

| 1 | APPLICATION OF TAP ROCK | Case No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY | 23232, 23233 |
| 3 | POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO | 23234, 23235 |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 | APPLICATION OF MATADOR | Case No. |
| 6 | PRODUCTION COMPANY FOR | 23243 |
| 7 | COMPULSORY POOLING, |  |
| 8 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 9 | 9 |  |
| 10 | APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL | Case No. |
| 11 | COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, | 23275, 23276 |
| 12 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO | 23277, 23278 |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 | APPLICATION OF V-F PETROLEUM, | Case No. |
| 15 | INC. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING | 23254, 23255 |
| 16 | AND APPROVAL OF A NON-STANDARD |  |
| 17 | SPACING UNIT, EDDY COUNTY, |  |
| 18 | NEW MEXICO |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 | APPLICATION OF STEWARD ENERGY II, | Case No. |
| 21 | LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, | 23209 |
| 22 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
|  |  | Page 2 |


| 1 | APPLICATION OF REDWOOD OPERATING | Case No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, | 23157 |
| 3 | EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 | APPLICATION OF OXY USA INC. | Case No. |
| 6 | FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, | 23203 |
| 7 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 | APPLICATION OF SPC RESOURCES, | Case No. |
| 10 | LLC TO AMEND | 23218 |
| 11 | ORDER NO. R-21096, AS AMENDED, |  |
| 12 | EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 | APPLICATION OF DEVON ENERGY | Case No. |
| 15 | PRODUCTION COMPANY, L.P. FOR | 23264 |
| 16 | APPROVAL OF AN OVERLAPPING |  |
| 17 | HORIZONTAL WELL SPACING UNIT |  |
| 18 | AND COMPULSORY POOLING, |  |
| 19 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 | (AMEND) APPLICATION OF FRANKLIN | Case No. |
| 22 | MOUNTAIN ENERGY LLC TO AMEND | 23221 |
| 23 | ORDER NO. R-21995 |  |
| 24 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 25 |  |  |
|  |  | Page 3 |



| 1 | APPLICATION OF V-F PETROLEUM INC. | Case No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND | 23225 |
| 3 | APPROVAL OF A NON-STANDARD |  |
| 4 | SPACING UNIT, |  |
| 5 | EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 | APPLICATION OF V-F PETROLEUM, | Case No. |
| 8 | INC. FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, | 23227 |
| 9 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 | APPLICATION OF EARTHSTONE | Case No. |
| 12 | OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY | 23244, 23245 |
| 13 | POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 | APPLICATION OF EARTHSTONE | Case No. |
| 16 | OPERATING, LLC FOR COMPULSORY | 23270, 23272 |
| 17 | POOLING, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 | APPLICATION OF RIDGE RUNNER | Case No. |
| 20 | RESOURCES OPERATING, LLC FOR | 23280, 23281 |
| 21 | EXTENSION TO COMMENCE |  |
| 22 | DRILLING OPERATIONS, |  |
| 23 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
|  |  | Page 5 |


| 1 | APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL | Case No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, | 23284, 23285 |
| 3 | EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 | APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL | Case No. |
| 6 | COMPANY TO RE-OPEN CASE NO. 22338, | 23290 |
| 7 | FOR COMPULSORY |  |
| 8 | POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 9 |  |  |
| 10 | APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL | Case No. |
| 11 | COMPANY TO RE-OPEN CASE NO. 22339, | 23291 |
| 12 | FOR COMPULSORY |  |
| 13 | POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 | APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL | Case No. |
| 16 | COMPANY TO RE-OPEN CASE NO. 22638, | 23292 |
| 17 | FOR COMPULSORY |  |
| 18 | POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 | APPLICATION OF DJR OPERATING, LLC | Case No. |
| 21 | TO AMEND ORDER NO. R-828-A | 23282 |
| 22 | AFFECTING THE CARSON UNIT, |  |
| 23 | SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
|  |  | Page 6 |



ON BEHALF OF ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT:

MARLENE SALVIDREZ (by videoconference)
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South Street, Francis Drive
Santa Fe, NM 87505
marlene.salvidrez@state.nm.us
(505) 469-5527

ON BEHALF OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY/EARTHSTONE OPERATING/RIDGE RUNNER RESOURCES/V-F PETROLEUM:

DANA HARDY, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Hinkle Shanor LLP
P.O. Box 0268

Santa Fe, NM 87504

ON BEHALF OF MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:
JIM BRUCE, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
James Bruce, Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 1056

Santa Fe, NM 87504
jamesbruc@aol.com
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ON BEHALF OF MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY/EOG
RESOURCES/MRC PERMIAN COMPANY/MRC DELAWARE/DEVON ENERGY PRODUCTION/COG OPERATING/MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY/XTO ENERGY:

MICHAEL FELDEWERT, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Holland and Hart
110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
Santa Fe, NM 87501

ON BEHALF OF COTERRA ENERGY/CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY:
DARIN SAVAGE, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Abadie \& Schill
214 McKenzie Street
Santa Fe, NM 87501

ON BEHALF OF APACHE COMPANY/FRANKLIN MOUNTAIN ENERGY/MARATHON OIL PERMIAN, LLC:

DEANA BENNETT, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
Modrall Sperling Roehl Harris \& Sisk PA
500 4th Street, NW, Suite 1000
Albuquerque, NM 87102
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| 1 | E X H I B I T S (Cont'd) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | NO. | DESCRIPTION | ID/EVD |
| 3 | OXY USA (Case | 23203 Cont'd) : |  |
| 4 | Exhibit C2 | Land Tract Map and Owner |  |
| 5 |  | Schedule | 40 / |
| 6 | Exhibit C3 | Sample Well Proposal Letter | 40 / |
| 7 | Exhibit C4 | Chronology of Contacts | 40 / |
| 8 | Exhibit D | Self-Affirmed Statement of |  |
| 9 |  | Mr. Burnett | $41 /$ |
| 10 | Exhibit D1 | Locator Map | $41 /$ |
| 11 | Exhibit D2 | Sub-C Structure Map | $41 /$ |
| 12 | Exhibit D3 | Structural Cross Section Map | $41 /$ |
| 13 | Exhibit D4 | Stratigraphic Cross Section | $41 /$ |
| 14 | Exhibit E | Self-Affirmed Statement of |  |
| 15 |  | Notice | $41 /$ |
| 16 | Exhibit F | Affidavit of Notice of |  |
| 17 |  | Publication | $41 /$ |
| 18 |  | xhibits retained by counsel.) |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 | NO. | DESCRIPTION | ID/EVD |
| 21 | SPC Resources | (Case 23218) : |  |
| 22 | Exhibit A | Application | $44 /$ |
| 23 | Exhibit B | Self-Affirmed Statement |  |
| 24 |  | Of Nicole Singer | $44 /$ |
| 25 | Exhibit B5 | Copy of Notice | $45 /$ |
|  | Page 13 |  |  |









P R O C E E D I N G S
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning, everybody. It is Thursday, January 5, 2023. Welcome to the new year. And welcome to the hearings of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. My name is Bill Brancard. I will be the examiner for today. With me is Mr. Dean McClure who will be the technical examiner. We have a court reporter, so please speak clearly, slowly, carefully. Watch your words, they will be recorded.

With that, our worksheet for today is posted on our website. We have 42 cases. We have had to do a bit of juggling because we had a whole bunch of late filed documents here, and so we will try to deal with that as we get through the day. Figure out who's in and who's out. Any announcements, Mr. McClure?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: No announcements here, Mr. Brancard.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me start by saying we had a glitch in our system on the $3 r d$, on Tuesday, which $I$ believe made it difficult for some persons to file their exhibits timely. So the exhibits that have ultimately been filed are excused as being timely filed in accordance with our new
regulation. We do actually receive requests for this. So thank you for hanging in there with that. I believe we have gotten all the exhibits that we needed at this point, and they have been reviewed.

I have no other great announcements for the new year. I guess people are heading back to the office although it's a little difficult in our building because it remains under construction. So I'm sitting here in the middle of our administrative services division who are now camped on the third floor along with the Energy Conservation Management Division. So OCD is not fully staffed in the building, and $I$ think we are having similar issues in some of our district offices also. Because we have managed to hire a lot of people during the pandemic, and now we have to find places for them to sit. So just fair warning about our offices and staffing at this point. Don't believe everything you read in the newspaper.

With that, we can get going with today's hearings. We will start with some status conferences. I am on items 1, 2, and 3. These are Case Numbers 23173, 23256, 23257. Let's start with Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on
behalf of Mewbourne.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And then we have COG Operating.

MR. FELDEWERT: Happy new year,
gentlemen. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We have entries of appearance from Coterra Energy, et al.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner. Darin Savage from the Santa $F e$ office of Abadie \& Schill appearing on behalf of Coterra Energy and Cimarex Energy Company and this is for Case 23173.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any other entries of appearance for Cases 23173, 23256, 23257? Hearing none, I'll start with Mr. Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I've been kind of in the dark because I've been sick as a dog the last few weeks, but as I understand it, both COG and Mewbourne are in pre-discussions about settling this matter and they were both in favor of getting it set as a status conference and moving it up the road. My only comment is that, you know, these settlement deals kind of have a way of taking on a life of their own. And I guess it would be Mewbourne's preference
to continue it like three months down the road rather than come up six weeks from now and have to ask for another continuance. And maybe we could get it all settled. That would leave the surviving party, if you will, the ability to properly prepare for the hearing.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. COG. MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I have been asked to set the matter for a hearing, for a contested hearing. I also believe that Mewbourne may be -- have a need to file an amended application so I think they have an overlapping spacing unit with their proposed development plan. So it seems I've been asked to set it for a contested hearing.

MR. BRUCE: And that's fine with me, Mr. Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any thoughts from Coterra?

MR. SAVAGE: We've made the appearance to preserve rights at this time. I would like to ask one question about the need for -- the application with an overlapping. When $I$ look at the regulations, and as you point out, Mr. Hearing Examiner, you can address the overlapping unit at the permitting stage. Does that require -- and I'd like this for future clarification -- does that require an amended
application if you did not happen to describe the overlapping unit initially in the filed application?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not sure it does. It is certainly helpful to everyone to put people on notice of what's going on. And as you'll see today, there are some people who identify such things and then say, "We will deal with them administratively," just like a non-standard location.

MR. SAVAGE: I believe that's -- I agree with that. I've done language such as: We do not find any overlapping units, but should one be discovered, we would address it in the permitting phase administratively. I would assume something like that would take care of that. I was just curious if there was a requirement for a filing of an amended -under these circumstances.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't believe there is. A number of parties have been trying to use hearings to address all sorts of issues involved in cases, but it's not always necessary.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, as you can see, we've been forced to modify our checklist because we're getting so many requests for non-standard spacing units along with compulsory
pooling.
MR. BRUCE: Thank you for that clarification.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Not sure if that was very clarifying.

MR. BRUCE: It was. It was, thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So how does March 16 sound for a contested hearing?

MR. FELDEWERT: That's fine with COG, Mr. Examiner.

MR. BRUCE: I'd probably like it a couple of weeks into the future, but if that's what the -- wants, okay.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, it's two months. All right. So with that, Cases 23173, 23256, 23257 will be set for a contested hearing on March 16th, and we'll file whatever piece of paper we need to file to make that work.

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
MR. BRUCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing --.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
With that, we're on items 4 through 7. Cases 23232, 23233, 23234, 23235. Tap Rock Operating?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. Michael
Rodriguez with Tap Rock Operating, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We have an appearance, an objection filed by Coterra Energy, et al.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning. Darin
Savage on behalf of Coterra Energy and Cimarex Energy Company, et al.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Does
Coterra, Cimarex intend on filing competing applications?

MR. SAVAGE: That is correct,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. In fact, we have filed those last week. And those are cases 23309 through 23314. And those are six applications that we filed to be competing in this matter.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: 309 through 314?
MR. SAVAGE: 309 through 314. That is correct.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right. Tap Rock.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Since Coterra filed competing applications, we'd like to request a contested hearing for the earliest available docket.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Cimarex.
MR. SAVAGE: That would be agreeable to us. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Well, let's set that for March 16th.

Are there any other persons here for cases 23232, 233, 234, 235? Hearing none, these cases will be set for a hearing on March 16 to a pre-hearing order.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
With that, we are item number 8. This
is case 23243, Matador Production Company.
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert, Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Fasken Oil and Ranch.
MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning, everyone.
Sharon Shaheen on behalf of Fasken Oil and Ranch.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And then we have Cal Farley's Boys Ranch Foundation.

Amarillo National Bank?
Okay. Matador, have you heard from the Boys Ranch Foundation?

MR. FELDEWERT: I have personally not heard from them nor -- I'm looking here,

Mr. Examiner -- Leslie Weaver, who I guess is the VP of oil and gas -- VP and oil and gas manager, apparently, for the bank. So, have not heard from her either.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Does Fasken have a position?

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, yeah, Fasken would like to have -- I see that Ms. Weaver filed a notice of objection to the proceeding by affidavit. So Matador would like this set for a contested date as soon as possible. I don't think it's going to be anything more than, eventually, an affidavit case, so as soon as you can get us on the docket, maybe two weeks from now?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Fasken, Miss Shaheen.

MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you. Yes, Fasken didn't receive notice, actual notice of this application until Tuesday. And they only received the operating agreement right before Christmas on December 21 , so they'd like more time to work on the operating agreement. They have some major proposed revisions to it. So Fasken would prefer to see it set some time in February at the earliest.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So I will
reveal that Amarillo National Bank contacted us, trying to figure out what they wanted to do in this case. We gave them options. They chose the option of objecting to the case. They seem to, again, be in a similar position as Fasken as wanting more time to discuss this with the proponent. So why don't I set this then for a contested hearing on February 16th; okay? And maybe that will give the parties enough time to discuss this.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I think the bank probably thanks you for your legal advice, and we appreciate you setting this as soon as you can in February.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We were merely providing them with what their procedural options were. Did not give them a preference one way or another. Was frankly surprised when they objected, but anyway, we gave them as an option.

So anyway, thank you. So this case
then, 23243, are there any other persons here for 23243? None. This will be set for a hearing on February 16th. I will issue a pre-hearing order. MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you. MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you. THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we
are on items 9 through 12, I hope. Cases 23275, 23276, 23277, 23278. Mewbourne Oil Company?

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert, Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I believe we have an entry and an objection from Coterra Energy/Cimarex.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Darin Savage on behalf of Coterra Energy and Cimarex Energy Company, et al.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do we have a motion to dismiss here in this case?

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Mewbourne has recently filed a revised application that covers the same acreage. It's actually going to be on the February 2nd docket, and it's under Case 23308. 23308. So since that has now been filed, we filed yesterday a motion to dismiss these four pending cases.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any objections, comments, concerns, from Coterra?

MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Examiner, it does, as Mr. Feldewert points out, cover the same lands, and therefore, it will be part of a -- we believe -- a
future competing application. We would -- Cimarex sent out the well proposals December 9th, and we're very close to our 30 -day grace period. However, we found out that a few of the bottom hole locations, there was a typo in the description. We're going to have to send out some kind of supplement or a revision of those bottom hole locations.

But everything else stays the same, so we're very close to that grace period for filing the applications. If we can do the revision of the bottom hole locations without triggering an additional 30-day grace period, we believe that's doable under the policy. We can go ahead and in the next week or so file the competing applications and use this time, if appropriate, to set a date for a contested --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: It appears that what we're heading toward is dismissing the four cases that are before us today. The Mewbourne cases will be set for February. Obviously, Cimarex, Coterra can object to that case going forward. We'll have a status conference at that point, and if you have other applications filed at that point, we could add them to the pre-hearing order.

MR. SAVAGE: That sounds like a good plan, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So with that, we will move forward with a dismissal on Cases 23275, 276, 277, and 278.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I would encourage Coterra to go ahead and file their applications. We don't have any concern about the 30-day grace period, and that way we will be in the position, hopefully, on the 2 nd to perhaps get the matter set up for a contested hearing.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you, Mr. Feldewert, for that. I appreciate it.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
With that, we are on items 13 and 14. These are Cases 23254, 23255, and V-F Petroleum.

MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Dana Hardy with the Santa Fe office of Hinkle Shanor on behalf of $V-F$ Petroleum.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We have an entry and an objection from Apache Corporation.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett, Modrall Sperling on behalf of Apache.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do we have any other interested parties for Cases 23254, 23255?

Hearing none, let me see, we have an unopposed motion for continuance filed.

MS. HARDY: That's correct,
Mr. Examiner. We filed that once we received Apache's objection this week.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. What were you proposing?

MS. HARDY: We had proposed to continue the case to January 19th, and I believe Apache has agreed to that continuance. At this point $I$ don't think we need a contested hearing. We're hoping that the issues get resolved so we can present by affidavit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Apache?
MS. BENNETT: That sounds right to me. Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So the decision then is to continue this to January 19th; is that correct? Am I hearing that correctly?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Okay. With that, we will continue
Cases 23254, 23255, to January 19. At this point an uncontested case; correct?

MS. HARDY: That's correct,

Mr. Examiner.
MS. BENNETT: That's correct, but I
will say that Apache reserves the right to make a different request as we approach the January 19th docket, if necessary.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Absolutely. No problem.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So with that, Cases 23254, 23255 are continue to January 19th. If you haven't filed a continuance already, please do.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I think we are ready to start the hearings maybe. We'll see how we go here.

Let's start with item number 15, Case 23209. Steward Energy.

MS. MCLEAN: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Jackie McLean with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Steward Energy.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for Case 23209? Hearing none, this is a continued case from December 1st. We needed to have some
information about unleased mineral owners of any contacts that Steward has had with them. I believe Steward has filed some documents with us. Miss McLean?

MS. MCLEAN: Yes. Yes, that's correct,
Mr. Examiner. Following the hearing on December 15th, Steward continued communications with Christina Fairman [ph] who is the daughter of deceased working interest owner Jessica Brown who had made an appearance during that hearing. And Steward has submitted a supplemental self-affirmed statement from Taylor Warren which has been marked as Exhibit A6.
(Exhibit A6 was marked for identification.)

And it cites out that since the
December 15th hearing, Steward continued to communicate with Miss Fairman [ph], and Miss Fairman [ph] has agreed to execute a lease. So with that, unless there are additional questions, Steward asks that Case Number 23209 be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr. McClure, any questions?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: No question, Mr. Brancard.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So with that, Case 23209 will be taken under advisement.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: We are on item number 16 now. This is Case 23157. I believe the applicant is Redwood Operating, LLC.

MS. VANCE: That's correct, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. McClure. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the applicant, Redwood Operating, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons here for Case 23157? All right. So okay. Redwood is not the operator. Redwood is not a working interest owner; correct?

MS. VANCE: That's correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: The working
interest owner is Pecos?
MS. VANCE: That's correct. And that's why we provided a self-affirmed statement in the hearing packet as Exhibit C1 explaining the nature of the relationship between the two parties, which we've done previously in a couple of the other hearings that we have presented on behalf of Redwood Operating.
(Exhibit C 1 was marked for identification.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I don't mean to ambush you here, Ms. Vance, but I guess I will, which is that, you know, we did this sort of as a one-off for Pecos and now it's become a two-off, three-off. I don't know where we are at this point. You know, Pecos needs to get an O-grid and apply on its own. All right? That's the way it works.

We're going to have a motion to dismiss later today based on a case where somebody doesn't own an interest in the property. And Redwood does not own an interest in the property. So you know, I'm going to just sort of, you know, without advance warning to you, put my foot down here and say that we're going to dismiss this case, and Pecos can go ahead and get its own O-grid and file its own applications. They can contract with Redwood to do whatever they want to do, but Pecos needs to be the name on there.

We need to have people who are applicants who really have a working interest in there. That's the way the statute works. I'm not sure what Pecos's problem is. Maybe their executives are wanted in 49 states or, you know, there are front for a North Korean government. I don't know. But
whatever it is, it's not that hard to get an O-grid, and Pecos needs to do that if they want to continue to filing applications here and not use a front company like this; all right? So with that, Case 23157 will be dismissed.

We're now on item 17, Case 23203. OXY USA.

MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of OXY USA.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Any other interested parties for Case 23203? Hearing none, OXY may proceed.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. In Case 23203, OXY seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Wolfcamp. And that's the Wolfcamp Oil. And the pool is WC Tack 025G, Tack 08S223227D and that's the upper Wolfcamp, and the pool code is 98286. And that's underlying a standard 640-acre, more or less, horizontal spacing unit comprised of the west half of Sections 26 and 35, Township 22 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

OXY seeks to pool and initially dedicate this Wolfcamp Oil spacing unit to the
proposed Llama Mall, 2536 [sic] Fed Com 32 H which is the defining well that's using proximity tracts allowing for the enlarged spacing unit, the 33 H , the 311 H , and the 312 H which is at a non-standard location, and OXY is planning administratively in a separate application.

In this case we have provided the compulsory pooling checklist as well as a self-affirmed statement of land negotiator Amber Delach [ph] and geologist Daniel Burnett both of whom have previously testified before the Division, and their credentials have been accepted as a matter of record. Miss Delach's [ph] self-affirmed statement is Exhibit C which includes Sub Exhibits C1; the C102; C2, a land tract map and ownership schedule; C3, a sample well proposal letter and AFEs; and C4, chronology of contacts.
(Exhibit C through Exhibit C4 and
Exhibit C102 were marked for identification.)

This is followed by Mr. Burnett's self-affirmed statement which is Exhibit D and includes Sub Exhibits D1, a locator map; D2 a Sub-C structure map; and D3, well, I'm sorry, D3, a structural cross section map; and D4, a stratigraphic

explain, Ms. Vance, what the relationship between these two entities that you have attempted to contact here, Los Alamos Tool Company and Quantum Equities. MS. VANCE: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner. It's my understanding that Los Alamos originally was thought to be the one who had the interest which OXY is seeking to pool. But upon further look at the confirmation of the title, it is Quantum. And if you -- I believe, initially the proposal went out to Los Alamos, but then you'll see in the chronology of contacts that the official title opinion received listed Quantum Equities -- I'm sorry. In addition, efforts were made to locate that party and provide them notice of the hearing and the pooling.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And it appears that you have attempted to contact both entities.

MS. VANCE: That's correct, yes,
Mr. Hearing Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And is that the reason for the two publications?

MS. VANCE: That's correct. If you look at both notices, you'll see the difference in the party names.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So again, are
there any other interested persons for Case 23203? Hearing none, this Case 23203 will be taken under advisement.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
With that, we're on item 18. It's
23218. SPC Resources?

MR. RANKIN: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. May it please the Division, Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of the applicant in this case with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for Case 23218? Okay. Given how many people you've notified, that's impressive, Mr. Rankin.

MR. RANKIN: Yes. Mr. Examiner, in this case, SPC Resources is seeking to amend Order R-21096 as amended to allow for an extension of time to drill an initial well under the order. Mr. Examiner, filed on Tuesday was an exhibit packet containing Exhibits A, B, and C.

Exhibit A is the application that was filed in this case. Exhibit $B$ is the self-affirmed statement of Nicole Singer who is the vice president
of land and general counsel for SPC Resources. (Exhibit A and Exhibit B were marked for identification.)

In her affidavit or self-affirmed statement, rather, she reviews the history and background of the particular spacing unit and the previous orders that were issued by the Division and also reviews the basis for the request of the extension here which involves the Division's emergency order and temporary prohibition of drilling activities around the Carlsbad Brine Well. As a consequence of the temporary prohibition, SPC had to shift its drilling activities to other areas and is now finishing up those activities and it will be shifting its drilling to this spacing unit but needs additional time to do that work.

Exhibit $B 5$ is a copy of the notice that went out to the parties who were required to receive notice in this case. And Exhibit B6 is a copy of that letter that identifies the application and all the parties who were notified along with the delivery status of the certified mail.

Exhibit $C$ is a copy of the affidavit of publication that was published in Carlsbad Current-Argus identifying that each of the parties
subject to notice were identified by name. And that timely published.
(Exhibit B5, Exhibit B6, and Exhibit C were marked for identification.) With that, Mr. Examiner, we would ask that this case be taken under advisement and the Exhibits A through C be accepted into the record.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr. McClure, any questions?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, no questions here, Mr. Brancard.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So Mr. Rankin, can you just run us through the chronology if only to have it for the record here. Where we last left you from the hearing unit was that the director had given you an extension until, I believe, February 2022. And so now you're asking for February 2024. Just fill us in as to where that year -- missing year comes from.

MR. RANKIN: Sure. So the last
extension went through 2022. At the time, SPC was preparing to drill its well and had a drilling rig under contract in the summer of -- and I may get my years wrong because I'm in the time warp of COVID -but they had a drilling rig scheduled and were proceeding to drill when the Division issued an
emergency order prohibiting them from doing so due to the remediation efforts at the -- concerns around remediation efforts of the Carlsbad Brine well.

Following that emergency order, the Division issued a subsequent order temporarily staying the drilling authority and the pooling authority the SPC had obtained from the Division pending the completion of the remediation efforts at the Carlsbad Brine well. So from the time that the emergency order was issued, SPC's authority was told until SPC received notice subsequently from the Division that it was authorized to recommence drilling activities. So that time frame, that tolling, extended SPC's drilling deadline into February 2023. And given the deadline of February 2023, SPC needs additional time to get its rig oriented and ready to drill this acreage. So that's the justification and reason for the request.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: You need to turn your rig correctly? So it appears then, if I'm reading your landperson's affidavit, that the deadline for drilling was tolled effective July 2, 2021; okay? And that suspension was listed on July 7, 2022.

MR. RANKIN: Yeah.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which would give you an additional year and five days. And so you're
adding that to the February 2022 deadline and then you want another year after that?

MR. RANKIN: That's right,
Mr. Examiner. I think that's the -- I don't have my calculations in front of me anymore, but that sounds exactly right.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Okay. Did I trigger any questions, Mr. McClure?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: No. No, sir. I'm still good.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any other persons here, then, for Case 23218? Hearing none, Case 23218 will be taken under advisement.

With that, I believe we are on Item 19. This is Case 23264, Devon Energy Production.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We have an entry from EOG Resources, Beatty \& Wozniak.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I did alert Mr. Parrot to the fact that we were continuing this case. That may be the reason why he is not appearing.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So we do have a motion to continue.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yesterday. Can
you just explain what you're requesting,
Mr. Feldewert?
MR. FELDEWERT: Well, we're asking for
the matter to be continued. I'm looking,
Mr. Examiner, it's not in the Division's file. I think we asked that the matter be continued to -MS. SALVIDREZ: It's February 2nd. MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, Marlene. February 2 nd so that we could address a notice issue that has arisen.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Okay. I have the continuance in front of me. I think -- Marlene doesn't often file these until they get approved.

MR. FELDEWERT: Oh, I see. Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So anyone else here for Case 23264? Hearing none, we will continue with the February 2nd. And this is not a contested case; correct, at this point?

MR. FELDEWERT: That is correct,
Mr. Examiner. I do not expect it to be a contested
case.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So we'll just put it on the normal docket, February 2nd while your continuance is as necessary. Thank you.

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are on items 20 through 23, Cases 23221, 23222, 23223, 23224, Franklin Mountain Energy.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett on behalf of Franklin Mountain Energy. And I intended to wish you a happy new year earlier today, but I failed to do so, so happy new year.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Happy new year.
Are there any other appearances for Cases 23221, 222, 223, 224? Hearing none, Franklin Mountain to continue.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much. So
in these four cases, Franklin Mountain Energy is seeking an extension of time to commence drilling the initial wells under the order. And in each of the four cases, I have provided the same or similar materials which include primarily the declaration of Alona [ph] Hoang who has -- and her last name is
spelled $H-O-A-N-G--$ and she has previously testified before the Division and her credentials were accepted as a matter of record.

In her declaration, she lays out the reasons for Franklin Mountain Energy's request for an extension of time which relate to some issues with BLM which have been largely resolved. Franklin Mountain Energy has been working with BLM since the time it discovered this issue, and it has been working through those issues with BLM. And it believes that those issues will be resolved in the near term and that Franklin Mountain Energy will be able to begin drilling in the fourth quarter of 2023. So Franklin is requesting an extension of time through January 26, 2024.

We noted in her declaration -- and this
is true from the exhibits from the prior cases -- in each of these cases, Franklin Mountain Energy only pooled overriding royalty interest owners, and so there are no working interest owners to whom notice was provided. There are no pooled working interest owners. And under the Division's practice for the extension cases, we have been -- we being the applicants -- have been providing notice only to pooled working interest owners -- and so in this case,
there being no pooled working interest owners, we did not provide any notice to anyone of Franklin's applications because there was no one to whom notice was required.

With that, I would ask that the exhibits in Cases 23221, 23222, 23224, and sorry, 23223 be admitted into the record and the cases taken under advisement. And I will stand for any questions the Division may have.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. McClure, any questions?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yes,
Mr. Brancard. Miss Bennett, in the initial case for Order R-21995, that being Case 22445, notice was provided at that time for that case, but now we're arguing that notice should not be required for this case; is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: That's correct. We did provide notice to the pooled parties, or the parties we were -- the overriding royalty interest owners that we were seeking to pool when we filed the initial applications in each of these cases. But now for an extension case, the Division's practice has, or I think it's fair to say the Division's practice has been to not require notice of two overrides for
extension cases and I've been following that practice now for probably a year and a half or two. And $I$ know others have been. And I have an email from Mr. Garcia that confirms that extension applications only require notice to pooled working interest owners, which is the basis for the practice that I've been following.

So it's not that we don't -- we're
intentionally not notifying the overrides. It's that under Division practice, we didn't believe that overrides were required to receive notice of an extension application, which makes sense, because they are not cross bearing. They're not -- their interests are not necessarily affected in the same way that working interest owners, pooled working interest owners, would be affected by an extension. Does that answer your question, Mr. McClure?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Now, in
Mr. Garcia's communications to you, was he referencing working interest owners of being needing to be notified because their kind of what our intent is who were pooling in these orders? I mean, in this particular case, you're going beyond the working interest owners and you're wanting to pool the overwriting royalty interest owners. So I'm almost wondering if we're falling into a category of who
you're wishing to pool and it's typically, like, in Mr. Garcia's case, a response. Those would be the working interest owners. And I'm almost wondering if that was the basis of his email to you?

MS. BENNETT: Well, I'd have to look back through the email chain to let you -- to answer that question, but $I$ can also -- back in the day when we were working under a former -- big picture, I guess, the current form of order does not say to whom notice is required for extension applications. So there's no information in the current form of order about to whom applicants need to send notice of an extension application. But in the prior form of order about three years ago, I would say, it was clear that we needed to send applications for extensions to pooled working interest owners. And so -- and I can find an example of one of those former orders and email it to you if that would be useful. But I think Mr. Garcia's -- and I'd, you know, would have to go back, and look through -- but Mr. Garcia's email is consistent with the former orders language that required notice only to pooled working interest owners.

And again, this is just the notice of the extension. We did provide notice to the overrides
at the time of the pooling application. So they were properly pooled under the orders. And so really the only question here is whether overrides should be given notice of an extension application, and as I mentioned at the outset -- not that I'm saying that because I've done it for a year and a half -- it's correct, but I have -- I believe it's been the Division's practice to accept extension cases where the only parties who have been notified were working interest owners.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Thank you. No more questions.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. This is a bit puzzling. Ms. Bennett, I think what we're going to do is continue this case to January 19th. If you want to provide us with that information supporting your position, you can do so.

MS. BENNETT: Okay. And I think what might be helpful for me and others on the phone, or on the hearing, is if the Division's desire is to require -- or the Division determines to require notice to overrides that that be publicly announced so that we can then comply with that requirement going forward. It's certainly something I'm not opposed to complying with. I just didn't think it was necessary, and so to
the extent the Division does determine that that is necessary, it would be very useful for me and others, I'm sure, to understand that requirement and incorporate it in our future planning process.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. I mean, we'll look into this. I mean, my assumption has always been that you notify the same people you notified in the first hearing which poor Mr. Rankin had to do for his previous case, several hundred people. So we will confer and discuss this on January 19th.

MS. BENNETT: That sounds great. Thank you very much.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
So I noticed that we had a number of people of call-in users here. I know it's not the easiest thing if you could mute yourself when you're calling in. That would be helpful, so we don't get any interference here. And if not, just be very, very quiet.

Right. Where are we? We are on item
24. Case 23219, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkel Shanor on behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

Is there anyone else here for Case 23219? Hearing none, Mewbourne may proceed.

MR. GUION: Yes. There is someone else here, Mr. Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. And may you identify yourself for the record?

MR. GUION: Yes. My name is Doug Guion, and that's G-U-I-O-N. And I'm president of Colorado Energy Minerals, Inc.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Guion, is Colorado Energy Minerals an interest owner in this case?

MR. GUION: We bought an undivided 30.08 net lease hold acres in tract Number 5. And that was under Brock Drilling Company. And so that would give us roughly a 30 over 240 ths interest or $1 / 8$ interest in the well. And I wanted to let Mewbourne know that we plan to participate for our interest and also that we're happy having Mewbourne as our operator.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So I'm guessing from that that you are okay with this case going forward?

MR. GUION: You know, I don't know much about New Mexico pooling, but as long as we're able to
participate in the well, we're happy with whatever it is. I just want to let Mewbourne know that we're out there and we plan to participate. And so we'd have about an eighth interest in the well.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So if we do issue a pooling order, the pooling order will require Mewbourne to offer you another AFE, and you'll be offered an opportunity to participate in the well at that point.

MR. GUION: That would be great. Thank you very much.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
With that, Mewbourne may proceed.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
Mewbourne seeks an order pooling uncommitted interest in the Bone Spring formation underlying a 240.45 acre standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of lots 1 and 2 of section 6, and lots 1 through 4 of section 5, Township 18 South, Range 32 East in Lea County and proposes to dedicate that unit to the Winterfell $6 / 5$ B2BA Fed Com 1H well. Our exhibits include the affidavit of landman Mitch Robb and geologist Charles Crosby.

Mr. Robb provides a standard land exhibits. The tract ownership and pool parties are
identified in Exhibit A3. We have only listed two parties, one of which is Brock Drilling and the other is a Mr. Johnson who is only a record title owner. Mr. Crosby's geology exhibits include a structure map and a cross section. My notice affidavit is Exhibit C.
(Exhibit A3 and Exhibit $C$ were marked for identification.)

We did not receive a certified mail receipt from the record title owner, but Mr. Robb's affidavit provides information regarding the search methods that he used to attempt to locate the parties. We did timely publish that as well.

With that, unless there are questions,
I request that the exhibits be admitted and that the case be taken under advisement. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. McClure, any questions?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah,
Mr. Brancard, I don't have any questions, although I almost wonder if we're going to need an updated tract ownership sheet now based upon if there was interest sold at Brock Drillings. We need that accurately represented here of what your thoughts are.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Hardy, what
is your understanding of the status of Colorado Energy Minerals?

MS. HARDY: I wasn't aware of their
interest, but it would be my understanding that we include the parties who were owners at the time the application is filed with that title, is what would dictate who is listed. So that's what we did here. I don't know when the transaction occurred between Brock Minerals and Colorado Energy and Minerals. But we would be happy to submit an updated exhibit if the Division would like us to do that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Guion?
MR. GUION: Yes. I just wanted to say we just bought that January 1st. And so we're recent -- we've run lots of title on it and, you know, like we're in good shape that way, but we wanted to let everybody know that we do own that interest and we do -- we will get it all put together, but -- and that we intend to participate. So I don't know how everybody wants to handle that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me just start with early basic question. Does Mewbourne have contact information for Colorado Energy Minerals?

MS. HARDY: That I do not know. Though it would be helpful to have that so I could give that
to them in case they don't.
MR. GUION: That would be great. Do you want me to give that to you now, or do you want me to email it or get it to you some other way?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I guess if you
could email it to Ms. Hardy, that would be helpful.
MR. GUION: That would be great. Let me get your email, if you don't mind.

MS. HARDY: Sure. It's dhardy, D-H-A-R-D-Y at Hinkle, $H-I-N-K-L-E$, lawfirm.com. And it's just all one word.

MR. GUION: Dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com. And Hinkle is $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}$ ?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. GUION: Okay. Thank you very much, and is it all right if $I$ get that to you a little later today?

MS. HARDY: That's fine. Thank you.
MR. GUION: Okay. You're welcome.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
So with that, I believe we can take this case under advisement. Ms. Hardy, if you need to file any supplemental clarification, that would be helpful, but for now we will take Case 23219 under advisement.

MS. HARDY: Thank you very much.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, everyone.

With that, we're on item 25,
Case 23220. Steward Energy?
MS. MCLEAN: Again, Jackie McLean with Hinkle \& Shanor on behalf of Steward Energy.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any other parties for Case 23220? Hearing none, Steward may proceed.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you. In Case Number 23220, Steward takes a pool -- an additional uncommitted interest under the terms a Division Order R-22192 which was entered in Case Number 22734 on July 25, 2022. And that order pooled all uncommitted interests in the San Andres formation underlying a standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the West half, East half, and Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4 of irregular Section 14, Township 13 South, Range 38 East in Lea County, and designated Steward as operator of the unit and dedicated the unit to the Fring Fed Number 2H well.

And in this case we're just seeking to pool one additional uncommitted interest. And in the exhibit packet that we're submitting to the Division,

Exhibit A is the land professional's testimony and related land exhibits. And you can see that our notice that we sent out for the hearing in Exhibit $B$ was returned to sender.
(Exhibit A and Exhibit B were marked
for identification.)
But in Exhibit A, which is the land professional's testimony, he sets out all the effort that Steward made to locate an address for this individual. And --

MR. GURION: Did you catch --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sorry,
Mr. Gurion, you are not muted.
MS. MCLEAN: Okay. Should I go on?
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please proceed.
MS. MCLEAN: Okay. Thank you. So we detailed all of the attempts that were made to try and find a good address for this person. We also did timely publish notice in the Hobbs News-Sun. And if there's not any questions, then we ask that the Case Number 23220 be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. McClure, any questions?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: No questions,
Mr. Brancard.

MR. GUION: 32938198.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Guion, you're not muted.

MR. GUION: I don't even know your
phone number 'cause $I$ always use speed dial.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Guion.
You're not muted.
MR. GUION: Sorry.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Questions? Okay. So it appears that you have made the efforts to locate this additional interest owner. So with that, Case 23220 will be taken under advisement.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
With that, we are on item number 26 , Case 23225, V-F Petroleum.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of $V-F$ Petroleum.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any other persons here for Case 23225? V-F to explain what you're asking for right now at this hearing and whether we really need a hearing at all.

MS. HARDY: Yes, thank you. So we had initially requested pooling as well as approval of our
non-standard Bone Spring spacing unit that is 486.05 acres. The pooling request has been resolved because all of the parties have signed a JOA. And so at this point we are only seeking approval of the non-standard spacing unit. And because we had provided notice to everyone of this application and the pooling issue was resolved, we're requesting just to go forward and present this today as our request for approval of the non-standard spacing unit. So that's what we are asking for.

We've provided affidavits of our landman Sean Johnson and geologist Jason Lodge. Mr. Johnson provides a map which is Exhibit A4 of the non-standard spacing unit and identifies the interest owners in the surrounding tracts.
(Exhibit A4 was marked for identification.)

He also explains that the proposed non-standard unit will prevent waste and protect correlative rights by reducing surface, environmental, and economic waste including through the consolidation of surface facilities. And Mr. Lodge provides a location map, structure map, and cross section.

Exhibit C is my notice affidavit. We notified the offset interest and confirmed that those
notices were delivered. And we also timely publish notice.
(Exhibit $C$ was marked for
identification.)
So with that, unless there are
questions, $I$ would request that the exhibits be admitted and that the case be taken under advisement with respect to our request for approval of the non-standard spacing unit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. McClure, any questions?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yes,
Mr. Brancard, I do have some questions. Miss Hardy, does it appear that Concho did not receive direct notice then here?

MS. HARDY: If you look at the list, I think that Concho -- exhibits are taking a second to pull up. We provided notice to COG and Concho. And they are at the same address. And we confirmed with the post office records that -- and I believe it's in our mailing chart, if you look at that -- that COG, the notice was confirmed delivered to COG. And for some reason, it doesn't show up that it was confirmed as delivered to Concho, but they're at the same address and were sent at the same time. And they are
an offset interest owner, but that's what all these parties are.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Which is in there, kind of confused me is it is on your report that you have here. You have the one to Concho as delivery attempted, but yet the one that's COG's is delivered to individual at the address. So I wasn't quite sure what took place there, I guess. Go ahead.

MS. HARDY: Oh, I was going to say, that's the language that we took from the postal service.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Report. Exactly.

MS. HARDY: Right.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Now, something you are missing is your tracking numbers are cut off of the left side of your image for those green cards sent to both COG and Concho.

MS. HARDY: Okay.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: But I don't see where that's listed anywhere in your application. Am I incorrect there, or is that listed somewhere else? Are you aware of?

MS. HARDY: Oh, I see what you mean. No, that wouldn't be listed anywhere else, but I can
provide a copy where you can see the tracking numbers on the left, if that would be helpful.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yes. Please do. Because we are interested, I guess, in that notice to them because your public notice was not done 20 days prior to hearing, which for your NSP, it should be.

MS. HARDY: Our mailing?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Your notice of publication.

MS. HARDY: Oh, the publication would be 10 business days.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Correct. But for NSP it should be 20 days.

MS. HARDY: Okay.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: So what I'm saying is, the individual mailings make a difference here is what I'm getting at.

MS. HARDY: Correct. I understand. I'll be happy to provide those certified.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yes, please do. No more questions, Mr. Brancard.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Which exhibit are you looking at, Mr. McClure?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Page 47 of 48.

If you're asking about where I'm looking at tracking numbers or -- what are you asking, I guess, I'm sorry, Mr. Brancard?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: What correction you were looking for?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Oh, those green cards that you see there on that page 47 of 48 . To the left of those, that's cut off the scanned image. There should have been the actual tracking numbers which would allow us to pull our own report off the USPS, essentially. Without those tracking numbers, we don't have that ability to do so.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So I just wanted to clarify what exactly --

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, it's essentially the 16 or 20 digit number that's associated with that certified mailing.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
I guess my only question, Miss Hardy -I don't know if it's really our business, but why are we doing a non-standard spacing unit here? The V-F could have easily done these as two standard facing units, or they could have put a proximity well in.

MS. HARDY: Well, I think that the preferred development method is not using a proximity
well here with the respect to this location. And the non-standard unit will allow them to consolidate surface facilities without going through additional approvals on those. It just -- it seems most expedient and less wasteful to develop it this way. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So that's
your logic for the non-standard unit?
MS. HARDY: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
right. I don't know how we will process this. We don't normally issue, you know, four wall Division orders just for non-standard facing units, but it will get approved one way or another.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
So with that, Case 23225 is taken under advisement with the need to submit updated pictures of green cards or certified mail notices.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are on item 27, Case 23227, V-F Petroleum.

MS. MCLEAN: Jackie McLean with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of $V-F$ Petroleum.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons
for Case 23227? Hearing none, V-F may proceed.
MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
In Case Number 23227, V-F seeks to pool all
uncommitted interest within the Bone Spring formation underlying a 240-acre, more or less, standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the west half, southeast quarter of Section 29 and the west half, east half of Section 32, Township 21 South, Range 35 East in Lea County. And the unit will be dedicated to the Cache River 2932 State Com Number 103H, 203H, and 303H wells, which will be drilled from a surface hole locations in the northwest quarter, southeast corner of Section 29 to the bottom hole locations in the southwest quarter, southeast quarter of Section 32. And the Exhibit packet submitted to the Division contains Exhibit $A$, which is a land professional's testimony and related land exhibits. And there are no unlocatable parties. Exhibit B, geology testimony which includes location map; first, second, and third Bone Spring structure maps, and stratigraphic cross sections of the intervals of interest. And then Exhibit C, Notice testimony which sets out when the notice letter of this hearing and application were sent to the parties to be pooled and when they received those notices.
(Exhibit A, Exhibit B, and Exhibit C were marked for identification.) And if there's not any questions, I ask that Exhibits $A, B$, and $C$ be admitted into the record and that Case Number 23227 be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. McClure, questions?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: No. No questions, Mr. Brancard.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So did you publish notice for this case?

MS. MCLEAN: No, Mr. Examiner. We -to be honest, I've been having some difficulty with the Hobbs Newspaper. And so in this case, we relied on the notice letter because we had gotten returns or notification that everyone had received a copy of the notice in a timely manner. So we don't have a publication for this one.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So the issue then is whether Legacy --

MS. MCLEAN: Yes. And we have the --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- received notice.

MS. MCLEAN: -- printout. We attached the printout from the USPS website that they did
receive a copy of the notice and that it was delivered to Legacy's address. And then in addition to that, if you look at -- take me a minute to go through -Exhibit A5, which is attached to the landman's affidavit, they have been -- we have had them in communication with Legacy in terms of getting a JOA with them. So, you know, I think it's very clear that Legacy did receive notice from the USPS website and then also they have been in discussions with V-F throughout this process.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm probably missing something here, but the USPS item I see at the end here says that's it's been delivered to an agent for final delivery.

MS. MCLEAN: It should say -- it might have cut off the -- because on the website when we last looked at it, last checked it, it said delivered to individual at the address. I can go and provide you with that information. We can submit a supplemental exhibit for this, if you would like.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. That would help us as we don't have a publication notice here. We need to verify that Legacy did, in fact, receive this. So whatever information you have from the postal service, whether it's a green card or something
off their system that indicates that it was actually delivered, that would be helpful.

MS. MCLEAN: We'll do that,
Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, are there any other persons here for Case Number 23227? Hearing none, the case will be taken under advisement.

We'll have to look for information -record left open for information on delivery to Legacy.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are on items 28 and 29. Case numbers 23244, 23245, Earthstone Operating.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Earthstone Operating.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So we have a few entries and appearance here. MRC Delaware.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Jalapeno Corporation.

MR. BECK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Matt Beck on behalf of Jalapeno Corporation.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any
other persons for Cases 23244, 23245? Hearing none -- let me find out first, is anybody still objecting to this case?

MR. BECK: Mr. Examiner, MRC has not objected to this matter proceeded by affidavit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Jalapeno?
MR. BECK: And Jalapeno originally objected to 23244 but has withdrawn that objection.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me just try
Legacy Reserves Operating.
MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I spoke with Mr. Parrot who had entered an appearance for Legacy earlier this morning and they have withdrawn their objection, and Mr. Parrot is out of the country and was not sure if he would be able to call in.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. I'm just verifying because they did file -- he somehow managed through wherever he is to file a withdrawal of his objection and his entry appearance, but I just wanted to make sure here.

So with that, Earthstone may proceed.
MS. HARDY: Thank you. In Case
Number 23244, Earthstone seeks an order pooling uncommitted interest in the Bone Spring formation underlying a 321.48-acre, more or less, standard
horizontal spacing unit comprised of Lot 1, the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter and the east half of the southeast quarter of irregular Section 5 and the east half, east half of Section 8, Township 19 South, Range 33 East in Lea County.

And in case 23245, Earthstone seeks an order pooling uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring underlying a 321.27 -acre standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of Lot 2 , the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter and west half of the southeast quarter of irregular Section 5 and the west half, east half of Section 8, also in Township 19 South, Range 33 East.

And each of those spacing units will be dedicated to two wells. We have provided in each case the affidavits of landman Matt Solomon and geologist Jason Asmus. Mr. Solomon provides the standard land exhibits, the tract ownership, and pooled parties are identified in Exhibit A3. Mr. Asmus has not previously testified and provides his resume, and I request that the Division recognize him as an expert in petroleum geology matters.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any objections? So accepted.

> MS. HARDY: Thank you. Mr. Asmus's
exhibits include a location map, structure maps, cross section, and a gun-barrel diagram. My notice affidavit is Exhibit C.
(Exhibit A3 and Exhibit $C$ were marked for identification.)

We received green cards from all but two interest owners and we also did publish notice. Mr. Solomon also had email communication with each of the parties regarding this hearing 20 days prior.

So with that, unless there are questions, $I$ request that the exhibits be admitted and that the cases be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Let me start with MRC. Any questions or concerns?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Jalapeno? Any questions or concerns?
MR. BECK: No, thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. McClure, questions?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, Mr. Brancard.

Miss Hardy, just for a quick clarification, what is the situation with there being two pools listed here?

MS. HARDY: So it's my understanding that the district office had advised Earthstone that, I believe it's the Section 5 is in one of the pools and Section 8 is in the other pool. So both wells were produced from both pools.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Yeah, that was my speculation, but just for clarification. Thank you, Miss Hardy. Thank you, Mr. Brancard. I don't have any other questions.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you
All right. So I counted with my fingers and toes, and $I$ think that you may have published a day late.

MS. HARDY: I believe that that is correct. We submitted the notice timely, well in advance to the deadline to the newspaper, again, this is the Hobbs Newspaper, and that they published one day late considering the holidays. So I believe that is true.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: There are two holidays, and even though they fell on a Sunday, we actually have a statute that says that if a holiday falls on a Sunday, the next day's a holiday.

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So I think

December 20th was your deadline and you published on December 21.

MS. HARDY: That's correct. And I think we have green cards from all but two interest owners, although Mr. Solomon did confirm with me that he had direct contact with those parties regarding the hearing -- 20 days prior to the hearing. I can provide something from him if you would like.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Or we can just continue this to the next docket. We deal with it.

MS. HARDY: That's -- well, I think I would probably prefer to submit a supplemental affidavit from Mr. Solomon explaining he had contact with these parties and that the case be taken under advisement now, if that's acceptable to the Division. But if not, then $I$ think a continuance to the next docket just for allowing that a period not to expire is also fine.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think that's the only questions I have, so with that -- are there anyone else here for Cases 23244, 23245? If not, these cases will be continued to January 19th for notice completion. No need to file continuances.

MS. HARDY: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we're on item 30. And let me just quickly check in with our court reporter. See how you are doing.

THE REPORTER: Doing fine.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Then we will keep going. We are on item number 30, Case 23270, Earthstone Operating.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Earthstone.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We have an entry from Tap Rock Operating.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: -virtual connectivity
interruption-
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Rodriguez, you are really breaking up badly. But $I$ will accept that as an entry of appearance. If you want anything more perhaps you can put it in the chat. We really can't make out your voice, but $I$ can see your name up here. I can see you lit up. So we will take that as an entry from Tap Rock.

Are there any other persons here for Case 23270? Hearing none, Earthstone may proceed.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
In Case 23270, Earthstone seeks an order pooling uncommitted interest from the top of the
second Bone Spring to the base of the Bone Spring underlying a 638.71-acre standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the east half of Section 34, Township 19 South, Range 33 East, and Lots 1 and 2, the south half of the northeast quarter and the southeast quarter of your regular Section 3, Township 20 South, Range 33 East in Lea County. That spacing unit will be dedicated to five wells in the Jade 34-3 Fed HKY well is the proximity tract defining well.

In Case Number 23272, Earthstone seeks the pooling uncommitted interest in the Wolfcamp underlying a 319.86 -acre standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the east half, east half of Section of 34, Township 19 South, Range 33 East and Lot 1, the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter and the east half of the southeast quarter of Section 3, Township 20 South, Range 33 East. And that spacing unit will be dedicated to one well, the Jade 34-3 Fed WCB Com 25H well.

> In each case, we provided the affidavits of landman Matt Solomon and geologist Jason Asmus. Mr. Solomon provides the standard land exhibits. The tract ownership and pooled parties are identified in his Exhibit A3 and all of the parties in these cases are locatable.

that there are no horizontal wells within this spacing unit targeting the Bone Spring 2 or the Bone Spring 3; is that correct?

MS. HARDY: That there are no existing wells in those spacing units?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Correct. In
the Bone Spring 2 and the Bone Spring 3.
MS. HARDY: I believe that's correct, but $I$ can confirm that. I suppose if there were, that we would need to go through administrative approval on an overlapping spacing unit.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Correct.
Looking at the diagram, it appears that's not the case. But then like the third Bone Spring doesn't have any dots on it. I'm looking at your Exhibit B2, and I'm assuming that means there are no Bone Spring 3 wells, but rather then they're just not depicted here. But $I$ just ask and just for clarification there.

MS. HARDY: Okay. I can confirm that.
Do you want me to submit -- would you like me to submit a supplemental affidavit?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, just a very short, you know, either statement from yourself or your geologist. I'm not overly worried about it. I guess I'm going to assume that there just isn't a

Bone Spring 3 well, rather than they're just not depicted here, but just for confirmation.

MS. HARDY: Okay. I'd be happy to do that.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any other questions. Thank you, Miss Hardy. Thank you, Mr. Brancard.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. McClure, you need a supplemental geologic Exhibit; is that correct?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yes. It would be supplemental to the geology, yeah. Or maybe, I guess, we could just amend the geology one. Just with an extra statement, but $I$ don't know what we'd prefer.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Either way. Okay. So Miss Hardy, publication is late, so everything hinges on whether people were actually directly notified. And you have in one case, Devon and the other case Chevron. Big boys and girls who ought to be able to pick up their mail. So I think Ms. McLean did this in a previous case, gave us sort of the printout from the postal service that indicates a person picked up -- because otherwise we have just your spreadsheet.

MS. HARDY: Sure. I'd be happy to provide that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So if you can do that, then we can avoid continuing these cases.

MS. HARDY: I will do that. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: The other thing I noticed, and this is not something I think you need to change here, but you would need to change before you submit your C102 in 23272, C102 indicates a larger spacing unit than what you actually have. You have a red line on or surrounding the entire east half, but I believe you're just doing the east half of the east half.

MS. HARDY: Okay. I'll mention that to Earthstone. It does show the acreage listed above in the box is correct, but it's the red box; right?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's the red box, that's it.

MS. HARDY: Okay. Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: You can correct that whenever you file your C102.

MS. HARDY: Okay. I'll let them know that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So Mr. McClure was your request on both cases or just
one?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: I believe it was for -- I believe both cases were the same. Let me scroll down, but $I$ believe so, yeah. Correct, it would be for both cases.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So with that, are there any other interested persons then in Cases 23270, 23272? Hearing none, these cases will be taken under advisement.
(Exhibit A3 and Exhibit $C$ were marked for identification.

We will leave the record open to supplement the geologic exhibit with the information that Mr. McClure has requested. And the information from the U.S. Postal Service on someone picking up their mail.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, Mr. Brancard, I apologize. It would actually be just the Wolfcamp for the second one, and then the Bone Spring 3 for the first case. So for 23272 , it'd be confirmation that there are no Wolfcamp wells, and for 23270, it's Bone Spring 2 and Bone Spring 3 wells.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Whatever the formation.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Okay. With that, we are on items 32 and 33, Cases 23280, 23281, Ridge Runner Resources.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Ridge Runner Resources.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And do we have any other interested persons for Cases 23280, 23281? Hearing none, Ridge Runner to proceed.

MS. HARDY: Thank you. In Case 23280, Ridge Runner seeks an order amending Order No. R-21609-A to extend the deadline to commence drilling operations to one year from the date of the amended order. The original order pooled interest in the Bone Spring underlying a 320-acre standard horizontal unit comprised of the west half of the west half of Sections 2 and 11, Township 20 South, Range 35 East in Lea County, and dedicated the unit to the Zeus 2-11 Fed Com 1H well, Order $21609-A$ extended the deadline to commence drilling under that order until February 23rd of 2023. So we're asking for another one-year extension from the date of the amended order.

And in Case Number 23281, we make the same request with respect to the amendment of

R-21610-A. We are asking for a one-year extension from the date of the amended order. In support of the applications, we've provided the affidavit of geologist Michael Burk. He explains the delays have occurred with respect to the drilling of these wells due to delays in the issuance of federal drilling permits, which I believe they do have now, but those were just issued in the last couple of months, as well as supply chain delays and limited rig availability. And then in addition, these wells are in proximity to the lesser prairie-chicken habitat, and drilling will be restricted during certain months. And so those delays will also impact the drilling of these wells.

So that's the reason for the extension. My notice affidavit is Exhibit B.
(Exhibit A and Exhibit $B$ were marked for identification.)

We did have a few parties who did not receive notice, but we did publish timely. -virtual connectivity interruption-

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Don't know what that is.

MS. HARDY: And we did notify the parties who had received the original pooling applications. So with that, unless there are
questions, $I$ request the exhibits be admitted and that the cases be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr. McClure, questions?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yes,
Mr. Brancard. I do have a few questions. Ms. Hardy, so then the reason of limited rig availability and supply chain delays is that not one of the reasons for the extension request then based off your testimony just now?

MS. HARDY: No. Those are included, also. In Mr. Burk's affidavit was the multiple reasons for the extension request.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Would you describe it as more of a minor addition to the two that you actually addressed directly already then?

MS. HARDY: Yes. I think so. I think the primary issues have been the delay and the issuance of the federal APDs. And then now, compounded by the prairie-chicken issue.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Now, you mention that you believe that the BLM has approved the APDs. I guess, are you aware of why Ridge Runner has not submitted those APDs to the Division yet then?

MS. HARDY: That $I$ do not know. I
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believe they were just recently issued; I think.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. And okay, so they were just getting ready to send it to us. Okay. I have no other questions. Thank you, Ms. Hardy. Thank you, Mr. Brancard.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Again, the only concern here is that the publication is a day late. And you do have several return to senders here so we're going to have to continue these cases to January 19.

MS. HARDY: Okay. Yes, thank you. I see that. Holidays jammed up the newspaper, I believe.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
So with that, are there any other interested persons for Cases 23280, 23281? Hearing none, these cases will be continued to January 19th. All the exhibits will be admitted in the record. We will wait for the end of the notice period.
(Exhibit A and Exhibit B were received into evidence.)

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, I believe we are on item 34, Case 23284, Mewbourne Oil

Company.
MR. BRUCE: -- on behalf of Mewbourne.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you,
Mr. Bruce.
MRC Permian Company.
MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael
Feldewert from the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Any other interested persons for Case 23284? Hearing none, I believe we have a motion to dismiss, Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, Mr. Examiner. Let me explain briefly. These Chile Verde cases were originally filed about a year and a half ago, who, when orders were obtained, the wells were never drilled. At that point, the Division assigned these wells -- Wolfcamp gas pool. And early this week I learned to my horror that had changed, and there was nothing to do but to dismiss this case because it wasn't -- didn't properly set forth the facts. And I have refiled an application for these wells which I believe is Case 23324 set for February 2nd. And I explained this to Mr. Feldewert.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Any concerns from MRC?

MR. MCCLURE: No, sir.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, Case 23284 will be dismissed. Thank you -- really short order.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we're on Case 23285, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for Case 23285? Hearing none, Mewbourne may proceed.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, this is another case that was actually filed a couple of years ago. I don't think it was ever brought to fruition, but this case has been pending out there for a couple of years. And as a result there have been numerous negotiations between the parties. Mewbourne decided to refile the applications, so it started over with a new law proposal and a new AFE. In this case, Mewbourne seeks to force pool the Wolfcamp formation in a horizontal spacing unit underlying the south half of Sections 26 and 27, 22 South 27 East for its Waterboy wells. These are in the Purple Sage Wolfcamp gas pool.

There's the affidavit of Matt Dunn. His affidavit contains the usual information and the usual land plats, C105s, information on the tract. It's only one tract involved, and the parties being pooled contains information -- a summary of communications, and the proposed notice letter and AFEs. That was the information that the Division requires is there. There's also the self-affirmed statement of Tyler Hill, the geologist which contains for the Wolfcamp the usual structure map, and cross section. It also contains a production table which together with the affidavit shows the preference for lay-down units in this area. And then it contains the horizontal drilling plans.

I was given the parties to notify by Mewbourne. I gave notice to them over the holidays. Certified return mail has been -- it's been devilishly slow in coming in. I did publish -- there's one fault -- you see it in my brand new pooling checklist, Mr. Examiner. On this and other matters, I sent out about a half a dozen notices to be published by the Carlsbad newspaper.

What I've given you is the group of publication as such which shows that I believe the publication was timely made, but $I$ have never seen the
actual affidavit of publication. And I believe the publication was timely that $I$ would like a little additional time whether it's one week or two weeks to see if $I$ can draw in that affidavit of publication and get that into the record. And then I'll also do a pooling spreadsheet which I should have done Tuesday night, but $I$ was pretty worn out.

I would point out that the pooling checklist that $I$ submitted does -- is the Division's form that the top two lines of the heading are a little different. The Division's form was in a spreadsheet form which $I$ absolutely detest. And fortunately my friend Michael Rodriguez converted this to Word format for me. It looks a little different from the Division's, but all of the requested information that the Division wants is in the pooling checklist. And with that, I would move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 6 and ask that the case be taken under advisement with the exception that if it could be kept open to see if $I$ can get the actual publication affidavit from the newspaper.
(Exhibit 1 through Exhibit 6 were marked for identification.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. McClure, any questions?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: No questions,
Mr. Brancard.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Okay. What we need then, Mr. Bruce, is if you could get that affidavit of publication -- it appears to be timely based on what $I$ see at the last line of the -what you've submitted to us. And then you want to give us a spreadsheet of the notice that you've done. MR. BRUCE: Yes.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So with that -MR. BRUCE: I apologize, and this applies to all my cases, but as you well know, I was the one who was kind of getting frantic over the Division's filing system being unavailable on Tuesday night, and $I$ just kind of lost interest in pooling spreadsheets for a few hours. And then it was complicated by the fact that yesterday I still had to get stuff filed for Marlene, and I was barely able to do that because $I$ was without electricity for $3 / 4$ of the day. So it was a little difficult getting stuff done.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. So with that, your exhibits will be admitted into the record, and Case 23285 will be taken under advisement and the record left open for the affidavit
of publication and the notice spreadsheet.
(Exhibit 1 through Exhibit 6 were received into evidence.)

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are on items 36, 37, and 38. These are cases 23290, 23291, 23292, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons that are for Cases 23290, 291, 292? Hearing none, Mewbourne to proceed.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I've submitted through exhibit packages. They're virtually identical. In each of these cases, Mewbourne seeks to reopen its Puma Blanca 21,22 Bone Spring pooling cases to pool additional parties, but the only people they seek to pool are record title owners so that Mewbourne can obtain approved time agreements from the VLM.

And so the Exhibit packages contain the application and proposed notice, the landman's affidavit with the original pooling orders which had been issued over the last three to six months, I
believe. And below information on the well unit and the companies involved and they are record title owners. And then, of course, my self-affirmed statement of notice and the affidavit of locations. Again, I believe each affidavit -- each exhibit is more or less identical. Each publication affidavit was timely filed, but a lot of these green cards haven't come in. And once again, I would just ask for a little time to -- I'm sure it had to do with the multiple holidays. I would like to get a little additional time to see if more green cards come in, and then $I$ will do a more official spreadsheet for you on each case.

And with that, I ask that Exhibits 1 through 4 in each case be admitted and that the record be kept open so that I can submit the additional notice information as it arrives.
(Exhibit 1 through Exhibit 4 were marked for identification.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. McClure, questions?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: No questions, Mr. Brancard.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I just wanted to clarify, Mr. Bruce, from your list of parties here --

I'm looking at the first Case, 90. It looks like you have two very small interest owners?

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. They're -- let me dig that up. Yes, very small fee owners. I believe Mewbourne has come to terms with them for the drilling of the wells, but not as -- that they haven't signed anything approving the COM agreement. And that's the reason we are pooling them here.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.

All right. Are there any other interested persons then for Cases 23290, 291, 292? Hearing none, these cases will be taken under advisement, and you need a notice spreadsheet and maybe some green cards.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Mr. Brancard? For Case 23292, the notice of publication looks like it is dated 12/21.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay. That
is correct.
MR. BRUCE: Which case was that,
Mr. McClure?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Case 23292, the last one.

MR. BRUCE: So that's to be continued.

That would clear -- another two weeks would clear that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, that's correct. Okay. Yes. So let me clarify then. So Cases 23290, 23291, exhibits will be accepted in the record.

The cases we take under advisement and submit another notice spreadsheet, record left open.
(Exhibit 1 through Exhibit 4 were received into evidence.)

And in Case 23292, will be continue to January 19th for notice purposes --

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. It
is 10:08. Why don't we take a break until, say, 10:15. And then we can deal with the more exciting cases here today. We will take up item number 39, Case 23282, 10:15.
(Off the record.)
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is our court reporter ready? Dana?

THE REPORTER: Yes, I'm ready.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. We are back on the record. The hearings of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. Thursday,

| 1 | January 5, 2023. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | On today's worksheet, we are now on |
| 3 | item 39, Case 23282. DJR Operating. |
| 4 | MR. RANKIN: Good morning, |
| 5 | Mr. Examiner. Adam Rankin, appearing on behalf of the |
| 6 | applicant in this case, with the Santa Fe office of |
| 7 | Holland \& Hart. |
| 8 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are |
| 9 | there other entries of appearance for Case 23282? |
| 10 | MS. YAZZIE-LEWIS: There's Esther |
| 11 | Yazzie-Lewis. |
| 12 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Esther |
| 13 | Yazzie-Lewis. |
| 14 | MS. YAZZIE-LEWIS: That's correct, yes. |
| 15 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are you here on |
| 16 | behalf of yourself or others? |
| 17 | MS. YAZZIE-LEWIS: Others, with my |
| 18 | siblings. |
| 19 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And they |
| 20 | are interest owners within this area? |
| 21 | MS. YAZZIE-LEWIS: Right. We're |
| 22 | allottees. |
| 23 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. |
| 24 | Are there any other interested parties |
| 25 | today? |
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MS. JOHNSTON: Hello?
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes? Please identify yourself.

MS. JOHNSTON: This is Teresa Pierce
Johnston. I'm also an Indian allottee. I'm also listening in on the hearing with some siblings. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry, could you just give me your name again, Teresa Johnston?

MS. JOHNSTON: Teresa Johnston.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Johnston, okay.
MS. JOHNSTON: I called in early, way prior to meeting. I'm also an Indian allottee.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. I guess with that, if DJR would like to tell us what they want to present today.

MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. In this application, DJR is seeking the approval of the terms of an amendment to the Carson unit agreement which we've attached as Exhibit A to the application which would affect and to expand the geographic area of the Carson unit and to modify the description or definition of the unitized interval.

In support of its application, DJR filed exhibits on Tuesday. They're marked as Exhibits A through E. They're filed with the Division.

Exhibit $A$ is a copy of the application that was filed in the case.
(Exhibit A was marked for identification.)

Mr. Examiner, one thing $I$ want to point
out as I -- before I go forward -- is just to point out there was a very minor over-inclusive description of the acreage for the Carson that $I$ would like to just make note of on the record. And that is in paragraph 3 -- oh, let me correct that. It's in paragraph 5 of the application with respect to Township 25 North, Range 12 West, in Section 22, the application describes the acreage in Section 22 as including the west half, the north half of the northeast quarter. In fact, it should be the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter. And so the description in the application is over-inclusive by including all of the north half of the northeast quarter. So the correct description is the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter. And as $I$ will point out as we go through this, the correct description is in Miss Binion's land statement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me -- just go through that again. You're on what page? You're on page 3 of the application?

MR. RANKIN: It's page 3 of the application, paragraph 5.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
MR. RANKIN: And in the second description of the Township there, Township 25 North, Range 12 West, in Section 22, there's a description there includes the north half of the northeast quarter. And it should actually be the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Just want to make sure we are understanding it. Okay. Thank you.

MR. RANKIN: Yeah, you're welcome. Thank you for taking that into consideration. So that's Exhibit $A$, is the application that was filed. Exhibit $B$ is the self-affirmed statement of DJR's landman, Mona Binion.
(Exhibit $B$ was marked for identification.)

She has previously testified before the Division and has had her credentials as an expert in petroleum land matters accepted as a matter of record.

Her self-affirmed statement reviews the history of this Carson unit and the previous orders that have been entered in the previous contractions of the unit.

Exhibit B 1 is a general location map that generally identifies the location of the unit boundary along -- just to the west of Highway 550.
(Exhibit B1 was marked for
identification.)
She reviews, as I mentioned, the history of the unit and provides copies of the former orders and the unit agreements that were previously approved. And then describes the contractions that have occurred over time. And then describes the agreement and approval from the BLM and the Federal Indian Minerals Office that would amend and expand the unit acreage. And as I mentioned, her statement correctly reflects the acreage in Section 22 of Township 25 North, Range 12 West.

Also BLM, as I mentioned, has
approved -- and the Federal Indian Mineral Office has already approved this unit agreement and the expansion along with all the elements that we're requesting be reflected in the amended order here. And that approval is included as Exhibit B7, and Miss Binion's self-affirmed statement.
(Exhibit $\mathrm{B7}$ was marked for identification.)

Mr. Examiner, one thing we noticed when we submitted this is that there were actually two additional attachments that we would like to include in Exhibit B7. And so we will be filing an amended, revised Exhibit $B 7$ after this hearing just so the record is complete and the addition there will show the updated map and the leases that were approved by the BLM. So those will be included on Exhibit B7 when we file a revised version of that Exhibit.

Exhibit $C$ is the self-affirmed
statement of DJR's geologist, Mr. Jack Rosenthal.
Mr. Rosenthal has not previously testified before the Division. Attached to his self-affirmed statement is Exhibit C1, which is a copy of his resume which reflects his expertise and education background in geology. At this time, Mr. Examiner, I would request that Mr . Rosenthal be recognized as an expert in petroleum geology matters.
(Exhibit $C$ and Exhibit $C 1$ were marked for identification.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So recognized.
MR. RANKIN: Attached are Exhibits C2 through C5 which are his geologic analysis of the unit
acreage.
(Exhibit C2 through Exhibit C5 were marked for identification.)

C2 reflects a structure map depicting the outline of the unit area along with lines of cross section from A to A prime and B to B prime. Also reflected on this map is an indication of the type log well that was used to identify and define the unitized interval. Exhibit C 3 is a copy of the cross section from A to A prime reflecting the acreage within the unit expansion. It's showing that the target interval is consistent across the entire acreage. That includes same thing perceived Exhibit C4 which is a cross section from $B$ to $B$ prime. Exhibit C5 is a depiction of the type log showing the unitized interval withing the Mancos formation as approved by the BLM.

Exhibit D is a copy of the affidavit prepared by myself in our office reflecting that we have provided notice of this application and hearing to each of the parties that were identified to us by DJR. And those include all the working interest owners, and allottee owners, the BLM, and State Land Office.
(Exhibit D was marked for
identification.)

One thing, Mr. Examiner, I meant to mention, which I didn't, in my initial discussion is that the proposed acreage here would include some state trust lands. DJR has been in discussions with the State Land Office over the commitment of those lands to this unit area. The State Land Office has been evaluating and continues to evaluate whether or not they want to commit those tracts to the unit. Ms. Binion did just speak with Mr. Dawson yesterday, and Mr. Dawson indicated that the State Land Office is still reviewing and evaluating whether to commit its acreage to this unit. Mr. Dawson did indicate that if the Division has any questions or concerns or would like to talk to him, he's happy to have anybody at the Division to reach out to him.

Exhibit E, Mr. Examiner, is a copy of the notice of publication affidavit reflecting that we have caused notice of this hearing and the application to be published in the Farmington Daily Times, that we've identified each of the parties whose interest would be included in the unit in that publication.
(Exhibit E was marked for identification.)

Mr. Examiner, this is a unit that has a long history and there's a lot of moving parts to it, but the intent here was to provide the elements that are necessary for an amended order, and $I$ understand that you may have questions. And if you do, we have both Mr. Rosenthal to address any geologic questions, and Miss Binion to address any land questions that you may have. And if there are no questions, then $I$ would ask that the exhibits be accepted into the record, A through E with their attachments, and that the case be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Well, let me start with Mr. McClure. Then I will ask some questions, and then we will ask whether anybody listening has any comments on the application.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yes,
Mr. Brancard, I do have some questions.
Mr. Rankin, it looks like your
Exhibit B7, the approval letter from the BLM, essentially, is this the initial approval for the expansion or is this something else because he doesn't reference the additional 16,000 acres that's being added here.

MR. RANKIN: Yeah, it's actually the final approval that was issued by the DLM for the
expansion of the unit and the amendment.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: So the BLM has already approved it, so they're not needing us to give any nods then; is that correct?

MR. RANKIN: Well, Mr. McClure, yes, the BLM has already approved it and, in fact, the DJR drilled its commitment well. And so that well's been drilled, and the unit has been perfected. But there is an existing order in place that that has a different configuration in the Divisions records, and so we're asking, based on the BLM's approvals and the changes that were made to the unit agreement that the Division update its order to reflect those changes.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Just making sure I'm understanding. I guess my question, though, is you referenced that you were going to add or additionally submit some maps and such associated with this approval letter here; is that correct?

MR. RANKIN: That's correct. There are -- essentially Exhibit $B 6$ is a similar copy of that -- what we'll be submitting as part of the attachment to be 7. It's slightly different because we've added some different elements to that map on B6. But you'll see that the outline of the expanded Carson unit area is indicated on that map as well as the
existing unit area in the black outline.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: I guess, is this approval then from the BLM? Was this just a oversight on their part, not including the full acreage?

MR. RANKIN: No, the full acreage is included. The full acreage was included in their approval -- in their final approval.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, but on this approval letter that's on page 108 of 150 of our imaging, $I$ was going to say, when this approval letter from the BLM doesn't seem to -- unless I'm missing it here -- it doesn't seem to address the actual expansion to it.

MR. RANKIN: Well, I'll have to confer with Miss Binion to see. My understanding, however, Mr. McClure, is that this is the file approval that did approve that additional acreage and the expansion of the unit area.

Mr. McClure, I'm discussing with Miss Binion who's actually in my office, and I will confer with her and if we need to supplement the record to show the approval of the expansion in addition to the amendment, then we'll do that subsequent to this hearing.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: I was going to
say now, the unit agreement, is there a signed unit agreement that represents the entire key here then? I know there's a sample unit agreement here. I don't know if it was the signed one because normally we get the pre-approved or whatever where it's not actually finalized yet.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. McClure, Exhibit B5 is
the amendment to the unit agreement, and as I understand from Miss Binion, the BLM no longer signs the unit agreements. They will issue a letter reflecting their approval, but they no longer will sign the unit agreement or the amendment. So Exhibit B5 is that amendment -- by the BLM.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: What is the date on the unit agreement being approved. I'm sure it's here. I just don't know exactly where it's at here.

MR. RANKIN: Well, the amendment was approved effective July 1, 2022. The --

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, but I mean as far as the unit agreement that's included here, all the signatures are prior to the July 1st approval letter from the BLM?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. McClure, some of these
questions may be better addressed by Miss Binion. But as I understand -- there's been a unit agreement in place and all the -- search were attached to that original unit agreement. So then the amendment became effective as of July 1, 2022.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 1: Are you going anywhere today?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 2: I don't know.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Excuse me, if you're a call-in user, could you mute yourself, please.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: So I guess, Mr. Rankin, do we have anything in writing from the BLN that actually addresses the full 20,000 then? Is that -- or is this the only thing we have then? The one that's included here.

MR. RANKIN: Miss Binion is indicating to me that, yes, they have an in writing approval from the BLM that reflects the approval of the acreage included. And I think what looks like we attached as the Exhibit B7 was the -- there are two separate approvals. One was for the amendment to the unit agreement. And the second was for the expansion to include the additional acreage.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Oh, I got you.

So this is the amendment and there should also be an expansion approval; is that what's going on then?

MR. RANKIN: That's my understanding now that I'm -- yes, that's my understanding. There's a separate -- maybe a separate of approval that communicates the additional acreage being approved for expansion.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Now, we are wishing to add the expansion, I mean, that's the point of what we're doing today is to add the expansion; correct, as well?

MR. RANKIN: That's correct, as well. So then the one on the one hand there's some amendments to the unit agreement itself reflecting the change in the unitized interval and some other modifications that are reflected in the exhibit as well as to expand the acreage.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. And you have that available and you'll be able to submit that to us when you also submit your additional to your Exhibit B7 then; is that correct?

MR. RANKIN: We will.
MS. BINION: Yes, sirs.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. On the unitized interval, did it actually change
or are we just changing the designated well or what's actually going on there. I know our initial order from 1961, or whatever it is, doesn't reference the unitized interval. So I guess, I'm not sure. Are we actually changing the unitized interval here with this amendment or are you just asking us to put it into our order, recognizing what the unitized interval is?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. McClure, my
understanding is that previously, the unit had unitized all depths. So this will be a contraction of the unitized interval to limit it to make this as defined.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. So it is changing, but it's being reduced substantially then. Is that your understanding?

MR. RANKIN: Yes.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. And
then in regards to the typo in the original
application, referring to the north half of the northeast quarter versus the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 22 , were you planning on just submitting to us an amended -- I mean, I guess an amended Exhibit A although technically, I guess it would no longer be your initial application, I guess, if that was the intent.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. McClure, I think that because the description was over-inclusive in the application, effectively what we're doing here is on the record dismissing the northeast quarter of Section 22 from the application. And that's correctly described in Miss Binion's land statement.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, there's the intent for where removing, I don't know if there is necessarily a notice concern since you are like as you state, you're removing rather than adding to. But having said that, I'm just looking at making sure our record is easy, I guess, to see the actual true. And I don't know if we just add -- if we just have you take a verbal statement here or a written statement or if we want to amend Exhibit A. I'm not sure what our best path forward is. Maybe Mr. Brancard has a suggestion in regards to that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We'll need to clarify at some point with a document. Exactly what is the final area here. I have a number of questions about it myself.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. I'll
let you -- when you touch base with them, then you guys can hash that out. A question I had, Mr. Rankin, was the State Land Office notified of this hearing
then?
MR. RANKIN: They were, Mr. McClure.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Do we have that included here? I just -- I didn't notice them in your certified mailing. I don't know if this is like -- in your conversation with Mr. Dawson was it just kind of a communication in regards to that or was there actually an official notification done?

MR. RANKIN: I believe, Mr. Examiner that they were officially notified of the application. THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Maybe I just am missing it here.

MR. RANKIN: We've been in discussions with them throughout the process going back for several years and including during the course of their application.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Oh, don't get me wrong -- I would assume that they're aware, but we don't -- I'm not sure if we have anything actually demonstrating that here, though, is what I'm getting at.

MR. RANKIN: Okay.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: And maybe I'm just missing it, but $I$ don't see where they're included here. And it looks like you have everything
in alphabetical order, so I'm assuming you'd be under in there, but I'm --

MR. RANKIN: I will look through it,
Mr. Examiner. I don't myself -- there's a large number of folks here. I don't see them myself at the moment, but perhaps if they're not on this list, I will double check, and perhaps an email from Mr. Dawson indicating that he has received notice of the application will be sufficient.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: I'll, of course, defer to Mr. Brancard, but $I$ wonder if something like that might be sufficient if we don't have it here. Some sort of written statement from the state land from Mr. Dawson may be sufficient, but like I said, I'll leave it to Mr. Brancard and what his thoughts are there.

Yeah, at this point, I don't think I have any more questions. Thank you, Mr. Rankin. Thank you, Mr. Brancard.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. All right. Let me start with the application, Mr. Rankin. Just a curious question on paragraph 8 of the application, it says, "DJR controls operations over committed tracts covering 92 percent of the expanded unit area." What's the other 8 percent? Is that
unleased minerals or is that some other operator?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Mr. Examiner, the other 8 percent constitute the state lands that are within next year boundaries of the acreage. And then there are three Indian leases for whom the lessees have opted not to join at this time.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So state lands are not leased?

MR. RANKIN: No. The state lands are leased.

MS. BINION: -- State Land Office has not --

MR RANKIN: But the State Land Office is not at this time committed to including those lands in the unit agreement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is DJR the state lessee?

MR. RANKIN: Yes, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And so there are
three tracts of allotted lands that are not leased?
MS. BINION: They're leased.
MR. RANKIN: They're leased, but the lessees were not DJR have not committed their interests to the acreage or to the unit agreement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. But
that acreage is within this description?
MR. RANKIN: It's within the exterior boundaries; correct.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: As is the state lands?

MR. RANKIN: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So one thing
that seems to be missing from your application is a legal description of the entire area, the 23,000 acres. You have 7,000; you have a 16,000.

MR. RANKIN: Well, they were previously
described, Mr. Examiner, in the application and are being referred to with their defined terms. But we can submit a statement that includes the correct complete definition of the expanded acreage.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, I think we'll need that for our order -- is a legal description of this new unit area because that's what we're being asked to approve here or bless. So, okay. Let me go then to Exhibit B6, the big map. Now, I'm really confused here, okay. So the red area is what's currently in OCD's order?

MR. RANKIN: That's correct, Mr. Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. The
dashed area is what the new order will be?
MR. RANKIN: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. What's the solid line? The Carson unit Mancos participating area.

MR. RANKIN: I think I'm going to try to explain this, but basically, the solid black line is the participating area, the Mancos participating area in the old unit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. But does it have any meaning for us right now? Let me just try that.

MR. RANKIN: I don't think so,
Mr. Examiner. I hazard to guess. I don't think so. I think this was intended to show an evolution of the unit boundaries over time, and the current participating area for the Mancos unit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So if everything in the red is currently in the order, and everything within the dashed line will be in the order, there seems to be areas that are under the -within the red that are not within the dashed area.

MR. RANKIN: That's right.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So we're not just expanding the area, we're also contracting it in
some way?
MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, the when you go back to the application or actually Exhibit B, Miss Binion's statement, there was a period in 1961, in paragraph 9 of her statement, where under the terms of the agreement, it contracted automatically. So that's why the contraction that occurred in 1961 is not reflected in the Division's order because it contracted under its own terms in 1961.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. But what's within the dashed lines, that's what we're being asked to approve as the new unit area?

MR. RANKIN: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And so within that dashed line, according to this document, there's 1,360 acres of state trust land?

MR. RANKIN: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you want us to include the state trust land within this area?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, that's correct. I guess the bottom line is, however, that unless and until the state land commits its acreage to the unit agreement, it will not be -- those tracts will not be committed to the agreement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So it's
part of the unit, but they're not committed yet.
MR. RANKIN: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Along with the lessees of three allotted tracts?

MR. RANKIN: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. I'm just trying to understand this, okay? Okay, so yes, the B7, we clearly need a letter from the BLM that talks about the 23,000 acres or just the addition of the 16,000. Mr. McClure has already addressed that issue. So I don't know if you've discussed this, but you also have letters here from the Federal Indian Minerals Office, sometimes known as FIMO, where they approved this. Now, in the FIMO document, they also say they don't have any legal description. They just say they're covering the lands identified in Exhibit A, attached in the amendment is Exhibit 4 and the Exhibit B is Exhibit 5. Perhaps we need those Exhibits.

MR. RANKIN: Yes, Mr. Examiner, and those were the attachments, I believe, that I indicated that we're going to be submitting a revised B7 that will include those attachments.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. I think what $M r$. McClure and $I$ are trying to get at
here, is that we kind of like to just have a sense that everybody's approving the same acreage here. MR. RANKIN: Yes.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay? Before we get asked to bless anything. I mean, you've done the right thing. Gotten the approvals from BLM and Federal Indian Minerals Office first. So that makes our life a lot easier. But we want to make sure that they have approved what you say they have approved. MR. RANKIN: Yes. I understand from Miss Binion that the approval on the acreage expansion also from BLM also includes the FIMO approval as well. So when we submit that as an updated exhibit, that will be included.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So I don't know how you can explain this, but since we have a number of allottees on the phone today, can you explain what the impact of this expansion of the unit area is to say an allottee whose lands are within the expanded area?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think I lost Mr. Rankin on that one.

MR. RANKIN: Sorry, Mr. Examiner. I was taking comments from Miss Binion, so I had a reasonable response to your question. Mr. Examiner, I
think that the answer is that it expands the acreage within which the allottees stand to benefit. And so that drilling within the unit boundaries will have the benefit of allocating production to more allottees than previously. And even though the unitized interval is being contracted to a smaller segment of the formation, that won't impact the allottees because they still have an interest in those tracts. So essentially the expansion of the unit will allow for greater acreage to be included and developed over time so that more allottees will benefit from production.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is it fair to
say that any production that occurs within this unit, if this unit is approved -- seemingly has -- that any of the allottees will benefit from any production within the unit regardless of whether that production is occurring on their land?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, that's a difficult question because the BLM is no longer approving undivided units. And so they are only approving divided units which means that after a well comes on, after a well is drilled, and starts producing, the BLM must do a determination to confirm that the well is commercial and paying as producing commercial quantities. And at that time, then the BLM
will make a determination on what the participating area should be or how that well should be included in the existing participating area. So only allottees or interest owners that are included within a participating area as defined by the BLM will benefit from the production of that well. As the DJR develops the acreage within the unit -- the participating area is expected to increase over time as the BLM expands it based on production. So the short answer to your question is no, only allottees within the participating area as defined by the BLM will share in production until wells are drilled, in stepwise fashion out and additional acreage is included in the participating area.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So is there a plan there by DJR to move sort of across this area or expand from one part of it to another?

MR. RANKIN: Yes, Mr. Examiner, DJR does have a plan, and $I$ believe as with all unit agreements, they have to submit a plan of development every year, identify what their plans are going forward, and what they have done in the previous year.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So creating a larger unit allows them to sort of more rationally expand that development, use existing facilities,
etc.?
MR. RANKIN: That's right,
Mr. Examiner, especially in the northwest, unit development is crucial to be able to effectively, efficiently develop acreage to reduce impacts on the surface, to reduce roads and other efficiencies that are not otherwise possible in the normal course of development. So unitization allows operators like DJR to develop acreage with having a smaller impact on the surface generally.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. All right.

With that, $I$ will open it up first to the persons who have identified themselves. We have Esther Yazzi-Lewis. Do you have any questions or comments at this point?

MS. YAZZI-LEWIS: I had received a certified letter indicating there was a hearing today. And I made several phone calls. I finally got ahold of Mr. Rankin and also the Indian Office of Minerals, and $I$ was told that -- my question was, if there is more drilling on the allotment, will there be more drilling and $I$ know with the Mancos drilling they drill down and then they go horizontal. And my question is, as you guys were talking, if they
faulting next to us, drills and then they go horizontal into our allotment, we lose out on the mineral benefits; am I right?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I'll let Mr. Rankin answer that. I think the answer is no. That you will be sharing with the benefits from that, but how that's allocated is something I think that Mr. Rankin indicated that the BLM will determine who all participates in each of those wells. Participates means, in other words, who gets to share in the royalties. Mr. Rankin?

MS. YAZZI-LEWIS: Just one minute. I guess my question is that those are the square here, and then my allotment is right next door, if the allotment next door to me are willing to approve more drilling, if they drill and we, on our allotment say we don't want any more new drilling, our neighbor drills and they go horizontal into our allotment, we lose out on the money; right?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner and Miss Yazzie-Lewis, if $I$ understand your question, the answer is if a horizontal well has a surface on a different tract of land than yours but is drilled and completed within your tract and produces from your tract, then the owners, including yourself within that
tract would benefit from the value of that production. In other words, you'd get paid a share of the production according to the BLM's allocation methodology within the participating area.

MS. YAZZIE-LEWIS: Okay. That means that -- I'm sorry for prolonging this -- that means that as long as they don't drill on our allotment, our neighbor drills and they take the resources off of our land, you're saying that we still benefit under the next doors allotment because it should record that they're taking the resources out from under our allotment.

MR. RANKIN: Yes, Miss Yazzie-Lewis, when the application for the well is filed with the Division and the BLM, they indicate the location of the lateral -- the well board that's going to penetrate your tract. And pursuant to the agreements that have been entered with FIMO and the BLM, there's an allocation formula that will allocate production from that lateral with the owners within the area. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- next door neighbor.

MS. YAZZIE-LEWIS: Our position is that the expansion, $I$ don't think, or the making the land smaller, I don't think we questioned that. We
question -- our interest is that we don't want new wells on our allotment. That's our position. Am I right, Sara. Yes, she said.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you.

So Mr. Rankin, I think an important part of this discussion is what you had discussed earlier, which is that DJR will be required to submit an annual plan; is that correct, of development?

MR. RANKIN: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That will be submitted to the BLM and to FIMO?

MR. RANKIN: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Will that plan be available for people to look at? I would hope so.

MR. RANKIN: Yes, it is, Mr. Examiner. And that plan is filed annually every March.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So I think for folks wanting to know what is planned in terms of possible drilling -- that doesn't mean that they will actually get drilled -- but what their company is hoping to drill, that would be something for them to check with the DLM, district office, and FIMO to find out what the plan is and what the
company's plan is for that coming year.
So with that, I think we had Teresa Johnston, also? You want to say anything today or questions?

MS. JOHNSTON: Yes. Hello? This is
Teresa Johnston. I did also receive a certified letter and that's why I'm attending this meeting. And a few of my siblings never received any certified mail, and they were wondering what had happened. I only have one sibling but she's attending the veteran's meeting right now. And -- but as I understand on my sister Esther's question on horizontal drilling, that we still, yes, we still get the benefits because you know that's how a company would be drilling into our tract land and it's been our area. It's where our resources are at. We still receive benefits off of that one because I used to work with Metro Resources before. Anyway, it's a very interesting meeting, and I'd like to see more of the info -- information on what they had approved on way back. All the questions that were asked on here. Would we be receiving those as well, like, letters that they had needed -- something that Mr. McClure was asking on?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: These documents
will be placed on our website.
MS. JOHNSTON: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And they can be available and used. What you do is, you use the case number for this case which is 23282.

MS. JOHNSTON: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: When you open the case file, you'll see all the documents that have been submitted, and of course, you want to look at the bottom -- that's where the most recent ones are.

MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, okay. All right.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All the
documents are public.
MS. JOHNSTON: Yes. This is a very good Exhibt B6 graph. This is what I used to work on things like this before. Okay. Thank you, that's it.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Check again. Are there any other persons wanting to comment on this application, Case 23282? Hearing none, Mr. Rankin, do you need to summarize and be clear what you need to submit to us?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, thank you. I appreciate the questions from the Division and the examiners. We will submit an updated statement from Miss Binion that includes all the acreage described
within the expanded area so that it's in one single location. We will submit the final BLM and FIMO approval reflecting the expanded acreage. I do believe that what we provided in the exhibit packet was just for the amendment to the unit agreement. So we will provide you the file approval of the expanded acreage. And then finally, we'll submit a revised Exhibit B7 that includes those attachments that you referred to so that it's clear what both FIMO and BLM are referring to in their approvals.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. McClure, anything else?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Just something from the State Land Office showing that they were notified of this hearing.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you. I'll add that as well.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. If anybody is watching this on their computer, in the chat section, Marlene has placed the link to where you can look up case files.

Thank you, I appreciate everyone today. With that, the exhibits as submitted by DJR accepted into the record. This case will be taken under advisement with the record left open for the exhibits
that Mr. Rankin has discussed, or the BLM have approvals and FIMO approvals. And finally a actual legal description of the new area as amended.

With that, thank you everyone for
Case 23282.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, I
will call Case 23283. DJR Operating. Are you ready to go forward, Mr. Rankin, or do you need a break.

MR. RANKIN: Okay, Mr. Examiner. I
appreciate the inquiry, but I'm ready to go forward. Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of the applicant in the case, DJR. And appearing on behalf of the operator with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart. We have only witnesses by affidavits today.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So the prior case was the Carson unit expansion. This is the Ponderosa unit reduction.

Any other interested persons wanting to speak today on Case 23283? Hearing none for now, DJR may proceed.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. In this case, $D J R$ is requesting an order to amend Order R-14914 to reduce the geographic area of the proposed Ponderosa unit to modify the definition of the unitized interval and to reflect that the proposed
unit agreement from the U.S. Bureau of Land Management is a model form exploratory unit agreement, divided type form which no longer provides for a single participating area.

We filed on Tuesday, Mr. Examiner, a exhibit packet consisting of Exhibits A through E. (Exhibit A through Exhibit E were marked for identification.) Exhibit A is a copy of the application that was filed in this case, providing an overview of the history of this particular unit, the acreage that was included under the original order, and the amendments to that order that $D J R$ is requesting in this application.

Exhibit $B$ is a copy of the self-affirmed statement of DJR's senior land consultant, Miss Mona Binion who has previously testified before the Division, and her credentials as an expert in petroleum land matters has previously been accepted and made a matter of record. Her self-affirmed statement reviews the Ponderosa unit in its history, the prior order that approved the unitization of the acreage under the Division Order R-14914.

> Attached to her exhibit is Exhibit B1
which is a general location map reflecting the general location of the unit boundary which is just west of Highway 550 near Nageezi. In her statement she also reviews the background of the order that created the unit initially which is attached as Exhibit B2. She describes the acreage that was initially included in that order.

> Exhibit B3 is a copy of the unit agreement that was approved at that time and incorporated in the original order from the Division. Exhibit B4, in her self-affirmed statement, is a copy of the initial preliminary approval of the U.S. Bureau of Land Management and Federal Indian Minerals Office. I'm sorry. Let me restate that. Exhibit B4 is a copy of the new form of unit agreement that would designate DJR as the unit operator. Exhibit B5 is a copy of a map that reflects the acreage that would be included in the reduced unit area as well as an outline of the acreage that would be excluded. Let's see. Exhibit B6 is the preliminary approval from the BLM authorizing -- giving preliminary approval of the unit agreement as proposed, and the reduction in the geographic area.

Exhibit $C$ is a copy of the self-affirmed statement of Mr. Jack Rosenthal. He is
the vice president of Geosciences for DJR Operating. He has now previously testified before the Division and has had his credentials accepted as a matter of record. Attached to his self-affirmed statement is his resume as C1. C2 through C5 reflect his geologic analysis of the unit indicating that the acreage is suitable for a unitized plan of development.

Exhibit C1 is structure map reflecting the line of cross sections, A to A prime, B to B prime. And the type log reflecting the unitized interval. Exhibit C2 and C3 are the cross sections that are referenced on the line of cross section on the structure map reflecting that the target unitized interval is consistent and across the unitized acreage. Exhibit C5 is the type log I referenced that identifies the unitized interval which is comprised of the Mancos formation.

Exhibit D is an affidavit prepared by me and our office reflecting that we have provided notice of today's hearing and the application to the parties that will be affected by the -- within the Ponderosa unit area provided to us by DJR and those parties are listed behind Exhibit D along with the status of the certified mail that went to each of those individuals.

Exhibit E is a affidavit of publication reflecting that we have published a notice of today's hearing and the application in the Farmington Daily Times identifying each of those individuals by name. Mr. Examiner, just so you all are clear, the Ponderosa unit which was previously approved by the -- under Order $\mathrm{R}-14194$ never became effective. I can obtain that order, but that unit never became effective. So while there's an order on record, it was never drilled and never became effective. So now, DJR is the successor to Encana for this acreage and is seeking to develop the acreage as a unit and in negotiations and discussions with the BLM has reformed the unit agreement as submitted here of this application and is now seeking to resize the unit and develop the acreage as proposed.

So with that, Mr. Examiner, I would ask that Exhibits A through E be accepted to the record with their attachments. And if you have any questions, I'll be happy to try to address them, and that the case be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
MR. RANKIN: Also, Mr. Examiner, before
I fully relinquish the microphone, as with the Carson unit in this situation, DJR has been in extensive
discussions with the State Land Office that have been ongoing. And as with the Carson unit, the State Land Office does have some tracts that are included within the exterior boundaries of this unit as proposed.

However, the State Land Office has not yet determined whether it will commit its acreage or not under the unit agreement, and so again, they have been engaged with DJR throughout this process and Mr. Dawson is aware of this hearing today and indicated that if the Division has any questions that they are welcome -anybody over there is welcome to reach out to him directly. But again, as with the Carson, the State Land Office has not yet made a determination about whether to commit its tracts or not.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Okay. We will first go to questions. Mr. McClure and myself, I noticed that there is a member of the audience who has their hand raised. We will get to them when we're done with these questions. So please be patient. Let's start with Mr. McClure. THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yes,

Mr. Brancard. Mr. Rankin, just to confirm, essentially, it is correct then that the BLM is already approved -- I mean, is it actually a reduction then if the original unit was never formed or is this
a new unit? I guess, how was this actually -- or how is this considered, I guess, classified?

MR. RANKIN: So Mr. McClure, I think the best way to think about it is that we're asking for this order, the acreage under this order to be reduced. So I think in terms of the BLM, it's essentially a new unit agreement, but for purposes of the OCD it's a reduction under the order.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Yeah, so essentially, would I be correct in classifying how it's coming to the Division in as you're just trying to cure an initial hearing order to make it accurate then; is that correct?

MR. RANKIN: Yes, Mr. McClure, we want to update the Division's records to accurately reflect the geographic acres and then the terms of the unit agreement.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: But as far as how the BLM is concerned, this is a brand new unit agreement; is that correct?

MR. RANKIN: I think that's probably a fair characterization. It's a different unit agreement.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Now, there's a line in the BLM's approval letter regarding all
existing vertical and horizontal Michael's [ph] wells drilled in Gallup within the unit area shall be excluded. I guess two questions there. Are there actually any horizontal and vertical wells in the Gallup within the unit area? And if so, how are those going to be treated then? Are they on com agreements or what's going on with that?

MR. RANKIN: Yeah, Mr. McClure, there are as $I$ understand, some existing some vertical and horizontal wells in the Gallup and they will be treated on a stand-alone com agreement basis or lease basis, depending on the situation, you know.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Now, he references the -- and by him I mean the BLM -references the initial designated well to be determined. Essentially so -- because this is like a brand new unit, the well that's listed in our current existing order is not accurate then. This is going to be a well completely independent of what was done in the past?

MR. RANKIN: Correct.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. And so that initial defining well from the initial order, was that never drilled then?

MR. RANKIN: No. It was not,

Mr. Examiner.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Okay. I'm understanding, well, yeah, getting on the same page somewhat. As far as the unitized interval, is it different from the initial unit, the prior unit. Or is it the same? It looks like the well in which it's defined, I believe, may have changed. But is the actual stratigraphic -- is the actual what's being referred to here, is that changing at all or is it essentially the same formation?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. McClure, my
understanding is that the -- you are correct. It is a different well that is being used as a type log to define the unitized interval. My understanding is that the unitized interval is the same as it was previously, you know, identified. And if I'm mistaken, and $I$ think $I$ see Mr. Rosenthal is nodding his head, correct, that this is simply a different well that's unitized interval is the same.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Sounds very good that there was whatever speculating on that I wanted a confirmation. So I guess, I suppose this question actually pertains maybe a little bit to the last case as well as this one, if the State Land Office determines not to commit their acreage, is it
the BLM's intent then to reduce this unit? I mean, I guess it's hard to speculate on what the BLM's intent is, but are you aware of what the plan would be if the State Land Office does not commit their acreage to the unit?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, the result of that if the State Land Office decides not to commit its acreage, wouldn't change the unit boundaries. It simply would change -- it simply would be that the State Land Office tracts wouldn't be committed. They wouldn't sign so they wouldn't be treated as part of the unitized substances. So it would be, if and when they're drilled, they would be drilled separately under a CA or a com unit.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: I was going to say, maybe it won't change the external boundaries, but you would essentially have internal boundaries at that point; correct?

MR. RANKIN: Right.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: I mean,
they're still going to be tracts missing; right? If they were to not commit?

MR. RANKIN: The intent, Mr. Examiner, is to always give the State Land Office the opportunity to commit their acreage and so they will
just be listed as uncommitted tracts within the unit boundary.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: So the BLM would continue to expand the participating area to include these even if they're not committed to the unit?

MR. RANKIN: No. It wouldn't include the state lands under the CA if they're not committed, no.

MS. BINION: -- in the wells in the --. THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. So then based off what it sounded like there, it's, well, essentially then, it would be -- they would have to get a CA for the state lands, any wells that was in the state acreage as uncommitted. There'd be a CA, part of it in the unit, part of it in those state lands then; is that correct to say?

MR. RANKIN: Depending on how the well is oriented, yes, that's right, Mr. McClure. And as with, you know, any other unit agreement where there's uncommitted tracts, there essentially becomes window acreage within the exterior boundaries of the unit. And as when tracts within the window acreage that are uncommitted are drilled and developed, then they would have to be incorporated through a CA that would, you
know, partially overlap, potentially depending on the orientation of the well and acreage.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: But having said that, your actual legal description of the unit, wouldn't it then change to represent that these windows or whatever we're calling them, would be no longer part of the unitized area?

MR. RANKIN: My understanding is that the BLM wouldn't change that description. It would just be that those tracts are represented as uncommitted.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. RANKIN: And the intent there, Mr. Examiner, is that they can always subsequently commit those tracts to the unit at a later time.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: And then this approval letter from the BLM dated June 29 th of 2020 , that is the most up-to-date approval here; right?

MR. RANKIN: It is, Mr. Examiner, and just suffice it to say that there has been -- maybe partly because of the pandemic, or just the business to be honest, has been in a prolonged process to get the file approval for many different things. So this -- yes, that is the most up-to-date -- Mr. McClure, I'm being reminded that there were some unleased
tracts, allotted tracts within the acreage that the BLM required DJR to acquire those leases. And so that partly explains the timeframes here, that DJR had to acquire those leases. And they successfully did so, so that was part of the timeframe issue.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: So did the BLM just backdate their approval then, to when it was submitted to them; is that what occurred here then?

MR. RANKIN: No, no. It was approved at the time that there were subsequent issues that came up regarding those tracts.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Oh, so --
MR. RANKIN: Yeah, $I$ think, in fact, Ms. Binion is putting out that there's a condition in there in the letter that says that they must obtain those leases, I believe.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: NOW, considering that this letter was from 2020, do we actually have a well -- an actual well identified then -- rather than just to be determined here, for the well number?

MR. RANKIN: Yes, Mr. Examiner, there is a well now that's been identified.

MS. BINION: We submitted it to the
BLM. We're waiting for them to give us written
approval of them.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: All right. So essentially we don't have an API number yet, if it's with the BLM currently; is that correct?

MR. RANKIN: That's correct. Yeah, no, DJR has proposed a well, and it's undergoing review by the BLM at this time. So there's no API number or final approval from the BLM on that well.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Is the surface hole location -- bottom hole location that's listed here in this approval letter accurate then or still accurate, $I$ guess?

MR. RANKIN: You're talking about in the preliminary approval?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Well, I don't know if it's preliminary, but it's the approval letter here; right? I mean, this ain't preliminary, this is the actual approval; right? Or am I wrong?

MR. RANKIN: I mean, it's not the final approval of the unit. Oh, I see.

MS. BINION: I believe we submitted an --

MR. RANKIN: No, this is a different well location, Mr. Examiner. This is a different well.

MS. VERGE: Hello? I have a question. I'm sorry to butt in but I'm at work and I'm half listening and on the Carson unit, would I be able to set a meeting with DJR because I'm like half listening and I'm at work.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry, could
you identify yourself for the record please? Thank you.

I'm Kay Verge Joe [ph] for the Carson unit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Yeah. You're free to contact DJR if you have a interest in the unit.

MS. VERGE: Okay. And everything else will be posted; right? Like, to go over, review it, and whatnot?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Everything in this proceeding will be in our case files.

MS. VERGE: Okay. And that will be the same website?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
MS. VERGE: Okay. All right. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Mr. Rankin, so
the initial well then has -- did $I$ hear you correctly that that is updated from here, from what's in this --

MR. RANKIN: Yeah, it's been updated from what's in this letter, correct, and it's being reviewed now by the BLM.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Can you submit to us just the description that's essentially here, your surface and bottom hole locations as well as the name, but with the actual well number, assuming it's determined now?

MR. RANKIN: Yes.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Just to backtrack a little bit. I was under the understanding that this was their -- so this is not their final approval letter then? What's included here, it's not approved yet? Because I thought when we started that this was the site of the new unit is approved now, or am I misunderstanding?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. McClure, it made me recall that the process for the BLM is that you have an initial meeting where you identify a area and geology and then the BLM, upon review, will issue a preliminary letter indicating their preliminary approval of the proposed unit area and boundaries. And that's what this letter is. And so then the next
step will be for DJR to go out and finalize all that and get the final approval and that will subsequently be then -- usually the course is that that will be subsequently submitted to the Division to confirm that the unit has been effectuated.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Exactly.
Exactly. Yeah, I just thought this was -- I was misunderstanding when we first started this case, but yes. I'm now -- I'm understanding this is kind of the normal procedure here then with the preliminary and then the approval will be following up with the, I guess, after the Division's recommendation or however the BLM's policy is. But, okay, I'm on the same page. I just had a misunderstanding, initially. I thought this was similar to the prior case, I guess.

MR. RANKIN: Right. Just the Carson is the only one that had the final approval on, and it is effectuated. The Ponderosa is still in that normal course preliminary approval status.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Okay. Very good, yeah. I don't think $I$ have any more questions. Thank you, Mr. Rankin.

Thank you Mr. Brancard.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. All
right. Let me start with the application, what you're
describing as the unitized interval. And this comes from somebody on our staff. Don't think that I understand geology. The comparison between this case and the prior case, it seems to be the same general formation, just a different defining well?

MR. RANKIN: That's my general
understanding, is that both units are targeting the Mancos.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So what our folks noticed is that in the prior case, where it says, you know, goes from the top of the Mancos Shale which is the base of the Masa Verde Group and then it says, to the base of the Mancos Shale which in the prior case is described as "base of Greenhorn Limestone," that that base of Greenhorn Limestone does not appear in this case.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, like you, I am ignorant of the details of the geology. And as much as I like to, you know, sometimes do my best to fill in, I'm going to have to defer this particular to Mr. Rosenthal who is on the screen here and main menus where I'm at so he can answer that question.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Rosenthal, raise your right hand please. / /

WHEREUPON,
JACK ROSENTHAL,
called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY THE HEARING EXAMINER:
Q Okay. Is there a reason for the difference, or can we make them look alike?

A There is no reason for the difference in the description. As a matter of fact, in the type log, Exhibit C5, that base of the Mancos interval, is actually shown as the base of the Greenhorn Lime. So it could easily be added into that description.

Q Great. I think that would make our people a little more comfortable. Thank you.

A Thank you for the question.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And so, Mr. Rankin, maybe I'm -- I'm just glancing through your exhibits. Is there something there from FIMO?

MR. RANKIN: Yeah, Mr. Examiner, here FIMO does not -- as $I$ understand from Ms. Binion -FIMO does not give preliminary approvals. They ride along with the BLM, initially. And so that's my understanding why there's not a separate preliminary
approval from FIMO.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So presuming as a condition, when we ask to see the final BLM approval, we should also ask to see the final Federal Indian Minerals Office approval?

MR. RANKIN: That's my -- my
understanding, Mr. Examiner, is that that that will come with the BLM -- with the, yeah, the final approval from both those agencies will come at the end, yeah.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you earlier mentioned that this unit was not effective. Okay? I'm looking at the Division's order on page 19, paragraph 42. It says, "Division approval upon the Serosa [ph] unit shall be effective following the date which final approval is obtained from the Land Office and the BLM." Did that not occur?

MR. RANKIN: Did not, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So in other words, our prior order was never effective?

MR. RANKIN: Sorry, excuse me, would you mind re-saying that last question?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Because it says
"Division approval shall be effective," that means that our approval was never effective?

MR. RANKIN: Yeah, Mr. Examiner, I believe that is correct.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So one possibility then for the Division is to simply rescind that prior order and just give you a new order just like the BLM is doing.

MR. RANKIN: That is certainly a possibility in the -- one moment. Mr. Examiner, thank you. There was a reason why, I think, we would like to and prefer to modify the order rather than rescind it. And that's because when the initial order was issued, you'll note that there was some pool issues that were addressed previously. And the order addresses those and creates a Ponderosa unit Mancos oil pool. And so under the terms of the order, it will shrink and expand with the expansion or the contraction of the unit. And so because that has been established, preference would be to operate within the confines of this existing order so that we can maintain and preserve that pool for this unit area. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Yes, pools are important for our folks, so we'll go along. But whatever works for that -- I think that's the only question $I$ have right now. So let me open it up, and I believe earlier we had a hand raised
by Wilma Charlie. I'm not getting a response by Ms. Charlie right now, but $I$ see a hand raised from Samantha Catalano, if I could pronounce that correctly.

You need to unmute yourself if you'd like to say something. Let me just try. Is there anyone else here today with questions or comments on the application in Case 23283, Ponderosa unit, DJR? Hearing none for now, Mr. Rankin, let's try to summarize with what we need from you.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, I believe the one item for the Ponderosa was a description of the proposed initial well, defining well. And we will provide that to the Division through a cover pleading in the case file.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: I would say the only thing additional is -- and I don't know if I referenced it for this case, but do we have an indication that the State Land Office was notified?

MR. RANKIN: I will double check that, Mr. McClure, and if not $I$ will do the same as $I$ will do for the Carson.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Thank you. That was the only other thing that $I$ was aware of that needed to be submitted.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Adam, just to add to that -- this is Jack. Note that we will revise the description of the unitized interval to describe or specifically call out the base of the Greenhorn formation.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Mr. Rankin, are you going to submit that to us once that's done?

MR. RANKIN: Yes, Mr. Examiner. I will do so.

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. McClure, where was the description of the defining well and the documents?

THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: It's in the BLM preapproval letter.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: But it's changing -- oh, you're just asking what they needed to submit. I was going to say, they can't really submit, it's a new BLM approval letter. This would be an updated information. I mean, unless they do have something from the BLM that has the actual well, $I$ guess.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, we'll provide you with what was proposed to the BLM. Our
understanding is that the BLM has verbally approved that, but they're waiting on -- and they're conferring with FIMO and waiting for FIMO to approve before we get final approval on the location of the well.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And the other matters we can handle within the order, such as getting final BLM approval, getting final FIMO approval.

All right. Any other questions,
Mr. McClure?
THE TECHNICAL EXAMINER: I don't think so, Mr. Brancard. I think I'm good.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
So with that, the exhibits in Case
23283 will be admitted into the record, and this case will be taken under advisement with the record left open for the submittal of the information that we have just discussed.
(Exhibit A through Exhibit E were
received into evidence.)
Thank you all.
Okay. We have two more items left on our agenda today. Well, it's actually just one item, I guess. A motion to dismiss. And I'm wondering if we can get through it now. This doesn't seem too
terribly complicated. Let me check in with my court reporter here.

Dana, how are you doing?
THE REPORTER: Doing good.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
So let's figure out where we are. Let
me call the case here. These are items number 41 and 42 on our worksheet today. These are Cases 23176, 23178, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Mewbourne.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And then we have Pride Oil Company.

MS. SHAHEEN: Pride Energy.
Sharon Shaheen on behalf of Pride Energy.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Marathon Oil
Permian?
MS. BENNETT: Good morning. Dana
Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is Marathon wanting to participate in this discussion?

MS. BENNETT: I didn't file any papers, but I -- Marathon is implicated by the pleadings that were filed, and so I'm here today to listen and to provide any perspective as may be appropriate, yes.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We have
XTO Energy.
MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, Michael
Feldewert with Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Are there
any other interested parties then? Cases 23176, 23178 .

MR. BECK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Matt Beck on behalf of Jalapeno and Yates. And we're just observing. I don't plan to participate at all today.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Anyone else? Hearing none. So we have a motion to dismiss filed by Pride Energy here, and a response and reply. And $I$ believe we can have a little bit of time for oral argument on this. So since Pride was the moving party, Pride gets to speak first, and I'm thinking maybe ten minutes here.

MS. SHAHEEN: When you say ten minutes, you mean ten minutes for me or ten minutes for both me and Miss Hardy?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ten minutes for you.

MS. SHAHEEN: Okay. Thank you. I
don't know that I --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Miss Hardy I
don't know about, but.
MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
The only issue here is whether Mewbourne has an interest in the minerals in the proposed spacing units in these two cases. The answer to that question is no, and therefore the application should be dismissed.

This is governed by Section 70-2-17.
And at the bottom of page 3 of the reply, I've included the statutory language. It describes what type of owner can pool their interest. The language is italicized. In the beginning it addresses owners of interest who can voluntarily pool their minerals, and then it uses that same definition with respect to forced pooling of those interests. So where there are owners of royalty interests or undivided interests in oil and gas minerals embraced within such spacing or proration unit, one such separate owner who has the right to drill may ask the Division to pool -- to force pool those tracts.

Here, Mewbourne has not provided any evidence that it has an interest in the minerals in either of the proposed spacing units. In fact, Mewbourne's landman, Mr. Jolly, admitted to Pride that Mewbourne had no interest, but now Mewbourne appears to argue that it has some sort of contractual
interest. However, there's no evidence of an existing contractual interest. There's only evidence that Mewbourne has a hope that it will in the future have a contractual interest.

This is evident from review of
Mr. Jolly's affirmation that's attached to the response, paragraphs 10 through 12. On paragraph 10, Mr. Jolly states that Mewbourne has obtained written support from Marathon and others that designates Mewbourne as the operator of the acreage in Section 16 and the north half of 21. Then Mr. Jolly goes on to say that based on this written support, it has an interest in the acreage and has the right to develop its proposed spacing unit.

With all due respect, when you take a look at the only thing that is attached is the letter from Marathon. And when you take a look at that, it's attached as Exhibit 1, I believe. And I'll direct you to the third line. Marathon states that they are negotiating in good faith a mutually beneficial operating agreement. There is no operating agreement. There's no contract that supports any interest here on behalf of Mewbourne.

Now, I understand that they argued this contractual interest will exist before the hearing and
therefore these applications should not be dismissed. However, Mewbourne has no standing to file the application in the first place if it has no interest in the minerals and, therefore, it should be dismissed under 19.15.4.8(a). Even if Mewbourne had a contractual interest, Pride disputes that that would be sufficient to satisfy Section 70-2-17.

At best, an operating agreement, if it existed would simply establish that Mewbourne has been designated the operator of a contractual area that includes the spacing unit. It doesn't create an ownership right in the minerals in the spacing unit as required by 70-2-17. On this principle is analogous to the form JOAs, and we've attached a copy of a Mewbourne JOA, actually, for a different contract area that's been attached to the reply. But what you will see is that form JOAs routinely require that all parties to the JOA own an oil and gas lease or an oil and gas interest in the contract area.

And if you look at Exhibit 1 to the reply, we've highlighted the pertinent language, and that is in the very beginning of the JOA, the parties to this agreement are owners of oil and gas leases and/or oil and gas interest in the land identified in the exhibit.
(Exhibit 1 was marked for
identification.)
And then a little farther down and (p),
it defines oil and gas interest to mean unleased, fee,
and mineral interest in oil and gas and tracts of land
lying within the contract area with your own
bi-parties to the agreement. This is such an
important part of the JOA that the operator is deemed
to have resigned without any action by the
non-operators if it no longer owns such an interest in
the contract area. It seems to me this is an
analogous to what $70-2-17$ requires and that is, you
must have an interest in the minerals in the spacing
unit, and Mewbourne does not have that.
This is consistent with the statutes
and the regulations. In the reply, I have set forth
the definitions of operator and owner. And if
Mewbourne did have an interest under an operating
agreement, it would simply be an operating agreement
as I said for that contract area and not provide an
interest in the spacing unit. Operator is defined as
"a person who duly authorized, manages or leases
development or a producing properties operation, or
who manages a facilities operation." That's
19.15.205 NMAC. Then in contrast, an owner is defined
as "the person who has the right to drill into and to produce from any pool and to appropriate the production either for the person or for the person and another." This last phrase indicates that they must have both the -- they must both own minerals -- an interest in the minerals, and they must have the right to appropriate the production for itself. Mewbourne has no such right in either of the proposed spacing units.
Just taking a quick look at my notes here. I might be almost done. And a little more insight is provided by 19.15.4.12(a)1. That is the provision that requires notice and compulsory pooling applications. It states "The applicant shall give notice to each owner of an interest in the mineral estate of any portion of the lands the applicant proposes to be pooled." Here, it shouldn't be disputed that the applicant must also be an owner of an interest in the mineral estate of any portion of the lands the applicant proposes to be pooled. Again, Mewbourne has no such interest.

In conclusion, Pride Energy asks the Division to dismiss the applications in Case Numbers 23176 and 23178 because Mewbourne does not have an interest in the minerals in the proposed spacing units
as required by 70-2-17.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Mewbourne, is that Ms. Hardy?

MS. HARDY: It is, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. What does Mewbourne own here in the spacing unit?

MS. HARDY: Well, Mr. Examiner,
Mewbourne's applications proposed to develop one and a half sections in comparison to Pride's half section. And just to be clear, $I$ want to be sure where we -- that it's clear where we are here. That Pride is only proposing to develop the east half of this Section 16. Mewbourne's proposing to develop all of Section 16 and the North half of Section 21. And one of the applications Pride seeks to dismiss is for one of Mewbourne's west half applications where Pride has no alternative competing development proposal.

So that's what we're starting from
here. I think Pride's motions are premature. First, Pride is apparently dismissing its current applications and filing new ones that will, I believe, be initially set on the March 3rd docket. Second, as explained by Mr. Jolly, Mewbourne anticipates its negotiations with Marathon will conclude by the hearing date and that Mewbourne will have interest,
whether contractual or otherwise, in the unit by the time of the hearing. Basically, I think Pride is arguing that the circumstances at the time of filing an application control ownership determinations at the hearing. And I think that's incorrect.

Interests change hands as we've seen frequently between the filing of an application and a hearing, and the Division considers interest at the time of the hearing based on the evidence for purposes of establishing ownership and control. So given these facts, I think it's unnecessary for the Division to address the legal issues raised by Pride at this point. I think the motions are premature.

I also think that their argument on operatorship versus ownership is incorrect. The New Mexico law does allow a mineral interest owner to designate to an operator the right to develop its minerals through a JOA or otherwise. And that agreement does entitle the operator to file for pooling. I actually think this happens all the time.

Section 70-2-17, which Miss Shaheen cited and is discussed in her brief, allows owners to pool their interest and allows the division to pool when an owner has not committed its interest. Miss Shaheen, when she was talking about this section,
added some words stating that the owner can ask the Division to pool. That's not what the statute says. The statue says that when there are multiple interests and one owner hasn't committed the interest, the Division can pool, say, who has to ask the Division to pool. And it certainly does not preclude an owner from delegating its authority to develop interest to an operator by contract. It just doesn't.

In interpreting the act in a
restrictive manner that would only allow mineral interest owners to file pooling applications is inconsistent with the purpose of the statute, this specific provision, and the oil and gas act as a whole which is to prevent ways to protect correlative rights. There are many reasons a mineral interest owner may wish to delegate operating authority to someone else including experience, cost efficiencies, and other reasons. I know this morning it came up earlier regarding the O-Grid number, but that's not the only reason the mineral interest owner may wish to delegate authority to operate to someone else. And that authority to operate has to include the ability to pool. And $I$ think the statute allows that and it certainly does not preclude it.

The Division's regulations also do not
limit authority to file pooling applications to mineral interest owners. The regulation defining owners which is 19.15.2.7(o) defines an owner as "the person who has the right to drill into and to produce from a pool to appropriate the production either for the person or for the person and another." It does not preclude that right being determined by a contract, the right to drill. I think that this actually happens often, where interest owners delegate the right to develop to operators who file pooling applications.

In Order Number R-21834, the Division determined that working interest control includes contractual interest and letters of support for a development plan. And $I$ understand that's a little bit different here than what we're dealing with here, but it's very similar. Pride's argument that only a mineral interest owner can seek to pool would upend the long standing Division precedent, and I think, many pooling orders. I know this morning the application of Redwood came up and the Division previously granted Order Number R-22335 allowing Redwood to pool as a contract operator for Pecos Oil and Gas. I think that's consistent with the Division's practice, and $I$ think it's consistent with
the statute and the regulations. There's simply not a limitation that requires only mineral interest owners to pool.

The case cited in Pride's motion -it's actually Order Number R-11700(b) dealt with the situation where an operator's -- two operators were arguing over who had the right to obtain APDs when part of a lease had failed, and I think that case has no bearing really, here. The case didn't involve pooling and the language that Pride had cited was dicta. I think, with respect to the arguments on a JOA, I don't think that those are analogous. The language of a JOA is analogous to the pooling statute and regulations. I think it's a different situation. Contracts can provide for all types of things including JOAs. But nonetheless, it's really the statute and the regulations here that do not limit -and $I$ would say that they allow mineral interest owners to designate authority to pool and develop to operators.

And finally, $I$ think the commission has previously held that it is appropriate to consolidate applications for hearing to protect correlative rights and prevent waste. We cited in our brief Order Number R-21454(a) which is another group of Mewbourne cases.

And basically in that case, applications were heard, a pooling order was issued, and then after that, Mewbourne filed for a de novo hearing and submitted competing pooling applications and the de novo hearing was stayed so that all of the applications could be heard together at the same time. So I think that has been the commission's and the Division's preference.

Here, Mewbourne is opposing Pride's applications on numerous grounds including operator experience and will also oppose Pride's amended applications. And the current applications do result in waste because they strand the northwest quarter of Section 21. It's my understanding there's another laydown well that precludes further development in the north half of Section 21 right now the way the spacing unit that Pride proposes is set up, so the acreage would be stranded.

So basically, I think Pride's notions would result in a waste of resources of the Division and the parties. The motions, if granted, would require us to have a contested hearing on two of Pride's applications and two of Mewbourne's applications. And then we would have to come back later to have another hearing on Mewbourne's competing applications if they were dismissed. I think that's
not an efficient process. It certainly doesn't conserve resources of the parties. And it does not protect correlative rights. So at this point, I don't think I have much else to add. I think that Pride's motions should be denied.

And I hope I didn't talk too fast.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. Did a
terrific job. Thank you.
Miss Shaheen, if you want -- we have a minute or two for a reply if you really want it.

MS. SHAHEEN: I'll just note that it seems to me that the elephant in the room is the fact that they have not been -- Mewbourne has not been designated as the operator. Again, it's just simply a hope. And I think that the language is clear that mineral -- you must have an ownership interest in the minerals that are in the spacing unit which Mewbourne, indisputably, does not have. I could go on a little bit more, but in the interest of having lunch relatively soon, $I$ will stand down. And thank you very much. I'm happy to answer any questions.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Okay. So let me just say that $I$ will take this, obviously, under advisement.

Normally, I recommend a decision to the
director if it's to dismiss a case, but I'm up in the air about directors these days. And so $I$ can't say that what my opinion is will hold with whoever the acting director is when $I$ submit that opinion. I tend to be a bit of a strict constructionist here. I will say that my preliminary thought is to agree with Pride that the language of the statute is pretty clear. You need to be an owner of a working interest within that unit in order to apply for --.

Now, having said that, even if we rule that way, clearly, you have the ability to object to Pride's development if you have another development nearby that would be impacted by that. We actually had some commission decisions a few years ago where the commission basically denied the application based on somebody else's proposal that wasn't actually a competing application. It was just, you're going to prevent us from doing this. So you know, this does not -- if, in fact, Mewbourne's case is dismissed, that does not mean that Mewbourne cannot participate in an e-application by Pride and show that Pride's proposal is, you know, not protective of correlative rights, et cetera. So that's sort of my preliminary take on it. Like I said, we have new leadership now, and I will need to discuss it with them. So I will
take these arguments under advisement. Thank you. MS. HARDY: Thank you.

MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
One question, if $I$ may. Do we have an acting director? I wasn't aware that we did.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We have an
acting director. It is our general counsel, Dylan Fuge.

MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you very much for that information.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, just so all of you know, we're loosing our director and our deputy director. Quick thinking by the administration to get new people in place. I believe somebody will be moved up temporarily to be a deputy director and help out with all the decision making. None of it involves me. Although as the deputy secretary said, "I am the OCD sacrificial lamb for the legislative session." And I have to deal with all the legislative stuff. So there. So anyway, we are still functioning and hopefully we have new leadership in a short period of time. Thank you all. Any last questions? Miss Bennett, yes?

MS. BENNETT: Yes. This is not about the current set of cases that you were just
discussing, but $I$ have a follow up question about the Franklin Mount Energy cases that I discussed earlier today and was wondering if you could circle back to those just for a moment.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: What the heck did we do? We continued those.

MS. BENNETT: We did. We continued them to January 19th, and $I$ was wondering if it would be possible to continue them to February 2nd instead? That way, if the Division determines that notice is required, I would have the time to cure that notice issue without having to continue the matters a second time. So it's just out of an abundance of caution to allow adequate time for me to send the notice letters if indeed that is the outcome after our discussions. THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think that's a splendid idea. In fact, if I were you, I'd send the letters today.

MS. BENNETT: And again, that's in
Cases 23221 to 23224 .
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So for the record, Cases 23221, 23222, 23223, 23224 will be continued to February 2 nd.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
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| apparently | 6:1,5,10,15,20 | 52:4 53:10,15 | 122:11,12 |
| 29:3 163:20 | 7:1,6 13:22 | 87:3,25 91:19 | 131:3,6 134:12 |
| appear 65:14 | 18:4,20 19:4 | 160:1 162:14 | 134:20,21 |
| 149:16 | 24:10,20 25:1 | 162:23 163:8 | 138:25 143:17 |
| appearance | 25:2 29:19 | 163:15,16,21 | 143:18,23 |
| 23:8,15 24:18 | 31:15 32:1 | 165:11 166:1 | 144:7 145:1,8 |
| 27:2 36:10 | 40:6 43:23 | 166:11 167:23 | 145:11,14,16 |
| 73:18 74:12,19 | 44:20 52:11 | 168:1,4,5,9,11 | 145:18,20 |
| 79:16 99:9 | 53:13 54:1,4 | 168:11,22,23 | 147:15,24 |
| appearances | 59:6 64:6 | 168:25 | 148:2,11,17,19 |
| 49:16 | 66:21 70:24 | applies 94:12 | 151:1,4,5,9,14 |
| appearing | 90:21 95:23 | apply $38: 8$ | 151:16,24,25 |
| 23:11 43:11 | 100:20,23 | 170:9 | 154:20 155:4,7 |
| 47:25 99:5 | 101:1,4,14,16 | appreciate | 155:8 |
| 132:11,12 | 101:20 102:3,5 | 30:12 33:11 | approvals 69:4 |
|  | 102:18 105:20 | 130:23 131:22 | 108:11 111:22 |

[approvals - b]

| 122:6 131:10 | 127:4,20 | asks 36:20 | attorney 8:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 132:2,2 150:23 | 129:16 131:1 | 162:22 | 174:14 175:10 |
| approve 109:18 | 132:3,23 133:4 | asmus 75:17,19 | audience |
| 118:19 120:12 | 134:18,23 | 80:22 81:3 | 137:18 |
| 126:15 155:3 | 135:22 139:2,5 | asmus's 75:25 | audio 174:8 |
| approved | 142:4 143:7 | assigned 90:16 | 175:3 |
| 48:18 69:13 | 147:21,24 | associated | authority 46:6 |
| 88:22 95:20 | 152:20 160:10 | 68:17 108:17 | 46:6,10 165:7 |
| 103:12,20,21 | 160:15,19 | assume 25:13 | 165:16,21,22 |
| 104:9 105:16 | 161:6,11,20 | 82:25 115:18 | 166:1 167:19 |
| 108:3,6 110:6 | areas 44:13 | assuming 82:16 | authorized |
| 110:16,20 | 119:21 | 116:1 147:9 | 46:12 161:22 |
| 112:6 121:14 | argue 158:25 | assumption | authorizing |
| 122:9,9 123:14 | argued 159:24 | 55:6 | 134:21 |
| 129:20 133:22 | arguing 51:16 | attached 71:24 | automatically |
| 134:9 136:7 | 164:3 167:7 | 72:4 100:22 | 120:6 |
| 137:24 144:9 | argument | 104:15,24 | availability |
| 147:16,18 | 157:15 164:14 | 111:3,20 | 87:9 88:7 |
| 155:1 | 166:17 | 121:17 133:25 | available 27:22 |
| approving 97:7 | arguments | 134:5 135:4 | 112:19 128:15 |
| 122:2 123:20 | 167:11 171:1 | 159:6,16,18 | 130:4 |
| 123:21 | argus 44:25 | 160:14,16 | avoid 84:4 |
| area 92:13 | arisen 48:14 | attachment | aware 59:3 |
| 99:20 100:24 | arrives 96:17 | 108:22 | 66:23 88:23 |
| 105:5 106:8 | asked 24:8,13 | attachments | 115:18 137:9 |
| 108:25 109:1 | 48:10 118:19 | 104:5 107:10 | 141:3 153:24 |
| 109:19 114:20 | 120:12 122:5 | 121:21,23 | 171:5 |
| 116:25 118:9 | 129:21 | 131:8 136:19 | b |
| 118:18,21 | asking 45:17 | attempt 58:12 | b 12:7 13:1,23 |
| 119:1,5,8,9,17 | 48:7 63:22 | attempted 42:2 | 14:1,20 15:1 |
| 119:22,25 | 64:10 68:1,2 | 42:16 66:6 | 15:14 16:1,17 |
| 120:12,19 | 86:22 87:1 | attempts 62:17 | 17:1 18:1,5,14 |
| 122:19,20 | 108:11 113:6 | attending | 18:14,18,18 |
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| $170: 15$ | $9: 1710: 2$ | $39: 2157: 18$ | $23: 2232: 21$ |
| commission's | $14: 1022: 24$ | $61: 1870: 6$ | conferences |
| $168: 7$ | $23: 1227: 6$ | $75: 1,980: 3,13$ | $22: 22$ |
| commit 106:10 | $28: 1131: 2,11$ | $86: 17135: 16$ | conferring |
| $106: 13137: 6$ | $39: 342: 3$ | compulsory | $155: 2$ |
| $137: 14140: 25$ | $55: 22,2456: 15$ | $1: 11,15,192: 2$ | configuration |
| $141: 4,7,22,25$ | $90: 1,591: 7$ | $2: 7,11,15,21$ | $108: 10$ |
| $143: 15$ | $95: 7128: 23$ | $3: 2,6,184: 14$ | confines $152: 19$ |
| commitment | $129: 14156: 9$ | $5: 2,8,12,166: 2$ | confirm $78: 5$ |
| $106: 7108: 7$ | $156: 13$ | $6: 7,12,177: 7$ | $82: 9,19123: 23$ |
| commits | company's | $25: 2540: 8$ | $137: 22148: 4$ |
| $120: 22$ | $129: 1$ | $162: 13$ | confirmation |
| committed | comparison | computer | $42: 881: 25$ |
| $116: 24117: 14$ | $149: 3163: 9$ | $131: 19$ | $83: 285: 22$ |
| $117: 23120: 24$ | competing $27: 8$ | concern $33: 6$ | $140: 22$ |
| $121: 1141: 10$ | $27: 14,2132: 1$ | $89: 8114: 9$ | confirmed |
| $142: 5,8164: 24$ | $32: 14163: 17$ | concerned | $64: 2565: 19,22$ |
| $165: 4$ | $168: 4,24$ | $138: 19$ | $65: 23$ |
| communicate | $170: 17$ | concerns $31: 22$ | confirming |
| $36: 17$ | complete $104: 8$ | $46: 276: 14,17$ | $81: 10$ |
|  | $118: 15$ | $90: 25106: 15$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[confirms - correct]

| confirms 52:4 | consultant | 48:2,21 49:18 | contrast 161:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| confused 66:4 | 133:17 | 54:15 78:10 | control 164:4 |
| 118:21 | cont'd 9:1 10:1 | 89:11 98:11 | 164:10 166:13 |
| connectivity | 11:1 13:1,3 | 142:4 172:9,12 | controls 116:23 |
| 79:12 81:18 | 14:1,3 15:1 | continued | conversation |
| 87:20 | 16:1 17:1,2 | 35:24 36:7,16 | 115:6 |
| consequence | 18:1 19:1 20:1 | 48:8,10 78:22 | converted |
| 44:11 | contact 42:2,16 | 89:18 97:25 | 93:13 |
| conservation | 59:23 78:6,13 | 172:6,7,23 | copies 103:10 |
| 1:3,6 8:6 21:5 | 146:12 | continues | copy 13:25 |
| 22:11 98:25 | contacted 30:1 | 106:9 | 14:4,5 18:4 |
| conserve 169:2 | contacts 13:7 | continuing | 44:17,19,23 |
| consideration | 36:2 40:17 | 47:23 84:4 | 67:171:16 |
| 102:17 | 42:11 | contract 38:18 | 72:1 101:4 |
| considered | contain 95:22 | 45:22 159:22 | 104:16 105:9 |
| 138:2 | containing | 160:15,19 | 105:18 106:18 |
| considering 1:8 | 43:22 | 161:6,11,20 | 108:20 133:9 |
| 77:18 144:18 | contains 70:16 | 165:8 166:8,23 | 133:15 134:8 |
| considers 164:8 | 92:2,5,9,11,13 | contracted | 134:11,14,16 |
| consistent | contested 24:9 | 120:6,9 123:6 | 134:24 160:14 |
| 53:21 105:12 | 24:13 26:8,16 | contracting | corner 70:12 |
| 135:14 161:15 | 27:22 29:10 | 119:25 | corporation |
| 166:24,25 | 30:7 32:15 | contraction | 10:8 33:20 |
| consisting | 33:9 34:11 | 113:10 120:7 | 73:22,24 |
| 133:6 | 48:22,25 | 152:17 | correct 27:10 |
| consolidate | 168:21 | contractions | 27:17 34:3,19 |
| 69:2 167:22 | continuance | 103:3,12 | 34:20,24,25 |
| consolidation | 24:3 34:2,10 | contracts | 35:2 36:5 37:7 |
| 64:21 | 35:11 48:16 | 167:15 | 37:16,17,20 |
| constitute | 49:4 78:16 | contractual | 42:18,22 48:23 |
| 117:3 | continuances | 158:25 159:2,4 | 48:24 51:17,18 |
| construction | 78:23 | 159:25 160:6 | 54:7 60:14 |
| 22:8 | continue 24:1 | 160:10 164:1 | 67:13,19 69:8 |
| constructionist | 34:8,18,22 | 166:14 | 77:15,24 78:3 |
| 170:5 | 35:10 39:2 |  | 82:3,6,8,12 |
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| 83:11 84:16,20 | 16:6,12,18 | covid 45:23 | cx 12:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85:4 97:20 | 17:6,14,22 | create 160:11 | d |
| 98:4 99:14 | 18:22 19:22 | created 134:4 | d 12:1 13:8 |
| 101:13,22,24 | 20:5 44:1 | creates 152:14 | d 18:20 19:20 |
| 108:4,18,19 | 171:7 174:10 | creating 124:23 | 21:1 40:22 |
| 112:11,12,21 | 174:13 175:7 | credentials | 41:2 60:10,10 |
| 118:3,6,14,23 | 175:10 | 40:12 50:2 | 105:18 106:1 |
| 119:2 120:13 | counted 77:11 | 102:24 133:18 | 135:18,23 |
| 120:17,21 | country 74:14 | 135:3 | d1 13:10 40:23 |
| 121:2,5 128:9 | county $1: 12,16$ | crosby 57:23 | d2 13:11 40:23 |
| 137:23 138:10 | 1:22 2:3,8,12 | crosby's 58:4 | d3 13:12 40:24 |
| 138:13,20 | 2:17,22 3:3,7 | cross 13:12,13 | 40:24 |
| 139:21 140:12 | 3:12,19,24 | 15:6 18:13,15 | d4 13:13 40:25 |
| 140:18 141:18 | 4:15,20 5:5,9 | 18:17 19:17,18 | 41:2 |
| 142:17 145:4,5 | 5:13,17,23 6:3 | 40:25 41:1 | daily 106:21 |
| 147:4 152:2 | 6:8,13,18,23 | 52:12 58:5 | 136:3 |
| correction 68:4 | 7:4,8 39:22 | 64:23 70:21 | dana 7:178:14 |
| correctly 34:19 | 57:20 61:20 | 76:1 81:4 | 33:16 55:23 |
| 46:19 103:17 | 70:9 75:5 80:7 | 92:10 105:5,9 | 63:18 73:15 |
| 114:5 147:1 | 86:19 | 105:14 135:9 | 79:8 86:5 |
| 153:4 | couple 26:12 | 135:11,12 | 98:21 156:3,10 |
| correlative | 37:24 87:8 | crucial 125:4 | 156:18 174:2 |
| 64:20 165:14 | 91:14,16 | cure 138:12 | 174:18 |
| 167:23 169:3 | course 96:3 | 172:11 | daniel 40:10 |
| 170:22 | 115:15 116:11 | curious 25:14 | darin 9:12 |
| cost 165:17 | 125:7 130:9 | 116:22 | 23:10 27:4 |
| coterra 9:11 | 148:3,19 | current 44:25 | 31:10 |
| 23:8,12 24:17 | court 21:8 79:3 | 53:9,11 119:16 | dark 23:18 |
| 27:2,5,8,20 | 98:20 156:1 | 139:17 163:20 | dashed 119:1 |
| 31:7,10,22 | cover 31:24 | 168:11 171:25 | 119:20,22 |
| 32:19 33:5 | 153:14 | currently | 120:11,15 |
| counsel 12:12 | covering | 118:22 119:19 | date 7:11 29:10 |
| 12:18 13:18 | 116:24 121:16 | 145:4 | 32:15 86:14,23 |
| 14:7,14,21 | covers 31:15 | cut 66:16 68:8 | 87:2 110:16 |
| 15:8,16,22 |  | 72:16 | 143:18,24 |

[date - designate]

| 151:15 163:25 | 78:2 | delach 12:23 | depths 113:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dated 97:18 | decided 91:18 | 40:10 | deputy 171:13 |
| 143:17 | decides 141:7 | delach's 40:13 | 171:15,17 |
| daughter 36:8 | decision 34:18 | delaware 9:3 | describe 25:1 |
| dawson 106:11 | 169:25 171:16 | 73:18 | 88:15 154:3 |
| 106:12,14 | decisions | delay 88:18 | described |
| 115:6 116:8,14 | 170:14 | delays 87:4,6,9 | 114:6 118:12 |
| 137:8 | declaration | 87:13 88:8 | 130:25 149:14 |
| day 21:15 32:3 | 49:24 50:4,16 | delegate 165:16 | describes |
| 32:11 33:7 | dedicate 39:25 | 165:21 166:9 | 101:16 103:12 |
| 53:7 77:13,18 | 57:20 | delegating | 103:13 134:6 |
| 89:9 94:20 | dedicated | 165:7 | 158:9 |
| day's 77:23 | 61:21 70:9 | delivered 65:1 | describing |
| days 46:25 67:6 | 75:15 80:8,18 | 65:22,24 66:7 | 149:1 |
| 67:12,14 76:9 | 86:19 | 72:1,13,17 | description |
| 78:7 170:2 | deemed 161:8 | 73:2 | 12:8,14,20 |
| de 10:5 168:3,4 | defer 116:11 | delivery 44:21 | 13:2,20 14:2,9 |
| deadline 46:14 | 149:20 | 66:6 72:14 | 14:16 15:2,10 |
| 46:14,20 47:1 | define 105:8 | 73:9 | 15:18 16:2,8 |
| 77:16 78:1 | 140:14 | demonstrating | 16:14,20 17:8 |
| 86:13,21 | defined 113:12 | 115:20 | 17:16 18:2 |
| deal 21:15 25:7 | 118:13 124:5 | denied 169:5 | 19:2 20:2 32:5 |
| 78:10 98:16 | 124:11 140:7 | 170:15 | 100:25 101:10 |
| 171:19 | 161:21,25 | department 1:2 | 101:20,22,24 |
| dealing 166:16 | defines 161:4 | 8:3,5 | 102:8,9 114:2 |
| deals 23:24 | 166:3 | depending | 118:1,9,18 |
| dealt 167:5 | defining 40:2 | 139:12 142:18 | 121:15 132:3 |
| dean 7:14 21:7 | 80:9 139:23 | 143:1 | 143:4,9 147:7 |
| deana 9:19 | 149:5 153:13 | depicted 82:17 | 150:11,14 |
| 33:22 49:10 | 154:12 166:2 | 83:2 | 153:12 154:3 |
| deceased 36:8 | definition | depicting 105:4 | 154:12 |
| december | 100:25 118:15 | depiction | designate |
| 29:21 32:2 | 132:24 158:13 | 105:15 | 134:15 164:17 |
| 35:25 36:6,16 | definitions | deposition | 167:19 |
| 41:10,12 78:1 | 161:17 | 174:1 |  |

[designated - division]

| designated | 125:4,8 128:9 | digital 174:8 | dismissal 33:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61:20 113:1 | 135:7 161:23 | 175:3 | dismissed 39:5 |
| 139:15 160:10 | 163:17 166:15 | direct 65:14 | 91:3 158:6 |
| 169:14 | 168:14 170:12 | 78:6 150:6 | 160:1,4 168:25 |
| designates | 170:12 | 159:18 | 170:19 |
| 159:9 | develops 124:6 | directly 83:19 | dismissing |
| desire 54:20 | devilishly | 88:16 137:12 | 32:17 114:4 |
| detailed 62:17 | 92:17 | director 45:15 | 163:20 |
| details 149:18 | devon 3:14 9:3 | 170:1,4 171:5 | disputed |
| determination | 47:16 83:20 | 171:7,12,13,15 | 162:18 |
| 123:23 124:1 | dhardy 60:9,12 | directors 170:2 | disputes 160:6 |
| 137:13 | diagram 76:2 | discovered | district 22:14 |
| determinations | 81:5 82:13 | 25:12 50:9 | 77:2 128:24 |
| 164:4 | dial 63:5 | discuss 30:6,9 | divided 123:21 |
| determine 55:1 | dicta 167:11 | 55:10 170:25 | 133:2 |
| 126:8 | dictate 59:7 | discussed | division 1:3,7 |
| determined | difference | 121:11 128:7 | 8:6 21:5 22:10 |
| 137:5 139:16 | 42:23 67:17 | 132:1 155:18 | 22:12 40:11 |
| 144:20 147:10 | 150:8,10 | 164:22 172:2 | 41:17 43:10 |
| 166:7,13 | different 35:4 | discussing | 44:7 45:25 |
| determines | 93:11,14 | 109:20 172:1 | 46:5,7,11 50:2 |
| 54:21 140:25 | 108:10,22,23 | discussion | 51:9 52:9 |
| 172:10 | 126:23 138:22 | 106:4 128:7 | 54:21 55:1 |
| detest 93:12 | 140:5,13,18 | 156:21 | 59:11 61:13,25 |
| develop 69:5 | 143:23 145:23 | discussions | 69:11 70:16 |
| 125:5,9 136:12 | 145:24 149:5 | 23:20 72:9 | 75:21 78:15 |
| 136:16 159:13 | 160:15 166:16 | 106:6 115:13 | 88:24 90:16 |
| 163:8,12,13 | 167:14 | 136:13 137:1 | 92:7 93:16 |
| 164:17 165:7 | difficult 21:22 | 172:15 | 98:25 101:3 |
| 166:10 167:19 | 22:7 94:20 | dismiss 31:13 | 102:24 104:15 |
| developed | 123:19 | 31:19 38:10,16 | 106:15,17 |
| 123:10 142:24 | difficulty 71:13 | 90:11,19 | 108:13 127:15 |
| development | dig 97:4 | 155:24 157:13 | 130:23 133:18 |
| 24:12 68:25 | digit 68:16 | 162:23 163:15 | 133:23 134:10 |
| 124:20,25 |  | 170:1 | 135:2 137:10 |

[division - earthstone]

| $\begin{aligned} & 138: 11148: 4 \\ & 151: 14,24 \\ & 152: 4153: 14 \\ & 158: 18162: 23 \\ & 164: 8,11,23 \end{aligned}$ | 145:6 $146: 4,12$ 148:1 $153: 8$ djr's $102: 19$ 104:13 133:16 dlm 107:25 | dozen $92: 21$ <br> draw $93: 4$ <br> drill $43: 20$ <br> 45:21,25 $46: 16$ <br> 125:24 $126: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { duly } 150: 3 \\ & \text { 161:22 } 174: 5 \\ & \text { dunn } 92: 1 \\ & \text { dx } 12: 3 \\ & \text { dylan } 171: 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 165:2,5,5 | 128:24 | 127:7 128:23 | e |
| 166:12,19,21 168:19 172:10 | doable 32:12 <br> docket 1:5 | $158: 18$ 162:1 $166: 4,8$ | e 8:1,1 9:1,1 |
| division's 44:9 | 27:22 29:13 | drilled 70:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 1,1 \quad 11: 1,1 \\ & 12: 1,7 \quad 13: 1,14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 48:9 50:22 | 31:17 35:5 | 90:16 108:7,8 | 14:1 15:1 16:1 |
| 51:23,24 54:8 | 49:3 78:10,17 | 123:22 124:12 | 7:1 18:1,21 |
| 54:20 93:9,11 | 163:22 | 126:23 128:22 | 9:1,21 20 |
| 93:15 94:14 | document | 136:10 139:2 | 1:1,1 41:7,13 |
| 120:8 138:15 | 114:19 120:15 | 139:24 141:13 | 60:10,13 101:3 |
| 148:12 151:13 | 121:14 | 141:13 142:24 | 106:18,24 |
| 165:25 166:25 | documents | drilling 5:22 | 07:10 133:6,7 |
| 168:7 | 15:20 21:14 | 41:6 44:10,13 | 136:1,18 |
| divisions | 36:3 129:25 | 44:15 45:21,24 | 155:19 170:21 |
| 108:10 | 130:8,13 | 46:6,12,13,21 | earlier 49:12 |
| djr 6:20 7:1 | 154:13 | 49:21 50:13 | 74:13 128:8 |
| 11:8,18 18:3 | dog 23:18 | 56:15 58:2 | 151:11 152:25 |
| 19:3,10 99:3 | doing 46:1 | 86:14,21 87:5 | 165:19 172:2 |
| 100:17,20 | 68:21 79:3,4 | 87:6,11,13 | earliest 27:22 |
| 101:1 105:22 | 84:12 112:10 | 92:14 97:5 | $29: 24$ |
| 106:6 108:6 | 114:3 152:6 | 123:3 125:22 | early 59:22 |
| 116:23 117:16 | 156:3,4 170:18 | 125:23,23 | 90:17 100:12 |
| 117:23 124:6 | door 126:14,15 | 126:16,17 | earthstone 5:11 |
| 124:16,18 | 127:21 | 128:21 129:13 | 5:15 8:12 |
| 125:8 128:8 | doors 127:10 | 129:15 | 15:19 16:3,9 |
| 131:23 132:7 | dots 82:15 | drillings 58:23 | 73:14,16 74:21 |
| 132:12,19,22 | double 116:7 | drills 126:1,18 | 74:23 75:6 |
| 133:13 134:16 | 153:20 | 127:8 | 77:2 79:7,9,22 |
| 135:1,22 | doug 11:16 | drive 8:7 11:5 | 79:24 80:10 |
| $136: 11,25$ | 56:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { due } 46: 187: 6 \\ & 159: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 84:15 |

[easier - evd]

| easier 122:8 | effort 62:8 | 10:14 11:16 | es 174:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| easiest 55:17 | efforts 42:13 | 12:9 14:17 | especially |
| easily 68:22 | 46:2,3,8 63:11 | 22:11 23:8,12 | 125:3 |
| 150:14 | eighth 57:4 | 23:12 27:3,5,5 | esquire 8:14,20 |
| east 39:22 | either 29:4 | 31:8,11,11 | 9:6,12,19 10:3 |
| 57:20 61:18,20 | 82:23 83:16 | 35:18,21 47:16 | 10:9,15,21 |
| 70:8,9 75:3,4,4 | 158:22 162:3,8 | 49:8,11,20 | 11:3,9 |
| 75:5,11,13 | 166:5 | 50:8,12,18 | essentially |
| 80:3,4,7,13,13 | electricity | 56:9,11 59:1,9 | 68:11,16 |
| 80:14,16,17 | 94:19 | 59:23 61:5,7 | 107:20 108:20 |
| 84:11,12,12 | elements | 156:14,15 | 123:9 137:23 |
| 86:19 91:23 | 103:22 107:3 | 157:2,13 | 138:7,10 |
| 163:12 | 108:23 | 162:22 172:2 | 139:16 140:10 |
| easy 114:12 | elephant | energy's 50:5 | 141:17 142:13 |
| economic 64:21 | 169:12 | engaged 137:7 | 142:21 145:3 |
| eddy $1: 12,16$ | else's 170:16 | enlarged 40:3 | 147:7 |
| 1:22 2:3,17 3:3 | email 52:3 53:4 | entered 61:14 | establish 160:9 |
| 3:12 5:5 6:3,8 | 53:6,18,20 | 74:12 103:3 | established |
| 6:13,18 | 60:4,6,8 76:8 | 127:18 | 152:18 |
| education | 116:7 | entire 84:11 | establishing |
| 104:17 | embraced | 105:12 110:3 | 164:10 |
| effective 46:21 | 158:16 | 118:9 | estate 162:16 |
| 110:20 111:5 | emergency | entities 42:2,17 | 162:19 |
| 136:8,9,11 | 44:9 46:1,4,9 | entitle 164:19 | esther 11:20 |
| 151:12,15,20 | employed | entries 23:8,15 | 99:10,12 |
| 151:24,25 | 174:11,14 | 73:18 99:9 | 125:15 |
| effectively | 175:8,11 | entry 31:7 | esther's 129:12 |
| 114:3 125:4 | employee | 33:19 47:21 | et 23:8 27:3,6 |
| effectuated | 174:13 175:10 | 74:19 79:10,16 | 31:11 170:23 |
| 148:5,18 | encana 136:11 | 79:20 | evaluate 106:9 |
| efficiencies | encourage 33:5 | environmental | evaluating |
| 125:6 165:17 | energy 1:2 $2: 20$ | 64:20 | 106:9,13 |
| efficient 169:1 | 3:14,22 4:2,6 | eog 9:2 47:21 | evd 12:8,14,20 |
| efficiently | 4:10,17 8:2,5 | equities 42:3,12 | 13:2,20 14:2,9 |
| 125:5 | 9:4,5,11,11,18 |  | 14:16 15:2,10 |

[evd - examiner]

| $15: 18 ~ 16: 2,8$ | $28: 13,15,19$ | $63: 18,2065: 10$ | $99: 5,8,12,15,19$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16: 14,2017: 8$ | $29: 1,5,15,25$ | $65: 1266: 3,12$ | $99: 23100: 2,8$ |
| $17: 1618: 2$ | $30: 10,14,25$ | $66: 15,2067: 3$ | $100: 11,14,16$ |
| $19: 2$ 20:2 | $31: 4,6,10,12,14$ | $67: 9,13,16,21$ | $100: 20101: 8$ |
| eventually | $31: 21,2332: 16$ | $67: 23,2568: 4$ | $102: 1,6,13$ |
| 29:12 | $32: 2533: 1,4$ | $68: 6,13,15,18$ | $104: 3,18,23$ |
| everybody 21:3 | $33: 12,15,18,22$ | $69: 6,9,15,20,24$ | $106: 3,18107: 1$ |
| 59:17,20 | $33: 2434: 4,6$ | $70: 271: 6,8,10$ | $107: 12,16$ |
| everybody's | $34: 14,16,17,21$ | $71: 12,19,22$ | $108: 2,14109: 2$ |
| $122: 2$ | $35: 1,6,9,14,20$ | $72: 11,2173: 4$ | $109: 9110: 1,15$ |
| evidence 89:22 | $35: 2236: 6,22$ | $73: 5,11,12,15$ | $110: 21111: 9$ |
| 95:3 98:10 | $36: 2437: 1,4,8$ | $73: 17,19,21,23$ | $111: 12,25$ |
| $155: 20158: 21$ | $37: 8,12,18$ | $73: 2574: 4,6,9$ | $112: 8,18,24$ |
| $159: 1,2164: 9$ | $38: 339: 9,11$ | $74: 11,1675: 23$ | $113: 13,17$ |
| evident $159: 5$ | $39: 1541: 19,21$ | $76: 13,16,19,21$ | $114: 7,18,22$ |
| evolution | $41: 2342: 4,15$ | $77: 6,10,20,25$ | $115: 3,9,11,17$ |
| $119: 15$ | $42: 19,20,25$ | $78: 9,19,25$ | $115: 23116: 4$ |
| exactly $47: 6$ | $43: 5,6,10,13,17$ | $79: 1,5,8,10,14$ | $116: 10,20$ |
| 66:13 68:14 | $43: 2145: 5,8$ | $81: 15,20,23$ | $117: 2,2,7,16,18$ |
| $110: 17114: 19$ | $45: 10,1246: 18$ | $82: 6,12,22$ | $117: 19,25$ |
| $148: 6,7$ | $46: 2447: 4,7,9$ | $83: 5,9,12,16$ | $118: 4,7,12,16$ |
| examination | $47: 11,18,20,22$ | $84: 3,6,17,20,24$ | $118: 24,25$ |
| $150: 6$ | $48: 1,4,9,15,20$ | $85: 2,6,18,24$ | $119: 3,10,14,18$ |
| examined | $48: 2549: 2,6$ | $86: 1,2,5,8$ | $119: 24120: 2$ |
| $150: 5$ | $49: 10,1451: 10$ | $87: 2188: 3,5$ | $120: 10,14,18$ |
| examiner $7: 13$ | $51: 1252: 17$ | $88: 14,2189: 2$ | $120: 20,25$ |
| $7: 1421: 2,6,8$ | $54: 11,1355: 5$ | $89: 7,15,24$ | $121: 3,6,20,24$ |
| $21: 18,2022: 25$ | $55: 14,23,25$ | $90: 3,6,8,12,24$ | $122: 4,15,21,23$ |
| $23: 2,7,10,10,14$ | $56: 4,5,10,21$ | $91: 2,6,8,10,13$ | $122: 25123: 12$ |
| $23: 1724: 6,7$ | $57: 5,1258: 17$ | $92: 2093: 24$ | $123: 18124: 15$ |
| $24: 15,16,22$ | $58: 19,2559: 12$ | $94: 1,3,10,22$ | $124: 18,23$ |
| $25: 3,17,22$ | $59: 2160: 5,20$ | $95: 5,8,10,14$ | $125: 3,11126: 4$ |
| $26: 4,7,10,14,21$ | $61: 2,862: 12$ | $96: 20,22,24$ | $126: 20128: 4$ |
| $27: 1,7,11,15,18$ | $62: 15,22,24$ | $97: 9,16,19,23$ | $128: 11,14,17$ |
| $27: 2328: 1,8,9$ | $63: 2,6,9,14,15$ | $98: 3,14,20,23$ | $128: 19129: 25$ |
|  |  |  |  |

[examiner - exhibits]

| $130: 3,7,12,17$ | $169: 7,22171: 3$ | $19: 11,12,14,16$ | $106: 1,18,24$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $130: 22131: 11$ | $171: 6,11172: 5$ | $19: 17,18,19,20$ | $107: 19108: 20$ |
| $131: 13,18$ | $172: 16,21,25$ | $19: 2120: 4$ | $110: 8,13$ |
| $132: 6,9,15,21$ | examiners | $36: 12,1337: 22$ | $111: 21112: 16$ |
| $133: 5136: 5,17$ | $130: 24$ | $38: 140: 14,18$ | $112: 21113: 23$ |
| $136: 22,23$ | example $53: 17$ | $40: 18,19,22$ | $114: 15118: 20$ |
| $137: 15,21$ | exception | $41: 2,2,7,10,13$ | $120: 3121: 17$ |
| $138: 9,18,24$ | 93:19 | $41: 1343: 21,23$ | $121: 17,18,18$ |
| $139: 13,22$ | exciting 98:16 | $43: 2444: 2,2$ | $122: 13131: 4,8$ |
| $140: 1,2,20$ | excluded | $44: 17,19,23$ | $133: 6,7,7,9,15$ |
| $141: 6,15,20,23$ | $134: 19139: 3$ | $45: 3,3,358: 1,6$ | $133: 25,25$ |
| $142: 3,11143: 3$ | excuse 111:9 | $58: 7,759: 10$ | $134: 5,8,11,14$ |
| $143: 12,14,16$ | $151: 21$ | $61: 2562: 1,3,5$ | $134: 16,20,24$ |
| $143: 19144: 6$ | excused $21: 24$ | $62: 5,764: 13$ | $135: 8,10,14,18$ |
| $144: 12,17,22$ | execute $36: 18$ | $64: 16,2465: 3$ | $135: 23136: 1$ |
| $145: 2,9,15,24$ | executives | $67: 2370: 15,16$ | $150: 12155: 19$ |
| $146: 6,11,17,21$ | $38: 23$ | $70: 18,2271: 1$ | $155: 19159: 18$ |
| $146: 24,25$ | exhibit $12: 10$ | $71: 1,172: 4,20$ | $160: 20,25$ |
| $147: 6,12148: 6$ | $12: 16,22,24,25$ | $75: 1976: 3,4,4$ | $161: 1$ |
| $148: 20,24$ | $13: 4,6,7,8,10$ | $80: 2481: 1,5,6$ | exhibits $12: 12$ |
| $149: 9,17,23$ | $13: 11,12,13,14$ | $82: 1583: 10$ | $12: 1813: 18$ |
| $150: 7,18,21$ | $13: 16,22,23,25$ | $85: 10,10,13$ | $14: 7,14,21$ |
| $151: 2,7,11,18$ | $14: 4,5,11,13,18$ | $87: 15,16,16$ | $15: 8,13,16,22$ |
| $151: 19,23$ | $14: 2015: 4,7$ | $89: 21,2193: 22$ | $16: 6,12,18$ |
| $152: 1,3,8,21$ | $15: 12,14,15,20$ | $93: 2295: 2,2$ | $17: 6,14,22$ |
| $153: 11,16,23$ | $15: 2116: 4,5$ | $95: 15,2296: 5$ | $18: 2219: 22$ |
| $154: 6,8,10,11$ | $16: 10,11,16,17$ | $96: 18,1898: 9$ | $20: 521: 23,24$ |
| $154: 14,16,17$ | $16: 22,23,24$ | $98: 9100: 22$ | $22: 340: 14,23$ |
| $154: 24155: 5$ | $17: 3,4,5,10,11$ | $101: 4,6102: 18$ | $41: 16,1643: 22$ |
| $155: 11,13$ | $17: 12,13,18,19$ | $102: 19,21$ | $45: 750: 17$ |
| $156: 5,10,12,16$ | $17: 20,2118: 4$ | $103: 4,7,24$ | $51: 657: 22,25$ |
| $156: 20157: 1,3$ | $18: 5,7,8,10,12$ | $104: 1,6,7,10,11$ | $58: 4,1562: 2$ |
| $157: 5,8,11,21$ | $18: 13,15,17,19$ | $104: 12,16,21$ | $65: 6,1770: 17$ |
| $157: 25158: 2$ | $18: 20,2119: 4$ | $104: 21105: 2,2$ | $71: 475: 18$ |
| $163: 2,4,5,7$ | $19: 5,7,8,9,10$ | $105: 9,13,14,18$ | $76: 1,1180: 23$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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[exhibits - fe]

| 81:13 88:1 | 122:11,18 | 53:13,25 54:4 | facts 90:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89:19 93:18 | 123:9 127:24 | 54:8 86:23 | 164:11 |
| 94:23 96:14 | 132:16 152:16 | 87:1,14 88:9 | failed 49:12 |
| 98:5 101:2,2 | expect 48:25 | 88:13 | 167:8 |
| 104:24 107:9 | expected 124:8 | extensions | fair 22:17 |
| 121:19 131:23 | expedient 69:5 | 53:15 | 51:24 123:12 |
| 131:25 133:6 | experience | extensive | 138:22 |
| 136:18 150:20 | 165:17 168:10 | 136:25 | fairman 36:8 |
| 155:14 | expert 75:21 | extent 55:1 | 36:17,18 |
| exhibt 130:15 | 102:24 104:19 | exterior 118:2 | faith 159:20 |
| exist 159:25 | 133:19 | 137:4 142:22 | falling 52:25 |
| existed 160:9 | expertise | external 141:16 | falls 77:23 |
| existing 82:4 | 104:17 | extra 83:15 | far 110:22 |
| 108:9 109:1 | expire 78:17 | f | 138:18 140:4 |
| 124:3,25 139:1 | explain 42:1 | f $2: 145: 1,7$ | farley's 28:20 |
| 139:9,18 | 48:5 63:21 | 8:13 10:14 | farmington |
| 152:19 159:1 | 90:13 119:7 | 13:16 | 106:21 136:3 |
| expand 100:23 | 122:16,18 | 33.14,17 41.10 | farther 161:3 |
| 103:15 112:17 | explained | 41:13 | fashion 124:13 |
| 124:17,25 | 90:23 163:23 | 63:21 68:21 | fasken 10:2 |
| 142:4 152:16 | explaining | 69:21,23 70:1 | 28:16,18 29:5 |
| expanded | 37:22 78:13 | 70:3 72:9 | 29:7,15,17,23 |
| 108:24 116:24 | explains 64:18 | facilities 64:22 | 30:5 |
| 118:15 122:20 | 87:4 144:3 | $69: 3124: 25$ | fast 169:6 |
| 131:1,3,6 | exploratory | 161:24 | fault 92:19 |
| expanding | 133:2 | facing 68:22 | faulting 41:5 |
| 119:25 | extend 86:13 | 69:12 | 126:1 |
| expands 123:1 | extended 46:13 | fact $27: 11$ | favor 23:21 |
| 124:8 | 86:20 | 47:23 72:23 | fe 1:37:16 8:8 |
| expansion 18:9 | extension 5:21 | 94:17 101:18 | 8:17,23 9:9,15 |
| 103:21 105:11 | 43:19 44:9 | 108:6 144:13 | 10:6,18,24 |
| 107:21 108:1 | 45:16,20 49:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \\ & 150: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 11:12 23:5,11 |
| 109:14,18,23 | 50:6,14,23 | 169:12 170:19 | 28:13 31:4 |
| 111:23 112:2,7 | 51:23 52:1,4 | $172 \cdot 17$ | 33:16 37:9 |
| 112:9,10 | 52:11,15 53:10 |  | 39:9 43:12 |

[fe - formation]

| $47: 1873: 20$ | file $21: 2324: 10$ | fimo $121: 13,14$ | $125: 13137: 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $90: 799: 6$ | $26: 17,1832: 14$ | $122: 12127: 18$ | $148: 8150: 3$ |
| $132: 13157: 4$ | $33: 538: 17$ | $128: 12,25$ | $157: 17160: 3$ |
| february $29: 24$ | $48: 9,1760: 23$ | $131: 2,9132: 2$ | $163: 19$ |
| $30: 7,13,22$ | $74: 17,1878: 23$ | $150: 20,22,23$ | five $46: 2580: 8$ |
| $31: 1732: 19$ | $84: 21104: 11$ | $151: 1155: 3,3$ | floor $22: 11$ |
| $45: 16,1746: 14$ | $109: 17130: 8$ | $155: 7$ | folks $116: 5$ |
| $46: 1547: 1$ | $131: 6143: 23$ | final $72: 14$ | $128: 20149: 10$ |
| $48: 11,13,22$ | $153: 15156: 22$ | $107: 25109: 8$ | $152: 22$ |
| $49: 386: 22$ | $160: 2164: 19$ | $114: 20131: 2$ | follow $172: 1$ |
| $90: 22172: 9,23$ | $165: 11166: 1$ | $145: 8,19$ | followed $40: 21$ |
| fed $40: 157: 21$ | $166: 10$ | $147: 15148: 2$ | following $36: 6$ |
| $61: 2280: 9,19$ | filed $21: 14,24$ | $148: 17151: 3,4$ | $46: 452: 1,6$ |
| $86: 20$ | $21: 2525: 2$ | $151: 8,16155: 4$ | $148: 11151: 15$ |
| federal $87: 6$ | $27: 2,11,13,20$ | $155: 7,7$ | follows $150: 5$ |
| $88: 19103: 14$ | $29: 831: 15,18$ | finalize $148: 1$ | foot $38: 15$ |
| $103: 20121: 12$ | $31: 1832: 22$ | finalized $110: 7$ | force $91: 21$ |
| $122: 7134: 13$ | $34: 2,435: 11$ | finally $125: 19$ | $158: 19$ |
| $151: 5$ | $36: 343: 21,24$ | $131: 7132: 2$ | forced $25: 23$ |
| fee $97: 4161: 4$ | $51: 2159: 6$ | $167: 21$ | $158: 14$ |
| feldewert $9: 6$ | $90: 1491: 14$ | financially | foregoing |
| $23: 4,524: 7$ | $94: 1896: 7$ | $174: 15175: 11$ | $174: 3,4175: 4$ |
| $26: 9,1928: 12$ | $101: 2,3,5$ | find $22: 16$ | form $53: 9,11$ |
| $28: 13,2429: 7$ | $102: 18127: 14$ | $25: 1153: 17$ | $53: 1393: 10,11$ |
| $30: 10,2331: 3$ | $128: 18133: 5$ | $62: 1874: 2$ | $93: 12133: 2,3$ |
| $31: 4,14,24$ | $133: 10156: 24$ | $128: 25$ | $134: 15160: 14$ |
| $33: 4,1047: 17$ | $157: 13168: 3$ | fine $24: 1426: 9$ | $160: 17$ |
| $47: 18,2248: 3$ | files $131: 21$ | $60: 1878: 18$ | format $93: 14$ |
| $48: 6,7,12,19,24$ | $146: 18$ | $79: 4$ | formation |
| $49: 573: 19,20$ | filing $25: 15$ | fingers $77: 12$ | $57: 1661: 16$ |
| $76: 1590: 6,7$ | $27: 832: 939: 3$ | finishing $44: 14$ | $70: 474: 24$ |
| $90: 23157: 3,4$ | $94: 14104: 6$ | firm $10: 4$ | $85: 2591: 21$ |
| fell $77: 21$ | $163: 21164: 3,7$ | first $55: 870: 19$ | $105: 16123: 7$ |
| figure $21: 15$ | fill $45: 17$ | $74: 285: 21$ | $135: 17140: 10$ |
| $30: 2156: 6$ | $149: 20$ | $97: 1122: 7$ | $149: 5154: 5$ |
|  |  |  |  |

[formed - going]

| formed 137:25 | friend 93:13 | general 18:7 | give $30: 8,16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| former 53:8,17 | fring 61:22 | 19:7 44:1 | 46:24 56:16 |
| 53:21 103:10 | front 38:24 | 103:4 134:1,1 | 59:25 60:3 |
| formula 127:19 | 39:3 47:5 | 149:4,6 171:7 | 94:8 100:9 |
| forth 90:20 | 48:16 | generally 103:5 | 108:3 141:24 |
| 161:16 | fruition 91:15 | 125:10 | 144:25 150:23 |
| fortunately | fuge 171:8 | gentlemen 23:5 | 152:5 162:14 |
| 93:13 | full $109: 4,6,7$ | geographic | given 43:15 |
| forward 32:20 | 111:14 | 100:24 132:23 | 45:15 46:14 |
| 33:2 54:23 | fully $22: 12$ | 134:23 138:16 | 54:4 92:15,23 |
| 56:23 64:7 | 136:24 | geologic 41:5 | 164:10 |
| 101:9 114:16 | fulton 7:17 | 83:10 85:13 | giving 134:21 |
| 124:22 132:8 | 174:2,18 | 104:25 107:6 | glancing |
| 132:10 | functioning | 135:5 | 150:19 |
| found 32:4 | 171:20 | geologist 40:10 | glitch 21:21 |
| foundation | further 42:7 | 57:23 64:12 | go 32:13 33:5 |
| 28:20,23 | 168:14 174:12 | 75:16 80:21 | 35:16 38:16 |
| four 31:19 | 175:9 | 82:24 87:4 | 53:19 62:14 |
| 32:17 49:20,23 | future $24: 24$ | 92:9 104:13 | 64:7 66:8 72:3 |
| 69:11 | 26:12 32:1 | geology 15:14 | 72:18 82:10 |
| fourth 50:13 | 55:4 159:3 | 58:4 70:19 | 101:9,24 102:2 |
| frame 46:13 | g | 75:22 83:13,14 | 118:20 120:3 |
| francis 8:7 | g 21:1 50: | 104:18,20 | 125:24 126:1 |
| franklin 3:21 | $56: 8$ | 147:22 149:3 | 126:18 132:8 |
| 4:1,5,9 9:17 | gallup 139:2,5 | 149:18 | 132:10 137:16 |
| 49:8,10,17,20 | $139: 10$ | geosciences | 146:15 148:1 |
| 50:5,7,12,13,18 | $\text { garcia } 52 \text { : }$ | 135:1 | 152:23 169:18 |
| 172:2 | garcia's 52:18 | getting 23:21 | goes 149:11 |
| franklin's 51:2 | $53: 2,19,20$ | 25:24 67:18 | 159:11 |
| frankly 30:17 | $\text { gas } 29: 2,2$ | 72:6 89:3 | going 22:20 |
| frantic 94:13 | 90:17 91:25 | 94:13,20 | 25:5 29:11 |
| free 146:12 | 158:16 160:18 | 115:20 140:3 | 31:16 32:5,20 |
| frequently | $160: 19,23.24$ | 153:1 155:7,7 | 38:10,13,15 |
| 164:7 | $161: 4,5165: 13$ | girls 83:20 | 52:22 54:15,23 |
|  | 166:24 |  | 56:22 58:21 |
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| 66:9 69:3 79:6 | graph 130:15 | 155:24 | 152:25 153:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82:25 89:10 | great 22:5 | guessing 56:21 | handle 59:20 |
| 108:16 109:11 | 55:12 57:10 | guion 11:16 | 155:6 |
| 110:1 111:6 | 60:2,7 150:15 | 56:3,7,8,10,13 | hands 164:6 |
| 112:2 113:2 | greater 123:10 | 56:24 57:10 | hanging 22:2 |
| 115:14 119:6 | green 66:17 | 59:12,13 60:2 | hanson 10:10 |
| 121:22 124:21 | 68:7 69:18 | 60:7,12,15,19 | happen 25:1 |
| 127:16 139:6,7 | 72:25 76:6 | 63:1,2,4,6,8 | happened |
| 139:18 141:15 | 78:4 81:8 96:7 | gun 76:2 81:4 | 129:9 |
| 141:21 149:20 | 96:11 97:15 | gurion 62:11 | happens |
| 154:7,19 | greenhorn | 62:13 | 164:20 166:9 |
| 170:17 | 149:15,15 | guys 114:24 | happy 23:4 |
| golden 11:6 | 150:13 154:4 | 125:25 | 49:11,13,15 |
| good 21:2 23:9 | grid 38:8,17 | h | 56:19 57:1 |
| 26:24 27:4 | 39:1 165:19 | h 12:7 13:1 | 59:10 67:20 |
| 28:12,17 31:3 | grounds 168:9 | h 14:1 15:1 16:1 | 83:3 84:1 |
| 31:9 32:24 | group 92:23 | 17:1 18:1 19:1 | 106:16 136:20 |
| 33:15,21 35:19 | 149:12 167:25 | 20:1 50:1 | 169:21 |
| 37:8 39:8 43:9 | guadalupe 9:8 | $60: 10,10,13$ | hard 39:1 |
| 47:10,17 49:9 | 10:23 11:11 | habitat 87:11 | 141:2 |
| 59:16 62:18 | guess 22:6 | half 39:21 52:2 | hardy 8:14 |
| 99:4 100:19 | 23:25 29:1 | 54:6 61:18,18 | 33:15,16 34:3 |
| 130:15 140:21 | 38:4 53:9 60:5 | 70:6,7,8 75:3,4 | 34:8,20,25 |
| 148:21 155:12 | 66:8 67:4 68:2 | $75: 4,10,11,12$ | 35:13 55:23,23 |
| 156:4,18 | 68:19 82:25 | $80: 3,5,13,13,16$ | 57:14 58:25 |
| 159:20 | 83:14 88:23 | 84:11,12,13 | 59:3,24 60:6,9 |
| gotten 22:3 | 100:17 108:15 | 86:17,18 90:14 | 60:14,18,22 |
| 71:15 122:6 | 109:2 111:12 | 91:22 92:21 | 61:1 63:18,18 |
| governed 158:7 | 113:4,22,23,24 | $101: 17,17,2$ | 63:24 65:13,16 |
| government | 114:12 119:14 | 102:10 113:19 | 66:9,14,19,24 |
| 38:25 | 120:21 126:13 | 146:2,4 159 | 67:8,11,15,19 |
| grace 32:3,9,12 | 138:1,2 139:3 | 163:9,9,12,14 | 68:19,24 69:8 |
| 33:7 | 140:22 141:2 | 163:16 168:15 | 69:14,19 73:15 |
| granted 166:22 | 145:12 148:12 | hand 112:13 | 73:15 74:11,22 |
| 168:20 | 148:15 154:23 | 137:18 149:24 | 75:25 76:23 |
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| 77:1,8,14,24 | 23:9,14,16 | 60:5,20 61:2,8 | 100:8,11,14,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78:3,11,24 | 24:5,6,8,9,13 | 61:9 62:3,12 | 102:1,6,13 |
| 79:8,8,23 | 24:16,22 25:3 | 62:15,22 63:2 | 104:7,23 |
| 81:25 82:4,8 | 25:17,22 26:4 | 63:6,9,15,20,22 | 105:20 106:20 |
| 82:19 83:3,7,8 | 26:7,8,14,16,20 | 63:23 65:10 | 107:12 109:25 |
| 83:17 84:1,5 | 26:21 27:1,7 | 67:6,23 68:4 | 111:9 114:18 |
| 84:14,19,22 | 27:11,15,18,22 | 68:13,18 69:6 | 114:25 116:20 |
| 85:17 86:5,5 | 27:23 28:1,4,5 | 69:9,15,20,24 | 117:7,16,19,25 |
| 86:11 87:23 | 28:5,7,9,15,19 | 70:1,23 71:6 | 118:4,7,16,25 |
| 88:6,11,17,25 | 29:5,15,25 | 71:10,19,22 | 119:3,10,18,24 |
| 89:5,6,12,23 | 30:7,14,21,22 | 72:11,21 73:5 | 120:10,14,18 |
| 156:10,10 | 30:25 31:6,12 | 73:7,12,17,21 | 120:25 121:3,6 |
| 157:20,25 | 31:21 32:16,23 | 73:23,25 74:1 | 121:24 122:4 |
| 163:3,4,7 | 32:25 33:1,9 | 74:6,9,16 | 122:15,21 |
| 171:2 | 33:12,18,24 | 75:23 76:9,13 | 123:12 124:15 |
| harris 9:20 | 34:1,6,11,14,17 | 76:16,19 77:10 | 124:23 125:11 |
| hart 9:7 10:22 | 34:19,21 35:6 | 77:20,25 78:7 | 125:18 126:4 |
| 11:10 23:6 | 35:9,14,22,24 | 78:7,9,19 79:1 | 128:4,11,14,19 |
| 28:14 31:5 | 36:6,10,16,22 | 79:5,10,14,22 | 129:25 130:3,7 |
| 37:10 39:10 | 37:1,4,7,8,12 | 81:15,20 83:9 | 130:12,17,20 |
| 43:12 47:19 | 37:18,22 38:3 | 83:16 84:3,6 | 131:11,15,18 |
| 73:20 90:7 | 39:8,11,13,14 | 84:17,20,24 | 132:6,15,19 |
| 99:7 132:13 | 41:19,23 42:4 | 85:6,8,24 86:2 | 135:20 136:3 |
| 157:4 | 42:14,15,19,20 | 86:8,10 87:21 | 136:22 137:9 |
| hash 114:24 | 42:25 43:2,4,6 | 88:3 89:7,15 | 137:15 138:12 |
| hazard 119:14 | 43:13 45:8,12 | 89:17,24 90:3 | 146:6,11,17,21 |
| head 140:18 | 45:15 46:18,24 | 90:8,10,24 | 146:24 148:24 |
| heading 22:6 | 47:7,11,13,20 | 91:2,6,10,12 | 149:9,23 150:7 |
| 32:17 93:10 | 48:1,4,15,20,21 | 93:24 94:3,10 | 150:18 151:2 |
| hear 147:1 | 49:2,6,14,17 | 94:22 95:5,10 | 151:11,19,23 |
| heard 28:22,25 | 51:10 54:13,20 | 95:12 96:20,24 | 152:3,21 153:9 |
| 29:3 168:1,6 | 55:5,8,14,25 | 97:9,13,19 | 154:11,16 |
| hearing 1:5 | 56:2,5,10,21 | 98:3,14,20,23 | 155:5,13 156:5 |
| 7:10,13 19:20 | 57:5,12 58:17 | 99:8,12,15,19 | 156:12,16,20 |
| 21:2,20 23:2,7 | 58:25 59:12,21 | 99:23 100:2,6 | 157:1,5,8,11,12 |
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| 157:21,25 | 69:22 73:16 | hope 31:1 | 106:2,25 133:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 159:25 163:2,5 | 79:9 86:6 | 128:15 159:3 | 161:2 |
| 163:25 164:2,5 | 156:11 | 169:6,15 | identified |
| 164:8,9 167:23 | hinklelawfir.. | hopefully 33:8 | 14:11 45:1 |
| 168:3,4,21,24 | 60:12 | 171:21 | 58:175:19 |
| 169:7,22 171:6 | hire 22:15 | hoping 34:11 | 80:24 105:21 |
| 171:11 172:5 | history 44:5 | 128:23 | 106:22 121:16 |
| 172:16,21,25 | 103:1,10 107:2 | horizontal 3:17 | 125:14 140:16 |
| hearings 21:4 | 133:11,22 | 39:20 41:6 | 144:19,23 |
| 22:21 25:19 | hky 80:9 | 57:17 61:17 | 160:24 |
| 35:15 37:24 | hoang 49:25 | 70:6 75:1,8 | identifies 44:20 |
| 98:24 | hobbs 62:19 | 80:2,12 82:1 | 64:14 103:5 |
| heck 172:5 | 71:14 77:17 | 86:17 91:22 | 135:15 |
| held 167:22 | hold 56:14 | 92:14 125:24 | identify 25:6 |
| hello 100:1 | 170:3 | 126:2,18,22 | 56:6 100:3 |
| 129:5 146:1 | hole 32:4,7,11 | 129:13 139:1,4 | 105:8 124:21 |
| help 72:22 | 70:11,13 | 139:10 | 146:7 147:21 |
| 171:16 | 145:10,10 | horror 90:18 | identifying |
| helpful 25:4 | 147:8 | hours 94:16 | 44:25 136:4 |
| 54:19 55:18 | holiday 77:22 | hundred 55:9 | ignorant |
| 59:25 60:6,24 | 77:23 | i | 149:18 |
| 67:2 73:2 | holidays 77:18 | idea 172:17 | ii 2:20 4:17 |
| hereto 174:14 | 77:21 89:13 | identical 95:16 | image 66:17 |
| 175:11 | 92:16 96:10 | 96:6 | 68:9 |
| highlighted | holland 9:7 | identification | imaging 109:11 |
| 160:21 | 10:22 11:10 | 36:14 38:2 | impact 87:13 |
| highway 103:6 | 23:6 28:14 | $40: 20 \text { 41:3,14 }$ | 122:18 123:7 |
| 134:3 | 31:5 37:10 | 44:3 45:4 58:8 | 125:9 |
| hill 92:9 | 39:10 43:12 | 62:6 64:17 | impacted |
| hinges 83:18 | 47:19 73:20 | 65:4 71:2 76:5 | 170:13 |
| hinkel 55:24 | 90:7 99:7 | 81:2,7 85:11 | impacts 125:5 |
| hinkle 8:15 | 132:13 157:4 | 87:17 93:23 | impediments |
| 10:16 33:16 | honest 71:13 | 96:19 101:7 | 41:5 |
| 35:20 60:10,13 | 143:22 | $102: 22 \text { 103:8 }$ | implicated |
| 61:7 63:19 |  | 104:2,22 105:3 | 156:23 |

[important - interest]

| important | inclusive | indisputably | intend 27:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128:6 152:22 | 101:10,20 | 169:18 | 59:19 |
| 161:8 | 114:2 | individual | intended 49:11 |
| impressive | inconsistent | 62:10 66:7 | 119:15 |
| 43:16 | 165:12 | 67:17 72:18 | intent 52:20 |
| include 49:24 | incorporate | individuals | 107:3 113:25 |
| 57:22 58:4 | 55:4 | 135:25 136:4 | 114:8 141:1,2 |
| 59:5 76:1 81:3 | incorporated | info 129:20 | 141:23 143:13 |
| 104:5 105:22 | 134:10 142:25 | information | intentionally |
| 106:5 111:24 | incorrect 66:22 | 36:1 53:11 | 52:8 |
| 120:19 121:23 | 164:5,15 | 54:16 58:11 | interest 36:9 |
| 142:5,7 165:22 | increase 124:8 | 59:23 72:19,24 | 37:15,19 38:12 |
| included 88:11 | independent | 73:8,9 85:13 | 38:13,21 42:6 |
| 103:24 104:10 | 139:19 | 85:14 92:2,3,5 | 50:19,20,21,25 |
| 106:23 109:7,7 | indian 100:5,13 | 92:7 93:16 | 51:1,20 52:5 |
| 110:22 111:16 | 103:15,20 | 96:1,17 129:20 | 52:14,14,19,23 |
| 111:20 115:4 | 117:5 121:12 | 154:21 155:17 | 52:24 53:3,16 |
| 115:25 122:14 | 122:7 125:20 | 171:10 | 53:22 54:10 |
| 123:10 124:2,4 | 134:13 151:5 | initial 19:8 | 56:11,16,17,18 |
| 124:13 133:12 | indicate 106:14 | 43:20 49:22 | 57:4,16 58:22 |
| 134:6,17 137:3 | 127:15 | 51:13,21 106:4 | 59:4,17 61:13 |
| 147:15 158:9 | indicated | 107:20 113:2 | 61:24 63:11 |
| includes 40:14 | 106:12 108:25 | 113:24 134:12 | 64:14,25 66:1 |
| 40:23 70:19 | 121:22 126:8 | 138:12 139:15 | 70:4,22 74:24 |
| 102:10 105:13 | 137:9 | 139:23,23 | 76:7 78:4 |
| 118:14 122:12 | indicates 73:1 | 140:5 147:1,21 | 79:25 80:11 |
| 130:25 131:8 | 83:24 84:9 | 152:11 153:13 | 81:9 86:15 |
| 160:11 166:13 | 162:4 | initially 25:2 | 94:15 97:2 |
| including 64:21 | indicating | 39:24 42:9 | 99:20 105:22 |
| 101:17,21 | 111:17 116:8 | 63:25 134:5,6 | 106:22 123:8 |
| 109:4 115:15 | 125:18 135:6 | 148:14 150:24 | 124:4 128:1 |
| 117:14 126:25 | 147:23 | 163:22 | 146:12 158:4 |
| 165:17 167:16 | indication | inquiry 132:10 | 158:10,12,21 |
| 168:9 | 105:7 153:19 | insight 162:12 | 158:24 159:1,2 |
|  |  |  | 159:4,13,22,25 |
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[interest - johnston]

| 160:3,6,19,24 | interpreting | 95:25 107:25 | jalapeno 10:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161:4,5,10,13 | 165:9 | 152:12 168:2 | 73:21,24 74:6 |
| 161:18,21 | interruption | issues 22:13 | 74:7 76:17 |
| 162:6,15,19,21 | 79:13 81:19 | 25:19 34:12 | 157:9 |
| 162:25 163:25 | 87:20 | 50:6,10,11 | james 8:21 |
| 164:8,16,23,24 | interval 100:25 | 88:18 144:10 | jamesbruc 8:24 |
| 165:4,7,11,15 | 105:9,11,16 | 152:12 164:12 | jammed 89:13 |
| 165:20 166:2,9 | 112:15,25 | it'd 85:21 | january 7:11 |
| 166:13,14,18 | 113:4,5,7,11 | italicized | 21:3 34:9,18 |
| 167:2,18 | 123:6 132:25 | 158:11 | 34:23 35:4,10 |
| 169:16,19 | 135:10,13,16 | item 28:10 | 50:15 54:15 |
| 170:8 | 140:4,14,15,19 | 35:17 37:4 | 55:10 59:14 |
| interested | 149:1 150:12 | 39:6 43:7 | 78:22 89:11,18 |
| 33:25 35:23 | 154:3 | 47:15 55:21 | 98:12 99:1 |
| 37:13 39:12 | intervals 70:21 | 61:4 63:16 | 172:8 |
| 43:1,14 67:4 | involve 167:9 | 69:21 72:12 | jason 64:12 |
| 69:25 85:7 | involved 25:19 | 79:2,6 89:25 | 75:17 80:21 |
| 86:9 89:17 | 92:4 96:2 | 98:17 99:3 | jessica 36:9 |
| 90:9 91:11 | involves 44:9 | 153:12 155:23 | jim 8:20 22:25 |
| 95:11 97:12 | 171:17 | items 22:22 | 91:8 95:8 |
| 99:24 132:18 | irregular 61:19 | 26:22 31:1 | joa 20:4 64:3 |
| 157:6 174:15 | 75:3,11 | 33:13 49:7 | 72:6 160:15,18 |
| 175:12 | issuance 87:6 | 73:13 86:3 | 160:22 161:8 |
| interesting | 88:19 | 95:6 155:22 | 164:18 167:12 |
| 129:19 | issue 30:22 | 156:7 | 167:13 |
| interests 4:18 | 48:14 50:9 | j | joas 160:14,17 |
| 39:16 52:12 | 57:6 64:6 | jack 12:4 18:11 | 167:16 |
| 61:16 75:7 | 69:11 71:20 | 18:12 19:15,16 | job 7:18 169:8 |
| 117:24 158:14 | 88:20 110:11 | 104:13 134:25 | joe 11:21 146:9 |
| 158:15,15 | 121:11 144:5 | 150:2 154:2 | johnson 58:3 |
| 164:6 165:3 | 147:22 158:3 | jackie 10: | 64:12,13 |
| interference | 172:12 | 35:20 61:6 | johnston 100:1 |
| 55:19 | issued 44:7 | 69:22 | 100:4,5,9,10,10 |
| internal 141:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 25 \text { 46:5,10 } \\ & 87: 889: 1 \end{aligned}$ | jade 80:8,18 | $100: 11,12,15$ |

[johnston - leases]

| 130:2,6,11,14 | 69:10,11 72:7 | 114:6,25 | large 116:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| join 117:6 | 82:23 83:15 | 116:14 117:11 | largely 50:7 |
| jolly 158:23 | 84:22 87:21 | 117:13 120:16 | larger 84:9 |
| 159:8,11 | 88:25 94:12 | 120:19,22 | 124:24 |
| 163:23 | 110:4,5,17 | 123:17 126:23 | lastly 41:7 |
| jolly's 159:6 | 111:8 113:2 | 127:9,24 | late 21:14 |
| juan 6:23 7:4 | 114:8,13 115:5 | 129:15 131:14 | 77:13,18 83:18 |
| juggling 21:13 | 121:11 122:16 | 133:1,16,19 | 89:9 |
| july 46:21,22 | 125:23 128:20 | 134:13 137:1,2 | lateral 127:16 |
| 61:15 110:20 | 129:14 139:12 | 137:5,13 | 127:20 |
| 110:23 111:5 | 140:16 142:20 | 140:24 141:4,7 | law 8:21 10:4 |
| june 143:17 | 143:1 145:16 | 141:10,24 | 91:20 164:16 |
| justification | 149:11,19 | 151:16 153:19 | lawfirm.com. |
| 46:17 | 153:17 157:24 | 160:24 161:5 | 60:10 |
| k | 158:1 165:18 | landman 57:22 | lay 92:13 |
| k 60:10,13 | 166:20 170:18 | 64:12 75:16 | laydown |
| kay 11:21 | 170:22 171:12 | 80:21 102:20 | 168:14 |
| 146:9 | knowledge | 158:23 | lays 50:4 |
| keep 79:6 | 174:9 175:6 | landman's 72:4 | lea $2: 8,12,22$ |
| kept 93:20 | known 121:13 | 95:23 | 3:7,19,24 4:15 |
| 96:16 | korean 38:25 | landperson's | 4:20 5:9,13,17 |
| key 110:3 | 1 | 46:20 | 5:23 7:8 39:22 |
| kind 23:18,24 | 1 60:10,13 | lands 31:24 | 57:20 61:20 |
| 32:6 52:20 | l.p. 3:15 | 106:6,8 117:3 | 70:9 75:5 80:7 |
| 66:4 94:13,15 | lamb 171:18 | 117:8,9,14,20 | 86:19 |
| 115:7 122:1 | land 11:18 13:4 | 118:5 121:16 | leadership |
| 148:9 | 14:18 15:12,13 | 122:19 142:8 | 170:24 171:21 |
| know 23:23 | 15:15,20 40:9 | 142:14,17 | learned 90:18 |
| 38:5,7,8,13,14 | 40:15 44:1 | 162:16,20 | lease 36:18 |
| 38:24,25 52:2 | 57:24 62:1,2,7 | language 25:10 | 56:14 139:11 |
| 53:19 55:16 | 70:16,17 75:17 | 53:21 66:10 | 160:18 167:8 |
| 56:18,24,24 | 80:22 92:3 | 158:9,10 | leased 117:8,10 |
| 57:2 59:8,15 | 101:25 102:25 | 160:21 167:10 | 117:20,21,22 |
| 59:17,19,24 | 105:23 106:7,8 | 167:13 169:15 | leases 104:9 |
| 63:4 68:20 | 106:12 107:7 | 170:7 | 117:5 144:2,4 |

[leases - looked]

| 144:16 160:23 | 147:4,15,23,25 | 59:7 66:21,22 | 81:3 103:4,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161:22 | 154:15,20 | 66:25 76:25 | 127:15 131:2 |
| leave 24:4 | 159:16 | 84:15 135:23 | 134:1,2 145:10 |
| 85:12 116:15 | letters 41:8 | 139:17 142:1 | 145:10,24 |
| left 45:14 66:17 | 121:12 129:22 | 145:10 | 155:4 |
| 67:2 68:8 73:9 | 166:14 172:14 | listen 156:24 | locations 32:4 |
| 94:25 98:8 | 172:18 | listening 100:6 | 32:7,11 70:12 |
| 131:25 155:16 | lewis 11:20 | 107:15 146:3,4 | 70:13 96:4 |
| 155:22 | 99:10,11,13,14 | lit 79:19 | 147:8 |
| legacy 71:20 | 99:17,21 | little 22:7 60:16 | locator 13:10 |
| 72:6,8,23 | 125:15,17 | 93:2,11,14 | 40:23 |
| 73:10 74:10,12 | 126:12,21 | 94:20 96:9,10 | lodge 64:12,22 |
| legacy's 72:2 | 127:5,13,23 | 140:23 147:13 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} 18: 1919: 19$ |
| legal 30:11 | life 23:24 122:8 | 150:16 157:15 | 105:7,15 |
| 118:9,17 | lime 150:13 | 161:3 162:11 | 135:10,15 |
| 121:15 132:3 | limestone | 166:15 169:18 | 140:13 150:11 |
| 143:4 164:12 | 149:15,16 | Ilama 40:1 | logic 69:7 |
| legislative | limit 113:11 | llc 1:15,19 $2: 2$ | long 56:25 |
| 171:18,19 | 166:1 167:17 | 2:21 3:2,10,22 | 107:2 127:7 |
| leslie 29:1 | limitation | 4:2,6,10,18 | 166:19 |
| lessee 117:17 | 167:2 | 5:12,16,20 | longer 110:10 |
| lessees 117:6,23 | limited 87:9 | 6:20 7:19:18 | 110:12 113:24 |
| 121:4 | 88:7 | 11:2 12:15 | 123:19 133:3 |
| lesser 87:11 | line 84:11 94:6 | 26:25 37:6,11 | 143:7 161:10 |
| letter 13:6 14:4 | 119:4,7,20 | 156:19 | look 24:21 42:7 |
| 40:16 44:20 | 120:15,21 | llp 8:15 10:16 | 42:23 53:5,20 |
| 70:23 71:15 | 135:8,12 | locatable 80:25 | 55:6 65:16,21 |
| 92:6 107:19 | 138:25 159:19 | locate 42:13 | 72:3 73:8 |
| 108:18 109:10 | lines 93:10 | 58:12 62:9 | 116:3 128:15 |
| 109:11 110:11 | 105:5 120:11 | 63:11 | 130:9 131:21 |
| 110:24 121:8 | link 131:20 | location 7:15 | 150:9 159:16 |
| 125:18 129:7 | list 65:16 96:25 | 15:6 18:7 19:7 | 159:17 160:20 |
| 138:25 143:17 | 116:6 | 25:8 40:5 | 162:10 |
| 144:15,18 | listed 42:12 | 64:23 69:1 | looked 72:17 |
| 145:11,16 | 46:22 58:1 | 70:19 76:1 |  |

[looking - mcclure]

| looking 28:25 | mailed 41:9 | 150:12 152:14 | 96:19 101:2,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:8 67:24 | mailing 65:21 | manner 71:17 | 102:21 103:7 |
| 68:1,5 82:13 | 67:8 68:17 | 165:10 | 104:1,21 105:3 |
| 82:15 97:1 | 115:5 | map 13:4,10,11 | 106:1,24 133:8 |
| 114:11 151:13 | mailings 67:17 | 13:12 18:7 | 161:1 |
| looks 93:14 | main 149:21 | 19:7,11 40:15 | marlene 8:4 |
| 97:1,17 107:18 | maintain | 40:23,24,25 | 48:12,17 94:18 |
| 111:20 115:25 | 152:20 | 58:4 64:13,23 | 131:20 |
| 140:6 | major 29:22 | 64:23 70:19 | marlene.salvi... |
| loosing 171:12 | make 26:18 | 76:1 81:4 | 8:9 |
| los 42:3,5,10 | 35:3 67:17 | 92:10 103:4 | masa 149:12 |
| lose 126:2,19 | 74:20 79:18 | 104:9 105:4,7 | matador 2:5 |
| lost 94:15 | 86:24 101:12 | 108:23,25 | 9:2 28:11,22 |
| 122:21 | 102:14 113:11 | 118:20 134:1 | 29:10 |
| lot 22:15 75:1,9 | 122:8 124:1 | 134:17 135:8 | materials 49:24 |
| 80:14 96:7 | 138:12 150:9 | 135:12 | matt 73:24 |
| 107:2 122:8 | 150:15 | maps 15:4 | 75:16 80:21 |
| lots 57:18,18 | makes 52:11 | 70:20 76:1 | 92:1 157:8 |
| 59:15 61:18 | 122:7 | 81:4 108:17 | matter 1:5 |
| 80:4 | making 108:15 | marathon 9:18 | 23:21 24:8 |
| lunch 169:19 | 114:11 127:24 | 156:16,19,20 | 27:14 33:9 |
| lying 161:6 | 171:16 | 156:23 159:9 | 40:12 48:8,10 |
| m | mall 40:1 | 159:17,19 | 50:3 74:5 |
| 5: | managed 22:15 | 163:24 | 102:25 133:20 |
| made 21:22 | 74:18 | march 26:8,16 | 135:3 150:11 |
| 24:18 36:9 | management | 28:2,5 128:18 | matters 75:22 |
| 42:13 62:9,17 | 22:11 133:1 | 163:22 | 92:20 102:25 |
| 63:10 92:25 | 134:13 | marked 36:12 | 104:20 133:19 |
| 108:12 125:19 | manager 29:2 | 36:13 38:1 | 155:6 172:12 |
| 133:20 137:13 | manages | 40:19 41:3,13 | matthew 10:9 |
| 147:19 | 161:22,24 | 44:2 45:4 58:7 | mcclure 7:14 |
| mail 44:22 58:9 | mancos 105:16 | 62:5 64:16 | 21:7,17 36:23 |
| 69:18 83:21 | 119:4,8,17 | 65:3 71:2 76:4 | 37:9 41:20 |
| 85:16 92:17 | 125:23 135:16 | 81:1,6 85:10 | 45:9 47:8 |
| 129:9 135:24 | 149:8,12,13 | 87:16 93:23 | 51:11 52:16 |

[mcclure - minute]

| 58:18 62:23 | 128:21 137:24 | 24:9 31:2,14 | 23:5 26:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:11 67:24 | 139:14 141:1 | 32:18 55:22,24 | 28:13 31:4 |
| 71:776:19 | 141:20 145:17 | 56:2,17,19 | 47:18 73:19 |
| 81:22 83:10 | 145:19 154:21 | 57:2,7,13,15 | 87:4 90:6 |
| 84:25 85:14 | 157:19 161:4 | 59:22 89:25 | 93:13 157:3 |
| 88:4 91:1 | 170:20 171:11 | 90:2 91:7,9,12 | michael's 139:1 |
| 93:25 96:20 | meaning | 91:18,21 92:16 | microphone |
| 97:22 107:13 | 119:11 | 95:7,9,12,16,20 | 136:24 |
| 108:5 109:17 | means 82:16 | 97:5 156:9,11 | middle 22:9 |
| 109:20 110:8 | 123:21 126:10 | 158:3,20,24,24 | mind 60:8 |
| 110:25 113:8 | 127:5,6 151:24 | 159:3,8,10,23 | 151:22 |
| 114:1 115:2 | meant 106:3 | 160:2,5,9,15 | mineral 36:1 |
| 121:10,25 | meeting 100:13 | 161:14,18 | 103:20 126:3 |
| 129:23 131:12 | 129:7,11,19 | 162:7,21,24 | 161:5 162:15 |
| 137:17,20 | 146:4 147:21 | 163:3,6,23,25 | 162:19 164:16 |
| 138:3,14 139:8 | member 137:18 | 167:25 168:3,8 | 165:10,15,20 |
| 140:11 142:19 | mention 84:14 | 169:13,17 | 166:2,18 167:2 |
| 143:24 147:19 | 88:22 106:4 | 170:20 | 167:18 169:16 |
| 153:21 154:11 | mentioned 54:5 | mewbourne's | minerals 1:2 |
| 155:10 | 103:9,16,19 | 23:25 158:23 | 8:2,5 11:17 |
| mckenzie 9:14 | 151:12 | 163:8,13,16 | 56:9,11 59:2,9 |
| mclean 10:15 | menus 149:21 | 168:22,24 | 59:9,23 103:15 |
| 35:19,20 36:4 | merely 30:14 | 170:19 | 117:1 121:13 |
| 36:5 37:3 61:6 | method 68:25 | mexico 1:1,3,12 | 122:7 125:20 |
| 61:6,11 62:14 | methodology | 1:16,22 2:3,8 | 134:13 151:5 |
| 62:16 63:14 | 127:4 | 2:12,18,22 3:3 | 158:4,12,16,21 |
| 69:22,22 70:2 | methods 58:12 | 3:7,12,19,24 | 160:4,12 |
| 71:12,21,24 | metro 129:18 | 4:15,20 5:5,9 | 161:13 162:5,6 |
| 72:15 73:3,11 | mewbourne | 5:13,17,23 6:3 | 162:25 164:18 |
| 83:22 | 1:10 2:10 4:13 | 6:8,13,18,23 | 169:17 |
| mean 25:22 | 6:1,5,10,15 7:6 | 7:4,8 21:5 | minor 88:15 |
| 38:4 52:21 | 8:12,19 9:4 | 39:23 56:25 | 101:10 |
| 55:6,6 66:24 | 14:10 16:21 | 98:25 164:16 | minute 72:3 |
| 110:22 112:9 | 17:2,9,17 20:3 | michael 9:6 | 126:12 169:10 |
| 113:22 122:5 | 22:24 23:1,20 | 11:3 16:16 |  |
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[minutes - net]

| minutes 157:17 | morning 21:2 | mute 55:17 | 38:20 46:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157:18,19,19 | 23:9 26:24 | 111:10 | 53:12 58:21,23 |
| 157:21 | 27:4 28:12,17 | muted 62:13 | 60:22 63:23 |
| missing 45:18 | 31:3,9 33:15 | 63:3,7 | 69:17 72:23 |
| 66:16 72:12 | 33:21 35:19 | mutually | 78:23 82:10 |
| 109:12 115:12 | 37:8 39:8 43:9 | 159:20 | 83:10 84:7,8 |
| 115:24 118:8 | 47:17 49:9 | n | 94:4 97:14 |
| 141:21 | 74:13 99:4 | n 8:1 9:1 10:1 | 109:22 114:18 |
| missouri | 100:19 156:18 | $11: 1 \quad 12: 1 \quad 21: 1$ | 118:17 121:8 |
| 174:20 | 165:18 166:20 | 50:1 56:8 | 121:18 130:20 |
| mistaken | motion 31:13 | 50:1 56 | 130:21 132:8 |
| 140:17 | 31:19 34:1 | nageezi | 153:5,10 170:8 |
| misunderstan... | 38:10 48:2 | name 21:5 | 170:25 |
| 147:18 148:8 | 90:10 155:24 | $38: 19 \text { 45:1 }$ | needed 22:3 |
| 148:14 | 157:13 167:4 | 49:25 56:7 | 35:25 53:15 |
| mitch 57:22 | motions 163:19 | 79:18 100:9 | 129:23 153:25 |
| model 133:2 | 164:13 168:20 | 136:4 147:9 | 154:18 |
| modifications | 169:5 | names 42:24 | needing 52:19 |
| 112:16 | mount 172:2 | national 28:21 | 108:3 |
| modify 25:23 | mountain 3:22 | $30: 1$ | needs 38:8,19 |
| 100:24 132:24 | 4:2,6,10 9:17 | natural 1:28:2 | 39:2 44:15 |
| 152:10 | 49:8,11,18,20 | $8: 5$ | 46:15 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { modrall } \\ 33: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $50: 5,7,12,18$ | nature $12: 17$ | negotiating <br> 159.20 |
| moment 116:6 | 93:17 124:16 | 37:22 | negotiations |
| 152:8 172:4 | moved 171:15 |  | 91:18 136:13 |
| mona 11:18 | moving 23:22 | nearby 170:13 | 163:24 |
| 102:20 133:17 | 107:2 157:16 | necessarily | negotiator 40:9 |
| money 126:19 | mre 9:3,3 73:18 | $52: 13114: 9$ | neighbor |
| montgomery | 74:4 76:14 |  | 126:17 127:8 |
| 10:4 | 90:5,25 | $25: 2035: 5$ | 127:22 |
| months 24:1 | mullins 10:10 | 49:4 54:25 | neither 174:10 |
| 26:15 87:8,12 | multiple 88:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:4 } 54.29 \\ & 55: 2107: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 175:7 |
| 95:25 | 96:10 165:3 | need $24: 10,20$ | net 56:14 |

[never - number]

| never 90:15 | night $93: 7$ | $101: 22102: 11$ | $95: 1,2396: 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92:25 $129: 8$ | 94:15 | $113: 20125: 3$ | $96: 1797: 14,17$ |
| $136: 7,9,10,10$ | nm $7: 168: 8,17$ | $168: 12$ | $98: 8,12105: 20$ |
| $137: 25139: 24$ | $8: 239: 9,15,22$ | notary $7: 17$ | $106: 19,20$ |
| $151: 20,25$ | $10: 6,12,18,24$ | $174: 19$ | $114: 9115: 4$ |
| new $1: 1,3,12,16$ | $11: 12$ | note $101: 12$ | $116: 8135: 20$ |
| $1: 222: 3,8,12$ | nmac $161: 25$ | $152: 12154: 2$ | $136: 2162: 13$ |
| $2: 18,223: 3,7$ | nodding $140: 17$ | $169: 11$ | $162: 15172: 10$ |
| $3: 12,19,24$ | nods $108: 4$ | noted $50: 16$ | $172: 11,14$ |
| $4: 15,205: 5,9$ | non $2: 165: 3$ | notes $162: 10$ | noticed $55: 15$ |
| $5: 13,17,236: 3$ | $15: 525: 8,25$ | notice $13: 15,16$ | $84: 7104: 3$ |
| $6: 8,13,18,23$ | $40: 464: 1,4,9$ | $13: 2514: 13$ | $137: 17149: 10$ |
| $7: 4,819: 10$ | $64: 14,1965: 9$ | $15: 7,2116: 5$ | notices $42: 23$ |
| $21: 4,5,2522: 6$ | $68: 2169: 2,7$ | $16: 11,1718: 20$ | $65: 169: 18$ |
| $23: 439: 22$ | $69: 12161: 10$ | $18: 2125: 5$ | $70: 2592: 21$ |
| $49: 12,13,15$ | normal $49: 3$ | $29: 9,18,18$ | notification |
| $56: 2591: 20,20$ | $125: 7148: 10$ | $41: 8,1142: 14$ | $71: 16115: 8$ |
| $92: 1998: 24$ | $148: 18$ | $44: 17,1945: 1$ | notified $43: 16$ |
| $118: 18119: 1$ | normally $69: 11$ | $46: 1148: 14$ | $44: 2152: 20$ |
| $120: 12126: 17$ | $110: 5169: 25$ | $50: 20,2451: 2$ | $54: 955: 8$ |
| $128: 1132: 3$ | north $9: 810: 23$ | $51: 3,14,16,19$ | $64: 2583: 19$ |
| $134: 15138: 1,7$ | $11: 1138: 25$ | $51: 2552: 5,10$ | $114: 25115: 10$ |
| $138: 19139: 17$ | $101: 15,17,21$ | $53: 10,12,22,24$ | $131: 15153: 19$ |
| $147: 17152: 5$ | $102: 8,10$ | $53: 2554: 4,21$ | notify $55: 7$ |
| $154: 20163: 21$ | $103: 18113: 19$ | $58: 562: 3,19$ | $87: 2392: 15$ |
| $164: 16170: 24$ | $159: 11163: 14$ | $64: 5,2465: 2$ | notifying $52: 8$ |
| $171: 14,21$ | $168: 15$ | $65: 15,18,22$ | notions $168: 18$ |
| $173: 2$ | northeast $75: 2$ | $67: 5,5,970: 22$ | november $41: 9$ |
| news $62: 19$ | $75: 1080: 5,15$ | $70: 2371: 11,15$ | $41: 12$ |
| newspaper | $101: 18,19,21$ | $71: 17,2372: 1$ | novo $168: 3,4$ |
| $22: 1971: 14$ | $101: 23102: 10$ | $72: 8,2276: 2,7$ | nsp $67: 6,14$ |
| $77: 16,1789: 13$ | $102: 12113: 20$ | $77: 1578: 23$ | number $25: 18$ |
| $92: 2293: 21$ | $113: 21114: 4$ | $81: 5,1187: 15$ | $28: 1035: 17$ |
| nicole $13: 24$ | northwest | $87: 1989: 20$ | $36: 2037: 5$ |
| $43: 25$ | $70: 12101: 19$ | $92: 6,1694: 8$ | $55: 1556: 14$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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[number - okay]

| 61:11,14,22 | objections | 105:19,24 | 39:17,25 55:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62:21 63:5,16 | 31:21 75:23 | 106:7,8,12 | 55:24 89:25 |
| 68:16 70:3,10 | 81:21 | 109:21 114:25 | 91:7 95:7 |
| 71:5 73:6 | observe 41:5 | 117:11,13 | 98:25 152:15 |
| 74:23 79:6 | observing | 121:13 122:7 | 156:9,13,16,19 |
| 80:10 86:24 | 157:10 | 125:20 128:24 | 158:16 160:18 |
| 98:17 114:20 | obtain 95:20 | 131:14 132:13 | 160:18,23,24 |
| 116:5 122:17 | 136:8 144:15 | 134:13 135:19 | 161:4,5 165:13 |
| 130:5 144:21 | 167:7 | 137:1,3,5,13 | 166:23 |
| 145:3,7 147:9 | obtained 46:7 | 140:25 141:4,7 | okay 25:21 |
| 156:7 165:19 | 90:15 151:16 | 141:10,24 | 26:13 27:18 |
| 166:12,22 | 159:8 | 151:5,16 | 28:22 29:15,25 |
| 167:5,24 | obviously | 153:19 157:4 | 30:8 34:17,22 |
| numbers 22:23 | 32:19 169:24 | officer 174:1,2 | 35:14 37:14 |
| 66:16 67:1 | occur 151:17 | offices 22:14,17 | 43:15 46:21 |
| 68:2,10,12 | occurred 59:8 | official 42:11 | 47:8 48:16,19 |
| 73:13 162:23 | 87:5 103:13 | 96:12 115:8 | 54:18 56:22 |
| numerous | 120:7 144:8 | officially | 59:21 60:15,19 |
| 91:17 168:9 | occurring | 115:10 | 62:14,16 63:10 |
| nw 9:21 | 123:17 | offset 64:25 | 66:19 67:15 |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | occurs 123:13 | 66:1 | 69:6,9 74:6 |
| 21:1 38:8,17 | ocd 1:6 22:12 | oh 48:19 66:9 | 77:678:24 |
| $39: 150: 156: 8$ | 138:8 171:18 | 66:24 67:11 | 82:19 83:3,5,9 |
| 165:19 166:3 | ocd's 118:22 | 68:6 97:19 | 83:17 84:14,19 |
| object $32: 20$ | offer 57:7 | 101:13 111:25 | 84:19,22,24 |
| 170:11 | offered 57:8 | 115:17 144:12 | 85:6 86:3,8 |
| objected 30:17 | office 22:7 23:5 | 145:20 154:18 | 89:2,3,4,12 |
| 74:5,8 | 23:11 28:13 | oil 1:3,6,10 | 94:4,22 97:9 |
| objecting 3 | 31:4 33:16 | 2:10 4:13 6:1,5 | 97:19 98:4 |
| 74:3 | 37:9 39:9 | 6:10,15 7:6 8:6 | 99:19 100:11 |
| objection 27:2 | 43:12 47:18 | 8:12,19 9:4,18 | 102:13,14 |
| $29: 931: 7$ | 65:20 73:20 | 10:2,2 14:10 | 108:14 112:18 |
| 33:19 34:5 | 77:2 81:10 | 21:5 22:24 | 112:24 113:13 |
| 74:8,14,19 | 90:7 99:6 | 28:16,18 29:2 | 113:17 114:22 |
|  | 103:15,20 | 29:2 31:2 | 115:3,22 117:7 |
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[okay - originally]

| 117:16 118:19 | 152:25 155:17 | operator's | 108:9,13 113:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118:21,25 | operate 152:18 | 167:6 | 113:7 116:1 |
| 119:3,10 | 165:21,22 | operators | 118:17,22 |
| 120:14,25 | operating 1:14 | 125:8 161:10 | 119:1,19,21 |
| 121:7,7 122:4 | 1:19 2:2 3:1 | 166:10 167:6 | 120:8 132:22 |
| 124:15 125:11 | 5:12,16,20 | 167:20 | 132:23 133:12 |
| 127:5 128:4,11 | 6:20 7:1 8:13 | operatorship | 133:13,22,23 |
| 128:14,19 | 9:4 10:20 11:2 | 164:15 | 134:4,7,10 |
| 130:2,6,11,16 | 11:8,18 12:15 | opinion 42:11 | 136:7,8,9 |
| 131:11 132:9 | 15:19 16:3,4,9 | 170:3,4 | 138:5,5,8,12 |
| 137:16 139:22 | 16:10 18:3 | opportunity | 139:18,23 |
| 140:2,2,20 | 19:3 20:3 23:3 | 57:8 141:25 | 151:13,20 |
| 142:11 143:12 | 26:23,25 29:20 | oppose 168:10 | 152:5,5,10,11 |
| 146:11,14,19 | 29:22 37:6,11 | opposed 54:24 | 152:13,15,19 |
| 146:22 147:6 | 37:25 73:14,16 | opposing 168:8 | 155:6 166:12 |
| 147:12 148:13 | 74:10 79:7,11 | opted 117:6 | 166:22 167:5 |
| 148:20 149:23 | 99:3 132:7 | option 30:3,18 | 167:24 168:2 |
| 150:8 151:2,12 | 135:1 159:21 | options 30:3,15 | 170:9 |
| 152:3,21 | 159:21 160:8 | oral 157:15 | orders 44:7 |
| 154:16 155:5 | 161:18,19 | order 3:11,23 | 52:21 53:17,21 |
| 155:22 157:5 | 165:16 | 4:3,7,11,19 | 54:2 69:12 |
| 157:23 169:23 | operation | 6:21 7:2 19:8,9 | 90:15 95:24 |
| 172:21 | 161:23,24 | 28:6 30:22 | 103:2,11 |
| old 119:9 | operations 5:22 | 32:23 39:15 | 166:20 |
| once 34:4 96:8 | 86:14 116:23 | 43:19,20 44:10 | orientation |
| 154:7 | operator 37:15 | 46:1,4,5,9 | 143:2 |
| ones 130:10 | 56:20 61:21 | 49:22 51:14 | oriented 46:16 |
| 163:21 | 117:1 132:13 | 53:9,11,13 | 142:19 |
| ongoing 137:2 | 134:16 159:10 | 57:6,6,15 | original 19:9 |
| open 6:6,11,16 | 160:10 161:8 | 61:14,15 74:23 | 86:15 87:24 |
| 73:9 81:25 | 161:17,21 | 75:779:25 | 95:24 111:4 |
| 85:12 93:20 | 164:17,19 | 86:12,13,15,15 | 113:18 133:12 |
| 94:25 96:16 | 165:8 166:23 | 86:20,21,23 | 134:10 137:25 |
| 98:8 125:13 | 168:9 169:14 | 87:2 91:4 | originally $42: 5$ |
| 130:7 131:25 |  | 103:23 107:4 | 74:7 90:14 |

[ought - parties]

| ought 83:21 | 161:17,25 | p | part 31:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outcome | 162:15,18 | p 8:1,1 9:1,1 | 108:21 109:4 |
| 172:15 174:15 | 164:16,24 |  | 121:1 124:17 |
| 175:12 | 165:1,4,6,16,20 | 21:1 161:3 | 128:7 141:11 |
| outline 105:5 | 166:3,18 170:8 | p.a. 10:10 | 142:16,16 |
| 108:24 109:1 | owners 36:1 | p.m. 173:3 | 143:7 144:5 |
| 134:18 | 50:19,20,22,25 | p.o. 8:16,22 | 161:8 167:8 |
| outset 54:5 | 51:1,20 52:5 | 10:11,17 | partially 143:1 |
| overlap 143:1 | 52:14,15,19,23 | pa 9:20 | participate |
| overlapping | 52:24 53:3,16 | packages 95:15 | 56:18 57:1,3,8 |
| 1:21 3:16 | 53:23 54:10 | packages | 59:19 156:21 |
| 24:11,21,23 | 59:5 64:15 | packet 37:22 | 157:10 170:20 |
| 25:2,11 82:11 | 76:7 78:5 | 43:21 61:25 | participates |
| overly 82:24 | 95:19 96:3 | 70:15 131:4 | 126:9,9 |
| overrides 51:25 | 97:2,4 99:20 | 133:6 | participating |
| 52:8,10 53:25 | 105:23,23 | page 67 | 119:4,8,8,17 |
| 54:3,22 | 124:4 126:25 | 68:7 102:2,3,4 | 124:1,3,5,7,11 |
| overriding | 127:20 158:11 | 109:10 140:4 | 124:14 127:4 |
| 50:19 51:20 | 158:15 160:23 | 148:13 151:13 | 133:4 142:4 |
| oversight 109:4 | 164:22 165:11 | 158:8 | particular 44:6 |
| overview | 166:2,3,9 | paid 127:2 | 52:22 133:11 |
| 133:10 | 167:2,19 | pandemic | 149:20 |
| overwriting | ownership | 22:15 143:21 | parties 14:12 |
| 52:24 | 14:11 40:15 | paper 2 | 25:18 30:8 |
| Own 23:25 38:9 | 57:25 58:22 | papers 156:22 | 33:25 37:23 |
| 38:11,12,17,17 | 75:18 80:23 | paragraph | 39:12 44:18,21 |
| 59:17 68:10 | 160:12 164:4 | 101:13,14 | 44:25 51:19,19 |
| 120:9 160:18 | 164:10,15 | 102:5 116:22 | 54:9 57:25 |
| 161:6 162:5 | 169:16 | 120:5 151:14 | 58:2,12 59:5 |
| 163:6 | owns 161:10 | $159 \cdot 7$ | 61:9 64:3 66:2 |
| owner 13:4 | oxy 3:5 10:20 |  | 70:18,24 75:18 |
| 36:9 37:16,19 | 12:21 13:3 | $159: 7$ | 76:9 78:6,14 |
| 56:11 58:3,10 | 39:6,10,13,15 | park | 80:23,24 87:18 |
| 63:11 66:1 | 39:24 40:5 | parrot 47:23 | 87:24 91:18 |
| 81:9 158:10,17 | 42:6 | $74: 12,14$ | 92:4,15 95:18 |

Page 38 505.843.9241
[parties - point]

| 96:25 99:24 | 150:15 171:14 | perspective | places 22:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 105:21 106:22 | peralta 10:5 | 156:25 | plan 24:12 |
| 135:21,23 | perceived | pertains 140:23 | 32:25 56:18 |
| 157:6 160:18 | 105:13 | pertinent | 57:3 124:16,19 |
| 160:22 161:7 | percent 116:24 | 160:21 | 124:20 128:9 |
| 168:20 169:2 | 116:25 117:3 | petroleum 2:14 | 128:15,18,25 |
| 174:11,14 | perfected 108:8 | 5:1,7 8:13 | 129:1 135:7 |
| 175:8,11 | period 32:3,9 | 10:14 15:3,11 | 141:3 157:10 |
| partly 143:21 | 32:12 33:7 | 33:14,17 63:17 | 166:15 |
| 144:3 | 78:17 89:20 | 63:19 69:21,23 | planned 128:20 |
| parts 107:2 | 120:4 171:21 | 75:22 102:25 | planning 40:5 |
| party 24:4 | permian 9:3,18 | 104:20 133:19 | 55:4 113:21 |
| 42:13,24 81:11 | 90:5 156:17,19 | ph 11:21 12:23 | plans 92:14 |
| 157:16 | permits 87:7 | 36:8,17,18 | 124:21 |
| paseo 10:5 | permitting | 40:10,13 49:25 | plats 92:3 |
| past 139:20 | 24:23 25:12 | 139:1 146:9 | pleading |
| path 114:16 | person 11:18 | 151:15 | 153:14 |
| patient 137:20 | 62:18 83:24 | phase 25:13 | pleadings |
| paula 10:21 | 161:22 162:1,3 | phone 54:19 | 156:23 |
| 37:9 39:9 | 162:3 166:4,6 | 63:5 122:17 | please 21:8 |
| paying 123:24 | 166:6 | 125:19 | 35:11 43:10 |
| pecos 37:19 | personally | phrase 162:4 | 62:15 67:3,21 |
| 38:6,8,16,19 | 28:24 | pick 83:21 | 100:2 111:11 |
| 39:2 166:23 | persons 21:23 | picked 83:24 | 137:20 146:7 |
| pecos's 38:23 | 28:3 30:20 | picking 85:15 | 149:24 |
| peifer 10:10 | 35:23 37:13 | picture 53:8 | point 11:5 22:4 |
| pending 31:19 | 43:1,14 47:12 | pictures 69:17 | 22:18 24:22 |
| 46:7 91:16 | 63:21 69:25 | piece 26:17 | 32:21,22 34:10 |
| penetrate | 73:6 74:1 | pierce 100:4 | 34:23 38:7 |
| 127:17 | 79:21 85:7 | place 66:8 | 48:23 57:9 |
| people 22:6,15 | 86:9 89:17 | 108:9 111:3 | 64:4 90:16 |
| 25:5,6 38:20 | 90:9 91:11 | 160:3 171:14 | 93:8 101:8,9 |
| 43:15 55:7,10 | 95:11 97:12 | placed 130:1 | 101:23 112:9 |
| 55:16 83:18 | 125:14 130:19 | 131:20 | 114:19 116:17 |
| 95:18 128:15 | 13 |  | 125:16 141:18 |
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[point - previous]

| 164:13 $169: 3$ | $2: 15,213: 2,6$ | post $65: 20$ | preliminary |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| points $31: 24$ | $3: 184: 145: 2,8$ | $81: 10$ | $19: 12134: 12$ |
| policy $32: 13$ | $5: 13,176: 2,8$ | postal $66: 10$ | $134: 20,21$ |
| $148: 13$ | $6: 13,187: 7$ | $72: 2583: 23$ | $145: 14,16,17$ |
| ponderosa $7: 3$ | $26: 139: 15$ | $85: 15$ | $147: 23,23$ |
| $132: 17,24$ | $40: 842: 14$ | posted $21: 12$ | $148: 10,19$ |
| $133: 21135: 22$ | $46: 652: 21$ | $146: 15$ | $150: 23,25$ |
| $136: 6148: 18$ | $54: 156: 25$ | potentially | $170: 6,23$ |
| $152: 14153: 8$ | $57: 6,6,15$ | $143: 1$ | premature |
| $153: 12$ | $63: 2564: 2,6$ | practice $50: 22$ | $163: 19164: 13$ |
| pool $4: 1814: 12$ | $74: 2375: 7$ | $51: 23,2452: 1$ | prepare $24: 5$ |
| $39: 17,19,24$ | $79: 2580: 11$ | $52: 6,954: 8$ | prepared |
| $42: 751: 21$ | $87: 2492: 19$ | $166: 25$ | $105: 19135: 18$ |
| $52: 2353: 1$ | $93: 6,8,16$ | prairie $87: 11$ | $175: 3$ |
| $57: 2561: 12,24$ | $94: 1595: 17,24$ | $88: 20$ | preparing |
| $70: 377: 4$ | $97: 8158: 14$ | pre $23: 2028: 5$ | $45: 21$ |
| $90: 1791: 21,25$ | $162: 13164: 20$ | $30: 2232: 23$ | present $11: 15$ |
| $95: 18,19$ | $165: 11166: 1$ | $110: 6$ | $34: 1264: 8$ |
| $152: 12,15,20$ | $166: 10,20$ | preapproval | $100: 18$ |
| $158: 10,12,18$ | $167: 10,13$ | $154: 15$ | presented |
| $158: 19162: 2$ | $168: 2,4$ | precedent | $37: 25$ |
| $164: 23,23$ | pools $76: 25$ | $166: 19$ | preserve $24: 19$ |
| $165: 2,5,6,23$ | $77: 3,5152: 22$ | preclude $165: 6$ | $152: 20$ |
| $166: 5,18,23$ | poor $55: 8$ | $165: 24166: 7$ | president $11: 16$ |
| $167: 3,19$ | portion $162: 16$ | precludes | $43: 2556: 8$ |
| pooled $50: 19$ | $162: 19$ | $168: 14$ | $135: 1$ |
| $50: 21,2551: 1$ | position $29: 6$ | prefer $29: 23$ | presuming |
| $51: 1952: 5,14$ | $30: 533: 8$ | $78: 1283: 15$ | $151: 3$ |
| $53: 16,2254: 2$ | $54: 17127: 23$ | $152: 10$ | pretty $93: 7$ |
| $61: 1570: 24$ | $128: 2$ | preference | $170: 7$ |
| $75: 1880: 23$ | possibility | $23: 2530: 16$ | prevent $64: 19$ |
| $86: 1592: 5$ | $152: 4,8$ | $92: 12152: 18$ | $165: 14167: 24$ |
| $162: 17,20$ | possible $29: 11$ | $168: 7$ | $170: 18$ |
| pooling $1: 11,15$ | $125: 7128: 21$ | preferred | previous $44: 7$ |
| $1: 202: 3,7,11$ | $172: 9$ | $68: 25$ | $55: 983: 22$ |
|  |  |  |  |

[previous - protect]

| 103:2,3 124:22 | printout $71: 24$ | $175: 6$ | pronounce |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| previously | $71: 2583: 23$ | process $55: 4$ | $153: 3$ |
| $37: 2440: 11$ | prior $50: 17$ | $69: 1072: 10$ | properly $24: 5$ |
| $50: 175: 20$ | $53: 1367: 6$ | $115: 14137: 8$ | $54: 290: 20$ |
| $102: 23103: 11$ | $76: 978: 7$ | $143: 22147: 20$ | properties |
| 104:14 $113: 9$ | $100: 13110: 23$ | $169: 1$ | $161: 23$ |
| $118: 11123: 5$ | $132: 16133: 22$ | produce $162: 2$ | property $38: 12$ |
| 133:17,19 | $140: 5148: 15$ | $166: 4$ | $38: 13$ |
| 135:2 136:6 | $149: 4,10,14$ | produced $77: 5$ | proponent $30: 6$ |
| $140: 16152: 13$ | $151: 20152: 5$ | produces | proposal $13: 6$ |
| $166: 22167: 22$ | $174: 5$ | $126: 24$ | $40: 1642: 9$ |
| pride $10: 2$ | probably $26: 11$ | producing | $91: 20163: 17$ |
| $156: 13,14,15$ | $30: 1152: 2$ | $123: 23,24$ | $170: 16,22$ |
| $157: 13,16,16$ | $72: 1178: 12$ | $161: 23$ | proposals $32: 2$ |
| $158: 23160: 6$ | $138: 21$ | production $2: 6$ | proposed $24: 12$ |
| $162: 22163: 11$ | problem $35: 7$ | $3: 159: 2,4$ | $29: 2234: 8$ |
| $163: 15,16,20$ | $38: 23$ | $28: 1147: 16$ | $40: 164: 18$ |
| $164: 2,12$ | procedural | $92: 11123: 4,11$ | $92: 695: 23$ |
| $167: 10168: 16$ | $30: 15$ | $123: 13,15,16$ | $106: 5132: 24$ |
| $170: 6,21$ | procedure | $124: 6,9,12$ | $132: 25134: 22$ |
| pride's $163: 9$ | $148: 10$ | $127: 1,3,19$ | $136: 16137: 4$ |
| $163: 19166: 17$ | proceed $39: 13$ | $162: 3,7166: 5$ | $145: 6147: 24$ |
| $167: 4168: 8,10$ | $56: 257: 13$ | professional | $153: 13154: 25$ |
| $168: 18,22$ | $61: 1062: 15$ | $14: 19$ | $158: 4,22$ |
| $169: 4170: 12$ | $70: 174: 21$ | professional's | $159: 14162: 8$ |
| $170: 21$ | $79: 2286: 10$ | $15: 1262: 1,8$ | $162: 25163: 8$ |
| primarily | $91: 1295: 13$ | $70: 17$ | proposes $57: 20$ |
| $49: 24$ | $132: 20$ | prohibiting | $162: 17,20$ |
| primary $88: 18$ | proceeded $74: 5$ | $46: 1$ | $168: 16$ |
| prime $18: 14,14$ | proceeding | prohibition | proposing $34: 7$ |
| $18: 16,18105: 6$ | $7: 1529: 9$ | $44: 10,12$ | $163: 12,13$ |
| $105: 6,10,14$ | $45: 25146: 18$ | prolonged | proration |
| $135: 9,9$ | $173: 4175: 4$ | $143: 22$ | $158: 17$ |
| principle | proceedings | prolonging | protect $64: 19$ |
| $160: 13$ | $174: 3,4,6,8$ | $127: 6$ | $165: 14167: 23$ |
|  |  |  |  |

[protect - quiet]

| 169:3 | publication | put 25:4 38:15 | 152:24 158:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| protective | 13:17 14:6 | 49:3 59:18 | 171:4 172:1 |
| 170:22 | 18:21 19:21 | 68:23 79:17 | questioned |
| provide 42:13 | 41:11 44:24 | 113:6 | 127:25 |
| 51:2,19 53:25 | 67:10,11 71:18 | putting 144:14 | questions 36:19 |
| 54:16 67:1,20 | 72:22 83:17 | puzzling 54:14 | 36:23 41:15,20 |
| 72:18 78:8 | 89:9 92:24,25 | q | 41:21 45:9,11 |
| 84:2 107:3 | 93:1,2,4,21 | qualified 174:7 | 47:8 51:8,11 |
| 131:6 153:14 | 94:5 95:1 96:6 | quantities | 54:12 58:14,18 |
| 154:25 156:25 | 97:17 106:19 | 123:25 | 58:20 62:20,23 |
| 161:20 167:15 | 106:23 136:1 | quantum 42:3 | 62:24 63:10 |
| provided 37:21 | publications | 42:8,12 | 65:6,11,13 |
| 40:7 49:23 | 42:21 | ter | 67:22 71:3,7,9 |
| 50:21 51:15 | publicly 54:22 | $70: 7,12,14,14$ | 76:11,14,17,20 |
| 64:5,11 65:18 | publish 58:13 | 75:2,2,3,9,10 | 77:9 78:20 |
| 75:15 80:20 | 62:19 65:1 | $75: 1180: 5,6$ | 81:12,17,21 |
| 81:10 87:3 | 71:11 76:7 | $80: 15,15,16$ | 83:6 88:1,4,6 |
| 105:20 131:4 | 81:11 87:19 |  | 89:4 93:25 |
| 135:19,22 | 92:18 |  | 94:1 96:21,22 |
| 158:20 162:12 | published |  | 106:15 107:5,6 |
| provides 57:24 | 41:11 44:24 |  | 107:7,8,14,17 |
| 58:11 64:13,22 | 45:2 77:13,17 |  | 111:1 114:20 |
| 75:17,20 80:22 | 78:1 92:21 | question 24:20 | 116:18 125:15 |
| 103:10 133:3 | 106:21 136:2 | $36: 24 \text { 52:16 }$ | 129:4,21 |
| providing | pull 65:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 2452: \\ & 53: 754: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 130:23 136:20 |
| 30:15 50:24 | 68:10 | 59:22 68:19 | 137:10,16,19 |
| 133:10 | puma 95:17 | 81:24 108:15 | 139:3 148:21 |
| provision | purple 91:24 | 114:24 116:22 | 153:7 155:9 |
| 162:13 165:13 | purpose 1:7 | 122:25 123:19 | 169:21 171:22 |
| proximity 40:2 | 165:12 | 124:10 125:21 | quick 76:23 |
| 68:23,25 80:9 | purposes 98:12 | 125:25 126:13 | 81:24 162:10 |
| 87:10 | 138:7 164:9 | $126: 21128: 1$ | 171:13 |
| public 7:17 | pursuant | 129:12 140:23 | quickly 79:2 |
| 67:5 130:13 | 127:17 | $146: 1 \quad 149: 22$ | quiet 55:20 |
| 174:19 |  | $150: 17 \text { 151:22 }$ |  |

[quite - recommence]

| quite 66:8 | 110:19,25 | 151:6,18,21 | reasons 50:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| r | 111:13,17 | 152:1,7 153:9 | 88:8,13 165:15 |
| r 3:11,23 4:3,7 | 112:3,12,22 | 153:11,20 | 165:18 |
| - $4: 11,196: 21$ | 113:8,16 114:1 | 154:6,8,24 | recall 147:20 |
| 7:2 8:1 9:1 | 114:24 115:2,9 | rather 24:1 | receipt 58:10 |
| 10:1 11:1 21:1 | 115:13,22 | 44:5 82:17 | receive $22: 1$ |
| 43:19 51:14 | 116:3,18,21 | 83:1 114:10 | 29:18 44:18 |
| 60:10 61:14 | 117:9,13,18,22 | 144:20 152:10 | 52:10 58:9 |
| 86:13 87:1 | 118:2,6,11,23 | rationally | 65:14 72:1,8 |
| 132:23 133:24 | 119:2,6,13,23 | 124:24 | 72:23 81:11 |
| 136:7 166:12 | 120:2,13,17,20 | rex 12:3 | 87:19 129:6,17 |
| 166:22 167:5 | 121:2,5,20 | rdx $12: 3$ | received 29:19 |
| 167:25 | 122:3,10,22,23 | reach 106:17 | 34:4 42:11 |
| raise 149:24 | 123:18 124:18 | 137:11 | 46:11 70:25 |
| raised 137:18 | 125:2,20 126:5 | read 22:18 | 71:16,22 76:6 |
| 152:25 153:2 | 126:8,11,20 | reading 46:20 | 81:8 87:24 |
| 164:12 | 127:13 128:6 | ready 35:15 | 89:21 95:3 |
| ranch 10:2 | 128:10,13,17 | 46:16 89:3 | 98:10 116:8 |
| 28:16,18,20,23 | 130:20,22 | 98:21,22 132:7 | 125:17 129:8 |
| range $39: 22$ | 131:16 132:1,8 | 132:10 | 155:20 |
| 57:19 61:20 | 132:9,11,21 | really 38:21 | receiving |
| 70:8 75:5,12 | 136:23 137:22 | 54:2 63:23 | 129:22 |
| 80:4,7,14,17 | 138:3,14,21 | 68:20 79:15,17 | recent 59:15 |
| 86:18 101:15 | 139:8,21,25 | 91:3 118:21 | 130:10 |
| 102:9 103:18 | 140:11 141:6 | 154:19 167:9 | recently 31:15 |
| rankin 11:9 | 141:19,23 | 167:16 169:10 | 89:1 |
| 43:9,10,16,17 | 142:7,18 143:8 | reason 42:21 | recognize |
| 45:12,19 46:23 | 143:13,19 | 46:17 47:24 | 75:21 |
| 47:3 55:8 99:4 | 144:9,13,22 | 65:23 87:14 | recognized |
| 99:5 100:19 | 145:5,13,19,23 | 88:7 97:8 | 104:19,23 |
| 102:4,7,16 | 146:25 147:3 | 150:8,10 152:9 | recognizing |
| 104:24 107:18 | 147:11,19 | 165:20 | 113:7 |
| 107:24 108:5 | 148:16,22 | reasonable | recommence |
| 108:19 109:6 | 149:6,17 | 122:25 | 46:12 |
| 109:15 110:8 | 150:19,21 |  |  |

[recommend - request]

| recommend | reduced $113: 14$ | reflecting | relative $174: 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $169: 25$ | $134: 18138: 6$ | $105: 10,19$ | $175: 10$ |
| recommendat... | $174: 6$ | $106: 19110: 12$ | relatively |
| $148: 12$ | reducing $64: 20$ | $112: 14131: 3$ | $169: 20$ |
| record $40: 13$ | reduction | $134: 1135: 8,10$ | relied $71: 14$ |
| $41: 2545: 7,14$ | $19: 13132: 17$ | $135: 13,19$ | relinquish |
| $50: 351: 756: 6$ | $134: 22137: 24$ | $136: 2$ | $136: 24$ |
| $58: 3,1071: 4$ | $138: 8$ | reflects $103: 17$ | remains $22: 8$ |
| $73: 985: 12$ | redwood $3: 1$ | $104: 17105: 4$ | remediation |
| $89: 1993: 5$ | $10: 2012: 15$ | $111: 19134: 17$ | $46: 2,3,8$ |
| $94: 24,2595: 19$ | $37: 6,10,14,15$ | reformed | reminded |
| $96: 2,1598: 6,8$ | $37: 2538: 12,18$ | $136: 14$ | $143: 25$ |
| $98: 19,24$ | $166: 21,23$ | regarding | remote $7: 15$ |
| $101: 12102: 25$ | reference | $58: 1176: 9$ | removing |
| $104: 8107: 9$ | $107: 22113: 3$ | $78: 6138: 25$ | $114: 8,10$ |
| $109: 22114: 4$ | referenced | $144: 11165: 19$ | reopen $95: 17$ |
| $114: 12127: 10$ | $108: 16135: 11$ | regardless | reply $157: 14$ |
| $131: 24,25$ | $135: 15153: 18$ | $123: 16$ | $158: 8160: 16$ |
| $133: 20135: 4$ | references | regards $113: 18$ | $160: 21161: 16$ |
| $136: 10,18$ | $139: 14,15$ | $114: 17115: 7$ | $169: 10$ |
| $146: 7155: 15$ | referencing | regular $80: 6$ | report $66: 4,12$ |
| $155: 16172: 22$ | $52: 18$ | regulation $22: 1$ | $68: 10$ |
| $174: 9175: 5$ | referred $118: 13$ | $166: 2$ | reported $7: 17$ |
| recorded $21: 10$ | $131: 9140: 9$ | regulations | reporter $21: 8$ |
| $174: 6$ | referring | $24: 21161: 16$ | $79: 3,498: 21$ |
| recording | $113: 19131: 10$ | $165: 25167: 1$ | $98: 22156: 2,4$ |
| $174: 8175: 4$ | refile $91: 19$ | $167: 14,17$ | represent |
| records $65: 20$ | refiled $90: 21$ | relate $50: 6$ | $143: 5$ |
| $108: 10138: 15$ | reflect $108: 13$ | related $15: 13$ | represented |
| red $84: 11,16,17$ | $132: 25135: 5$ | $62: 270: 17$ | $58: 24143: 10$ |
| $118: 21119: 19$ | $138: 15$ | $174: 11175: 7$ | represents |
| $119: 22$ | reflected | relationship | $110: 3$ |
| reduce $125: 5,6$ | $103: 23105: 7$ | $12: 1737: 23$ | request $27: 21$ |
| $132: 23141: 1$ | $112: 16120: 8$ | $42: 1$ | $35: 444: 8$ |
|  |  | $46: 1750: 5$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[request - right]

| 58:15 64:2,8 | resigned 161:9 | 14:7,14,21 | 88:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:6,8 75:21 | resize 136:15 | 15:8,16,22 | right 26:7,15 |
| 76:11 81:13 | resolved 34:12 | 16:6,12,18 | 27:19 28:1 |
| 84:25 86:25 | 50:7,11 64:2,7 | 17:6,14,22 | 29:20 33:1 |
| 88:1,9,13 | resources 1:2 | 18:22 19:22 | 34:6,15 35:3 |
| 104:18 | 3:9 5:20 8:2,5 | 20:5 | 37:14 38:9 |
| requested | 8:13 9:3 11:4,8 | return 89:10 | 39:4 41:24 |
| 63:25 85:14 | 13:21 14:3 | 92:17 | 47:3,6,7 55:21 |
| 93:15 | 16:15 43:8,18 | returned 14:20 | 60:16 63:9,22 |
| requesting 48:5 | 44:1 47:21 | 62:4 | 66:14 68:13 |
| 50:14 64:7 | 86:4,7 127:8 | returns 71:15 | 69:10 71:19 |
| 103:22 132:22 | 127:11 129:16 | reveal 30:1 | 77:11 79:5 |
| 133:13 | 129:18 168:19 | review 145:6 | 84:16 97:11 |
| requests 22:1 | 169:2 | 146:15 147:22 | 98:14,23 99:21 |
| 25:24 | respect 65:8 | 159:5 | 100:16 116:21 |
| require 24:24 | 69:1 86:25 | reviewed 22:4 | 117:25 119:11 |
| 24:25 51:25 | 87:5 101:14 | 147:5 | 119:18,23 |
| 52:4 54:20,21 | 158:13 159:15 | reviewing | 120:10 121:6 |
| 57:7 160:17 | 167:11 | 106:13 | 122:6,15 125:2 |
| 168:21 | response 53:2 | reviews 44:5,8 | 125:12 126:3 |
| required 44:18 | 122:25 153:1 | 103:1,9 133:21 | 126:14,19 |
| 51:4,16 52:10 | 157:14 159:7 | 134:4 | 128:3 129:11 |
| 53:10,22 128:8 | restate 134:14 | revise 154:2 | 130:11 141:19 |
| 144:2 160:13 | restricted | revised 31:15 | 141:21 142:19 |
| 163:1 172:11 | 87:12 | 104:7,11 | 143:18 145:2 |
| requirement | restrictive | 121:22 131:7 | 145:17,18 |
| 25:15 54:23 | 165:10 | revision 32:6 | 146:15,22 |
| 55:3 | result 91:17 | 32:10 | 148:16,25 |
| requires 92:8 | 141:6 168:11 | revisions 29:23 | 149:9,24 |
| 161:12 162:13 | 168:19 | ride 150:23 | 150:18 152:24 |
| 167:2 | resume 18:12 | ridge 5:19 8:13 | 153:2 155:9 |
| rescind 152:4 | 19:16 75:20 | 16:15 86:4,6 | 156:5,12 |
| 152:10 | 104:16 135:5 | 86:10,12 88:23 | 158:18 159:13 |
| reserves 35:3 | retained 12:12 | rig 45:21,24 | 160:12 162:1,6 |
| 74:10 | 12:18 13:18 | 46:16,19 87:9 | 162:8 163:5 |

[right - see]

| 164:17 166:4,7 | royalty 50:19 | 43:12 47:18 | second 65:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166:8,10 167:7 | 51:20 52:24 | 73:20 90:7 | 70:20 80:1 |
| 168:15 | 158:15 | 99:6 132:13 | 85:20 102:7 |
| rights 24:19 | rule 170:10 | 157:4 | 111:23 163:22 |
| 64:20 165:15 | run 45:13 | sara 128:3 | 172:12 |
| 167:23 169:3 | 59:15 | satisfy 160:7 | secretary |
| 170:23 | runner 5:19 | savage 9:12 | 171:17 |
| river 70:10 | 8:13 16:15 | 23:9,10 24:18 | section 13:12 |
| road 23:22 | 86:4,6,10,12 | 25:9 27:4,5,10 | 13:13 15:6 |
| 24:1 | 88:23 | 27:16,24 28:7 | 18:13,15,17 |
| roads 125:6 | S | 31:9,10,23 | 40:25 41:1 |
| robb 57:22,24 | S 8:19:1 10:1 | 32:24 33:10 | 57:18,19 58:5 |
| robb's 58:10 | S $\begin{array}{r}\text { 11:1 } 12.713: 1\end{array}$ | saying 21:21 | 61:19 64:23 |
| rock 2:1 11:2,4 | 14:1 15:1 16:1 | 54:5 67:17 | 70:7,8,13,14 |
| 26:23,25 27:19 | 17:1 18:1 19:1 | 127:9 151:22 | 75:4,4,11,12 |
| 79:11,20 81:16 | 20:1 21:1 | says 72:13 | 76:2 77:3,4 |
| rodriguez 11:3 | sacrificial | 77:22 116:23 | 80:3,6,13,16 |
| 26:24,25 27:20 | 171:18 | 144:15 149:11 | 81:4 92:11 |
| 79:12,14 81:16 | sage 91:24 | 149:13 151:14 | 101:15,16 |
| 81:18,21 93:13 | salvidrez 8:4 | 151:23 165:2,3 | 102:9 103:17 |
| roehl 9:20 | salvidrez 8.4 $48: 11$ | scanned 68:8 | 105:6,9,14 |
| room 169:12 | samantha | schedule 13:5 | 113:21 114:4 |
| rosenthal 12:4 | samant | 40:15 | 131:20 135:12 |
| 18:11,12 19:15 | sample 13:6 | scheduled | 158:7 159:10 |
| 19:16 104:13 | 40:16 41:8 | 45:24 | 160:7 163:9,13 |
| 104:14,19 | 110:4 | schill 9:13 | 163:14,14 |
| 107:6 134:25 | san 6:23 7:4 | 23:11 | 164:21,25 |
| 140:17 149:21 | 61:16 | schmitz 175:2 | 168:13,15 |
| 149:24 150:2 | santa 1.37.16 | 175:15 | sections 19:17 |
| 154:1 | 8:8,17,23 9:9 | screen 149:21 | 19:18 39:21 |
| roughly 56:16 | $9: 15 \text { 10:6,18 }$ | scroll 85:4 | 70:21 86:18 |
| routinely | 10:24 11:12 | sean 64:12 | 91:23 135:9,11 |
| 160:17 | $23: 5,1128: 13$ | search 58:11 | 163:9 |
| royalties | 31:4 33:16 | 111:3 | see 25:6,23 |
| 126:11 | 37:9 39:9 |  | 29:8,23 34:1 |

[see - signature]

| 35:15 42:10,23 | 161:11 169:12 | serosa 151:15 | 61:7 63:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:19 62:2 | seen 92:25 | service 66:11 | 69:23 73:16 |
| 66:21,24 67:1 | 164:6 | 72:25 83:23 | 79:9 86:6 |
| 68:7 72:12 | segment 123:6 | 85:15 | 156:11 |
| 79:3,18,19 | self 12:16,22 | services 22:10 | shape 59:16 |
| 89:13 92:19 | 13:8,14,23 | session 171:19 | share 124:11 |
| 93:4,20 94:6 | 18:5,10 19:5 | set 23:22 $24: 8$ | 126:10 127:2 |
| 96:11 108:24 | 19:14 36:11 | 24:13 26:16 | sharing 126:6 |
| 109:16 114:12 | 37:21 40:9,13 | 28:2,5 29:10 | sharon 10:3 |
| 115:24 116:5 | 40:22 41:8 | 29:23 30:6,21 | 28:18 156:15 |
| 129:19 130:8 | 43:24 44:4 | 32:15,19 33:9 | sheet 58:22 |
| 134:19 140:17 | 92:8 96:3 | 90:20,22 146:4 | shift 44:12 |
| 145:20 151:3,4 | 102:19 103:1 | 161:16 163:22 | shifting 44:14 |
| 153:2 160:17 | 103:25 104:12 | 168:16 171:25 | short 82:23 |
| seek 95:19 | 104:15 133:16 | sets 62:8 70:23 | 91:3 124:9 |
| 166:18 | 133:21 134:11 | setting 30:12 | 171:21 |
| seeking 42:7 | 134:25 135:4 | settled 24:4 | show 65:23 |
| 43:18 49:21 | send 32:6 53:12 | settlement | 84:15 104:8 |
| 51:21 61:23 | 53:15 89:3 | 23:23 | 109:23 119:15 |
| 64:4 100:20 | 172:14,17 | settling 23:20 | 170:21 |
| 136:12,15 | sender 14:20 | several 55:9 | showing 105:11 |
| seeks 39:15,24 | 62:4 | 89:10 115:15 | 105:15 131:14 |
| 57:15 70:3 | senders 89:10 | 125:19 | shown 150:13 |
| 74:23 75:6 | senior 133:16 | shaheen 10:3 | shows 92:12,24 |
| 79:24 80:10 | sense 52:11 | 28:17,18 29:16 | shrink 152:16 |
| 86:12 91:21 | 122:1 | 29:17 30:24 | sibling 129:10 |
| 95:16 163:15 | sent 32:2 62:3 | 156:14,15 | siblings 99:18 |
| seem 30:4 | 65:25 66:18 | 157:18,23 | 100:6 129:8 |
| 109:12,13 | 70:24 92:20 | 158:2 164:21 | sic 40:1 |
| 155:25 | separate 40:6 | 164:25 169:9 | sick 23:18 |
| seemingly | 111:21 112:5,5 | 169:11 171:3,9 | side 66:17 |
| 123:14 | 150:25 158:17 | shale 149:12,13 | sign 110:13 |
| seems 24:12 | separately | shanor 8:15 | 141:11 |
| 69:4 118:8 | 141:13 | 10:16 33:16 | signature |
| 119:21 149:4 |  | 35:20 55:24 | 174:17 175:14 |

[signatures - spring]

| signatures | slowly 21:9 | south 8:7 39:22 | spc's 46:10,13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110:23 | small 97:2,4 | 57:19 61:19 | speak 21:8 |
| signed 64:3 | smaller 123:6 | 70:8 75:5,12 | 106:11 132:19 |
| 97:6 110:2,5 | 125:9 127:25 | 80:4,5,7,14,17 | 157:16 |
| signs 110:10 | sold 58:23 | 86:18 91:22,23 | speaker 111:6 |
| similar 22:13 | solid 119:4,7 | southeast 70:7 | 111:8 127:21 |
| 30:5 49:23 | solomon 75:16 | 70:12,14 75:2 | specific 165:13 |
| 108:20 148:15 | 75:17 76:8 | 75:3,10 80:6 | specifically |
| 166:17 | 78:5,13 80:21 | 80:15,16 | 154:4 |
| simply 140:18 | 80:22 | southwest | speculate 141:2 |
| 141:9,9 152:4 | somebody | 70:14 75:9 | speculating |
| 160:9 161:19 | 38:11 149:2 | spacing 1:21 | 140:21 |
| 167:1 169:14 | 170:16 171:14 | 2:17 3:17 5:4 | speculation |
| singer 13:24 | somewhat | 24:11 25:25 | 77:7 |
| 43:25 | 140:4 | 39:20,25 40:3 | speed 63:5 |
| single 131:1 | soon 29:11,13 | 44:6,15 57:17 | spelled 50:1 |
| 133:3 | 30:12 169:20 | 61:17 64:1,5,9 | sperling 9:20 |
| sir 47:9 48:3 | sorry 40:24 | 64:14 65:9 | 33:22 |
| 76:15 91:1 | 42:12 51:6 | 68:21 70:6 | splendid |
| sirs 112:23 | 62:12 63:8 | 75:1,8,14 80:2 | 172:17 |
| sisk 9:20 | 68:2 100:8 | 80:7,12,17 | spoke 74:11 |
| sister 129:12 | 122:23 127:6 | 82:1,5,11 | spreadsheet |
| sit 22:16 | 134:14 146:2,6 | 84:10 91:22 | 83:25 93:6,12 |
| site 147:17 | 151:21 | 158:4,16,22 | 94:8 95:1 |
| sitting 22:9 | sort 38:5,14 | 159:14 160:11 | 96:12 97:14 |
| situation 76:24 | 83:23 116:13 | 160:12 161:13 | 98:8 |
| 136:25 139:12 | 124:16,24 | 161:21 162:8 | spreadsheets |
| 167:6,14 | 158:25 170:23 | 162:25 163:6 | 94:16 |
| six 24:2 27:13 | sorts 25:19 | 168:15 169:17 | spring 57:16 |
| 95:25 | sound 26:8 | spe $3: 911: 8$ | 64:1 70:4,20 |
| skills 174:10 | sounded | 13:21 14:3 | 74:24 75:7 |
| 175:6 | 142:12 | 43:8,18 44:1 | 80:1,1 82:2,2,7 |
| slightly 108:22 | sounds 32:24 | 44:12 45:20 | 82:7,14,16 |
| slow 92:18 | 34:15 47:5 | 46:7,10,15 | 83:1 85:21,23 |
|  | 55:12 140:20 |  | 85:23 86:16 |

[spring - submitted]

| 95:17 | 114:10,25 | stating 165:1 | strict 170:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| square 126:13 | 116:14 117:3,7 | statue 165:3 | structural |
| staff 149:2 | 117:9,11,13,17 | status 22:21 | 13:12 40:25 |
| staffed 22:12 | 118:4 120:16 | 23:22 32:21 | structure 13:11 |
| staffing 22:17 | 120:19,22 | 44:22 59:1 | 15:5 40:24 |
| stage 24:23 | 131:14 137:1,2 | 135:24 148:19 | 58:4 64:23 |
| stand 51:8 | 137:5,12 | statute 38:22 | 70:20 76:1 |
| 123:2 139:11 | 140:24 141:4,7 | 77:22 165:2,12 | 81:4 92:10 |
| 169:20 | 141:10,24 | 165:23 167:1 | 105:4 135:8,12 |
| standard 2:16 | 142:8,14,15,16 | 167:13,17 | stuff 94:18,20 |
| 5:3 15:5 25:8 | 153:19 174:20 | 170:7 | 171:20 |
| 25:25 39:19 | state.nm.us 8:9 | statutes 161:15 | sub 13:11 |
| 40:4 57:17,24 | statement | statutory 158:9 | 40:14,23,23 |
| 61:17 64:1,4,9 | 12:10,16,22 | stayed 168:5 | 41:16 |
| 64:14,19 65:9 | 13:8,14,23 | staying 46:5 | subject 45:1 |
| 68:21,22 69:2 | 18:5,10 19:5 | stays 32:8 | submit 59:10 |
| 69:7,12 70:5 | 19:14 36:11 | step 148:1 | 69:17 72:19 |
| 74:25 75:8,17 | 37:21 40:9,13 | stepwise | 78:12 82:20,21 |
| 80:2,12,22 | 40:22 41:8 | 124:12 | 84:9 96:16 |
| 86:16 | 43:25 44:5 | steward 2:20 | 98:8 108:17 |
| standing 160:2 | 82:23 83:15 | 4:17 10:14 | 112:19,20 |
| 166:19 | 92:9 96:4 | 12:9 14:17 | 118:14 122:13 |
| start 21:20 | 101:25 102:19 | 35:18,21 36:2 | 124:20 128:8 |
| 22:21,23 23:16 | 103:1,16,25 | 36:3,7,10,16,20 | 130:21,24 |
| 35:15,17 59:22 | 104:13,15 | 61:5,7,9,12,20 | 131:2,7 147:7 |
| 76:14 107:13 | 114:6,14,14 | 62:9 | 154:7,19,19 |
| 116:21 137:20 | 116:13 118:14 | strand 168:12 | 170:4 |
| 148:25 | 120:4,5 130:24 | stranded | submittal |
| started 91:19 | 133:16,21 | 168:17 | 155:17 |
| 147:17 148:8 | 134:3,11,25 | stratigraphic | submitted |
| starting 163:18 | 135:4 | 13:13 40:25 | 36:11 70:15 |
| starts 123:22 | states 38:24 | 70:21 140:8 | 77:15 88:24 |
| state 1:170:10 | 159:8,19 | street 8:7 9:14 | 93:9 94:7 |
| 105:23 106:6,7 | 162:14 | 9:21 | 95:15 104:4 |
| 106:8,12 |  |  | 128:12 130:9 |

[submitted - technical]

| 131:23 136:14 | sun 62:19 | 126:22 145:9 | 78:14 81:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 144:8,24 | sunday 77:21 | 147:8 | 85:9 88:2 |
| 145:21 148:4 | 77:23 | surprised | 93:18 94:24 |
| 153:25 168:3 | supplement | 30:17 | 97:13 107:11 |
| submitting | 32:6 85:13 | surrounding | 131:24 136:21 |
| 61:25 108:21 | 109:22 | 64:15 84:11 | 155:16 174:3 |
| 113:22 121:22 | supplemental | surviving 24:4 | 174:12 175:9 |
| subsequent | 12:10 36:11 | susan 175:2,15 | takes 61:12 |
| 46:5 109:24 | 60:23 72:20 | suspension | talk 106:16 |
| 144:10 | 78:12 82:21 | 46:22 | 169:6 |
| subsequently | 83:10,13 | sworn 150:3 | talking 125:25 |
| 46:11 143:14 | supply 87:9 | 174:5 | 145:13 164:25 |
| 148:2,4 | 88:8 | system 21:21 | talks 121:9 |
| substances | support 87:2 | 73:194:14 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a p }} 2: 111: 2,4$ |
| 141:12 | 101:1 159:9,12 | t | 26:23,25 27:19 |
| substantially | 166:14 | t 12:7 13:1 14:1 | 79:11,20 81:16 |
| 113:14 | supporting | $15: 1 \quad 16: 1 \quad 17: 1$ | target 105:11 |
| successfully | 54:17 | 18:1 19:1 20:1 | 135:13 |
| 144:4 | supports | table 92:11 | targeting 82:2 |
| successor | 159:22 | tack 39:17,18 | 149:7 |
| 136:11 | suppose 82:9 | take $25: 14$ | taylor 12:11 |
| suffice 143:20 | 140:22 | 60:21,24 72:3 | 36:12 |
| sufficient 116:9 | sure 25:3 26:4 | 79:19 98:7,15 | technical 7:14 |
| 116:12,14 | 38:23 45:19 | 98:17 114:14 | 21:7,18 23:10 |
| 160:7 | 55:3 60:9 66:8 | 127:8 159:15 | 36:24 41:21 |
| suggestion | 74:15,20 84:1 | 159:17 169:24 | 45:10 47:9 |
| 114:17 | 96:9 102:14 | 170:24 171:1 | 51:12 52:17 |
| suitable 135:7 | 108:15 110:16 | taken 36:20 | 54:11 58:19 |
| suite 9:8,21 | 113:4 114:11 | 37:2 41:17 | 62:24 65:12 |
| 10:23 11:5,11 | 114:15 115:19 | $43: 2 \text { 45:6 }$ | 66:3,12,15,20 |
| summarize | 122:8 146:24 | 47:13 51:7 | 67:3,9,13,16,21 |
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