DILLARD:
15	SCOTT MORGAN, ESQUIRE
16	Cavin & Ingram, P.A.
17	40 First Plaza Center NW, Suite 610
18	Albuquerque, NM 87102
19	
20	ON BEHALF OF COLGATE OPERATING:
21	DANA HARDY, ESQUIRE
22	Hinkle Shanor LLP
23	P.O. Box 0268
24	Santa Fe, NM 87504
25	
	Page 4
	rage <del>1</del>

1		APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON	BEHALF OF WHIPTAIL MIDSTREAM LLC:
3		DARIN SAVAGE, ESQUIRE
4		Abadie & Schill
5		214 McKenzie Street
6		Santa Fe, NM 87501
7		
8	ON	BEHALF OF EOG RESOURCES:
9		MICHAEL FELDEWERT, ESQUIRE
10		Holland and Hart
11		110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
12		Santa Fe, NM 87501
13		
14	ON	BEHALF OF KAISER-FRANCIS OIL COMPANY:
15		JIM BRUCE, ESQUIRE
16		James Bruce, Attorney at Law
17		P.O. Box 1056
18		Santa Fe, NM 87504
19		jamesbruc@aol.com
2 0		
21	ON	BEHALF OF MRC PERMIAN COMPANY:
2 2		ADAM RANKIN, ESQUIRE
2 3		Holland and Hart
2 4		110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
25		Santa Fe, NM 87501
		Page 5

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY:
3	PAULA VANCE, ESQUIRE
4	Holland and Hart
5	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
6	Santa Fe, NM 87501
7	
8	ON BEHALF OF MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, LLC:
9	DEANA BENNETT, ESQUIRE
10	Modrall Sperling Roehl Harris & Sisk PA
11	500 4th Street, NW, Suite 1000
12	Albuquerque, NM 87102
13	
14	ON BEHALF OF CENTENNIAL RESOURCE PRODUCTION, LLC:
15	PAULA VANCE, ESQUIRE
16	Holland and Hart
17	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
18	Santa Fe, NM 87501
19	
20	ON BEHALF OF NOVO OIL & GAS NORTHERN DELAWARE:
21	DEANA BENNETT, ESQUIRE
22	Modrall Sperling Roehl Harris & Sisk PA
23	500 4th Street, NW, Suite 1000
24	Albuquerque, NM 87102
25	
	Page 6

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF TAP ROCK OPERATING, LLC:
3	MICHAEL RODRIGUEZ, ESQUIRE
4	
5	ON BEHALF OF COG OPERATING:
6	ELIZABETH RYAN, ESQUIRE
7	Ryan Law Firm, P.C.
8	400 East College Boulevard, Suite C
9	P.O. Box 1612
10	Roswell, NM 88202
11	
12	JACKIE MCLEAN, ESQUIRE
13	Hinkle Shanor LLP
14	P.O. Box 0268
15	Santa Fe, NM 87504
16	
17	ON BEHALF OF EOG RESOURCES:
18	MICHAEL FELDEWERT, ESQUIRE
19	Holland and Hart
2 0	110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
21	Santa Fe, NM 87501
22	
2 3	
2 4	
25	
	Page 7

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION COMPANY:
3	DARIN SAVAGE, ESQUIRE
4	Abadie & Schill
5	214 McKenzie Street
6	Santa Fe, NM 87501
7	
8	ON BEHALF OF ENDEAVOR ENERGY RESOURCES:
9	SHARON SHAHEEN, ESQUIRE
10	Montgomery & Andrews Law Firm
11	325 Paseo De Peralta
12	Santa Fe, NM 87501
13	
14	ON BEHALF OF DOYLE AND MARGARET HARTMAN:
15	J.E. "GENE" GALLEGOS, ESQUIRE
16	Gallegos Law Firm PC
17	460 St. Michaels Drive, Building 300
18	Santa Fe, NM 87505
19	
20	ALSO PRESENT:
21	Michael Stewart, Observer, Helms Oil & Gas
22	Bryan Jones, Possible Witness
23	Travis Macha, Landman for Colgate Operating
24	
25	
	Page 8

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	VOIR DIRE of Rob Jackson	
4	By Ms. Luck	28
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Pag	e 9

1		EXHIBITS	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	Item 6:		
4	Exhibit 1	Resume of Mr. Jackson	32/40
5	Exhibit 2	Notice of Violation	32/40
6	Exhibit 3	Notice of Docketing Statement	32/40
7	Exhibit 4	Certified Mail Tracking	32/40
8	Exhibit 5	Civil Penalty Calculation	32/40
9	Exhibit 6	E-mail Response	32/40
10		(Exhibits retained by counsel.)	
11			
12	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
13	Item 7:		
14	Exhibit 1	Resume of Mr. Jackson	42/44
15	Exhibit 2	Notice of Violation	42/44
16	Exhibit 3	Notice of Docketing Statement	42/44
17	Exhibit 4	Certified Mail Tracking	42/44
18	Exhibit 5	Civil Penalty Calculation	42/44
19	Exhibit 6	Proposed Amended Civil	
20		Penalty Calculation	42/44
21		(Exhibits retained by counsel.)	
22			
23			
24			
25			
		TO 1	200 10
		P	age 10

1		E X H I B I T S (Cont'd)	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	Items 9-10:		
4	Exhibits	Supplemental Exhibits	47/51
5	( E	Exhibits retained by counsel.)	
6			
7	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
8	Items 11-12:		
9	Exhibit C	Isaac Evans Affidavit	54/54
10	Exhibit C1	C-102s	54/54
11	Exhibit C2	Land Track Maps	54/54
12	Exhibit C3	Summary of Uncommitted	
13		Working Interest	54/54
14	Exhibit C4	Overriding Royalty Interest	54/54
15	Exhibit C5	Sample Well Proposal Letter	54/54
16	Exhibit C6	Chronology of Contacts	54/54
17	Exhibit D	Andrew Parker Affidavit	54/54
18	Exhibit D1	Locator Map	54/54
19	Exhibit D2	Bone Spring Sub-C Structure	
20		and Cross Section Map	54/54
21	Exhibit D3	Bone Spring Stratigraphic	
22		Cross Section Map	54/54
23	Exhibit E	Self-Affirmed Statement	54/54
24	Exhibit F	Affidavit of Publication	54/54
25	( E	Exhibits retained by counsel.)	
			Dage 11
			Page 11

1		EXHIBITS (Cont'd)	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	Items 14-15:		
4	Exhibit A	Landman's Testimony and	
5		Related Exhibits (A1-A5)	60/63
6	Exhibit B	Geologist's Testimony and	
7		Related Exhibits (B1-B5)	60/63
8	Exhibit C	Statement Regarding Notice	60/63
9	Exhibit C1	Sample Notice Hearing	60/63
10	Exhibit C2	Affidavit of Publication	60/63
11	( E	xhibits retained by counsel.)	
12			
13	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
14	Items 16-19:		
15	Exhibit A	Land Professional Exhibits	66/71
16	Exhibit B	Geologist Combined Testimony	66/71
17	Exhibit C	Notices	66/71
18	( E	xhibits retained by counsel.)	
19			
20	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
21	Items 20-21:		
22	Exhibit A	Landman Wenzel's Exhibits	75/78
23	Exhibit B	Geologist Ohl's Exhibits	75/78
24	Exhibit C	Updated Notices	75/78
25	( E	xhibits retained by counsel.)	
			Page 12
			raye 14

## 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: It is December 3 15, 2022. These are the hearings of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. Happy Holidays. With me 4 5 today is the technical examiner, Mr. Dylan Rose-Coss, 6 and I am, of course, Bill Brancard, the examiner for today. We have a list of cases posted on our website. 8 There are, I believe, 30 today, but we have a variety. 9 Some could go on a while. 10 Announcements. As we have mentioned in 11 some previous hearings, there is a new checklist, and 12 I am glad to see that several parties were already 13 using it today for today's hearing. Slightly revised checklist. There is also, as we have said for a while 14 15 now, new addresses for our website, for our e-mails. 16 Everything's been changed for every employee, every 17 address. They're no longer an state.nm.us. We're 18 emnrd.nm.gov. 19 Any announcements, Mr. Rose-Coss? 20 MR. ROSE-COSS: None from me. Thanks. 2.1 Except for Happy Holidays. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. With that, we can get going with our cases today. 23 2.4 start off with a few status conferences. I'm looking at our worksheet today on items one and two. 25

1	will be cases 22539, 22540, Rockwood Resources.
2	MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
3	Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner. Darin Savage with
4	the Santa Fe office of Abadie & Schill on behalf of
5	Rockwood Resources and the Rockwood Group.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. And Mewbourne
7	Oil Company?
8	MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
9	Dana Hardy with the Santa Fe office of Hinkle Shanor
LO	on behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company.
L1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Your
L2	pleadings seem to indicate that you're negotiating and
L3	working on something here, and so what would we like
L4	to do?
L5	MR. SAVAGE: That is correct. The
L6	parties have a settlement agreement in place, but it
L7	requires some performative conditions to finalize.
L8	Rockwood would like the parties to have time to
L9	finalize the matter. Some of the conditions have a
20	90-day timeline on them, for example. We would
21	propose a status conference on February 16th to check
22	in and see if the agreement has been finalized at that
23	point, and if it has, we can resolve this matter.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
25	Mewbourne?

1	MS. HARDY: I agree with Mr. Savage.
2	That's fine with Mewbourne.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: So with that,
4	cases 22539, 22540 will be set for a status conference
5	on February 16th. We will issue a scheduling order.
6	Thank you.
7	MS. HARDY: Thank you.
8	MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: We're on item
10	number three, case 23045, Mewbourne Oil Company.
11	MS. HARDY: Good morning again. Dana
12	Hardy with Mewbourne Oil Company on behalf of
13	Mewbourne Oil Company. I need some more coffee.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good luck. We
15	have MRC Permian Company, MRC Delaware Resources.
16	MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
17	Mr. Brancard, Mr. Dylan Rose-Coss. Michael Feldewert
18	with the Santa Fe office of Holland and Hart.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there anyone
20	else here for case 23045? Hearing none, I believe you
21	all are also negotiating something. And what would
22	you like to do, Ms. Hardy?
23	MS. HARDY: That's correct,
24	Mr. Examiner. I know that the parties are talking and
25	exchanging information. I think Mewbourne would like

1	to go ahead and set this for a contested hearing on
2	the next available docket for contested hearings in
3	the event that they are unable to resolve their
4	differences with Matador. I would propose possibly
5	the I don't know if the second January docket is
б	available or the first February docket.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. So
8	you're negotiating, but you want to set a hearing
9	date.
10	MS. HARDY: That's correct.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: MRC?
12	MR. FELDEWERT: We can confirm the
13	parties are negotiating. I know they have plans to
14	meet after the holidays if they can't reach a meet
15	in person after the holidays if they can't reach a
16	resolution before then. So I guess I'd keep that in
17	mind in accommodating Ms. Hardy's request for a
18	contested hearing date.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. How
20	about if we set that January 19th for a hearing? As
21	always, you can ask for continuances, status
22	conferences, whatever is necessary to move the case
23	along.
24	MS. HARDY: That's fine with Mewbourne,
25	Mr. Examiner.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I
2	will send out a pre-hearing order or an amended
3	pre-hearing order, whatever is appropriate. Thank
4	you.
5	MS. HARDY: Thank you.
6	MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. We're
8	on item number four, case 22323, Elizabeth Kaye
9	Dillard.
10	MR. MORGAN: Good morning,
11	Mr. Examiner. Scott Morgan with Cavin & Ingram on
12	behalf of Ms. Dillard.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Colgate
14	Operating?
15	MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy
16	with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Colgate.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any other
18	interested persons for case 22323? Hearing none, it
19	sounds like you almost had a deal, but now you don't
20	have a deal. And you have some questions. I'll start
21	with you, Mr. Morgan, on behalf of Ms. Dillard.
22	MR. MORGAN: Mr. Examiner,
23	Mr. Technical Examiner, thank you. We Ms. Dillard
24	actually did execute AFEs late Tuesday and submitted
25	those to Colgate. So we're just here to let the OCD

1	know of record that Ms. Colgate or excuse me
2	Ms. Dillard has elected to participate in these as
3	well.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
5	Mr. Hardy, where does that leave us?
6	MS. HARDY: Well, and that relates to
7	the questions that I have on behalf of Colgate. It
8	seems to me that since Ms. Dillard has executed the
9	AFEs and agreed to participate, the issues related to
-0	her application are resolved, and I think it should
L1	probably be dismissed. And I wanted to clarify that I
_2	don't think we need any other order on Colgate's
L3	applications.
4	Because the application was only
L5	reopened as to Ms. Dillard, and now her issue is
L6	resolved. So I would propose that the division enter
.7	an order dismissing her application on the ground that
-8	she has resolved her issues with Colgate, and their
_9	interest was not pooled.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, that seems
21	like a possibility here, because you have an order in
22	this case. We just reopened the case for the sake of
23	providing Ms. Dillard with an additional opportunity
24	to participate here, and that apparently has been
25	accomplished if I am correct.

1	Is that correct, Mr. Morgan?
2	MR. MORGAN: That is correct, yes.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. So
4	you can either submit a stipulated dismissal if you
5	like, or, Mr. Morgan, your client can submit a
6	dismissal. Either way
7	MR. MORGAN: Okay. Yeah, I will
8	confirm it with Ms. Hardy, but we'll have something
9	submitted shortly.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Thank
11	you. Thank you for your cooperation.
12	MS. HARDY: Thank you.
13	MR. MORGAN: Thank you.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. All
15	right. With that, I believe we are on item number
16	five. So case 22323, we will await a further pleading
17	from the parties, so just to clarify for the record.
18	On item number five, case 22782, Whiptail Midstream.
19	MR. SAVAGE: Good morning again. Darin
20	Savage on behalf of Whiptail Midstream LLC.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: And the Oil
22	Conservation Division?
23	MS. LUCK: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
24	Examiner. Kaitlyn Luck with the Oil Conservation
25	Division.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And
2	so where are we any other persons, first of all, on
3	case 22782? Hearing none, I'll turn to Whiptail.
4	MR. SAVAGE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
5	Examiner. As I understand, the OCD wants additional
6	time to respond or to offer a response, and Whiptail
7	has agreed to that additional time. I would like to
8	go over, if I could, some of the procedural matters
9	involved in this as we go forward.
10	Based on some e-mail correspondence, it
11	seems like the issue is focusing on what should be the
12	proper application of certain OCD rules involving a
13	particular spill that Whiptail is involved in or was
14	involved in. On the one hand, Whiptail believes it
15	has conducted remediation and satisfied the rule
16	involved and should be returned to a status of full
17	compliance.
18	On the other hand, the OCD believes
19	there is justification to place Whiptail in its
20	database in a newly what appears to be a newly
21	designated category that we see falls short of
22	compliance of a designation of compliance. And as
23	I understand and I don't fully understand the full
24	scope of the proposition of the OCD, because I haven't
25	reviewed the response.

1	But as I understand it, this category
2	would maintain the open and active incident report,
3	either stating or implying that Whiptail is not in
4	full compliance, and therefore, we see that as and
5	if that is the case, we see that as negatively
6	impacting Whiptail, but like I said, I don't fully
7	understand the full scope of the proposal.
8	So, Mr. Brancard, it appears that the
9	facts may not be in dispute, and that the disagreement
10	boils down to a matter of law or a matter of proper
11	application of the rule. So we have filed an
12	application for a hearing, and I'm wondering what the
13	best way would be to go forward with pleading.
14	And it appears to us that maybe there
15	should be a response and a reply that, kind of, takes
16	the form of a summary judgment that focuses on the
16 17	the form of a summary judgment that focuses on the application of the rule. And I don't know if Ms. Luck
17	application of the rule. And I don't know if Ms. Luck
17 18	application of the rule. And I don't know if Ms. Luck would agree to that, but that sees to be something
17 18 19	application of the rule. And I don't know if Ms. Luck would agree to that, but that sees to be something that could be considered.
17 18 19 20	application of the rule. And I don't know if Ms. Luck would agree to that, but that sees to be something that could be considered.  THE HEARING OFFICER: So if I
17 18 19 20 21	application of the rule. And I don't know if Ms. Luck would agree to that, but that sees to be something that could be considered.  THE HEARING OFFICER: So if I understand, Mr. Savage, there is an ongoing
17 18 19 20 21 22	application of the rule. And I don't know if Ms. Luck would agree to that, but that sees to be something that could be considered.  THE HEARING OFFICER: So if I understand, Mr. Savage, there is an ongoing disagreement between your client and the Division
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	application of the rule. And I don't know if Ms. Luck would agree to that, but that sees to be something that could be considered.  THE HEARING OFFICER: So if I understand, Mr. Savage, there is an ongoing disagreement between your client and the Division about interpreting the requirements of the part 29,

1	rules in that part 29 that we believe we have
2	satisfied and should be returned to a status of full
3	compliance, and the OCD disagrees. I think they
4	disagree. I mean, the OCD is doing something a little
5	bit different, and they're setting up a status
6	category for parties.
7	And it has to do with if there's any
8	obligation for additional reclamation after a
9	mediation, and it looks to me like the facts are not
LO	in dispute in this. It looks to me and Ms. Luck
L1	and I can, like, see if that is the case, but it looks
L2	to me like the facts are not in dispute. And it's,
L3	kind of, boiling down to, what's the application or
L4	interpretation of the rule.
L5	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll turn to the
L6	division. Ms. Luck?
L7	MS. LUCK: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
L8	Examiner. And the Division agrees with Mr. Savage's
L9	description of the procedural posture of the case. I
20	don't think that there's a factual dispute here. I do
21	think that it is a matter of rule interpretation and
22	application of the rules, in particular 19.15.29.13.
23	There's a provision regarding the top four feet and
24	whether or not it needs to be reclaimed.
25	And so the Division has come up with an

1	alternative procedure for sites that are still
2	operating where that top four feet can't be dealt with
3	currently, because the sites are still operating. And
4	I am prepared, and the Division is prepared to provide
5	a response as Mr. Savage has described, describing
6	those different incident statuses that will be created
7	for sites that are considered closed. Because they
8	are still operating, but there is still additional
9	reclamation or requirements to a chance to look at
10	the site, I guess, if it's closed.
11	And so I think that is appropriate to
12	set this again for a schedule as Mr. Savage has
13	described with the motion or excuse me the
14	Division's response being due a month from now, and
15	then there would be additional time for a reply. And
16	then we can be set for a motion type hearing if the

THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, thank you.

I mean, that seems like a path forward here. I do

recall when this rule was put in place some five years

ago or so, and we put in -- the Commission put in

these provisions that people could ask for hearings on

various disagreements under rule 29. There were those

who said, "Oh my gosh. There's just going to be

Division would be so inclined to hear the motion at

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

that point.

1	dozens and dozens of these cases coming up for
2	hearing, because people are going to be arguing about
3	whether they've actually cleaned up a site or not."
4	And yet, I think this may be the first
5	one. So it sounds like it would be helpful in terms
6	of interpreting the provisions of the rule to have a
7	discussion about the issue here. And so I don't know
8	whether the parties would prefer to come up with their
9	own schedule and submit it to me for approval or try
10	to work one out right now.
11	Mr. Savage, Ms. Luck has, sort of, said
12	maybe you get two weeks. She gets two weeks, and then
13	you get another week. How's that?
14	MR. SAVAGE: That sounds reasonable,
15	Mr. Brancard. You know, depending on the nature of
16	the response and I was hoping it could be cast as,
17	kind of, a form of interpreting or applying the rule
18	and a motion for that. But, you know, if Whiptail
19	reviews it, and it looks like the status of closure
20	would not negatively impact would be in such a way
21	that it wouldn't negatively impact Whiptail, you know,
22	we may be agreeable to that.
	we may be agreeable to that.
23	So I think the two weeks for the

1	motion hearing. That would be great.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. So
3	I'm, kind of, getting confused here, which I often do.
4	Mr. Savage, did you want to start with just, sort of,
5	laying out Whiptail's position, or do you think that's
6	already set out in your pleadings?
7	MR. SAVAGE: I think the application,
8	kind of, gives an overview or outline of that. I
9	think the unknown here is the scope of the Division's
10	position, which we'd like an opportunity to review.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
12	MS. LUCK: And I think that would be
13	right, that it's our the ball's, kind of, in the
14	Division's court to respond at this point and provide
15	an explanation as to how the Division is interpreting
16	the rule and see fit to, kind of, fill in the gap here
17	for these open sites. But it looks like two weeks
18	falls the week after Christmas, so could we have until
19	January 5th to file that response?
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, I was just
21	going to say, we normally do two weeks, but with the
22	holidays, three weeks might be preferable. So January
23	5th?
24	MS. LUCK: That would be great. And
25	then, I mean, an additional two weeks or so for the

1	reply, and then we would be ready for hearing at the
2	beginning of February.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. So
4	OCD response on January 5th. The reply would be
5	how much time do you need, Mr. Savage?
6	MR. SAVAGE: Two weeks would be fine.
7	Thank you, Mr. Brancard.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: So January 19th?
9	MR. SAVAGE: That's perfect.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: And then if
11	necessary, a hearing or a status conference or
12	whatever on what are we looking at, February 2nd?
13	Is that agreeable to everyone?
14	MR. SAVAGE: Yes, thank you.
15	MS. LUCK: Yes, thank you.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I can
17	issue a little scheduling order embodying all of that,
18	so none of us forget, mostly me. Thank you.
19	MR. SAVAGE: All right. Thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Anything else on
21	case 22782?
22	MR. SAVAGE: No.
23	MS. LUCK: Nothing further.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: We will issue a
25	scheduling order to embody the agreement today. Thank
	Page 26
	_ 5.5 2 20

1	you. All right. With that, we have two enforcement
2	cases, now that we've, I think, finished up the status
3	conference. Item number six is case 23061, and the
4	respondent in this case is Roca I don't know if I'm
5	pronouncing that correctly Production. Is there
6	anyone here on behalf of Roca Production? And the
7	petitioner is the Oil Conservation Division.
8	MS. LUCK: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
9	Examiner and Mr. Technical Examiner. Kaitlyn Luck
10	with the Oil Conservation Division.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So
12	the Division has filed its exhibits already, I
13	believe, in this case. And first, let me just ask, is
14	there anyone else here on behalf or for case 23061?
15	MR. JACKSON: Rob Jackson, Oil
16	Conservation Division, compliance.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So,
18	Ms. Luck, I think the way we've done this in the past
19	is, since there's no one here, you can go through your
20	evidence. You can have your witness sworn in, so he's
21	available for you to ask him any questions or for us
22	to ask him any questions. And then we can go there.
23	It doesn't need to be terribly formal,
24	since you've already submitted the exhibits into the
25	record. If you could just review that, what those

1	exhibits are and what the evidence is, so we have it
2	in the record, that would be helpful to the hearing
3	examiners. So with that, first we'll start out with
4	Mr. Jackson. Is Mr. Jackson your only witness,
5	Ms. Luck?
6	MS. LUCK: That's correct.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
8	Mr. Jackson, will you raise your right hand.
9	ROB JACKSON,
10	called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn
11	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
12	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And
14	so with that, Ms. Luck, if you could, sort of, go
15	through the case.
16	MS. LUCK: Thank you. I'd just like to
17	quickly admit Mr. Jackson as the expert on behalf of
18	the Oil Conservation Division.
19	VOIR DIRE
20	BY MS. LUCK:
21	Q So, Mr. Jackson, would you please spell your
22	name and state it for the record?
23	A Rob Jackson. R-O-B J-A-C-K-S-O-N.
24	Q And where do you work?
25	A Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

_	
1	Department, Oil Conservation Division with the
2	compliance administrative.
3	Q And how long have you worked there?
4	A One and a half years.
5	Q And what do you do in that position?
6	A I am the administrative compliance
7	supervisor.
8	Q And how many staff do you have working there
9	for you?
10	A I have three.
11	Q And could you just briefly describe the
12	different positions that you oversee?
13	A Yes. Amalia Bustamante is lead of well
14	transfers, operator name changes and operator
15	production reporting. Joe Aragon is a lead bond
16	administrator, and Nicholas Karns is lead on proving
17	operator's authorization to move produced water and
18	for operator registrations.
19	Q And in your role, you also work with
20	bonding; is that correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And you work with new operators obtaining
23	bonding?
24	A I do.
25	Q And do you also confirm compliance with
	Page 29

1	existing bonding requirements?
2	A I do.
3	Q And this case we're here about, Roca
4	Production Inc., their OGRID is 142624. Did you
	_
5	review the bonding for this operator?
6	A I did.
7	Q And are you aware that the OCD filed a set
8	of exhibits in the pre-hearing statement and in this
9	case?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And did you review that set of exhibits and
12	pre-hearing statement?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And did you provide the initial information
15	for the notice of violation that was issued in this
16	case against Roca?
17	A I did.
18	Q And just for the record, Roca is spelled
19	R-O-C-A.
20	A Correct.
21	
	Q And then in this case, there is a section
22	that we provided called direct testimony. Did you
23	provide the information for that direct testimony?
24	A I did.
25	Q And do you also affirm and adopt that
	Page 30

1	testimony as your own?
2	A Yes.
3	MS. LUCK: So in this case, I would
4	move Mr. Jackson as the Division's witness and expert
5	in administrative compliance if there are any
6	questions for him. Turning briefly to the pre-hearing
7	statement and the exhibits that were submitted. The
8	notice of violation I'm sorry, Mr. Examiner. Did
9	you want to review the admission of Mr. Jackson as the
10	expert in this case?
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: No. Mr. Jackson
12	has been accepted as an expert before, so we will
13	accept him again. Thank you.
14	MS. LUCK: Thank you. So in this case,
15	we have a set of exhibits that are the standard set of
16	exhibits for a compliance case such as this one.
17	Starting off with Exhibit 1, the resume of
18	Mr. Jackson, following by Exhibit 2, the notice of
19	violation for this case.
20	The next exhibit is Exhibit 3, the
21	notice of the docketing statement, followed by Exhibit
22	4, which is the certified mail tracking information
23	for the notice of violation. Exhibit 5 is the civil
24	penalty calculation that just reflects the amount that
25	the Division is seeking as a civil penalty in this

1	case.
2	And finally, Exhibit 6 is a e-mail
3	response from the operator in this case or the person
4	who was registered to the operator. It was my
5	understanding from that e-mail correspondence that
6	they weren't intending to enter an appearance in this
7	case, because these wells are not producing anymore.
8	In the exhibits, it reflects briefly
9	that the Division is seeking a plugging order for
10	there are ten wells that the operator is the
11	registered operator for, and all ten are out of
12	compliance with the inactive well rules. And so the
13	Division is seeking plugging of all ten wells.
14	The standard set of sanctions are also
15	requested by the Division in this case, which includes
16	the civil penalty and the termination at the
17	operator's transportation authority from the Division.
18	So with that, I would move the admission of Exhibits 1
19	through 6 and stand for any questions that the
20	examiners might have.
21	(Exhibit 1 through Exhibit 6 were
22	marked for identification.)
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
24	Exhibits will be bid into the record, and let me go to
25	Mr. Rose-Coss for questions.

1	MR. ROSE-COSS: Sure. Yeah, thanks.
2	Good morning, Kaitlyn, and good
3	morning, Mr. Jackson. Thanks for bringing this case.
4	You know, I don't have many questions or maybe
5	anything of how do you say too much substance or
6	that's going to change the course of the actions that
7	follow. Just for my curiosity, how was it discovered,
8	or what was the process through which this operator
9	came up, and the process was initiated to plug these
10	wells or to issue the violation?
11	MS. LUCK: I believe that the operator
12	responded to a notice about venting and flaring, just
13	advising that the operator was inactive and that that
14	wasn't the correct contact information. Let me look
15	back really quickly, because I think it was in
16	response to an e-mail, the notice that went out from
17	the Division.
18	MR. ROSE-COSS: So the venting and
19	is my understanding then that the venting and flaring,
20	those new rules, kind of, went through and had a
21	kind of, addressed every well, and everybody who was
22	inactive all the operators who had inactive wells
23	that are out of 59 compliance got a little jingle in
24	their e-mails? Is that what happened?
25	MS. LUCK: Yeah, that's correct. So it

1	looks like back in May of 2022, we sent the notice to
2	all the operators that we hadn't received a venting
3	and flaring report for, and this operator was one of
4	them. And in response, we received in an e-mail from
5	the person whose e-mail was registered to the
6	operator, just advising that the person who used to
7	operate the company passed away in 2019.
8	And none of the wells have been
9	operating in three years. And so since none of the
10	wells had been operating in such a long time, the
11	Division decided to go ahead and take action to plug
12	these wells since they had been inactive for, like, a
13	significant time period. And it didn't appear there
14	was any transfer or anyone available to take them
15	over.
16	MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. Literally
17	orphaned. All right. And so now the Division will
18	seize those bonds and put it on the plug and abandon
19	list. Or what happens from here then, or is that what
20	happens?
21	MS. LUCK: If there is any financial
22	assurance or bonding that is available, the Division
23	will seek those, but if not, then there may be
24	additional funding that's available from the federal
25	grant monies that were received from the state. And

1	so these wells may be assigned to that program if
2	available, but it totally depends on funding. I mean,
3	there's also the reclamation funding that's available
4	if there's not significant or sufficient financial
5	assurance.
6	MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. So the other
7	question I heard from that, this operator will, kind
8	of, lose the authority to transport oil and gas or
9	this OGRID will be terminated. And maybe it's not
10	applicable here as the operator is no longer living,
11	but are there any safeguards in the OCD rules to
12	ensure that this operator can't just start another
13	OGRID and, kind of, continue the same thing?
14	MS. LUCK: I'm not sure exactly how the
15	backend works in terms of our IT system and
16	registration and what kind of verification happens
17	before registering as a new operator. I do know there
18	are provisions about operator registration in the
19	rules, and I could provide those to you if you'd like.
20	But I don't know in terms of the OCD's regulatory
21	systems in place in terms of ensuring old operators
22	don't re-register. I'm unsure of that.
23	MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. Well, I have
24	access to the rules too, so don't worry about it. But
25	maybe in a future hearing if I see you again, I'll ask

1	again.
2	MS. LUCK: Okay.
3	MR. ROSE-COSS: And those exhaust my
4	questions. Thank you, Ms. Luck.
5	MS. LUCK: Thank you.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I
7	just want to confirm for the record with Mr. Jackson.
8	We often have the issue with operators where they're a
9	moving target. But can you just confirm that the ten
LO	wells that are on the initial notice of violation are
L1	the same ten wells that today you'd like to see action
L2	taken against?
L3	MR. JACKSON: They are. They're the
L <b>4</b>	same ten ten wells.
L5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Now
L6	in the exhibits, there appears to be, Ms. Luck, an
L7	effort by the operator to file a change of operator
L8	form at some point in the past. What happened with
L9	that?
20	MS. LUCK: It's my understanding that a
21	change of operator form it was submitted to me by
22	e-mail, but it was never submitted through the OCD
23	system. And so we don't have any record of it in our
24	OCD system. And I believe that's what I included in
25	my exhibit packet as Exhibit 6 was the e-mail

1	correspondence from the person who was the recipient
2	of the registered operator's e-mail, and then they
3	advised that there had been this change of operator
4	form that had attempted to be filed. But it wasn't
5	registered in the OCD system.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So this
7	form has never been officially submitted to the OCD.
8	Is that what you're stating?
9	MS. LUCK: That's correct. And so the
10	OCD attempted to contact this proposed operator who
11	was on this form, and the e-mail that's registered to
12	that operator, I got a kickback. It's Covert not
13	Covert. It's Convert [ph], and I can also provide you
14	proof of that e-mail. I can file that as an exhibit,
15	but it was just returned as undeliverable to the
16	register. There's only one e-mail for that operator.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
18	That was going to be my next question. So OCD has
19	tried to contact this proposed new operator, but there
20	has not been a response.
21	MS. LUCK: That's correct.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: I also note that
23	the e-mail seems to indicate a law firm that
24	represents the new operator, or is that the in the
25	e-mail.

1	MS. LUCK: I didn't see any reference
2	to a law firm representing an operator, but if that's
3	incorrect, please let me know.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: There's a
5	reference to the Hamm Law Group in Midland, Texas.
6	MS. LUCK: Okay. And I haven't reached
7	out to them. And if that needs to be done, and we
8	need to continue the case, that's not a problem at
9	this point.
LO	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Well,
L1	the new operator will have the same problem of the
L2	wells being inactive for a significant period of time
L3	here. So why don't you provide us whatever
L4	information you had about attempting to contact
L5	Convert [ph] or whatever the name of the new operator
L6	is for the record. We'll leave the record open for
L7	that information.
L8	MR. ROSE-COSS: Yeah, and maybe so
L9	with these wells, if an operator chose to take these
20	wells on, would that transfer be allowable? Or what
21	does it say in OCD's rules about my understanding
22	is if they've been inactive for three years, and
23	nothing's ever been done with them, then the only
24	option is to plug and abandon them. So any operator
25	who tried to purchase them would only be able to plug

1	and abandon them. Or is there provisions in the
2	Division's rules to allow these wells to come into
3	production again?
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: You know, I mean,
5	sometimes new operators come in and work out a deal to
6	get an agreed compliance order to put them back into
7	production or something within a short period of time.
8	But at this point, all the evidence points toward
9	plugging these wells, so we can move forward with that
10	with the evidence that we have.
11	MS. LUCK: And I will reach out to the
12	Hamm Law Group today, just to confirm whether or not
13	they represent the Convert [ph] operator, which was
14	the proposed operator. And I'll follow up with the
15	Division in a written pleading or some kind of
16	writing.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Okay.
18	So at this point, are there any other persons for case
19	23061? Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted
20	into the record. The record will be left open for
21	further information about this attempted effort to
22	transfer these wells, and we will take this case under
23	advisement. However, Ms. Luck, if something comes up
24	where the Division would like to, you know, continue
25	the case please let us know

1	(Exhibit 1 through Exhibit 6 were
2	received into evidence.)
3	MS. LUCK: Thank you.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. With
5	that, we are on item seven. This is case 23062,
6	Permok Oil Company Oil Inc. Sorry.
7	MS. LUCK: That's correct. Permok Oil
8	Inc., and it's Kaitly Luck again for the Oil
9	Conservation Division with one witness, Mr. Rob
10	Jackson again in this case.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Is
12	there anyone here on behalf of Permok Oil Inc.? Are
13	there any other interested persons for case 23062?
14	Hearing none, the Division may proceed.
15	MS. LUCK: Thank you. And again, in
16	this case I would move the admission of Mr. Jackson as
17	the Division's only witness and expert who helped with
18	the presentation of the pre-hearing statement and the
19	exhibits in the case.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
21	Mr. Jackson, having been already sworn or already
22	admitted as an exhibit is available for testimony.
23	MR. JACKSON: I am.
24	MS. LUCK: Thank you. And so in this
25	case, the Division submitted the standard set of

1	exhibits for this operator, and it is Permok, spelled
2	P-E-R-M-O-K, and that's Oil Inc. The OGRID number for
3	this operator is 26316.
4	The notice of violation was issued on
5	August 2, 2022, and that's attached to Exhibit 2. In
6	this case, there was no response from any e-mail
7	address that the Division was able to locate or the
8	mailed notice that was sent by certified mail. Proof
9	of that is provided as an exhibit.
10	So the notice of violation is attached
11	as Exhibit 2. The notice of the docketing statement
12	is attached as Exhibit 3. The certified mail tracking
13	information is attached as Exhibit 4, and the civil
14	penalty calculation, which was initially included with
15	the notice of violation, is attached as Exhibit 5.
16	Since sending the notice of violation,
17	we realized that the notice of violation said the
18	Division is seeking to plug two excuse me three
19	wells. When in fact the Division is only seeking to
20	plug two of the operator's three wells. And so I have
21	also submitted Exhibit 6, which would be a proposed
22	amended civil penalty calculation, which would
23	downward adjust the civil penalty in this case to
24	reflect it would only be for two wells rather than
25	three.

1	And again, the reason for that is that
2	the operator's third well is not showing up on our
3	inactive well list, because it is currently used as
4	some form of a water supply well. And our the API
5	number for that one is 3002526090. And so the
6	Division would not seek to plug that well at this
7	point in time and only seek to plug the other wells
8	And the exhibit that was provided with the NOV is
9	correct, and it only lists two wells.
10	So we'd like to stick with plugging
11	those two wells that are on the inactive well list
12	attached to the NOV. If there is any questions about
13	that, let me know, or Mr. Jackson can explain a little
14	bit more on the water supply well and why we're not
15	seeking plugging at this point. But otherwise, I
16	would move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 6 and
17	stand for any other questions.
18	(Exhibit 1 through Exhibit 6 were
19	marked for identification.)
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
21	Mr. Rose-Coss?
22	MR. ROSE-COSS: So has there been
23	correspondence sorry if I haven't reviewed this
24	enough to determine what correspondence has or hasn't
25	happened with the operator. But the operator is,

1	like, "Have my two wells. You can plug those, but
2	this one, this third one, is useful. Let's not plug
3	it"?
4	Or the operator is MIA, and it turns
5	out that one of the three wells happens to still have
6	some usefulness to it, so it's not being plugged right
7	now? Or why isn't the operator plugging these wells
8	of their own accord?
9	MS. LUCK: It's my understanding the
10	operator has had no involvement whatsoever, but I'll
11	defer to Mr. Jackson if that's incorrect. But the
12	third well has a location on federal land, and so
13	there's some work that might need to be done with BLM
14	to plug that well before we take any action on it.
15	But I'll defer to Mr. Jackson if he has additional
16	information.
17	MR. JACKSON: Yes, that's that's
18	about what I was going to say. The BLM has the
19	authority over this well. It is a federal mineral and
20	federal land well that we have to work out who has the
21	authority and responsibility for plugging the well at
22	this point.
23	MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. Got you. Well,
24	that clears that up then. Thank y'all.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I've

1	just received an e-mail from a member of our OCD bar,
2	who says the correct pronunciation of the operator is
3	Permok.
4	MR. ROSE-COSS: Permok.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. So
6	it's two wells that we're looking to plug, and you
7	want an order terminating the operator's ability to
8	transport. But it seems like the third well is not
9	operating as an oil well; correct?
10	MS. LUCK: That's correct.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And once
12	again, I'll just check in with Mr. Jackson. Those two
13	wells listed in the NOV are still the same two wells
14	that you are seeking to take action against; is that
15	correct?
16	MR. JACKSON: That is correct.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. All
18	right. With that, are there any other interested
19	persons then in case 23062? Hearing none, the
20	exhibits will be admitted into the record, and case
21	23062 Permok Oil will be taken under advisement.
22	(Exhibit 1 through Exhibit 6 were
23	received into evidence.)
24	MS. LUCK: Thank you for your time this
25	morning. I appreciate it.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. All
2	right. With that, we are on item number eight, case
3	22947, EOG Resources. And I have a question mark
4	here, because I think we agreed that these cases would
5	be continued along with another case. Is that 845?
6	One of them was continued, and one wasn't. So that's
7	why they're still on the list for today.
8	MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
9	Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert from the Santa Fe
LO	office of Holland and Hart on behalf of EOG Resources.
L1	And in light of your question mark, I did look at the
L2	file, noticed that we had not filed our continuance
L3	request pursuant to your pre-hearing order. That was
L4	either been filed this morning or is in the process of
L5	being filed.
L6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Are
L7	there any other interested persons for case 22947
L8	wishing to comment? Hearing none, we will move on.
L9	MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, sir.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: So now we're
21	looking at some cases that were continued from prior
22	hearing. These are items nine and ten, 23211, 23212,
23	Kaiser-Francis Oil Company.
24	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce
25	representing Kaiser-Francis.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: And an entry of
2	appearance from MRC Permian Company?
3	MR. RANKIN: Good morning,
4	Mr. Examiner. Adam Rankin with Santa Fe office of
5	Holland and Hart, appearing on behalf of MRC Permian.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. And
7	so it was something to do with the status of the
8	wells, Mr. Bruce?
9	MR. BRUCE: Yes.
L O	THE HEARING OFFICER: And you provided
L1	us additional information if you could elaborate.
L2	MR. BRUCE: Yeah. At the end of the
L3	hearing, Mr. Garcia asked whether the wells there
L <b>4</b>	were four wells involved if they were producing. I
L5	said I would find out, and sure enough, they are. And
L6	I also asked my client to give me the various
L7	information. I suppose I could get hard copies of all
L8	of it, but instead, we put together a spreadsheet
L9	showing the status of filings.
20	The OCD file is pretty barren, but a
21	lot of these matters do have these units are
22	this is the South Bell Lake Unit. The Bell Lake Units
23	are federally supervised, and all of the documents
24	that the BLM requires have been filed. It seems like
25	the hold up is that nine months ago, Kaiser-Francis

1	filed well completion reports with the BLM, which
2	after nine months have not been approved. I don't
3	know why, and I don't think they do either. Because
4	they have been doing this regularly. They've got
5	about 120 wells drilled on these units at this point.
6	But anyway, Mr. Garcia wanted to know
7	the status of the filings, and this spreadsheet shows
8	what they are. And if the Division needs something
9	more or wants something more out of Kaiser-Francis, I
10	will certainly ask them for it. But with that, I'd
11	move the admission of this spreadsheet and affidavit.
12	(Supplemental Exhibits were marked for
13	identification.)
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
15	Mr. Rankin, did you have any questions
16	or concerns?
17	MR. RANKIN: No, Mr. Examiner, I do
18	not.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Rose-Coss?
20	MR. ROSE-COSS: Well, I suppose my
21	question's for you, Mr. Brancard. This one maybe
22	it's nothing or not. Is there what compulsory
23	pooling comes before well drilling, and so it's, like,
24	usually for wells that aren't yet producing. So but
25	in this case, these are wells that are already
	Page 47

1	producing and already created, and then now they're
2	seeking pooling orders? Does that gum up the works at
3	all or?
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, I can ask
5	Mr. Bruce to elaborate, but it's my understanding that
6	you can drill the well before you get a compulsory
7	pooling order. But you can't produce from the well
8	before you get a compulsory pooling order. And so
9	there might be a problem here.
LO	MR. BRUCE: Yes. I understand that,
11	Mr. Examiner. They did have the right well, let's
L2	take a step back. If you look at the prior filings,
13	the I think the well proposal letters were sent out
14	to MRC oh, in March of 2021. And MRC has a
15	relatively small interest in quarter-quarter section,
16	and MRC just hasn't to this point no evil intent,
17	but they haven't signed a JOA. Everybody else is
18	under the unit agreement for the South Bell Lake Unit.
19	And furthermore, because of that,
20	Kaiser-Francis owns or controls an interest in every
21	quarter-quarter section in the well unit and,
22	therefore, had the right to drill the wells first of
23	all. And yeah, wells can be drilled I mean,
24	pooling can occur under the statute before, during or
25	after a well is drilled.

1	And as you said, Mr. Brancard, the
2	problem was they've been filing their documents, and
3	there's been a little sticking point with the BLM,
4	which they have tried to file their paperwork.
5	They've submitted C-104s, but they haven't been
6	approved by the Division. And so they have been
7	making various filings, but the certain one-off ones,
8	because of awaiting the well completion report
9	approval from the BLM, have not been approved by the
10	Division. And so I guess we're at the mercy of the
11	OCD here.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, okay.
13	MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. Oh, go ahead,
14	Bill.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: I guess,
16	Mr. Rose-Coss, did you have any further comments on
17	this?
18	MR. ROSE-COSS: Well, is it so my
19	understanding then, there was only one party that
20	needed to be pooled.
21	MR. BRUCE: Correct.
22	MR. ROSE-COSS: Well, okay. So we've
23	and but is it standard practice, "Okay. Well,
24	we've applied for permission, and we're waiting on it.
25	But we haven't gotten it yet, so we're just going to

1	continue with our plan before we receive permission to
2	enact it"? Or is it typically practice to wait until
3	everything's checked?
4	MR. BRUCE: I cannot answer that
5	question. I did randomly check a number of other well
6	files for Bell Lake South nearby Bell Lake South
7	well units, and there was not any problem indicated in
8	those filings. All the filings appear to be timely
9	made, and production has been ongoing for a couple of
10	years now. But I do not know Kaiser-Francis
11	procedures, and I can certainly find out if you so
12	desire.
13	MR. ROSE-COSS: It's okay. I'll pass
14	the microphone to Mr. Brancard.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, thank you,
16	everyone. I think what we will do now is since
17	Kaiser-Francis has provided us with the information
18	that we requested, we will take this case under
19	advisement. And then the OCD will go off and scratch
20	its head and try to figure out what to do with it. I
21	don't know if this is an impediment to compulsory
22	pooling, or whether this is a violation issue.
23	But that may be for others to figure
24	out. But for now, I think, in terms of the record for
25	the compulsory pooling, we have what we need, and we

1	can move forward. So with that, cases 23211 and 23212
2	will be taken under advisement, and the supplemental
3	exhibits will be admitted into the record. Thank you.
4	(Supplemental Exhibits were received
5	into evidence.)
6	MR. BRUCE: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. With that,
8	we are on items 11 and 12. These are cases 22745,
9	22746, Matador Production Company.
10	MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
11	Examination and Mr. Rose-Coss. Paula Vance with the
12	Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart on behalf of the
13	applicant, Matador Production Company.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. We
15	have an entry of appearance from Marathon Oil Permian,
16	LLC.
17	MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone.
18	Deana Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.
19	And I did file withdrawals of Marathon's appearance in
20	these two cases, but they may not just have appeared
21	in the case files yet. But I have filed those, and
22	Marathon is withdrawing its appearance from these two
23	cases.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: I do see that in
25	the record. Thank you.

1	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Are there any
3	other interested persons then for cases 22745 and
4	22746? Seeing none, Matador to proceed.
5	MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
6	Examiner. So in case numbers 22745 and 22746, Matador
7	seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interest in the
8	Bone Spring Formation. And the pool is Gem-Bone
9	Spring, and the pool code is 27220. And that's
LO	underlying acreage that is in Township 20 South, Range
L1	33 East, Lee County, New Mexico.
L2	In case 22745, Matador is seeking to
L3	pool and create a 320-acre, more or less, standard
L4	horizontal well spacing unit, comprised of the east
L5	half, east half of sections four and nine and
L6	initially dedicate this Bone Spring spacing unit to
L7	the proposed Silver Federal Com 114H and 124H wells.
L8	And then in case number 22746, Matador
L9	is seeking to create a 320 acre, more or less,
20	standard horizontal well spacing unit, comprised of
21	the west half of the east half of section four and
22	nine and initially dedicate this Bone Spring spacing
23	unit to the proposed Silver Federal Com 403H and 504H
24	wells.
25	In this case, we have provided the

1	compulsory pooling checklist, as well as affidavit of
2	landman Isaac Evans and geologist Andrew Parker, both
3	of whom have previously testified before the division,
4	and their credentials have been accepted as a matter
5	of record.
6	Mr. Evans' affidavit is Exhibit C,
7	which also includes sub-exhibits C1, C-102s; C2, a
8	land track map or land track maps; C3, a summary of
9	uncommitted working interest and unleased minerals
10	owners; C4, a list of overriding royalty interest that
11	Matador is seeking to pool; C5, a sample well proposal
12	letter and AFE, and C6, a chronology of contacts.
13	This is followed by Mr. Paker's
14	affidavit, which is Exhibit D and includes
15	sub-exhibits D1, a locator map; D2, a Bone Spring
16	sub-C structure and cross section map, and D3, a Bone
17	Spring stratigraphic cross section. In this case,
18	Mr. Parker did not observe any faulting or other
19	geological impediments to the horizontal drilling of
20	these wells.
21	Lastly is Exhibit E or lastly is
22	Exhibit E, self-affirmed statement of notice and
23	sample letters that were timely mailed on April 15,
24	2022, July 29, 2022, and November 23, 2022, and
25	Exhibit F, an affidavit of notice of publication,

1	which was timely published on April 19, 2022, July 31,
2	2022, and November 25, 2022.
3	And unless there are any questions, I
4	would ask that all exhibits and sub-exhibits be
5	admitted into the record, and that case numbers 22745
6	and 22746 be taken under advisement by the Division at
7	this time.
8	(Exhibit C through Exhibit F were
9	marked for identification.)
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
11	Mr. Rose-Coss, any questions?
12	MR. ROSE-COSS: No questions on this
13	case.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I
15	don't have any questions, and I do appreciate the
16	applicant publishing three times.
17	MS. VANCE: Really wanted to get
18	noticed on.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Are there
20	any other interested persons then for cases 22745 and
21	22746? Hearing none, these cases will be taken under
22	advisement, and the exhibits admitted into the record.
23	(Exhibit C through Exhibit F were
24	received into evidence.)
25	MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
	Page 54

1	Examiner. Thank you, Mr. Rose-Coss.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. With
3	that, we call item number 13, case 23205, Centennial
4	Resource Production.
5	MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
6	Examiner and Mr. Rose-Coss. Paula Vance with the
7	Santa Fe office of Holland & Hart on behalf of the
8	applicant, Centennial Resources Production, LLC.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I
10	believe there is a late file continuance motion in
11	this case; is that correct?
12	MS. VANCE: I wouldn't characterize it
13	as late file, because we thought that this was going
14	to be a status conference. But I did see that the
15	status conference got dropped, and so we just wanted
16	to make sure the Division knew what our intention was
17	when we came to hearing today.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Well,
19	let me check in with the other parties. I have an
20	entry from COG Operating. COG? Let's try Tap Rock
21	Operating.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. Michael
23	Rodriguez with Tap Rock Operating, LLC.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: I see a face from
25	COG appear, but I think we cannot hear you,

1	Ms. Ryan. Might be on your end, because you're not
2	shown as muted. Anyway, let me try to get the other
3	parties quickly then. Novo Oil & Gas Northern
4	Delaware?
5	MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone.
6	Deana Bennett on behalf of Novo.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Are
8	there any other persons here for case 23205? Hearing
9	none, so what is the request then from Centennial?
10	MS. VANCE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, we
11	would like to continue the case to the January 19th
12	hearing date if that date is available.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: And this is for a
14	hearing or a status conference?
15	MS. VANCE: For a hearing.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Let me go
17	around to the other parties. Tap Rock?
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No objection from Tap
19	Rock. Thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Novo?
21	MS. BENNETT: No objection from Novo.
22	Thank you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's try again
24	with COG?
25	MS. RYAN: Beth Ryan entering an
	Page 56

1	appearance for COG and no objection. Thank you.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. We
3	hear you loud and clear. Thank you, Ms. Ryan. All
4	right. With that then, so we will set a contested
5	hearing then for January 19th.
6	MS. VANCE: Perfect. And you already
7	have the continuance.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
9	MS. VANCE: Thank you.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: So case 23205
11	will be set for a contested hearing on January 19th,
12	and we will issue the appropriate order.
13	MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
14	Examiner.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. With
16	that, we are on items 14 and 15, cases 22933, 22934,
17	Tap Rock Operating.
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning again.
19	Michael Rodgriguez with Tap Rock Operating, LLC.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I
21	have an entry of appearance from EOG Resources Inc.
22	MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
23	Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe
24	office of Holland & Hart.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Does

A Veritext Company

1	EOG object to this case going forward by affidavit?
2	MR. FELDEWERT: We do not.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Are
4	there any other entries of appearance for cases 22933,
5	22934? Hearing none, Tap Rock may proceed.
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. These cases
7	seek compulsory pooling orders within the Bone Spring
8	Formation underlying certain acreage within Lee
9	County, New Mexico. And specifically, the application
LO	in case number 22933 requests an order pooling all
L1	computed interests in the Salt Lake Bone Spring pool.
L2	The pool code is 53560 within the Bone
L3	Spring Formation, underlying a 640-acre horizontal
L4	spacing unit, comprised of the east half of sections
L5	11 and 14 within Township 20 South, Range 32 East in
L6	Lee County, New Mexico. The unit will be dedicated to
L7	a series of 14 Double Stamp Fed Com wells that have
L8	been identified in the application, one of which is
L9	the Double Stamp Fed Com 116H.
20	And the completed interval for this
21	well will be within 330 feet of the quarter quarter
22	line separating the west half, east half from the east
23	half, east half of sections 11 and 14 to allow the
24	inclusion of this acreage into a standard horizontal
25	space and unit.

1	Pending the application in case number
2	22934 requests a similar order within the same pool,
3	underlying a 640-acre horizontal spacing unit,
4	comprised of the west half of sections 11 and 14, and
5	the unit will be dedicated to a series of 14 Double
6	Stamp Fed Com wells that have been identified in the
7	application, one of which is the Double Stamp Fed Com
8	115н.
9	The completed interval of this well
10	will be within 330 feet of the quarter quarter line
11	separating the west half, west half from the east
12	half, west half of sections 11 and 14 to allow the

half, west half of sections 11 and 14 to allow the inclusion of this acreage into a standard horizontal spacing unit.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

The exhibit packet submitted to the division for these cases are identical and contain the compulsory pooling checklist, Exhibits A, which are the land man's testimony and related exhibits; Exhibits B, which are the geologist's testimony and related exhibits, and Exhibit C, which is my sub-firm's statement regarding notice and includes Exhibit C1, containing the sample notice of hearing letter and tracking sheet providing the status of the parties who were notified of this hearing via certified mail, and Exhibit C2, which is the affidavit

1	of publication, demonstrating that all notice
2	requirements for these applications have been met.
3	And with that, I have set Exhibits A
4	through A5, B through B5, and C through C2 be admitted
5	into the record, and that these cases be taken under
6	advisement.
7	(Exhibits A through Exhibits C were
8	marked for identification.)
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Any
10	questions from EOG?
11	MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'm just
12	looking. If I looked, for example, Mr. Rodriguez, at
13	case 22933, and I go to Exhibit A5, which is on page
14	46 of the PDF yeah, it references that Tap Rock and
15	EOG have a letter agreement in place; is that right?
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, that's my
17	understanding.
18	MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. All right.
19	Okay. That's it, Mr. Examiner. Thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
21	Mr. Rose-Coss, any questions?
22	MR. ROSE-COSS: Well, maybe along the
23	same lines of Mr. Feldewert. So the only two parties
24	in this case are EOG and Tap Rock?
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. We notified
	Page 60

1	overrides out of an abundance of caution, but we're
2	not pooling them. We believe that the leases allow
3	for them to be pooled, but the only two working are
4	Tap Rock and EOG.
5	MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. So EOG isn't
6	being pooled. They're participating?
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: There's a letter
8	agreement. They haven't EOG my understanding is
9	EOG has not executed a JOA. The parties are still
10	working out the terms of a JOA, but they have signed a
11	letter agreement wherein EOG is fine with moving
12	forward with this hearing today. So I believe that's
13	the intention of the letter agreement is moving
14	forward with the hearing.
15	MR. ROSE-COSS: Oh, okay. And so then
16	there's if you can explain to and maybe it's not
17	my place or what you do or what the purpose of this
18	is, but all these other parties that are being pooled,
19	what does that mean for them if they're not working
20	interested. They're not putting anything into the
21	well or?
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So they're overriding
23	royalty interest owners, which is essentially a
24	royalty interest, to my understanding, is it's a
25	royalty owner carved out as a working interest, and

1	the leases that are attached to those interests have
2	been have pooling clauses in them. So that's my
3	understanding, that they don't need to compulsory
4	pooled.
5	MR. ROSE-COSS: They don't need to be
6	compulsory pooled. The financial agreement on
7	developing the acreage is already worked out with
8	those parties.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I believe so.
10	MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. Well, that's
11	interesting. Broadening my understanding here of it.
12	So those are my only questions. Everything else, kind
13	of, seems to be in order I think. Thank you.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. The
15	only thing I would note, Mr. Rodriguez, is your
16	exhibit list says in your index that you have the
17	application and proposed hearing notice, but there is
18	no proposed hearing notice attached to the
19	application.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Oh, yep.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: You submitted
22	one, because otherwise it wouldn't be in our docket.
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: It was submitted, but I
24	didn't include it here. And if you'd like, I can
25	certainly amend this and add that to the record.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think at this
2	point, this is fine. It's just helpful in reviewing
3	the case to make sure that the proposed notice is
4	correct. Otherwise, I have to go look at the docket.
5	So otherwise, good job. You must have had a good Oil
6	& Gas professor in law school.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm glad I didn't
8	misstate anything.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any further
10	persons interested in cases 22933, 22934? Hearing
11	none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record,
12	and these cases will be taken under advisement. Thank
13	you.
14	(Exhibits A through Exhibits C were
15	received into evidence.)
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: With that, we
18	call cases items 16 through 19. These are case
19	numbers 23188, 23189, 23190, 23191, COG Operating,
20	LLC.
21	MS. MCLEAN: Good morning. Jackie
22	McLean with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of COG Operating.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Are there any
24	other interested persons for cases 23188, 189, 190,
25	191? Hearing none, COG may proceed.

1	MS. MCLEAN: Thank you. In these
2	cases, COG is seeking to pool uncommitted interest in
3	two specific pools within the Bone Spring Formation,
4	and in case numbers 23188 and 23190, COG applies for
5	an order pooling all uncommitted interest in the Bone
6	Spring Formation, in the Red Hills Upper Bone Spring
7	Shale pool, pool code 97900, underlying 160-acre, more
8	or less, standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of
9	the east half, west half and west half, east half of
10	section 25, Township 25 South, Range 33 East in Lee
11	County, New Mexico.
12	And the spacing units will be dedicated
13	to the Dominator 25 Federal Com 106 and 306H wells to
14	be drilled from a surface hole location in the
15	southeast quarter, southwest quarter, unit N of
16	section 25 to a bottom hole location in the northeast
17	quarter, northwest quarter, unit C of section 25, and
18	the Dominator 25 Federal Com 104H and 304H wells to be
19	drilled from a surface hole location in the southwest
20	quarter, southeast quarter unit 0 of section 25 to a
21	bottom hole location in the northwest quarter,
22	northeast quarter unit B of section 25.
23	And then in case numbers 23189 and
24	23191, COG applies for an order pooling all
25	uncommitted interest in the Bone Spring Formation, the

	Red Hills Lower Bone Spring pool, pool code 51020,
2	underlying 160-acre, more or less, standard horizontal
3	spacing unit, comprised of the east half, west half
4	and west half, east half of section 25, Township 25
5	South, Range 33 East in Lee County, New Mexico.
6	And the units are going to be dedicated
7	to the Dominator 25 Federal Com 406H well to be
8	drilled from a surface hole location in the southeast
9	quarter, southwest quarter unit N of section 25 to a
LO	bottom hole location in the northeast quarter,
.1	northwest quarter unit C of section 25, and then the
L2	Dominator 25 Federal Com 404H well, which will be
L3	drilled from a surface hole location in the southwest
4	quarter, southeast quarter unit O of section 25 to a
L5	bottom hole location in the northwest quarter,
L6	northeast quarter unit B of section 25.
_7	And the exhibit packets that were
-8	submitted to the Division for case number 23188
_9	through 23191 contain Exhibit A, which is the land
20	professional's testimony and related land exhibits, a
21	plot of tracks, ownership interests, record title
22	interest to be pooled, a sample communitization
23	agreement and chronology of contact.
24	And then Exhibit B, geology testimony,
25	and it is combined geology testimony for all four

1	cases, which include location maps, Bone Spring and
2	second Bone Spring, sub-C structure maps, cross
3	section maps, Avalon cross sections and stratigraphic
4	cross sections.
5	And then Exhibit C, a noticed
6	testimony, which sets out when the notice letters of
7	his hearing and applications was sent to the parties
8	to be pooled and also the publication notice for this
9	hearing. And then with that, I ask that Exhibits A, B
10	and C be admitted into the record in case numbers
11	23188, 23189, 23190 and 23191, and if there aren't any
12	questions, that the cases be taken under advisement.
13	(Exhibit A, Exhibit B and Exhibit C
14	were marked for identification.)
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	Mr. Rose-Coss, questions?
17	MR. ROSE-COSS: You know, Mr. Brancard,
18	Ms. McLean, I don't have any questions.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20	MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I've
22	got a few. So you list the three parties you're
23	trying to pool here as unmarketable title. Will you
24	explain for the record what that is?
25	MS. MCLEAN: So I believe that in this
	Page 66

1	case, all of the working interest owners have executed
2	an operating agreement. And then there are no, you
3	know, overriding royalty interest owners or any
4	unleased mineral interest owners. And this is a case
5	where we need to get either the federal
6	communitization agreement signed or pool the record
7	title owners.
8	And I believe this unmarketable title
9	is the record title owners that are, you know, in the
10	books as holding record title, but we weren't able to
11	get into touch with them or get them to sign the
12	communitization agreement. I'm not exactly sure, you
13	know, and I can find out what from the client they
14	exactly mean.
15	But these are, you know, record title
16	holders that we have or at least, you know,
17	knowledge that these are people that would either need
18	to sign the communitization agreement or be pooled as
19	record title holders.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So the
21	concern I have is that two of three that are listed
22	I'm looking at page 16 of your exhibits Minerva,
23	Homebound, Strategic Energy; right?
24	MS. MCLEAN: Yes.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: If you scroll up

1	to the list of working interest owners who supposedly
2	have all signed the agreement, Minerva and Strategic
3	Energy Assets are listed in there.
4	MS. MCLEAN: Yes. And that's something
5	I'll need to get more information from the client on
6	that. That's exactly what's going on with those.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: And so on the one
8	hand, you're saying that they have executed an
9	operating agreement. On the other hand, you're saying
10	you need to pool them as record title interests.
11	MS. MCLEAN: Right. And I think that
12	they did you know, my understanding is that all the
13	working interest owners signed a JOA, but they hadn't
14	signed a communitization agreement. And in this case,
15	because we're just pooling the record title owners,
16	and the COM agreement is the only thing at issue, you
17	know, that's my understanding is that we just didn't
18	get them to sign the COM agreement. But all of the
19	people here that have working interests have signed
20	the JOA.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Yeah,
22	well, maybe we can get some clarification as to why
23	you're actually pooling these three interests. I
24	would also say, with your Exhibit A3, which lists all
25	the interest, it normally, I would have you redo

1	this. But since you're saying all the working
2	interests have agreed to this, you're giving us a
3	track map of the entire section, but you're only
4	pooling the west half of the east half and the east
5	half of the west half.
6	So these percentages that you're giving
7	us here are percentages that cover these two leases
8	and that cover the entire section, but they don't
9	relate to the percentages or the actual spacing units.
10	But again, it's all the same interest owners. It's
11	the two it's only two leases governing each of
12	these spacing units, and you're saying all the working
13	interest owners signed on. So just to let you know.
14	MS. MCLEAN: Okay.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: I've also noted,
16	the mystery of Minerva is that when you go to the
17	communitization agreement, Exhibit B, page 21, which
18	is the list of working interest owners, they don't
19	show up there. All these other parties show up there.
20	They don't show up there. So another mystery.
21	MS. MCLEAN: And I think Minerva and
22	Homebound are related. They have the same address,
23	and, you know, I think that could be part of the
24	mystery.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Could be.

1	Homebound doesn't show up anywhere, so
2	MS. MCLEAN: Yes.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Anyway
4	MS. MCLEAN: But we will get you
5	additional clarification on why Minerva and just
6	going up to the names now and Strategic show up in
7	both working interests as having signed a JOA, and
8	then the record title owners as not having signed the
9	COM agreement.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And just
11	this is, you know, the same thing I've said now,
12	several weeks now in your notice letters when
13	you're sending it out to the parties, please get our
14	addresses our electronic addresses correct, in
15	particular the e-permitting system. You still have
16	the state.nm.us.
17	It works. You can click on I mean,
18	I can click on right now from your letter, and I pop
19	into our electronic permitting system. But if you
20	then look at the top of the electronic permitting
21	system, it will give you the correct address.
22	MS. MCLEAN: And is the e-mail address
23	correct? It should be
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
25	MS. MCLEAN: OCD.hearing.en

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: It's
2	e-permitting.
3	MS. MCLEAN: Okay.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Anything
5	further, Mr. Rose-Coss?
6	MR. ROSE-COSS: No, Mr. Brancard.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: So with that, the
8	exhibits in these cases, 23188, 189, 190, 191 will be
9	admitted. These cases will be taken under advisement
LO	where we will leave the record open for clarification
L1	of these what appear to be three parties that are
L2	being pooled in this matter because they're the
L3	ones who have gotten notice. So they are the three
L4	parties and what their status is.
L 5	(Exhibit A, Exhibit B and Exhibit C
L6	were received into evidence.)
L7	MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.
L8	THE HEARING OFFICER: And again, when
L9	we draft an order, it's important if we know whether
20	it's a record title interest or working interest,
21	because a lot of the provision in an order don't apply
22	to record title interests too. So it's important for
23	us to clarify what the status is.
24	MS. MCLEAN: Yes, we will do that.
25	Thank you.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. All
2	right. So we are now on items 20 and 21. These are
3	cases 23192, 23193, Devon Energy Production Company.
4	MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
5	Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner. Darin Savage with
6	the Santa Fe office of Abadie & Schill, appearing on
7	behalf of Devon Energy Production Company.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. We
9	have an entry of appearance from Tap Rock.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, again.
11	Michael Rodriguez with Tap Rock Operating.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Are there any
13	other interested persons for cases 23192, 23193?
14	Hearing none, Devon may proceed.
15	MR. SAVAGE: Okay. We'd like to
16	proceed by affidavit if there's no objection. These
17	two cases cover lands in sections 28 and 33, Township
18	26 South, Range 35 East, Lee County, New Mexico. The
19	landman, Andrew Wenzel, for these cases has testified
20	before the Division as an expert witness, and his
21	credentials have been accepted and made a matter of
22	record. Likewise, geologist Derek Ohl and that's
23	spelled O-H-L has testified previously before the
24	Division as an expert witness, and his credentials
25	have been accepted as a matter of record.

1	In case number 23192, Devon seeks an
2	order establishing a standard 233.58 acres, more or
3	less, spacing unit covering the west half, west half
4	of section 28 and the northwest quarter, northwest
5	quarter and lot four of section 33 and pooling all
6	uncommitted interest in the Wolfcamp Formation,
7	designated as an oil pool underlying the unit.
8	The unit is dedicated to two initial
9	wells, the Arena Roja 28-33 Fed Com 701H Well, and the
LO	Arena Roja 28-33 Fed Com 801H Well. Orientation of
L1	the wells are stand up, north to south, and their
L2	locations are orthodox. Mr. Wenzel's Exhibit A for
L3	case 23192 includes his landman self-affirmed
L4	statement, C-102s, an ownership breakdown, well
L5	proposal with AFE, and the chronology of contacts.
L6	Mr. Ohl's Exhibit B for this case
L7	includes his geology self-affirmed statement along
L8	with the five standard geology exhibits showing good
L9	potential for development as described in his
20	statement. Exhibit C provides the affidavit of notice
21	for mailings and the publication notice. Notice was
22	timely mailed, and all working interest owners were
23	locatable.
24	Only four overriding royalty interest
25	owners were unlocatable as described in paragraph 13

1	of Mr. Wenzel's statement. Service of notice by
2	publication was timely. Both Mr. Wenzel and Mr. Ohl
3	affirm that the approval of this application is in the
4	best interest of conservation and protection of
5	correlative rights and prevention and waste and will
6	prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells.
7	In the next case, number 23193, Devon
8	seeks an order establishing a standard 233.54 acres,
9	more or less, spacing unit covering the east half,
10	west half of section 28 and the northeast quarter,
11	northwest quarter and lot three of section 33 and
12	pooling all uncommitted interest in the Wolfcamp
13	Formation designated as an oil pool underlying the
14	unit.
15	The unit is dedicated to two initial
16	wells, Arena Roja 28-33 Fed Com 702H Well and the
17	Arena Roja 28-33 Fed Com 802H Well. Orientation of
18	the wells are stand up, north to south, and locations
19	are orthodox. Again, Mr. Wenzel's Exhibit A for this
20	case includes his self-affirmed statement, C-102s, an
21	ownership breakdown, well proposal with AFE and the
22	chronology of contacts.
23	And Mr. Ohl's Exhibit B for this case
24	includes his self-affirmed statement along with the
25	five standard geology exhibits showing the potential

1	for development as described in this statement.
2	Exhibit C provides the updated notice for mailings and
3	the publication notice. Notice was timely mailed, and
4	all working interest owners were locatable in this
5	case.
6	And again, only four overriding royalty
7	interest owners were unlocatable as described in
8	Mr. Wenzel's self-affirmed statement. Service of
9	notice by publication was timely. Both Mr. Wenzel and
10	Mr. Ohl affirm that approval of this application is in
11	the best interest of conservation, protection of
12	correlative rights and the prevention of waste and
13	will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells.
14	Mr. Hearing Examiner, at this time, I
15	move that Exhibits A, B and C and all sub-exhibits be
16	admitted into the record for cases 23192 and 23193,
17	and that these cases be taken under advisement. And
18	I'm available for any questions you may have.
19	(Exhibit A, Exhibit B and Exhibit C
20	were marked for identification.)
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
22	Mr. Rose-Coss, any questions?
23	Well, first let me check with Tap Rock.
24	Any questions, concerns?
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No questions and no
	Page 75

1	objection. Thank you.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
3	Mr. Rose-Coss?
4	MR. ROSE-COSS: No questions, no
5	objections.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Just
7	a little bit of confusion here, Mr. Savage. I'm
8	looking at your Exhibit A2, and just in the first case
9	I think is the only problem. So Chevron is a pooled
10	party?
11	MR. SAVAGE: Chevron is a pooled party.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And if you
13	look at track one, working interests, it lists Chevron
14	as committed.
15	MR. SAVAGE: That is I believe
16	that's yeah, I looked at that last night,
17	Mr. Brancard. And as I understand this, looking at
18	this, Chevron has an interest in track one that is
19	committed, but in track two, they are designated as
20	uncommitted. And that 48.47 percent looks to me like
21	it pertains to track two. And then the recapitulation
22	in the unit working interest, that 49.52 percent is
23	the proportion within the entire unit.
24	So for whatever reason, it looks like
25	Chevron committed their interest. And it may be

1	committed under an existing JOA or an older JOA, and
2	when they proposed this new unit, perhaps Chevron did
3	not enter into a newly propose JOA but was still
4	bound. I don't know the I can't find out the exact
5	facts, but it looks to me like, based on the numbers
6	and the proportions, that this is an accurate
7	representation of what's going on in terms of
8	ownership in that unit.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, yeah.
10	Because if you look at track one working interest, it
11	says 50 percent Chevron, and it says committed. But
12	then underneath, under the cumulative thing, it says,
13	"Total committed, 50 percent. Total uncommitted, 50
14	percent."
15	MR. SAVAGE: Oh, that is a
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: So one of those
17	is wrong.
18	MR. SAVAGE: Yes, you're exactly right,
19	Mr. Brancard. That is a discrepancy.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm guessing that
21	Chevron's uncommitted in both, because that would make
22	the numbers work out. Because otherwise
23	MR. SAVAGE: Yeah.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: their total
25	percentage would be, like, 20 percent uncommitted.

1	MR. SAVAGE: I will get that revised if
2	you permit me to do that.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Yeah, so
4	you can revise and I think the problem is only in
5	the first case.
6	MR. SAVAGE: Right.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Are
8	there
9	MR. SAVAGE: Disregard my convoluted
10	analysis on that. Yeah, that was a good catch on that
11	total commitment 50 percent. Thank you.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. So if
13	there are any other interested persons then for cases
14	23192, 23193? Hearing none, the exhibits in these
15	cases will be admitted into the record. Cases 23192,
16	23193 will be taken under advisement with the
17	submission of a revised Exhibit A2 in, I believe, just
18	case 23192.
19	(Exhibit A, Exhibit B and Exhibit C
20	were received into evidence.)
21	MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. With
23	that, we are on item 22, case 23042, Mewbourne Oil
24	Company.
25	MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael
	D
	Page 78

1	Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland and
2	Hart.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Endeavor Energy
4	Resources?
5	MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning. Sharon
6	Shaheen on behalf of Endeavor Energy Resources.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
8	Anyone else here for case 23042? Hearing none, I
9	believe we have a late filed motion in this case.
10	Mr. Feldewert?
11	MR. FELDEWERT: That is correct,
12	Mr. Examiner. We filed that motion yesterday to
13	vacate the pre-hearing order, setting the matter for a
14	contested hearing today and to instead set this matter
15	for a status conference on the February 2nd docket. I
16	think the filing of exhibits and exchanging of
17	information in that process helped the parties to
18	reach a letter agreement that has some moving parts to
19	it over the next six weeks. So I think that warrants
20	a status conference on February 2nd to see where we
21	are, but we're hoping to be able to dismiss this
22	application at that status conference.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Endeavor,
24	in agreement?
25	MS. SHAHEEN: I believe that

1	Mr. Feldewert has accurately summarized the parties'
2	positions at this time.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. So
4	this would be a status conference on February 2nd?
5	MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
6	MS. SHAHEEN: Agreed.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: And I'm sorry?
8	MS. SHAHEEN: Agreed.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
10	Hearing no other parties, then this case, 23042, will
11	be set for a status conference on February 2nd. I'll
12	issue a piece of paper.
13	MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
14	MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. With
16	that, I think the next few matters might take us a
17	little while, so let me check in with our court
18	reporter. Dana, are you there?
19	THE REPORTER: I'm here.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So the
21	next few cases may take a little while. Do you want a
22	break now, or are you okay?
23	THE REPORTER: I'm okay. It's up to
24	you.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. I
	Page 80
	i age ou

1	think I am ready to roll.
2	MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, this is Dana
3	Hardy. Could we possibly take a five-minute break?
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: We sure could.
5	MS. HARDY: Thank you.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: So we will get
7	back to our remaining cases, items 23 through 30 on
8	our list, cases 23149 through 23156. We'll be back
9	here at 10 a.m.
10	(Off the record.)
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: We are back in
12	session. We have one last group of hearings. These
13	are items 23 through 30 on our docket today. The case
14	numbers are 23149, 23150, 23151, 23152, 23153, 23154,
15	23155, 23156. I will start with some entries of
16	appearance, and then I will make perhaps an
17	unsuccessful attempt to summarize where I think we are
18	in these cases. So with that, the applicant, Colgate
19	Operating, LLC.
20	MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
21	Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Colgate
22	Operating, LLC.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Doyle and
24	Margaret Hartman.
25	MR. GALLEGOS: Good morning,

1	Mr. Examiner, and may it please the examiner. This is
2	Gene Gallegos, representing Doyle and Margaret
3	Hartman.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Are
	<del>-</del>
5	there any other interested persons for cases 23149,
6	150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156? Hearing none
7	MR. JONES: Bryan Jones. I'm a
8	potential witness in these cases.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
10	Mr. Jones.
11	MR. STEWART: Excuse me, Examiner.
12	Michael Stewart with Helms Oil & Gas, an interested
13	party observer, consultant engineer on behalf of Doyle
14	and Margaret Hartman.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
16	Mr. Stewart, can you just identify again who you're
17	representing?
18	MR. STEWART: I'm just an observer,
19	consulting engineer.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
21	MR. MACHA: And Travis Macha, landman
22	for Colgate Operating.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
24	Mr. Macha. All right. So let me try to summarize
25	what I think is going on here, and then the parties

1	can tell me what they think is really going on here.
2	So we have Colgate Operating, which at some point in
3	the recent past applied for and was granted compulsory
4	pooling orders in a series of cases. Those compulsory
5	pooling orders I have gone through the record do
6	not pool any interest of the Hartmans'.
7	Colgate has come back with these cases
8	today, which are applications to pool additional
9	interests for these existing compulsory pooling
10	orders, something not terribly out of the ordinary.
11	We get these from time to time. I usually admonish
12	the land people for not picking these things up
1 2	sooner.
13	bootter.
14	But anyway, what I understand from the
14	But anyway, what I understand from the
14 15	But anyway, what I understand from the record is that Colgate is seeking to pool three record
14 15 16	But anyway, what I understand from the record is that Colgate is seeking to pool three record title owners in these seven eight cases before us.
14 15 16 17	But anyway, what I understand from the record is that Colgate is seeking to pool three record title owners in these seven eight cases before us.  One of those is Doyle Hartman. There is no
14 15 16 17	But anyway, what I understand from the record is that Colgate is seeking to pool three record title owners in these seven eight cases before us.  One of those is Doyle Hartman. There is no application at this point to pool any additional
14 15 16 17 18	But anyway, what I understand from the record is that Colgate is seeking to pool three record title owners in these seven eight cases before us.  One of those is Doyle Hartman. There is no application at this point to pool any additional working interest owners. There is obviously, from the
14 15 16 17 18 19	But anyway, what I understand from the record is that Colgate is seeking to pool three record title owners in these seven eight cases before us.  One of those is Doyle Hartman. There is no application at this point to pool any additional working interest owners. There is obviously, from the record, a dispute as to what interest the Hartmans
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But anyway, what I understand from the record is that Colgate is seeking to pool three record title owners in these seven eight cases before us.  One of those is Doyle Hartman. There is no application at this point to pool any additional working interest owners. There is obviously, from the record, a dispute as to what interest the Hartmans have in the minerals that are being pooled here.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But anyway, what I understand from the record is that Colgate is seeking to pool three record title owners in these seven eight cases before us.  One of those is Doyle Hartman. There is no application at this point to pool any additional working interest owners. There is obviously, from the record, a dispute as to what interest the Hartmans have in the minerals that are being pooled here.  However, I think all the parties agree

1 somewhere, it would need to be handled somewhere else, 2 as in, say, maybe a district court. So with that, we 3 have two pending motions. There is a motion to quash a subpoena. 4 5 There is a motion to dismiss or reject a pre-hearing 6 statement. What I understand is that pre-hearing statement was resubmitted by the Hartmans and so 8 clarified their position to a certain extent, but 9 there are still objections by Colgate as to what the Hartmans are alleging and what the Hartmans are 10 11 seeking to prove in this hearing. 12 Initially, I understood from the 13 Hartmans' pleadings that they would be in part pleased with an order from the Division that made it clear 14 15 that A, these proceedings only applied to record title 16 interests of the Hartmans. It explicitly did not 17 apply to any potential working interest of the Hartmans, and that if there was any pooling of a 18 19 working title interest at some point in the future, it 20 would have to come in a totally separate proceeding. 2.1 I don't have the slightest problem with 22 any of those conditions. Okay? But I don't know that 23 that really satisfies everyone here today, but I will 2.4 throw that out as a response to some of the early back 25 and forth between the parties. In the most recent

1	filing from the Hartmans
2	MR. ROSE-COSS: Can I interrupt you
3	real quick, Bill?
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah.
5	MR. ROSE-COSS: It sounds like somebody
6	is unmuted, and that's generating an echo. So if we
7	could request that anyone who isn't speaking to mute
8	themselves and see if that solves the problem.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm seeing,
10	Mr. Gallegos, your button lighting up here. So if you
11	could try to mute yourself, that would be great.
12	Perfect.
13	MR. ROSE-COSS: Sorry. That's it.
14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. So
15	the Hartmans' latest filing indicates that they would
16	request that the Division withdraw the prior orders
17	and continue these cases pending resolution of the
18	title dispute. I see two problems with that, that at
19	this point the prior orders are not before us.
20	If you were here for the beginning of
21	today's docket, you would know that we do have cases
22	where parties have sought to reopen old order. Three
23	of the first four cases on the docket were reopened
24	cases. Of course, all of those involved actual
25	pooling of somebody's interests that they were

1	objecting to. But we do not have a reopening case
2	filed here, so we really can't take action against the
3	prior orders in this proceeding.
4	Now staying the case, pending the title
5	dispute, is a possibility. However, I am not seeing
6	anything in the record that indicates that there is a
7	pending action to resolve the title dispute. In other
8	words, I don't see any record of a quiet title action
9	having been filed in district court anywhere, so I
LO	don't know that we can stay this pending a nonexistent
L1	other action.
L2	With that, maybe we can just, sort of,
L3	try then to see what the parties think is left, and
L4	what the parties would like to try to resolve today.
L5	We have the two pending motions. I want to see
L6	whether parties want to keep going with those. And
L7	let's start with the applicant in this case, Colgate.
L8	MS. HARDY: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. I
L9	believe your assessment of the situation is accurate.
20	Colgate is only seeking to pool the Hartmans' record
21	title interest. Hartman has not provided a basis to
22	oppose that. I don't think it's valid to oppose
23	pooling of a record title interest based on a claimed
24	working interest that is not being pooled and has not
25	been pooled. The working interest is not at issue.

1	If it turns out, after a quiet title
2	action is complete or after Mr. Hartman provides
3	additional information to Colgate that he does own a
4	working interest, Colgate would have to address that
5	interest at that time, but it's not at issue here.
6	none of the reasons that Mr. Hartman objects to the
7	application are valid. They relate to a claimed
8	working interest that's not at issue.
9	So that is the reason that we've file

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

led a motion to quash the subpoena and that we've objected to Hartman's exhibits. I think all but one, which is a letter from Colgate to Hartman regarding the development area notification. So I think that there's really no basis for Mr. Hartman to oppose the pooling of his record title interest, and that Colgate's pending motions and its applications should be granted.

We are prepared to present testimony, and we've submitted exhibits from our landman, Travis Macha, and also from our geologist, showing that Colgate has planned here an extensive development to produce two formations underlying two sections of land with 24 wells. They are far along in that development. They've submitted APDs and are planning to spud the wells beginning in early 2023.

Page 87

а

So

1	So at this point, I think there's
2	really no basis for Mr. Hartman to oppose the
3	applications, and they should be granted. But we are
4	prepared to present testimony and evidence in support
5	of the applications. Mr. Brancard, I think you're
6	muted.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, I am. Thank
8	you. I will turn to Mr. Gallegos.
9	Again, could you respond then to the
10	possibility that some of the issues, if not most of
11	the issues you have raised could be resolved in other
12	forms or later proceedings before the Division, and
13	what can we accomplish today then?
14	MR. GALLEGOS: Mr. Examiner, first of
15	all, I'd like to make my appreciation for the obvious
16	effort you have put in to familiarize yourself with
17	this case. I think there's a preliminary item here
18	that really results in shortcutting this whole
19	proceeding and should result in just simply the
20	dismissal of this application.
21	If you will indulge me with a little
22	give me an opportunity to cover a little something
23	here of the why we're here. In a earlier pleading
24	filed by Colgate basically said, "The BLM made us do
25	it," that sort of thing. "That's why we filed this,"

but with no particular references to any authority
why, until I saw Mr. Macha and I hope I'm
pronouncing the name correctly Mr. Macha's recently
filed statement, which is helpful.

2.

2.1

2.4

And let me read it from that, because this, Mr. Brancard, really sets where we are on this whole matter. I'm reading from paragraph nine of Mr. Macha's statement. He says, "Colgate is not seeking to pool any working interest or overriding royalty interest held by Hartman, as Colgate's title research has shown that Hartman does not own a working or royalty interest in the wells."

And aside from whether we agree or disagree with that, we completely agree that the Division is not the place for deciding title disputes. So let's proceed on the basis of what the applicant says and what binds it as to its position, because then earlier, at paragraph five Mr. Macha says, "In these cases, Doyle Hartman is named as an owner of a 2 percent record title interest in the federal leases at issue and has refused to sign the communitization agreement. As a result, Colgate seeks to pool his record title interest solely for the purpose of complying with the BLM's communitization requirements."

1	And for the first time, we have a
2	citation as to what supposedly those requirements are.
3	Now the requirements and let me read, and we'll
4	listen carefully. Because what the requirement says
5	and I'm reading from point 11(f) of the policy
6	statements of the BLM "A communitization agreement
7	signed by the operator and complete in all respects,
8	except for signatures of all working interest and
9	royalty owner, may be accepted as approved by the
10	authorized officer when a state order forced pooling
11	such interest in the land in question is also
12	submitted."
13	Do I need to repeat? Where there is an
14	absence of signatures of working interest in royalty
15	owners, Colgate had repeatedly, but just to be
16	clear has said that's not Hartman. Hartman is not
17	a working interest or royalty owners. And bound by
18	their representations and bound by their pleading, the
19	so-called requirement of the BLM is totally
20	inapplicable. We are here needlessly.

Colgate says it's only record title.

The policy says if you're missing working interest owner or royalty owner, then a forced pooling owner may do. So this whole matter should be dismissed. We don't need to spend time on arguing motions. We don't

2.1

22

23

24

25

1	need to spend time on title issues. Simply take			
2	Colgate at its word for what it says its position is,			
3	what it says Hartman owns or they claim it does now			
4	own. And it doesn't own working interest and royalty			
5	interest, and the communitization requirement applies			
6	to working interest and royalty interest and not to			
7	record title.			
8	So this matter should be dismissed.			
9	There should be no order, and that's the end of it.			
10	We don't need to go through exhibits or anything else			
11	By the way, we also show that there's operating			
12	agreements that cover the land, which is another			
13	reason for dismissal.			
14	But really, this preliminary matter			
15	ends the situation, and all we had to do was finally			
16	have a citation to the authority that Colgate's been			
17	relying on. And when we do, we find it's			
18	inapplicable, and that there is no reason for seeking			
19	to have an order applied to record title interest in			
20	the grounds that the BLM is making us do it. So, you			
21	know, with that, Mr. Examiner, we move dismissal.			
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.			
23	MS. HARDY: May I respond?			
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. But let me			
25	just say, I know we have these cases repeatedly where			

1	parties come in to us and ask us to issue a pooling
2	order for record title interests. And as with many
3	other items that appear before the Division, we are
4	told that, "Well, the BLM needs it." So please
5	respond to Mr. Gallegos's argument.
6	MS. HARDY: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
7	The argument is wrong. The BLM does require
8	communitization agreements, and if you don't have one,
9	you need a pooling order from the Division. He's
10	relying on a statement in their policy guidance, which
11	is true. We have cited it. But nonetheless, they
12	require a pooling order or a signed communitization
13	agreement by the record title owners.
14	That is the rule at the BLM, and I
15	think that's why the Division sees so many of these
16	applications. They're not unusual. I know that many
17	operators have filed them. I think there are some set
18	on almost every docket. I think I saw I don't know
19	maybe ten of them coming up on the January docket.
20	This is not unusual. It's a requirement of the BLM,
21	and the Division has consistently issued those pooling
22	orders of record title interest at operator's request.
23	It's their requirement. I don't really
24	know what else to offer. We can I don't know that
25	we can subpoena someone from the BLM to come testify

1	about their policy. I think Mr. Macha can address
2	that if you would like him to. But it's a
3	requirement, and that's why we're here. And Colgate's
4	24 well development should not be blocked by a 2
5	percent record title owner who is refusing to sign a
6	communitization agreement.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: So what you're
8	saying is that BLM's policy is that what their written
9	policy says really means working interest, royalty
10	owners and record title owners.
11	MS. HARDY: Right. Exactly.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: And that has bene
13	what BLM has told all of you trying to get approval of
14	BLM units.
14 15	BLM units.  MS. HARDY: Correct.
15	MS. HARDY: Correct.
15 16	MS. HARDY: Correct.  THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
15 16 17	MS. HARDY: Correct.  THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.  MR. GALLEGOS: Well, Mr. Examiner, if I
15 16 17	MS. HARDY: Correct.  THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.  MR. GALLEGOS: Well, Mr. Examiner, if I  may, don't I think matters are supposed to proceed
15 16 17 18	MS. HARDY: Correct.  THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.  MR. GALLEGOS: Well, Mr. Examiner, if I  may, don't I think matters are supposed to proceed  based on evidence, and the only evidence presented by
15 16 17 18 19	MS. HARDY: Correct.  THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.  MR. GALLEGOS: Well, Mr. Examiner, if I  may, don't I think matters are supposed to proceed  based on evidence, and the only evidence presented by  Colgate is a reference to the policy. If there's
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HARDY: Correct.  THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.  MR. GALLEGOS: Well, Mr. Examiner, if I  may, don't I think matters are supposed to proceed  based on evidence, and the only evidence presented by  Colgate is a reference to the policy. If there's  something else, just Counsel saying, "Oh, it's so.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HARDY: Correct.  THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.  MR. GALLEGOS: Well, Mr. Examiner, if I  may, don't I think matters are supposed to proceed  based on evidence, and the only evidence presented by  Colgate is a reference to the policy. If there's  something else, just Counsel saying, "Oh, it's so.  They require it," well, you know, come forward with
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HARDY: Correct.  THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.  MR. GALLEGOS: Well, Mr. Examiner, if I  may, don't I think matters are supposed to proceed  based on evidence, and the only evidence presented by  Colgate is a reference to the policy. If there's  something else, just Counsel saying, "Oh, it's so.  They require it," well, you know, come forward with  the evidence. We can't just go on the basis, "Oh,

1 be Mr. Macha's direct testimony under oath. 2 MR. GALLEGOS: Well, that would be 3 obviously purely hearsay. If there's something that the BLM is requiring, then that's for the BLM to 4 5 provide or have something in writing, not something anymore -- not anymore than counsel statements, which 6 are not evidence. And Mr. Macha saying what BLM 8 requirements are is obviously double hearsay. 9 So we've got the policy. It's cited in the footnote of Mr. Macha's testimony. He cites to 10 11 the policy. He doesn't cite to a letter. He doesn't 12 cite to anything else. He cites to the policy. We 13 went to the policy, and that's what the policy says. Now, Mr. Examiner, we have to proceed based on 14 15 documentary evidence, and that's what's shown here. 16 And so that's -- it simply does not apply. The policy 17 does not apply. All you have to do is read it, and all 18 19 you have to do is read what Colgate says the ownership 20 And they're bound by their citation. They're 2.1 bound by their characterization of the ownership, and 22 that's what the record is for. That's why you have a 23 record, and that's why you make decision makes on 2.4 evidence or admissible documents, which, you know, 25 authority regulations can be admitted, but not just on

1 some hearsay. 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, thank you. 3 So, Mr. Gallegos, your client's position is that your client objects to having their record title interests 4 5 be pooled in this proceeding; is that correct? 6 MR. GALLEGOS: That's correct. 7 title -- whatever it is -- should not be bound by an 8 order. Bear in mind, Mr. Examiner, there has been no 9 opportunity for these parties to be heard concerning the terms of these orders. The hearing was, what, in 10 11 June, and we were not given notice. And now by some 12 sort of a bootstrap proceeding, this ownership is to 13 be subject to an order on which there was on hearing 14 as far as they were concerned. 15 That alone is a due process issue, but 16 I don't think we have to get there when we can simply say, this whole idea, "Oh, we're doing this, because 17 18 the BLM requires it." And when we go to the requirement of the BLM, it says the requirement 19 20 applies to working interest and royalty interest, and Colgate says, "Oh, Hartman has no working interest and 2.1 royalty interest." That's where we are, Mr. Brancard, 22 23 and that's where we are on a record. 2.4 Now if there's anything more that's 25 admissible evidence, that would be something else.

1	But that's exactly where we find ourselves, and I
2	think adjudication by the Division not a court, but
3	still its administrative adjudication has to go
4	forward on the basis of what we have as a record.
5	That's the record that Colgate has given us
6	provided.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Sorry. I'm
8	looking at our schedule of hearings. All right.
9	Here's what I am going to do. I am going to continue
10	this hearing to January 19th. The hearing will be
11	limited to what has been stated before, which is the
12	potential pooling of three record title interests for
13	these eight order seven orders. I keep getting
14	confused.
15	I am continuing this for the sole
16	purpose to allow Colgate the opportunity to provide
17	some sort of documentary evidence from the BLM that
18	requires the pooling order for record title interests.
19	This would be helpful for this case and many others.
20	I will also at this point, for now, grant the motion
21	to quash the subpoena, because I don't think the
22	evidence that is being requested relates to the
23	particular issue here involved in this case is, which
24	is pooling of record title ownership, whatever that

25

is.

1	And so we will have a hearing then on
2	January 19th on just the pooling of the record title
3	interests, and the parties are free to update their
4	pre-hearing statements. We will not be discussing
5	title issues. We will not be discussing joint
6	operating agreements, because as I understand, those
7	don't really apply to record title interests.
8	And so that will be the sole purpose of
9	the hearing on January 19th, but I do think that
10	Mr. Gallegos raises a good point, one that perhaps I
11	should have tried to clarify a long time ago in all
12	these hearings on record title ownership. And so with
13	that, that's the is that clear as to where we're
14	headed on this?
15	MR. GALLEGOS: Yes, Mr. Examiner.
16	Thank you.
17	MS. HARDY: Yes, Mr. Examiner. Thank
18	you. And I do have one question. So it sounds to me
19	like, effectively or you're granting or it
20	sounds to me like our motion to exclude exhibits
21	dealing with title issues and JOAs is granted.
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: You know, I'm
23	giving you all an opportunity to reshape your
24	pre-hearing statements in accordance with what I think
25	the scope of the hearing is. Okay?

1	MS. HARDY: Thank you.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: And so we may
3	have to deal with exhibits one at a time when they
4	come up at the hearing.
5	MS. HARDY: Okay. Thank you.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: So are we clear,
7	January 19th for a hearing? I can issue a pre-hearing
8	statement to clarify that. Thank you all. Appreciate
9	your efforts.
10	MS. HARDY: Thank you.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: And have a great
12	holiday season, whatever holiday you want to
13	celebrate. Thank you.
14	MS. HARDY: Thank you.
15	MR. GALLEGOS: Thank you.
16	(Whereupon, at 11:31 a.m., the
17	proceeding was concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 98

#### 1 CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER 2 I, DANA FULTON, the officer before whom the 3 foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, 4 5 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced 6 to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a 8 9 true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, 10 skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, 11 related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the 12 action in which this was taken; and, further, that I 13 am not a relative or employee of any counsel or 14 attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor 15 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of Dane Filton 16 this action. 17 DANA FULTON 18 Notary Public in and for the 19 20 State of New Mexico 21 2.2 23 2.4 2.5

#### 1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, RACHEL ROBERTS, do hereby certify that 3 this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said 5 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and 6 7 ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, 8 nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a 9 10 relative or employee of any counsel or attorney 11 employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 12 tenter was 13 14 15 RACHEL ROBERTS 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

# [& - 23153]

_	1141 50 17	104 1620260	22502 1 14
&	114h 52:17	<b>19th</b> 16:20 26:8	<b>22782</b> 1:14
<b>&amp;</b> 4:4,16 5:4	115h 59:8	56:11 57:5,11	19:18 20:3
6:10,20,22 8:4	<b>116h</b> 58:19	96:10 97:2,9	26:21
8:10,21 14:4	<b>11:31</b> 98:16	98:7	<b>22845</b> 1:13
17:11 51:12	<b>12</b> 51:8	2	<b>22933</b> 1:13
55:7 56:3	<b>120</b> 47:5	<b>2</b> 10:5,15 31:18	57:16 58:4,10
57:24 63:6	<b>1220</b> 3:8	41:5,5,11	60:13 63:10
72:6 82:12	<b>124h</b> 52:17	89:19 93:4	<b>22934</b> 1:13
0	<b>13</b> 55:3 73:25	<b>20</b> 52:10 58:15	57:16 58:5
	<b>14</b> 57:16 58:15	72:2 77:25	59:2 63:10
<b>0268</b> 3:16 4:23	58:17,23 59:4	<b>20-21</b> 12:21	<b>22947</b> 1:11
7:14	59:5,12	<b>2019</b> 34:7	45:3,17
1	<b>14-15</b> 12:3	<b>2021</b> 48:14	<b>23</b> 53:24 81:7
<b>1</b> 3:21 4:11	<b>142624</b> 30:4	<b>2022</b> 2:2 13:3	81:13
5:11,24 6:5,17	<b>15</b> 2:2 13:3	34:1 41:5	23042 1:17
7:20 10:4,14	53:23 57:16	53:24,24,24	78:23 79:8
31:17 32:18,21	<b>150</b> 82:6	54:1,2,2	80:10
40:1 42:16,18	<b>151</b> 82:6	<b>2023</b> 87:25	<b>23045</b> 1:17
44:22	<b>152</b> 82:6	<b>21</b> 69:17 72:2	15:10,20
<b>10</b> 81:9	<b>153</b> 82:6	<b>214</b> 4:5 5:5 8:5	<b>23061</b> 1:12
<b>1000</b> 6:11,23	<b>154</b> 82:6	<b>22</b> 78:23	27:3,14 39:19
<b>102s</b> 11:10 53:7	<b>155</b> 82:6	<b>22323</b> 1:16	<b>23062</b> 1:12
73:14 74:20	<b>156</b> 82:6	17:8,18 19:16	40:5,13 44:19
<b>104h</b> 64:18	<b>16</b> 63:18 67:22	<b>22539</b> 1:17	44:21
<b>104s</b> 49:5	<b>16-19</b> 12:14	14:1 15:4	<b>23149</b> 1:14
<b>1056</b> 5:17	<b>160</b> 64:7 65:2	<b>22540</b> 1:18	81:8,14 82:5
<b>106</b> 64:13	<b>1612</b> 7:9	14:1 15:4	<b>23150</b> 1:15
<b>11</b> 51:8 58:15	<b>16th</b> 14:21 15:5	<b>22701</b> 1:17	81:14
58:23 59:4,12	<b>189</b> 63:24 71:8	<b>22745</b> 1:11	<b>23151</b> 1:15
90:5	<b>19</b> 54:1 63:18	51:8 52:3,6,12	81:14
<b>11-12</b> 11:8	19.15.29.13.	54:5,20	<b>23152</b> 1:15
<b>110</b> 3:21 4:11	22:22	<b>22746</b> 1:12	81:14
5:11,24 6:5,17	<b>190</b> 63:24 71:8	51:9 52:4,6,18	<b>23153</b> 1:15
7:20	<b>191</b> 63:25 71:8	54:6,21	81:14

#### [23154 - 845]

<b>23154</b> 1:16	<b>23212</b> 1:11	<b>32</b> 58:15	<b>504h</b> 52:23
81:14	45:22 51:1	<b>32/40</b> 10:4,5,6	<b>51020</b> 65:1
<b>23155</b> 1:16	<b>233.54</b> 74:8	10:7,8,9	<b>53560</b> 58:12
81:15	<b>233.58</b> 73:2	<b>320</b> 52:13,19	<b>54/54</b> 11:9,10
<b>23156</b> 1:16	<b>24</b> 87:23 93:4	<b>325</b> 8:11	11:11,13,14,15
81:8,15	<b>25</b> 54:2 64:10	<b>33</b> 52:11 64:10	11:16,17,18,20
<b>23157</b> 1:12	64:10,13,16,17	65:5 72:17	11:22,23,24
<b>23180</b> 1:13	64:18,20,22	73:5 74:11	<b>5610304</b> 2:8
<b>23181</b> 1:14	65:4,4,7,9,11	<b>330</b> 58:21	<b>59</b> 33:23
<b>23182</b> 1:14	65:12,14,16	59:10	<b>5th</b> 25:19,23
<b>23188</b> 1:9	<b>26</b> 72:18	<b>35</b> 72:18	26:4
63:19,24 64:4	<b>26316</b> 41:3	4	6
65:18 66:11	<b>26522</b> 99:17	<b>4</b> 10:7,17 31:22	<b>6</b> 10:3,9,19
71:8	<b>26713</b> 100:14	41:13	32:2,19,21
<b>23189</b> 1:9	<b>27220</b> 52:9	<b>40</b> 4:17	36:25 40:1
63:19 64:23	<b>28</b> 9:4 72:17	<b>400</b> 7:8	41:21 42:16,18
66:11	73:4 74:10	<b>403h</b> 52:23	44:22
<b>23190</b> 1:10	<b>28-33</b> 73:9,10	<b>404h</b> 65:12	<b>60/63</b> 12:5,7,8
63:19 64:4	74:16,17	<b>406h</b> 65:7	12:9,10
66:11	<b>29</b> 21:23 22:1	<b>42/44</b> 10:14,15	<b>610</b> 4:17
<b>23191</b> 1:10	23:24 53:24	10:16,17,18,20	<b>640</b> 58:13 59:3
63:19 64:24	<b>2nd</b> 26:12	<b>46</b> 60:14	<b>66/71</b> 12:15,16
65:19 66:11	79:15,20 80:4	<b>460</b> 8:17	12:17
<b>23192</b> 1:10	80:11	<b>47/51</b> 11:4	7
72:3,13 73:1	3	<b>48.47</b> 76:20	7 10:13
73:13 75:16	<b>3</b> 10:6,16 31:20	<b>49.52</b> 76:22	<b>701h</b> 73:9
78:14,15,18	41:12	<b>4th</b> 6:11,23	701h 73:9 702h 74:16
<b>23193</b> 1:10	<b>30</b> 13:8 81:7,13	5	
72:3,13 74:7	<b>300</b> 8:17	-	<b>75/78</b> 12:22,23
75:16 78:14,16	3002526090	<b>5</b> 10:8,18 31:23 41:15	12:24
<b>23205</b> 1:9 55:3	42:5		8
56:8 57:10	<b>304h</b> 64:18	<b>50</b> 77:11,13,13 78:11	<b>801h</b> 73:10
<b>23211</b> 1:11	<b>306h</b> 64:13		<b>802h</b> 74:17
45:22 51:1	<b>31</b> 54:1	<b>500</b> 6:11,23	<b>845</b> 45:5

# [87102 - agree]

07103 4 10	4 21 12	11 60 05	75 16 70 15
<b>87102</b> 4:18	accept 31:13	add 62:25	75:16 78:15
6:12,24	accepted 31:12	additional	94:25
<b>87501</b> 2:6 3:22	53:4 72:21,25	18:23 20:5,7	admonish
4:6,12 5:6,12	90:9	22:8 23:8,15	83:11
5:25 6:6,18	access 35:24	25:25 34:24	<b>adopt</b> 30:25
7:21 8:6,12	accommodati	43:15 46:11	advised 37:3
<b>87504</b> 3:17	16:17	70:5 83:8,18	advisement
4:24 5:18 7:15	accomplish	87:3	39:23 44:21
<b>87505</b> 3:9 8:18	88:13	address 13:17	50:19 51:2
<b>88202</b> 7:10	accomplished	41:7 69:22	54:6,22 60:6
9	18:25	70:21,22 87:4	63:12 66:12
<b>9-10</b> 11:3	accord 43:8	93:1	71:9 75:17
<b>90</b> 14:20	accurate 77:6	addressed	78:16
<b>90</b> 14:20 <b>97900</b> 64:7	86:19 99:9	33:21	advising 33:13
<b>9:16</b> 2:3	100:5	addresses	34:6
	accurately 80:1	13:15 70:14,14	<b>afe</b> 53:12 73:15
a	acre 52:13,19	adjudication	74:21
<b>a.m.</b> 2:3 81:9	58:13 59:3	96:2,3	<b>afes</b> 17:24 18:9
98:16	64:7 65:2	adjust 41:23	affidavit 11:9
<b>a1</b> 12:5	acreage 52:10	administrative	11:17,24 12:10
<b>a2</b> 76:8 78:17	58:8,24 59:13	29:2,6 31:5	47:11 53:1,6
<b>a3</b> 68:24	62:7	96:3	53:14,25 58:1
<b>a5</b> 12:5 60:4,13	acres 73:2 74:8	administrator	59:25 72:16
<b>abadie</b> 4:4 5:4	<b>action</b> 34:11	29:16	73:20
8:4 14:4 72:6	36:11 43:14	admissible	<b>affirm</b> 30:25
abandon 34:18	44:14 86:2,7,8	94:24 95:25	74:3 75:10
38:24 39:1	86:11 87:2	admission 31:9	affirmed 11:23
ability 44:7	99:12,16 100:8	32:18 40:16	53:22 73:13,17
99:10 100:7	100:12	42:16 47:11	74:20,24 75:8
<b>able</b> 38:25 41:7	actions 33:6	<b>admit</b> 28:17	ago 23:22
67:10 79:21	active 21:2	admitted 39:19	46:25 97:11
absence 90:14	actual 69:9	40:22 44:20	agree 15:1
abundance	85:24	51:3 54:5,22	21:18 83:22
61:1	adam 5:22 46:4	60:4 63:11	89:13,14
	3.22 10.1	66:10 71:9	07.12,11
		00.10 /1.7	
	1	1	1

# [agreeable - attorney]

agreeable	amended 10:19	applicant 51:13	57:12
24:22 26:13	17:2 41:22	54:16 55:8	approval 24:9
agreed 18:9	<b>amount</b> 31:24	81:18 86:17	49:9 74:3
20:7 39:6 45:4	analysis 78:10	89:16	75:10 93:13
69:2 80:6,8	andrew 11:17	application	approved 47:2
agreement	53:2 72:19	18:10,14,17	49:6,9 90:9
14:16,22 26:25	andrews 8:10	20:12 21:11,12	<b>april</b> 53:23
48:18 60:15	announcements	21:17 22:13,22	54:1
61:8,11,13	13:10,19	25:7 58:9,18	aragon 29:15
62:6 65:23	answer 50:4	59:1,7 62:17	<b>area</b> 87:13
67:2,6,12,18	anymore 32:7	62:19 74:3	arena 73:9,10
68:2,9,14,16,18	94:6,6	75:10 79:22	74:16,17
69:17 70:9	anyway 47:6	83:18 87:7	arguing 24:2
79:18,24 89:22	56:2 70:3	88:20	90:25
90:6 92:13	83:14	applications	argument 92:5
93:6	<b>aol.com</b> 5:19	18:13 60:2	92:7
agreements	<b>apds</b> 87:24	66:7 83:8	<b>aside</b> 89:13
91:12 92:8	<b>api</b> 42:4	87:16 88:3,5	<b>asked</b> 46:13,16
97:6	apparently	92:16	assessment
agrees 22:18	18:24	applied 49:24	86:19
ahead 16:1	appear 34:13	83:3 84:15	assets 68:3
34:11 49:13	50:8 55:25	91:19	assigned 35:1
albuquerque	71:11 92:3	<b>applies</b> 64:4,24	assurance
4:18 6:12,24	appearance	91:5 95:20	34:22 35:5
alleging 84:10	32:6 46:2	<b>apply</b> 71:21	attached 41:5
<b>allow</b> 39:2	51:15,19,22	84:17 94:16,17	41:10,12,13,15
58:23 59:12	57:1,21 58:4	97:7	42:12 62:1,18
61:2 96:16	72:9 81:16	applying 24:17	attempt 81:17
allowable	appeared 51:20	appreciate	attempted 37:4
38:20	appearing 46:5	44:25 54:15	37:10 39:21
alternative	72:6	98:8	attempting
23:1	appears 20:20	appreciation	38:14
amalia 29:13	21:8,14 36:16	88:15	attorney 5:16
<b>amend</b> 62:25	applicable	appropriate	99:14 100:10
	35:10	17:3 23:11	

#### [audio - brancard]

audio 99:8	81:11 83:7	33:11 36:24	blocked 93:4
100:3	84:24	55:10 61:2,12	boiling 22:13
august 41:5	backend 35:15	62:9 66:25	<b>boils</b> 21:10
authority 32:17	ball's 25:13	67:8 76:15	<b>bond</b> 29:15
35:8 43:19,21	<b>bar</b> 44:1	78:17 79:9,25	bonding 29:20
89:1 91:16	<b>barren</b> 46:20	86:19 93:25	29:23 30:1,5
94:25	<b>based</b> 20:10	believes 20:14	34:22
authorization	77:5 86:23	20:18	<b>bonds</b> 34:18
29:17	93:19 94:14	<b>bell</b> 46:22,22	<b>bone</b> 11:19,21
authorized	basically 88:24	48:18 50:6,6	52:8,8,16,22
90:10	<b>basis</b> 86:21	<b>bene</b> 93:12	53:15,16 58:7
available 16:2	87:14 88:2	<b>bennett</b> 6:9,21	58:11,12 64:3
16:6 27:21	89:16 93:23	51:17,18 52:1	64:5,6,25 65:1
34:14,22,24	96:4	56:5,6,21	66:1,2
35:2,3 40:22	<b>bear</b> 95:8	<b>best</b> 21:13 74:4	<b>books</b> 67:10
56:12 75:18	<b>beginning</b> 26:2	75:11 99:9	bootstrap
avalon 66:3	85:20 87:25	100:6	95:12
<b>await</b> 19:16	<b>behalf</b> 3:2,13	<b>beth</b> 56:25	<b>bottom</b> 64:16
awaiting 49:8	4:2,8,14,20 5:2	<b>beyond</b> 83:24	64:21 65:10,15
aware 30:7	5:8,14,21 6:2,8	<b>bid</b> 32:24	<b>boulevard</b> 7:8
b	6:14,20 7:2,5	<b>bill</b> 2:4 13:6	<b>bound</b> 77:4
<b>b</b> 10:1 11:1	7:17 8:2,8,14	49:14 85:3	90:17,18 94:20
12:1,6,16,23	14:4,10 15:12	<b>binds</b> 89:17	94:21 95:7
28:23 59:19	17:12,16,21	<b>bit</b> 22:5 42:14	<b>box</b> 3:16 4:23
60:4 64:22	18:7 19:20	76:7	5:17 7:9,14
65:16,24 66:9	27:6,14 28:17	<b>blm</b> 43:13,18	brancard 2:4
66:13 69:17	40:12 45:10	46:24 47:1	13:6 15:17
71:15 73:16	46:5 51:12,18	49:3,9 88:24	21:8 24:15
74:23 75:15,19	55:7 56:6	90:6,19 91:20	26:7 47:21
78:19	63:22 72:7	92:4,7,14,20,25	49:1 50:14
<b>b1</b> 12:7	79:6 81:21	93:13,14 94:4	66:17 71:6
<b>b5</b> 12:7 60:4	82:13	94:4,7 95:18	76:17 77:19
back 33:15	believe 13:8	95:19 96:17	88:5 89:6
34:1 39:6	15:20 19:15	<b>blm's</b> 89:24	95:22
48:12 81:7,8	22:1 27:13	93:8	

#### [break - check]

	I		I
<b>break</b> 80:22	<b>c1</b> 11:10 12:9	51:21 52:6,12	<b>cast</b> 24:16
81:3	53:7 59:22	52:18,25 53:17	<b>catch</b> 78:10
breakdown	<b>c2</b> 11:11 12:10	54:5,13 55:3	category 20:21
73:14 74:21	53:7 59:25	55:11 56:8,11	21:1 22:6
briefly 29:11	60:4	57:10 58:1,10	caution 61:1
31:6 32:8	<b>c3</b> 11:12 53:8	59:1 60:13,24	<b>cavin</b> 4:16
bringing 33:3	<b>c4</b> 11:14 53:10	63:3,18 64:4	17:11
broadening	<b>c5</b> 11:15 53:11	64:23 65:18	celebrate 98:13
62:11	<b>c6</b> 11:16 53:12	66:10 67:1,4	centennial 6:14
<b>bruce</b> 5:15,16	calculation	68:14 73:1,13	55:3,8 56:9
45:24,24 46:8	10:8,18,20	73:16 74:7,20	center 4:17
46:9,12 48:5	31:24 41:14,22	74:23 75:5	certain 20:12
48:10 49:21	<b>call</b> 55:3 63:18	76:8 78:5,18	49:7 58:8 84:8
50:4 51:6	<b>called</b> 1:6 28:10	78:23 79:8,9	certainly 47:10
bryan 8:22	30:22 90:19	80:10 81:13	50:11 62:25
82:7	carefully 90:4	86:1,4,17	certificate 99:1
<b>building</b> 8:17	carved 61:25	88:17 96:19,23	100:1
bustamante	case 1:9 15:10	cases 13:7,23	certified 10:7
29:13	15:20 16:22	14:1 15:4 24:1	10:17 31:22
<b>button</b> 85:10	17:8,18 18:22	27:2 45:4,21	41:8,12 59:25
c	18:22 19:16,18	51:1,8,20,23	certify 99:3
<b>c</b> 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	20:3 21:5	52:3 54:20,21	100:2
7:1,8 8:1 11:9	22:11,19 26:21	57:16 58:4,6	chance 23:9
11:10,19 12:8	27:3,4,13,14	59:16 60:5	change 33:6
12:17,24 13:1	28:15 30:3,9	63:10,12,18,24	36:17,21 37:3
28:23 30:19	30:16,21 31:3	64:2 66:1,12	changed 13:16
49:5 53:6,7,16	31:10,14,16,19	71:8,9 72:3,13	changes 29:14
54:8,23 59:20	32:1,3,7,15	72:17,19 75:16	characterizati
60:4,7 63:14	33:3 38:8	75:17 78:13,15	94:21
64:17 65:11	39:18,22,25	78:15 80:21	characterize
66:2,5,10,13	40:5,10,13,16	81:7,8,18 82:5	55:12
71:15 73:14,20	40:19,25 41:6	82:8 83:4,7,16	<b>check</b> 14:21
74:20 75:2,15	41:23 44:19,20	85:17,21,23,24	44:12 50:5
75:19 78:19	45:2,5,17	89:19 91:25	55:19 75:23
13.17 10.17	47:25 50:18		80:17

# [checked - compulsory]

checked 50:3	clauses 62:2	colgate's 18:12	69:17 89:21,24
checklist 13:11	cleaned 24:3	87:16 89:10	90:6 91:5 92:8
13:14 53:1	clear 57:3	91:16 93:3	92:12 93:6
59:17	84:14 90:16	college 7:8	company 3:13
<b>chevron</b> 76:9	97:13 98:6	com 52:17,23	5:14,21 6:2 8:2
76:11,13,18,25	clears 43:24	58:17,19 59:6	14:7,10 15:10
77:2,11	click 70:17,18	59:7 64:13,18	15:12,13,15
chevron's	client 19:5	65:7,12 68:16	34:7 40:6
77:21	21:22 46:16	68:18 70:9	45:23 46:2
chose 38:19	67:13 68:5	73:9,10 74:16	51:9,13 72:3,7
christmas	95:4	74:17	78:24
25:18	client's 95:3	combined	
	closed 23:7,10	12:16 65:25	<b>complete</b> 87:2 90:7
<b>chronology</b> 11:16 53:12	closed 23:7,10 closure 24:19	come 22:25	completed
65:23 73:15	code 52:9 58:12		58:20 59:9
74:22	64:7 65:1	24:8 39:2,5 83:7 84:20	
citation 90:2			completely 89:14
	<b>coffee</b> 15:13	92:1,25 93:22 98:4	
91:16 94:20	cog 7:5 55:20		completion
cite 94:11,12	55:20,25 56:24	comes 39:23	47:1 49:8
<b>cited</b> 92:11	57:1 63:19,22	47:23	compliance
94:9	63:25 64:2,4	<b>coming</b> 24:1	20:17,22,22
cites 94:10,12	64:24	92:19	21:4 22:3
civil 10:8,18,19	<b>colgate</b> 4:20	comment 45:18	27:16 29:2,6
31:23,25 32:16	8:23 17:13,16	comments	29:25 31:5,16
41:13,22,23	17:25 18:1,7	49:16	32:12 33:23
<b>claim</b> 91:3	18:18 81:18,21	commission	39:6
claimed 86:23	82:22 83:2,7	23:22	complying
87:7	83:15 84:9	commitment	89:24
	86:17,20 87:3	78:11	comprised
68:22 70:5	87:4,12,21	committed	52:14,20 58:14
71:10	88:24 89:8,22	76:14,19,25	59:4 64:8 65:3
clarified 84:8	90:15,21 91:2	77:1,11,13	compulsory
clarify 18:11	93:20 94:19	communitiza	47:22 48:6,8
19:17 71:23	95:21 96:5,16	65:22 67:6,12	50:21,25 53:1
97:11 98:8		67:18 68:14	58:7 59:17

# [compulsory - covering]

(0.2 ( 02.2 4 0	40.0.74.4	05.17.06.0	24.16.25.6.22
62:3,6 83:3,4,9	40:9 74:4	85:17 96:9	34:16 35:6,23
computed	75:11	continued 45:5	36:3 38:18
58:11	considered	45:6,21	42:21,22 43:23
concern 67:21	21:19 23:7	continuing	44:4 47:19,20
concerned	considering 1:8	96:15	49:13,16,18,22
95:14	consistently	controls 48:20	50:13 51:11
concerning	92:21	convert 37:13	54:11,12 55:1
95:9	consultant	38:15 39:13	55:6 60:21,22
concerns 47:16	82:13	convoluted	61:5,15 62:5
75:24	consulting	78:9	62:10 66:16,17
concluded	82:19	cooperation	71:5,6 75:22
98:17	<b>cont'd</b> 4:1 5:1	19:11	76:3,4 85:2,5
conditions	6:1 7:1 8:1	<b>copies</b> 46:17	85:13
14:17,19 84:22	11:1 12:1	correct 14:15	counsel 10:10
conducted	contact 33:14	15:23 16:10	10:21 11:5,25
20:15	37:10,19 38:14	18:25 19:1,2	12:11,18,25
conference	65:23	28:6 29:20,21	93:21 94:6
14:21 15:4	contacts 11:16	30:20 33:14,25	99:10,13 100:7
26:11 27:3	53:12 73:15	37:9,21 40:7	100:10
55:14,15 56:14	74:22	42:9 44:2,9,10	<b>county</b> 52:11
79:15,20,22	contain 59:16	44:15,16 49:21	58:9,16 64:11
80:4,11	65:19	55:11 63:4	65:5 72:18
conferences	containing	70:14,21,23	<b>couple</b> 21:25
13:24 16:22	59:22	79:11 93:15	50:9
<b>confirm</b> 16:12	contested 16:1	95:5,6	course 13:6
19:8 29:25	16:2,18 57:4	correctly 27:5	33:6 85:24
36:7,9 39:12	57:11 79:14	89:3	<b>court</b> 14:6
confused 25:3	continuance	correlative	25:14 80:17
96:14	45:12 55:10	74:5 75:12	84:2 86:9 96:2
confusion 76:7	57:7	corresponden	<b>cover</b> 69:7,8
conservation	continuances	20:10 32:5	72:17 88:22
1:3,6 3:2,7	16:21	37:1 42:23,24	91:12
13:4 19:22,24	continue 35:13	<b>coss</b> 3:4,11 13:5	covering 73:3
27:7,10,16	38:8 39:24	13:19,20 15:17	74:9
28:18 29:1	50:1 56:11	32:25 33:1,18	

#### [covert - division]

<b>covert</b> 37:12,13	dealing 97:21	12:2,13,20	disagreements
create 52:13,19	dealt 23:2	22:19	23:24
created 23:6	deana 6:9,21	designated	disagrees 22:3
48:1	51:18 56:6	20:21 73:7	discovered
credentials	<b>december</b> 2:2	74:13 76:19	33:7
	13:2		
53:4 72:21,24	<b>decided</b> 34:11	designation 20:22	discrepancy 77:19
cross 11:20,22			
53:16,17 66:2	deciding 89:15	desire 50:12	<b>discussing</b> 97:4 97:5
66:3,4	decision 94:23	determine	7
cumulative	dedicate 52:16	42:24	discussion 24:7
77:12	52:22	developing	<b>dismiss</b> 79:21
curiosity 33:7	dedicated	62:7	84:5
currently 23:3	58:16 59:5	development	dismissal 19:4
42:3	64:12 65:6	73:19 75:1	19:6 88:20
d	73:8 74:15	87:13,21,24	91:13,21
<b>d</b> 9:1 11:17	<b>defer</b> 43:11,15	93:4	dismissed
13:1 53:14	delaware 4:8	<b>devon</b> 8:2 72:3	18:11 90:24
<b>d1</b> 11:18 53:15	6:20 15:15	72:7,14 73:1	91:8
<b>d2</b> 11:19 53:15	56:4	74:7	dismissing
<b>d3</b> 11:21 53:16	demonstrating	differences	18:17
dana 2:7 3:14	60:1	16:4	dispute 21:9
4:21 14:9	department 1:2	different 22:5	22:10,12,20
15:11 17:15	3:6 29:1	23:6 29:12	83:20,24 85:18
80:18 81:2,21	depending	digital 99:8	86:5,7
99:2,18	24:15	100:3	disputes 89:15
darin 4:3 5:3	depends 35:2	dillard 4:14	disregard 78:9
8:3 14:3 19:19	deposition 99:1	17:9,12,21,23	district 84:2
72:5	<b>derek</b> 72:22	18:2,8,15,23	86:9
database 20:20	describe 29:11	<b>dire</b> 9:3 28:19	division 1:3,7
date 2:2 16:9	described 23:5	<b>direct</b> 30:22,23	3:2,7 13:4
16:18 56:12,12	23:13 73:19,25	94:1	18:16 19:22,25
day 14:20	75:1,7	disagree 22:4	21:22 22:16,18
de 8:11	describing 23:5	89:14	22:25 23:4,17
deal 17:19,20	description	disagreement	25:15 27:7,10
39:5 98:3	10:2,12 11:2,7	21:9,22	27:12,16 28:18

#### [division - entry]

	I	I	
29:1 31:25	<b>double</b> 58:17	37:2,11,14,16	<b>embody</b> 26:25
32:9,13,15,17	58:19 59:5,7	37:23,25 41:2	embodying
33:17 34:11,17	94:8	41:6 44:1	26:17
34:22 39:15,24	downward	53:21,22 70:15	emnrd.nm.gov.
40:9,14,25	41:23	70:22 71:2	13:18
41:7,18,19	<b>doyle</b> 8:14	earlier 88:23	employed
42:6 47:8 49:6	81:23 82:2,13	89:18	99:11,14 100:8
49:10 53:3	83:17 89:19	early 84:24	100:11
54:6 55:16	<b>dozens</b> 24:1,1	87:25	employee 13:16
59:16 65:18	<b>draft</b> 71:19	east 7:8 52:11	99:13 100:10
72:20,24 84:14	<b>drill</b> 48:6,22	52:14,15,21	enact 50:2
85:16 88:12	drilled 47:5	58:14,15,22,22	endeavor 8:8
89:15 92:3,9	48:23,25 64:14	58:23 59:11	79:3,6,23
92:15,21 96:2	64:19 65:8,13	64:9,9,10 65:3	<b>ends</b> 91:15
division's 23:14	drilling 47:23	65:4,5 69:4,4	<b>energy</b> 1:2 3:6
25:9,14 31:4	53:19 74:6	72:18 74:9	8:2,8 28:25
39:2 40:17	75:13	<b>echo</b> 85:6	67:23 68:3
<b>docket</b> 16:2,5,6	<b>drive</b> 3:8 8:17	effectively	72:3,7 79:3,6
62:22 63:4	dropped 55:15	97:19	enforcement
79:15 81:13	<b>due</b> 23:14	<b>effort</b> 36:17	27:1
85:21,23 92:18	95:15	39:21 88:16	engineer 82:13
92:19	<b>duly</b> 28:10 99:5	efforts 98:9	82:19
docketing 10:6	<b>dylan</b> 3:4 13:5	<b>eight</b> 45:2	<b>ensure</b> 35:12
10:16 31:21	15:17	83:16 96:13	ensuring 35:21
41:11	dylanh.rose	<b>either</b> 19:4,6	<b>enter</b> 18:16
documentary	3:11	21:3 45:14	32:6 77:3
94:15 96:17	e	47:3 67:5,17	entering 56:25
documents	e 3:1,1 4:1,1 5:1	elaborate 46:11	<b>entire</b> 69:3,8
46:23 49:2	5:1 6:1,1 7:1,1	48:5	76:23
94:24	8:1,1 9:1 10:1	elected 18:2	entries 58:4
<b>doing</b> 22:4 47:4	10:9 11:1,23	electronic	81:15
95:17	12:1 13:1,1,15	70:14,19,20	<b>entry</b> 46:1
dominator	20:10 32:2,5	elizabeth 4:14	51:15 55:20
64:13,18 65:7	33:16,24 34:4	7:6 17:8	57:21 72:9
65:12	34:5 36:22,25		
	34.3 30.22,23		

#### [eog - expert]

T 0 7 17	07.07.05.17.00		
<b>eog</b> 5:8 7:17	95:25 96:17,22	exchanging	71:15,15,15
45:3,10 57:21	<b>evil</b> 48:16	15:25 79:16	73:12,16,20
58:1 60:10,15	exact 77:4	exclude 97:20	74:19,23 75:2
60:24 61:4,5,8	exactly 35:14	excuse 18:1	75:19,19,19
61:9,11	67:12,14 68:6	23:13 41:18	76:8 78:17,19
<b>es</b> 99:4	77:18 93:11	82:11	78:19,19
<b>esquire</b> 3:3,4,5	96:1	execute 17:24	exhibits 10:10
3:14,19 4:3,9	examination	executed 18:8	10:21 11:4,4,5
4:15,21 5:3,9	51:11	61:9 67:1 68:8	11:25 12:5,7
5:15,22 6:3,9	examined	exhaust 36:3	12:11,15,18,22
6:15,21 7:3,6	28:12	<b>exhibit</b> 10:4,5,6	12:23,25 27:12
7:12,18 8:3,9	examiner 13:5	10:7,8,9,14,15	27:24 28:1
8:15	13:6 14:3,3,8	10:16,17,18,19	30:8,11 31:7
essentially	15:24 16:25	11:9,10,11,12	31:15,16 32:8
61:23	17:11,15,22,23	11:14,15,16,17	32:18,24 36:16
establishing	19:24 20:5	11:18,19,21,23	39:19 40:19
73:2 74:8	22:18 27:9,9	11:24 12:4,6,8	41:1 42:16
<b>evans</b> 11:9 53:2	31:8 45:9,24	12:9,10,15,16	44:20 47:12
53:6	46:4 47:17	12:17,22,23,24	51:3,4 53:7,15
<b>evd</b> 10:2,12	48:11 51:6	31:17,18,20,20	54:4,4,22
11:2,7 12:2,13	52:6 55:1,6	31:21,23 32:2	59:17,18,19,20
12:20	56:10 57:14,23	32:21,21 36:25	60:3,7,7 63:11
<b>event</b> 16:3	60:11,19 72:5	36:25 37:14	63:14,14 65:20
everybody	72:5 75:14	40:1,1,22 41:5	66:9 67:22
33:21 48:17	78:25 79:12	41:9,11,12,13	71:8 73:18
everything's	81:2,20 82:1,1	41:15,21 42:8	74:25 75:15,15
13:16 50:3	82:11 86:18	42:18,18 44:22	78:14 79:16
evidence 27:20	88:14 91:21	44:22 53:6,14	87:11,19 91:10
28:1 39:8,10	92:6 93:17	53:21,22,25	97:20 98:3
40:2 44:23	94:14 95:8	54:8,8,23,23	existing 30:1
51:5 54:24	97:15,17	59:15,20,22,25	77:1 83:9
63:15 71:16	examiners 28:3	60:13 62:16	<b>expert</b> 28:17
78:20 88:4	32:20	65:17,19,24	31:4,10,12
93:19,19,23,25	example 14:20	66:5,13,13,13	40:17 72:20,24
94:7,15,24	60:12	68:24 69:17	

# [explain - forward]

explain 42:13	<b>fed</b> 58:17,19	<b>filing</b> 49:2	<b>fit</b> 25:16
61:16 66:24	59:6,7 73:9,10	79:16 85:1,15	<b>five</b> 19:16,18
explanation	74:16,17	<b>filings</b> 46:19	23:21 73:18
25:15	federal 34:24	47:7 48:12	74:25 81:3
explicitly 84:16	43:12,19,20	49:7 50:8,8	89:18
extensive 87:21	52:17,23 64:13	<b>fill</b> 25:16	<b>flaring</b> 33:12
extent 84:8	64:18 65:7,12	finalize 14:17	33:19 34:3
f	67:5 89:20	14:19	focuses 21:16
<b>f</b> 11:24 53:25	federally 46:23	finalized 14:22	focusing 20:11
54:8,23 90:5	<b>feet</b> 22:23 23:2	finally 32:2	follow 33:7
face 55:24	58:21 59:10	91:15	39:14
fact 41:19	<b>feldewert</b> 3:19	financial 34:21	followed 31:21
facts 21:9 22:9	4:9 5:9 7:18	35:4 62:6	53:13
22:12 77:5	15:16,17 16:12	financially	<b>following</b> 31:18
<b>factual</b> 22:20	17:6 45:8,9,19	99:15 100:11	<b>follows</b> 28:12
falls 20:21	57:22,23 58:2	<b>find</b> 46:15	<b>footnote</b> 94:10
25:18	60:11,18,23	50:11 67:13	<b>forced</b> 90:10,23
familiarize	78:25 79:1,10	77:4 91:17	<b>foregoing</b> 99:3
88:16	79:11 80:1,5	96:1	99:4 100:4
far 87:23 95:14	80:13	<b>fine</b> 15:2 16:24	<b>forget</b> 26:18
<b>faulting</b> 53:18	<b>figure</b> 50:20,23	26:6 61:11	<b>form</b> 21:16
<b>fe</b> 2:6 3:9,17,22	<b>file</b> 25:19 36:17	63:2	24:17,25 36:18
4:6,12,24 5:6	37:14 45:12	finished 27:2	36:21 37:4,7
5:12,18,25 6:6	46:20 49:4	<b>firm</b> 7:7 8:10	37:11 42:4
6:18 7:15,21	51:19 55:10,13	8:16 37:23	<b>formal</b> 27:23
8:6,12,18 14:4	<b>filed</b> 21:11	38:2	formation 52:8
14:9 15:18	27:12 30:7	<b>firm's</b> 59:21	58:8,13 64:3,6
45:9 46:4	37:4 45:12,14	<b>first</b> 4:17 16:6	64:25 73:6
51:12 55:7	45:15 46:24	20:2 24:4	74:13
57:23 72:6	47:1 51:21	27:13 28:3,10	formations
79:1	79:9,12 86:2,9	48:22 75:23	87:22
february 14:21	87:9 88:24,25	76:8 78:5	<b>forms</b> 88:12
15:5 16:6 26:2	89:4 92:17	85:23 88:14	<b>forth</b> 84:25
26:12 79:15,20	<b>files</b> 50:6 51:21	90:1	forward 20:9
80:4,11			21:13 23:20

#### [forward - half]

24:25 39:9	88:8,14 93:17	<b>go</b> 13:9 16:1	governing
51:1 58:1	94:2 95:3,6	20:8,9 21:13	69:11
61:12,14 93:22	97:10,15 98:15	24:25 27:19,22	<b>grant</b> 34:25
96:4	gallegos's 92:5	28:14 32:24	96:20
<b>four</b> 17:8 22:23	<b>gap</b> 25:16	34:11 49:13	granted 83:3
23:2 46:14	<b>garcia</b> 46:13	50:19 56:16	87:17 88:3
52:15,21 65:25	47:6	60:13 63:4	97:21
73:5,24 75:6	<b>gas</b> 6:20 8:21	69:16 91:10	granting 97:19
85:23	35:8 56:3 63:6	93:23 95:18	<b>great</b> 13:22
<b>francis</b> 3:8 5:14	82:12	96:3	19:10 25:1,24
45:23,25 46:25	<b>gem</b> 52:8	<b>going</b> 13:23	85:11 98:11
47:9 48:20	gene 8:15 82:2	23:25 24:2	<b>ground</b> 18:17
50:10,17	generating	25:21 33:6	grounds 91:20
<b>free</b> 97:3	85:6	37:18 43:18	<b>group</b> 14:5
<b>full</b> 20:16,23	geological	49:25 55:13	38:5 39:12
21:4,7 22:2	53:19	58:1 65:6 68:6	81:12
<b>fully</b> 20:23 21:6	geologist 12:16	70:6 77:7	guadalupe 3:21
<b>fulton</b> 2:7 99:2	12:23 53:2	82:25 83:1	4:11 5:11,24
99:18	72:22 87:20	86:16 96:9,9	6:5,17 7:20
<b>funding</b> 34:24	geologist's 12:6	<b>good</b> 14:2,8	<b>guess</b> 16:16
35:2,3	59:19	15:11,14,16	23:10 49:10,15
further 19:16	geology 65:24	17:10 19:19,23	guessing 77:20
26:23 39:21	65:25 73:17,18	27:8 33:2,2	guidance 92:10
49:16 63:9	74:25	45:8 46:3	<b>gum</b> 48:2
71:5 99:12	getting 25:3	51:10,17 55:5	h
100:9	96:13	55:22 56:5	<b>h</b> 10:1 11:1
furthermore	<b>give</b> 46:16	57:18,22 63:5	12:1 72:23
48:19	70:21 88:22	63:5,21 72:4	half 29:4 52:15
<b>future</b> 35:25	<b>given</b> 95:11	72:10 73:18	52:15,21,21
84:19	96:5	78:10 79:5	58:14,22,22,23
g	gives 25:8	81:20,25 97:10	58:23 59:4,11
<b>g</b> 13:1	giving 69:2,6	gosh 23:25	59:11,12,12
gallegos 8:15	97:23	gotten 49:25	64:9,9,9,9 65:3
8:16 81:25	<b>glad</b> 13:12 63:7	71:13	65:3,4,4 69:4,4
82:2 85:10			69:5,5 73:3,3

# [half - helpful]

<b>7</b> 4040		25.44.45.20.2	<b>5</b> 1.1.5.10
74:9,10	hartman 8:14	27:11,17 28:2	71:1,4,7,18
<b>hamm</b> 38:5	81:24 82:3,14	28:7,13 30:8	72:1,4,8,12,14
39:12	83:17 86:21	30:12 31:6,11	75:14,21 76:2
<b>hand</b> 20:14,18	87:2,6,12,14	32:23 35:25	76:6,12 77:9
28:8 68:8,9	88:2 89:10,11	36:6,15 37:6	77:16,20,24
handled 83:25	89:19 90:16,16	37:17,22 38:4	78:3,7,12,14,22
84:1	91:3 95:21	38:10 39:4,17	79:3,7,8,13,14
happened	hartman's	39:19 40:4,11	79:23 80:3,7,9
33:24 36:18	87:11	40:14,18,20	80:10,15,20,25
42:25	hartmans 83:6	42:20 43:25	81:4,6,11,23
happens 34:19	83:20 84:7,10	44:5,11,17,19	82:4,6,9,15,20
34:20 35:16	84:10,13,16,18	45:1,13,16,18	82:23 84:5,6
43:5	85:1,15 86:20	45:20,22 46:1	84:11 85:4,9
<b>happy</b> 13:4,21	<b>head</b> 50:20	46:6,10,13	85:14 88:7
<b>hard</b> 46:17	headed 97:14	47:14,19 48:4	91:22,24 93:7
<b>hardy</b> 3:14	<b>hear</b> 23:17	49:12,15 50:15	93:12,16 95:2
4:21 14:8,9	55:25 57:3	51:7,10,14,24	95:10,13 96:7
15:1,7,11,12,22	<b>heard</b> 35:7 95:9	52:2,5 54:10	96:10,10 97:1
15:23 16:10,24	<b>hearing</b> 1:5 2:1	54:14,19,21,25	97:4,9,22,24,25
17:5,15,15	2:4 12:9 13:2	55:2,5,9,17,18	98:2,4,6,7,7,11
18:5,6 19:8,12	13:13,22 14:2	55:24 56:7,8	hearings 13:3
81:2,3,5,20,21	14:11,24 15:3	56:10,12,13,14	13:11 16:2
86:18 91:23	15:9,14,19,20	56:15,16,20,23	23:23 81:12
92:6 93:11,15	16:1,7,8,11,18	57:2,5,8,10,11	96:8 97:12
93:25 97:17	16:19,20 17:1	57:13,15,20,25	hearsay 94:3,8
98:1,5,10,14	17:2,3,7,13,17	58:3,5 59:22	95:1
hardy's 16:17	17:18 18:4,20	59:24 60:9,20	<b>held</b> 89:10
harris 6:10,22	19:3,10,14,21	61:12,14 62:14	helms 8:21
<b>hart</b> 3:20 4:10	19:23 20:1,3,4	62:17,18,21	82:12
5:10,23 6:4,16	21:12,20 22:15	63:1,9,10,17,23	helped 40:17
7:19 15:18	22:17 23:16,19	63:25 66:7,9	79:17
45:10 46:5	24:2,25 25:1,2	66:15,19,21	helpful 24:5
51:12 55:7	25:11,20 26:1	67:20,25 68:7	28:2 63:2 89:4
57:24 79:2	26:3,8,10,11,16	68:21 69:15,25	96:19
	26:20,24 27:8	70:3,10,24	
	·		

#### [hereto - interested]

<b>hereto</b> 99:14	59:13 64:8	included 36:24	<b>intent</b> 48:16
100:11	65:2	41:14	intention 55:16
hills 64:6 65:1	how's 24:13	includes 32:15	61:13
hinkle 3:15	i	53:7,14 59:21	interest 11:13
4:22 7:13 14:9	_	73:13,17 74:20	11:14 18:19
17:16 63:22	idea 95:17	74:24	48:15,20 52:7
81:21	identical 59:16	inclusion 58:24	53:9,10 61:23
<b>hold</b> 46:25	identification	59:13	61:24,25 64:2
holders 67:16	32:22 42:19	incorrect 38:3	64:5,25 65:22
67:19	47:13 54:9	43:11	67:1,3,4 68:1
<b>holding</b> 67:10	60:8 66:14	index 62:16	68:13,25 69:10
hole 64:14,16	75:20	indicate 14:12	69:13,18 71:20
64:19,21 65:8	<b>identified</b> 58:18 59:6	37:23	71:20 73:6,22
65:10,13,15		indicated 50:7	73:24 74:4,12
holiday 98:12	identify 82:16	indicates 85:15	75:4,7,11
98:12	impact 24:20 24:21	86:6	76:18,22,25
holidays 13:4		indulge 88:21	77:10 83:6,19
13:21 16:14,15	impacting 21:6	information	83:20 84:17,19
25:22	impediment 50:21	15:25 30:14,23	86:21,23,24,25
holland 3:20		31:22 33:14	87:4,5,8,15
4:10 5:10,23	impediments 53:19	38:14,17 39:21	89:9,10,12,20
6:4,16 7:19	implying 21:3	41:13 43:16	89:23 90:8,11
15:18 45:10		46:11,17 50:17	90:14,17,22
46:5 51:12	<b>important</b> 71:19,22	68:5 79:17	91:4,5,6,6,19
55:7 57:24	inactive 32:12	87:3	92:22 93:9
79:1	33:13,22,22	ingram 4:16	95:20,20,21,22
homebound	34:12 38:12,22	17:11	interested
67:23 69:22	42:3,11	initial 30:14	17:18 40:13
70:1	inapplicable	36:10 73:8	44:18 45:17
<b>hope</b> 89:2	90:20 91:18	74:15	52:3 54:20
<b>hoping</b> 24:16	incident 21:2	initially 41:14	61:20 63:10,24
79:21	23:6	52:16,22 84:12	72:13 78:13
horizontal	inclined 23:17	initiated 33:9	82:5,12 99:15
52:14,20 53:19	include 62:24	intending 32:6	100:12
58:13,24 59:3	66:1		
	00.1		

# [interesting - knowledge]

interesting	95:15 96:23	january 16:5	karns 29:16
62:11	98:7	16:20 25:19,22	kaye 4:14 17:8
interests 58:11	<b>issued</b> 30:15	26:4,8 56:11	<b>keep</b> 16:16
62:1 65:21	41:4 92:21	57:5,11 92:19	86:16 96:13
68:10,19,23	<b>issues</b> 18:9,18	96:10 97:2,9	kickback 37:12
69:2 70:7	88:10,11 91:1	98:7	<b>kind</b> 21:15
71:22 76:13	97:5,21	<b>jim</b> 5:15 45:24	22:13 24:17
83:9 84:16	item 10:3,13	<b>jingle</b> 33:23	25:3,8,13,16
85:25 92:2	15:9 17:8	<b>joa</b> 48:17 61:9	33:20,21 35:7
95:4 96:12,18	19:15,18 27:3	61:10 68:13,20	35:13,16 39:15
97:3,7	40:5 45:2 55:3	70:7 77:1,1,3	62:12 83:24
interpretation	78:23 88:17	<b>joas</b> 97:21	knew 55:16
22:14,21	items 11:3,8	<b>job</b> 2:8 63:5	know 15:24
interpreting	12:3,14,21	<b>joe</b> 29:15	16:5,13 18:1
21:23 24:6,17	13:25 45:22	<b>joint</b> 97:5	21:17 24:7,15
25:15	51:8 57:16	<b>jones</b> 8:22 82:7	24:18,21 27:4
interrupt 85:2	63:18 72:2	82:7,10	33:4 35:17,20
interval 58:20	81:7,13 92:3	judgment	38:3 39:4,24
59:9	j	21:16	39:25 42:13
involved 20:9	<b>j</b> 28:23	<b>july</b> 53:24 54:1	47:3,6 50:10
20:13,14,16	<b>j.e.</b> 8:15	<b>june</b> 95:11	50:21 66:17
46:14 85:24	jackie 7:12	jurisdiction	67:3,9,13,15,16
96:23	63:21	83:23,25	68:12,17 69:13
involvement	jackson 9:3	justification	69:23 70:11
43:10	10:4,14 27:15	20:19	71:19 77:4
involving 20:12	27:15 28:4,4,8	k	84:22 85:21
<b>isaac</b> 11:9 53:2	28:9,17,21,23	k 28:23 41:2	86:10 91:21,25
<b>issue</b> 15:5	31:4,9,11,18	kaiser 5:14	92:16,18,24,24
18:15 20:11	33:3 36:7,13	45:23,25 46:25	93:22 94:24
24:7 26:17,24	40:10,16,21,23	47:9 48:20	97:22
33:10 36:8	42:13 43:11,15	50:10,17	knowledge
50:22 57:12	43:17 44:12,16	kaitly 40:8	67:17 99:9
68:16 80:12	<b>james</b> 5:16	kaitlyn 3:5	100:6
86:25 87:5,8	jamesbruc 5:19	19:24 27:9	
89:21 92:1		33:2	

# [l - mail]

1	<b>left</b> 39:20 86:13	<b>living</b> 35:10	looks 22:9,10
	letter 11:15	llc 4:2,8 5:2 6:8	22:11 24:19
1 72:23	53:12 59:23	6:14 7:2 19:20	25:17 34:1
lake 46:22,22	60:15 61:7,11	51:16,18 55:8	76:20,24 77:5
48:18 50:6,6	61:13 70:18	55:23 57:19	lose 35:8
58:11	79:18 87:12	63:20 81:19,22	<b>lot</b> 46:21 71:21
land 11:11	94:11	<b>llp</b> 3:15 4:22	73:5 74:11
12:15 43:12,20	letters 48:13	7:13	<b>loud</b> 57:3
53:8,8 59:18	53:23 66:6	locatable 73:23	<b>lower</b> 65:1
65:19,20 83:12 87:22 90:11	70:12	75:4	luck 3:5 9:4
91:12	<b>light</b> 45:11	locate 41:7	15:14 19:23,24
landman 8:23	lighting 85:10	location 2:5	21:17 22:10,16
12:22 53:2	likewise 72:22	43:12 64:14,16	22:17 24:11
72:19 73:13	limited 83:23	64:19,21 65:8	25:12,24 26:15
82:21 87:19	96:11	65:10,13,15	26:23 27:8,9
landman's 12:4	line 58:22	66:1	27:18 28:5,6
lands 72:17	59:10	locations 73:12	28:14,16,20
lastly 53:21,21	<b>lines</b> 60:23	74:18	31:3,14 33:11
late 17:24	<b>list</b> 13:7 34:19	locator 11:18	33:25 34:21
55:10,13 79:9	42:3,11 45:7	53:15	35:14 36:2,4,5
latest 85:15	53:10 62:16	<b>long</b> 29:3 34:10	36:16,20 37:9
law 5:16 7:7	66:22 68:1	97:11	37:21 38:1,6
8:10,16 21:10	69:18 81:8	<b>longer</b> 13:17	39:11,23 40:3
37:23 38:2,5	<b>listed</b> 44:13	35:10	40:7,8,15,24
39:12 63:6	67:21 68:3	look 23:9 33:14	43:9 44:10,24
laying 25:5	listen 90:4	45:11 48:12	m
lead 29:13,15	<b>lists</b> 42:9 68:24	63:4 70:20	<b>m</b> 41:2
29:16	76:13	76:13 77:10	macha 8:23
leases 61:2 62:1	literally 34:16	<b>looked</b> 60:12	82:21,21,24
69:7,11 89:20	<b>little</b> 22:4 26:17	76:16	87:20 89:2,18
leave 18:5	33:23 42:13	looking 13:24	93:1 94:7
38:16 71:10	49:3 76:7	26:12 44:6	macha's 89:3,8
lee 52:11 58:8	80:17,21 88:21	45:21 60:12	94:1,10
58:16 64:10	88:22	67:22 76:8,17	mail 10:7,9,17
65:5 72:18		96:8	20:10 31:22

#### [mail - motions]

32:2,5 33:16	marlene 3:3	member 44:1	minerva 67:22
34:4,5 36:22	marlene.salvi	mentioned	68:2 69:16,21
36:25 37:2,11	3:10	13:10	70:5
37:14,16,23,25	matador 6:2		minute 81:3
41:6,8,12 44:1		mercy 49:10 met 60:2	
, ,	16:4 51:9,13		missing 90:22
59:25 70:22 mailed 41:8	52:4,6,12,18	mewbourne	misstate 63:8
	53:11	3:13 14:6,10	modrall 6:10
53:23 73:22	matter 1:5	14:25 15:2,10	6:22
75:3	14:19,23 21:10	15:12,13,25	monies 34:25
mailings 73:21	21:10 22:21	16:24 78:23	montgomery
75:2	53:4 71:12	mexico 1:1 13:3	8:10
mails 13:15	72:21,25 79:13	52:11 58:9,16	month 23:14
33:24	79:14 89:7	64:11 65:5	months 46:25
maintain 21:2	90:24 91:8,14	72:18 99:20	47:2
<b>making</b> 49:7	matters 20:8	mia 43:4	morgan 4:15
91:20	46:21 80:16	michael 3:19	17:10,11,21,22
man's 59:18	93:18	4:9 5:9 7:3,18	19:1,2,5,7,13
map 11:18,20	mckenzie 4:5	8:21 15:17	<b>morning</b> 14:2,8
11:22 53:8,15	5:5 8:5	45:9 55:22	15:11,16 17:10
53:16 69:3	mclean 7:12	57:19,23 72:11	19:19,23 27:8
maps 11:11	63:21,22 64:1	78:25 82:12	33:2,3 44:25
53:8 66:1,2,3	66:18,20,25	michaels 8:17	45:8,14 46:3
marathon 6:8	67:24 68:4,11	microphone	51:10,17 55:5
51:15,18,22	69:14,21 70:2	50:14	55:22 56:5
marathon's	70:4,22,25	midland 38:5	57:18,22 63:21
51:19	71:3,17,24	midstream 5:2	72:4,10 79:5
march 48:14	mean 22:4	19:18,20	81:20,25
margaret 8:14	23:20 25:25	<b>mind</b> 16:17	<b>motion</b> 23:13
81:24 82:2,14	35:2 39:4	95:8	23:16,17 24:18
mark 45:3,11	48:23 61:19	mineral 1:2	24:25 25:1
marked 32:22	67:14 70:17	43:19 67:4	55:10 79:9,12
42:19 47:12	<b>means</b> 93:9	minerals 3:6	84:4,5 87:10
54:9 60:8	mediation 22:9	28:25 53:9	96:20 97:20
66:14 75:20	<b>meet</b> 16:14,14	83:21	motions 84:3
			86:15 87:16

#### [motions - number]

90:25	<b>need</b> 15:13	nicholas 29:16	nothing's 38:23
move 16:22	18:12 24:24	night 76:16	notice 10:5,6
29:17 31:4	26:5 27:23	nine 45:22	10:15,16 12:8
32:18 39:9	38:8 43:13	46:25 47:2	12:9 30:15
40:16 42:16	50:25 62:3,5	52:15,22 89:7	31:8,18,21,23
45:18 47:11	67:5,17 68:5	nm 2:6 3:9,17	33:12,16 34:1
51:1 75:15	68:10 83:25	3:22 4:6,12,18	36:10 41:4,8
91:21	84:1 90:13,25	4:24 5:6,12,18	41:10,11,15,16
<b>moving</b> 36:9	91:1,10 92:9	5:25 6:6,12,18	41:17 53:22,25
61:11,13 79:18	needed 49:20	6:24 7:10,15	59:21,22 60:1
mrc 4:8,8 5:21	needlessly	7:21 8:6,12,18	62:17,18 63:3
15:15,15 16:11	90:20	nonexistent	66:6,8 70:12
46:2,5 48:14	needs 22:24	86:10	71:13 73:20,21
48:14,16	38:7 47:8 92:4	normally 25:21	73:21 74:1
mute 85:7,11	negatively 21:5	68:25	75:2,3,3,9
muted 56:2	24:20,21	<b>north</b> 3:21 4:11	95:11
88:6	negotiating	5:11,24 6:5,17	noticed 45:12
<b>mystery</b> 69:16	14:12 15:21	7:20 73:11	54:18 66:5
69:20,24	16:8,13	74:18	notices 12:17
n	neither 99:10	northeast	12:24
	100:7	64:16,22 65:10	notification
<b>n</b> 3:1 4:1 5:1	never 36:22	65:16 74:10	87:13
6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 13:1 28:23	37:7	northern 6:20	notified 59:24
	new 1:1 13:3,11	56:3	60:25
64:15 65:9	13:15 29:22	northwest	<b>nov</b> 42:8,12
name 28:22 29:14 38:15	33:20 35:17	64:17,21 65:11	44:13
89:3	37:19,24 38:11	65:15 73:4,4	november
named 89:19	38:15 39:5	74:11	53:24 54:2
names 70:6	52:11 58:9,16	<b>nos</b> 1:9	<b>novo</b> 6:20 56:3
natural 1:2 3:6	64:11 65:5	notary 2:7	56:6,20,21
28:25	72:18 77:2	99:19	<b>number</b> 15:10
nature 24:15	99:20	<b>note</b> 37:22	17:8 19:15,18
nearby 50:6	newly 20:20,20	62:15	27:3 41:2 42:5
	77:3	<b>noted</b> 69:15	45:2 50:5
<b>necessary</b> 16:22 26:11			52:18 55:3
10.22 20.11			

# [number - okay]

FO 10 FO 1	40.24	20 4 10 20 4	05.206.5
58:10 59:1	occur 48:24	38:4,10 39:4	95:2 96:7
65:18 73:1	ocd 17:25 20:5	39:17 40:4,11	97:22 98:2,6
74:7	20:12,18,24	40:20 42:20	98:11 99:1,2
numbers 52:6	22:3,4 26:4	43:25 44:5,11	officially 37:7
54:5 63:19	30:7 35:11	44:17 45:1,16	<b>ogrid</b> 30:4 35:9
64:4,23 66:10	36:22,24 37:5	45:20 46:1,6	35:13 41:2
77:5,22 81:14	37:7,10,18	46:10 47:14,19	<b>oh</b> 23:25 48:14
<b>nw</b> 4:17 6:11	44:1 46:20	48:4 49:12,15	49:13 61:15
6:23	49:11 50:19	50:15 51:7,14	62:20 77:15
0	ocd's 35:20	51:24 52:2	93:21,23 95:17
o 13:1 28:23,23	38:21	54:10,14,19	95:21
30:19 41:2	ocd.hearing.en	55:2,9,18,24	<b>ohl</b> 72:22 74:2
64:20 65:14	70:25	56:7,13,16,20	75:10
72:23	<b>offer</b> 20:6	56:23 57:2,8	<b>ohl's</b> 12:23
oath 94:1	92:24	57:10,15,20,25	73:16 74:23
object 58:1	<b>office</b> 14:4,9	58:3 60:9,20	<b>oil</b> 1:3,6 3:2,7
objected 87:10	15:18 45:10	62:14,21 63:1	3:13 5:14 6:8
objecting 86:1	46:4 51:12	63:9,17,23	6:20 8:21 13:4
objection 56:18	55:7 57:24	66:15,19,21	14:7,10 15:10
56:21 57:1	72:6 79:1	67:20,25 68:7	15:12,13 19:21
72:16 76:1	<b>officer</b> 2:4 13:2	68:21 69:15,25	19:24 27:7,10
	13:22 14:11,24	70:3,10,24	27:15 28:18
<b>objections</b> 76:5 84:9	15:3,9,14,19	71:1,4,7,18	29:1 35:8 40:6
	16:7,11,19	72:1,8,12	40:6,7,8,12
<b>objects</b> 87:6	17:1,7,13,17	75:21 76:2,6	41:2 44:9,21
95:4	18:4,20 19:3	76:12 77:9,16	45:23 51:15,18
obligation 22:8	19:10,14,21	77:20,24 78:3	56:3 63:5 73:7
observe 53:18	20:1 21:20	78:7,12,22	74:13 78:23
<b>observer</b> 8:21	22:15 23:19	79:3,7,23 80:3	82:12
82:13,18	25:2,11,20	80:7,9,15,20,25	okay 19:7 28:7
obtaining	26:3,8,10,16,20	81:4,6,11,23	34:16 35:6,23
29:22	26:24 27:11,17	82:4,9,15,20,23	36:2 37:6,17
obvious 88:15	28:7,13 31:11	85:4,9,14 88:7	38:6 39:17
obviously	32:23 36:6,15	90:10 91:22,24	43:23 44:11
83:19 94:3,8	37:6,17,22	93:7,12,16	49:12,13,22,23
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , ,	

# [okay - paperwork]

50:13 51:7	33:8,11,13	58:10 59:2	<b>owners</b> 53:10
56:16 60:18,19	34:3,6 35:7,10	62:13 64:5,24	61:23 67:1,3,4
61:5,15 62:10	35:12,17,18	71:19,21 73:2	67:7,9 68:1,13
67:20 68:21	36:17,17,21	74:8 79:13	68:15 69:10,13
69:14 70:10	37:3,10,12,16	84:14 85:22	69:18 70:8
71:3,4 72:15	37:19,24 38:2	90:10 91:9,19	73:22,25 75:4
76:12 78:3	38:11,15,19,24	92:2,9,12 95:8	75:7 83:16,19
79:23 80:20,22	39:13,14 41:1	95:13 96:13,18	90:15,17 92:13
80:23 82:15,20	41:3 42:25,25	orders 48:2	93:10,10
84:22 97:25	43:4,7,10 44:2	58:7 83:4,5,10	ownership
98:5	90:7	85:16,19 86:3	65:21 73:14
<b>old</b> 35:21 85:22	operator's	92:22 95:10	74:21 77:8
<b>older</b> 77:1	29:17 32:17	96:13	94:19,21 95:12
<b>once</b> 44:11	37:2 41:20	ordinary 83:10	96:24 97:12
ones 49:7 71:13	42:2 44:7	orientation	owns 48:20
ongoing 21:21	92:22	73:10 74:17	91:3
50:9	operators	orphaned	p
<b>open</b> 21:2	29:22 33:22	34:17	<b>p</b> 3:1,1 4:1,1
25:17 38:16	34:2 35:21	orthodox 73:12	5:1,1 6:1,1 7:1
39:20 71:10	36:8 39:5	74:19	7:1 8:1,1 13:1
operate 34:7	92:17	outcome 99:15	41:2
operating 4:20	opportunity	100:12	<b>p.a.</b> 4:16
7:2,5 8:23	18:23 25:10	outline 25:8	<b>p.c.</b> 7:7
17:14 23:2,3,8	88:22 95:9	overrides 61:1	<b>p.o.</b> 3:16 4:23
34:9,10 44:9	96:16 97:23	overriding	5:17 7:9,14
55:20,21,23	<b>oppose</b> 86:22	11:14 53:10	pa 6:10,22
57:17,19 63:19	86:22 87:14	61:22 67:3	packet 36:25
63:22 67:2	88:2	73:24 75:6	59:15
68:9 72:11	<b>option</b> 38:24	89:9	packets 65:17
81:19,22 82:22	<b>order</b> 15:5 17:2	oversee 29:12	page 9:2 60:13
83:2 91:11	17:3 18:12,17	overview 25:8	67:22 69:17
97:6	18:21 26:17,25	<b>owner</b> 61:25	paker's 53:13
4 20 1 4	32:9 39:6 44:7	89:19 90:9,23	<b>paper</b> 80:12
operator 29:14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	paper ov.12
29:14,18 30:5	45:13 48:7,8	90:23,23 93:5	
_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	paper 80.12 paperwork 49:4

# [paragraph - point]

paragraph	<b>pass</b> 50:13	46:2,5 51:15	<b>plans</b> 16:13
73:25 89:7,18	passed 34:7	51:18	plaza 4:17
parker 11:17	<b>path</b> 23:20	permission	pleading 19:16
53:2,18	<b>paula</b> 6:3,15	49:24 50:1	21:13 39:15
part 21:23 22:1	51:11 55:6	permit 78:2	88:23 90:18
69:23 84:13	<b>pc</b> 8:16	permitting	pleadings
participate	<b>pdf</b> 60:14	70:15,19,20	14:12 25:6
18:2,9,24	penalty 10:8,18	71:2	84:13
participating	10:20 31:24,25	<b>permok</b> 40:6,7	please 28:21
61:6	32:16 41:14,22	40:12 41:1	38:3 39:25
particular	41:23	44:3,4,21	70:13 82:1
20:13 22:22	pending 59:1	<b>person</b> 16:15	92:4
70:15 89:1	84:3 85:17	32:3 34:5,6	pleased 84:13
96:23	86:4,7,10,15	37:1	<b>plot</b> 65:21
parties 13:12	87:16	persons 17:18	<b>plug</b> 33:9 34:11
14:16,18 15:24	people 23:23	20:2 39:18	34:18 38:24,25
16:13 19:17	24:2 67:17	40:13 44:19	41:18,20 42:6
22:6 24:8	68:19 83:12	45:17 52:3	42:7 43:1,2,14
55:19 56:3,17	peralta 8:11	54:20 56:8	44:6
59:24 60:23	percent 76:20	63:10,24 72:13	plugged 43:6
61:9,18 62:8	76:22 77:11,13	78:13 82:5	plugging 32:9
66:7,22 69:19	77:14,25 78:11	pertains 76:21	32:13 39:9
70:13 71:11,14	89:20 93:5	petitioner 27:7	42:10,15 43:7
79:17 80:1,10	percentage	<b>ph</b> 37:13 38:15	43:21
82:25 83:22	77:25	39:13	<b>point</b> 14:23
84:25 85:22	percentages	picking 83:12	23:18 25:14
86:13,14,16	69:6,7,9	<b>piece</b> 80:12	36:18 38:9
92:1 95:9 97:3	perfect 26:9	<b>place</b> 14:16	39:8,18 42:7
99:11,14 100:8	57:6 85:12	20:19 23:21	42:15 43:22
100:11	performative	35:21 60:15	47:5 48:16
<b>parts</b> 79:18	14:17	61:17 89:15	49:3 63:2 83:2
<b>party</b> 49:19	period 34:13	<b>plan</b> 50:1	83:18 84:19
76:10,11 82:13	38:12 39:7	planned 87:21	85:19 88:1
paseo 8:11	permian 4:8	planning 87:24	90:5 96:20
	5:21 6:8 15:15		97:10

# [points - pronunciation]

points 39:8	<b>pools</b> 64:3	present 8:20	proceeding 2:5
policy 90:5,22	<b>pop</b> 70:18	87:18 88:4	84:20 86:3
92:10 93:1,8,9	position 25:5	presentation	88:19 95:5,12
93:20 94:9,11	25:10 29:5	40:18	98:17 100:4
94:12,13,13,16	84:8 89:17	presented	proceedings
<b>pool</b> 52:8,9,13	91:2 95:3	93:19	84:15 88:12
53:11 58:11,12	positions 29:12	<b>pretty</b> 46:20	99:3,4,6,8
59:2 64:2,7,7	80:2	prevent 74:6	100:6
65:1,1 66:23	possibility	75:13	<b>process</b> 33:8,9
67:6 68:10	18:21 86:5	prevention	45:14 79:17
73:7 74:13	88:10	74:5 75:12	95:15
83:6,8,15,18	possible 8:22	previous 13:11	produce 48:7
86:20 89:9,22	possibly 16:4	previously 53:3	87:22
<b>pooled</b> 18:19	81:3	72:23	produced
49:20 61:3,6	posted 13:7	<b>prior</b> 45:21	29:17
61:18 62:4,6	posture 22:19	48:12 85:16,19	producing 32:7
65:22 66:8	potential 73:19	86:3 99:5	46:14 47:24
67:18 71:12	74:25 82:8	probably 18:11	48:1
76:9,11 83:21	84:17 96:12	problem 38:8	<b>production</b> 6:2
86:24,25 95:5	practice 49:23	38:11 48:9	6:14 8:2 27:5,6
pooling 47:23	50:2	49:2 50:7 76:9	29:15 30:4
48:2,7,8,24	<b>pre</b> 17:2,3 30:8	78:4 84:21	39:3,7 50:9
50:22,25 52:7	30:12 31:6	85:8	51:9,13 55:4,8
53:1 58:7,10	40:18 45:13	problems 85:18	72:3,7
59:17 61:2	79:13 84:5,6	procedural	professional
62:2 64:5,24	97:4,24 98:7	20:8 22:19	12:15
68:15,23 69:4	prefer 24:8	procedure 23:1	professional's
73:5 74:12	preferable	procedures	65:20
83:4,5,9 84:18	25:22	50:11	professor 63:6
85:25 86:23	preliminary	proceed 40:14	program 35:1
87:15 90:10,23	88:17 91:14	52:4 58:5	pronouncing
92:1,9,12,21	prepared 23:4	63:25 72:14,16	27:5 89:3
96:12,18,24	23:4 87:18	89:16 93:18	pronunciation
97:2	88:4 100:3	94:14	44:2

# [proof - reasons]

<b>proof</b> 37:14	provides 73:20	59:10 64:15,15	rachel 100:2,15
41:8	75:2 87:2	64:17,17,20,20	raise 28:8
<b>proper</b> 20:12	providing	64:21,22 65:9	raised 88:11
21:10	18:23 59:23	65:9,10,11,14	<b>raises</b> 97:10
proportion	proving 29:16	65:14,15,16	randomly 50:5
76:23	<b>provision</b> 22:23	73:4,5 74:10	<b>range</b> 52:10
proportions	71:21	74:11	58:15 64:10
77:6	provisions	quash 84:4	65:5 72:18
proposal 11:15	23:23 24:6	87:10 96:21	rankin 5:22
21:7 48:13	35:18 39:1	question 35:7	46:3,4 47:15
53:11 73:15	public 2:7	37:18 45:3,11	47:17
74:21	99:19	50:5 90:11	<b>reach</b> 16:14,15
propose 14:21	publication	97:18	39:11 79:18
16:4 18:16	11:24 12:10	question's	reached 38:6
77:3	53:25 60:1	47:21	<b>read</b> 89:5 90:3
<b>proposed</b> 10:19	66:8 73:21	questions 17:20	94:18,19
37:10,19 39:14	74:2 75:3,9	18:7 27:21,22	reading 89:7
41:21 52:17,23	published 54:1	31:6 32:19,25	90:5
62:17,18 63:3	publishing	33:4 36:4	ready 26:1 81:1
77:2	54:16	42:12,17 47:15	real 85:3
proposition	purchase 38:25	54:3,11,12,15	realized 41:17
20:24	purely 94:3	60:10,21 62:12	<b>really</b> 33:15
protection 74:4	purpose 1:7	66:12,16,18	54:17 83:1
75:11	61:17 89:23	75:18,22,24,25	84:23 86:2
<b>prove</b> 84:11	96:16 97:8	76:4	87:14 88:2,18
provide 23:4	pursuant 45:13	quick 85:3	89:6 91:14
25:14 30:14,23	<b>put</b> 23:21,22,22	quickly 28:17	92:23 93:9
35:19 37:13	34:18 39:6	33:15 56:3	97:7
38:13 94:5	46:18 88:16	<b>quiet</b> 86:8 87:1	reason 42:1
96:16	<b>putting</b> 61:20	r	76:24 87:9
provided 30:22	q	<b>r</b> 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	91:13,18
41:9 42:8	qualified 99:7	7:1 8:1 13:1	reasonable
46:10 50:17	quarter 48:15	28:23 30:19	24:14
52:25 86:21	48:15,21,21	41:2	reasons 87:6
96:6	58:21,21 59:10	<del>+</del> 1.2	
	30.21,21 33.10		

#### [recall - requirements]

recall 23:21	92:13,22 93:5	regularly 47:4	reported 2:7
recapitulation	93:10 94:22,23	regulations	reporter 80:18
76:21	95:4,23 96:4,5	94:25	80:19,23
receive 50:1	96:12,18,24	regulatory	reporting
received 34:2,4	97:2,7,12 99:9	35:20	29:15
34:25 40:2	100:5	reject 84:5	reports 47:1
44:1,23 51:4	recorded 99:6	<b>relate</b> 69:9 87:7	represent
54:24 63:15	recording 99:8	<b>related</b> 12:5,7	39:13
71:16 78:20	100:4	18:9 59:18,20	representation
recipient 37:1	<b>red</b> 64:6 65:1	65:20 69:22	77:7
reclaimed	<b>redo</b> 68:25	99:11 100:7	representations
22:24	reduced 99:6	relates 18:6	90:18
reclamation	reference 38:1	96:22	representing
22:8 23:9 35:3	38:5 93:20	relative 99:13	38:2 45:25
record 18:1	references	100:10	82:2,17
19:17 27:25	60:14 89:1	relatively 48:15	represents
28:2,22 30:18	reflect 41:24	relying 91:17	37:24
32:24 36:7,23	reflects 31:24	92:10	request 16:17
38:16,16 39:20	32:8	remaining 81:7	45:13 56:9
39:20 44:20	refused 89:21	remediation	85:7,16 92:22
50:24 51:3,25	refusing 93:5	20:15	requested
53:5 54:5,22	regarding 12:8	remote 2:5	32:15 50:18
60:5 62:25	22:23 59:21	reopen 85:22	96:22
63:11 65:21	87:12	reopened 18:15	requests 58:10
· ·	register 35:22	18:22 85:23	59:2
67:9,10,15,19	37:16	reopening 86:1	require 92:7,12
68:10,15 70:8	registered 32:4	repeat 90:13	93:22
71:10,20,22	32:11 34:5	repeatedly	requirement
72:22,25 75:16	37:2,5,11	90:15 91:25	90:4,19 91:5
78:15 81:10	registering	<b>reply</b> 21:15	92:20,23 93:3
83:5,15,15,20	35:17	23:15 24:24	95:19,19
84:15 86:6,8	registration	26:1,4	requirements
86:20,23 87:15	35:16,18	report 21:2	21:23 23:9
89:20,23 90:21	registrations	34:3 49:8	30:1 60:2
91:7,19 92:2	29:18		89:25 90:2,3

# [requirements - rule]

			,
94:8	37:20 41:6	48:11,22 55:18	<b>roja</b> 73:9,10
requires 14:17	84:24	57:4 60:15,18	74:16,17
46:24 95:18	responsibility	66:21 67:23	<b>role</b> 29:19
96:18	43:21	68:11 70:18	<b>roll</b> 81:1
requiring 94:4	resubmitted	72:2 77:18	<b>rose</b> 3:4 13:5
research 89:11	84:7	78:6,7,12 80:3	13:19,20 15:17
reshape 97:23	result 88:19	80:15,25 82:24	32:25 33:1,18
resolution	89:22	93:11,16 96:8	34:16 35:6,23
16:16 85:17	results 88:18	rights 74:5	36:3 38:18
resolve 14:23	resume 10:4,14	75:12	42:21,22 43:23
16:3 86:7,14	31:17	<b>rob</b> 9:3 27:15	44:4 47:19,20
resolved 18:10	retained 10:10	28:9,23 40:9	49:13,16,18,22
18:16,18 88:11	10:21 11:5,25	roberts 100:2	50:13 51:11
resource 6:14	12:11,18,25	100:15	54:11,12 55:1
55:4	returned 20:16	<b>roca</b> 27:4,6	55:6 60:21,22
resources 1:2	22:2 37:15	30:3,16,18	61:5,15 62:5
3:6 4:2,8 5:8	review 24:24	rock 7:2 55:20	62:10 66:16,17
7:17 8:8 14:1,5	25:10 27:25	55:23 56:17,19	71:5,6 75:22
15:15 28:25	30:5,11 31:9	57:17,19 58:5	76:3,4 85:2,5
45:3,10 55:8	reviewed 20:25	60:14,24 61:4	85:13
57:21 79:4,6	42:23	72:9,11 75:23	roswell 7:10
respects 90:7	reviewing 63:2	rockwood 4:2	royalty 11:14
respond 20:6	reviews 24:19	14:1,5,5,18	53:10 61:23,24
25:14 88:9	revise 78:4	rodgriguez	61:25 67:3
91:23 92:5	revised 13:13	57:19	73:24 75:6
responded	78:1,17	rodriguez 7:3	89:10,12 90:9
33:12	<b>right</b> 16:7,19	55:22,23 56:18	90:14,17,23
respondent	17:1,7 18:4	57:18 58:6	91:4,6 93:9
27:4	19:3,15 24:10	60:12,16,25	95:20,22
response 10:9	25:2,11,13	61:7,22 62:9	<b>rule</b> 20:15
20:6,25 21:15	26:3,16,19	62:15,20,23	21:11,17,24
23:5,14 24:16	27:1 28:8	63:7,16 72:10	22:14,21 23:21
24:24 25:19	34:17 38:10	72:11 75:25	23:24 24:6,17
26:4 32:3	43:6 44:5,18	roehl 6:10,22	25:16 92:14
33:16 34:4	45:2 46:6		

#### [rules - sets]

<b>rules</b> 20:12	<b>savage</b> 4:3 5:3	scott 4:15	44:14 48:2
22:1,22 32:12	8:3 14:2,3,15	17:11	52:12,19 53:11
33:20 35:11,19	15:1,8 19:19	scratch 50:19	64:2 83:15
35:24 38:21	19:20 20:4	scroll 67:25	84:11 86:20
39:2	21:21,25 23:5	season 98:12	89:9 91:18
ryan 7:6,7 56:1	23:12 24:11,14	second 16:5	seeks 52:7 73:1
56:25,25 57:3	25:4,7 26:5,6,9	66:2	74:8 89:22
S	26:14,19,22	section 11:20	sees 21:18
s 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	72:4,5,15 76:7	11:22 30:21	92:15
	76:11,15 77:15	48:15,21 52:21	<b>seize</b> 34:18
7:1 8:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1	77:18,23 78:1	53:16,17 64:10	<b>self</b> 11:23 53:22
28:23	78:6,9,21	64:16,17,20,22	73:13,17 74:20
safeguards	savage's 22:18	65:4,9,11,14,16	74:24 75:8
35:11	saw 89:2 92:18	66:3 69:3,8	<b>send</b> 17:2
sake 18:22	<b>saying</b> 68:8,9	73:4,5 74:10	sending 41:16
salt 58:11	69:1,12 93:8	74:11	70:13
salvidrez 3:3	93:21 94:7	sections 52:15	<b>sent</b> 34:1 41:8
sample 11:15	says 44:2 62:16	58:14,23 59:4	48:13 66:7
12:9 53:11,23	77:11,11,12	59:12 66:3,4	separate 84:20
59:22 65:22	89:8,17,18	72:17 87:22	separating
sanctions 32:14	90:4,21,22	see 13:12 14:22	58:22 59:11
santa 2:6 3:9	91:2,3 93:9,24	20:21 21:4,5	series 58:17
3:17,22 4:6,12	94:13,19 95:19	22:11 25:16	59:5 83:4
4:24 5:6,12,18	95:21	35:25 36:11	service 74:1
5:25 6:6,18	schedule 23:12	38:1 51:24	75:8
7:15,21 8:6,12	24:9 96:8	55:14,24 79:20	session 81:12
8:18 14:4,9	scheduling	85:8,18 86:8	<b>set</b> 15:4 16:1,8
15:18 45:9	15:5 26:17,25	86:13,15	16:20 23:12,16
46:4 51:12	schill 4:4 5:4	seeing 52:4	25:6 30:7,11
55:7 57:23	8:4 14:4 72:6	85:9 86:5	31:15,15 32:14
72:6 79:1	school 63:6	seek 34:23 42:6	40:25 57:4,11
satisfied 20:15	<b>scope</b> 20:24	42:7 58:7	60:3 79:14
22:2	21:7 25:9	seeking 31:25	80:11 92:17
satisfies 84:23	97:25	32:9,13 41:18	<b>sets</b> 66:6 89:6
01.20		41:19 42:15	

# [setting - start]

setting 22:5	signatures 90:8	<b>sooner</b> 83:13	spell 28:21
79:13	90:14	sorry 31:8 40:6	<b>spelled</b> 30:18
settlement	<b>signed</b> 48:17	42:23 80:7	41:1 72:23
14:16	61:10 67:6	85:13 96:7	spend 90:25
seven 40:5	68:2,13,14,19	sort 24:11 25:4	91:1
83:16 96:13	69:13 70:7,8	28:14 86:12	sperling 6:10
shaheen 8:9	90:7 92:12	88:25 95:12	6:22
79:5,6,25 80:6	significant	96:17	spill 20:13
80:8,14	34:13 35:4	sought 85:22	21:24
shale 64:7	38:12	<b>sounds</b> 17:19	spreadsheet
shanor 3:15	silver 52:17,23	24:5,14 85:5	46:18 47:7,11
4:22 7:13 14:9	similar 59:2	97:18,20	spring 11:19,21
17:16 63:22	simply 88:19	south 3:8 46:22	52:8,9,16,22
81:21	91:1 94:16	48:18 50:6,6	53:15,17 58:7
sharon 8:9 79:5	95:16	52:10 58:15	58:11,13 64:3
sheet 59:23	sir 45:19 80:5	64:10 65:5	64:6,6,25 65:1
short 20:21	sisk 6:10,22	72:18 73:11	66:1,2
39:7	site 23:10 24:3	74:18	<b>spud</b> 87:25
shortcutting	sites 23:1,3,7	southeast 64:15	st 8:17
88:18	25:17	64:20 65:8,14	staff 29:8
shortly 19:9	situation 86:19	southwest	stamp 58:17,19
<b>show</b> 69:19,19	91:15	64:15,19 65:9	59:6,7
69:20 70:1,6	six 27:3 79:19	65:13	<b>stand</b> 32:19
91:11	<b>skills</b> 99:10	<b>space</b> 58:25	42:17 73:11
showing 42:2	100:6	spacing 52:14	74:18
46:19 73:18	slightest 84:21	52:16,20,22	standard 31:15
74:25 87:20	slightly 13:13	58:14 59:3,14	32:14 40:25
shown 56:2	<b>small</b> 48:15	64:8,12 65:3	49:23 52:13,20
89:11 94:15	<b>sole</b> 96:15 97:8	69:9,12 73:3	58:24 59:13
<b>shows</b> 47:7	<b>solely</b> 89:23	74:9	64:8 65:2 73:2
<b>sign</b> 67:11,18	solves 85:8	speaking 85:7	73:18 74:8,25
68:18 89:21	somebody 85:5	specific 64:3	<b>start</b> 13:24
93:5	93:24	specifically	17:20 25:4
signature 99:17	somebody's	58:9	28:3 35:12
100:14	85:25		81:15 86:17

#### [starting - tell]

starting 31:17	statuses 23:6	87:19,24 90:12	sworn 27:20
<b>state</b> 1:1 28:22	statute 48:24	subpoena 84:4	28:10 40:21
34:25 90:10	<b>stay</b> 86:10	87:10 92:25	99:5
99:20	staying 86:4	96:21	<b>system</b> 35:15
state.nm.us	<b>step</b> 48:12	substance 33:5	36:23,24 37:5
3:10,11	stewart 8:21	sufficient 35:4	70:15,19,21
state.nm.us.	82:11,12,16,18	<b>suite</b> 3:21 4:11	systems 35:21
13:17 70:16	<b>stick</b> 42:10	4:17 5:11,24	t
<b>stated</b> 96:11	sticking 49:3	6:5,11,17,23	t 10:1 11:1 12:1
statement 10:6	stipulated 19:4	7:8,20	take 34:11,14
10:16 11:23	strategic 67:23	summarize	38:19 39:22
12:8 30:8,12	68:2 70:6	81:17 82:24	43:14 44:14
31:7,21 40:18	stratigraphic	summarized	48:12 50:18
41:11 53:22	11:21 53:17	80:1	80:16,21 81:3
59:21 73:14,17	66:3	summary 11:12	86:2 91:1
73:20 74:1,20	<b>street</b> 3:8 4:5	21:16 53:8	taken 36:12
74:24 75:1,8	5:5 6:11,23 8:5	supervised	44:21 51:2
84:6,7 89:4,8	structure 11:19	46:23	54:6,21 60:5
92:10 98:8	53:16 66:2	supervisor 29:7	63:12 66:12
statements	<b>sub</b> 11:19 53:7	supplemental	71:9 75:17
90:6 94:6 97:4	53:15,16 54:4	11:4 47:12	78:16 99:3,12
97:24	59:21 66:2	51:2,4	100:9
stating 21:3	75:15	<b>supply</b> 42:4,14	takes 21:15
37:8	subject 95:13	support 88:4	talking 15:24
<b>status</b> 13:24	submission	suppose 46:17	tap 7:2 55:20
14:21 15:4	78:17	47:20	55:23 56:17,18
16:21 20:16	<b>submit</b> 19:4,5	supposed 93:18	57:17,19 58:5
22:2,5 24:19	24:9	supposedly	60:14,24 61:4
26:11 27:2	submitted	68:1 90:2	72:9,11 75:23
46:7,19 47:7	17:24 19:9	sure 33:1 35:14	target 36:9
55:14,15 56:14	27:24 31:7	46:15 55:16	technical 13:5
59:23 71:14,23	36:21,22 37:7	63:3 67:12	14:3 17:23
79:15,20,22	40:25 41:21	81:4	27:9 72:5
80:4,11	49:5 59:15	surface 64:14	tell 28:11 83:1
	62:21,23 65:18	64:19 65:8,13	20.11 00.1

# [ten - title]

ten 32:10,11,13	27:17 28:13,16	<b>thing</b> 35:13	74:11 83:15
36:9,11,14,14	31:13,14 32:23	62:15 68:16	85:22 96:12
45:22 92:19	36:4,5,6,15	70:11 77:12	throw 84:24
terminated	37:17 39:17	88:25	thursday 2:2
35:9	40:3,4,11,15,20	<b>things</b> 83:12	time 2:3 14:18
terminating	40:24 42:20	think 15:25	20:6,7 23:15
44:7	43:24,25 44:17	18:10,12 22:3	26:5 34:10,13
termination	44:24 45:1,16	22:20,21 23:11	38:12 39:7
32:16	45:19 47:14	24:4,23 25:5,7	42:7 44:24
terms 24:5	50:15 51:3,6	25:9,12 27:2	54:7 75:14
35:15,20,21	51:14,25 52:1	27:18 33:15	80:2 83:11,11
50:24 61:10	52:5 54:10,14	45:4 47:3	87:5 90:1,25
77:7 95:10	54:25 55:1,2,9	48:13 50:16,24	91:1 97:11
terribly 27:23	56:7,19,22	55:25 62:13	98:3
83:10	57:1,2,3,8,9,13	63:1 68:11	timeline 14:20
testified 28:12	57:15,20,25	69:21,23 76:9	timely 50:8
53:3 72:19,23	58:3,6 60:9,19	78:4 79:16,19	53:23 54:1
testify 92:25	60:20 62:13,14	80:16 81:1,17	73:22 74:2
testifying 99:5	63:12,16 64:1	82:25 83:1,22	75:3,9
testimony 12:4	66:15,19,20	86:13,22 87:11	<b>times</b> 54:16
12:6,16 30:22	71:17,25 72:1	87:13 88:1,5	<b>title</b> 65:21
30:23 31:1	72:8 75:21	88:17 92:15,17	66:23 67:7,8,9
40:22 59:18,19	76:1,2,6 78:11	92:18 93:1,18	67:10,15,19
65:20,24,25	78:21,22 79:7	95:16 96:2,21	68:10,15 70:8
66:6 87:18	80:9,13,14	97:9,24	71:20,22 83:16
88:4 94:1,10	81:5 82:4,9,15	third 42:2 43:2	83:24 84:15,19
texas 38:5	82:20,23 85:14	43:12 44:8	85:18 86:4,7,8
<b>thank</b> 14:6,11	86:18 88:7	thought 55:13	86:21,23 87:1
14:24 15:6,7,8	91:22 92:6	three 15:10	87:15 89:10,15
17:3,5,6,23	95:2 97:16,17	25:22 29:10	89:20,23 90:21
19:10,11,12,13	98:1,5,8,10,13	34:9 38:22	91:1,7,19 92:2
19:14 20:1,4	98:14,15	41:18,20,25	92:13,22 93:5
22:17 23:19	thanks 13:20	43:5 54:16	93:10 95:4,7
26:7,14,15,18	33:1,3	66:22 67:21	96:12,18,24
26:19,25 27:11		68:23 71:11,13	97:2,5,7,12,21

# [today - unsuccessful]

4. Jan. 12.5.7.0	4	51.00.00.00.00	
today 13:5,7,8	transfer 34:14	51:20,22 60:23	understanding
13:13,23,25	38:20 39:22	61:3 64:3	32:5 33:19
26:25 36:11	transfers 29:14	67:21 69:7,11	36:20 38:21
39:12 45:7	transport 35:8	69:11 72:17	43:9 48:5
55:17 61:12	44:8	73:8 74:15	49:19 60:17
79:14 81:13	transportation	76:19,21 84:3	61:8,24 62:3
83:8 84:23	32:17	85:18 86:15	62:11 68:12,17
86:14 88:13	travis 8:23	87:22,22	understood
today's 13:13	82:21 87:19	<b>type</b> 23:16	84:12
85:21	tribunal 83:23	typewriting	<b>unit</b> 46:22
<b>told</b> 92:4 93:13	<b>tried</b> 37:19	99:7	48:18,18,21
top 22:23 23:2	38:25 49:4	typically 50:2	52:14,16,20,23
70:20	97:11	u	58:14,16,25
total 77:13,13	<b>true</b> 92:11 99:9	unable 16:3	59:3,5,14 64:8
77:24 78:11	100:5	uncommitted	64:15,17,20,22
totally 35:2	<b>truth</b> 28:11,11	11:12 52:7	65:3,9,11,14,16
84:20 90:19	28:12	53:9 64:2,5,25	73:3,7,8 74:9
<b>touch</b> 67:11	<b>try</b> 24:9 50:20	73:6 74:12	74:14,15 76:22
township 52:10	55:20 56:2,23	76:20 77:13,21	76:23 77:2,8
58:15 64:10	82:24 85:11	77:25	<b>units</b> 46:21,22
65:4 72:17	86:13,14	undeliverable	47:5 50:7
track 11:11	<b>trying</b> 66:23	37:15	64:12 65:6
53:8,8 69:3	93:13	underlying	69:9,12 93:14
76:13,18,19,21	tuesday 17:24	52:10 58:8,13	unknown 25:9
77:10	turn 20:3 22:15	59:3 64:7 65:2	unleased 53:9
tracking 10:7	88:8	73:7 74:13	67:4
10:17 31:22	turning 31:6	87:22	unlocatable
41:12 59:23	turns 43:4 87:1	underneath	73:25 75:7
tracks 65:21	two 13:25	77:12	unmarketable
transcriber	24:12,12,23	understand	66:23 67:8
100:1	25:17,21,25	20:5,23,23	unmuted 85:6
transcript	26:6 27:1	21:1,7,21	unnecessary
100:3,5	41:18,20,24	48:10 76:17	74:6 75:13
transcriptionist	42:9,11 43:1	83:14 84:6	unsuccessful
99:7	44:6,12,13	97:6	81:17
		21.U	

#### [unsure - working]

			1
unsure 35:22	41:17 50:22	35:1 36:10,11	24:21
unusual 92:16	<b>voir</b> 9:3 28:19	36:14 38:12,19	whiptail's 25:5
92:20	$\mathbf{w}$	38:20 39:2,9	wishing 45:18
update 97:3	<b>wait</b> 50:2	39:22 41:19,20	withdraw
updated 12:24	waiting 49:24	41:24 42:7,9	85:16
75:2	want 16:8 25:4	42:11 43:1,5,7	withdrawals
<b>upper</b> 64:6	31:9 36:7 44:7	44:6,13,13	51:19
useful 43:2	80:21 86:15,16	46:8,13,14	withdrawing
usefulness 43:6	98:12	47:5,24,25	51:22
usually 47:24	wanted 18:11	48:22,23 52:17	witness 8:22
83:11	47:6 54:17	52:24 53:20	27:20 28:4,10
V	55:15	58:17 59:6	31:4 40:9,17
vacate 79:13	wants 20:5	64:13,18 73:9	72:20,24 82:8
valid 86:22	47:9	73:11 74:6,16	99:4
87:7	<b>warrants</b> 79:19	74:18 75:13	wolfcamp 73:6
vance 6:3,15	waste 74:5	87:23,25 89:12	74:12
51:10,11 52:5	75:12	went 33:16,20	wondering
54:17,25 55:5	water 29:17	94:13	21:12
55:6,12 56:10	42:4,14	<b>wenzel</b> 72:19	<b>word</b> 91:2
56:15 57:6,9	way 19:6 21:13	74:2 75:9	<b>words</b> 86:8
57:13	24:20 27:18	wenzel's 12:22	work 24:10
variety 13:8	91:11	73:12 74:1,19	28:24 29:19,22
various 23:24	we've 27:2,18	75:8	39:5 43:13,20
46:16 49:7	49:22,24 87:9	west 52:21	77:22
venting 33:12	87:10,19 94:9	58:22 59:4,11	worked 29:3
33:18,19 34:2	<b>website</b> 13:7,15	59:11,12 64:9	62:7
verification	week 24:13	64:9 65:3,4	working 11:13
35:16	25:18	69:4,5 73:3,3	14:13 29:8
videoconfere	weeks 24:12,12	74:10	53:9 61:3,10
2:1	24:23 25:17,21	whatsoever	61:19,25 67:1
violation 10:5	25:22,25 26:6	43:10	68:1,13,19
10:15 30:15	70:12 79:19	whiptail 5:2	69:1,12,18
31:8,19,23	wells 32:7,10	19:18,20 20:3	70:7 71:20
33:10 36:10	32:13 33:10,22	20:6,13,14,19	73:22 75:4
41:4,10,15,16	34:8,10,12	21:3,6 24:18	76:13,22 77:10
,10,10,10	2,10,12		

# [working - yesterday]

83:19 84:17,19	yesterday
86:24,25 87:4	79:12
87:8 89:9,11	,,,,=
90:8,14,17,22	
91:4,6 93:9	
95:20,21	
works 35:15	
48:2 70:17	
worksheet	
13:25	
worry 35:24	
<b>writing</b> 39:16	
94:5	
<b>written</b> 39:15	
93:8	
wrong 77:17	
92:7	
X	
<b>x</b> 9:1 10:1 11:1	
12:1	
y	
<b>y'all</b> 43:24	
<b>yeah</b> 19:7	
21:25 25:20	
33:1,25 38:18	
46:12 48:23	
60:14 68:21	
76:16 77:9,23	
78:3,10 85:4	
years 23:21	
29:4 34:9	
38:22 50:10	
<b>yep</b> 62:20	

# New Mexico Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts Article 5, Rule 1-030

(e) Review by Witness; Changes; Signing.

If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have thirty (30) days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph F of this rule whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during the period allowed.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

# VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.