






| 1 | AGENDA ITEMS NOS. 52 and 53 | CURE NOTICE | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | APPLICATION OF RIDGE RUNNER |  |  |
| 2 | OPERATING, LLC FOR EXTENSION TO |  |  |
|  | COMMENCE DRILLING OPERATIONS, | No. 23280 |  |
| 3 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO | No. 23281 |  |
| 4 | A P P E A R A N C E S |  |  |
| 5 | FOR RIDGE RUNNER RESOURCES OPERATING, LLC: Jaclyn M. McLean, Esq. |  |  |
| 6 | Hinkle Shanor, LLP |  |  |
|  | P.O. Box 2068 |  |  |
| 7 | (505) 982-4544. |  |  |
| 8 | jmclean@hinklelawfirm.com |  |  |
| 9 | C O N T E N T S |  | PAGE |
|  | Case Called: |  | 29 |
| 10 | Taken Under Advisement: |  | 30 |
| 11 | * * * |  |  |
| 12 | AGENDA ITEM NO. 54 | CURE NOTICE |  |
| 13 | APPLICATION OF MEWBOURNE OIL |  |  |
|  | COMPANY TO RE-OPEN CASE FOR |  |  |
| 14 | COMPULSORY POOLING, |  |  |
|  | EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO | NO. 23292 |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
|  | FOR MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY: |  |  |
| 16 | James Bruce, Esq. |  |  |
|  | Post Office Box 1056 |  |  |
| 17 | Santa Fe, NM 87504 |  |  |
| 18 | jamesbruc@aol.com |  |  |
| 19 (1) |  |  |  |
|  | C O N T E N T S |  | PAGE |
| 20 |  |  |  |
|  | Case Called: |  | 30 |
| 21 | Taken under Advisement: |  | 31 |
| 22 | I N D E X OF EX H I B I T S |  |  |
| 23 | MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY |  | PAGE |
| 24 | 5 Certified Notice Spreadsheet |  | 31 |
| 25 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Page 7 |



| 1 | AGENDA ITEMS 61 AND 62 - EXAMINER HEARING APPLICATION OF MATADOR PRODUCTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |
|  | COMPANY TO AMEND ORDER NO. R-22041 |  |
| 3 | EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO No. 23265 |  |
| 4 | APPLICATION OF MATADOR PRODUCTION |  |
|  | COMPANY TO AMEND Order No. R-22042 |  |
| 5 | EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO No. 23266 |  |
| 6 | A P P E A R A N C E S |  |
| 7 | FOR MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY: |  |
|  | Adam G. Rankin, Esq. |  |
| 8 | Holland \& Hart |  |
|  | 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 |  |
| 9 | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501(505) 988-4421 |  |
| 10 | agrankin@hollandhart.com |  |
| 11 | C O N T E N T S | PAGE |
| 12 | CASE CALLED: | 31 |
| 13 | INQUIRY BY EXAMINER BRANCARD: | 36 |
| 14 | INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED: | 37 |
|  | New Page 31, in Case No. 23265: |  |
| 15 |  |  |
|  | TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT: | 37 |
| 16 |  |  |
|  | INDEX OF EXHIBITS |  |
| 17 |  |  |
|  | NO. DESCRIPTION | ADMITTED |
| 18 |  |  |
|  | A Extension Application | 37 |
| 19 | B Original Pooling Orders | 37 |
|  | C Affidavit of Hanna Bollenbach, Landman | 37 |
| 20 | D Original Notice Lists | 37 |
|  | E Self-Affirmed State of Notice of | 37 |
| 21 | Affidavit of Publication for both cases |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 | AGENDA ITEMS 63 AND 64 - EXAMINER HEARING | 37 |
| 24 | APPLICATION OF MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY TO AMEND ORDER NO. R-22045, |  |
|  |  |  |
| 25 | EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO No. 23267 |  |
|  |  | Page 9 |



| 1 | AGENDA ITEM NO. 66: STATUS CONFERENCE | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | APPLICATION OF NOVO OIL \& GAS NORTHERN DELAWARE, LLC, FOR COMPULSORY |  |
| 3 | POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO NO. | 23210 |
| 4 | A P P E A R A N C E S |  |
| 5 | FOR NOVO OIL \& GAS NORTHERN DELAWARE: Deana M. Bennett, Esq. |  |
| 6 | Modrall Sperling <br> P.O Box 2168 |  |
| 7 | Albuquerque, NM (505) 848-1845 |  |
| 8 | deana.bennett@modrall.com |  |
| 9 | FOR MRC DELAWARE RESOURCES: Michael Feldewert, Esq. |  |
| 10 | Holland \& Hart <br> 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 |  |
| 11 | Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 988-4421 |  |
| 12 | mfeldewert@hollandhart.com |  |
| 13 | FOR YATES ENERGY CORPORATION: Matthew M. Beck, Esq. |  |
| 14 | Peifer, Hanson, Mullins \& Baker, PA P.O. Box 25245 |  |
| 15 | albuquerque, NM 87125-5245 (505) 247-4800 |  |
| 16 | mbeck@peiferlaw.com |  |
| 17 | FOR COG OPERATING: <br> Jobediah Rittenhouse, Esq. |  |
| 18 | 1048 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, NM |  |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 87501 \text { (505) 780- } \\ & 8000 \text { joby.rittenhouse@concocophillips.com } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 20 |  |  |
|  | C O N T E N T S | PAGE |
| 21 |  |  |
|  | CASE CALLED: | 41 |
| 22 | INQUIRY BY EXAMINER LOWE: | 45 |
|  | INQUIRY BY EXAMINER BRANCARD: | 47 |
| 23 | CONTINUED TO FEBRUARY 16, 2023: | 48 |
| 24 | INDEX OF EXHIBITS |  |
| 25 | Compulsory Pooling Checklist |  |
| Page 11 |  |  |


| 1 | A Written Testimony of landman with exhibits | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | B Written Testimony of geologist with exhibits | 48 |
| 3 | C Notice Testimony and exhibits | 48 |
| 4 | I N D E X OF W I T N E S S E S | PAGE |
| 5 | PETER SCHMIDT, LANDMAN | 48 |
|  | MICHAEL HALE, GEOLOGIST | 48 |
| 6 |  |  |
|  | I N D E X OF E X H I B I T S |  |
| 7 | NO. | PAGE |
|  | A Compulsory Pooling Checklist | 48 |
| 8 | B Declaration of Peter Schmidt, Landman | 48 |
|  | B. 1 Application | 48 |
| 9 | B. $2 \mathrm{C}-102 \mathrm{~s}$ | 48 |
|  | B. 3 Lease Tract Map/Summary of |  |
| 10 | Interests/Pooled Parties | 48 |
|  | B. 4 Summary of Contacts | 48 |
| 11 | B. 5 Sample Well Proposal Letter | 48 |
|  | B. 6 AFEs | 48 |
| 12 | B. 7 Notice Affidavit | 48 |
|  | C Declaration of Michael Hale | 48 |
| 13 | C. 1 Locator Map | 48 |
|  | C. 2 gun barrel Plot | 48 |
| 14 | C. 3 Execution Plan | 48 |
|  | C. 4 Structure Map - 2nd Bone Spring | 48 |
| 15 | C. 5 Structure Map - 3rd Bone Spring | 48 |
|  | C. 6 Stratigraphic Cross Section with Ref. Map | 48 |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
|  | AGENDA ITEMS 67 THROUGH 74 - EXAMINER HEARING | 48 |
| 18 |  |  |
|  | APPLICATION OF COLGATE OPERATING, LLC, |  |
| 19 | TO POOL ADDITIONAL INTERESTS |  |
|  | UNDER ORDER NO. R-22277, CASE NO. 23149 |  |
| 20 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ORDER NO. R-22277 |  |
| 21 | APPLICATION OF COLGATE OPERATING, LLC, TO POOL ADDITIONAL INTERESTS |  |
| 22 | UNDER ORDER NO. R-22277, CASE NO. 23150 |  |
|  | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ORDER NO. R-22278 |  |
| 23 |  |  |
|  | APPLICATION OF COLGATE OPERATING, LLC, |  |
| 24 | TO POOL ADDITIONAL INTERESTS |  |
|  | UNDER ORDER NO. R-22277, CASE NO. 23151 |  |
| 25 | LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ORDER NO. R-22279 |  |



| 1 | OPENING STATEMENT BY MS. HARDY: | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. GALLEGOS: | 86 |
| 3 | CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MS. HARDY: | 119 |
| 4 | CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. GALLEGOS: | 123 |
| 5 | TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT: | 126 |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 | INDEX OF WITNESSES |  |
| 8 | COLGATE OPERATING WITNESSES: | PAGE |
| 9 | BRANDON MACHA Landman |  |
| 10 | SWORN: | 53 |
|  | CREDENTIALS ACCEPTED: | 53 |
| 11 |  |  |
|  | Direct Examination by Ms. Hardy: | 53 |
| 12 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Gallegos: | 72 |
|  | Cross-Examination by Examiner Brancard: | 78 |
| 13 | Redirect Examination by Ms. Hardy | 83 |
|  | Rebuttal Examination by Ms. Hardy: | 111 |
| 14 | Further Examination by Mr. Gallegos: | 139 |
| 15 | JOHN ANTHONY, Geologist (By written testimony) |  |
|  | TESTIMONY ADMITTED: | 53 |
| 16 |  |  |
|  | HARTMAN WITNESS: BRYAN JONES |  |
| 17 | SWORN: | 88 |
| 18 | Direct Examination by Mr. Gallegos: | 88 |
|  | Cross Examination by Ms. Hardy: | 97 |
| 19 | Cross-Examination by Examiner Lowe: | $101$ |
|  | Cross-Examination by Examiner Brancard: | $103$ |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
|  | Page 14 |  |



| 1 | D |  | 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D-1 |  | 95 |
| 2 | D-2 |  | 95 |
| 3 | HARTMAN EXHIBITS: |  | ADMITTED |
| 4 | 1-A | Bryan Jones' Affidavit | 110 |
| 5 | $2-A$ | Schedule of the lease acreage obtained by Hartman and the few instances of rights that were transferred out of the leases | 110 |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | Schedule of Colgate Force Pooling Cases | 110 |
| 8 | 4-A | 1989 Conveyance from Sun to Hartman which shows that Hartman obtained his interest subject to existing Operating Agreements | 110 |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | Operating Agreement dated November 25, 1941 | 110 |
| 11 | 6-A | Colgate's Notice letter of 2/2/2022 | 110 |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Page 16 |


of Mewbourne Oil Company.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So we have
Ascent Energy.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning. Darrin Savage with
the Santa Fe office of Abadie and Schill on behalf of Matador Production Company, successor to Ascent Energy. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Then we have Apache Corporation.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett on behalf of Apache Corporation.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I think $I$ have a few other entries of appearance here. Let's start with Colgate Operating.

MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning, everyone. Sharon Shaheen of Montgomery and Andrews on behalf of Colgate Operating.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: EOG Resources. (Note:

```
Pause.)
```

Mr. Padilla? He was on earlier.
And I think I also have Jalapeno
Corporation has entered in some of these cases.

MR. BECK: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
Matt Beck on behalf of Jalapeno Corporation.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
Are there any other entries of appearance
in Cases 21361, -362, -363, -364, 21393, -394, 21489, $-490,-491 ? \quad$ (Note: Pause.) Hearing none, I will start with Mewbourne.

Where are we?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, the parties are still working on finalizing an agreement, and are making progress so $I$ believe that the parties are in agreement that another status conference should be set, and I think we have discussed the date of February 16 th.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: So soon. Okay. You're being optimistic.

MS. HARDY: Hope springs eternal.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Matador okay with that?

MR. SAVAGE: We're fine with that.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
And Apache?
MS. BENNETT: Yes. Thank you.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Any other comments on the proposal for a status conference on February 16th?

Hearing none, these cases will be set for a status conference on February 16th. We will issue some sort of Order.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Ernest Padilla here.

I had conductivity problems, and I don't know if you called the first set of cases already.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: We did. I'm sorry,
Mr. Padilla. We were looking for you.
Those cases are set for a status conference on February 16.

MR. PADILLA: Okay. Thank you.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that, Item 10 , Case No. 21387, Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you, Ms. Bennett.

Anyone else here for Case 21387?

MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of OXY with the Santa Fe Office of Holland and Hart.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Had you entered an appearance before?

MR. RANKIN: In this case?
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Yes.

MR. RANKIN: I believe so.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. We are here because there's a Motion for Continuance, but this is a really old case and $I$ wanted to see where we were with this.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. I reached out to Marathon after this was set for a status conference, and yesterday afternoon Marathon informed me that $I$ could dismiss this case. So with that $I$ would like to ask that this Case 21387 be dismissed. And I can submit a formal dismissal if that's the Division's preference.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I think we can do it on the record here. Any objections from OXY?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, No. OXY and Marathon have been in discussions for some time, so no objections to the dismissal.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. We will issue an Order then. Thank you.

Case 21387 will be dismissed.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. With that we're on Items 11 and 12. These are Cases 22845, 22947, Tap Rock Operating.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Michael Rodriguez with Tap Rock Operating --

EXAMINER BRANCARD: EOG Resources.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland and Hart.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: And I have Marathon Oil

Permian.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. This is Deana Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: MRC Permian?
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of MRC.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Anyone else here for Cases 22845, 22947? (Note: Pause.)

I believe we have a few motions for
continuances here. Since this had been a status
conference and it got moved, I just sort of assumed it was going to be a status conference anyway, but at this point I believe we have a motion to continue this to March 16 th. Are there any objections to that? I guess the question is: Are we continuing it for another status conference?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I prefer that we keep it a status conference.

MR. FELDEWERT: That's fine with EOG, Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So any objections to a status conference on March 16th?

MS. BENNETT: No.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hearing none, it will be ordered. We'll issue something.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that we are on Items 13 through 47. I guess I'll do all the case numbers here. So we're on Cases 23129, 23130, 23131, $23132,23133,23134,23135,23136,23137,23138,23139$, $23140,23141,23142,23143,23144,23145,23146,23158$, $23159,23160,23161,23162,23163,23164,23165,23166$, 23167, and 23236, 23237, 23238, 23239, 23240, 23240, 23241, 23242, Franklin Mountain Energy.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning. This is Deana Bennett of Modrall Sperling on behalf of Franklin Mountain Energy.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. COG Operating.
MS. HARDY: Good morning. Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of COG Operating.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any other entries of appearances for all those cases that I'm not going to call again?

Hearing none, let's start with Franklin Mountain.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you. The parties are in discussions, and the discussions are progressing but we're not quite done yet, so Franklin Mountain Energy's request with that would be that all these cases be set for a contested hearing for the next available docket.

So if that's $2-16$, recognizing that there's a bunch of cases here, that would be great.

And I believe that COG -- well, I'll let Ms. Hardy speak on her client's behalf, but the parties have at least agreed to $2-16$ as a tentative date.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. COG?
MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, COG would prefer to have status conference on February 16, given the discussions between the parties that are fruitful and making progress. I think if a contested hearing is set we would prefer it to be set with maybe the first docket in April. We have conflicts in March. But based on the way the discussions are progressing, I think it's our position that a contested hearing date on February 16 would be likely unnecessary, and hopefully premature.

MS. BENNETT: If I could just respond really quickly, Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Sure.

MS. BENNETT: I would hope that we would not need a contested hearing on $2-16$, but it's Franklin's preference to keep it as a contested hearing on 2-16, and then if we're getting close to the contested hearing date we can request that it be changed to a status conference well in advance of the time that the parties would need to submit any materials or that the Division would be -- you
know, have any issues with our timing.
So Franklin Mountain Energy would prefer to keep it for a contested hearing on $2-16$, with a caveat we would let the Division know as soon as we could if we were going to change it to a status conference.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I think in terms of hearings, February is kind of booked up so we're looking at either March 2nd or April 6th if we're going to set this for hearing.

MS. BENNETT: Either one of those dates work for us, Mr. Examiner.

MR. BRANCARD: COG, I believe you expressed a problem with March.

MS. HARDY: We would prefer April, Mr. Examiner. EXAMINER BRANCARD: COG has conflicts in March, is that what you...

MS. HARDY: I think that the March 2nd date may work. I would need to confirm with our witnesses. I know that the second docket in March doesn't work, but the first docket is a possibility, I think, if I could confirm. I think April would definitely work if we wanted to go that route.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Why don't we set this, then, for a hearing on March 2nd, and if COG has problems we can bump it to April.

MS. HARDY: Okay. Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Please let us know as soon as possible.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: So with that we're setting
this for hearing, not a status conference, on March $2 n d$.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: I believe we're on Items 48 and 49, Cases 23254, 23255, V-F Petroleum.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle
Shanor on behalf of $V-F$ Petroleum.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Apache

Corporation.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone.

Deana Bennett, Modrall Sperling, on behalf of Apache Corporation.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Any other interested persons for Cases 23254, 23255? (Note: Pause.)

Hearing none, let's start with V-F. I
think there was a request for February 16th.
MS. HARDY: There has been, Mr. Examiner.

Apache filed a motion for continuance to February 15, and V-F does not oppose that request.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: And this is for a status conference; is that correct?

MS. HARDY: I believe it would either be for a status conference or presentation by affidavit. V-F is hopeful that we can reach an agreement with Apache by that date and present the case by affidavit.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Apache?

MS. BENNETT: Good question. I think -- I don't have enough information to actually answer that question. I guess I was assuming it would be continued for a status conference, but $I$ also understand if we continue it for a status conference, would it be possible to transform that into a hearing by affidavit, or would that not be possible?

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, yes, it is possible to do that.

MS. BENNETT: Okay. Then I am ambivalent about whether it's a status conference or -- well, I guess I would prefer it be a status conference, then, or Apache would prefer that.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. What was V-F's preference?

MS. HARDY: I think V-F is fine with the status conference, as long as we can convert that to presentation by affidavit if Apache's objections are resolved.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: If you think you can let us know with enough time, we can do that.

MS. HARDY: That's fine. Thank you.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that, Cases 23254 , 23255 are set for a status conference or possible hearing by affidavit on February 16th.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that we're on item No. 50, Case 23244, and I believe also 51, 23245, Earthstone Operating.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. McLean.
MS. McLEAN: Good morning. Jaclyn McLean on behalf of Earthstone Operating.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I have entries here from MRC

Delaware Resources.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert from the Santa Fe Office of Holland and Hart. And I believe we're just in 23244 .

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I think that's also maybe true for Jalapeno Corporation, although $I$ don't know if they have totally withdrawn.

MR. BECK: Yeah, Matt Beck on behalf of Jalapeno Corporation. We're in the same boat, just 22344.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Any other appearances for Cases 23244, 23245?

Hearing none, I believe this is a continuance from the January 5th hearing, and we were dealing with some late notices.

MS. McLEAN: Yes, that's correct. We just
needed to have the Notice period run; I believe that the case is taken under advisement otherwise. And so now that that is complete we would like to have the full case taken under advisement by the Division, because the Notice period has properly run at this point.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. I did not see any other entries since that time, and I would -- are there any other objections from MRC or Jalapeno at this point?

I see a nodding of a head at MRC.

MR. FELDEWERT: There would be no objection, sir.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

MR. BECK: No objection from Jalapeno, either. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

With that Cases 23244, 23245, all the evidence will be admitted into the record and these cases will be taken under advisement.

MS. McLEAN: Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: We are now on Items 52 and 53, Cases 23280, 23281, Ridge Runner Resources.

MS. McCLAIN: Jackie Mclean for Ridge Runner Operating.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons for Cases 23280, 23281.

Hearing none, these cases were also
continued from January 5th for Notice issues, is that correct, Ms. McLean?

MS. McLEAN: That's correct. It suffers from the same day-late publication in the newspaper, and now that that period has passed we ask that 23280 and 23281 be taken under advisement.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any other interested the person with concerns or comments on 23280, 23281?

Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and Cases 23280 and 23281 will be taken under advisement.

So we can continue. So we're on Item 54.
Case 23292, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons for Case 23292?

Hearing none, this case was continued from January 5th.

Mr. Bruce.
MR. BRUCE: Yes, Mr. Examiner. I presented the matter on January 5th. Exhibits 1 through 4 were submitted. And I believe it was Examiner Garcia who noticed that the published Notice was -- the publication date was one day late, so this matter was continued to cure that. Since then $I$ have submitted Exhibit 5, which is a Certified Notice spreadsheet, and at this point I would move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 5 and ask that this matter be taken under advisement.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Any other interested persons for Case 23292? (Note: Pause.) Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and Case 23292 will be taken under advisement.

With that we are here on Items 55, 56 and 57, Cases 23248, 23249, 23250, Matador Production Company. MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Adam Rankin of the Santa Fe Office of Holland and Hart appearing on behalf of the applicant in these cases. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Are there any other interested persons for Cases 23248, 23249, 23250. (Note: Pause.)

Hearing none, $I$ believe we have a
late-filed motion for a continuance. Is that correct?
MR. RANKIN: Good morning Mr. Examiner. Because
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of the holiday on Monday we did file a motion for continuance on Tuesday asking that these cases be heard, I believe in the February hearing date.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Any objections?
(Note: Pause.)
Hearing none, none they will be continued
to -- what was the date again, Mr. Rankin?
MR. RANKIN: I'm sorry, Mr. Examiner, I don't have that in front of me. I believe it was -- I'd have to check it. I'm not clear exactly which date we requested, Mr. Examiner.

MS. SALAZAR: It's February 16th.
MR. RANKIN: February 16th. Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. That was Marlene.

So 23248, 23249, 23250 will be continued to
February $16 t h$.
Nos. 58 through 60, 23251, 23252, 23253, Matador Production Company.

MR. RANKIN: Good morning. Adam Rankin from the Santa Fe Office of Holland and Hart appearing on behalf of the Applicant in this case.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: We have Coterra Energy.
MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner. Darrin Savage appearing on behalf

```
of Coterra Energy, incorporated, et al.
    EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Again I think we
    also have a motion for continuance here. Mr. Rankin, is
    that correct?
    MR. RANKIN: That is correct, Mr. Examiner. And
    I believe Coterra does not object to our request that this
    case be moved to the same docket as the previous set of
    cases.
    EXAMINER BRANCARD: So that would be February
    16th.
            Any objections from Coterra?
            MR. SAVAGE: No objection. Thank you.
            EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that Cases 23251,
23252, 23253 will be continued to February 16th.
                            With that we're on Nos. 61, 62, 23265,
23266, Matador Production Company.
                            MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. May it
please the Division, Adam Rankin of the Santa Fe office of
Holland & Hart appearing on behalf of the applicant in
these two cases.
                            MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. Any other interested
persons for Cases 23265, 23266? (Note: Pause.)
                    Hearing none, Matador may proceed.
    MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
        These two cases involve acreage in the
```
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    south half of Section 13 in Township 24 South, Range 28
East. In these cases Matador is seeking a one-year extension under the existing Pooling Order to allow Matador time to get a federal APD in both cases. The applicable Order in the first case, 23265 , is Order No. R-22041, and in the second case the Order for 23266 , the applicable Order is $R-22042$.

Filed on Tuesday is an exhibit packet that contains the Orders and exhibits for these cases.

Exhibit A is a copy of the applications that were filed in these two cases explaining the request for the extension of time.

Exhibit B is the Orders which Matador is seeking to amend to extend by one year.

Exhibit $C$ is the application of Matador's landman, and she in her Self-Affirmed Statement explains the basis for the request for the extension of time and the fact that they are still waiting on the APD from the BLM.

Exhibit $D$ is a copy of the -- excuse me. Exhibit $D$ is a copy of the --

Sorry, it may be out of Order. Apologies for a moment. Exhibit $D$ looks like it's out of order. I apologize, but it is.

I see its the Summary of Interests in these
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cases and identifies the parties that are being sought to pool.

The following exhibit is the Self-Affirmed Statement of Paula Vance of our office. She affirms that the Notice was provided in accordance with the Division's rules by certain time frames.

Following the affidavit is a copy of the Notice Letters sent out, along with the status of filings on each of the parties who are subject to Notice in these cases.

And then following that is a copy of the postal report.

Exhibit $F$ for each of those cases showing that Notice was provided by publication, identifying each of the parties by name.

With that, Mr. Examiner, I would move the admission of Exhibits A through $F$ into the record, and ask that these cases be taken under advisement.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
I'll start with Mr. Lowe. Any questions?
EXAMINER LOWE: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. I have no questions now. Thank you.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Rankin, my questions are on the first Case, 23265. I was a little confused by the different
mailing listings, but $I$ will rely on what is attached to Ms. Vance's affidavit as the correct one.

In the land person's affidavit, they
mention that parties that had been previously force pooled who were unleased mineral owners have now leased their interest?

MR. RANKIN: I think there were a couple of parties for whom that's the case, and she identifies them in paragraph 7, I believe.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I'll just note that in the Summary of Interests, it's page 1, you still have the unleased mineral interest owners in there. So I think they have been replaced by Pony Oil, which would be an uncommitted working interest owner.

So it would be good if your land person's exhibits matched their testimony.

MR. RANKIN: Right.
Uh, yeah, I will double check the timing on
that. I suppose, Mr. Examiner, that these -- since this application in this case was filed previously to that change in ownership interest that the new lessee would take subject to the pooling.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Yes. But it appears that in your Notice you have notified the new interest owner -MR. RANKIN: Uh-huh.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: -- as opposed to the mineral interest owners anyway, you probably just have the Summary of Interest from the original application in there. I did notice that Pony Oil was given notice, the new lessee.

MR. RANKIN: Yes, I see that.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Are there any other persons interested in Cases 23265, 23266? (Note: Pause.)

Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and this case will be taken under advisement. And $I$ guess if you could just get us a new page 31 that has the current interests in this. That's 23265 only.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, we will do that.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
So with that we're on Items 63 and 64, Cases, 23267, 23268, Matador Production.

MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Adam Rankin appearing again on behalf of the Applicant in these cases, Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons for cases 23267, 23268? (Note: Pause.)

Hearing none, Matador may proceed.
MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
In these cases, as with the prior cases,

Matador is seeking a one-year extension under the applicable pooling Orders in order to allow Matador time to obtain federal APDs, which have still yet to be issued.

In the first case, 23267, Matador seeks to amend Order No. R-22045 four a one-year extension. That case involves the north half/north half of Section 13 in Township 24 South, Range 28 East; and in the second case, 23268, Matador seeks to amend Order R-22046, which involves the south half/north half of Section 13 in the same township.

In the exhibits that were filed on Tuesday, Exhibit $A$ is a copy of the applications that were filed in these cases identifying the acreage, spacing Orders and the justification for the requested extension in each case. Exhibit $B$ is a copy of the Orders that were issued by the Division for each of the cases that Matador is seeking to amend.

Exhibit $C$ is the affidavit of Matador's
landman for each case, in which he reviews the basis for the requested extension.

Exhibit $D$ is a copy of the previous Notice that was provided under the existing Orders.

Exhibit E is the Self-Affirmed Statement of my colleague Ms. Paula Vance reflecting that she has provided Notice to each of the parties that were subject
to pooling under the Orders that Matador is seeking to amend, and attached to her affidavit is a copy of the Notice Letter that went out, along with the certified mailing status of each of the Notice letters that went out to the parties in each case.

Exhibit $F$ is a copy of the Affidavit of Publication reflecting we had published Notice in the Carlsbad Current Argus for each of the cases, identifying the parties subject to pooling and the extension in each case.

Mr. Examiner if there's no questions I would move the admission of Exhibits $A$ through $F$ and ask these cases also be taken under advisement.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Mr. Lowe, any questions.

EXAMINER LOWE: I have no questions. Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Neither do I.

So Cases 23267, are there any other persons
here for that case or 23268? (Note: Pause.)
Hearing none, 23267, 23268, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and these cases will be taken under advisement.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that we are at Item 65, Case No. 23273, BTA Oil Producers.

MS. McLEAN: Jackie McLean with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of BTA Oil producers.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

Is there anyone else here for Case 23273?
(Note: Pause.) Hearing none, BTA may proceed. MS. McLEAN: Thank you.

In Case No. 23273 BTA seeks an Order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Upper Penn. Pool, Code 98333 in the Pennsylvanian Shale Formation, underlying a 224.59 acre horizontal spacing unit comprised of Lots 3 and 4, which is the west half/southwest quarter equivalent of irregular Section 19; and Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 west half/west half equivalent of irregular Section 30, Township 17 South, Range 36 East in Lea County. And BTA will dedicate this unit to and Altamont 7903 19-30 State Com 2H well.

The exhibit packet submitted to the division for Case No. 23273 contains a Compulsory Pooling Checklist; Exhibit $A$, which is the land professional's testimony and related land exhibits; Exhibit B, which is the geologist's testimony and related geology exhibits; and finally Exhibit $C$, which is Notice testimony that includes a sample Notice Letter that our office sent to the interested parties and the chart. And a copy of the Certified Mail receipt shows that the only interest that

BTA is currently seeking to pool received timely Notice of the hearing via Certified Mail.

And with that $I$ ask that Exhibits $A, B$ and C be admitted into the record and Case No. 23273 be taken under advisement.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Lowe, questions?
EXAMINER LOWE: Not at this time. Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for Case 23273? (Note: Pause.) Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and Case 23273 will be taken under advisement.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: With that $I$ will call Item No. 66, Case 23210, Novo Oil \& Gas Northern Delaware.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett of Modrall Sperling on behalf of Novo Oil \& Gas.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I have entries of appearance from Yates Energy Corporation.

MR. BECK: Good morning. Matt Beck on behalf of Yates Energy Corporation.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. MRC Delaware Resources.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert of the Santa Fe office of Holland and

Hart.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: COG Operating.
MR. RITTENHOUSE: Yes, Mr. Examiner. This is Joby Rittenhouse appearing on behalf of COG.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Any other interested persons for Case 23210? (Note: Pause.)

Hearing none, I'll first ask the other parties whether they object to this case going forward by affidavit.

MR. FELDEWERT: MRC Delaware has no objection, Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
Yates Energy.
MR. BECK: Yates does not have any objection either.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. COG?

MR. RITTENHOUSE: No objection from COG.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. With that Novo may proceed.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much.

In this case Novo seeks an Order from the Division pooling all uncommitted mineral interests within a 720-acre, more or less, standard Bone Spring horizontal spacing unit underlying the west half of Sections 9 and 16 and the north half/northwest quarter of Section 21 ,

Township 19 South, Range 30 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. The spacing unit will be dedicated to several wells that are the Tickety-Boo Fed Com 2109 wells, and the wells which will be dedicated to this unit are outlined in the Declaration of Mr. Peter Schmidt, the land professional, as well as in the Compulsory Pooling Checklist.

So the exhibits that we submitted include as Tab A the Compulsory Pooling Checklist. Tab B is the Declaration of Peter Schmidt, land professional. And he has previously testified before the Division and his credentials have been accepted as a matter of record, and behind his Declaration are the usual suite of land exhibits. And I have two notes, or two points to make about his -- three, actually, to make about his exhibits.

In his Declaration, Mr. Schmidt does clarify why Novo is --

I'm sorry, there was feedback.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Yeah. Folks need to make sure they're muted. Thank you.

MS. BENNETT: So Mr. Schmidt in his Declaration explains why Novo is drilling into the north half of the northwest quarter of Section 21 , that's in paragraph 9 of his Declaration. And the reason for that is this is part of the Potash area, and there's a drilling island in the
north half of the northwest quarter and so Novo would need to drill through that area anyway to get to the drilling island so they have included that in their lateral length, and the BLM has approved that plan.

I also wanted to note that we've identified on page 4 the parties that Novo is seeking to pool, and we were informed recently that Novo and Yates have reached an agreement, and so we will be filing an amended page 24 to remove Yates from the parties-to-be-pooled list.

And then the third thing $I$ wanted to note about the exhibits that are behind Mr. Schmidt's Declaration are our Notice Affidavit. As you will see from our Notice Affidavit we did encounter some issues with the post office either not tracking that we delivered letters to the post office, which we did, or not tracking them after they left the post office, and so we have redone a mailing to the parties who are identified on the Notice List as "to be mailed."

And so at the end of the case today I'll be asking for the case to be continued to the second docket in March -- I'm sorry, to the February $16 t h$ docket to allow time for Notice to cure.

So that's the Land Declaration.

Also included in the packet is the geology Declaration, and that's a Declaration provided by Michael

Hale, who has previously testified before the Division. And his Declaration includes -- I'm sorry, I'm at home and my dog is unhappy, so I apologize for the dog noise in the background. But Mr. Hale has previously testified before the Division and he has provided the usual suite of geology exhibits.

So with that explanation or clarification of the land exhibits, I would ask that the exhibits in Case No. 23210 be taken under advisement, and that the exhibits be admitted into the record, and $I$ stand for any questions the Division may have.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Lowe, questions?
EXAMINER LOWE: I guess just to reiterate what you have in your exhibits here, Ms. Deana Bennett. As noticed in your exhibits, Well No. 2 and Well No. 5 -- I'm sorry, I always call them by the well number. Well No. 122 H and the Well No. 132 H are noted as your defining well to create the larger spacing unit; is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: Yes. Thank you for that clarification, Mr. Lowe. Those two wells are proposed to be closer than 330 feet from the adjoining tracts, allowing inclusion of the adjacent tracts to create the larger spacing unit.

EXAMINER LOWE: According to what you have in your exhibits they appear to be pretty much right on top
of each other. Right?
MS. BENNETT: Uhm, yes, they'are both 1320 -EXAMINER LOWE: Yes.

MS. BENNETT: -- but I think their TVD, their depths may be different. I'd have to look at that.

Let's see. The $122 H$ is -- the TVD and MD for the 122 H are about 1,000 feet -- 500 to 1,000 feet different than the 132 H . So they're at the same -- you know, very close to the same locations, but there's a good bit of difference between their depths.

And that's actually shown on Exhibit C. A diagram of that is shown on Exhibit C.2, which is page 68 of the materials, and that's a gun barrel of the development, and it shows the difference in depths between the 122 and the 132.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: C.2?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, Mr. Examiner, C.2. That's page 68 of the -- not of the .pdf but of the paginated pages, it's page 68.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: It's page 70 of the .pdf.
UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay. Okay.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: If you are calling in to the meeting, please mute your phone. Thank you.

EXAMINER LOWE: Those are all my questions. Thank you, Deana.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Lowe.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
ALL right. So I think you have answered my question, which is why are there several letters here.

So you're attempting to try to get through to these folks who you are not getting any feedback from the postal service on.

MS. BENNETT: That's right.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

So at this point you have requested -- let me ask the other parties: Are there any questions or concerns?

MR. FELDEWERT: None from MRC Delaware, Mr. Examiner.

MR. BECK: None from Yates Energy, Mr. Examiner.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: COG?

MR. RITTENHOUSE: None from COG.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: So with that it appears you're going to revise the list of pooled parties; is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: Yes, Mr. Examiner. And I would submit that revised exhibit within the week, as the Division has requested, and then of course we will be submitting a revised Notice information as we approach the continuance date, which would be February 16th.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: So with that, Case 23210 will be continued to February 16th. The exhibits that have been presented today will be admitted into the record, and we will see you then.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So the last
items on our agenda are Cases 67 through 74, which will be Case No. 23149, 23150 -- and again if you would mute your screens we can avoid feedback -- 23151, 23152, 23153, 23154, 23155, 23156, Colgate Operating.

MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Dana Hardy with Hinkle, Shanor on behalf of Colgate Operating.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Doyle and Margaret Hartman.
MR. GALLEGOS: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. This is Gene Gallegos appearing for Doyle and Margaret Hartman.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any other persons for Case Nos. 23149, -150, -151, $-152,-153$, $-154,-155,-156 ?$

Hearing none.
(Note: Reporter interruption re echo.)
THE HEARING examiner: Mr. Gallegos, if you would just keep your machine muted, that might be helpful. That might be what the issue is.

Anyway, we had a hearing on this
previously. It was decided that we would move forward today solely on the issue of pooling the Hartmans' interest as record title owners only, and that would be the scope of this hearing today. Since then we've had a few more motions filed, including a late-filed Motion to Dismiss. And so we have a Motion to Dismiss, we have a Motion for Reconsideration, and then Objections to Exhibits.

And let me just start out by saying -- I'll just rule right away on the motion for reconsideration. I don't see any additional information there that would change the original decision, so that motion will be denied.

As to the Motion to Dismiss, that's a pretty critical issue, even though it was only filed a day or so ago, but it appears to me that you can't really decide that without having some evidence in the record, because the motion and the responses rely on the evidence. So we need to for forward then, I think, with having the hearing at this point, and we will start with Colgate presenting its witnesses today, and you can describe what you're going to do.

And for that benefit of colgate and for the Hartmans, we're going to be dealing with both the reason for this hearing, which is to amend Orders to add record
title owners, but we will also deal with the issues raised in the Motion to Dismiss.

So you want to deal with your witnesses in that regard, please do, or -- you know, I know, Ms. Hardy, you have submitted certain stuff as rebuttal points, but you can deal with that in your direct testimony, as well. So let's start with Colgate. What are your plans for today? What is your evidence and witnesses you want to present today?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I can just briefly summarize our position and then let you know that we will plan to call our witnesses Travis Macha, the landman working on this development, and then our geologist, John Anthony.

Colgate's applications seeks to pool interests, record title interest under the Division's September 26 th, 2022 pooling order regarding the Batman Fed Com Well. Collectively those Orders pooled interest the Bone Spring and Wolfcamp underlying Sections 18 and 19, Township 20 South, Range 34 East in Lea County and dedicated the units to 24 wells. Colgate seeks to pool record title interest so that it can obtain a communitization agreement from the BLM and produce its 24 wells.

Mr. Hartman opposes Colgate's application
for various reasons, none of which preclude pooling. First he claims to own a working interest in the spacing units, and as the Division has recognized previously, we're not dealing working interests here because we are not seeking to pool it.

Mr. Hartman has claimed that JOAs preclude pooling. That is also incorrect, because those documents deal with working interest and we are pooling a record title interest. And the BLM does not accept JOAs as a substitution for a communitization agreement but they do accept a pooling order.

Mr. Hartman claims his due process rights were violated because he did not receive Notice of Colgate's original pooling applications. That argument has no merit as that is the reason for the hearing and the reason for Colgate's application in these cases.

Mr. Hartman's recent claim in his Motion to Dismiss is that Colgate does not have the right to drill the wells because the BLM has not approved the conveyances of interests to Colgate. That argument is invalid, as Mr. Macha is going to explain. And as we provides in our exhibits, the BLM has actually approved APDs for three of these wells and the others are expected imminently. And, as a legal matter, the Hartmans' argument is incorrect on the effect of the BLM's approval. The transfer was
executed and filed in New Mexico county records, and it is binding.

As Mr. Macha will explain, Colgate has been working on this development for a very long time and is in the process of pudding these wells. Mr. Hartman's attempt to block the development based on the pooling of his minority record title interest, which amounts to 2 percent in the leases, $I$ believe approximately 6 percent in one quarter/quarter section, his effort to block these wells based on that interest violates Colgate's correlative rights and would result in a massive waste of oil and gas.

So with that $I$ would like to call as our first witness our landman Travis Macha.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Perhaps -- do you have both your witnesses available right now?

MS. HARDY: I believe I do.
Mr. Anthony, are you also available? I see you on the hearing.

MR. ANTHONY: Yes, I'm available.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Well, can you
put on your camera, Mr. Anthony?
MR. ANTHONY: I actually don't have access to a camera. I apologize.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: We can work around it, if you say that you will raise your right hand. And do you both solemnly swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. MACHA: Yes.

MR. ANTHONY: Yes.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
With that, you may proceed, Ms. Hardy. MS. HARDY: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. HARDY:
Q. Mr. Macha, can you please state your full name for the record.
A. Travis Macha.
Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
A. Colgate Operating, LLC, as a senior landman.
Q. Have you previously testified before the Division?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Can you please briefly summarize your education, training and experience.
A. Uhm, I graduated from Texas Tech back in 2016 with an energy commerce degree, worked as both an in-house and a contract landman for a few companies, being Peak Land Service, Concha Resources, Alpha Energy Partners, and most recently Colgate Operating.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I request that the Division recognize Mr. Macha as an expert in petroleum land matters.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Any objections?

MR. GALLEGOS: No objection.

And we might shorten things, Mr. Examiner.

We have no issues about the geology of the prospects, and I don't know that it's necessary to hear from the geologist, but $I^{\prime} m$ just suggesting in a way to expedite things.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. When we get -- I guess when we get to the geologist, we can just submit the evidence that's in the affidavit.

MS. HARDY: That's fine.

MR. GALLEGOS: We would have no objection to that.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

Okay. Mr. Macha is admitted as an expert in petroleum land issues, and please proceed.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
Q. Mr. Macha, do you have in front of you a document that's marked as Colgate Exhibit A?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you identify that document.
A. Uhm, yes. That's my Self-Affirmed Statement.
Q. Is your Self-Affirmed Statement the same in each of these cases?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Is Exhibit $A$ a true and correct copy of your

Self-Affirmed Statement?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Can you please identify Exhibit A-1.
A. These are Colgate's applications and proposed Notices in these cases.
Q. Can you please summarize briefly what Colgate is requesting.
A. We're seeking to pool the record title owners for the purpose of obtaining a communitization agreement from the BLM.
Q. Is Colgate seeking to pool any working interests?
A. No. Those were already pooled back in June.
Q. Does Colgate seek to fully develop the Bone Spring and Wolfcamp Formations underlying these two sections of land?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. How many wells are included in this Batman development?
A. About 24.
Q. How long has Colgate been working on this
development?
A. Since December of 2021.
Q. Can you please identify Exhibits A-2 through A-9.
A. These are our original pooling orders in these cases from the hearing back in June.
Q. Are those the Orders that Colgate seeks to pool the record title insurance under?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please identify Exhibit $A-10$.
A. These are plats of the tracts and the ownership in each of the leases.
Q. What is the percentage of Colgate's ownership interest in the Batman spacing units?
A. So originally, as you can see on those plats and the tract ownership, back in June when we originally pooled these Colgate was sitting with around 30 percent working interest in each unit. We have since acquired some more interest from the various parties. We now have 57 percent working interest across both sections.
Q. Does Colgate hold a working interest in every tract it seeks to pool and develop?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Exhibit $A-10$ identify the pooled parties in each case?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And does it separately identify the parties who were originally pooled, as well as the record title owners we're seeking to pool here?
A. Yes.
Q. Is one of those record title owners Doyle Hartman?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the name of Mr. Hartman's record title interest?
A. Uhm, on three of the five leases in Sections 18 and 19 he owns a 2 percent record title interest, same except in the southeast/southeast corner of Section 18 where he owns a 6.7 record title interest. And that's it.
Q. And those are -- are those federal leases?
A. Yes, ma'am, they are.
Q. What does it mean from your perspective that Mr. Hartman owns a record title interest in the federal leases?
A. So the BLM defines it -- and this is from their own Title 43 Part 3100 of the federal regulations. They --

MR. GALLEGOS: Objection. We object to Mr. Macha attempting to speak for BLM. Anything he says is just hearsay and speculation.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: If Mr. Macha is simply
quoting from the federal regulations, that's fine. He could also give his opinion about what a record title interest is.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'll do both.
A. (Continued) So it's quoted as: A lessee's interest in a lease which includes the obligation to pay any rent as well as the rights to assign and relinquish the lease.

In my opinion of that, being the record title interest, obviously there's a lot of administrative rights that go along with the record title, but as it pertains to actually operating rights in the working interest in any wells drilled, those are two separate things.
Q. Are record title owners responsible for paying well costs?
A. No.
Q. Are they entitled to receive well proceeds?
A. No.
Q. In your opinion does the pooling of Mr. Hartman's record title interest impact him?
A. Not from what $I$ can tell. These leases are generally --

MR. GALLEGOS: Objection, your Honor, to
that conclusion. The impact on our client, he's not qualified to testify to something of that sort.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I think -- I mean, he's a landman. He can give an opinion about what the impacts are.
A. (Continued) Since these leases are past their primary term no delay rentals are needed, uhm, they are all HVP and it's not anywhere close to being needed, a minimum royalty payment from a record title owner. So the point of these leases, $I$ don't see any effect to their interests, no.
Q. As a record title owner, does Mr. Hartman have any right to produce the underlying reserves?
A. No.
Q. Why wasn't Mr. Hartman's record title interest pooled when Colgate filed its initial pooling applications?
A. So on these ones we typically like to keep an open dialogue with a lot of individual owners in the State of New Mexico. We intended on just obtaining signature on the communitization agreement.
Q. Did Mr. Hartman sign a communitization agreement?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Has Colgate researched whether Hartman
owns a working interest?
A. Yes, we have.
Q. And what is your determination on that issue?
A. I --

EXAMINER BRANCARD: We are not addressing that issue here.

MS. HARDY: Okay. I can skip that. Thank you.
Q. Have you reviewed the Affidavit of Bryan Jones which has been submitted by Mr. Hartman?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. In your opinion is it accurate?
A. Uhm, as to record title, yes. As to any working interest purported, no.
Q. If it turns out that Mr. Hartman does own a working interest, how would Colgate handle that situation?
A. We will either have to seek another agreement, whether that be through JOA or purchasing their interest, or we would come back to the OCD to pool their working interest, as well.
Q. Mr. Macha, can you please identify Exhibit A-11.
A. This is an example of a communitization agreement.
Q. Was this agreement sent to Mr. Hartman and the other record title owner Colgate seeks to pool?
A. Yes.
Q. When was it sent?
A. May 10, 2022.
Q. Can you please identify Exhibit $A-12$.
A. This is a high-level summary of the contact with the parties in the Batman unit, as well as kind of a description of the events leading up to today.
Q. Can you provide a general overview of your discussions with Mr. Hartman or his representatives.
A. Uhm, so I haven't had the privilege to actually talk to Mr. Hartman or any of his direct representatives besides his attorneys. The initial contact was in June of 2022 when the Hartmans sent two separate letters asserting a working interest in these lands. I responded, trying to reach out via phone, via email. Those attempts to reach out were rejected, so I sent a formal letter in response on June 23rd of 2022. From there on, from June until about October, we've had pretty light conversations until we were directed by the Hartmans' attorneys that -- to no longer contact them directly and all communications will proceed therefor via our attorneys.
Q. Do you feel that you have tried to work with Mr. Hartman to resolve these matters?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you please identify Exhibit A-13.
A. This is my letter $I$ wrote on June 23 rd that $I$
just mentioned a minute ago.
Q. And why did you send that letter to Mr. Hartman?
A. So their formal letters kind of, like, again asserted that working interest, as well as made several requests of us. I've tried my best to accommodate all those requests, as well as explain why a working interest was not available for them at this time, tried to be as detailed as $I$ possibly could, to avoid any confusion.
Q. Did you provide extensive documentation with your letter?
A. Yes, ma'am, I did.
Q. Is it accurate to say that you provided Mr. Hartman with hundreds of pages of information?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And did you let Mr. Hartman know that you would consider additional information if he presented it?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Has he done so?
A. No. I think what I've seen, the most of what I've seen is Bryan Jones' exhibits here, which I view that to be as federal title of record title transfers.
Q. Let's talk about the BLM. Does the BLM require a signed communitization agreement or pooling order before Colgate can produce its wells?
A. Yes, they do.

MR. GALLEGOS: Object to that conclusion as to the BLM requirement, that Mr. Macha is not qualified to speak for the BLM.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, I guess the question would be: Has the BLM told Mr. Macha?

MS. HARDY: I would be --
Q. Mr. Macha, is it your understanding that the BLM requires a pooling order if a record title owner will not sign a communitization agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your understanding that the BLM does not consider JOAs as a substitute for a com. agreement or pooling order?
A. I --

MR. GALLEGOS: Objection to that.
Here we are just -- if we're going to have evidence of what the BLM does or doesn't, then we should have somebody who is -- who actually has authority, not Mr. Macha's speculation of hearsay on hearsay.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: You know, if Mr. Macha has had conversations with the BLM, or have received written information from the BLM, that would be what we prefer to here at this point, rather than what he thinks the BLM wants.

MS. HARDY: Sure. Okay.
Let me -- we do have documentation $I$ will
get to in just a minute.
Q. Mr. Macha, can you please identify Exhibit A-14.
A. Yeah. So this was an email I had sent to the BLM the day of our last hearing on December $15 t h$, probably 20 minutes after our hearing, after clarification was requested that the $B L M$ does -- would accept an NMOCD Order in lieu of a record title interest owner's signature or approved communitization agreement, and they responded in the affirmative that BLM does accept state pooling orders for lessees of federal leases.
Q. And, Mr. Macha, I believe that's Exhibit A-15. Is that correct? Just to clarify.
A. Yeah. Sorry.
Q. Can you go back for just a minute quickly to Exhibit A-14.
A. Yes.
Q. What is that exhibit?
A. That's a BLM Serial Registry page for one of the leases in question on this tract.
Q. Okay.
A. That BLM Serial page kind of gives you a breakdown of historical record of documents filed directly with the BLM themselves. As you can see on the front page, there is several operating right owners on this, and
then there's two lines that are redacted. The BLM is starting to redact any owners that are not part of an LLC or a company on these pages, but if you look down on page 5 of this document where it says Current Record Title Holders, you can see Doyle Hartman's name on this page.
Q. Okay.
A. And the percentage.
Q. Back to Exhibit A-15. Have you worked with the BLM on pooling of record title interests in other cases?
A. Yes.
Q. And has it been your experience that they accept a pooling order when a record title owner does not sign a communitization agreement?
A. Yes, it's very common.
Q. And is that what you were requesting confirmation of when you sent the email in Exhibit $A-15$ ?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And who was the person you were communicating with in the email?
A. This is Jordan Yawn. He is a legal examiner for the BLM. He's, I think, in charge of most of the communitization agreements right now in the state of New Mexico.
Q. Is it your understanding, based on his email, that BLM will accept a pooling order in these cases as a
substitution for a communitization agreement signed by Mr. Hartman?
A. Yes, ma'am.

MR. GALLEGOS: Mr. Examiner, we have a document and now he's going to interpret it with his understanding. The document is a simple email and the examiner can read it himself. Mr. Macha is not qualified to start -- his understanding of it means something different than what it says. It's very clear what it says, and far from definitive, and $I$ think it's important that it's before the examiner so that can be read, rather than Mr. Macha saying what his spin is on a one-sentence email. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. I actually
agree. I think that the email speaks for itself, so...
Q. Mr. Macha, can you please identify Exhibit $A-16$.
A. Yes. This is BLM's processing guidelines for drilling in a potash area where these wells are located.
Q. What does this document discuss?
A. Again it discusses the process of development from drill islands to development areas, to filing APDs.
Q. Has Colgate complied with these requirements?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Hartman has claimed that Colgate has not provided him with all of the Notices required under these provisions. Is that correct?
A. No, that's not correct.
Q. What Notices has Colgate provided?
A. We're only obligated to provide the Notice of Development Area, which references everything from our development plans to surface use. That is, I think, referenced in one of documents. We do not propose these drill islands, the BLM proposed them themselves, so we were not obligated to propose those drill islands.
Q. Mr. Macha, can you please identify what has been marked as Colgate Exhibit D.
A. Yes. That is a supplemental affidavit on the $A P D ~--~ t h e ~ B a t m a n ~ A P D s, ~ a s ~ w e l l ~ t h e ~ c o n v e y a n c e ~ i n t o ~$ Colgate.
Q. Has Colgate submitted APDs for those Batman wells?
A. Yes. We submitted them in May of 2022 .
Q. Can you please identify Exhibit D-1.
A. Yes, this is pulled from the NMOCD'S page that shows our first three Batman wells that now have approved APDs. We're still waiting on the rest.
Q. When do you expect the BLM to issue the remaining APDs?
A. Today or tomorrow, honestly.
Q. Do you have plans to spud these wells?
A. Yes. We have -- pads are built. We have one
rig on location that's being built out right now, and another on the way.
Q. Can you please identify Exhibit D-2.
A. Yes. That's an executed and filed conveyance from OXY into Colgate.
Q. Based on the conveyance does Colgate have the right to drill on the acreage at issue?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you know why the BLM has not approved the conveyance?

MR. GALLEGOS: That -- well, now Mr. Examiner we're really going -- now it's not just hearsay, now it's channeling why the BLM has not done something. This is not admissible testimony.

MS. HARDY: I can rephrase the question.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: If Mr. Macha has heard from the BLM, then that would be helpful. I mean, I agree we don't need any speculation here.
Q. Mr. Macha, have you communicated with the BLM about the fact that this conveyance is still pending approval?
A. Yes. Typically we're not very concerned about it. Uhm, back in June, as part of that letter, the June 23rd letter $I$ wrote to the Hartmans -- it's not exhibited here, but as an exhibit to that letter I gave them an
email from the BLM where the BLM stated that they are several months behind on approving any filed transfers with them. And again they're pretty gummed up in that regard typically, so we don't expect those very quick.
Q. And has the conveyance from OXY to Colgate been filed in the county records?
A. Yes, it has.
Q. Do you expect -- well, let me ask it this way: Has the BLM given you any indication that it will not approve the conveyance?
A. No.

MR. GALLEGOS: Now the question is to a negative, whether something doesn't exist. That's not admissible.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well --

MR. GALLEGOS: We're here to obtain evidence, and --

EXAMINER BRANCARD: (Inaudible) haven't told him.

I don't see a problem with that. Thank you.
Q. Mr. Macha, if Colgate is not permitted to produce these wells will it be harmed?
A. Yes, we will be.
Q. And will it lose substantial revenue from the
lack of production?
A. Yes, we will.
Q. Will the working interest owners in the wells be harmed?
A. Yes, they will also lose substantial revenues.
Q. Will the State of New Mexico and the federal government also lose revenue?
A. Yes. Royalties as well as taxes.
Q. Would Colgate lose the ability to produce two formations underlying two sections of land?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that violate Colgate's correlative rights?
A. Yes.
Q. Would it result in waste?
A. Yes, it would.
Q. In your opinion will the granting of Colgate's applications prevent waste, prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells, and protect correlative rights?
A. Yes.
Q. In your opinion will the granting of Colgate's application impair Mr. Hartman's correlative rights?
A. No, as we're only pooling record title interests, and none of their purported working interest is being pooled.
Q. Were the exhibits attached to your Self-Affirmed

Statement prepared by you or under your direction and control?
A. Yes.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, with that $I$ have no more questions for Mr. Macha and I move the admission of Colgate Exhibits $A, A-1$ through $A-16, D, D-1$ and $D-2$.

MR. GALLEGOS: Mr. Examiner, we would ask moving on admission be left pending until after cross-examination. I think some may not be subject to objection but for now please withhold your ruling and give us the opportunity for cross-examination.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: And did you -- Mr. Gallegos, do you have specific exhibits that you're seeking to object to?

MR. GALLEGOS: I have objections to Exhibit A-15 and I have objection to the Self-Affirming Affidavit which is Exhibit A. I think with examination we might develop whether we have additional objections or not, so that's just why I asked the ruling be withheld.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: How about if we admit Exhibit $A-1$ through -8 and start with that.

These are the applications, prior Orders.
MR. GALLEGOS: Mr. Examiner, I think Exhibits A-1 through A-11 we have no objection.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So let's admit

Exhibits $A-1$ through $A-11$, and then we'll go into questioning.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. GALLEGOS:
Q. Mr. Macha, after your qualifications of -- when you were asked basically into the substance of what we're dealing with here, my notes show that you say that you were seeking to pool the record title because the working interest had already been pooled.
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Explain the working interest that was pooled.
A. So on that June hearing, as you can see on our Ownership Schedule and Plat, all of the uncommitted working interest owners that hadn't already signed a JOA at that point were compulsory pooled and an Order issued.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Gallegos I'm just going to have to ask a favor of you. When you're done asking a question, if you can mute yourself that would be great. Okay?

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes, Mr. Examiner. Thank you. I'll do that.
Q. So you were not referring to the Hartman working interest, or were you referring to the Hartman working interest?
A. I was not referring to any working interest possibly associated with the Hartmans, only the parties listed on the pooling documents as referenced here in the exhibits.
Q. Now, is it a fact that when this application was filed in April of 2022 , their request was approval for drilling 24 Batman wells?
A. Correct.
Q. And you said, I believe, that Colgate now has the right to drill these wells.
A. Correct. We own an interest in every tract.
Q. But doesn't it require an $A P D$ in order to have the right to drill any of these Batman wells?
A. Correct. Which three of the 24 are now approved. We're waiting on the rest.
Q. So you have three APDs, Mr. Macha. And you obtained those APDs just two days ago, isn't that true?
A. Correct. Our regulatory department is in close contact with the BLM working through these APDs and ensuring they are going to be approved.
Q. If you just answer my question, Mr. Macha, it would be helpful, without a speech.

You have three APDs at this point.
A. Correct.
Q. And so as far as being deprived of all the
revenue and drilling and development of the different resources, at this point Colgate would be able to drill three wells.
A. We can drill them, we can't complete them without a communitization agreement submitted to the BLM.
Q. Now, at the hearing on these applications -- the applications were filed in August of 2022. Do you recall when that hearing took place?
A. June 2nd, 2022, I believe.
Q. And is it true that Doyle and Margaret Hartman had no notice of that hearing and did not participate in the hearing?
A. That's correct.
Q. Let's go to your Exhibit $A-15$. Do you have that in front of you?
A. Yeah, I'm scrolling to it right now.
Q. Does it begin with an email from you dated December 15, 2022, addressed to A. Jordan?
A. Yes.
Q. And I take it Mr. Jordan is somebody that you were a friend with.

MS. HARDY: I object to the --
A. He's not a friend. I don't know him personally.
Q. Well, why was your email directed to Mr. Jordan?
A. Because he assists Colgate and other operators
in information relating to communitization.
(Note: Pause.)
MS. HARDY: Mr. Gallegos, you're muted I believe.

MR. GALLEGOS: I am sorry.
Q. I didn't quite understand the explanation.
A. He is our contact, as well as other operators' contact at the BLM as it relates to information pertaining to communitization.
Q. It appears that Mr. Jordan promptly replied to you. Your email was at 10:44 and he was back to you about an hour later. Is that what Exhibit A-15 shows us?
A. Yes.
Q. And does Exhibit A-15 -- Mr. Yawn, I guess his name is Yawn, reply to your question is a simple one sentence that reads: Yes, the BLM may accept state pooling orders for lessees.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you, after that contact he, or anybody else in BLM, and say: You know, this doesn't really answer our question, because this doesn't say it's a requirement. It sounds like it's just a discretionary matter.

So did you do anything to follow up?
MS. HARDY: I object to the question. It's misleading.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Just ask the question. You don't need to characterize the exhibit.
Q. In view of the one sentence that says the BLM "may" accept a pooling order, did you take any steps for clarification?
A. The BLM has commonly required communitization agreements to be filed on all producing wells. I did not reach out asking why comm agreements are required, no.
Q. Would you mind, Mr. Macha, answering the question, instead of, you know, offering some sort of argument.

In view of the fact that the sentence simply says it may accept pooling orders, did you take any steps for an explanation or to seek a more definitive statement regarding the $B L M$ and pooling orders?
A. I read this statement as pretty, uhm, clear. I did not request any more definitive information, no.
Q. Is it a fact, Mr. Macha, that Colgate has sought to obtain OXY's interest in the leases, the federal leases that are the subject of those applications?

MS. HARDY: I object to the form of the question. It misstates the evidence.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I'll allow that question, although I'll not really sure what the relevance is. But...
A. Yes, we have acquired OXY's working interest and record title, and it is being currently filed with the BLM.
Q. Is that a true statement or is the fact is that a form BLM for transfer of operating rights from OXY to Colgate, and a form BLM for assignment of record title from OXY to Colgate, are on file but have not been approved by the BLM.
A. As it pertains to filing with the BLM, yes; however, county assignments do hold weight as transfer of operating rights as it pertains to working interest here.
Q. Once again without your argument, is the answer that Colgate at this time has no approval of the transfers from OXY to it for either operating rights or record title in the federal leases that are the subject of this application?
A. Pursuant to federal records, yes. No final transfer has been approved yet by the BLM.
Q. Mr. Macha, where does Colgate have on file the 1949 joint operating agreement that Hartman has asked to provide, asked to be provided by Colgate?

MS. HARDY: I object to this question. I think the Division has already ruled that we're not talking about JOAs here because they don't deal with record title. EXAMINER BRANCARD: That was a rather complex
question, Mr. Gallegos. Are you asking whether they have the document or not? What are you asking?

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. I think that would be a simpler question to start with.
Q. Mr. Macha?
A. Yeah. I'm -- in answering a question pertaining to working interest, yes, we have that document on file. Our house attorneys have reviewed it.
Q. Okay. And where is that? Is that kept as part of your land files?
A. Mine as well as our attorneys, yes.

MR. GALLEGOS: Let me -- Mr. Examiner, just let me review my notes for a moment. (Note: Pause.)

I think that concludes my questions, Mr.
Macha. Thank you.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

Mr. Lowe, do you have any questions.

EXAMINER LOWE: I do not have any questions,

Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Okay.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY HEARING EXAMINER BRANCARD:
Q. Mr. Macha, let's go through some of your exhibits here.

I'm looking at $A-11$, okay, the
communitization agreement.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. So this would be a communitization agreement for this particular unit; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
Q. Okay. Therefore it follows Exhibit A and Exhibit $B$ are part of that communitization agreement?
A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
Q. So Exhibit B, who prepared that?
A. Uh, I, alongside my main coordinator, who assisted in putting together the stuff.
Q. So Colgate prepared this.
A. Yes.
Q. And the information is based off of BLM records?
A. The information is based off of -- the record title information is based off BLM record. The working interest information is based off county and federal record together.
Q. So if we're looking at the first page of Exhibit B, the column on the left there under Tract No. 1, the phrase "Current Lessee of Record" is another phrase for what today we're calling record title owner; is that correct?
A. Correct. Yes.
Q. Does the BLM use that phrase?
A. Lessee of record? They typically just use
"lessee," but yes.
Q. So then Exhibit A-14 is actually from the BLM records.
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And so if we're looking under the first page there of that case recordation.

Yep.
Q. And then there's a list of what's called Case Customers.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So in the fourth column where it says "Interest Relationship."
A. Right.
Q. Okay. There are two things indicated in there. There's operating rights and there's lessee.

Would operating rights be what we're
referring to as a working interest ownership?
A. Yes, sir, that is correct.
Q. And would lessee be something more like a record title interest?
A. Yes, sir, that is correct.
Q. Okay. So in Exhibit A-15 -- which is your communication with the BLM?
A. Yep.
Q. When -- is that Mr. Yawn? I don't want to get it wrong.
A. Yes, it is Mr. Yawn.
Q. Where it says, "BLM may accept safe pooling orders for lessees," lessees either means or includes what we are calling record title owners.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That's how -- we've seen that in the BLM records.
A. Yes, sir. That's -- that is my understanding.
Q. All right. So let's see if you can find this here.

I'm looking at your supplemental exhibits now, and in Exhibit, $I$ believe it's D-2, which is the conveyance document.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. I don't think anybody asked any questions about this, but is this the document from which Colgate has gotten its interest in this unit?
A. Yes, sir, it is.
Q. Okay. And it's dated September of 2021. Is that the effective date?
A. That's the effective date, yes.
Q. But then it wasn't filed in the county records until February, 2022?
A. Correct.
Q. There's a transfer, basic transfer provision in here but of course it refers to exhibits.
A. Yep.
Q. And we get to the exhibits, Exhibit A, leases --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- what $I$ am seeing as referred to as the federal notations for these leases, they would be somewhere down that first page there with the Lessee City Services Oil Company.
A. Yes, sir. An easy way to identify them is on the very left column where it's denoted area --
Q. Yes.
A. This area is the Jewitt (phonetic) area.
Q. Okay. Correct. So those original leases that are being transferred are dated either 1951 or 1959 .
A. Correct.
Q. So those are the leases in question. That is how Colgate is claiming its interest in this.
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. Are you familiar with this conveyance document, Mr. Macha?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Is there any reference in this document to a provision that says that the transfer of these leases is
subject to approval by the federal government?
A. No, there's no reference to that, I do not believe.
Q. Is there any reference in these leases when it says that, you know -- I believe there's certain things that are excluded from here. Is there any reference to a joint operating agreement?
A. No, there is not.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Any rebuttal questions from Colgate?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I have just a couple.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Redirect.

MS. HARDY: Redirect. Yes. Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. HARDY:
Q. Mr. Macha, with respect to Mr. Gallegos' questions on the initial hearing in these cases, in the original cases, why didn't Colgate notify Hartman of those applications?
A. So we, again, intended to not pool them at that hearing and rather obtain a communitization agreement signature.
Q. None of Hartmans' interest was pooled by the first hearing?
A. No, nothing was pooled of theirs.
Q. Approximately how many times have you seen the BLM accept pooling orders in lieu of signed communitization agreements? And I understand you won't likely have an exact number.
A. Right. I don't think $I$ have an exact number, but again I've been working operating assets since 2017, and it's been almost every single one of those cases.
Q. And from your perspective was that note you received from the $B L M$ a clear answer to your question?
A. Yes.
Q. And was that answer consistent with your prior experience?
A. Yes.

MS. HARDY: I have no further questions for Mr. Macha. I would just move the admission of our remaining exhibits $A-12$ through $A-16, D, D-1$ and $D-2, ~ I$ believe.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
Let's deal with the exhibits.
Are there any further objections from the Hartmans to these exhibits?

MR. GALLEGOS: No further objection.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. All right. So I
believe counsel for that Hartman has agreed to accept the evidence related to geology.

MR. GALLEGOS: That's correct, Mr. Examiner.

That's not an issue.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Can you just, for the record, Ms. Hardy, list what that -- just the exhibit numbers, et cetera, relating to that, so it's all clear?

MS. HARDY: Yes, I can.
It is Exhibit $B$ and the attachments No. B-1 through -- sorry it's just taking me a minute. My computer is slow. This will just take one second, I hope.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: What your file document shows is $B-1$ through $B-13$.

MS. HARDY: Then that's correct, Mr. Examiner. I would ask for the admission of Exhibits $B$ and $B-1$ through -16 (sic).

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. All right.
Exhibits B and B-1 through B-13 will be admitted.
MS. HARDY: Thank you .
EXAMINER BRANCARD: And we have other exhibits.

MS. HARDY: Exactly. That was what I was going to request next is admission of my Notice exhibits, Exhibits $C$ and $C-1$ through $C-3$, which show that we provided Notice to all interested parties. I believe there are only two record title owners, and that Notice was certainly received by Mr. Hartman. And then we also published Notice timely.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Any objection to these

Exhibits $C$ and $C-1$ through $C-3$.
MR. GALLEGOS: No objection.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

With that, does that conclude Colgate's' case?

MS. HARDY: It does. Thank you, Mr. Examiner. MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.

All right. With that let me first check in with the court reporter.
(Note: Discussion off the record.)
MR. BRANCARD: All right. We will be back at 10:15. Thank you.
(Note: In recess from 10:08 a.m. to 10:17 a.m.)
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Ms. Hardy, are you available?

MS. HARDY: Yes. Thank you. I'm here.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Gallegos, I think I saw a dog trying to get on your computer while you were gone.

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. My dog spends the day with me here.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So with that I believe we are back on the record. Cases 23149 through 23156, the applications of Colgate Operating.

With that, Mr. Gallegos, give us a sense of what you're going to provide today.

MR. GALLEGOS: Mr. Examiner, we prepared to provide for the Division evidence by a petroleum landman, Bryan Jones, regarding the state of the federal lease ownership as to the lease of the subject of the proceeding we also will present evidence and argument regarding the application of the importance of having the joint operating agreement and the right to drill on the part of this applicant in terms of compliance with Section 70-2-17C.

So, with that, we think we can show that the Division having an interest on whether the applicant does have the right to drill or their parties are already in agreement goes to the basic jurisdiction over this matter and supports our Motion to Dismiss.

We will call, if it's okay with the
Examiner, we will call our first witness.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. So you have the one witness?

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes, Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: And as we discussed, you know, if you could keep the testimony limited to the issues that are at play here, which is the application to amend the Orders to pool the record title owner and the issues raised in your Motion to Dismiss.

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes, Mr. Examiner.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

So with that, Mr. Jones will you raise your
right hand.

## BRYAN JONES

having been duly sworn testified as follows:

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Gallegos will try to
mute himself whenever he is not speaking.
MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. Are we okay to proceed?
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Please do.

MR. GALLEGOS: I'm maybe getting a little
feedback right in my own office here.
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GALLEGOS:
Q. State your name, please.
A. Bryan Jones.
Q. Where do you live, Mr. Jones?
A. In Coppell, Texas.
Q. Are you a petroleum landman?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Would you please state for the examiner, beginning from when you embarked on this profession, what your education, training and experience has consisted of.
A. Yes. I went to work for Phillips Petroleum Company as a landman trainee in December, 1973. I have been in the land profession business since that point in
time. I have -- in 1989 I received my Certified Professional Landman certification, and I maintained that until when $I$ semiretired about 20 years ago.

I have worked for hundreds of clients throughout the United States. I have worked on federal and state leases, fee leases, all the way from Mississippi and Alabama to Montana and back.

I have put together federal unitizations, federal communitizations, state communitizations that include both federal and fee leases.

I have examined federal lease files on thousands of leases throughout my career, not only in New Mexico but in Colorado, Wyoming, Montana and Idaho.
Q. Have you previously done some land work for Doyle Hartman?

Mr. Jones, did you hear my question? I was asking if you previously have done work for Doyle Hartman.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Jones, you're muted right now. (Note: Pause.)

Mr. Jones, we do not hear your response because you're muted. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm not used to these buttons.
A. Yes. I have worked for Mr. Hartman off and on on various projects for $30-\mathrm{plus}$ years.
Q. Has your testimony, both as to facts and opinions regarding issues of petroleum land rights, been accepted by administrative bodies and by courts of law?
A. Yes. It has been accepted by New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, as well as Federal District Court and State District Court in Wyoming.
Q. Mr. Jones, are you familiar with 30 U.S. Code Section 187 , which reads that any transfer of title to oil or gas lease under the authority of the chapter may be assigned or subleased subject to final approval of the Secretary?
A. Yes, I'm familiar with that, that any assignment or conveyance of a federal oil and gas lease requires approval by the Bureau of Land Management.
Q. Do you have -- it was marked as Exhibit 14, but maybe you have just from your own files a copy of the current Serial Register of the BLM for Lease NMNM 29512A, which is one of the leases that is the subject of this application?
A. Yes, I have it right here.

MR. GALLEGOS: Mr. Brancard, do you have that handy?

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Is this one of your proposed exhibits?

MR. GALLEGOS: No, this is actually Colgate's
exhibits. I thought it would be easy if we go to Colgate's Exhibit A-14.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. As long as you let us know which exhibit you're on, we'll be fine.

MR. GALLEGOS: Okay.
Q. Mr. Jones, would you turn to the -- what is shown as page 5 of 5 on that Serial Register.
A. Yes, I have it.
Q. I'm directing your attention and that of the examiner to what $I$ call the upper half of the page where the entries under the Action Status show Filed, and under the Action Information has additional entries.

Would you tell us what those entries indicate.
A. Those reflect that Colgate has filed an Assignment of Record Title and a Transfer of Operating Rights from OXY into Colgate, and that they have not been approved by the BLM.
Q. Does the Serial Register indicate the parties who own record title or operating rights in this particular lease?
A. Yes. It has both at the bottom of page 5, and then if you go back to page 1 it will show you a list of Operating Rights Other Than Lessees, which are record title owners.
Q. What do we find in regard to Colgate?
A. They are shown as having no ownership, period.
Q. Are you acquainted with the transfers from OXY to Colgate that are held by the BLM?
A. Yes. I have reviewed them. I reviewed those lease files at issue in this from the BLM.
Q. At my request have you continued to review the files currently, so as we are here today on January 19th the status as shown on Exhibit $A-14$ ?
A. Yes. As of -- this is Thursday. As of Tuesday evening at 5:00 p.m., they had not been approved, and we couldn't get into the system today to clarify it.
Q. I'd like to ask you, Mr. Jones, as a lessee of a federal lease does that ownership include working interests?
A. Yes, it can. And I will direct the examiner back to the Definitions page that Mr. Macha referred to earlier, which was Exhibit $C$ to Hartmans' Response to Colgate's Motion to Quash, which was filed back on -- I believe it was January 12 th of this year.

If you look down towards the bottom of that page under the definition of Operating Rights it says: Means a person or entity holding operating rights in a lease issued by the United States. A lessee also may be an operating rights if the operating rights in a lease or
portion thereof have not been severed from the record title.
Q. And what is the significance of that in regard to the particular leases that are the subject here?
A. If you look at my affidavit, I examined the lease files at issue in this case, and nowhere in any of these lease files would you find a conveyance of operating rights from Mr. Hartman to any third party. So he owns both record title and operating rights, as is shown on my affidavit.
Q. Just to be clear, when we use the federal term operating rights, is that the equivalent of what is ordinarily understood to mean working interest?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. What I'd like to do, Mr. Jones, is just establish in the record: Have you examined the oil and gas lease files in the BLM office in santa fe for leases -- and I'm going to talk about this: 29512A, 29512B, and $I$ think $C$ is not material, but also lease 13276 .
A. Yes. I examined the lease files on two different occasions. The first time was in October of last year, and most recently again for deposition.
(Note: Reporter request for sound check.)
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Ms. Hardy are you on
mute?
UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Let me mute myself.
MS. HARDY: I don't think it's coming from me, but...

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Try again, Mr. Gallegos.
Q. Mr. Jones, then with your examination of the leases of mine, would you simply go down through the leases and tell the examiner what you found, and in particular with a view as to whether or not out of the lessee ownership there has been severed working interest so that only what we call record title or lessee title remains.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner --
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Gallegos, I have a question whether this is relevant or not, because we're not really looking at whether the Hartmans have working interests at this point.

MR. GALLEGOS: Well --
MR. HARDY: Repeat my objection.
Q. Well, the prior application of Colgate here has been premised on Mr. Macha's opinion that we're only here for record title because he doesn't think working interest rights exist. Those are the very heart of what I'm representing to the Division, and if that is not the case, then Mr. Hartman is not only deprived of due process
regarding the record title but also regarding the working interest. And Colgate's position is, Oh, just let us do this because we say it's record title and let's not go into it any deeper.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, I think we've already decided that. That's what the scope of this hearing is, is on the record title interests that are listed as the record title owners, and it's not impacting anybody's working ownership, this pooling proceeding.

If Colgate is mistaken or another court proves that they are incorrect, they will have to come back or obtain an agreement from the other working interest owners.

MR. GALLEGOS: Well, it strikes me as a little inconsistent that the Division will hear what Mr. Macha has to say basically about the Hartman ownership, and stop there and we can't present what Mr. Jones will present regarding the Hartman ownership which is of interest. EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Let me just allow you to do this: If Mr. Jones can briefly describe the exhibits that are attached to his testimony, why don't we do that.

MR. GALLEGOS: Thank you. And this affidavit is Hartman Exhibit 1-A.
Q. And so, Mr. Jones, rather than go into great
detail, if you can summarize what you find as you examine the record on the three leases that $I$ mentioned to you, 13276, 29512A, 29512B.
A. Yes. Based on the federal oil and gas lease files, it reflects that Mr. Hartman owns both record title and operating rights on all the leases, at least with regard to a portion of the --
(Note: Reporter inquiry.)
MR. GALLEGOS: She's asking, Mr. Jones, that you repeat your summary of what your findings were.
A. Yes. I found in the federal oil and gas lease files that Mr. Hartman owns record title and operating rights under all of the leases at issue in this case, based upon the federal gas lease files.

MR. GALLEGOS: What I would ask, Mr. Brancard, is we, uh -- on this point, and it will save the time, is if we admit Mr. Jones' Hartman Exhibits $1-A$ and $2-A$, which basically are the detail of what he has summarized?

EXAMINER BRANCARD: I'm going to allow questions on it first before we admit it. So please proceed.

MR. GALLEGOS: That completes my direct testimony of Mr. Jones.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. So are you just offering $1-A$ and $2-A$ or are you offering the other exhibits?

MR. GALLEGOS: I would like to offer all of the exhibits, Hartman Exhibits $1-A, 2-A, 3-A, 4-A, 5-A$ and 6-A.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I object to the admission of those documents on the basis that they are intended to establish the Hartmans have a working interest, which is not an issue in this case.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, let me ask
Mr. Gallegos: Is Mr. Jones available for questioning on these exhibits?

MR. GALLEGOS: They are his exhibits. Yes, they are his exhibits. He's available to be questioned on them.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. So why don't we have cross-examination first, and then $I$ will rule on the exhibits.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Jones, can you hear me?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I can hear you.
MS. HARDY: Okay. Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. HARDY:
Q. Mr. Jones, have you ever testified in a pooling case in New Mexico?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. When was that?
A. It's been a number of years ago. I've done numbers of them. I couldn't tell you exactly when the last one was.
Q. Mr. Jones, the transfer of interest from OXY to Colgate has been filed in the county records, correct?
A. Not the federal form, no.
Q. That wasn't my question, Mr. Jones. My question was whether the conveyance has been filed in the county records.
A. There's a conveyance from OXY to Colgate filed in Lea County records, yes.
Q. And the language of that conveyance doesn't state that it's subject to BLM approval, does it?
A. No.
Q. And the request for approval of that conveyance that's been submitted to BLM has not been denied, has it?
A. That particular conveyance has not been submitted to the BLM.
Q. Let me rephrase my question.

The request for approval of the transfer of rights from OXY to Colgate has not been denied by BLM, has it?
A. Again, that particular assignment, if we're talking about the one in Lea County, has not been filed with the BLM, period.
Q. Maybe my understanding of your testimony is incorrect. It's been my understanding that you're testifying that the conveyance from OXY to Colgate has not been approved yet by BLM. Is that right?
A. The federal form assignments have not been approved, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And they haven't been denied, have they.
A. No.
Q. The BLM Serial Register pages that you were discussing a few minutes ago, specifically $I$ think we can look at Colgate Exhibit $A-14$, those documents don't list every working interest owner in the leases, do they?
A. They could. I don't know.
Q. They don't list overriding royalty interests, do they.
A. No, they don't.
Q. And those interests still exist, don't they.
A. I haven't examined the lease files to see if there were any overriding royalties outstanding on these leases.
Q. Are you aware that under New Mexico law a conveyance filed in county records is a transfer of an interest in real property?
A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
Q. So since the BLM Serial Register page does not
list overriding royalty interests, and it may or may not list all working interests, isn't it correct that the Serial Register pages don't identify every interest in a lease?
A. It depends on which lease it is. It could, it couldn't. It just depends on which one it is.
Q. And you're aware, aren't you, that, uhm, a conveyance was filed in the county records of Hartmans' interest conveyance to Plantation.
A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
Q. And that document was dated December 15 of 2005 , wasn't it?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. And that document is omitted from your affidavit and your exhibits, isn't it?
A. I didn't examine Lea County records, as I stated in my affidavit. My examination was federal lease files and what they showed.
Q. Okay. So you didn't look at county records and you didn't consider them. Is that fair to say?
A. That's correct.

MS. HARDY: Those are all of my questions.
Thank you for your time.
MR. GALLEGOS: No redirect, Mr. Examiner.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.

Mr. Lowe, any questions? EXAMINER LOWE: A quick question. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER LOWE:
Q. Mr. Jones, good morning.

Are you previously -- Ms. Hardy has
inquired about your previous testimony in New Mexico, and she asked in what aspect -- or actually I'm not to sure at what time frame it was done, but $I$ was just curious to know: What aspect was that pertaining to in your previous testifying in New Mexico?
A. That would have been with regard to unitization and communitizations of both federal and state lands, and possibly some fee lands in New Mexico.
Q. Was that through the OCD?
A. Yes.

EXAMINER LOWE: Okay. Those are my questions Thank you.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Maybe you can help me, Mr. Gallegos. At the beginning of your questioning of Mr . Jones you referred to, or maybe perhaps Mr. Jones referred to, in response to your question, an attachment to a document that involved federal regulations.

You're muted, Mr. Gallegos. Sorry. Mr. Gallegos, you're muted.

MR. GALLEGOS: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. What $I$ referred to is a section of the Mineral Leasing Act at 30 USCA. It's Section 187 a , which says that in the event of an assignment of a sublease or any part of the acreage it's subject to final approval by the Secretary.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
And was that actually attached to one of your pleadings?

MR. GALLEGOS: No.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. I'll find it.
MR. GALLEGOS: I would be happy to furnish that. Obviously, you know, it's easily found.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Yeah. I'll look it up on the Internet and try to find it.

Okay. Let's look at the exhibits so we can go through them here. Jones.

Uhm, so I'm looking at what is labeled
Hartman Exhibit 4-A. It's entitled Conveyance and Agreement.

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. That's one of Mr. Jones'. And it's way back, uh, the beginning of title for Mr. Hartman, which came from Sun, Sun Operating.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Let me just ask Mr. Jones. We want to get information about these exhibits on the
record.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER BRANCARD:
Q. So, Mr. Jones, it appears that Exhibit 4-A are excerpts from this document.
A. Yes. They are excerpts. The document itself is hundreds of pages long, so I pooled the pages that pertained to the leases at issue in this case, or these cases.
Q. Okay. Is it your addition to this file document those sort of boxes?
A. Yes. I boxed those in just so it would show you what we were talking about.
Q. Okay. I just want to make sure what we're looking at here in terms of what's a file document and what's your annotations to this.

Okay. So you have basically highlighted by boxes the leases that you're saying are relevant to this particular unit.
A. Yes, that's correct. And in there it also says that the conveyance into Mr. Hartman is subject to the 1941 Operating Agreement, as amended by the 1949 Operating Agreement.
Q. Okay. And so then the next document, 5-A, that is the 1941 agreement?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. There are annotations on the first page. Do you have any idea what those are?
A. That handwriting was on there when $I$ found the documents in Mr. Hartman's file, and I don't know whose handwriting that is.
Q. So you have -- is it correct that this document and the prior one were pulled from Mr. Hartman's files?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. You didn't get them from the county records or the BLM records.
A. No, I found them in one of Mr. Hartman's files.
Q. Thank you. And this agreement, this what is referred to as an Operating Agreement here, there's no indication that it has been filed in the county records.
A. That's correct.
Q. And so there are further documents here, Exhibit 6-A, which appears to be a letter from Colgate.
A. Yes. That's a copy of the letter that they sent to Mr. Hartman in february of 2022 , which was notice of the Batman development area.
Q. Again you obtained this from the Hartmans?
A. Yes.
Q. I assume all these attachments are part of that.
A. Yes, that's exactly how it is in the file.
Q. So I thought there was some additional exhibits that you had, Mr. Gallegos. I'm trying to find them here.

MR. GALLEGOS: I think we added the Serial
Register pages for the three leases.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Right.
MR. GALLEGOS: They all read basically the same as Colgate's Exhibit $A-14$, so they could be admitted or not. I mean, either way we have the substance of the state of the federal record as shown by these Serial Registers.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. Sorry, I have way too many documents open here.

All right. That's 7A, 8A and 9A are the BLM Serial Register pages.

MR. GALLEGOS: That's correct, your Honor. One of them is -- the Lease $A$ is the same as Exhibit $A-14$ of Colgate.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. So let me turn to Ms. Hardy.

Does Colgate have objections to these exhibits?

MS. HARDY: Yes, Mr. Examiner, I do.
I think that Exhibits -- Exhibit 1-A, which
is Mr. Jones' affidavit, deals with a claimed working interest by Hartman, which is not at issue here.
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Exhibit 2-A, 4-A, and 5-A also deal with that issue.

We did not submit the assignment of Hartmans' interest to Plantation because the Division had ruled that we were not dealing with working interest and JOAs here and title issues, so I would object to the admission of all of these exhibits that are designed to show that Hartman has a working interest that's not at issue here.

Exhibit 3-A I don't object to, the schedule of Colgate's pooling cases. I don't think that's necessary. I think that's all in the record.

Exhibit 6-A, Colgate's Notice letter on the development area, $I$ object to that being used to try to show that Hartman has a working interest. It actually shows that he was notified as a lessee, not as a working interest owner. So I don't object to it being considered for that purpose, but it does not establish that Hartman has a working interest, which is the reason Hartman has submitted it.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Gallegos.
MR. GALLEGOS: Yes. Mr. Examiner, we -- we're really here dealing with this federal leases and rights under federal leases.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Hang on.

Ms. Hardy, would you mute yourself? I don't know if that is helping but it seemed to last time. Please proceed. I'm sorry.

MR. GALLEGOS: Well, thank you.
This has the state agency, really, addressing questions of federal lease rights in every respect, and you cannot just at your convenience slice off one element and then come forward and say, "Oh, by the way, we dealt with the BLM on comm agreements and Mr. Macha has checked with this to see whether we should pool record title, and so forth, and here we have the Serial Registers.

In other words, the rights and the lack of rights regarding federal leases are central to this application, and $I$ think everything we set forth is admissible on those grounds. It helps the Division understand what this case is about and get to some of the issues that are not quite as siloed (phonetic) off as Colgate would like them to be.

And I do ask permission to ask one more question of Mr . Jones regarding one of his exhibits, and I appreciate the examiner's examination to help lay the foundation for some of these exhibits which I failed to do.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Sure. One more question on
the exhibits.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GALLEGOS:
Q. Mr. Jones, on Exhibit 5-A, do you have that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you have Exhibit 5-A?

What is the significance of that exhibit
with respect to the questions that have been raised regarding the existence of a joint operating agreement covering the subject land?
A. Well, this agreement covers all lands at issue in these cases, all the cases at issue here and all the leases. It covers all the lands in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20 .
Q. Did it also give an indication that there is the existence of a later-in-time joint operating agreement on these properties?
A. Let me look just a second. (Note: Pause.)

I don't see any mention of an amendment to this agreement.
Q. How did it come to your attention that there is a later-in-time joint operating agreement covering these properties?
A. I can't quite remember exactly where $I$ saw the reference, but also it was in -- referenced in the title
opinion that Colgate provided, the redacted title opinion that Colgate provided to Mr. Hartman.

MR. GALLEGOS: Thank you. And thank you, Mr.
Examiner, for allowing the questions.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Any if I may testify, I
think the answer to your question is Exhibit $4-A$ has the reference to the 1949 amendment.

So okay.
MR. GALLEGOS: We accept your testimony.
MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I did have one more objection.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Please. And Mr. Gallegos, would you mute yourself. Thank you.

MS. HARDY: The objection that $I$ have to the admission of these exhibits is they do contain handwritten notes. It's unclear who those are from. They were derived from Mr. Hartman's files and not from county records, so $I$ don't know that they are reliable or complete, and I object for that reason, as well.

And if they are admitted I would ask to call back Mr. Macha to address some of these items. Thank you.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Okay. The standard in an administrative hearing is relevance. I find the relevance of some of these exhibits, $I$ don't know, a little slim,
but $I$ think that we will admit them. Obviously it is our job as a decision-maker to give the weight that any of these exhibits should be given. You have already made on-the-record indications of where they are from, how they were kind of cut and pasted and notations made on them. All of that is obviously part of how we would evaluate the weight and importance of these exhibits.

The relevance seems to be less to the question of whether the Hartmans have a working interest and more to the issue of this joint operating agreement that has been raised.

So with that the Hartmans' exhibits will be admitted.

But Mr. Gallegos, I believe you have finished your case at this point?

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes, Mr. Examiner, we have.
MR. BRANCARD: So, as indicated, I will allow a rebuttal if that's what Colgate would like at this point. Again, as you mentioned Ms. Hardy, it needs to be a rebuttal just on what has been testified about.

MS. HARDY: I understand, Mr. Examiner, and I would like to call Mr. Macha back to answer a couple of questions.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you.
If you would mute yourself, Mr. Gallegos.

Thank you.
Has Mr. Macha left the building?
MR. MACHA: No, I'm here hard.

MS. HARDY: No, he's on.

Can you turn on your video, Mr. Macha?
MR. MACHA: Yes.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.

## REBUTTAL EXAMINATION

BY MS. HARDY:
Q. Mr. Macha, did you hear the testimony that was just offered by Mr. Jones?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. First, with respect to the assignments, can you give a brief summary of your understanding of the status of Hartmans' interest.
A. Yes. So obviously --

MR. GALLEGOS: I'm sorry. Can we have a clarification of the assignments? Are you referring to the federal transfer reports?

MS. HARDY: No, I'm not. I'm referring to the county records that transfer real property interest. And Mr. Hartman has provided Exhibit 4-A, which is a conveyance from Sun to Hartman. So Mr. Macha should be able to address that. MR. GALLEGOS: Well, I wasn't objecting, I was
just asking, you know, so that we can --
MS. HARDY: Yes.
A. Yeah. So I guess we have a --
(Note: Reporter inquiry re echo. Pause to adjust.)
A. So on the 1989 assignment into Hartman, obviously we recognize that in the county record. We've taken that into account in our title opinion that we've provided a redacted version to Hartman. We do supplement that with the 2005 conveyance from Hartman to Plantation that we did not provide here as an exhibit because we didn't think we had to discuss working interests, but effectually there's no -- even though these assignments were not filed in part with the BLM, the effect of a county assignment still does hold weight; it's not just voided because there was no counterpart filed with the BLM. So we have taken that into account in our unit.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, if it would be helpful for the Division, I would like to submit that assignment from Hartman to Plantation as a rebuttal exhibit, as the prior conveyance from Sun to Hartman has been admitted.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, again, we're not talking about working interests here, so...

It's on the record and Mr. Macha testified,
so let's just leave it at that.
MS. HARDY: That's fine.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Gallegos, I think you need to be muted. Thank you.
Q. Mr. Macha, did you hear Mr. Jones' testimony about joint operating agreements regarding this acreage?
A. Yes, ma'am, that's correct. I did hear that.
Q. And can you provide an explanation of the status of the joint operating agreements that currently exist?
A. Yeah, yeah. So -- yeah. So I'm working back.

So these joint operating agreements, I mean
these leases are old, they're from the '50s, this operating agreement is from the '40s. These leases weren't even in effect at that point, but they were mining permits, they were not actual leases at that point, even though would do recognize this 1943 or, yeah, 1941 JOA as having effect as to some of the lands at that point, there was also a 1928 joint operating agreement covering part of the lands, there was also a 1993 agreement covering part of lands, there is now 2002 JOAs covering these individual spacing units.

And just for another reference, the south half of the southwest of Section 19 is a 1970-something lease, I believe that's not included in these joint operating agreements, these $1940 s$ joint operating
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agreements.
I guess what I'm trying to say is there has been a lot of title and a lot of contracts overlaid, superseded over the years. A lot of land's fallen out, a lot of owners that did sign, a lot of owners that did not sign. That's the premise for needing a superseding joint operating agreement that we've proposed to drill these wells under.
Q. So, Mr. Macha, is it correct that the joint operating agreements referenced by Mr. Jones do not cover all of the lands and formations at issue in Colgate's applications?
A. (Note: No audible response.)
Q. Sorry, I couldn't hear you.
A. Sorry. Correct.

MS. HARDY: Thank you. I don't have any further questions, Mr. Examiner. Thank you.

MR. GALLEGOS: Mr. Examiner, we now have a
situation on the record that makes it absolutely imperative that our request for production by Colgate of the joint operating agreement, the Order produced. Now we have a party who said, "Oh, no, we don't want to get into the joint operating agreement, we're going to hold it and we're going to keep it in our file because, who knows, it may show there's no jurisdiction in this case." Now they
object to it. If that isn't a waiver of any objection, which is invalid to begin with, Mr. Examiner, please. Now it is has to be ordered produced.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, may I respond? EXAMINER BRANCARD: Yes.

MS. HARDY: The agreements are not relevant to the pooling of record title interest, but because Mr. Jones testified about them and was allowed to do so, we called Mr. Macha in rebuttal. So that's the only reason we've done that. I still do not believe they are relevant to the pooling of a record title interest. I don't think it's fair to introduce evidence and then claim error as a result of evidence that you've introduced, which is what $I$ think Mr. Gallegos is doing.

MR. GALLEGOS: What we're claiming is that if there ever was any reason for withholding this evidence, it certainly has been totally waived. You've opened it up and you've gone into it. And now Mr. Macha is testifying about documents that we don't see and that you have refused to produce.

I think it's so clear to the examiner now that the subpoena rights that we've been denied must be enforced and the documents produced.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, Mr. Gallegos, I remain baffled as to why your client doesn't have this document.

MR. GALLEGOS: I'm sorry?
EXAMINER BRANCARD: I'm baffled as to why your client doesn't have this document.

MR. GALLEGOS: He does not have the document. We wouldn't be asking for them if we had them.

We wish that we did, and we've wanted it all along, because $I$ think what it's going to show is there's no reason for this application because there's an agreement that covers the mineral interests.

And now we have a party who refuses production, the most ultimate of discovery, that would go to the very fundamentals of this application being heard, now they go into it, now he testifies to it. The agreement must be produced.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, the reason I'm baffled is because the document is referenced in the conveyance document in which your client took title.

MR. GALLEGOS: It is. It certainly is, and that was the clue to us that this agreement exists. We do not have it. We do not have it. If we had had it from the beginning we would have brought it before the Division, because it's so fundamental to the requirements under the statute that you only have force pooling if the parties have failed to enter an agreement. And it's our contention that yes, they have entered into an agreement
that's binding on these parties, and we don't have it. And now we've got a witness testifying about it and saying, Oh, well, it wouldn't apply or whatever.

Let's just have the document.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, can I respond briefly?
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Yes. Briefly.
MS. HARDY: We objected on the record in writing to admission of any of this evidence on joint operating agreements, and we objected to Mr. Jones testifying about it, but he was allowed to do so. In response we called Mr. Macha. But as a matter of law joint operating agreements do not preclude pooling of record title interest to obtain a BLM communitization agreement. That's a legal issue and I think the parties have addressed that in their written submissions.

MR. GALLEGOS: Mr. Examiner, all Mr. Jones said is that we've seen something in a document that says a joint operating agreement exists. Nothing about the content, nothing about the applicability of anything until Mr. Macha comes forward and starts testifying about that, about this document that they refuse to produce. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Well, Mr. Macha has testified, apparently, to a series of operating agreements that are out there covering perhaps parts of this area. So that's -- my fear here is that if we ask
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for one of these documents we're going to have to get all of those documents in because we can't figure out which documents in here in the 21 st Century are still active.

I'm going to rule that we don't need this document, because $I$ agree with counsel that all we're doing here in the proceeding, as was decided at the last hearing, is pooling what are known as record title owners, and therefore a joint operating agreement is not relevant to that.

And we are doing that basically for the benefit of the BLM. All right. And so if the BLM doesn't think there is a joint operating agreement covering this, they use communitization agreements, whatever those are. So I don't think we need to see that document.

You know, Colgate wants to be nice and give it to the Hartmans, that would be nice, fill out their files. Which, again, I don't understand why the Hartmans don't have this document.

So that's my ruling. I don't see these joint operating agreements as being relevant to the issue in this application, which is the pooling of record title owners.

So with that, is there any other evidence to be presented today?

MS. HARDY: Nothing further from Colgate, Mr.

Examiner.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: We have admitted the exhibits. I think -- Mr. Lowe, do we have anything else you would like to see?

EXAMINER LOWE: No, nothing else.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: So it is my decision that we will take this case under advisement. And by taking it under advisement $I$ mean not just the application that has been filed by Colgate, but also the Motion to Dismiss by Hartman is also taken under advisement. And they will be dealt with together, because basically -- basically $I$ view the Motion to Dismiss as a motion to reject the application, so ruling on the application one way or the other will determine the Motion to Dismiss and the issues that have been raised underneath.

I don't know. I'll throw it out to the parties whether you think that final closing statements would be helpful here. I know I kind of cut you off.

Maybe I should give you a chance, if you want, to have a final closing statement to sort of rephrase your positions at this point.

Start with Colgate.
MS. HARDY: I think that would be helpful, Mr.
Examiner, just to consolidate the issues.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: It's not even lunchtime and

I'm already cutting people off.
So, Mr. Gallegos, are you okay with a short closing statement?

MR. GALLEGOS: I think counsel have been sort of making their statements all throughout, your Honor, but a short statement would be fine, if the examiner thinks it's helpful.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: All right. Since this is Colgate's application, Colgate will go first.

Are you ready to do that now, Ms. Hardy, or do you need a break?

MS. HARDY: I'm ready, Mr. Examiner.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Excellent. Please proceed.
MS. HARDY: I'll be brief.

I think that the issues here come down to the pooling of record title interest to obtain a BLM communitization agreement. We've provided confirmation that the BLM does accept pooling orders in lieu of signed communitization agreements. I think that Hartman's claims do not relate to the pooling of his record title interest, and they certainly don't preclude it. The requirement is that the Division consider whether a pooling application granted protects correlative rights and prevents waste, and here granting the applications prevents waste and protects correlative rights.

Hartman has provided no information, no evidence whatsoever that pooling his record title interest would violate his correlative rights or result in waste. Hartman's legal arguments lack merit, specifically on the Motion to Dismiss. Uhm, it's factually and legally incorrect. Colgate does have a right to drill on the leases. The BLM has approved three of Colgate's APDs and the others are pending and are expected to be approved imminently. Regardless, the Division's pooling authority is not contingent on the BLM's approval of APDs or an interest conveyance. The Division has broader pooling authority than that.

And of course the BLM has not yet issued APDs or conveyances in many of the cases that were heard by the Division. I know that there were several heard earlier today that were extended because the BLM had delayed issuance of drilling permits.

So that's a common occurrence. It doesn't preclude pooling. The issue that's relevant for pooling is whether the applicant has an interest in the acreage, and here Colgate does. It has title conveyances that are recognized in county records, and under New Mexico law, as we've cited in our response to the Motion to Dismiss, those interests, those conveyances are valid, binding conveyances of real property. I think you heard Mr. Jones
admit that conveyances filed in county records convey real property interest in New Mexico.

With respect to the BLM's delayed approval of the conveyances, that is not a condition to pooling. Again, Colgate has the conveyance in interest, as filed in the county records. And in the Devon v. United States case that we cited in our response to Hartmans' motion, the Court of Federal Claims addressed the conveyance of oil and gas interest in New Mexico and held that state law controls real property conveyances, and that the BLM's approval process is administrative. In that case the court held that if the BLM has not denied approval the transfer is valid.

So there's no basis for dismissal or denial of Colgate's applications. Hartmans' Motion to Dismiss should be denied and Colgate's applications should be granted because they will protect correlative rights and prevent waste.

Thank you. I appreciate everyone's time today.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
EXAMINER BRANCARD: Mr. Gallegos, do you have anything to add?

MR. GALLEGOS: Yes, Mr. Examiner.
I know everybody has seen the statute 1,000
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times, but it does control force pooling, and that's Section 70-2-17. And whether we want to admit it or not, or Colgate wants to, it provides that this kind of authority of the Division depends on the situation where such owner or owners have not agreed to pool their interest and where one such separate owner or owners, who has the right to drill, has drilled and proposed to drill a well. Has the right to drill.

The two elements: The parties have not agreed; and the party applicant has the right to drill.

There's basically three points that we want to make.

First of all, we believe that the parties have agreed to pool their interest, and we would establish that by the joint operating agreement, which has been unfairly denied. It is not in the possession of our clients, never has been, and we wouldn't be seeking it if it were. But it definitely is not just relevant, Mr. Examiner, it goes to the very jurisdiction of this application.

We believe that it will establish that the parties have agreed to pool their rights, and we should not be denied the document itself, nor should the Division.

The Division, it seems to me, should be
equally interested in the existence of an agreement by which the parties have agreed to pool their rights, so that there is a firm jurisdictional foundation for any Order entered.

No. 2 is about a right to drill.
Now, we've cited to the section of the U.S.
Code which calls for approval, and $I$ will state that there is a division of authority on this issue of whether or not an ownership interest passes or does not pass by reason of the approval or nonapproval of the BLM on an application for transfer.

And I have to say I've been -- this is not the first case. That's been the subject of a number of cases, and there is a split of authority. And I think some of the older authority was wrong, but I'm reading from River Gas vs. Pullman. This is a federal case. It's at 960 Fed. Supp. 264, and it says, and I quote: It is well established that a party must receive the approval of the Secretary of the Interior in order for an assignment of a government lease to be valid. The assignment does not actually occur until approval is granted.

As I say, there's other cases that say, Oh,
well, it transfers, it just -- but you have a very important issue, and when it comes down to it, aside from that, aside from whether or not it has any interest in
these federal leases as far as a right to drill, what right does it have to drill? Three APDs that it got two days ago? That doesn't give them a right to drill all the Batman wells.

So we're lacking two essential elements that are required under the statute.

Finally, as to the BLM requirement that would have engendered this entire reapplication, an application which was heard without the Hartmans present or Noticed, and now this reapplication, are we to -- are we to say -- and I think, Mr. Examiner, at the last hearing you expressed an interest in having something definitive, because this is not the only question that has ever come before the Division on record title and you were hoping to have, you know, something that you could say this means we could depend on this, we can go forward.

What do we have? We have an email that says the BLM may accept State pooling orders for lessees.

And that is what this Division can go
forward on and say, Oh, Colgate has proved that they are here because they were required to do it by the BLM.

The email doesn't say it and, besides, what is the authority of this particular land examiner on that issue anyway.

So for all the reasons, the three reasons
here, we think that the application should be dismissed. EXAMINER BRANCARD: Thank you. Thank you all for doing a very nice job of summarizing their positions here. I guess -- you know, I'm lazy, so if you-all could just send me a copy of (A) Colgate, the Devon case; and (B) this River Gas you are referring to Mr. Gallegos.

You're muted at this point, Mr. Gallegos. MR. GALLEGOS: Sorry. Yes, it's River Gas v. Pullman.

EXAMINER BRANCARD: If you can send me a copy of that. You can even send it to me by email. It doesn't need to be filed. So thank you all for the presentations. As I said earlier before I gave you a chance to speak, this case will be taken under advisement, both for the application and the Motion to Dismiss. Thank you all.

MS. HARDY: Thank you very much.
MR. GALLEGOS: Thank you.
(Note: Proceedings concluded at 11:28 a.m.)
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| agrankin $3: 24$ | $76: 7,884: 3$ | andrews $2: 25$ | apds $38: 3$ |
| $8: 8,199: 10$ | $107: 9113: 6,9$ | $18: 15$ | $51: 2266: 20$ |
| $10: 7$ | $113: 11,25$ | annotations | $67: 12,14,20,22$ |
| agree $66: 14$ | $114: 1,10115: 6$ | $103: 16104: 2$ | $73: 16,17,19,23$ |
| $68: 17118: 5$ | $117: 9,12,23$ | announcements | $121: 7,10,14$ |
| agreed $24: 5$ | $118: 13,20$ | $17: 14$ | $125: 2$ |
| $84: 23123: 5,10$ | $120: 19$ | answer $27: 9$ | apologies $34: 22$ |
| $123: 14,22$ | al $33: 1$ | $73: 2175: 20$ | apologize $34: 24$ |
| $124: 2$ | alabama $89: 7$ | $77: 1284: 9,11$ | $45: 352: 23$ |
| agreement $15: 9$ | albuquerque | $109: 6110: 22$ | apparently |
| $16: 1019: 6,7$ | $1: 242: 183: 9$ | answered $47: 3$ | $117: 23$ |
| $27: 544: 8$ | $3: 194: 175: 7$ | answering $76: 9$ | appear $45: 25$ |
| $50: 2351: 10$ | $6: 311: 7,15$ | $78: 6$ | appearance |
| $55: 1359: 21,23$ | allow $34: 338: 2$ | anthony $14: 15$ | $18: 12,2520: 19$ |
| $60: 16,22,23$ | $44: 2276: 23$ | $15: 1550: 14$ | $41: 18$ |
| $62: 2363: 9,12$ | $95: 2096: 19$ | $52: 17,19,21,22$ | appearances |
| $64: 965: 13$ | $110: 17$ | $53: 5$ | $5: 216: 128: 15$ |
| $66: 174: 5$ | allowed $115: 8$ | anybody $75: 19$ | $10: 1923: 17$ |
| $77: 2079: 1,4,7$ | $117: 10$ | $81: 17$ | $28: 24$ |
| $83: 7,2187: 7$ | allowing $45: 22$ | anybody's $95: 8$ | appearing |
| $87: 1395: 12$ | $109: 4$ |  | $20: 1631: 19$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[appearing - august]

| $32: 21,2533: 19$ | applications | april $24: 12$ | assignment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $37: 1842: 4$ | $5: 234: 10$ | $25: 8,14,21,25$ | $77: 690: 12$ |
| $48: 15$ | $38: 1250: 15$ | $73: 6$ | $91: 1698: 23$ |
| appears $36: 23$ | $51: 1455: 8$ | area $2: 715: 14$ | $102: 4106: 3$ |
| $47: 1849: 16$ | $59: 1770: 17$ | $15: 1443: 25$ | $112: 6,15,20$ |
| $75: 10103: 4$ | $71: 2274: 6,7$ | $44: 266: 17$ | $124: 19,20$ |
| $104: 18$ | $76: 2083: 19$ | $67: 482: 12,14$ | assignments |
| applicability | $86: 23114: 12$ | $82: 14104: 21$ | $77: 1099: 5$ |
| $117: 19$ | $120: 24122: 15$ | $106: 14117: 24$ | $111: 13,18$ |
| applicable $34: 5$ | $122: 16$ | areas $66: 20$ | $112: 14$ |
| $34: 738: 2$ | apply $117: 3$ | argument $14: 3$ | assisted $79: 11$ |
| applicant $31: 19$ | appreciate | $14: 451: 14,20$ | assists $74: 25$ |
| $32: 2233: 19$ | $107: 22122: 19$ | $51: 2476: 11$ | associated $73: 2$ |
| $37: 1887: 8,11$ | approach | $77: 1287: 5$ | assume $104: 24$ |
| $121: 20123: 10$ | $47: 24$ | arguments | assumed $22: 12$ |
| application $2: 4$ | approval $2: 6$ | $121: 4$ | assuming $27: 10$ |
| $2: 6,93: 144: 5$ | $5: 1951: 25$ | argus $39: 8$ | attached $36: 1$ |
| $6: 107: 1,138: 2$ | $68: 2173: 6$ | ascent $2: 918: 3$ | $39: 270: 25$ |
| $8: 139: 2,4,18$ | $77: 1383: 1$ | $18: 6$ | $95: 21102: 8$ |
| $9: 2410: 1,12$ | $90: 10,1498: 13$ | aside $124: 24,25$ | attachment |
| $10: 1711: 2$ | $98: 15,20102: 5$ | asked $71: 19$ | $101: 22$ |
| $12: 8,18,21,23$ | $121: 10122: 3$ | $72: 677: 20,21$ | attachments |
| $13: 1,3,6,8,11$ | $122: 11,12$ | $81: 17101: 8$ | $85: 6104: 24$ |
| $15: 434: 15$ | $124: 7,10,18,21$ | asking $32: 2$ | attempt $52: 5$ |
| $36: 2037: 3$ | approve $69: 10$ | $44: 2072: 18$ | attempting |
| $50: 2551: 16$ | approved $44: 4$ | $76: 878: 1,2$ | $47: 557: 24$ |
| $70: 2173: 5$ | $51: 19,2264: 9$ | $89: 1796: 9$ | attempts $61: 14$ |
| $77: 1687: 6,22$ | $67: 1968: 9$ | $112: 1116: 5$ | attention $91: 9$ |
| $90: 1994: 20$ | $73: 15,2077: 8$ | aspect $101: 8,10$ | $108: 21$ |
| $107: 15116: 8$ | $77: 1891: 18$ | asserted $62: 4$ | attorneys $61: 11$ |
| $116: 12118: 21$ | $92: 1199: 4,6$ | asserting $61: 12$ | $61: 18,2078: 8$ |
| $119: 8,13,13$ | $121: 7,8$ | assets $84: 6$ | $78: 11127: 15$ |
| $120: 9,22$ | approving $69: 2$ | assign $58: 8$ | audible $114: 13$ |
| $123: 20124: 10$ | approximately | assigned $90: 10$ | august $74: 7$ |
| $125: 9126: 1,15$ | $52: 884: 1$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[authority - blm]

| authority 63:18 | 65:8 68:23 | 28:22 29:18 | 6:1 11:5 18:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90:9 121:9,12 | 75:11 86:11,22 | 41:20,20 42:14 | 18:10 19:18 |
| 123:4 124:8,14 | 89:7 91:23 | 47:15 | 20:11,12,13 |
| 124:15 125:23 | 92:17,19 95:12 | beginning | 21:1,16 22:3,4 |
| available 23:25 | 102:22 109:21 | 88:21 101:20 | 22:23 23:10,11 |
| 52:15,17,19 | 110:22 113:10 | 102:22 116:21 | 23:21 24:16,19 |
| 62:7 86:15 | background | behalf 17:25 | 25:10 26:4,9 |
| 97:9,12 | 45:4 | 18:5,10,15,23 | 26:16,17 27:8 |
| avoid 48:9 62:8 | baffled 115:25 | 20:12,16 22:4 | 27:17 41:16,17 |
| aware 99:21,24 | 116:2,15 | 22:6 23:11,15 | 42:20 43:21 |
| 100:7,10 | baker 3:8 11:14 | 24:4 26:13,17 | 45:15,20 46:2 |
| b | barrel 12:13 | 28:13,22 30:20 | 46:4,17 47:1,8 |
| b 7:22 9:19 | 15:16 46:13 | 31:19 32:21,25 | 47:21 48:5 |
| 10:12 12:2,6,8 | based 24:12 | 33:19 37:18 | best 62:5 |
| 15:1,15,15,16 | 52:6,10 65:24 | 40:2 41:17,20 | 127:12 |
| 15:16,17,17,18 | 68:6 79:14,15 | 42:4 48:12 | bill 17:6 |
| 15:18,19,19,20 | 79:16,17 96:4 | believe 19:7 | binding 52:2 |
| 15:20,21,21 | 96:14 | 20:22 22:10,14 | 117:1 121:24 |
| 34:13 38:15 | basic 82:2 | 24:3 25:12 | bit 46:10 |
| 40:20 41:3 | 87:13 | 26:10 27:3 | bldg 13:20 |
| 43:9 79:7,9,20 | basically 72:6 | 28:9,18 29:1,5 | blm 15:11,12 |
| 85:6,6,10,10,12 | 95:16 96:18 | 31:4,23 32:3,9 | 34:19 44:4 |
| 85:12,15,15,15 | 103:17 105:6 | 33:6 36:9 52:8 | 50:23 51:9,19 |
| 126:6 | 118:10 119:11 | 52:16 64:12 | 51:22 55:14 |
| b. 1 12:8 | 119:11 123:11 | 73:9 74:9 75:4 | 57:20,24 62:22 |
| b. $212: 9$ | basis 34:17 | 81:14 83:3,5 | 62:22 63:2,3,5 |
| b. 3 12:9 | 38:19 97:5 | 84:16,23 85:21 | 63:7,11,17,21 |
| b. $412: 10$ | 122:14 | 86:22 92:20 | 63:22,23 64:5 |
| b. 5 12:11 | batman 50:17 | 110:14 113:24 | 64:7,10,19,22 |
| b. 6 12:11 | 55:22 56:14 | 115:10 123:13 | 64:24 65:1,9 |
| b. 7 12:12 | 61:5 67:12,14 | 123:21 | 65:21,25 67:7 |
| baca 1:23 | 67:19 73:7,13 | benefit 49:23 | 67:21 68:9,13 |
| back 53:21 | 104:21 125:4 | 118:11 | 68:17,19 69:1 |
| 55:17 56:6,16 | beck 3:7 11:13 | bennett 2:16 | 69:1,9 73:19 |
| 60:18 64:15 | 18:22,23 28:22 | 3:18 4:15 5:6 | 74:5 75:8,16 |

[blm - bunch]

| 75:20 76:3,6 | bottom 91:22 | 37:14,20 39:14 | 112:23 113:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76:15 77:3,5,6 | 92:21 | 39:17,24 40:3 | 115:5,24 116:2 |
| 77:8,9,18 | box $2: 13,173: 4$ | 41:6,9,14,18,22 | 116:15 117:6 |
| 79:14,16,25 | 3:9,19 4:16,19 | 42:2,5,12,16,18 | 117:22 119:2,6 |
| 80:3,24 81:4,8 | 5:7,11,23 6:2 | 43:19 45:12 | 119:25 120:8 |
| 84:2,9 90:17 | 6:15 7:6,16 | 46:16,20,22 | 120:13 122:21 |
| 91:18 92:4,6 | 10:21 11:6,14 | 47:2,9,16,18 | 122:22 126:2 |
| 93:17 98:13,16 | 13:16 | 48:1,6,13,17 | 126:10 |
| 98:18,21,25 | boxed 103:12 | 52:14,20,24 | brandon 14:9 |
| 99:4,9,25 | boxes 103:11 | 53:6 54:4,11 | break 120:11 |
| 104:11 105:14 | 103:18 | 54:17 58:1 | breakdown |
| 107:9 112:15 | brancard 1:15 | 59:3 60:5 63:4 | 64:23 |
| 112:17 117:13 | 9:13 11:22 | 63:20 66:13 | brief 111:14 |
| 118:11,11 | 14:12,19 17:3 | 68:16 69:15,18 | 120:14 |
| 120:16,18 | 17:6,13,19 | 71:12,20,25 | briefly 50:10 |
| 121:7,13,16 | 18:2,7,11,17,24 | 72:17 76:1,23 | 53:19 55:10 |
| 122:12 124:10 | 19:10,13,16,19 | 77:25 78:16,20 | 95:20 117:5,6 |
| 125:7,18,21 | 20:4,9,13,18,21 | 78:22 83:9,12 | broader 121:11 |
| blm's 15:13 | 20:23 21:8,13 | 84:17,22 85:2 | brought 116:21 |
| 51:25 66:16 | 21:17,22 22:1 | 85:9,14,17,25 | bruce 4:19 7:16 |
| 121:10 122:3 | 22:5,8,21,24 | 86:3,7,11,14,17 | 22:6,6 30:20 |
| 122:10 | 23:2,13,16 | 86:21 87:17,20 | 30:20 31:1,2 |
| block 52:6,9 | 24:6,18 25:6 | 88:1,6,9 89:18 | bryan 14:16 |
| boat 28:23 | 25:12,15,23 | 90:21,23 91:3 | 16:4 60:8 |
| bodies 90:3 | 26:2,6,10,14,19 | 93:25 94:5,14 | 62:20 87:3 |
| bollenbach | 27:1,7,15,21 | 95:5,19 96:15 | 88:4,15 |
| 9:19 10:13 | 28:1,4,8,11,14 | 96:19,23 97:8 | bta 10:17,20 |
| bone 12:14,15 | 28:19,24 29:10 | 97:14 100:25 | 39:25 40:2,5,7 |
| 15:18,19,19,20 | 29:17,19,24 | 101:19 102:7 | 40:14 41:1 |
| 15:20,21,21 | 30:3,12,22 | 102:11,14,24 | building 111:2 |
| 42:23 50:19 | 31:11,20 32:4 | 103:3 105:5,11 | built 67:25 |
| 55:18 | 32:14,23 33:2 | 105:18 106:21 | 68:1 |
| boo 43:3 | 33:9,13,21 | 106:25 107:25 | bump 25:25 |
| booked 25:7 | 35:19,23 36:10 | 109:5,12,23 | bunch 24:2 |
|  | 36:23 37:1,6 | 110:17,24 |  |

[bureau - channeling]

| $\begin{array}{lc} \text { bureau } & 90: 14 \\ \text { business } & 88: 25 \\ \text { buttons } & 89: 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 237: 9,20 \\ & 8: 10,249: 12 \\ & 10: 8,24 \quad 11: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 4,7,1841: 4 \\ & 41: 11,12,15 \\ & 42: 6,8,21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 2,956: 6 \\ & 65: 9,2583: 17 \\ & 83: 1884: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c | 13:23 20:3 | 44:19,20 45:9 | 86:22 103:9 |
| c $2: 11,203: 11$ | 80:9 115:9 | 48:1,8,18 | 106:11 108:12 |
|  | 117:10 | 56:25 80:7,9 | 108:12 121:14 |
| 5:13 6:5,22 7:4 | calling 46:22 | 86:5 93:6 | 124:14,22 |
| 7:9,19 8:4,9,20 | 79:22 81:6 | 94:24 96:13 | caveat 25:3 |
| 8:24 9:6,11,19 | calls 124:7 | 97:7,23 103:8 | ccr 1:22 127:7 |
| 10:3,8,13 11:4 | camera 52:21 | 107:17 110:15 | 127:20 |
| 11:20 12:3,9 | 52:23 | 114:25 119:7 | central 107:14 |
| 12:12 13:13,22 | capacity 53:14 | 122:7,11 | century 118 |
| 15:22,23,23,24 | captioned | 124:13,16 | certain 35:6 |
| 17:1 34:15 | 127:8 | 126:5,14 | 50:5 83:5 |
| 38:18 40:22 | career 89:12 | 127:15,17 | certainly 85:23 |
| 41:4 46:11 | carlsbad 39:8 | cases 5:14 9:21 | 115:17 116:18 |
| 85:20,20,20 | case 3:11 4:2,2 | 10:14 16:7 | 120:21 |
| 86:1,1,1 92:18 | 4:22 5:19,20 | 17:16,20,20 | certificate |
| 93:19 | 6:6,6,23,23 7:9 | 18:21 19:1,21 | 127:5 |
| c. 1 12:13 | 7:13,20 8:10 | 20:3,6 21:18 | certification |
| c. 2 12:13 46:12 | 8:24 9:12,14 | 22:8 23:4,17 | 89:2 |
| c. 46:16 | 10:8,24 11:21 | 23:24 24:2 | certified 7:24 |
| c.2. $46: 17$ | 12:19,22,24 | 26:11,20 28:4 | 15:24 31:8 |
| c. 3 12:14 | 13:2,4,7,9,12 | 28:25 29:20,21 | 39:3 40:25 |
| c. 4 12:14 | 13:23 15:11 | 29:25 30:4,5 | 41:2 89:1 |
| c. 5 12:15 | 20:9,14,20,25 | 30:16 31:16,19 | 127:20 |
| c. 6 12:15 | 21:4,5,15 23:3 | 31:21 32:2 | certify 127:7,13 |
| call 17:19 | 27:6 28:9 29:6 | 33:8,13,20,22 | cetera 85:4 |
| 23:18 41:14 | 29:7 30:19,23 | 33:25 34:2,4,9 | chance 119:19 |
| 45:17 50:12 | 30:24 31:12,14 | 34:11 35:1,10 | 126:13 |
| 52:12 87:15,16 | 32:22 33:7 | 35:13,18 37:7 | change 25:5 |
| 91:10 94:11 | 34:5,6 35:25 | 37:16,19,21,25 | 36:21 49:12 |
| 109:21 110:22 | 36:8,20 37:9 | 37:25 38:13,16 | changed 24:23 |
| called 1:4 3:11 | 38:4,6,7,15,19 | 39:8,13,18,21 | channeling |
| 4:2,22 5:14 6:6 | 39:5,10,19,25 | 48:7 51:16 | 68:13 |

[chapter - communitization]

| chapter 90:9 | client 59:1 | 59:16,25 60:15 | colleague 38:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| characterize | 115:25 116:3 | 60:24 62:24 | collectively |
| 76:2 | 116:17 | 66:21,23 67:2 | 50:18 |
| charge 65:21 | client's 24:4 | 67:10,13,14 | colorado 89:13 |
| chart 40:24 | clients 89:4 | 68:5,6 69:5,22 | column 79:20 |
| chat 15:23 | 123:17 | 70:9 71:6 73:9 | 80:12 82:12 |
| check 32:10 | close 24:22 | 74:2,25 76:18 | com 40:16 43:3 |
| 36:18 86:8 | 46:9 59:8 | 77:6,7,13,19,21 | 50:18 63:12 |
| 93:24 | 73:18 | 79:12 81:18 | come 60:18 |
| checked 107:10 | closer 45:21 | 82:19 83:10,18 | 95:11 107:8 |
| checklist 11:25 | closing 14:3,4 | 86:23 91:15,17 | 108:21 120:15 |
| 12:7 40:19 | 119:17,20 | 92:1,4 94:20 | 125:14 |
| 43:7,9 | 120:3 | 95:10 98:5,10 | comes 117:20 |
| chronology | clue 116:19 | 98:21 99:3,11 | 124:24 |
| 15:10 | code 40:9 90:7 | 104:18 105:17 | coming 94:3 |
| cited 121:23 | 124:7 | 105:20 107:19 | comm 76:8 |
| 122:7 124:6 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o g }}$ 5:9 11:17 | 109:1,2 110:18 | 107:9 |
| city $82: 9$ | 23:13,15 24:3 | 114:20 118:15 | commence 7:2 |
| claim 51:17 | 24:6,7 25:12 | 118:25 119:9 | comments |
| 115:12 | 25:15,24 42:2 | 119:22 120:9 | 19:19 30:13 |
| claimed 51:6 | 42:4,16,17 | 121:6,21 122:5 | commerce |
| 66:23 105:24 | 47:16,17 | 123:3 125:20 | 53:22 |
| claiming 82:19 | colgata 15:12 | 126:5 | common 65:14 |
| 115:15 | colgate 2:24 | colgate's 16:11 | 121:18 |
| claims 51:2,12 | 12:18,21,23 | 50:15,25 51:14 | commonly 76:6 |
| 120:19 122:8 | 13:1,3,6,8,11 | 51:16 52:10 | communicated |
| clarification | 13:14 14:8 | 55:8 56:13 | 68:19 |
| 45:7,20 64:6 | 15:2 16:7 | 70:12,16,20 | communicating |
| 76:5 111:18 | 18:12,15 48:10 | 86:4 90:25 | 65:18 |
| clarify $43: 17$ | 48:12 49:20,23 | 91:2 92:19 | communication |
| 64:13 92:12 | 50:7,21 51:18 | 95:2 105:7 | 80:24 |
| clear 32:10 | 51:20 52:3 | 106:11,13 | communicati.. |
| 66:9 76:16 | 53:15,25 54:22 | 114:11 120:9 | 61:19 |
| 84:9 85:4 | 55:10,15,18,25 | 121:7 122:15 | communitiza. |
| 93:11 115:21 | 56:7,17,21 | 122:16 | 15:9 50:23 |

[communitization - controls]

| 51:10 55:13 | 5:3,18 6:11 | conferencing | contents 10:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59:21,22 60:21 | 7:14 8:2,14 | 1:16 | contested 5:15 |
| 62:23 63:9 | 10:18 11:2,25 | confirm 25:18 | 23:25 24:10,14 |
| 64:9 65:13,22 | 12:7 40:18 | 25:21 | 24:20,21,22 |
| 66:1 74:5 75:1 | 43:6,9 72:16 | confirmation | 25:3 |
| 75:9 76:6 79:1 | computer 85:8 | 65:16 120:17 | contingent |
| 79:3,7 83:21 | 86:18 | conflicts 24:12 | 121:10 |
| 84:3 117:13 | concerned | 25:15 | continuance |
| 118:13 120:17 | 68:22 | confused 35:25 | 20:24 26:24 |
| 120:19 | concerns 30:13 | confusion 62:8 | 29:2 31:24 |
| communitiza... | 47:12 | conservation | 32:2 33:3 |
| 89:9,9 101:13 | concha 53:24 | 1:3,4,15 17:6 | 47:25 |
| companies | conclude 86:4 | 90:5 | continuances |
| 53:23 | concluded | consider 62:16 | 22:11 |
| company 1:23 | 126:18 | 63:12 100:20 | continue 8:1,12 |
| 2:4 7:13,15,23 | concludes | 120:22 | 22:14 27:11 |
| 8:2,5,14,16 9:2 | 78:14 | considered | 30:18 |
| 9:4,7,24 10:1,4 | conclusion 59:1 | 106:17 | continued 3:12 |
| 15:2 17:23 | 63:1 | considering 1:5 | 4:23 6:6 8:10 |
| 18:1,6 30:19 | concocophilli... | consisted 88:22 | 8:25 11:23 |
| 31:16 32:19 | 11:19 | consistent | 27:10 30:6,24 |
| 33:16 65:3 | condition 122:4 | 84:11 | 31:6 32:6,16 |
| 82:10 88:24 | conductivity | consolidate | 33:14 44:20 |
| complete 29:7 | 20:2 | 119:24 | 48:2 58:6 59:6 |
| 74:4 109:19 | conference 2:3 | contact 15:10 | 92:7 |
| completes | 5:1,17 6:7 11:1 | 61:4,11,19 | continuing |
| 96:21 | 19:8,20,22 | 73:19 75:7,8 | 22:16 |
| complex 77:25 | 20:6 21:3 | 75:19 | contract 53:23 |
| compliance | 22:12,13,16,18 | contacts 12:10 | contracted |
| 87:8 | 22:22 24:8,23 | contain 109:15 | 127:14 |
| complied 66:21 | 25:5 26:7 27:2 | contains 34:9 | contracts 114:3 |
| comprised | 27:4,11,12,18 | 40:18 | control 71:2 |
| 40:10 | 27:19,24 28:5 | content 117:19 | 123:1 127:12 |
| compulsory 2:4 | conferences | contention | controls 122:10 |
| 2:6,9 3:15 4:6 | 17:17 | 116:25 |  |

[convenience - d]

| convenience | corporation | counsel 84:23 | 117:24 118:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107:7 | 2:6,16 3:7 6:1 | 118:5 120:4 | covers 108:11 |
| conversations | 11:13 18:8,10 | counterpart | 108:13 116:9 |
| 61:17 63:21 | 18:21,23 26:15 | 112:17 | create 45:19,23 |
| convert 27:24 | 26:18 28:20,23 | county $2: 5,8,10$ | credentials |
| convey 122:1 | 41:19,21 | 3:15 4:6 5:3,20 | 14:10 43:12 |
| conveyance | correct 27:2 | 6:11 7:3,14 8:3 | critical 49:15 |
| 16:8 67:12 | 29:4 30:7,8 | 8:14 9:3,5,25 | cross 12:15 |
| 68:4,6,10,20 | 31:24 33:4,5 | 10:2,18 11:3 | 14:12,12,18,19 |
| 69:5,10 81:15 | 36:2 45:19 | 12:20,22,25 | 14:19 15:16,17 |
| 82:21 90:13 | 47:20 55:4 | 13:2,5,7,10,12 | 15:18,18,21,21 |
| 93:7 98:8,10 | 64:13 66:25 | 40:14 43:1 | 71:9,11 72:3 |
| 98:12,15,17 | 67:172:10 | 50:20 52:1 | 78:21 97:15,20 |
| 99:3,22 100:8 | 73:8,11,14,18 | 69:677:10 | 101:3 103:2 |
| 100:9 102:19 | 73:24 74:13 | 79:17 81:24 | cure 6:9 7:1,12 |
| 103:21 111:23 | 79:4,5,8,23,24 | 98:5,8,11,24 | 31:7 44:22 |
| 112:11,22 | 80:19,22 82:1 | 99:22 100:8,16 | curious 101:9 |
| 116:16 121:11 | 82:15,17,20 | 100:19 104:10 | current 37:11 |
| 122:5,8 | 84:25 85:11 | 104:15 109:17 | 39:8 65:4 |
| conveyances | 98:5 99:6 | 111:21 112:7 | 79:21 90:17 |
| 51:19 121:14 | 100:2,21 | 112:15 121:22 | currently 41:1 |
| 121:21,24,25 | 103:20 104:1,7 | 122:1,6 127:3 | 77:2 92:8 |
| 122:1,4,10 | 104:9,16 | couple 36:7 | 113:9 |
| coordinator | 105:15 113:7 | 83:11 110:22 | customers |
| 79:10 | 114:9,15 | course 47:23 | 80:10 |
| coppell 88:17 | 127:11 | 82:3 121:13 | cut 110:5 |
| copy 34:10,20 | correlative | court 1:23 86:9 | 119:18 |
| 34:21 35:7,11 | 52:10 70:12,18 | 90:5,6 95:10 | cutting 120:1 |
| 38:12,15,21 | 70:21 120:23 | 122:8,12 | d |
| 39:2,6 40:24 | 120:25 121:3 | 127:17,20 | d 7:22 9:20 |
| 55:4 90:16 | 122:17 | courts 90:3 | $10: 13 \text { 12:4,6 }$ |
| 104:19 126:5 | costs 58:17 | cover 114:10 | 15:1,10 16:1,1 |
| 126:10 | coterra 8:20 | covering | 16:2 17:1 |
| corner 57:13 | 32:23 33:1,6 | 108:10,22 | $34: 20,21,23$ |
|  | 33:11 | 113:18,19,20 | 38:21 67:10,17 |
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[d - difference]

| $68: 371: 6,6,6$ | dealt $107: 9$ | definition | derived $109: 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $81: 1484: 16,16$ | $119: 11$ | $92: 22$ | describe $49: 21$ |
| $84: 16$ | deana $2: 16$ | definitions | $95: 20$ |
| dana $2: 125: 10$ | $3: 184: 155: 6$ | $92: 17$ | description |
| $5: 2213: 15$ | $6: 111: 518: 9$ | definitive $66: 10$ | $9: 1710: 11$ |
| $15: 2217: 24$ | $20: 1122: 4$ | $76: 14,17$ | $61: 6$ |
| $23: 1426: 12$ | $23: 1026: 17$ | $125: 13$ | designated |
| $48: 11$ | $41: 1645: 15$ | degree $53: 22$ | $15: 14$ |
| darin $2: 20,23$ | $46: 25$ | delaware $6: 17$ | designed $106: 7$ |
| $8: 20,23$ | deana.bennett | $11: 2,5,928: 15$ | detail 96:1,18 |
| darrin $18: 4$ | $2: 193: 204: 17$ | $41: 15,2242: 10$ | detailed $62: 8$ |
| $32: 25$ | $5: 86: 411: 8$ | $47: 13$ | determination |
| date $19: 924: 5$ | december | delay $59: 7$ | $60: 3$ |
| $24: 14,2225: 17$ | $15: 1356: 2$ | delayed $121: 17$ | determine |
| $27: 631: 632: 3$ | $64: 574: 18$ | $122: 3$ | $119: 14$ |
| $32: 7,1047: 25$ | $88: 24100: 11$ | delivered $44: 14$ | develop $55: 18$ |
| $81: 22,23$ | decide $49: 17$ | denial $122: 14$ | $56: 2271: 17$ |
| dated $16: 10$ | decided $49: 1$ | denied $13: 24$ | developent |
| $74: 1781: 21$ | $95: 6118: 6$ | $49: 1398: 16,21$ | $15: 14$ |
| $82: 16100: 11$ | decision $49: 12$ | $99: 7115: 22$ | development |
| dates $25: 10$ | $110: 2119: 6$ | $122: 12,16$ | $2: 715: 16$ |
| day $30: 931: 6$ | declaration | $123: 16,23$ | $46: 1450: 13$ |
| $49: 1564: 5$ | $12: 8,1243: 5$ | denoted $82: 12$ | $52: 4,655: 23$ |
| $86: 19$ | $43: 10,13,16,21$ | department $1: 2$ | $56: 166: 19,20$ |
| days $73: 17$ | $43: 2444: 12,23$ | $1: 1773: 18$ | $67: 4,574: 1$ |
| $125: 3$ | $44: 25,2545: 2$ | depend $125: 16$ | $104: 21106: 14$ |
| de $3: 111: 18$ | dedicate $40: 15$ | depends $100: 5$ | devon $122: 6$ |
| deal $50: 1,3,6$ | dedicated $43: 2$ | $100: 6123: 4$ | $126: 5$ |
| $51: 877: 24$ | $43: 450: 21$ | deposition | dhardy $2: 15$ |
| $84: 18106: 1$ | deeper $95: 4$ | $93: 23$ | $5: 12,2513: 17$ |
| dealing $29: 3$ | defines $57: 20$ | deprived $73: 25$ | diagram $46: 12$ |
| $49: 2451: 4$ | defining $45: 18$ | $94: 25$ | dialogue $59: 19$ |
| $72: 7106: 5,23$ | definitely $25: 21$ | dept $15: 11$ | difference |
| deals $105: 24$ | $123: 18$ | depths $46: 5,10$ | $46: 10,14$ |
|  |  | $46: 14$ |  |

[different - easy]

| different 35:25 | dismissal 21:6 | 82:21,24 85:9 | drilled 58:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46:5,8 66:8 | 21:12 122:14 | 100:11,14 | 123:7 |
| 74:1 93:22 | dismissed 4:2 | 101:23 103:5,6 | drilling 7:2 |
| direct 14:11,18 | 21:5,15 126:1 | 103:10,15,24 | 15:13 43:22,25 |
| 50:6 53:9 | disposition | 104:7 115:25 | 44:2 66:17 |
| 61:10 88:12 | 127:17 | 116:3,4,16,17 | 70:17 73:7 |
| 92:16 96:21 | district 90:5,6 | 117:4,17,21 | 74:1 121:17 |
| directed 61:18 | division 1:3,4 | 118:5,14,18 | drive 4:8 13:20 |
| 74:24 | 1:15 17:6 | 123:23 | due 51:12 |
| directing 91:9 | 24:25 25:4 | documentation | 94:25 |
| direction 71:1 | 29:8 33:18 | 62:9 64:1 | duly 88:5 |
| directly 61:19 | 38:16 40:18 | documents | e |
| 64:23 | 42:22 43:11 | 51:7 64:23 | e $2: 11,113: 11$ |
| discovery | 45:1,5,11 | 67:6 73:3 97:5 | e |
| 116:11 | 47:23 51:3 | 99:11 104:5,17 | 5:4,4,13 6:5,22 |
| discretionary | 53:17 54:2 | 105:12 115:19 | 4,4 |
| 75:22 | 77:23 87:2,11 | 115:23 118:1,2 | 7:22 8:4,4,9,24 |
| discuss 66:18 | 90:5 94:24 | 118:3 | 9:6,6,11,20 |
| 112:13 | 95:15 106:4 | dog 45:3,3 | 10:3,3,8,14 |
| discussed 19:9 | 107:16 112:20 | 86:18,19 | 11:4,4,20 12:4 |
| 87:20 | 116:21 120:22 | doing 115:14 | 12:4,4,6,6 |
| discusses 66:19 | 121:11,15 | 118:6,10 126:3 | 13:13,13,22 |
| discussing | 123:4,24,25 | double 36:18 | 15:1,1 17:1,1 |
| 99:10 | 124:8 125:14 | doyle 13:18 | 38:23 |
| discussion | 125:19 | 48:13,15 57:6 | earlier 18: |
| 86:10 | division's 21:6 | 65:5 74:10 | 92:18 121:16 |
| discussions | 35:5 50:16 | 89:15,17 | 126:13 |
| 21:11 23:22,22 | 121:9 | drill 44:2 51:18 | earthstone 6:10 |
| 24:9,13 61:8 | docket 23:25 | 66:20 67:7,8 | 6:13 28:9,13 |
| dismiss 21:4 | 24:11 25:19,20 | 68:7 73:10,13 | easily 102:13 |
| 49:6,6,14 50:2 | 33:7 44:20,21 | 74:2,4 87:7,12 | $\text { east } \quad 34: 238: 7$ |
| 51:18 87:14,24 | document | 114:7 121:6 | 40:14 43:1 |
| 119:9,12,14 | 54:22,24 65:4 | 123:7,7,8,10 | 50:20 |
| 121:5,23 | 66:4,6,18 78:2 | 124:5 125:1,2 | easy 82:11 91:1 |
| 122:15 126:15 | 78:7 81:15,18 | 125:3 | easy 82.11 91.1 |
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[echo - examiner]

| echo 48:21 | embarked | $\text { equally } \quad 124: 1$ | 84:24 87:2,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112:4 | 88:21 | equivalent | 115:12,13,16 |
| eddy $2: 5,8,10$ | employed | 40:12,13 93:12 | 117:8 118:23 |
| 3:15 5:20 7:14 | 53:14 127:13 | ernest 3:4 20:1 | 121:2 |
| 8:14 9:3,5,25 | encounter | error 115:13 | exact $84: 4,5$ |
| 10:2 11:3 43:1 | 44:13 | esq 1:15 2:12 | exactly 32:10 |
| education | energy $1: 2,17$ | 2:16,20,24 3:4 | 85:18 98:2 |
| 53:19 88:22 | 2:9 5:2,5 8:20 | 3:7,18,22 4:8 | 104:25 108:24 |
| effect 51:25 | 11:13 18:3,6 | 4:11,15,19 5:6 | examination |
| 59:10 112:15 | 23:9,12 25:2 | 5:10,22 6:1,14 | 14:11,12,12,13 |
| 113:14,17 | 32:23 33:1 | 6:18 7:5,16 8:5 | 14:13,14,18,18 |
| effective 81:22 | 41:19,21 42:13 | 8:16,20 9:7 | 14:19,19 53:9 |
| 81:23 | 47:15 53:22,24 | 10:4,20 11:5,9 | 71:9,11,17 |
| effectually | energy's 23:23 | 11:13,17 13:15 | 72:3 78:21 |
| 112:13 | enforced | 13:19 | 83:14 88:12 |
| effort 52:9 | 115:23 | essential 125:5 | 94:6 97:15,20 |
| either 25:8,10 | engendered | establish 93:16 | 100:17 101:3 |
| 27:3 29:18 | 125:8 | 97:6 106:18 | 103:2 107:22 |
| 42:15 44:14 | ensuring 73:20 | 123:14,21 | 108:2 111:8 |
| 60:16 77:14 | enter 116:24 | established | examine 96:1 |
| 81:5 82:16 | entered 18:21 | 124:18 | 100:16 |
| 105:8 | 20:18 116:25 | et 33:1 85:4 | examined |
| element 107:8 | 124:4 | eternal 19:12 | 89:11 93:5,16 |
| elements 123:9 | entire 125:8 | evaluate 110:6 | 93:21 99:18 |
| 125:5 | entitled 58:19 | evening 92:11 | examiner 1:15 |
| email 61:14 | 102:19 | event 102:4 | 1:16 4:4 9:1,13 |
| 64:4 65:16,19 | entity 92:23 | events 61:6 | 9:23 10:16 |
| 65:24 66:6,12 | entries 18:12 | everybody | 11:22,22 12:17 |
| 66:14 69:1 | 18:25 23:17 | 122:25 | 14:12,19,19 |
| 74:17,24 75:11 | 28:14 29:11 | everyone's | 17:3,7,8,10,13 |
| 125:17,22 | 41:18 91:11,12 | 122:19 | 17:19,24 18:2 |
| 126:11 | 91:13 | evidence 29:21 | 18:7,11,17,22 |
| emailcorresp... | $\operatorname{eog} 3: 34: 11$ | 49:17,18 50:8 | 18:24 19:5,10 |
| 15:12 | 18:17 21:22 | 54:13 63:17 | 19:13,16,19 |
|  | 22:19 | 69:16 76:22 | 20:1,4,9,11,13 |
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[examiner - exhibits]

| $20: 15,18,21,23$ | $48: 6,11,13,15$ | $109: 10,12,23$ | $38: 15,18,21,23$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $21: 1,8,10,13,17$ | $48: 17,2250: 10$ | $110: 16,21,24$ | $39: 640: 17,19$ |
| $21: 22,2322: 1$ | $52: 14,20,24$ | $112: 19,23$ | $40: 20,2246: 11$ |
| $22: 5,6,8,20,21$ | $53: 654: 1,4,6$ | $113: 3114: 17$ | $46: 1247: 22$ |
| $22: 2423: 2,13$ | $54: 1758: 1$ | $114: 18115: 2,4$ | $54: 2255: 4,7$ |
| $23: 1624: 6,7$ | $59: 360: 563: 4$ | $115: 5,21,24$ | $56: 10,2460: 20$ |
| $24: 17,1825: 6$ | $63: 2065: 20$ | $116: 2,15117: 5$ | $61: 3,2464: 3$ |
| $25: 11,14,15,23$ | $66: 4,6,11,13$ | $117: 6,16,22$ | $64: 12,16,18$ |
| $26: 2,6,10,12,14$ | $68: 11,1669: 15$ | $119: 1,2,5,6,24$ | $65: 8,1666: 15$ |
| $26: 19,2327: 1$ | $69: 1871: 4,7$ | $119: 25120: 6,8$ | $67: 10,1768: 3$ |
| $27: 7,15,21$ | $71: 12,20,23,25$ | $120: 12,13$ | $68: 2571: 15,17$ |
| $28: 1,4,8,11,14$ | $72: 17,2176: 1$ | $122: 22,24$ | $71: 2174: 14$ |
| $28: 16,19,24$ | $76: 2377: 25$ | $123: 19125: 11$ | $75: 12,1476: 2$ |
| $29: 10,17,19,24$ | $78: 12,16,18,20$ | $125: 23126: 2$ | $79: 6,7,9,20$ |
| $30: 3,12,20,22$ | $78: 2283: 9,11$ | $126: 10$ | $80: 3,2381: 14$ |
| $31: 2,4,11,17,20$ | $83: 1284: 17,25$ | examiner's | $82: 585: 3,6$ |
| $31: 2532: 4,8$ | $85: 2,9,11,14,17$ | $107: 22$ | $90: 1591: 2,4$ |
| $32: 11,14,23,24$ | $85: 2586: 3,6$ | example $60: 21$ | $92: 9,1895: 24$ |
| $32: 2533: 2,5,9$ | $86: 14,17,21$ | excellent | $99: 11102: 19$ |
| $33: 13,17,24$ | $87: 1,16,17,19$ | $120: 13$ | $103: 4104: 17$ |
| $35: 16,19,21,21$ | $87: 20,2588: 1$ | except $57: 13$ | $105: 7,16,23$ |
| $35: 2336: 10,19$ | $88: 6,9,20$ | excepted | $106: 1,10,13$ |
| $36: 2337: 1,6$ | $89: 1890: 23$ | $127: 14$ | $108: 4,6,7$ |
| $37: 13,14,17,20$ | $91: 3,1092: 16$ | excerpts $103: 5$ | $109: 6111: 22$ |
| $37: 2439: 11,14$ | $93: 2594: 5,8$ | $103: 6$ | $112: 12,21$ |
| $39: 16,17,23,24$ | $94: 13,1495: 5$ | excluded $83: 6$ | exhibited $68: 24$ |
| $40: 341: 6,8,9$ | $95: 1996: 19,23$ | excuse $34: 20$ | exhibits $9: 16$ |
| $41: 14,18,22,24$ | $97: 4,8,14$ | executed $52: 1$ | $10: 1011: 24$ |
| $42: 2,3,5,11,12$ | $100: 24,25$ | $68: 4$ | $12: 1,2,315: 2$ |
| $42: 16,1843: 19$ | $101: 2,4,17,19$ | execution | $16: 330: 15$ |
| $45: 12,14,24$ | $102: 1,7,11,14$ | $12: 14$ | $31: 3,9,1334: 9$ |
| $46: 3,16,17,20$ | $102: 24103: 3$ | exhibit $31: 7$ | $35: 1736: 16$ |
| $46: 22,2447: 2$ | $105: 5,11,18,22$ | $34: 8,10,13,15$ | $37: 838: 11$ |
| $47: 9,14,15,16$ | $106: 21,22,25$ | $34: 20,21,23$ | $39: 12,2040: 20$ |
| $47: 18,2148: 1$ | $107: 25109: 4,5$ | $35: 3,1338: 12$ | $40: 2141: 3,11$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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[exhibits - feldewert]

| 43:8,14,15 | expected 51:23 | f's 27:21 | 26:22,24 28:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44:11 45:6,8,8 | 121:8 | fact 34:18 | 32:3,12,13,17 |
| 45:10,15,16,25 | expedite 54:9 | 68:20 73:5 | 33:9,14 44:21 |
| 48:2 49:8 | experience | 76:12,18 77:4 | 47:25 48:2 |
| 51:22 56:3 | 53:20 65:11 | facts 90:1 | 81:25 104:20 |
| 62:20 70:25 | 84:12 88:22 | factually 121:5 | fed 43:3 50:18 |
| 71:6,13,23 | expert 54:2,18 | failed 107:23 | 124:17 |
| 72:173:4 | expires 127:21 | 116:24 | federal 34:4 |
| 78:24 81:13 | explain 51:21 | fair 100:20 | 38:3 57:15,18 |
| 82:3,5 84:16 | 52:3 62:6 | 115:12 | 57:21 58:2 |
| 84:18,20 85:12 | 72:11 | fallen 114:4 | 62:21 64:11 |
| 85:15,17,19,20 | explaining | familiar 82:21 | 70:6 76:19 |
| 86:190:24 | 34:11 | 90:7,12 | 77:15,17 79:17 |
| 91:1 95:21 | explains 34:16 | far 66:9 73:25 | 82:8 83:1 87:3 |
| 96:17,25 97:2 | 43:22 | 125:1 | 89:5,8,9,10,11 |
| 97:2,10,11,12 | explanation | favor 72:18 | 90:5,13 92:14 |
| 97:16 100:15 | 45:7 75:6 | fe $2: 14,223: 1,5$ | 93:11 96:4,11 |
| 102:16,25 | 76:14 113:8 | 3:23 4:13,20 | 96:14 98:6 |
| 105:1,21,23 | expressed | 5:11,24 6:15 | 99:5 100:17 |
| 106:7 107:21 | 25:12 125:12 | 6:19 7:7,17 8:7 | 101:13,23 |
| 107:23 108:1 | extend 34:14 | 8:18,22 9:9 | 105:9 106:23 |
| 109:15,25 | extended | 10:6,22 11:11 | 106:24 107:6 |
| 110:3,7,12 | 121:16 | 11:18 13:16,20 | 107:14 111:19 |
| 119:3 | extension 7:2 | 17:25 18:5 | 122:8 124:16 |
| exist 69:13 | 9:18 10:12 | 20:16 21:24 | 125:1 |
| 94:23 99:17 | 34:3,12,17 | 28:17 31:18 | fee $89: 6,10$ |
| 113:9 | 38:1,5,14,20 | 32:21 33:18 | 101:14 |
| existence 108:9 | 39:9 | 37:19 41:25 | feedback 43:18 |
| 108:16 124:1 | extensive 62:9 | 93:17 | 47:6 48:9 |
| existing 16:9 | f | fear 117:25 | 88:11 |
| 34:3 38:22 | 5:18,22 | february 3:12 | feel 61:21 |
| exists 116:19 | $26: 13,21,25$ | 6:6 8:10,25 | feet 45:22 46:7 |
| 117:18 | 27:4,23 35:13 | 11:23 19:9,20 | 46:7 |
| expect 67:21 | 35:17 39:6,12 | 19:22 20:7 | feldewert 4:11 |
| 69:4,8 | 35.17 39.6,12 | 24:8,14 25:7 | 6:18 11:9 |

[feldewert - gallegos]

| $21: 23,2422: 19$ | filings $35: 8$ | five $57: 11$ | frame $101: 9$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $28: 16,1729: 15$ | fill $118: 16$ | folks $43: 19$ | frames $35: 6$ |
| $41: 24,2542: 10$ | final $2: 177: 17$ | $47: 6$ | franklin $5: 2,5$ |
| $47: 13$ | $90: 10102: 5$ | follow $75: 23$ | $23: 9,11,19,23$ |
| figure $118: 2$ | $119: 17,20$ | following $35: 3$ | $25: 2$ |
| file $32: 177: 7$ | $127: 16$ | $35: 7,11$ | franklin's |
| $77: 1978: 7$ | finalizing $19: 6$ | follows $79: 6$ | $24: 20$ |
| $85: 9103: 10,15$ | finally $40: 22$ | $88: 5$ | friend $74: 21,23$ |
| $104: 5,25$ | $125: 7$ | force $16: 736: 4$ | front $32: 9$ |
| $114: 24$ | find $81: 1192: 1$ | $116: 23123: 1$ | $54: 2164: 24$ |
| filed $26: 24$ | $93: 796: 1$ | foregoing | $74: 15$ |
| $31: 2434: 8,11$ | $102: 11,15$ | $127: 11$ | fruitful $24: 9$ |
| $36: 2038: 11,12$ | $105: 2109: 24$ | form $76: 21$ | full $29: 753: 11$ |
| $49: 5,5,1552: 1$ | findings $96: 10$ | $77: 5,698: 6$ | fully $55: 18$ |
| $59: 1664: 23$ | fine $19: 15$ | $99: 5$ | fundamental |
| $68: 469: 2,6$ | $22: 1927: 23$ | formal $21: 6$ | $116: 22$ |
| $73: 674: 776: 7$ | $28: 354: 14$ | $61: 1562: 3$ | fundamentals |
| $77: 281: 24$ | $58: 291: 4$ | formation $40: 9$ | $116: 12$ |
| $91: 11,1592: 19$ | $113: 2120: 6$ | formations | furnish $102: 12$ |
| $98: 5,8,10,24$ | finished $110: 15$ | $55: 1970: 10$ | further $14: 14$ |
| $99: 22100: 8$ | firm $13: 19$ | $114: 11$ | $84: 14,19,21$ |
| $104: 15112: 14$ | $124: 3$ | forth $107: 11,15$ | $104: 17114: 16$ |
| $112: 17119: 9$ | first $15: 19$ | $127: 10$ | $118: 25127: 13$ |
| $122: 1,5126: 12$ | $17: 2020: 3$ | forward $42: 8$ |  |
| files $78: 10$ | $24: 1125: 20$ | $49: 1,19107: 8$ | g $3: 228: 5,16$ |
| $89: 1190: 16$ | $34: 535: 24$ | $117: 20125: 16$ | $9: 710: 417: 1$ |
| $92: 6,893: 6,7$ | $38: 442: 751: 2$ | $125: 20$ | fallegos $13: 19$ |
| $93: 17,2196: 5$ | $52: 1367: 19$ | found $94: 8$ | $13: 1914: 2,4$ |
| $96: 12,1499: 18$ | $79: 1980: 6$ | $96: 11102: 13$ | $14: 12,14,18$ |
| $100: 17104: 8$ | $82: 983: 24$ | $104: 4,12$ | $48: 14,15,22$ |
| $104: 12109: 17$ | $86: 887: 16$ | foundation | $54: 5,1557: 23$ |
| $118: 17$ | $93: 2296: 20$ | $107: 23124: 3$ | $58: 2563: 1,15$ |
| filing $44: 8$ | $97: 15104: 2$ | four $38: 5$ | $66: 468: 11$ |
| $66: 2077: 9$ | $111: 13120: 9$ | fourth $1: 24$ | $69: 12,1671: 7$ |
|  | $123: 13124: 13$ | $80: 12$ | $71: 12,15,23$ |
|  |  |  |  |

[gallegos - handwritten]

| 72:4,17,21 | gene 48:15 | 49:22,24 51:21 | grounds 107:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75:3,5 78:1,3 | general 61:7 | 63:16 66:5 | guadalupe 3:23 |
| 78:12 83:16 | generally 58:24 | 68:12 72:17 | 4:12 6:19 8:6 |
| 84:21,25 86:2 | geologist 12:2,5 | 73:20 85:18 | 8:17 9:8 10:5 |
| 86:17,19,24 | 14:15 50:13 | 86:25 93:18 | 11:10 |
| 87:1,19,25 | 54:9,12 | 96:19 114:23 | guess 22:15 |
| 88:6,8,10,13 | geologist's | 114:24 116:7 | 23:3 27:10,18 |
| 90:21,25 91:5 | 40:21 | 118:1,4 | 37:10 45:14 |
| 94:5,14,18 | geology 40:21 | golden 4:9 | 54:12 63:4 |
| 95:14,23 96:9 | 44:24 45:6 | good 17:3,10 | 75:14 112:3 |
| 96:15,21 97:1 | 54:7 84:24 | 17:24 18:4,9 | 114:2 126:4 |
| 97:9,11 100:24 | getting 24:22 | 18:14,22 20:11 | guidelines |
| 101:20,24,25 | 47:6 88:10 | 20:15 21:20,23 | 66:16 |
| 102:1,10,12,21 | give 53:2 58:3 | 22:3 23:10,14 | gummed 69:3 |
| 105:2,3,6,15 | 59:4 71:10 | 26:16 27:8 | gun 12:13 |
| 106:21,22 | 86:24 108:15 | 28:12,16 31:17 | 15:16 46:13 |
| 107:4 108:3 | 110:2 111:14 | 31:25 32:20,24 | h |
| 109:3,9,12 | 118:15 119:19 | 33:17,24 35:21 | h 7:22 12:6 |
| 110:14,16,25 | 125:3 | 36:15 37:17 | $15: 1$ |
| 111:17,25 | given 24:8 $37: 4$ | 41:16,20,24 | hale 12:5,12 |
| 113:3 114:18 | 69:9 110:3 | 46:9 48:11,14 | hale $12.5,12$ $45: 1,4$ |
| 115:14,15,24 | gives 64:22 | 101:5 | half 34:1 38:6,6 |
| 116:1,4,18 | go $25: 2258: 12$ | gotten 81:19 | 38:9,9 40:11 |
| 117:16 120:2,4 | 64:15 72:1 | government | 40:13,13 42:24 |
| 122:22,24 | 74:14 78:23 | 70:7 83:1 | 42:25 43:22 |
| 126:6,7,8,17 | 91:1,23 94:7 | 124:20 | 44:1 91:10 |
| gallegoslawfi... | 95:3,25 102:17 | graduated | 113:23 |
| 13:21 | 116:11,13 | 53:21 | hand 52:25 |
| garcia 31:4 | 120:9 125:16 | granted 120:23 | 88:3 |
| gas 11:2,5 | 125:19 | 122:17 124:21 | handle 60:15 |
| 41:15,17 52:11 | goes 87:13 | granting 70:16 | handwriting |
| 90:9,13 93:17 | 123:19 | 70:20 120:24 | 104:4,6 |
| 96:4,11,14 | going 22:13 | great 24:2 | handwritten |
| 122:9 124:16 | 23:18 25:5,8 | 72:19 95:25 | 109:15 |
| 126:6,8 | 42:8 47:19 |  |  |

[handy - hearsay]

| handy 90:22 | 107:1 109:10 | 95:24 96:5,12 | heard 32:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hang 106:25 | 109:14 110:19 | 96:17 97:2 | 68:16 116:12 |
| hanna 9:19 | 110:21 111:4,7 | 102:19,23 | 121:14,15,25 |
| 10:13 | 111:9,20 112:2 | 103:21 104:20 | 125:9 |
| hanson 3:8 | 112:19 113:2 | 105:25 106:8 | hearing 1:14,15 |
| 11:14 | 114:16 115:4,6 | 106:15,18,19 | 4:4 5:15 6:7 |
| happy 102:12 | 117:5,7 118:25 | 109:2 111:22 | 9:1,23 10:16 |
| hard 17:11 | 119:23 120:10 | 111:23 112:6,9 | 12:17 15:4 |
| 111:3 | 120:12,14 | 112:11,21,22 | 17:6,11 18:22 |
| hardy 2:12 | 126:16 | 119:10 121:1 | 19:3,21 22:24 |
| 5:10,22 13:15 | harmed 69:23 | hartman's | 23:19,25 24:10 |
| 14:1,3,11,13,13 | 70:4 | 51:17 52:5 | 24:14,20,21,22 |
| 14:18 15:22 | hart 3:22 4:12 | 57:9 58:22 | 25:3,9,24 26:7 |
| 17:24,25 19:5 | 6:18 8:6,17 9:8 | 59:15 65:5 | 26:21 27:13 |
| 19:12,24 23:14 | 10:5 11:10 | 70:21 104:5,8 | 28:5 29:1,2 |
| 23:14 24:4,7 | 20:17 21:25 | 104:12 109:17 | 30:5,15,24 |
| 25:14,17 26:1 | 28:18 31:18 | 120:19 121:4 | 31:13,23 32:3 |
| 26:5,8,12,12,23 | 32:21 33:19 | hartmans 49:2 | 32:6,24 33:23 |
| 27:3,23 28:3,7 | 37:19 42:1 | 49:24 51:24 | 37:8,23 39:20 |
| 48:11,12 50:4 | hartman 13:18 | 61:12,18 68:24 | 40:5 41:2,11 |
| 50:10 52:16 | 13:18 14:16 | 73:2 83:23 | 42:7 48:14,20 |
| 53:7,8,10 54:1 | 15:10 16:3,5,8 | 84:20 92:18 | 48:22,25 49:4 |
| 54:14,20 60:7 | 16:8 48:13,16 | 94:16 97:6 | 49:20,25 51:15 |
| 63:6,25 68:15 | 50:25 51:6,12 | 100:8 104:22 | 52:18 56:6 |
| 71:474:22 | 57:7,18 59:12 | 106:4 110:9,12 | 64:5,6 72:13 |
| 75:3,24 76:21 | 59:22,25 60:9 | 111:15 118:16 | 74:6,8,11,12 |
| 77:22 83:11,13 | 60:14,23 61:8 | 118:17 122:7 | 78:22 83:17,21 |
| 83:15 84:14 | 61:10,22 62:2 | 122:15 125:9 | 83:24 95:6 |
| 85:3,5,11,16,18 | 62:13,15 66:2 | head 29:14 | 109:24 118:7 |
| 86:6,14,16 | 66:23 72:23,24 | hear 54:8 89:16 | 125:12 |
| 93:25 94:3,13 | 74:10 77:20 | 89:20 95:15 | hearings 1:4 |
| 94:19 97:4,17 | 83:18 84:23 | 97:17,18 | 17:5 25:7 |
| 97:19,21 | 85:23 89:15,17 | 111:10 113:5,7 | hearsay 57:25 |
| 100:22 101:6 | 89:24 93:8 | 114:14 | 63:19,19 68:12 |
| 105:19,22 | 94:25 95:16,18 |  |  |

[heart - initial]

| heart 94:23 | 21:24 28:17 | identifies 35:1 | including 49:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| held 92:4 122:9 | 31:18 32:21 | 36:8 | inclusion 45:22 |
| 122:12 | 33:19 37:19 | identify 54:24 | inconsistent |
| help 101:19 | 41:25 | 55:7 56:3,10 | 95:15 |
| 107:22 | hollandhart.c... | 56:24 57:2 | incorporated |
| helpful 48:23 | 4:14 8:8,19 | 60:20 61:3,24 | 33:1 |
| 68:17 73:22 | 9:10 10:7 | 64:3 66:15 | incorrect 51:7 |
| 112:19 119:18 | 11:12 | 67:9,17 68:3 | 51:24 95:11 |
| 119:23 120:7 | hollandhart.c... | 82:11 100:3 | 99:2 121:6 |
| helping 107:2 | 3:24 6:20 | identifying | index 2:19:16 |
| helps 107:16 | home 45:2 | 35:14 38:13 | 10:10 11:24 |
| high 61:4 | honestly 67:23 | 39:8 | 14:7 |
| highlighted | honor 58:25 | ilsand 15:13 | indicate 91:14 |
| 103:17 | 105:15 120:5 | imminently | 91:19 |
| hinkle 2:13 | hope 19:12 | 51:23 121:9 | indicated 80:15 |
| 5:10,23 6:14 | 24:19 85:8 | impact 58:22 | 110:17 |
| 7:6 10:21 | hopeful 27:5 | 59:1 | indication 69:9 |
| 13:15 17:25 | hopefully 24:15 | impacting 95:8 | 104:15 108:15 |
| 23:15 26:12 | hoping 125:15 | impacts 59:4 | indications |
| 40:1 48:12 | horizontal 2:7 | impair 70:21 | 110:4 |
| hinklelawfir... | 40:10 42:23 | imperative | individual |
| 2:15 5:12,25 | hosted 1:16 | 114:20 | 59:19 113:20 |
| 6:16 7:8 10:23 | hour 75:12 | importance | information |
| 13:17 | house 53:22 | 87:6 110:7 | 9:14 27:9 |
| historical 64:23 | 78:8 | important | 47:24 49:11 |
| hold 56:21 | huh 36:25 | 66:10 124:24 | 62:13,16 63:22 |
| 77:10 112:16 | hundreds | inaudible 69:18 | 75:1,8 76:17 |
| 114:23 | 62:13 89:4 | include 43:8 | 79:14,15,16,17 |
| holders 65:5 | 103:7 | 89:10 92:14 | 91:12 102:25 |
| holding 92:23 | hvp 59:8 | included 44:3 | 121:1 |
| holiday 32:1 | i | 44:24 55:22 | informed 21:3 |
| holland 3:22 |  | 113:24 | 44:7 |
| 4:12 6:18 8:6 | idea 104:3 | includes 40:23 | initial 59:16 |
| 8:17 9:8 10:5 | identified 44:5 | 45:2 58:7 81:5 | 61:11 83:17 |
| 11:10 20:17 | 44:17 |  |  |

[inquired - jamesbruc]

| inquired 101:7 | 106:19 110:9 | interpret 66:5 | issued 38:3,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inquiry 9:13 | 111:15,21 | interruption | 72:16 92:24 |
| 11:22,22 96:8 | 115:7,11 | 48:21 | 121:13 |
| 112:4 | 117:13 120:16 | introduce | issues 25:1 30:6 |
| instances 16:5 | 120:20 121:2 | 115:12 | 44:13 50:1 |
| insurance 56:8 | 121:11,20 | introduced | 54:7,19 87:22 |
| intended 59:20 | 122:2,5,9 | 115:13 | 87:24 90:2 |
| 83:20 97:6 | 123:6,14 124:9 | invalid 51:20 | 106:6 107:18 |
| interest 16:8 | 124:25 125:12 | 115:2 | 119:14,24 |
| 36:6,12,14,21 | 127:16 | involve 33:25 | 120:15 |
| 36:24 37:2,3 | interested | involved | item 2:2 3:13 |
| 40:25 49:3 | 15:23,23 26:19 | 101:23 | 7:12 10:16 |
| 50:16,18,22 | 30:4,13,23 | involves 38:6,9 | 11:1 20:9 28:8 |
| 51:2,8,9 52:7 | 31:12,21 33:21 | irregular 40:12 | 30:18 39:24 |
| 52:10 56:14,18 | 37:7,21 40:24 | 40:13 | 41:14 |
| 56:19,20,21 | 41:10 42:5 | island 43:25 | items 1:5 2:1,3 |
| 57:10,12,14,18 | 85:21 124:1 | 44:3 | 4:4 5:1,17 6:9 |
| 58:4,7,11,14,22 | interests 12:10 | islands 66:20 | 7:1 8:1,12 9:1 |
| 59:15 60:1,13 | 12:19,21,24 | 67:7,8 | 9:23 12:17 |
| 60:15,17,19 | 13:1,4,6,9,11 | issuance | 21:18 23:2 |
| 61:13 62:4,6 | 15:8,9 34:25 | 121:17 | 26:10 29:24 |
| 64:8 70:3,23 | 36:11 37:11 | issue 19:22 | 31:15 37:15 |
| 72:9,11,15,24 | 40:8 42:22 | 21:13 22:25 | 48:7 109:21 |
| 72:25 73:1,11 | 50:16 51:4,20 | 48:24 49:2,15 | j |
| 76:19 77:1,11 | 55:16 59:11 | 60:3,6 67:21 | j.e. 13:19 |
| 78:7 79:17 | 65:9 70:23 | 68:7 85:1 92:6 | jackie 30:1 |
| 80:12,18,21 | 92:15 94:17 | 93:6 96:13 | Jackie $40: 1$ |
| 81:19 82:19 | 95:7 99:14,17 | 97:7 103:8 | jaclyn 6:14 7:5 |
| 83:23 87:11 | 100:1,2 112:13 | 105:25 106:2,9 | $10: 20 \quad 28: 12$ |
| 93:13 94:10,22 | 112:24 116:9 | 108:11,12 | jalapeno 3:7 |
| 95:2,13,18 | 121:24 | 110:10 114:11 | $18: 20,2328: 20$ |
| 97:7 98:4 | interior 15:11 | 117:14 118:20 | 28:22 29:12,18 |
| 99:12,23 100:3 | 124:19 | 121:19 124:8 | james 4:19 7:16 |
| 100:9 105:25 | internet 102:15 | 124:24 125:24 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { james } \\ \text { jamesbruc } & 4: 20\end{array}$ |
| 106:4,5,8,15,17 |  |  | 7:18 |

Page 23
[january - leading]

| january 1:13 | 89:16,18,20 | kept 78:9 | 88:25 89:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:16 17:4 29:2 | 90:7 91:6 | kind 25:7 61:5 | 90:2,14 108:10 |
| 30:6,25 31:3 | 92:13 93:15 | 62:3 64:22 | 125:23 |
| 92:8,20 127:7 | 94:6 95:17,20 | 110:5 119:18 | land's 114:4 |
| jeg 13:21 | 95:25 96:9,17 | 123:3 | landman 9:19 |
| jewitt 82:14 | 96:22 97:9,17 | know 20:2 25:1 | 10:13 12:1,5,8 |
| jim 22:6 30:20 | 97:22 98:4,7 | 25:4,18 26:2 | 14:9 34:16 |
| jmclean 6:16 | 101:5,21,21 | 28:2,20 46:9 | 38:19 50:12 |
| 7:8 10:23 | 102:17,21,24 | 50:4,4,11 54:8 | 52:13 53:15,23 |
| joa 60:17 72:15 | 103:4 105:24 | 62:15 63:20 | 59:4 87:2 |
| 113:16 | 107:21 108:4 | 68:9 74:23 | 88:18,24 89:2 |
| joas 51:6,9 | 111:11 113:5 | 75:20 76:10 | lands 61:13 |
| 63:12 77:24 | 114:10 115:8 | 83:5 87:21 | 101:13,14 |
| 106:6 113:20 | 117:9,16 | 91:4 99:13 | 108:11,13 |
| job 110:2 126:3 | 121:25 | 101:10 102:13 | 113:17,19,20 |
| jobediah 11:17 | jordan 65:20 | 104:5 107:2 | 114:11 |
| joby 42:4 | 74:18,20,24 | 109:18,25 | language 98:12 |
| joby.rittenho... | 75:10 | 112:1 118:15 | larger 45:19,23 |
| 11:19 | june 15:10 | 119:16,18 | late 29:3 30:9 |
| john 14:15 | 55:17 56:6,16 | 121:15 122:25 | 31:6,24 49:5 |
| 15:15 50:13 | 61:11,16,16,25 | 125:15 126:4 | lateral 44:3 |
| joint 77:20 | 68:23,23 72:13 | known 118:7 | law 13:19 90:3 |
| 83:7 87:6 | 74:9 | knows 114:24 | 99:21 117:11 |
| 108:9,16,22 | jurisdiction | 1 | 121:22 122:9 |
| 110:10 113:6,9 | 87:13 114:25 | l 3:4labeled 102:18lack $70: 1$107:13 121:4lacking 125:5land $36: 3,15$40:19,20 43:643:10,13 44:2345:8 53:2454:3,19 55:20$70: 1078: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lay } 107: 22 \\ & \text { lazy } 126: 4 \\ & \text { lea } 4: 65: 36: 11 \\ & 7: 38: 310: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 113:11,18,24 | 123:19 |  |  |
| 113:25 114:6,9 | jurisdictional |  |  |
| 114:21,23 | 124:3 |  |  |
| 117:8,11,18 | justification |  | 12:20,22,25 |
| 118:8,12,20 | 38:14 |  | 13:2,5,7,10,12 |
| 123:15 | k |  | 40:14 50:20 |
| jones 14:16 | keep 22:17 |  | 98:11,24 |
| 16:4 60:8 | 24:21 25:3 |  | 100:16 |
| 62:20 87:3 | 48:23 59:18 |  | leading 61:6 |
| 88:2,4,15,16 | 87:21 114:24 |  |  |

[lease - ma'am]

| lease 12:9 16:5 | legal 51:24 | limited 87:21 | look 46:5 65:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58:7,9 87:3,4 | 65:20 117:14 | lines 65:1 | 92:21 93:5 |
| 89:11 90:9,13 | 121:4 | list 44:9,18 | 99:11 100:19 |
| 90:17 91:21 | legally 121:5 | 47:19 80:9 | 102:14,16 |
| 92:6,14,24,25 | length 44:3 | 85:3 91:23 | 108:18 |
| 93:6,7,17,19,21 | leonard 1:15 | 99:11,14 100:1 | looking 20:5 |
| 96:4,11,14 | 17:7 | 100:2 | 25:7 78:25 |
| 99:18 100:4,5 | lessee 36:21 | listed 73:3 95:7 | 79:19 80:6 |
| 100:17 105:16 | 37:4 79:21 | listings 36:1 | 81:13 94:16 |
| 107:6 113:24 | 80:1,2,16,20 | lists 9:20 10:13 | 102:18 103:15 |
| 124:20 | 82:9 92:13,24 | little 35:25 | looks 34:23 |
| leased 36:5 | 94:10,11 | 88:10 95:14 | lose 69:25 70:5 |
| leases 16:6 52:8 | 106:16 | 109:25 | 70:7,9 |
| 56:12 57:11,15 | lessee's 58:6 | live $88: 16$ | lot 58:11 59:19 |
| 57:19 58:23 | lessees 64:11 | Ilc 2:9 3:15 4:6 | 114:3,3,4,5,5 |
| 59:6,10 64:11 | 75:17 81:5,5 | 4:7,15 5:2 6:11 | lots 40:11,12 |
| 64:20 76:19,19 | 91:24 125:18 | 6:13 7:2,5 | lowe 1:15 11:22 |
| 77:15 82:5,8 | letter 12:11 | 10:18 11:2 | 14:19 17:7,9 |
| 82:15,18,25 | 15:10,23 16:11 | 12:18,21,23 | 17:10 35:20,21 |
| 83:4 89:6,6,10 | 39:3 40:23 | 13:1,3,6,8,11 | 39:14,16 41:7 |
| 89:12 90:18 | 61:15,25 62:2 | 20:10,12 22:4 | 41:8 45:13,14 |
| 93:4,18 94:7,8 | 62:10 68:23,24 | 53:15 65:2 | 45:21,24 46:3 |
| 96:2,6,13 | 68:25 104:18 | llp 2:13 5:10,23 | 46:24 47:1 |
| 99:12,20 103:8 | 104:19 106:13 | 6:14 7:6 10:21 | 78:17,18 101:1 |
| 103:18 105:4 | letters 35:8 | 13:15 | 101:2,4,17 |
| 106:23,24 | 39:4 44:15 | located 66:17 | 119:3,5 |
| 107:14 108:13 | 47:4 61:12 | location 68:1 | lunchtime |
| 113:12,13,15 | 62:3 | locations 46:9 | 119:25 |
| 121:7 125:1 | level 61:4 | locator 12:13 | m |
| leasing 102:3 | license 127:21 | 15:15,16,18 | m 2:16 3:7,18 |
| leave 113:1 | lieu 64:8 84:2 | long 27:24 52:4 | $4: 155: 66: 1,14$ |
| left 44:16 71:8 | 120:18 | 55:25 91:3 | 7:5 10:20 11:5 |
| 79:20 82:12 | light 61:17 | 103:7 | 11:13 |
| 111:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { likely } 24: 15 \\ & 84: 4 \end{aligned}$ | longer 61:19 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { ma'am } & 57: 16 \\ 62: 11 & 65: 17 \end{array}$ |

[ma'am - mexico]

| $66: 3113: 7$ | mailing $36: 1$ | mary $1: 22$ | mclean $6: 14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| macfarlane | 39:4 $44: 17$ | $127: 6,20$ | $7: 510: 20$ |
| $1: 22127: 6,20$ | main $79: 10$ | massive $52: 11$ | $28: 11,12,12$ |
| macha $14: 9$ | maintained | matador $2: 20$ | $29: 4,2330: 1,7$ |
| $15: 3,1050: 12$ | $89: 2$ | $8: 2,5,13,169: 2$ | $30: 840: 1,1,6$ |
| $51: 2152: 3,13$ | make $43: 14,15$ | $9: 4,7,2410: 1,4$ | md $46: 6$ |
| $53: 4,11,13$ | $43: 19103: 14$ | $18: 619: 13$ | mdrodriguez |
| $54: 2,18,21$ | $123: 12$ | $31: 1632: 19$ | $4: 10$ |
| $57: 2458: 1$ | maker $110: 2$ | $33: 16,2334: 2$ | mean $57: 17$ |
| $60: 2063: 2,5,7$ | makes $114: 19$ | $34: 4,1337: 16$ | $59: 368: 17$ |
| $63: 2064: 3,12$ | making $19: 6$ | $37: 2338: 1,2,4$ | $93: 13105: 8$ |
| $66: 7,11,15$ | $24: 10120: 5$ | $38: 8,1639: 1$ | $113: 11119: 8$ |
| $67: 968: 16,19$ | management | matador's | means $66: 8$ |
| $69: 2271: 5$ | $90: 14$ | $34: 1538: 18$ | $81: 592: 23$ |
| $72: 573: 16,21$ | map $12: 9,13,14$ | matched $36: 16$ | $125: 16$ |
| $76: 9,1877: 19$ | $12: 15,1515: 15$ | material $93: 19$ | meeting $46: 23$ |
| $78: 5,15,23$ | maps $15: 16,17$ | materials $24: 25$ | mention $36: 4$ |
| $82: 2283: 16$ | $15: 18,19,19,20$ | $46: 13$ | $108: 19$ |
| $84: 1592: 17$ | $15: 20$ | matt $18: 23$ | mentioned $62: 1$ |
| $95: 15107: 10$ | marathon $3: 14$ | $28: 2241: 20$ | $96: 2110: 19$ |
| $109: 21110: 22$ | $3: 174: 15$ | matter $1: 431: 3$ | merit $51: 15$ |
| $111: 2,3,5,6,10$ | $20: 10,1221: 2$ | $31: 6,1043: 12$ | $121: 4$ |
| $111: 23112: 25$ | $21: 3,1022: 1,4$ | $51: 2475: 22$ | mewbourne |
| $113: 5114: 9$ | march $4: 23$ | $87: 14117: 11$ | $2: 4,127: 13,15$ |
| $115: 9,18$ | $5: 1522: 14,22$ | $127: 8$ | $7: 2317: 23$ |
| $117: 11,20,22$ | $24: 1225: 8,13$ | matters $1: 14$ | $18: 119: 3$ |
| macha's $63: 19$ | $25: 15,17,19,24$ | $54: 361: 22$ | $30: 19,21$ |
| $94: 21$ | $26: 744: 21$ | matthew $3: 7$ | mexico $1: 1,15$ |
| machine $48: 23$ | margaret $13: 18$ | $11: 13$ | $1: 17,22,242: 5$ |
| made $62: 4$ | $48: 13,1674: 10$ | mbeck $3: 10$ | $2: 8,103: 15,23$ |
| $110: 3,5$ | marked $54: 22$ | $11: 16$ | $4: 6,135: 3,20$ |
| mail $15: 24$ | $67: 1090: 15$ | mcclain $30: 1$ | $6: 11,197: 3,14$ |
| $40: 2541: 2$ | marlene $32: 15$ | mckenzie $2: 21$ | $8: 3,7,14,189: 3$ |
| mailed $44: 18$ | martin $15: 15$ | $8: 21$ | $9: 5,9,2510: 2,6$ |
|  |  |  | $10: 1811: 3,11$ |
|  |  |  |  |

[mexico - needing]

| 12:20,22,25 | misleading | motion 8:1,12 | 110:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:2,5,7,10,12 | 75:25 | 13:24 20:24 | muted 43:20 |
| 17:5 43:1 52:1 | mississippi | 22:14 26:24 | 48:23 75:3 |
| 59:20 65:23 | 89:6 | 31:24 32:1 | 89:18,21 |
| 70:6 89:13 | misstates 76:22 | 33:3 49:5,6,7 | 101:24,25 |
| 90:4 97:23 | mistaken 95:10 | 49:10,12,14,18 | 113:4 126:7 |
| 99:21 101:7,11 | modrall 2:17 | 50:2 51:17 | n |
| 101:14 121:22 | 3:18 4:16 5:6 | 87:14,24 92:19 | n 2:11 3:11, 11 |
| 122:2,9 127:1 | 6:2 11:6 23:11 | 119:9,12,12,14 | 3:16 4:1,1,21 |
| 127:6 | 26:17 41:17 | 121:5,23 122:7 | 4:21 5:4,13,13 |
| mfeldewert | modrall.com | 122:15 126:15 | 6:5,5,22,22 7:4 |
| 4:14 6:20 | 2:19 3:20 4:17 | motions 22:10 | 7:9,9,19,19,22 |
| 11:12 | 5:8 6:4 11:8 | 49:5 | 8:4,9,9,24,24 |
| michael 4:8,11 | moment 34:23 | mountain 5:2,5 | 9:6,11,11 10:3 |
| 6:18 11:9 12:5 | 78:13 | 23:9,11, 20, 23 | 10:8,8 11:4,20 |
| 12:12 21:20,24 | monday $32: 1$ | 25:2 | 11:20 12:4,4,6 |
| 28:17 41:25 | montana 89:7 | move 31:9 | 13:13,22,22 |
| 44:25 | 89:13 | 35:16 39:12 | 15:1 17:1 |
| michael's 13:20 | montand.com | 49:1 71:5 | name 35:15 |
| mind 76:9 | 3:2 | 84:15 | 53:11 57:9 |
| mine 78:11 | montgomery | moved 22:12 | 65:5 75:15 |
| 94:7 | 2:25 18:15 | 33:7 | 88:14 |
| mineral 36:5 | months 69:2 | moving 17:17 | natural 1:2,17 |
| 36:12 37:1 | morning 17:3 | 71:7 | necessary $54: 8$ |
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| 15:8 19:23 | 3:6 | owns 57:12,14 | 4:1,21 5:13 6:5 |
| 21:14 34:3,5,5 | outstanding | 57:18 60:1 | 6:22 7:9,19,23 |
| 34:6,7,22,23 | 99:19 | 93:8 96:5,12 | 8:9,24 9:11,14 |
| 38:2,5,8 40:7 | overlaid 114:3 | оxy 3:21 $20: 16$ | 10:8,24 11:20 |
| 42:21 50:17 | overriding | 21:9,10 68:5 | 12:4,7 13:22 |
| 51:11 62:23 | 99:14,19 100:1 | 69:5 77:5,7,14 | 14:8 15:12 |
| 63:8,13 64:7 | overview 61:7 | 91:17 92:3 | 36:11 37:11 |
| 65:12,25 72:16 | own 51:2 57:21 | 98:4,10,21 | 44:6,8 46:12 |
| 73:12 76:4 | 60:14 73:11 | 99:3 | 46:18,19,20 |
| 114:21 124:4 | 88:11 90:16 | oxy's 76:19 | 64:19,22,25 |
| 124:19 | 91:20 | 77:1 | 65:4,5 67:18 |
| ordered 22:25 | owner 36:14,24 | p | 79:19 80:6 |
| 115:3 | 59:9,12 60:24 | p 2:11,113:16 | 82:9 91:7,10 |
| orders $9: 19$ $10: 1234 \cdot 9$ | 63:8 65:12 79.22 87:23 | 3:16 5:4,4 7:4 | 91:22,23 92:17 92.2299 .25 |
| 10:12 34:9,13 | 79:22 87:23 | 7:4 8:4,4 9:6,6 | 92:22 99:25 |
| 38:2,13,15,22 | 99:12 106:17 | 10:3,3 11:4,4 | 104:2 |
| 39:1 49:25 | 123:5,6 | 13:13,13 17:1 | pages 46:19 |
| 50:18 56:5,7 | owner's 64:8 | p.m. 92:11 | 62:13 65:3 |
| 64:10 71:22 | owners 36:5,12 | p.o 2:17 3:19 | 99:9 100:3 |
| 75:17 76:13,15 | 37:2 49:3 50:1 | $4: 165: 7 \text { 6:2 }$ | 103:7,7 105:4 |
| 81:5 84:2 | 55:12 57:3,6 | 11:6 | 105:14 127:11 |
| 87:23 120:18 | 58:16 59:19 | p.o. $2: 133: 4,9$ | paginated |
| 125:18 | 64:25 65:2 | $5: 11,236: 15$ | 46:18 |
|  | 70:3 72:15 | 7:6 10:21 |  |

[paragraph - plats]

| paragraph | $\text { parts } 117: 24$ | $\text { penn } 40: 8$ | perspective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 36: 943: 23 \\ \text { park } 4: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { party } 93: 8 \\ & 114: 22 \quad 116: 10 \end{aligned}$ | pennsylvanian 40:9 | 57:17 84:8 <br> pertained |
| part 43:24 | 123:10 124:18 | people 120:1 | 103:8 |
| 57:21 65:2 | paseo 3:111:18 | peralta 3:1 | pertaining 75:8 |
| 68:23 78:9 | pass 124:9 | 11:18 | 78:6 101:10 |
| 79:7 87:7 | passed 30:10 | percent 52:7,8 | pertains 58:13 |
| 102:5 104:24 | passes 124:9 | 56:17,20 57:12 | 77:9,11 |
| 110:6 112:14 | past 59:6 | percentage | peter 12:5,8 |
| 113:18,19 | pasted 110:5 | 56:13 65:7 | 43:5,10 |
| participate | paties 15:23 | period 29:5,9 | petroleum 5:18 |
| 74:11 | paul 1:23 | 30:10 92:2 | 5:22 26:11,13 |
| particular 79:4 | paula 35:4 | 98:25 | 54:2,19 87:2 |
| 91:21 93:4 | 38:24 | permian 3:15 | 88:18,23 90:2 |
| 94:9 98:17,23 | pause 18:18 | 3:17 4:15,18 | phillips 88:23 |
| 103:19 125:23 | 19:2 22:9 | 20:10,12 22:2 | phone 46:23 |
| parties 12:10 | 26:20 31:12,22 | 22:4,5 | 61:14 |
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| 24:24 35:1,9 | 40:5 41:11 | permits 113:15 | phrase 79:21 |
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| 91:19 116:23 | pdf 46:18,20 | 74:23 | plantation |
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| 119:17 123:9 | peifer 3:8 11:14 | 30:4,23 31:12 | 112:11,21 |
| 123:13,22 | peiferlaw.com | 31:21 33:22 | plat 15:8 72:14 |
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| partners 53:24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { pending } 68: 20 \\ 71: 8121: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 1042: 6 \\ & 48: 18 \end{aligned}$ | plats 56:11,15 |

[play - previous]

| play $87: 22$ | $50: 15,2151: 5$ | $81: 484: 295: 9$ | $121: 19$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pleadings | $55: 12,1556: 7$ | $97: 22106: 11$ | prefer $22: 17$ |
| $102: 9$ | $56: 2257: 4$ | $115: 7,11$ | $24: 7,1125: 2$ |
| please $26: 2$ | $60: 18,2472: 8$ | $116: 23117: 12$ | $25: 1427: 19,20$ |
| $33: 1846: 23$ | $83: 2087: 23$ | $118: 7,21$ | $63: 22$ |
| $50: 453: 11,19$ | $107: 10123: 5$ | $120: 16,18,20$ | preference $21: 7$ |
| $54: 1955: 7,10$ | $123: 14,22$ | $120: 22121: 2,9$ | $24: 2127: 22$ |
| $56: 3,1060: 20$ | $124: 2$ | $121: 11,19,19$ | premature |
| 61:3,24 64:3 | pooled $12: 10$ | $122: 4123: 1$ | $24: 15$ |
| 66:15 67:9,17 | $15: 936: 444: 9$ | $125: 18$ | premise $114: 6$ |
| $68: 371: 10$ | $47: 1950: 18$ | portion $93: 1$ | premised $94: 21$ |
| $88: 9,14,20$ | $55: 1756: 17,24$ | $96: 7$ | prepared $71: 1$ |
| $96: 20107: 3$ | $57: 359: 16$ | position $24: 13$ | $79: 9,1287: 1$ |
| $109: 12115: 2$ | $70: 2472: 9,12$ | $50: 1195: 2$ | present $27: 6$ |
| $120: 13$ | $72: 1683: 23,25$ | positions | $50: 987: 5$ |
| plot $12: 13$ | $103: 7$ | $119: 21126: 3$ | $95: 17,17125: 9$ |
| plus $89: 25$ | pooling $2: 4,6,9$ | possession | presentation |
| point $4: 822: 13$ | $3: 154: 65: 3,18$ | $123: 16$ | $27: 4,24$ |
| $29: 9,1331: 8$ | $6: 117: 148: 2$ | possibility | presentations |
| $47: 1049: 20$ | $8: 149: 19$ | $25: 20$ | $126: 12$ |
| $59: 1063: 23$ | $10: 12,1811: 3$ | possible $6: 7$ | presented $31: 2$ |
| $72: 1673: 23$ | $11: 2512: 7$ | $26: 327: 12,14$ | $48: 362: 16$ |
| $74: 288: 25$ | $16: 734: 3$ | $27: 1528: 5$ | $118: 24$ |
| $94: 1796: 16$ | $36: 2238: 2$ | possibly $62: 8$ | presenting |
| $110: 15,18$ | $39: 1,940: 8,18$ | $73: 2101: 14$ | $49: 21$ |
| $113: 14,15,17$ | $42: 2243: 6,9$ | post $4: 197: 16$ | pretty $17: 17$ |
| $119: 21126: 7$ | $49: 250: 17$ | $44: 14,15,16$ | $45: 2549: 15$ |
| points $43: 14$ | $51: 1,7,8,11,14$ | postal $35: 12$ | $61: 1769: 3$ |
| $50: 5123: 11$ | $52: 656: 5$ | $47: 7$ | $76: 16$ |
| pony $36: 13$ | $58: 2159: 16$ | posted $17: 15$ | prevent $70: 17$ |
| $37: 4$ | $62: 2363: 8,13$ | potash $2: 7$ | $70: 17122: 18$ |
| pool $12: 19,21$ | $64: 1065: 9,12$ | $15: 1443: 25$ | prevents |
| $12: 2413: 1,4,6$ | $65: 2570: 22$ | $66: 17$ | $120: 23,24$ |
| $13: 9,1135: 2$ | $73: 375: 17$ | preclude $51: 1,6$ | previous $33: 7$ |
| $40: 841: 144: 6$ | $76: 4,13,15$ | $117: 12120: 21$ | $38: 21101: 7,10$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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| previously 36:4 | processing | properly 29:9 | provides 51:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36:20 43:11 | 66:16 | properties | 123:3 |
| 45:1,4 49:1 | produce 50:23 | 108:17,23 | provision 82:2 |
| 51:3 53:16 | 59:13 62:24 | property 99:23 | 82:25 |
| 89:14,17 101:6 | 69:23 70:9 | 111:21 121:25 | provisions |
| primary 59:7 | 115:20 117:21 | 122:2,10 | 66:25 |
| prior 37:25 | produced | proposal 12:11 | publication |
| 71:22 84:11 | 114:21 115:3 | 19:20 | 9:21 10:14 |
| 94:20 104:8 | 115:23 116:14 | propose 67:6,8 | 15:24 30:9 |
| 112:22 | producers | proposed 15:4 | 31:5 35:14 |
| privilege 61:9 | 10:17,20 39:25 | 45:21 55:8 | 39:7 |
| probably 37:2 | 40:2 | 67:7 90:23 | published 31:5 |
| 64:5 | producing 76:7 | 114:7 123:7 | 39:7 85:24 |
| problem 25:13 | production | prospects 54:7 | pudding 52:5 |
| 69:20 | 2:20 8:2,5,13 | protect 70:18 | pulled 67:18 |
| problems 20:2 | 8:16 9:2,4,7,24 | 122:17 | 104:8 |
| 25:24 | 10:1,4 18:6 | protects 120:23 | pullman |
| proceed 33:23 | 31:16 32:19 | 120:25 | 124:16 126:9 |
| 37:23 40:5 | 33:16 37:16 | proved 125:20 | purchasing |
| 42:19 53:7 | 70:1 114:20 | proves 95:11 | 60:17 |
| 54:19 61:20 | 116:11 | provide 61:7 | purported |
| 88:8 96:20 | profession | 62:9 67:3 | 60:13 70:23 |
| 107:3 120:13 | 88:21,25 | 77:21 86:25 | purpose 1:5 |
| proceeding | professional | 87:2 112:12 | 55:13 106:18 |
| 87:4 95:9 | 43:6,10 89:2 | 113:8 | pursuant 77:17 |
| 118:6 | professional's | provided 9:14 | put 52:21 89:8 |
| proceedings | 40:19 | 35:5,14 38:22 | putting 79:11 |
| 1:12 126:18 | progress 19:7 | 38:25 44:25 | q |
| 127:8,10 | 24:10 | 45:5 62:12 | qualifications |
| proceeds 58:19 | progressing | 66:24 67:2 | 72:5 |
| process 15:13 | 23:22 24:13 | 77:21 85:21 | qualified 59:2 |
| 51:12 52:5 | project 2:8 | 109:1,2 111:22 | 63:2 66:7 |
| 66:19 94:25 | projects 89:25 | 112:9 120:17 | quarter 40:11 |
| 122:11 | promptly 75:10 | 121:1 | 42:25 43:23 |
|  |  |  | 44:1 52:9,9 |

[quash - record]

| quash 92:19 | quickly 17:17 | 36:25 37:5,13 | rebuttal 14:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| question 22:15 | 24:17 64:15 | 37:17,18,24 | 50:5 83:9 |
| 27:8,9 47:4 | quite 17:16 | 39:23 | 110:18,20 |
| 63:4 64:20 | 23:23 75:6 | rather 63:23 | 111:8 112:21 |
| 68:15 69:12 | 107:18 108:24 | 66:11 77:25 | 115:9 |
| 72:19 73:21 | quote 124:17 | 83:21 95:25 | recall 74:7 |
| 75:15,21,24 | quoted 58:6 | reach 27:5 | receipt 40:25 |
| 76:1,10,22,23 | quoting 58:2 | 61:14,14 76:8 | receipts 15:24 |
| 77:22 78:1,4,6 | $\mathbf{r}$ | reached 21:2 | receive 51:13 |
| 82:18 84:9 | r 2:11 3:16 5:4 | 44:7 | 58:19 124:18 |
| 89:16 94:15 | $7: 4 \text { 8:4 9:2,4,6 }$ | read 66:6,11 | received 41:1 |
| 98:7,7,19 | 9:24 10:1,3 | 76:16 105:6 | 63:21 84:9 |
| 101:2,22 | 11:4 12:19,20 | reading 124:15 | 85:23 89:1 |
| 107:21,25 | 12:22,22,24,25 | reads 75:16 | recent 51:17 |
| 109:6 110:9 | 13:2,2,4,5,7,7,9 | 90:8 | recently 44:7 |
| 125:13 | 13:10,12,12,13 | ready 120:10 | 53:25 93:23 |
| questioned | 15:4,5,5,6,6,7,7 | 120:12 | recess 86:13 |
| 97:12 | 15:8 17:1 34:6 | real 99:23 | recognize 54:2 |
| questioning | 34:7 38:5,8 | 111:21 121:25 | 112:7 113:16 |
| 72:2 97:9 | raise $52: 25$ | 122:1,10 | recognized |
| 101:20 | 88:2 | really 20:24 | 51:3 121:22 |
| questions 35:20 | raised 50:1 | 24:16 49:16 | recognizing |
| 35:22,24 39:11 | $87: 24108: 8$ | 68:12 75:20 | 24:1 |
| 39:15,16 41:7 | $110 \cdot 11119 \cdot 15$ | 76:24 94:16 | reconsideration |
| 45:11,13 46:24 | range 34:1 38:7 | 106:23 107:5 | 13:24 49:7,10 |
| 47:11 71:5 | $40: 1443: 1$ | reapplication | record 15:8 |
| 78:14,17,18 | 50:20 | 125:8,10 | 21:9 29:21 |
| 81:17 83:10,17 | rankin 3:22 8:5 | reason 43:24 | 30:16 31:14 |
| 84:14 96:19 | 8:16 9:7 10:4 | 49:24 51:15,16 | 35:17 37:9 |
| 100:22 101:1 | $20 \cdot 15,16,20,22$ | 106:19 109:19 | 39:21 41:4,12 |
| 101:17 107:6 | $21: 1031: 17,18$ | 115:10,16 | 43:12 45:10 |
| 108:8 109:4 | $31: 2532: 7,8$ | 116:8,15 124:9 | 48:4 49:3,17 |
| 110:23 114:17 | 32:13,20,20 | reasons 51:1 | 49:25 50:16,22 |
| quick 69:4 | $33: 3,5,17,18,24$ | 125:25,25 | 51:8 52:7 |
| 101:2 | 35:24 36:7,17 |  | 53:12 55:12 |
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[record - reported]

| 56:8 57:3,6,9 | 100:8,16,19 | refused 115:20 | relating 75:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57:12,14,18 | 104:11,11,15 | refuses 116:10 | 85:4 |
| 58:3,10,12,16 | 109:18 111:21 | regard 50:4 | relationship |
| 58:22 59:9,12 | 121:22 122:1,6 | 69:4 92:1 93:3 | 80:13 |
| 59:15 60:12,24 | redact 65:2 | 96:7 101:12 | relevance |
| 62:21 63:8 | redacted 65:1 | regarding | 76:24 109:24 |
| 64:8,23 65:4,9 | 109:1 112:9 | 50:17 76:15 | 109:24 110:8 |
| 65:12 70:22 | redirect 14:13 | 87:3,5 90:2 | relevant 94:15 |
| 72:8 77:2,6,14 | 83:12,13,14 | 95:1,1,18 | 103:18 115:6 |
| 77:24 79:15,16 | 100:24 108:2 | 107:14,21 | 115:11 118:8 |
| 79:18,21,22 | redone 44:17 | 108:9 113:6 | 118:20 121:19 |
| 80:1,20 81:6 | ref 12:15 | regardless | 123:18 |
| 85:3,22 86:10 | reference 82:24 | 121:9 | reliable 109:18 |
| 86:22 87:23 | 83:2,4,6 | regional 15:15 | relinquish 58:8 |
| 91:16,20,24 | 108:25 109:7 | register 15:12 | rely 36:1 49:18 |
| 93:1,9,16 | 113:22 | 90:17 91:7,19 | remain 115:24 |
| 94:11,22 95:1 | referenced 67:6 | 99:9,25 100:3 | remaining |
| 95:3,7,8 96:2,5 | 73:3 108:25 | 105:4,14 | 67:22 84:15 |
| 96:12 103:1 | 114:10 116:16 | registers | remains 94:12 |
| 105:9 106:12 | references 67:4 | 105:10 107:12 | remember |
| 107:11 110:4 | referred 82:7 | registry 64:19 | 108:24 |
| 112:7,25 | 92:17 101:21 | regulations | remove 44:9 |
| 114:19 115:7 | 101:21 102:2 | 57:21 58:2 | rent 58:8 |
| 115:11 117:7 | 104:14 | 101:23 | rentals 59:7 |
| 117:12 118:7 | referring 72:23 | regulatory | repeat 94:19 |
| 118:21 120:16 | 72:24 73:1 | 73:18 | 96:10 |
| 120:20 121:2 | 80:18 111:18 | reiterate 45:14 | rephrase 68:15 |
| 125:14 | 111:20 126:6 | reject 119:12 | 98:19 119:21 |
| recordation | refers 82:3 | rejected 61:15 | replaced 36:13 |
| 15:11 80:7 | reflect 91:15 | relate 120:20 | replied 75:10 |
| records 52:1 | reflecting | related 40:20 | reply 75:15 |
| 69:677:17 | 38:24 39:7 | 40:21 84:24 | report 35:12 |
| 79:14 80:4 | reflects 96:5 | 127:14 | 127:9 |
| 81:9,24 98:5,9 | refuse 117:21 | relates 75:8 | reported 1:22 |
| 98:11 99:22 |  |  |  |

[reporter - royalties]

| reporter 48:21 | requirements | result 52:11 | 99:4 105:5,13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86:9 93:24 | 66:21 116:22 | 70:14 115:13 | 105:18 118:11 |
| 96:8 112:4 | requires 63:8 | 121:3 | 120:8 121:6 |
| 127:6,20 | 90:13 | revenue 69:25 | 123:7,8,10 |
| reporter's 1:12 | researched | 70:7 74:1 | 124:5 125:1,2 |
| 127:5 | 59:25 | revenues 70:5 | 125:3 |
| reporters 1:23 | reserves 59:13 | review 15:14 | rights 16:5 |
| reports 111:19 | resolve 61:22 | 78:13 92:7 | 51:12 52:11 |
| representatives | resolved 27:25 | reviewed 60:8 | 58:8,12,13 |
| 61:8,10 | resources 1:2 | 78:8 92:5,5 | 70:12,18,21 |
| representing | 1:17 3:3 4:11 | reviews 38:19 | 77:5,11,14 |
| 94:24 | 6:17 7:5 11:9 | revise 47:19 | 80:16,17 90:2 |
| request 23:23 | 18:17 21:22 | revised 47:22 | 91:17,20,24 |
| 24:23 26:22,25 | 28:15 29:25 | 47:24 | 92:22,23,25,25 |
| 33:6 34:11,17 | 41:23 53:24 | ridge $7: 1,5$ | 93:8,9,12 |
| 54:1 73:6 | 74:2 | 29:25 30:1 | 94:23 96:6,13 |
| 76:17 85:19 | respect 83:16 | rig 68:1 | 98:21 106:23 |
| 92:7 93:24 | 107:7 108:8 | right 18:2 | 107:6,13,14 |
| 98:15,20 | 111:13 122:3 | 19:13 21:13,17 | 115:22 120:23 |
| 114:20 | respond 24:16 | 22:21 27:21 | 120:25 121:3 |
| requested | 115:4 117:5 | 32:4 36:17 | 122:17 123:22 |
| 32:10 38:14,20 | responded | 45:25 46:1 | 124:2 |
| 47:10,23 64:7 | 61:13 64:9 | 47:3,8 48:6 | rittenhouse |
| requesting | response 61:15 | 49:10 51:18 | 11:17 42:3,4 |
| 55:11 65:15 | 89:20 92:18 | 52:15,20,25 | 42:17 47:17 |
| requests 62:5,6 | 101:22 114:13 | 59:13 64:25 | river 124:16 |
| require 62:22 | 117:10 121:23 | 65:22 68:1,7 | 126:6,8 |
| 73:12 | 122:7 | 71:25 72:11 | rock 4:5,7 |
| required 66:24 | responses | 73:10,13 74:16 | 21:19,21 |
| 76:6,8 125:6 | 49:18 | 80:14 81:11 | rodriguez 4:8 |
| 125:21 | responsible | 84:5,22 85:14 | 21:20,20 22:17 |
| requirement | 58:16 | 86:8,11,21 | 23:1 |
| 63:2 75:21 | rest 67:20 | 87:7,12 88:3 | route 25:22 |
| 120:21 125:7 | 73:15 | 88:11 89:19 | royalties 70:8 |
|  |  | 90:20 95:19 | 99:19 |

[royalty - sentence]

| royalty 59:9 | 17:25 18:5 | second 15:19 | seeking 34:2,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99:14 100:1 | 20:16 21:24 | 25:19 34:6 | 38:1,17 39:1 |
| rule 49:10 | 28:17 31:18 | 38:7 44:20 | 41:1 44:6 51:5 |
| 97:15 118:4 | 32:21 33:18 | 85:8 108:18 | 55:12,15 57:4 |
| ruled 77:23 | 37:19 41:25 | secretary 90:11 | 71:13 72:8 |
| 106:5 | 93:17 | 102:6 124:19 | 123:17 |
| rules 35:6 | savage 2:20 | section 12:15 | seeks 38:4,8 |
| 127:15 | 8:20 18:4,4 | 15:16,17,18,18 | 40:7 42:21 |
| ruling 71:10,19 | 19:15,25 32:24 | 15:21,21 34:1 | 50:15,21 56:7 |
| 118:19 119:13 | 32:25 33:12 | 38:6,9 40:12 | 56:22 60:24 |
| run 29:5,9 | save 96:16 | 40:13 42:25 | seemed 107:2 |
| runner 7:1,5 | saw 86:17 | 43:23 52:9 | seems 110:8 |
| 29:25 30:1 | 108:24 | 57:13 87:8 | 123:25 |
| S | saying 49:9 | 90:8 102:2,3 | seen $62: 19,20$ |
| s 2:11,12 3:11 | 66:12 103:18 | 113:23 123:2 | 81:8 84:1 |
| 3:16 4:1,21 5:4 | 117:3 | 124:6 | 117:17 122:25 |
| 5:10,13,22 6:5 | says 57:24 65:4 | sections 42:24 | self 9:20 10:14 |
| 6:22 7:4,9,19 | 66:9,9 76:3,13 | 50:19 55:20 | 15:3,15,22 |
| 7:22 8:4,9,24 | 80:12 81:4 | 56:20 57:11 | 34:16 35:3 |
| 9:6,11 10:3,8 | 82:25 83:5 | 70:10 108:13 | 38:23 54:25 |
| 11:4,20 12:4,4 | 92:22 102:4 | see 20:25 29:10 | 55:1,5 70:25 |
| 12:4,6 13:13 | 103:20 117:17 | 29:14 34:25 | 71:16 |
| 13:15,22 15:1 | 124:17 125:18 | 37:5 44:12 | semiretired |
| 15:22 17:1 | schedule 16:5,7 <br> 72:14 106:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 6 ~ 48: 4 \\ & 49: 1152: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 89:3 $\text { send } 62: 2126: 5$ |
| safe $81: 4$ | $\text { schill } 2: 21 \text { 8:21 }$ | $56: 1559: 10$ | $126: 10,11$ |
| salazar 32:12 | 18:5 | 64:24 65:5 | $\text { senior } 53: 15$ |
| sample 12:11 | schmidt $12: 5,8$ | 69:20 72:13 | sense 86:24 |
| 15:9,23 40:23 | $43: 5,10,16,21$ | 81:11 99:18 | $\text { sent } 35: 840: 23$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { santa } 2: 14,22 \\ 3: 1,5,234: 13 \end{array}$ | schmidt's | 107:10 108:19 | 60:23 61:1,12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 1,5,23 \text { 4:13 } \\ & 4: 205: 11,24 \end{aligned}$ | 44:11 | 115:19 118:14 | 61:15 64:4 |
| 6:15,19 7:7,17 | scope 49:4 95:6 | 118:19 119:4 | 65:16 104:19 |
| 8:7,18,22 9:9 | screens 48: | seeing 82:7 | sentence 66:12 |
| 10:6,22 11:11 | scrolling 74:16 | seek 55:18 | 75:16 76:3,12 |
| 11:18 13:16,20 |  | 60:16 76:14 |  |

[separate - speech]

| separate 58:14 | 23:15 26:13 | siloed 107:18 | sort 19:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61:12 123:6 | 40:1 48:12 | simple 66:6 | 22:12 59:2 |
| separately 57:2 | sharon 2:24 | 75:15 | 76:10 103:11 |
| september | 8:14 | simpler 78:4 | 119:20 120:4 |
| 50:17 81:21 | short 120:2,6 | simply 58:1 | sought 35:1 |
| serial 15:12 | shorten 54:6 | 76:13 94:7 | 76:18 |
| 64:19,22 90:17 | shorthand | single 84:7 | sound 93:24 |
| 91:7,19 99:9 | 127:10 | sir 17:10 29:16 | sounds 75:22 |
| 99:25 100:3 | show 72:7 | 79:2,5,8 80:11 | south 34:1,1 |
| 105:3,9,14 | 85:20 87:10 | 80:19,22 81:7 | 38:7,9 40:14 |
| 107:11 | 91:11,23 | 81:10,16,20 | 43:1 50:20 |
| series 117:23 | 103:12 106:8 | 82:6,11 | 113:22 |
| service 47:7 | 106:15 114:25 | sitting 56:17 | southeast 57:13 |
| 53:24 | 116:7 | situation 60:15 | 57:13 |
| services 82:10 | showed 100:18 | 114:19 123:4 | southwest |
| set 5:15 19:8,21 | showing 35:13 | skip 60:7 | 40:11 113:23 |
| 20:3,6 21:2 | shown 46:11,12 | slice 107:7 | spacing 2:7 |
| 23:24 24:10,11 | 91:7 92:2,9 | slim 109:25 | 5:19 38:13 |
| 25:8,23 28:5 | 93:9 105:9 | slow 85:8 | 40:10 42:24 |
| 33:7 107:15 | shows 16:8 | solely 49:2 | 43:2 45:19,23 |
| 127:10 | 40:25 46:14 | solemnly 53:1 | 51:2 56:14 |
| setting 26:6 | 67:19 75:12 | somebody | 113:21 |
| several 43:3 | 85:10 106:16 | 63:18 74:20 | speak 24:4 |
| 47:4 62:4 | sic 85:13 | soon 19:10 25:4 | 57:24 63:3 |
| 64:25 69:2 | side 17:11 | 26:2 | 126:13 |
| 121:15 | sign 59:22 63:9 | sorry 20:4 32:8 | speaking 88:7 |
| severed 93:1 | 65:12 114:5,6 | 34:22 43:18 | speaks 66:14 |
| 94:10 | signature 59:20 | 44:21 45:2,17 | specific 71:13 |
| shaheen 2:24 | 64:8 83:22 | 64:14 75:5 | specifically |
| 18:14,15 | 127:19 | 85:7 89:22 | 99:10 121:4 |
| shale 40:9 | signed 62:23 | 101:24 105:11 | speculation |
| shanor 2:13 | 66:1 72:15 | 107:3 111:17 | 57:25 63:19 |
| 5:10,23 6:14 | 84:2 120:18 | 114:14,15 | 68:18 |
| 7:610:21 | significance | 116:1 126:8 | speech 73:22 |
| 13:15 17:25 | 93:3 108:7 |  |  |

[spends - summary]

| spends 86:19 | 65:22 70:6 | stenographic | submitted 31:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sperling 2:17 | 75:16 87:3 | 127:9 | 31:7 40:17 |
| 3:18 4:16 5:6 | 88:14,20 89:6 | steps 76:4,14 | 43:8 50:5 60:9 |
| 6:2 11:6 23:11 | 89:9 90:6 | stop 95:16 | 67:14,16 74:5 |
| 26:17 41:17 | 98:13 101:13 | stratigraphic | 98:16,18 |
| spin 66:12 | 105:9 107:5 | 12:15 15:18,21 | 106:20 |
| split 124:14 | 122:9 124:7 | stree 8:21 | submitting |
| spreadsheet | 125:18 127:1 | street 1:24 2:21 | 47:24 |
| 7:24 31:8 | stated 69:1 | strikes 95:14 | subpoena |
| spring $12: 14,15$ | 100:16 | structural | 115:22 |
| 15:18,19,19,20 | statement 14:1 | 15:17,21 | substance 72:6 |
| 15:20,21,21 | 14:2 15:3,22 | structure 12:14 | 105:8 |
| 42:23 50:19 | 34:16 35:4 | 12:15 15:17,19 | substantial |
| 55:19 | 38:23 54:25 | 15:19,20,20 | 69:25 70:5 |
| springs 19:12 | 55:1,5 71:1 | stuff 17:11 50:5 | substitute |
| spud 67:24 | 76:15,16 77:4 | 79:11 | 63:12 |
| SS 127:2 | 119:20 120:3,6 | subject 16:9 | substitution |
| sshaheen 3:2 | statements | 35:9 36:22 | 51:10 66:1 |
| st 13:20 | 119:17 120 | 38:25 39:9 | successor 18:6 |
| stand 45:10 | states 89:5 | 71:9 76:20 | suffers 30:8 |
| standard 5:19 | 92:24 122:6 | 77:15 83:1 | suggesting 54:9 |
| 42:23 109:23 | status 2:3 5:1 | 87:4 90:10,18 | suite 1:24 3:23 |
| start 17:23 | 5:17 6:7 11:1 | 93:4 98:13 | 4:12 6:19 8:6 |
| 18:12 19:3 | 17:17 19:8,20 | 102:5 103:21 | 8:17 9:8 10:5 |
| 23:19 26:21 | 19:22 20:6 | 108:10 124:13 | 11:10 43:13 |
| 35:20 49:9,20 | 21:2 22:11,13 | sublease 102:4 | 45:5 |
| 50:7 66:7 | 22:16,18,22 | subleased | summarize |
| 71:21 78:4 | 24:8,23 25:5 | 90:10 | 50:11 53:19 |
| 119:22 | 26:7 27:1,4,10 | submissions | 55:10 96:1 |
| starting 65:2 | 27:12,18,19,23 | 117:15 | summarized |
| starts 117:20 | 28:5 35:8 39:4 | submit 21:6 | 96:18 |
| state 1:1 9:20 | 91:11 92:9 | 24:25 47:22 | summarizing |
| 10:14 15:15 | 111:15 113:8 | 54:12 106:3 | 126:3 |
| 40:15 53:11 | statute 116:23 | 112:20 | summary 12:9 |
| 59:19 64:10 | 122:25 125:6 |  | 12:10 34:25 |

[summary - thereof]

| 36:11 37:2 | 12:6 13:22,22 | terms 25:6 87:8 | 33:2,12,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61:4 96:10 | 15:1,10 | 103:15 | 35:19,22,23 |
| 111:14 | tab 43:9,9 | testified 43:11 | 37:14,20,24 |
| sun 16:8 | take 36:22 | 45:1,4 53:16 | 39:14,16,23 |
| 102:23,23 | 74:20 76:4,13 | 88:5 97:22 | 40:3,6 41:6,8,9 |
| 111:23 112:22 | 85:8 119:7 | 110:20 112:25 | 41:22 42:12,16 |
| superseded | taken 6:23 7:10 | 115:8 117:23 | 42:18,20 43:20 |
| 114:4 | 7:21 9:15 10:9 | testifies 116:13 | 45:12,20 46:23 |
| superseding | 10:25 14:5 | testify 59:2 | 46:25 47:1,2,9 |
| 114:6 | 29:6,7,22 | 109:5 | 48:5,17 52:14 |
| supp 124:17 | 30:11,16 31:10 | testifying 99:3 | 53:6,8 54:4,11 |
| supplement | 31:14 35:18 | 101:11 115:18 | 54:17,20 60:7 |
| 112:10 | 37:9 39:13,22 | 117:2,9,20 | 66:13 69:20 |
| supplemental | 41:4,13 45:9 | testimony $12: 1$ | 72:21 78:15,16 |
| 67:11 81:13 | 112:8,17 | 12:2,3 14:15 | 78:19,20 83:9 |
| supports 87:14 | 119:10 126:14 | 14:15 36:16 | 83:13 84:17,22 |
| suppose 36:19 | 127:9 | 40:20,21,22 | 85:14,16 86:3 |
| sure 24:18 | talk 61:1 | 50:6 53:2 | 86:6,7,12,16 |
| 43:20 63:25 | 62:22 93:18 | 68:14 87:21 | 87:17 88:1 |
| 76:24 101:8 | talking 77:23 | 90:1 95:21 | 89:21 95:23 |
| 103:14 107:25 | 98:24 103:13 | 96:22 99:1 | 97:19 100:23 |
| surface 67:5 | 112:24 | 101:7 109:9 | 100:25 101:18 |
| swear 53:1 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a o s }} 127: 3$ | 111:10 113:5 | 102:1,7 104:13 |
| sworn 14:10,17 | tap $4: 5,721: 18$ | texas 53:21 | 107:4 109:3,3 |
| 88:5 | 21:21 | 88:17 | 109:13,21 |
| system 92:12 | taprk.com 4:10 | thank 18:7,24 | 110:24 111:1,7 |
| t | taxes 70:8 | 19:16,18,24,25 | 113:4 114:16 |
| t 2:24 3:11 | tech 53:21 | 20:8,13 21:1 | 114:17 122:19 |
| $4 \cdot 1.1 .21$ | technical | 21:14,16 $23: 1$ | 122:21 126:2,2 |
| $5: 13$ | 17:7 32:25 | 23:13,16,2 | 126:12,15,16 |
| 6:22,22 7:9,9 | tell 58:23 91:13 | 26:1,4,5,8,9,14 | 126:17 |
| 7:19,19,22 8:9 | 94:8 98:2 | 28:3,7 29:10 | theirs 83:2 |
| 8:9,24,24 9:11 | tentative $24:$ | 29:17,19,23 | therefor 61:20 |
| 9:11 10:8,8 | term 59:7 | $30: 3,12,22$ | thereof 93:1 |
| 11:20,20 12:4 | 93:11 | 31:11 32:13,14 |  |

[therese - transcript]

| therese 1:22 | thinks 63:23 | times 84:1 | today 17:7,15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127:6,20 | 120:6 | 123:1 | 17:16,18 44:19 |
| they'are 46:2 | third 15:20 | timing 25:1 | 48:3 49:2,4,21 |
| thing 44:10 | 44:10 93:8 | 36:18 | 50:8,9 61:6 |
| things 54:6,10 | thought 91:1 | title 15:9 49:3 | 67:23 79:22 |
| 58:15 80:15 | 105:1 | 50:1,16,22 | 86:25 92:8,12 |
| 83:5 | thousands | 51:9 52:7 | 118:24 121:16 |
| think 17:14 | 89:12 | 55:12 56:8 | 122:20 |
| 18:11,20 19:8 | three 43:15 | 57:3,6,9,12,14 | together 79:11 |
| 21:8 24:10,13 | 51:22 57:11 | 57:18,21 58:3 | 79:18 89:8 |
| 25:6,17,20,21 | 67:19 73:14,16 | 58:11,12,16,22 | 119:11 |
| 26:22 27:8,23 | 73:23 74:3 | 59:9,12,15 | told 63:5 69:18 |
| 28:1,19 33:2 | 96:2 105:4 | 60:12,24 62:21 | tomorrow |
| 36:7,12 46:4 | 121:7 123:11 | 62:21 63:8 | 67:23 |
| 47:3 49:19 | 125:2,25 | 64:8 65:4,9,12 | took 74:8 |
| 59:3 62:19 | throw 119:16 | 70:22 72:8 | 116:17 |
| 65:21 66:10,14 | thursday 1:13 | 77:2,6,14,24 | top 45:25 |
| 67:5 71:9,17 | 1:16 92:10 | 79:16,22 80:21 | totally $28: 21$ |
| 71:23 77:22 | 127:7 | 81:6 85:22 | 115:17 |
| 78:3,14 81:17 | tickety 43:3 | 87:23 90:8 | towards 92:21 |
| 84:5 86:17 | time 17:2,11 | 91:16,20,25 | township 34:1 |
| 87:10 93:19 | 21:11 24:24 | 93:2,9 94:11 | 38:7,10 40:14 |
| 94:3,22 95:5 | 28:2 29:11 | 94:11,22 95:1 | 43:1 50:20 |
| 99:10 105:3,23 | 34:4,12,17 | 95:3,7,8 96:5 | tracking 44:14 |
| 106:11,12 | 35:6 38:2 41:8 | 96:12 102:22 | 44:15 |
| 107:15 109:6 | 44:22 52:4 | 106:6 107:11 | tract 12:9 |
| 110:1 112:12 | 62:777:13 | 108:25 109:1 | 56:16,22 64:20 |
| 113:3 115:12 | 89:1 93:22 | 112:8 114:3 | 73:11 79:20 |
| 115:14,21 | 96:16 100:23 | 115:7,11 | tracts 15:8 |
| 116:7 117:14 | 101:9 107:2 | 116:17 117:12 | 45:22,23 56:11 |
| 118:12,14 | 108:16,22 | 118:7,21 | trainee 88:24 |
| 119:3,17,23 | 122:19 | 120:16,20 | training 53:20 |
| 120:4,15,19 | timely 41:1 | 121:2,21 | 88:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 121: 25 \\ & 125: 11 \\ & 126: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 85:24 | 125:14 | transcript 1:12 |

[transcription - usual]

| transcription | turn 91:6 | 12:22,24 13:2 | unfairly 123:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127:11 | 105:18 111:5 | 13:4,7,9,12 | unhappy 45:3 |
| transfer 51:25 | turns 60:14 | 14:5 29:6,8,22 | unidentified |
| 77:5,10,18 | tvd 46:4,6 | 30:11,17 31:10 | 46:21 94:2 |
| 82:2,2,25 90:8 | two 33:20,25 | 31:14 34:3 | unit 2:7 5:19 |
| 91:16 98:4,20 | 34:11 43:14,14 | 35:18 37:9 | 40:10,15 42:24 |
| 99:22 111:19 | 45:21 55:19 | 38:1,22 39:1 | 43:2,4 45:19 |
| 111:21 122:13 | 58:14 61:12 | 39:13,22 41:5 | 45:23 56:18 |
| 124:11 | 65:1 70:9,10 | 41:13 45:9 | 61:5 79:4 |
| transferred | 73:17 80:15 | 50:16 56:8 | 81:19 103:19 |
| 16:6 82:16 | 85:22 93:21 | 66:24 71:1 | 112:18 |
| transfers 62:21 | 123:9 125:2,5 | 79:20 80:6 | united 89:5 |
| 69:2 77:13 | typically 59:18 | 90:9 91:11,11 | 92:24 122:6 |
| 92:3 124:23 | 68:22 69:4 | 92:22 96:13 | unitization |
| transform | 80:1 | 99:21 106:24 | 101:12 |
| 27:12 | u | 114:8 116:22 | unitizations |
| travis 15:3 | u.s. 90:7 124:6 | 119:7,8,10 | 89:8 |
| 50:12 52:13 | uh 36:18,25 | 121:22 125:6 | units 50:21 |
| 53:13 | 79:10 96:16 | 126:14 | 51:3 56:14 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { tried } 61: 21 \\ 62.57 \end{gathered}$ | $102: 22$ | underlying 40:10 $42 \cdot 24$ | 113:21 |
| true 28:20 55:4 | uhm 46:2 53:21 | 50:19 55:19 | 36:12 |
| 73:17 74:10 | $59: 7 \text { 60:12 }$ | 59:13 70:10 | unnecessary |
| 77:4 127:11 | 61:9 68:23 | underneath | 24:15 70:18 |
| truth 53:2,3 | 76:16 100:7 | 119:15 | upper 40:8 |
| try 47:5 88:6 | $2: 18121 \text { : }$ | understand | 91:10 |
| 94:5 102:15 | ultimate | 27:11 75:6 | usa 3:21 |
| 106:14 | $16: 11$ | 84:3 107:17 | usca 102:3 |
| trying 61:13 | unclear 109:16 | 110:21 118:17 | use 67:579:25 |
| 86:18 105:2 | uncommitted | understanding | 80:1 93:11 |
| 114:2 |  | 63:7,11 65:24 | 118:13 |
| tuesday 32:2 | $2272: 14$ | 66:5,8 81:10 | used 89:22 |
| 34:8 38:11 | under 6:23 | 99:1,2 111:14 | 106:14 |
| 92:10 | $7: 10,219: 15$ | understood | usual 43:13 |
|  | $10: 9,2512: 19$ | 93:13 | 45:5 |

[v-wrote]

| v | 103:14 114:22 | 58:14 62:24 | 61:21 88:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v 5:18,22 26:11 | 119:20 123:2 | 66:17 67:15,19 | 89:14,17 |
| 26:13,21,25 | 123:11 | 67:24 69:23 | worked 53:2 |
| 27:4,21,23 | wanted 20:25 | 70:3,18 73:7 | 65:8 89:4,5,24 |
| 122:6 126:8 | 25:21 44:5,10 | 73:10,13 74:3 | working 17:12 |
| valid 121:24 | 116:6 | 76:7 114:8 | 19:6 36:14 |
| 122:13 124:20 | wants 63:24 | 125:4 | 50:13 51:2,4,8 |
| vance $35: 4$ | 118:15 123:3 | went 39:3,4 | 52:4 55:15,25 |
| 38:24 | waste 52:11 | 88:23 | 56:18,20,21 |
| vance's 36:2 | 70:14,17 | west $40: 11,13$ | 58:13 60:1,12 |
| various 51:1 | 120:23,24 | 40:13 42:24 | 60:15,18 61:13 |
| 56:19 89:25 | 121:3 122:18 | whatsoever | 62:4,6 70:3,23 |
| veritext 1:23 | way $24: 1254: 9$ | 121:2 127:16 | 72:8,11,15,23 |
| version 112:9 | 68:2 69:8 | william 1:15 | 72:24 73:1,19 |
| video 111:5 | 82:11 89:6 | wish 116:6 | 77:1,11 78:7 |
| view 62:20 76:3 | 102:22 105:8 | withdrawn | 79:16 80:18 |
| 76:12 94:9 | 105:11 107:9 | 28:21 | 84:6 92:14 |
| 119:11 | 119:13 | withheld 71:19 | 93:13 94:10,16 |
| violate 70:12 | we've 44:5 49:4 | withhold 71:10 | 94:22 95:1,9 |
| 121:3 | 61:17 81:8 | withholding | 5:12 97:6 |
| violated 51:13 | 95:5 112:7,8 | 115:16 | 99:12 100:2 |
| violates 52:10 | 114:7 115:10 | witness 14:16 | 105:24 106:5,8 |
| virtual 1:16 | 115:22 116:6 | 52:13 58:5 | 106:15,16,19 |
| voice $46: 21$ | 117:2,17 | 87:16,18 89:22 | 110:9 112:13 |
| 94:2 | 120:17 121:23 | 97:18 117:2 | 112:24 113:10 |
| voided 112:16 | 124:6 | witnesses 14:7 | worksheet |
| vs 124:16 | webex 1:16 | 4:8 25:18 | 17:15,20 |
| w | site 17:15 | 49:21 50:3,8 | writing 117:7 |
|  | 47:22 | 50:12 52:15 | written 12:1,2 |
| iting | weight 77:10 | wolfcamp | 14:15 63:21 |
| waiting $34: 18$ | 110:2,7 112:16 | 15:16,17,17,18 | 117:15 |
| 67:20 73:15 | wells 43:3,4,4 | 50:19 55:19 | wrong 81:2 |
| waived 115:17 | 45:21 50:21,24 | words 107:13 | 124:15 |
| waiver 115:1 | 51:19,23 52:5 | work 25:10,18 | wrote 61:25 |
| $\begin{array}{rl} \text { want } & 50: 3,9 \\ 81: 1 & 102: 25 \end{array}$ | 52:9 55:22 | 25:19,21 52:24 | 68:24 |
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