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P R O C E E D I N G S
MR. BRANCARD: It is February 2, 2023.
I am Bill Brancard, hearing examiner, and these are the hearings of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. With me today is the technical examiner Mr. John Garcia. And as always, we have a worksheet with the order of the cases today. There are I believe 32 cases on the docket left today. So we won't break the routine. We will start as usual from number one.

Any announcements, Mr. Garcia? MR. GARCIA: No major announcements. MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. And thanks everyone. I hope you're all paying attention to the legislative session. There will be lots of things involving the new Oil and Gas Act, I'm told; so pay attention. With that, we will start with items 1 through 6, and I will call cases 21683, 21685, 22103, 22104, 22083, 22084. Let's start with Matador Production Company.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner, Mr. Garcia. Michael Feldewert, Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart, appearing on behalf of Matador Production Company and on behalf of the XTO Energy company.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. I believe we have what is now Earthstone Operating -- used to be a few other things, including EGL.

MR. PADILLA: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. I'm Earnest L. Padilla for Earthstone Operating.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. We have entries of appearances here from EOG Resources.

MR. PARROT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner, this is James Parrot with Beatty \& Wozniak representing EOG Resources.

MR. BRANCARD: I see an entry here from Cimarex Energy.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Darin Savage on behalf of Coterra Energy et al.

MR. BRANCARD: Are there any other entries of appearances? Cases 21683, 685, 22103, 104, 22083, 22084? Hearing none, we will start with Matador. I believe we have lost a couple of cases along the way here, and we're now down to six. What's the status?

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, as you know these are impeding pooling cases between Matador and EGL. The parties have been in -- I'm informed --
the parties have been in productive discussions, and that my client would suggest another status conference in April.

MR. BRANCARD: Earthstone?
MR. PADILLA: I agree, Mr. Examiner.
The parties are -- I'm informed that the parties are negotiating and need more time. It's likely that they'll reach some agreement.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Any other comments from the other parties? Hearing none, April 6th or April 20th?

MR. FELDEWERT: April 6th sounds good to me, Mr. Examiner.

MR. PADILLA: That's good for me, Your Honor -- Mr. Examiner.

MR. PADILLA: He's the honorable examiner.

MR. BRANCARD: Yeah, I started to look over my shoulder like, "Who is he talking to?"

Okay. With that, cases 21683 , 685, 22103, 22104, 22083, 22084 are set for a status conference on April 6th. We will send out a document.

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: With that, we are at
items 7 and 8. These are cases 22584, 22813. It
looks like have the same contestants here. Matador Production Company?

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert, Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

MR. PADILLA: Earthstone Operating.
MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner.
Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Earthstone Operating.

MR. BRANCARD: We've got a number of entries of appearance here. I have a Chisholm Energy. That may be Earthstone.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner? I apologize.
Yes, that's correct. Earthstone is the successor to Chisholm.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. ConocoPhillips?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry for ConocoPhillips.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Fasken Oil and Ranch?
MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning, everyone. Sharon Shaheen, Montgomery \& Andrews, on behalf of Fasken Oil and Ranch.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.

Anyone else here for cases 22584,
22813? Hearing none, we will start again with Matador.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I know
from talking with the client and talking with counsel that there are trade discussions or settlement discussions that are progressing, and I believe that there's an agreement among counsel for another status conference on March 16th.

MR. BRANCARD: Earthstone?
MS. HARDY: That's correct,
Mr. Examiner. I agreed with Mr. Feldewert.
MR. BRANCARD: Any comments from the other parties? Hearing none, cases 22584 and 22813 are set for a status conference on March 16th.

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: With that, we're on item
9, case 23042. Mewbourne Oil Company?
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert, Santa Office of Holland \& Hart.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. I have an entry here from Endeavor Energy Resources.

MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning again. Sharon Shaheen, Montgomery \& Andrews, on behalf of

Endeavor.
MR. BRANCARD: All right. Is anyone else here for case 23042? Hearing none, this is some kerfuffle to do with injection authority. Mewbourne?

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I'm happy
to report the parties have had, as a result of extensive discussions, the parties have actually reached an agreement under which my understanding is Endeavor is proceeding to shut in the well and revoke the injection authority. That has not happened yet. There are certain actions that are required under the agreement that are to be completed in the next few weeks, so we would ask that the case be set for another status conference on this month on February 16th. That would keep things moving forward, and I believe at that time we should be able to dismiss the case.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Endeavor?
MS. SHAHEEN: I don't have an objection to another status conference on February 16th. That may be a little premature. I think the final task that needs to be performed is I'll be submitting a letter seeking cancelation of the order authorizing injection, and then after that $I$ believe Mewbourne is
scheduled to dismiss within 10 days of receiving cancelation of the order. So February 16 th may be a little premature, but I'm happy to appear again at that time if that's what the hearing examiner prefers.

MR. BRANCARD: All right. Well why don't we set this for March 2nd? February 16th is looking like an ugly docket at this point anyway. With that, case 23042 is set for a status conference on March 2nd.

MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: Case 23302, item number
10. Ridge Runner Resources?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Ridge Runner Resources.

MR. BRANCARD: XTO Energy?
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

MR. BRANCARD: Any other persons here for case 23302? Hearing none, $I$ think we actually have a motion to continue here. Is that correct? Who filed that?
MS. HARDY: That's correct,

Mr. Examiner. I filed that. XTO submitted an objection to the matter preceding by affidavit, so I filed a motion to continue to February 16 th in the hope that the parties could reach a resolution by that date.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So do we want this set on the regular docket for that date? Or do we ant a status conference? What would be the preference of the parties?

MS. HARDY: I'd prefer to just set it on the regular docket.

MR. BRANCARD: In other words grant your continuance?

MS. HARDY: Yes, please. We could convert it to a status conference if XTO's objection still hasn't been resolved.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. XTO?
MR. FELDEWERT: If you can squeeze it on that very crowded docket, I guess I have no objection.

MR. BRANCARD: All right. Well, we'll grant this continuance then to February 16th. Thank you for case -- anyone else here for case 23302? If not, it has been continued to February 16th. With that, we are on items 11 and 12, cases 23303, 23304.

COG Operating?
MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy on
behalf of COG Operating.
MR. BRANCARD: Chevron USA, Inc.?
MR. DEBRINE: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Earl DeBrine with the Modrall Sperling Firm on behalf of Chevron USA, Inc.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Anyone else here for cases 23303, 23304? Hearing none, I will go to COG.

MS. HARDY: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. COG is prepared to proceed to a contested hearing on these matters. COG owns around 95 percent of the interest in its proposed units and is ready to develop them, so we would request a contested hearing on the earliest possible date. I believe there is also potential lease expiration issue that warrants a hearing as soon as possible.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Chevron?
MR. DEBRINE: Mr. Examiner, as
indicated by our entry of appearance, Chevron is proposing its own units that are going to comprise parts of this acreage. The time for filing the applications has not yet run. The notice flyers went
out earlier this last month, and so we would ask that once those are filed that the cases be combined for a contested hearing. And given the timing, it looks like that won't be possible until the April docket.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So can you make April 6?

MR. DEBRINE: Yes, that should work.
MR. BRANCARD: All right. Well let's set this then for a hearing on April 6.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: And please be sure to let us know if you want to combine cases.

MR. DEBRINE: We will do that.
MS. HARDY: We will do that. Thank you.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. With that, cases 23303, 304, are set for a contested hearing on April 6th. We will issue a prehearing order.

All right. I believe we have one more status conference here. Item 13, case 23308. Mewbourne Oil Company?

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert, Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart.

MR. BRANCARD: I have Coterra Energy?

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Coterra Energy, et al.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
And Avant Operating?
MR. DEBRINE: Good morning, Mr.
Examiner. Earl DeBrine with the Modrall Sperling Firm on behalf of Avant.

MR. BRANCARD: Anyone else here for case 23308? Hearing none, I believe we have an objection by Coterra. Mewbourne, do you have a proposal?

MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Examiner, I saw the objection filed by Coterra. I don't know what the status is of any competing proposals, but from Mewbourne's perspective, we would like to get this case separate contested hearing as soon as possible.

MR. BRANCARD: All right. Coterra?
MR. DEBRINE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. Coterra is prepared to file competing applications. We have them drafted, and we're confirming just a few last items. We hope to file those in the next couple of days, and that would make them available for the April 6th hearing date for a contested hearing.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. Avant, any
position?
MR. DEBRINE: No, we are just
monitoring this case, and we'll do whatever the principal parties agree to.

MR. BRANCARD: All right. Well we can set this then for April 6th for a hearing.

MR. SAVAGE: That would be great,
Mr. Examiner. Thank you.
MR. DEBRINE: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: And as always, if you have competing cases, let us know so we can combine them. Thank you.

With that, let's start on some hearings. I'm on items 13 through -- I'm sorry. 14 through 17. Cases 23221, 23222, 23223, 23224.

Franklin Mountain Energy?
MR. DEBRINE: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Earl DeBrine with the Modrall Sperling Firm on behalf of the applicant in each of these cases, Franklin Mountain Energy, LLC.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Do we have any other appearances? 23221, 222, 223, 224? Hearing none, I believe that this was continued from January 5 th in order to
complete notice requirements.
MR. DEBRINE: That's correct, Your
Honor. The cases were presented for hearing at the January 5th docket and were continued for notice. We have submitted the further notice affidavit of Ms. Bennett who indicates that the notice was published in the Ops News Sun [ph] for the unlocatable interests that we discovered prior to the last hearing. And given that, we would ask that these cases be taken under advisement, and that the application be granted under due consideration by the division.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, any questions?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons then for cases 23221, 222, 223, 224? Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, and these cases will be taken under advisement.

With that, we are on item 18, case 23246. Avant Operating?

MR. DEBRINE: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Earl DeBrine with the Modrall Sperling Firm on behalf of Avant Operating, LLC, the applicant.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Are there any other entries of appearance for case 23246?

That's impressive, considering how many people you gave notice to. Please proceed, Avant.

MR. DEBRINE: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. In this case, Avant is seeking an order pooling all uncommitted interests within a standard 640-acre Bone Spring horizontal spacing unit that's comprised of the south half of sections 35 and 36 in Township 18 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The unit will be dedicated to nine Alpha Wolf Fed Com wells that are listed in the application. The producing area for the wells is expected to be orthodoxed.

The application is supported by the declaration of Tiffany Sarantinos, who is a professional landman who has not previously testified before the division. Her declaration reflects that she as a 1988 graduate of the University of Denver has worked as a professional landman for the last 20 years, with the last three and a half years with Avant. Her CV is attached as Exhibit B8. Her declaration sets forth her experience in working for various operators in the -- base and then other
producing areas. And we ask that she be allowed to testify as an expert in petroleum land matters.

MR. BRANCARD: Any concerns? Hearing none, so accepted.

MR. DEBRINE: Her declaration includes a copy of the application, the C102s for the wells, the proposal letters and AFE, the summary of her contacts with the working interest and overriding interest owners in an effort to reach voluntary agreement with regard to the formation of the horizontal spacing unit. As reflected in our affidavit, there were a voluminous number of overriding royalty interests, because there was a 2006 conveyance that carved up an overriding royalty interest -- and assigning an interest in a number of people.

As a result, in order to locate everybody, Avant hired a broker to track down the owners based on the addresses that were listed in that conveyance using the internet to do Google searches and to identify family members, and also an internet tool that requires the user to have a background check to find comprehensive information with regard to the potential -- all of the overriding owners that we identified. And we also published notice in the
newspaper timely because there were still some interests that reflected back that were still unlocatable. And there was -- and because of the report that came back from the tracking service listed a bunch -- listed the -- the notice as lost, we actually went back and submitted an additional chart to reflect the actual status of the delivery. In fact, those weren't loss, there were just some that were -- were not picked up or addressed as that they weren't in fact lost by the Postal Service, which is somewhat comforting. But as a result, we published so that -- to cover all of the -- all of the unlocatable interests.

Avant is asking to be named operator of the wells, that the division approve the estimated cost of drilling -- the wells, allow for 200 percent risk charge and supervision costs of 10,000 while drilling and 1,000 during production, which is consistent with industry custom and practice for drilling wells with comparable length and depth in this area of Lea County.

The application was first ordered by the affidavit of Avant's geologist John Harper, who has previously testified before the division, and his credentials were accepted as a matter of record. His
declaration includes the wellbore schematic, the structure map, cross-reference locator map, and his geological study of the structural stratigraphic cross-sections of the first second in Bone Spring, which is the target of the wells in this horizontal spacing unit, and his opinion that the unit is just fine from a geological standpoint. There are no structural impediments or faulting that would interfere with horizontal development, and that each quarter section comprising horizontal spacing unit will contribute more or less equally to the unit which has consistent thickness throughout the target formation.

I would also note that this is a unit that is based on proximity wells, and so it's double the size of a normal spacing unit. With that, we ask that the declarations and exhibits that are attached to them be admitted into the evidence, that the matter be taken under advisement, and after due consideration the application be granted.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, questions?
MR. GARCIA: No questions --
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. We're not hearing you very well, so just be aware of that.

MR. GARCIA: I said no questions. It's just weird that we have six pages of lost notice.

MR. BRANCARD: Yeah.
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. Yeah, I -in going through all of this notice documentation, I was actually focused on the fact that you have two working interest owners; is that correct, Mr. DeBrine?

MR. DEBRINE: Yes.
MR. BRANCARD: And they are sisters?
MR. DEBRINE: Yes, and in fact the -the sisters did receive notice of the well proposal, and they elected to go non-consent with regard to the wells in this unit. So there was actual contact made with the sisters.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. But then I notice one of them was lost in -- was among the lost.

MR. DEBRINE: Right. That was listed as lost. They did in fact receive the proposal letter and responded that they wanted to go non-consent, but the notice of the actual application was reflected as lost, but in fact it was sent to the same address as the notice letter which was received and correspondence back that they wanted to go non-consent, so I don't know if they didn't pick it up
or what the situation was, but they obviously had made their election prior to the finding of the application.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay.
Are there any other interested persons
here then?
One other question. We noticed that you have a -- the monthly charges are 10,000 and 1,000. That's a little high from what we're seeing in Southeast New Mexico. I wonder if you have an explanation for that.

MR. DEBRINE: The explanation is all the proposal letters that Avant has been receiving over the last two months have reflected similar charges, and so we believe that is the -- the new industry custom and standard that will be used going forward. Obviously because of the inflation that's been experienced, costs have gone up substantially over the last year, and so this is reflective of the additional costs that are associated with drilling wells as we go into 2023.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Did you have any questions on that,
Mr. Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: No. It's just interesting
that we have pages today that have pumps down south at 7,500. So today's cost -- 7,500 to 10,000 -- today's docket. It is a little high from what we've been seeing the last year.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
With that, in case 23246, are there any
other interested persons? Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, and case will be taken under advisement.

MR. DEBRINE: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
MR. BRANCARD: With that, we will call
item 19, case 23264. Devon Energy Production Company?
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Brancard, Mr. Garcia. I'm Michael Feldewert of the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of Devon Energy Production Company.

MR. BRANCARD: We have an entry from EOG resources. Mr. Parrot?

MR. PARROT: Sorry, everybody. I was having a little trouble getting my audio and video going. This is James Parrot with Beatty \& Wozniak representing EOG Resources, Inc.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. Any other interested parties for case 23264?

Hearing none, Mr. Feldewert, Devon to
explain.
MR. FELDEWERT: To present the case. MR. BRANCARD: Yes.

MR. FELDEWERT: Certainly.
Mr. Examiner, Devon seeks to pool the Bone Spring formation underlying the east half of the east half of sections 6 and 7 down there in 26 South, 34 East in Lea County for their Jayhawk well. You'll see that the application that was filed initially sought approval of an overlapping spacing unit from the division that is no longer necessary, since the effected working interest owners have received notice of the overlapping spacing unit and have no objection to it. So we only need a pooling order.

Now we filed two sets of exhibits here.
The initial set was filed in advance of the January 5th hearing. And shortly before that hearing, I ended up continuing the matter to address some notice issues and -- because the company was required to change the orientation of the well.

So we filed a supplemental set this past Tuesday to reflect the change in the orientation of the well, so the well was going to be north to south, but now it's going to be south to north -- and also to provide the letter under which notice was
provided to the working interest owners about the overlapping spacing unit and to testify that there had been received -- no objection had been received. So we have an initial set, and then we have a second set that was filed this past Tuesday.

I will start with the initial set. It contains the affidavit of Daniel Brunsman, who is a landman with Devon, is marked as Exhibit A. You'll see that it notes that this is his first time testifying, so he has provided his credentials, which I believe qualify him to testify as an expert in petroleum land matters.

He goes on to provide, in this initial set of exhibits, the C102 for the Jayhawk well. As you can tell from the supplemental set, that C102 has been revised, so we can ignore that one. He provides then as Exhibit A2 a tract map for the east half east half acreage, and you'll see that there are two tracts involved. There is a small feed track up in the northeast in the northeast of section 6 , and the remainder is a federal tract.

He provides in that exhibit the ownership breakdown by tract and then by spacing unit, and you'll see there highlighted in yellow that the company seeks to pool two working interest owners in
that 40 -acre feed tract in that tract 2 . And within that feed tract, there are two overriding royalty interest owners that appear to require pooling.

And then finally an entity that -- or a trust that owns what is marked as NPRI, which would be a net proceeds royalty interest or a net profits royalty interest -- they go by different names, but it's just a different type of royalty interest. You'll then see that in Exhibit A3, we have the initial well proposal letter that went out in October along with the AFE, and then A4 is the chronology of contacts with the working interest owners that Devon seeks to pool.

We then provided in the initial set the affidavit of the geologist Matthew Myers who has testified previously before the division. He provides a structure map as B1, he provides a base map for a stratigraphic cross-section as B2, and then his stratigraphic cross-section is B3 with the landing zone marked in green.

Exhibit $C$ is my affidavit indicating that notice was provided to the parties to be pooled by certified mailings that went out in December, because some of those mailings had not been -- yet been delivered. We also then provided in our initial
set as Exhibit D, an affidavit of publication that is directed to -- by name to the parties that we seek to pool.

## With the change in the orientation of

 the well, and to avoid any confusion, we then on Tuesday, Mr. Examiner, filed our supplemental set of exhibits, and if you go through that, you will se a couple of things. One is we submitted a revised B1 and a revised B2 -- those were the geology exhibits -solely for the purpose of just showing the correct orientation of the well. Because the well is now being drilled south to north rather than north to south.We also then provided as Exhibit E as in Edward to continue the numbering of the letter sequence -- a revised compulsory pooling checklist to likewise reflect a change in the orientation of the well, which then also resulted in the a change in the well name from the Jayhawk 6-7 to Jayhawk 7-6 Fee Com Well. So that's why that -- revised compulsory pooling checklist was provided.

Mr. Brunsman then filed a supplemental
statement for the purpose of noting that there had been a change in the well orientation, because as he notes in paragraph 2 of his supplemental statement,

Devon couldn't get the surface location in the north to drill north to south, so that's why they are drilling from the south to the north. He then provides as Exhibit F1 the revised C102 of the initial well which reflects the name change and the reorientation of the well.

Then to deal with the -- with the overlapping -- or first off, to deal with -- I'm sorry, the change in the orientation, he provided as in F2 a supplemental letter that he sent out to the pooled working interest owners likewise apprising them of the change in the well orientation. And then he provides Exhibit $F 3$, which is a copy of the letter sent to all of the affected working interest owners apprising them of the overlapping spacing unit.

And he testifies that none of these affected owners have objected to the overlapping spacing unit, which is why we no longer need any relief from the division on that front. Then Exhibit G was just a -- another affidavit that I submitted for the sole purpose of trying to get notice by mail to Monticello Minerals. That's one of the overriding royalty interest owners. There was a second address that they had found, but you'll see that that address likewise was not successful. So they had two
addresses for Monticello after looking in the public records and doing their searches, and it doesn't appear that either one of them work for this overriding royalty interest owner, hence the notice of publication, which is marked as -- previously as Exhibit B.

So with that, I would move the
admission of Devon Exhibits A through G that comprises the initial set and supplemental set, and we ask that the matter be taken under advisement.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. Let me first go to EOG.

Any questions or concerns?
MR. PARROT: No, Mr. Examiner. Thank you.

MR. BRANCARD: Mr. Garcia, questions?
MR. GARCIA: Good morning,
Mr. Feldewert, can you hear me?
MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. Good morning. I can hear you fine.

MR. GARCIA: On Exhibit A3, PDF page 17 of the first exhibit packet --

MR. FELDEWERT: I'm there.
MR. GARCIA: The October 25 th letter.
I would -- curious about the body of the letter, the
bullet points, where a 100/400 percent non-consenting penalty. It seems that they are trying to add a 300 percent risk charge, which -- max 200 percent risk charge. Typically these numbers are 100/300 and a 200 difference.

And also the overhead rates are reflected at 6,000 while drilling and 600 while -checklist had 8,000 and 800. I looked at the revised exhibits that adjust the orientation change and the overlapping unit, but $I$ didn't see anything revising these numbers. I was curious if you could talk about that.

MR. FELDEWERT: Certainly. So first off, with respect to the risk penalty, for purposes of pooling, the division -- Devon is only requesting the maximum 200 percent risk penalty. We can't go beyond that. Whatever they can negotiate under JOA, and whether that follows some -- I know that there are parties who have accepted the JOA, so I'm assuming that that might have been the terms, $I$ just don't know. But that was for purposes solely of entering into the JOA and does not reflect the pooling order.

In terms of the overhead rates, certainly it looks like with our -- both of our compulsory pooling checklists, they do reflect what $I$
think has become fairly standard overhead rates of 8,000 per month while drilling and 800 while producing. I don't know what's in the JOA that was signed by the other parties and whether those -- that number that you saw in the October letter carried over in the -- executed JOA, but $I$ know for purposes of this pooling hearing, they're only asking 200 percent risk penalty and are asking for what would otherwise be standard overhead rates.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah, I guess -- someone didn't not sign the JOA or -- because of these numbers and didn't get changed or -- the different --

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, there's certainly -- I agree there is certainly a difference.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah. I guess I'll defer to Mr. Brancard and leave it to him to decide if it's the material -- with that, Mr. Brancard, I don't have questions.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. I -basically they're offering one deal if you sign the JOA, and you get a different deal if you go through the pooling hearing. So --

MR. FELDEWERT: Trying to incentivize people to sign the JOA.

MR. BRANCARD: Right. That could be
what is going on there. So let me see. Now I've lost my train of thought. So we are agreed then, Mr. Feldewert, we brought this up last time -- that the name of the well is the Jayhawk?

MR. FELDEWERT: The rock jock Jayhawk.
MR. BRANCARD: Right. As opposed to what went out in your notice letters initially which was the Jawhawk?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, it looks like I spelled the spelling mistake, yes.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So in the additional exhibits, you sent out another letter to all the parties; is that correct?

MR. FELDEWERT: So if you look at Mister -- land statement by Mr. Brunsman indicates that as Exhibit F 2 , there is a supplemental letter that he sent out to the pooled working interest owners, which you would send it to the parties that would be -- letting them know about the change in the drilling plan, and that is what is reflected in $F 2$. So there is a supplemental -- as F2 reflects, it is a supplemental letter to the initial well proposal letter that went out in October.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So it's -- it's designed to be a supplement, not designed to replace? MR. FELDEWERT: Correct. MR. BRANCARD: All right. Okay. Because it doesn't -- I mean, the letter in $F 2$ doesn't meet the 20 day deadline for notice.

MR. FELDEWERT: Correct, and it certainly -- it certainly is sent out as a supplemental letter to the initial well proposal letter, and it's not a notice letter for the hearing, Mr. Examiner, it's just an update to the initial well proposal that was sent out in October to reflect the change in circumstances that Devon has encountered in trying to acquire the surface location.

MR. BRANCARD: Yes. And we discussed this internally. Changing well details is not as crucial as changing for a spacing unit.

MR. FELDEWERT: Correct, and good point. And maybe I'll make that for the record. Spacing unit has not changed.

MR. BRANCARD: I mean, your surface hole locations and your bottom hole locations have changed, but that's reflected in your checklist.

MR. FELDEWERT: Correct. And that is the only thing that's changed. The target location, the spacing unit, the location of the setback of the well, etc., all of that remains the same.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So the only other thing is you have a supplemental notice affidavit? MR. FELDEWERT: Yes.

MR. BRANCARD: G -- in your paragraph 1 you say, "We've sent this to Monticello Minerals on the date set forth in the letter attached hereto." I don't see a letter attached hereto.

MR. FELDEWERT: Oh dad-gone-it. I didn't see that one. We'll get that fixed.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. I think that's it then.

Any other questions, Mr. Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: So with that, if there are no further objections on case 23264, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, the case will be taken under advisement, and you will revise your Exhibit G.

MR. FELDEWERT: Certainly. Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
With that, we are at item 20, case
23274. Earthstone Operating? Mr. Padilla, we don't have anything here today for this. What's going on?

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, let me give
you a little bit of background on this case. Originally, this Earthstone took over from EGL in cases 22114 and 22115. We tried to get an affidavit hearing. I believe it was January 5th. Mr. Feldewert filed an objection to a affidavit case, so that got bumped, and the January 5th hearing got bumped, and my assistant had some communications with Marlene I think about two weeks ago, and my understanding was that this was going to be set for a status conference. We refiled, we dismissed the 22114 case and the 22115 cases, and we filed the new case, 23274. Anyway, we don't want this case dismissed, and my understanding is that we were going to have a status conference and a separate hearing is what Earthstone wants to do.

MR. BRANCARD: All right.
Let me get entries here from MRC Permian and XTO.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. And the case file indicates that XTO has withdrawn its objection; is that correct?

MR. FELDEWERT: In this -- this is the
newly filed case, 23274?
MR. BRANCARD: Yeah, I have something here that says XTO Energy no longer objects to this matter proceeding by affidavit, filed December 22 nd. Case 23274.

MR. FELDEWERT: Hold on, I'm catching up. So I'm here on behalf of XTO Energy, and you're correct. We -- XTO no longer objects to the matter proceeding by affidavit. Now MRC and entities, or MRC Delaware, has appeared in this newly filed matter -noted that the parties are engaged in settlement discussions. And I -- Mr. Padilla is correct in the sense that this is a replacement case for a prior EGL cases that were dismissed that at the time had always been consolidated for purposes of treating them together with the first set of cases you heard this morning.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. I'm also confused because MRC entered an appearance here but didn't object to this case.

MR. FELDEWERT: We have entered an appearance in the matter after having an unopposed motion to continue to this docket. Correct. We filed a motion to continue this matter to the February 2nd docket.

MR. BRANCARD: I don't know how we got to this docket, but --

MR. FELDEWERT: Because I'm looking at the file, the division file, and it was filed December 29th.

MR. BRANCARD: Yes. Okay. MRC
continued this. Yes. Parties are engaged in ongoing and productive settlement discussions.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
MR. BRANCARD: So would you like to add this to the first six cases of the docket today?

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Padilla?
MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we would just like to get it on for a hearing. I think the first two cases were continued were a status conference, and Earthstone wants to go to hearing on this case. I mean, I understand there is proximity to the first set of cases, but with respect to this particular case, they would like to proceed to hearing at the earliest convenient time.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Well, I can continue this to March 2 nd.

MR. PADILLA: Okay.
MR. BRANCARD: And at this point, the case is uncontested. So I'm not going to issue a

| 1 | prehearing order. If somebody would like to contest |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | it -- |
| 3 | MR. FELDEWERT: That's what we're going |
| 4 | to find out. |
| 5 | MR. BRANCARD: Okay. |
| 6 | MR. PADILLA: Okay. |
| 7 | MR. FELDEWERT: Because the last I |
| 8 | heard, they were engaged in ongoing settlement |
| 9 | discussions that I thought were tied in with the |
| 10 | discussions involving the cases that had been set - |
| 11 | the first set of cases this morning had been set for a |
| 12 | status conference on April 6th. If that's changed, |
| 13 | then I -- we may need to take some different action. |
| 14 | MR. BRANCARD: All right. So |
| 15 | Mr. Padilla, if you could just file a motion to -- you |
| 16 | just do a continuance to March 2 nd , and -- |
| 17 | MR. PADILLA: Yes, I'll do that. |
| 18 | MR. BRANCARD: -- we'll go from there. |
| 19 | MR. PADILLA: Will that be an affidavit |
| 20 | case then? |
| 21 | MR. BRANCARD: At this point it's an |
| 22 | affidavit case. |
| 23 | MR. PADILLA: Okay. |
| 24 | MR. BRANCARD: With that, case 23274 |
| 25 | will be continued to March 2 nd . |
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MR. PADILLA: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
MR. BRANCARD: So we are now on item 21, case 23296.

Earthstone Operating?
MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Earthstone Operating.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
We have entries of appearance from Tap Rock Operating.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning. Michael Rodriguez for Tap Rock Operating, LLC.

MR. BRANCARD: And I think we still have entry of appearance from COG Operating.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry with COG Operating, LLC.

MR. BRANCARD: So let's clarify here. Does Tap Rock have any objections to this case going forward by affidavit?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: No objections from Tap Rock. Thank you.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
COG?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: No objection. Thank you.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Any other interested persons for case 23296? Hearing none, Earthstone may proceed.

MS. HARDY: Seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interest in the first Bone Spring interval of the Bone Spring formation underlying a 319.86 acre --

MR. BRANCARD: Ms. Hardy, we lost your video, and then we lost your audio. We can see you now. Can you hear us?

MS. HARDY: I can hear you. Can you hear me now?

MR. BRANCARD: I can hear you, yes.
MS. HARDY: Okay. I'm turning off my video in case that's impacting my connection. Sorry about that. Okay. Should I start over? I'm not sure where I cut out.

MR. BRANCARD: I'd suggest you start over.

MS. HARDY: Okay. Thank you. Earthstone seeks an order pooling uncommitted interest in the first Bone Spring interval of the Bone Spring formation underlying a 319.86 acre more-or-less standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the east half of the east half of section 34 , Township 19

South, Range 33 East, and the east half east half equivalent of irregular section 3, Township 20 South, Range 33 East. And the unit will be dedicated to the Jade 34-3 Fed 1BS Com 12H well. There is a depth severance in the Bone Spring formation with the unit, and as a result, Earthstone seeks to pool interest in the first Bone Spring interval.

We've provided with our exhibits the affidavit of Landman Matt Solomon and Geologist Jason Asmus. Mr. Solomon provides the standard land exhibits, the tract ownership information, and pooled parties are identified in Exhibit A3. Mr. Asmus provides a location map, structure map, cross-section, and gun barrel.

My notice affidavit is Exhibit C. We provided notice to all affected parties by certified mail, and we also timely published notice. In this case, we did receive return receipts from all of the parties. So with that, unless there are questions, I request that the exhibits be admitted and that the case be taken under advisement. Thank you.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. Any questions or concerns from Tap Rock?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: No questions from Tap Rock. Thank you.

MR. BRANCARD: From COG? I'll take that as a no.

Mr. Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: All right.
So I guess I got a little confused,
which doesn't take much, but you are no -- Earthstone is no longer pooling either COG or Tap Rock, is that -- well, no. Just COG -- you're not pooling COG, is that correct?

MS. HARDY: That's correct. COG and Earthstone reached an agreement.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. But you are pooling Tap Rock?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Well, I think that's it for me. So with that, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and case 23296 will be taken under advisement.

MS. HARDY: Thank you very much.
MR. BRANCARD: With that, we are at item 22, case 23297. Earthstone Operating?

MS. HARDY: Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Earthstone Operating.

MR. BRANCARD: And COG?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Ocean Munds-Dry with COG Operating, LLC.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. I believe we have a late filed motion to dismiss here; is that correct, Earthstone?

MS. HARDY: That's correct,
Mr. Examiner. We dismissed this case, and we did refile a replacement application for the March docket that omits the depth severance, so that's the reason for the dismissal.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Any objections to the dismissal?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: No objection.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
So with that, case 23297 will be dismissed. Okay, we'll move on to item 23, case 23298.

Mewbourne Oil Company? Mr. Bruce, are you with us?

MR. BRUCE: I'm afraid so. Sorry about that. Mr. Examiner, in this case, Mewbourne seeks to reopen case number 22639 to pool certain interests into the -- in the Bone Spring formation underlying the south half south half of section 22 and south half south half of section 21,18 South 29 involving the

Puma Blanca $22 / 21$ B2PM Fed Com Well Number 1. In that case, order 22346 was entered in the original case. That only pooled -- only pooled the working interest owners, but there are a number of record title owners to federal leases out here who do not own mineral interests in the Bone Spring formation, and they are either unlocatable, or they have refused to sign the communitization agreement, and therefore in this case Mewbourne seeks to pool a few record title interest owners, so that the BLM will go ahead and approve the communitization agreement.

I submitted as Exhibit 1 the
application of proposed notice, and Exhibit 2 is the statement of Ariana Rodrigues, the landman in this matter. It's pretty straightforward; it's just they have contacted the subject mineral -- record title owners. They've attached the -- she has attached the prior order. There is a plat of the unit being pooled, some of the tracts involved, and then a listing of the parties showing that Mewbourne Oil Company and its working interest partners own 100 percent of the working interest, but the three parties are being pooled for the record title only.

There's the proposal letter asking the people to sign the com agreement, so we think the
steps have been taken. These people are not adversely affected in that they are not being requested to pay any portion of the well costs. It's simply the record title interest being pooled.

Exhibit 3 is my affidavit of notice. And affidavit 5 is a notice spreadsheet. Only one person has -- Denton Oil Company has returned its green card. The other two people have disappeared into the void. There is one issue, if you'll look at my affidavit of publication. I did publish notice early in January or requested publication.

When I got the affidavit of publication back about whenever it was, ten days or so ago, I noticed that in that publication notice, I had put the date of hearing as 2022, so $I$ was obviously living in the past. So I immediately redid the affidavit, or the notice by publication to reflect the hearing date. It was too late to publish that -- get it published for this hearing, so $I$ put the February 16 date as the date of hearing.

So in short, although I presented the case, I would request that the case be moved or continued to February 16, and at that time $I$ did receive the official affidavit of publication late yesterday in the mail. I will file that for the
record, and on February 16th, all $I$ would need to do is move the admission of the exhibits and ask that the case be taken under advisement.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, any questions?
MR. GARCIA: No, sir.
MR. BRANCARD: Hearing none, so Mr.
Bruce, then the issue then is just the publication of notice; correct?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
MR. BRANCARD: I will note too that in your notice of publication, in your publication itself you need to update the email addresses and website addresses for --

MR. BRUCE: Ah, thank you. I -- I've got so many on my computer, I've done it for the most part since we were told to do so, but I will -- I will do so in the future. I will make sure $I$ remember to do that.

MR. BRANCARD: And just to clarify, the whole point of this exercise is to amend order R-22346?

MR. BRUCE: That's correct.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Thank you. You know, sometimes it's helpful if you could get that

| 1 | into your initial application, so we could figure that |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | out. |
| 3 | MR. BRUCE: Okay. |
| 4 | MR. BRANCARD: With that, are there any |
| 5 | other questions or concerns for case 23298? Hearing |
| 6 | none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, |
| 7 | and the case will be continued to February 16th for |
| 8 | notice purposes. |
| 9 | MR. BRUCE: Thank you. |
| 10 | MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. |
| 11 | With that, we are on items 24 and 25, |
| 12 | and but let me first check in with our court reporter. |
| 13 | Dana, are you doing okay? |
| 14 | THE REPORTER: I'm doing fine. |
| 15 | MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. |
| 16 | So we are on items 24 and 25. These |
| 17 | are cases 23300, 23301. |
| 18 | Mewbourne Oil Company? |
| 19 | MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on |
| 20 | behalf of Mewbourne. |
| 21 | MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. |
| 22 | We have an entry from COG operating. |
| 23 | MS. MUNDS-DRY: Ocean Munds-Dry with |
| 24 | COG Operating, LLC. Thank you. |
| 25 | MR. BRANCARD: Does COG have any |
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objection to these case going forward by affidavit? MS. MUNDS-DRY: No objection. Thank you.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Are there any other appearances then for cases 23300, 23301? Hearing none, take it away, Mewbourne.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I have submitted an exhibit package for each case, but they are virtually identical cases. So if you'd just direct yourself to the first matter, 23300, and the exhibit package for 301 is basically the same. I have attached the application and proposed notice.

The landman from the job is Josh Anderson in this first case. Mewbourne seeks to force pool the west half east half of section 1 and the west half east half of Section 12, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, in Lea County for the purpose of drilling one its Bondurant wells. The second case involves the east half east half of the same sections for another Bondurant well.

Going then to the affidavit -- contains the usual information. There's a land plat, the C102s, the tract maps showing the working interest. Not only the tracts involved, but the working interest
owners involved, and contains a summary of contacts with the parties as well as the proposal letters. Also the proposal letters show the -- certify that they were received, certified return receipts.

The AFEs are involved, the wells are pretty close to 11 million dollars in cost, which is stated to be common in this area of Lea County for wells of this depth and length. Geologist Nathan Cless -- his affidavit contains the usual structure map, cross-section -- there is one thing of interest is a production map which is Exhibit 3C showing why they are seeking stand-up units rather than lay-down units.

You'll notice that highlighted on that map are two wells -- a more recent well with a laydown well, and these are almost adjoining wells to the subject wells. Obviously this well made money and produced almost 300,000 barrels of oil, but right below that is a COG well which has produced almost 900,000 barrels of oil, so obviously the stand-up units are favored in this matter.

I submitted as Exhibit 4 the affidavit notice. The green cards coming back were few and far between, but I checked the US Postal Service website and Exhibit 7 is the spreadsheet showing that all of
the parties did receive notice, they simply haven't got back the green cards from WPX and Michael Lynn Taylor, but there is an affidavit of publication, Exhibit 5, which means that everybody did receive actual constructive notice.

Exhibit 6 is the pooling spreadsheet, and there's one issue which fortunately was broached in the Avant hearing just a little while ago, Mr. Examiner. Well, before I get to this, I believe I informed Ms. Munds-Dry that COG has signed a JOA for these wells, and therefore would be dismissed from this action. I believe that is correct.

Can you confirm that, Ms. Munds-Dry?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: I can confirm that is
true. Thank you.
MR. BRUCE: All right. I tried to find my email to you, and I couldn't.

So anyway, Mr. Examiner, COG was an original noticed party but is hereby dismissed from this case. The other issue is that in these cases, Mewbourne has requested overhead rates of $\$ 10,000$ for a drilling well and $\$ 1,000$ for a producing well. I heard your questions to Mr. DeBrine, and in Exhibit 2, the landman's affidavit, this is somewhat addressed in paragraph $2 I$ where he describes the increases that
have resulted in this request. I know it's been common for the division to request or allow 8,000/800. I know some people are still asking 7,500/750. I did mean to contact this past weekend Mr. Peabody and ask if I could borrow his wayback machine to go back in time and find out when the division did start allowing 8,000/800 a month, but $I$ believe it's been some time. And they're of course -- everything changes, so Mewbourne would request the $10,000 / 1,000$, but if the division decides otherwise, it will live with the division's decision. With that, I would move the admission of Exhibits $1-7 E$ in each case and ask that the cases be taken under advisement.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Any questions or concerns from COG?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: No questions, no
concerns. Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay.
Mr. Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
So Mr. Bruce, did you want to update --
it looks like attachment $B$, which lists the pooled parties?

MR. BRUCE: I will -- I will do that.

This was just in the last day or so. I think I might have already filed my exhibits. I will do that.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you for addressing the charges, and I will note in your publication you got the email addresses and website correct --

MR. BRUCE: Yes. Just a matter of -- a matter of what form $I$ pull up from past usage, so ... MR. BRANCARD: All right. Are there any other interested persons then for cases 23300, 23301? Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, and these cases will be taken under advisement and the record left open to revise the list of pooled parties. Thank you. With that, we are on item 26, case 23305.

Spur Energy Partners?
MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Spur Energy Partners. MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons for case 23305? Hearing none, Spur may proceed.

MS. HARDY: Thank you. Spur seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interest within the Yeso formation underlying a 320 -acre, more or less, standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the south half north half and north half south half of
section 14, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, in Lea County. Spur proposes to dedicate the unit to the Miller Federal Com 10H, 20H, 21H, 70H, and 71H wells. This is a proximity tract unit with the $10 H$ being the proximity tract defining well.

We've provided with our exhibits the affidavit of landman Lance Young and geologist Matthew Van Wie. Mr. Young provides the standard land exhibits, the tract ownership information, and identification of pooled parties are provided in Exhibit A3. You'll see that quite a few parties are listed, although most of them are overriding royalty interest owners.

Mr. Van Wie provides a location map, structure map, cross-section, and gun barrel. My notice affidavit is Exhibit C. We provided notice to all affected parties by certified mail and also timely published notice. We received return receipts from almost all of the parties. We provided the post office tracking report for parties who did not send receipts, and $I$ think most of those actually had picked up the certified mail according to the post office record.

So with that, unless there are questions, $I$ request that the exhibits be admitted and
that the case be taken under advisement. Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, questions?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
The only thing I would note is looking at your very tidy print page covering interest ownership, page 19 of the PDF, it looks like Spur only owns 3.8 percent of the working interest?

MS. HARDY: I'm trying to decipher that page myself.

MR. BRANCARD: I'm looking at the right column and the bottom line, SEP, I assume that's Spur. MS. HARDY: Yes, it is Spur. That is what this seems to show. I believe -- I'm not sure if that's correct. I can confirm with --

MR. BRANCARD: Well, pretty impressive, and no one's objecting.

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. BRANCARD: And unlike say, Colorado, we don't have a threshold for filing an application in terms of interest, so --

MS. HARDY: Right.
MR. BRANCARD: -- with that, are there any other interested persons in case 23305? Hearing
none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, and 23305 will be taken under advisement.

MS. HARDY: Thank you very much.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. With that, we are on item number 27, case 23307.

Crockett Operating?
MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. Garcia. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the applicant Crockett Operating, LLC.

MR. BRANCARD: Are there any other interested persons for case 23307?

MR. ENGINEER: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. This is Percy Engineer, land manager for Crockett Operating.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you, Mr. Engineer. And with that, Crockett may proceed.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. So in case 23307, Crockett seeks to pool uncommitted interest within the San Andres formation. And that's pool -- the pool is Bronco San Andres south oil pool, and the code is 7500 , and that's underlying a standard 480-acre, more or less, horizontal spacing unit comprised of the east half of Section 31 and the southeast quarter of Section 30 , Township 13 South,

Range 38 East, and that's in Lea County, New Mexico. And Crockett seeks to dedicate the spacing unit to the proposed Ackbar 3031 B Fee Number 5H well.

And in this case we've provided the compulsory pooling checklist as well as the self-affirmed statement of landman Mr. Percy Engineer who has joined us and the geologist Zachary Kaler, both of whom have previously testified before the division, and their credentials have been accepted as a matter of record.

Mr. Engineer's self-affirmed statement is Exhibit $C$ which also includes Exhibit C1, the C102, C2 which is the land tract map and ownership breakdown, C3, a sample well proposal letter and AFEs, and C4, a chronology of contacts. This is followed by Mr. Kaler's self-affirmed statement which is Exhibit D, and that includes Sub-Exhibits D1, a locator map, D2, a subsea structure map, D3, a cross-section map, and D4, a stratigraphic cross-section.

In these cases, Mr. Kaler did not observe any faulting, pinchouts, or other geologic impediments to the horizontal drilling of this well. And then lastly is Exhibit E which is a self-affirmed statement of notice with sample letters that were timely mailed on January 13, 2023. And Exhibit F,
which is an affidavit of notice of publication which was timely published on January 17, 2023. And unless there are any questions, I would ask that the exhibits and all sub-exhibits be admitted into the record, and that this case be taken under advisement by the division at this time.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, any questions?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So I think we need a little bit of elaboration on the role of the applicant here.

MS. VANCE: Absolutely. So previously when we filed with Crockett in the Ackbar 1H and the Anakin 1H, we provided a exhibit there. There were no questions regarding that exhibit that explained the relationship between Vader Exploration, which is the parent company to Crockett Operating, LLC. The companies are -- share the same exact management and ownership. We can provide that same exhibit here, but if you'll look at Exhibit C, which is Mr. Engineer's self-affirmed statement, paragraph 2, he states Crockett is an affiliate of Vader Exploration and Production, LLC.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. That's -- I
mean, $I$ just wanted to understand what affiliate meant. So --

MS. VANCE: Yes, and I think if you'd like us to file that supplement we can. Also, Mr. Engineer is on the line, and so I'm sure he would be happy to explain the same exact information that we can file with the supplemental exhibit.

MR. BRANCARD: I think that's fine. I was just a little taken aback by the list of people -interest owners in the document which listed Crockett at zero, and so -- normally you don't even list yourself if you're an affiliate, but -- so anyway, I just wanted a little more clarification what affiliate meant.

MS. VANCE: That sounds good, I mean -unless you need any further explanation. If what I've provided on the record is good, I would just ask that the division take the hearing and packet and all the information under advisement.

MR. BRANCARD: I think that's fine.
And so this is in the San Andres formation?
MS. VANCE: That's correct, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. BRANCARD: I assume there are no
injection wells nearby?

MS. VANCE: I would not have an answer for that off the top of my head, and I'm not sure Mr. Engineer would either. We may have to reach out to the geologist for that.

MR. ENGINEER: I can actually answer that question, Mr. Examiner. There are --

MR. BRANCARD: Mr. Engineer, could you wait a second?

MR. ENGINEER: Yes, sir.
MR. BRANCARD: Before you start
testifying could you raise your right hand?
PERCY ENGINEER,
called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
MR. ENGINEER: Yeah, there are -- there are no -- there are no injectors within a several mile radius that are injecting in this San Andres. We had an old injector that $I$ don't believe injected in the last 20 years into the formation, so there are currently no -- no injectors I'd say -- I'm estimating probably about a six to ten mile radius where there's any injection into the San Andres, to the best of my knowledge.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. That's
helpful. I was just curious, because this unit is used sometimes for reduction and sometimes for injection.

MR. ENGINEER: Yes, sir.
MR. BRANCARD: All right. With that, any other questions, Mr. Garcia?

MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: With that, the exhibits in case 23307 will be admitted into the record, and the case will be taken under advisement.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner, and thank you, Mr. Garcia.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
With that, $I$ am on item 28, case 23317.
Mewbourne Oil Company?
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Brancard and Mr. Garcia. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the applicant.

MR. BRANCARD: I have an entry of appearance from MRC Permian.

MR. BRUCE: Yes, Mr. Examiner. Jim Bruce on behalf of MRC Permian, and I would state that MRC Permian does not object to this matter moving
forward by affidavit and really has no questions to that.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. That's helpful, because don't you two lawyers usually represent the other companies?

MR. BRUCE: Oh, it's a coinflip between Mr. Feldewert and I.

MR. FELDEWERT: We have a weekly conference.

MR. BRANCARD: Any other interested persons in case 23317? Hearing none, Mewbourne may proceed.

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you,
Mr. Examiner. In this application, Mewbourne seeks to pool the Bone Spring formation underlying a nonstandard 640-acre spacing unit comprised of the east half of Sections 15 and 10,18 South, 33 East, Lea County. And we note in our application and in our previous hearing statement and in the exhibits that upon approval of this application, the division can vacate pooling orders $R-22377$ and $R-22378$ which currently cover the same acreage.

Our exhibit package contains the application and the compulsory pooling checklist, and then our Exhibit A is the land statement from Tyler

Jolly, who is the landman. And $I$ think of initial importance is that he states in paragraph 4 of his statement that the non-standard spacing unit has already been approved by the division under administrative order NSP-2144, therefore we only need to now pool this acreage for the proposed wells.

Mr. Jolly has then provided as Exhibit
A1 the C102s for the two initial wells. A2 is his tract map showing the orientation of the wells and the various tracts that are involved in what I'll call the east half acreage. He then has his ownership breakdown in Exhibit A3, and at the end of that exhibit he identifies in red font the parties that the company seeks to pool, which in total comprise about eight percent of the working interest in this entire east half of these two sections.

Exhibit A4 is the initial well proposal
letter that was sent out by the company for these two wells, and then Exhibit 5 is the chronology of contacts with the parties that the company seeks to pool. Exhibit $B$ is a statement from geologist Mr. Jordan Carrell who has previously testified before the division as an expert. He provides in B1 a location description for this acreage. B2 is his structure map showing on it the wells from $A$ to $A$

Prime that he has utilized to create a cross section, and then $B 3$ is his cross-section for this acreage in which he has identified with a red arrow the target zone for the initial two wells.

Exhibit C is my affidavit reflecting that notice was sent out to the working interest owners and contains the letter that was utilized, as well as the most recent printout of the status of the delivery. And since some of those parties have yet to pickup their package, or it has yet to be apparently delivered, we provide as Exhibit D as David a notice of publication for this particular map. So with that, we would ask that Exhibits A through D be admitted, and that this matter be taken under advisement.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, questions?
MR. GARCIA: Thank you for writing the NST number on this --

MR. FELDEWERT: Sure.
MR. GARCIA: One question, and it's purely for myself. Do you -- combining two orders into one order? Is it just for internal tracking interest for the operators? Solely for selfknowledge.

MR. FELDEWERT: Certainly. Yes. And
that's why I referenced the two prior orders. I didn't -- procedurally, yes. We're wrapping those two orders into -- the acreage that's involved in those two orders into this case, which is why we requested that once the -- or maybe as part of the order issued in this case, the division would then vacate the two existing orders that we identified in our application.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah. So really it's for ease of tracking them for --

MR. FELDEWERT: I'm sorry, I missed that.

MR. GARCIA: So basically making it one large one is just ease of tracking interest owners mainly?

MR. FELDEWERT: It's -- really it's for purposes of allowing -- you know, if we do it this way, they're able to deal with some of the co-mingling issues more effectively.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah. Thank you. It's not the first time I've had something like this before me, so $I$ was interested.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah -- yeah.
MR. GARCIA: That's call my questions,
Mr. Brancard.
MR. FELDEWERT: I think you're muted,

Bill.
MR. BRANCARD: You're coming in a little soft, Mr. Garcia, so just be aware.

Okay. Have these wells been drilled? MR. FELDEWERT: No.

MR. BRANCARD: All right.
MR. FELDEWERT: At least -- well, let me step back. Maybe I spoke too soon. Not to my knowledge. I haven't looked at the division's files, and I didn't ask that question, but I don't -- I don't think so, Mr. Examiner.

MR. BRANCARD: So -- okay. We have two --

MR. FELDEWERT: In fact, let me step back. They have not been drilled.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. We have two orders for two standard spacing units. We issued those orders. Did Mewbourne send out then AFEs to the parties saying, "Are you going to join the well or not?" But they haven't, because they haven't drilled the wells. Okay.

MR. FELDEWERT: Correct. So before any of that occurred, Mewbourne decided to pursue the non-standard spacing unit route which resulted first in the administrative approval of the non-standard
spacing unit, and now the filing of this pooling application to essentially replace the two existing pooling orders.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So we issue one or more orders getting rid of the old orders and putting a new order in place.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. And then
Mewbourne will have to send out, right before it drills, the offer to the parties.

MR. FELDEWERT: To participate in the pooling order, yes.

MR. BRANCARD: Yes. Okay. Correct.
Thank you. My concern -- and we can talk about whether it's a real concern or not -- is that what you used in this application for notifying the parties and giving the -- sending them AFEs is what Mewbourne did in the first set of applications.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, and I did look at that. And when I go to the letter, it says, "hereby proposes to creating a working interest unit comprising the east half of Section 15 and the east half of Section 10." That's what we're doing here. So I think all along they hoped to develop this as an east half working interest unit, either by voluntary
agreement or eventually now by pooling.
MR. BRANCARD: So when you send out the AFEs, does the company normally say, "Okay, we think this well is going to cost 6.5 million, and your percentage would be X"?

MR. FELDEWERT: So let me -- let's step back here. I -- I'm not sure exactly how each land person does it within Mewbourne. I know that in this particular case, when $I$ look at Exhibit A4, there is no breakdown of a company's percentage. Now what they do once they get the pooling order, and they send out -- so in other words, unless the spacing unit is actually put together, either by agreement or by the pooling order, and they're at a point there to know what the acreage dedication is going to be -- at that point $I$ don't know whether they -- when they send out the AFE whether they provide an interest breakdown or not.

But certainly in this Exhibit A3, part of this pooling case if you go to the last page, that is everybody's interest in the non-standard spacing unit.

MR. BRANCARD: All right. And my concern is that that percentage is different than the percentages in each of the two prior units.

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, it would be different, because we're combining the acreage. The prior units did have it -- if you go into the file, I'm pretty confident it had it broken down by spacing unit. So it may or may not have been different depending upon -- yeah, it would have been different, because the tracks are different. But there was no proposed -- there was no election that was sent out under those prior orders.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay.
MR. FELDEWERT: So the election that's going to go out now will be under -- once this order is issued -- will be under the existing order with the percentages shown on Exhibit A3.

MR. BRANCARD: Has anything changed about the wells? Are they the same wells?

MR. FELDEWERT: The wells are the same.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay.
MR. FELDEWERT: The only thing -- I
know that painstakingly, because when $I$ first got the C102s and I put together the package, they had the 320 acres dedicated rather than 640. So that was the only thing we had to change. Pool was the same, location was the same, the dedicated acres -- we almost missed that, Mr. Examiner. I'm sure you would have pointed
it out to us.
MR. BRANCARD: Maybe, maybe not. So you see what I'm getting at is I'm sort of wondering whether with the new application you can rely on the AFEs that were sent out -- the well proposals that were sent out under the prior applications, which is what you're doing here.

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, I think it depends upon how the letter was drafted. I mean, in this case when $I$ looked at the letter, this is exactly what we're doing. When $I$ look at A4, this is exactly what we're doing here with this pooling application.

MR. BRANCARD: I see what you mean.
Yeah.
MR. FELDEWERT: But I -- and I did look at that.

MR. BRANCARD: In other words, they treated it as one unit initially.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Even though they were part of separate spacing units in their applications.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah. I mean, when it got time to file the applications, you know, we had to split it out. But until -- they were then able to get
a non-standard spacing unit approved without objection.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. All right. Mr. Garcia, any concerns about this process?

MR. GARCIA: I mean, I think the bigger concern $I$ have isn't this case. It's that -- those landman letters that do send out AFEs are very, very vague. The more hearing orders I issue, the more public phone calls I receive. I got an AFE for $\$ 10$ million. If there's a fee to pay, it doesn't say how much to pay. And that's pretty vague across the board for all law firms and all -- I guess maybe recommend to the landman in the future to assemble one line of -- you know, person $A$, you own three percent of this spacing unit or are entitled to three percent of the AFE.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, I mean my -- I hear you. My only response to that is let's keep in mind what this is. This is the initial proposal for all parties to commence the discussion of good faith efforts to reach a voluntary agreement; right? So things -- unless they sign a JOA, they're not going to be submitting their money or sending their election until we get the pooling order, at which point in time
then the landman has to send out the well proposal under the pooling order with, "This is how much you owe."

MR. GARCIA: And that's the ones I'm talking about. Those are the ones --

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah, and I agree.
Those need -- I don't disagree with that. I'm sure that they do that, otherwise how would anybody know how much they're supposed to pay under an AFE under a pooling order? So I'm sure they do that.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah. The increase in my phone calls -- lead me to believe otherwise, so I would recommend it to your landman.

MR. FELDEWERT: I didn't realize you were getting phone calls. Okay.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah, when they call me up with a hearing order number with an AFE cost and say, "I don't know how much to pay," maybe across the board recommend to all of your clients.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah. That's a good idea.

MR. GARCIA: And that goes for all of you, Counsel.

MR. BRANCARD: Yeah, and that's a good idea, even at the well proposal stage. Because if
you'd like to get somebody to sign a JOA, they should know what, you know, what -- how much they're in for. And often the people -- I think that Mr. Garcia gets calls from are people who have really small interests, you know?

MR. GARCIA: Yeah.
MR. BRANCARD: You know, a . 5 interest of a $\$ 9$ million well is not that great, but when you see the only number you have is in the $\$ 9$ million well, it's a bit daunting.

MR. FELDEWERT: I understand, yeah. Yeah. And $I$ just made a note to tell my client.

MR. BRANCARD: With that, are there any
other interested persons for case 23317? Hearing none, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, and case 23317 -- and $I$ don't think we had anything else you need to submit; is that correct, Mr. Feldewert?

MR. FELDEWERT: As far as I'm -- yes, I think you have what you need.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. The case will be taken under advisement then.

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: With that, we are on item 29, case 23322.

OXY USA?
MR. RANKIN: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner, Examiner Garcia. Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of the applicant in this case with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for case 23322? Hearing none, OXY may proceed.

MR. RANKIN: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. If it may please the division, in this case OXY seeks an order pooling all uncommitted mineral owners in the Wolfcamp formation underlying a standard 1,278.62-acre, more or less, horizontal well spacing unit comprised of Sections 3 and 10 all within Township 24 South, Range 29 East, in Eddy County, New Mexico.

In this case, Mr. Examiner, the only uncommitted owner that OXY is seeking to pool here is a company by the name of $M B R$ Resources, which is a bare-record title owner in the BLM -- listed in the BLM serial registry page, which means that they have no working interest, they are not a cost-bearing interest, and they do not have any revenue interest in the production from this base unit. OXY is seeking to pool this party in order to allow them to obtain a
communitization agreement from the BLM. In this case, OXY is seeking to initially dedicate to the horizontal well spacing unit eight initial wells that have been drilled and completed and are producing, designated as the Tails CC 10-3 Federal Com Wells, the Tails 38H Well is within 338 feet of the offsetting quarter sections or the equivalent tracks to allow the inclusion of the additional proximity tracks into an enlarged spacing unit.

Mr. Examiner, filed on Tuesday was a exhibit packet, Exhibits A through F. Exhibit A is a copy of the compulsory pooling application checklist which identifies the elements necessary for the divisions orders and pooling. Identifying the spacing unit, the acreage, the orientation of the wells, the wells that are dedicated to the spacing unit, the proximity-defining well, and the other elements under the pooling order.

Exhibit $B$ is a copy of the application that was filed in this case. Exhibit $C$ is the self-affirmed statement of OXY's landman, Mr. Peter Van Liew. Mr. Van Liew has previously testified before the division and has had his credentials as an expert in petroleum land matters accepted. In Mr. Liew's statement, he reviews the basis -- he
reviews the proposed spacing unit, the formation that's being pooled, the wells that are dedicated to it, and explains the basis for, and the reason, that they're seeking to pool MBR Resources in this case.

Mr. Liew also explains that the company that they're seeking to pool based on his review of the Texas Secretary of State website is no longer in place -- is defunct. And he has -- explains his efforts to identify that company and operators of the company, as well as the company's registered agent and provided notice and request for verification of the BLM communization agreement. I was unable to locate them, and so therefore we had to resort to pooling to combine their interests into this spacing unit.

His Exhibit C1 is a copy of the C102
status drill for each of the wells. His Exhibit C2 is a tract map identifying the different leases that comprise the spacing unit. It also identifies the specific tract from which MBR Resources is the record title owner. Mr. Examiner, just so you're clear, we did not include an ownership breakdown of the mineral owners or their working interests in this case, because all those interests have voluntarily committed to a joint-operating agreement which is in place, and so because MBR Resources does not own a fractional
interest or proportionate interest in the spacing unit, we did not provide that as an exhibit.

Exhibit C3 is a copy of Mr. Van Liew's communication efforts to research and identify -locate each of the parties -- well, rather MBR Resources, and then also the operators that were identified in the Texas Secretary of State website, as well as the registered agent.

Just to be clear, because MBR Resources is a non-cost bearing interest, $O X Y$ is not seeking to impose a proportionate share of cost or risk charges, overhead or administrative costs, for drilling or completing these wells. OXY provided us with a copy of the addresses and the information that it identified for each of the -- for the company itself and then each of the operators that it was able to locate through our online records.

Exhibit $D$ is a copy of the self-affirmed statement of Mr. Seth Brazell. He has not previously testified before the division, therefore attached to his self-affirmed statement, Exhibit D, is his resume, marked as Exhibit D1, which reviews his educational background and work history as a petroleum geologist. Mr. Examiner, based on his experience and education and work, I ask that he be
recognized before the division as an expert in petroleum geology.

MR. BRANCARD: There being no objections, so recognized.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner, attached is a statement as well, Exhibit D2 is a locator map that identifies OXY's spacing unit. Exhibit D3 is a structure map that he's prepared on top of the Wolfcamp identifying the wellbore paths and other elements of the geologic analysis. He notes that he did not observe any faulting, pinchouts, or geologic impediments to the drilling of the corresponding wells in the acreage.

Exhibit D3 also shows the line of cross-section, identifying the wells that he's used to create a cross-section in this next Exhibit D4. And that exhibit is a structural cross-section identifying the target zones for each of the wells in the spacing unit. He confirms that the orientation of the wells is appropriate, and that each of the wells will contribute more or less equally to production from the spacing unit.

Mr. Examiner, because we did have some issues identifying these parties, we -- well, let me back up. First, Exhibit E is a copy of the affidavit
prepared by me and my office reflecting that we have provided each of the individuals identified to us by OXY. Attached to my letter is a copy of the notice letter that went out to each of these individuals with the updated information from the division, and then a copy of the current tracking information as of last week.

And then in addition, because some of them were still outstanding, we published notification in the newspaper identifying the company and the individual operators by name, so that they would have effective notice of a location.

Mr. Examiner, at this time $I$ would move then Exhibits A through $F$ and their attachments and stand ready for any questions that you or Mr. Garcia may have.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, any questions?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. BRANCARD: So just to make clear for the record, Mr. Rankin -- these are 320-acre building blocks? The building blocks are oriented the same direction as the well, and you have a proximity well in between?

MR. RANKIN: That's correct.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons then for case 23322? Hearing none, the exhibits will be put into the record, and case 23322 will be taken under advisement.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
MR. BRANCARD: Once again, I'll check in with our court reporter. Are we doing okay?

THE REPORTER: I'm doing fine.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. We're on item number 30, case 23323.

Chevron USA?
MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. May it please the division, Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of the applicant in this case.

MR. BRANCARD: We have an entry of appearance from Coterra Energy.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Examiner, Mr. Garcia. Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Coterra Energy, et al.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. Does Coterra have any objections to this case going forward by affidavit?

MR. SAVAGE: No objections. Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: Any other interested
persons for case 23323? Hearing none, Chevron may proceed.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. In this case, Chevron seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interests in the Wolfcamp formation, underlying a standard 640-acre, more or less, horizontal spacing unit comprised of the west half of Sections 3 and 10 within Township 23 South, Range 28 East, in Eddy County, New Mexico. The spacing unit is proposed to be initially dedicated to three wells: CB Amilyn, 103 Fed Com, P13 401H, 402 H , and 403 H wells. Mr. Examiner, on Tuesday we filed in this case an exhibit packet consisting of Exhibits A through F. Exhibit $A$ is a copy of the compulsory pooling checklist which identifies the necessary elements of a pooling to be included in the division's pooling orders identifying the applicant to the operator and the well family proposed spacing unit, orientation of the well, and other elements of the proposed spacing unit.

Exhibit B is a copy of the application that was filed in this case. Exhibit $C$ is a copy of a self-affirmed statement of Mr. Douglas Crawford. Mr. Crawford is a landman with Chevron. He has not previously testified before the division, therefore

Mr. Examiner, we have attached to his statement his resume which includes his educational background and work experience as a petroleum landman. We ask at this time that the division, based on his qualifications and experience, be recognized as an expert petroleum witness before the division.

MR. BRANCARD: Hearing no objections, so recognized.

MR. RANKIN: Mr. Examiner,
Mr. Crawford's land statement reviews the proposed spacing unit, identifies the acreage and the wells to be dedicated, and his Exhibit $C 2$ is a copy of the C102s for the proposed wells reflecting that these wells would be assigned to the Purple Sage Wolfcamp gas pool. Exhibit C 3 is a tract map that identifies the tracts and leases that will comprise the acreage in the spacing unit, as well as the interests that comprise the spacing unit, including the overriding royalty interests and the working interests that remain uncommitted.

These are indicated in that exhibit by bold and an asterisk. So each of the parties that are bolded with an asterisk are parties that Chevron is seeking to pool in this case. There are no depth severances. Exhibit C4 is a sample of the well
proposal letters that were sent out by Mr. Crawford to the parties that own an interest in the acreage. The costs reflected in the AFEs are similar to what Chevron and other operators in the area have incurred for doing similar wells in the acreage.

Exhibit C5 is a summary of the chronology and efforts to identify, locate, and reach agreement with each of the working interests. Mr. Crawford confirms that in his opinion, he has made a reasonable and good faith effort to reach agreement with each of those parties. In this case, Chevron is seeking an overhead administrative cost of 8,000 a month for drilling and 800 a month for producing. Mr. Crawford provided us with all the names of the parties that are subject to the proposed pooling in this case.

Exhibit D is the self-affirmed statement of Ms. Natthawee Hinthong, and she is a geologist with Chevron and also has not previously testified before the division, therefore she has attached to her self-affirmed statement a copy of her work experience and educational background as a petroleum geologist. Mr. Examiner, at this time if there are no objections, I would ask that Ms. Hinthong be accepted and recognized as an expert in petroleum
geology before the division.
MR. BRANCARD: Hearing no objection, so
recognized.
MR. RANKIN: Attached to her
self-affirmed statement is Exhibit D2, which is a locator map identifying the area of interest here where Chevron is seeking to pool. Exhibit D3 is a subsurface structure map that she prepared on top of Wolfcamp A -- interval showing the structure of the geology in the target zones. She has identified no faulting or pinchouts or other geologic impediments to development of horizonal wells in the area.

Her D4 Exhibit also shows the cross-section lines comprised of three wells that she has used and are representative of the geology in the area for construction of a structure map in her next -- rather, stratigraphic cross-section in her next Exhibit, D5. That D5 Exhibit identifies the target intervals within the Wolfcamp and demonstrates that the target zones are consistent and present across the entire spacing unit.

She confirms that she believes this acreage is appropriate for horizontal well development, and is in the interest of conservation, prevention of waste, and that they're oriented
properly for development in the area.
Let's see. Exhibit E is a copy of the affidavit prepared by myself and our office reflecting that we provided notice to each of the parties who are subject to compulsory pooling in this case and also have caused a publication in the newspaper identifying each of the parties by name, which is attached as well as Exhibit F. Also in Exhibit $E$ is a copy of the letter we sent out providing notice to each of the parties of today's hearing and the status of those mailings by certified mail is attached to that letter, reflecting the delivery status to each of these individuals, because some of them I believe reflected they're not yet, or may not have been picked up.

We have also included a notice of publication attached as Exhibit $F$ that reflects each of the parties by name has been given notice in the Carlsbad Current-Argus in a timely manner. With that, Mr. Examiner, I think I would move Exhibits A through $F$ and their attachments to be accepted into the record and ask, if there are no questions, that the case be taken under advisement.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, any questions?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.

MR. BRANCARD: I will go to Coterra
Energy. Any questions?
MR. SAVAGE: No questions. Thank you. MR. BRANCARD: Okay.

I will just say, Mr. Rankin, I'm looking at your list of interest owners. It seems like it's after your Exhibit C3; is that right?

MR. RANKIN: Yep.
MR. BRANCARD: You have this by tract only; is that correct?

MR. RANKIN: I'm sorry, Mr. Examiner.
I should have been -- articulated that, because there's a lot of gobbledygook at the headings for each column. And so you'll see going from left to right, the gobbledygook I'm referring to are acronyms, and the first column, denoted as TRGW1 is the tract basis. The next column over to the right is the unit basis. So it has both a unit interest and -- rather, both a tract basis and a unit basis within this chart. The next two columns relate to the revenue interest, which are not -- not relevant for purposes of the division.

MR. BRANCARD: But the second column, the unit interest, is simply a translation of what that tract's interest translates into for the unit?

MR. RANKIN: It -- it is --

MR. BRANCARD: It's not the interest of that party for the entire unit?

MR. RANKIN: It is, Mr. Examiner. If you look, for example, at the Magnum Hunter in tract 1 at the top, you'll see that it identifies the mineral owner as the United States of the federal tract, and then below that you have Magnum Hunter Production, which is an affiliate of Coterra. So they have 100 percent of the working interest in that tract, but when you look at it on a unit basis, it's 18.7 percent.

MR. BRANCARD: Right, but if you go down to say, the next item, and you go look at the Chevron numbers for your tract 2 .

MR. RANKIN: Yeah.
MR. BRANCARD: The unit interest of Chevron is just taking that tract's interest and saying what part of the unit that is. It's not saying the higher interest is Chevron.

MR. RANKIN: In the --
MR. BRANCARD: So what I'm saying basically is you don't have a cumulative chart here anywhere.

MR. RANKIN: I -- I see -- I think I see what you're saying. I think what I'm telling you,

Mr. Examiner, is that the unit interest in that second column is what that interest amounts to on a unit-wide basis. But you're pointing out that Chevron USA has interest in different tracts, and you'd have to add those up to get to the total?

MR. BRANCARD: Yes.
MR. RANKIN: On a unit basis that's true. So you'd have to just do a little math here, but you can see in the second column that it does have what that interest is on a unit basis, you just would have to add the interests to come to a total.

MR. BRANCARD: I guess what I'm more interested in is having in one place, which we often have in applications, a list of all the pooled parties.

MR. RANKIN: Yeah. And that's here. They are all identified in this one -- one exhibit. I guess what you're asking for though is a separate column that just would have a total interest across the entire unit that would sum everything in column 2 ?

MR. BRANCARD: Yeah, or just a list of the pooled parties, frankly. I mean, the unit interest is interesting, but the -- I mean -- because here is the point. When we go to look at the notice -- who is getting noticed -- we want to be able
to compare who is getting noticed to who the pooled parties are. And so if we have all of the pooled parties in one place, it's easier to compare it to further on in your document who got noticed -- and make sure that you got notice to all the people you're listing as pooled. So it would be helpful just of who are the parties you are pooling.

MR. RANKIN: Okay. So Mr. Examiner, I just want to clear, because this Exhibit C3 does contain a list of the parties that we're pooling identified in bold with an asterisk for each of those -- each of those parties of the pooled parties.

MR. BRANCARD: Right. But they are mixed up in various tracts, and some of them have interest in more than one, so it would be good to have a list of all of them to compare. Because when $I$ kind of glance, I just picked a couple names, picking names of people who I knew, which is really odd that I actually knew some people on this list. I noticed that, you know, Lisa Enfield is listed as somebody with their separate property, and then she's also listed as a trustee. But when you go to the notice provisions, it's only sent to Lisa Enfield, trustee. I don't know if that's a different address from Lisa Enfield. And Cecilia Haynes becomes Cecilia Haynew
when it comes to the notice provisions. So trying to find out whether you've missed anybody in notice, it's really helpful if we had a list of just who all are the pooled parties. Which I'm sure you have, because that's how you got your notice out. So if you could just get us a list of who are you pooling. I don't even have to have interest percentages, just who are they. That makes it a lot easier for us to compare that to the notice provisions.

MR. RANKIN: Are you asking for that in this case, Mr. Examiner, or what?

MR. BRANCARD: Yes. Because there's a fair number here, and which requires therefore a fair amount of notice. I'm going to make sure you did it correctly.

MR. RANKIN: Okay, Mr. Examiner. I will confer with Crawford, and we will provide a single list of the parties who are being pooled.

MR. BRANCARD: And it's also helpful, because the pooling checklist which is attached to the order will say, "List of parties being pooled are at this location." So if somebody wants to know who's being pooled, they should be able to go right to it. MR. GARCIA: Can you hear me,

Mr. Brancard?

MR. BRANCARD: Yes, we can hear you great now, Mr. Garcia.

MR. GARCIA: My headset was dying -probably why you couldn't hear me. And just one thing I guess I'll keep reiterating is, you know, keep in mind while building exhibit packets -- OCD is a state entity. We get lots of public traffic and lots of public phone calls, and some of these people are from, you know, all over the country. They've never even heard about OCD, have never seen a well in their backyard. So you know, keeping that in mind would probably help, too.

MR. BRANCARD: Did you have any other questions or concerns, Mr. Garcia?

MR. GARCIA: No, no questions.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. With that, do we have any other interested parties then for case 23323? Hearing none, the exhibits in case 23323 will be admitted to the record, case will be taken under advisement, and with the record left open to provide us with a complete list of the pooled parties. Thank you. With that, we are at item 31, case 23324.

Mewbourne Oil Company?
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
And then we have an entry of appearance from MRC Permian.

MR. FELDEWERT: May it please, Examiner, Michael Feldewert from Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Are there any other interested persons for case 23324? Hearing none, Mewbourne may proceed.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, there are several issues in this case, but let me first proceed with the pooling portion, the stripping pooling portion of the case. In this case, Mewbourne seeks to pool the south half of Section 23 and the south half of Section 24,21 South, 27 East in the Wolfcamp formation for the purpose of drilling two Chile Verde wells.

Exhibit 1 is the application and proposed publication notice. Exhibit 2 is the statement of Josh Anderson, landman. He has been previously qualified as an expert by the division. The purpose of this matter is to force pool the lands I've just discussed which are in -- it's a 640-acre -proposed non-standard 640 -acre spacing and proration unit in the Lone Tree Draw-Wolfcamp pool, which is an
oil pool, and $I$ will get to that in a minute.
Exhibit Attachment A to the landman's affidavit is a general land plat, and the C102s for the well, the wells are at orthodox locations in this pool. Attachment $D$ shows the tracts involved, the interests owner's -- tracts. And the third page, attachment $B$, at the top it shows the parties being pooled who are MRC Permian, Ridge Runner Resources Operating, and Ridge Runner Resources, Agent.

Attachment $C$ is a summary of contacts with the parties. If you will notice, this matter has been going on for over two years, and $I$ will also get to that in a minute. Proposal letters for the parties -- to the parties are attached, which went out in October, 2019, and then attachment $D$ is the AFEs for the proposed well.

The attachment -- or excuse me, Exhibit 3 is the landman's of the affidavit. Charles Crosby contains a structure map, and the cross-section showing that the interval being pooled is pretty continuous across the well unit, and each quarter section or quarter quarter section in the well unit will contribute more or less equally to production.

Exhibit 4 is my notice letter, and I'm sure you will point this out to me, Mr. Examiner -- I
believe -- I don't know if my email information is correct on the site. I think it is. I think succeeded in avoiding that trap. The notice, if you go back to Exhibit 7, which is the notice spreadsheet -- I'm going to add under USPS online service, and it shows that all the parties did receive notice. I have not received -- green cards back. Obviously, MRC Permian knows about the case and did ask for an appearance.
I intend to supplement Exhibit 7, and hopefully $I$ will get some green cards back within the next couple of days. But $I$ think all of the procedures for pooling have been complied with, and so I would ask approval of pooling in this matter. The other issues to address are -- let me take a step back. In this case, as noted, I will refer you to Exhibit 14 -- All right. Excuse me -- paragraph 14 of the landman's affidavit.

This is a non-standard unit, and it's requested for the following reasons. When a couple of years ago, on behalf of Mewbourne I filed force pooling applications for Wolfcamp wells and the north half of these two sections, and also in the south half of these two sections, which is what we're here for today. In case 21724, Mewbourne pooled the Wolfcamp
formation in the north half of the sections. Pooling order was granted, and the well was drilled. In case 21725, Mewbourne pooled the south half of these sections, which is what we're here for today, based on 640-acre spacing. An order was granted, but those wells were not drilled, and the order expired, which is why we're here today.

At the time of those two orders were issued, the division had placed the wells in the Alacran Hills-Wolfcamp gas pool, which was spaced on 320 acres, unless the 640 -acre well units were proper. Thereafter, the division changed the designation to the Lone Tree Draw-Wolfcamp pool, which is an oil pool, spaced on 40 acres in the north half. Mewbourne sought administratively a non-standard spacing and proration unit, and that was granted.

In this case, obviously we have also requested a non-standard spacing and proration unit. If you look at Attachment $C$ to the landman's affidavit, you can see when the proposal letters went out, it was for a south half unit based on the current spacing at that time. Secondly, if you look at Attachment $B$ to the landman's affidavit, you will see that the south half is covered by I think it's three tracts of land which shows that -- excuse me, four
tracks of land, which shows that each tract, whether you look at the north half south half, the two sections, or the south half south half, interest ownership is uniform. So really, by drilling a non-standard unit, no one is adversely affected.

As the landman notes in his affidavit, the branching of the non-standard unit, similar to the non-standard unit in the north half of these sections, will lead to efficient administration and reduced surface use, and therefore Mewbourne requests permission for a non-standard proration unit for these wells.

A second issue is -- I will note that there was a prior case, I think it was 23284, where I -- which came up for hearing on the first January docket. Before that hearing, I noticed that the -from the C102s submitted to me that the pooling designation had changed so that the application wasn't proper, so I dismissed that application and filed this application. And during that hearing, Mr. Feldewert pointed out that there may be an overlapping well unit issue. Mewbourne looked at that, and if you go to paragraph 15 of the landman's affidavit, there is a well, the state IR Well Number 1, in Unit E of Section 23, which was completed in the Wolfcamp formation
almost 30 years ago -- well actually, almost 35 years ago -- with the west half of Section 23 dedicated to the well, which is shown on attachment $F$ to the landman's affidavit. That well was placed in the East Carlsbad-Wolfcamp gas pool by the division. Mewbourne's review of the data shows that the well is no longer producing from the Wolfcamp formation, thus Mewbourne asserts there is no overlapping well unit. In addition, the State IR Well Number 1 is in a different pool than what the division placed the proposed wells in, so I think under the overlapping well unit rules, there should not be an overlapping well unit.

And then the third issue is, just because $I$ thought you might ask me this question, Mr. Examiner, the overhead rates requested by Mewbourne are $\$ 8,000$ a month for a drilling well, and $\$ 800$ a month for a producing well, as opposed to the prior case where we requested a higher rate. The reason for that is that this matter has been going on for two years, and all of the JOA which covers a number of interest owners, and all of the proposals and discussions between the parties, were under an $\$ 800$ rate, and Mewbourne thinks that should remain, since it's been going on for two plus years, almost
two and a quarter years. And so those are the rates that Mewbourne requests.

With that, I would move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 7 and ask that the matter be taken under advisement.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia, questions?
MR. GARCIA: I think so.
Let me see if $I$ can unconfuse myself too, Mr. Bruce. So we had an old case, old hearing order, that is expired for this -- correct?

MR. BRUCE: Correct.
MR. GARCIA: Okay -- have been outdated and now needs an NSP and a compulsory pooling again; correct?

MR. BRUCE: Correct.
MR. GARCIA: Okay. Compulsory pooling notice looks good. Was the NSP notice good? I didn't -- I'm still going through the exhibits, but -- was the -- notified correctly to the adjoining tracts?

MR. BRUCE: I don't -- and I'll tell you what, I'm unclear on that. In looking at the NSP notice rules, the first thing I always see is someone being excluded.

MR. GARCIA: Correct.

MR. BRUCE: And there's no -- excluded, because the interest owners, whether you look at the north half south half and the south half south half or combine -- are all the same parties with the same interests. And then --

MR. GARCIA: Well, $I$ can clarify, and Mr. Brancard can keep me in line if I'm wrong. Horizontal well -- four horizontal wells NSPs is you notify excluded tracts if the excluded tract would have been standard if it was included. So if you have a standard 320, you drop a tract, it's not NSP, you would have to notify the tract you dropped. For all other cases, you'd notify the adjoining tracts. SO if you combine two standards, you notify the surrounding tracts. Typically, OCD's policy has been half the acreage you notify. So something like Purple Sage 320 building blocks, you would notify the offset 160-acre tracts. For standard oil or 40 acres, we'd just go with 40 acres, because there's no really halfing that. That's my interpretation of the NSP notice for -rules.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. And -- I understand that, Mr. Garcia, and $I$ was looking at that. And I did not talk with Mewbourne about that, and they said they've gotten NSPs approved where they notified the
offsetting tracts if there is an operator. And I guess I would refer to the -- exhibits, Exhibit 3A -which other than the north half tracts, which are operated by Mewbourne, there are no adjoining Wolfcamp well units. And of course it would be 40 acre tracts. Now Mewbourne is willing to do whatever the division requests, but $I$ was confused, because I guess I focused mainly on the excluded tract deal. I thought everything was fine, but if we need to notify someone else, we will gladly do so.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah. The rules don't explicitly say offsetting operator, they say affected parties or affected persons, is what $I$ think the rules say.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. That's why I was a little iffy on that regulation.

MR. GARCIA: I believe you would still need to file NSP even if it was all uniform ownership. The uniform ownership would have to submit a waiver of protest period, essentially. I'm losing the correct word. Basically, like, "Hey, we own the offsetting acreage. We thereby waive our interest. There is no other interest in the offsetting acreage." I may be able to bypass the 20 day notice period of an NSP, but Mr. Brancard can correct me if that's wrong. But I
believe that's how Leonard has been treating the administrative NSP requests.

MR. BRUCE: Well, I'm willing to learn.
Let's put it that way. I think this may --
MR. GARCIA: Yeah. So I think I'm
fully caught up. It was an old order, it expired, that's gone. The wells have not been drilled. We need a new CP order, we need an NSP because the -- no over off-spacing unit, because that well is probably plugged and abandoned, it sounds like.

MR. BRUCE: That well is plugged and abandoned because it is still a Bone Spring producer.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah. Okay. Sorry. I guess really my only question comes down to is if notice is correct, and I'm sure Mr. Brancard was probably looking at that also, because he has a very eagle-eye for the notices.

MR. BRUCE: That's what $I$ was afraid of, Mr. Garcia.

MR. GARCIA: That's why he's always here with us. Compulsory pooling-wise, I have no questions. NSP-wise, I would ask Mr. Brancard if he is comfortable with notice. I feel there might be defectiveness.

Mr. Brancard?

MR. BRANCARD: Well, I'm wondering if an easier way to go, Mr. Garcia, Mr. Bruce, is to drop the non-standard from this application and have you apply administratively, Mr. Bruce. Would that be -then you wouldn't have to go back to hearing. You could just apply administratively, and if nobody objects, then you don't need a hearing.

MR. BRUCE: That -- that would make me happy, Mr. Examiner.

MR. GARCIA: And it would work for me. And I see your APDs both have conditions of approval for -- to get an NSP administratively. So I'm also okay with that.

MR. BRANCARD: People do it just for ease to sort of get both done at a hearing, but you can do it just as easily administratively, if you'd like.

MR. BRUCE: And --
MR. BRANCARD: In fact, there was a case earlier today where they had already done the administrative approval for a non-standard unit.

MR. BRUCE: Right. Now is -- and I haven't spoken with Mr. Lowe about this, but re-offsetting 40 s , do you need to notify all of the working interests in each offsetting 40 ?

MR. BRANCARD: Well, they use the term affected persons, and affect persons means operator. If not an operator, then lessee working interest owners, and if not working interest owners, then unleased mineral owners.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. I understand that. That's why I just -- yeah.

MR. BRANCARD: So yeah. You follow the affected persons definition to notify. I mean, I think your client is the operator to the north -correct?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
MR. BRANCARD: So that eliminates one part of the notice right there.

MR. BRUCE: That eliminates a lot.
Yeah. Correct.
MR. BRANCARD: So yeah, check with Mr. Lowe. He would -- if you go administratively, that may be the easier thing for you to do right now is just to drop that from this application, and we go ahead and --

MR. BRUCE: Then I still request a -MR. BRANCARD: And so we don't need to, unlike the previous case we had, we don't need to revoke the prior order, because it should have expired
on its own terms; is that correct, Mr. Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: Correct.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So we're not
replacing an order. We may just note it as a finding in this order, just so it's --

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. But I can guarantee that the wells were not drilled, so ...

MR. BRANCARD: And then yes, you can -you know, if there's an issue with overlapping spacing unit, you can figure that one out, too, at the time you go for the APD.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah, and I would note that the division obviously approved the non-standard unit in the north half, and they've made no requirement of Mewbourne with respect to an overlapping well unit, which is where that State IR Well Number 1 is located.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. All right. I'm trying to think. Do we have other questions?

MR. FELDEWERT: Just one --
MR. BRANCARD: Oh, Mr. Feldewert?
MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you. Just one observation, only because $I$ heard -- I don't want there to be any confusion. Mr. Examiner, you mentioned the fact that Mewbourne was the operator of the space unit to the north. I believe that for the
non-standard unit, since Mewbourne is the operator to the north, the notice would go to the working interest owners then under the affected party.

MR. BRANCARD: I would have to check with Leonard on that. Check with Leonard. Yeah, I would have to refer you to him.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
MR. BRUCE: And just as an answer to -a general response to Mr. Feldewert -- my clients have told me that they've gotten some other NSPs, and if there is an operator, they only notify the operator.

MR. FELDEWERT: Let's talk about that off the --

MR. BRUCE: On our weekly conversation?
MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah.
MR. BRUCE: But as I said, Mewbourne will do whatever the division desires, so ...

MR. GARCIA: Yeah. I believe Mr. Brancard's -- is the easiest and the cleanest, and works for me, so ...

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So let me ask around then again, are there other interested parties?

I'll start with MRC Permian -- any questions, concerns?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir. Thank you.

MR. BRANCARD: Any other interested parties, case 23324? Hearing none, so I think Mr. Bruce, you indicated you were going to try to get us some green cards?

MR. BRUCE: I hope so. They've been slow on coming in this month, but $I$ will -- as soon as I receive the green cards, I will file a notice of additional filing.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Thank you. I think that's -- do we have anything else that you -I'm sorry?

MR. BRUCE: I would also update the spreadsheet.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay.
MR. GARCIA: I believe one typo, not too major of a typo, Mr. Bruce, but since you have some stuff to resend -- your checklist first stake points and the last stake points are different than your 102 s are. As an FYI.

MR. BRUCE: Now the -- on which well now?

MR. GARCIA: Both wells. For instance, one well says 1980 from north line, and then it says 1980 from south line for your first and last stake points, however the 102 says --

MR. BRUCE: Ah, got you.
MR. GARCIA: Yeah. They're both north, or they're both south --

MR. BRUCE: I will -- Exhibit 6. Thank
you. And refile.
MR. GARCIA: No problem.
MR. BRANCARD: The issue is in the checklist, Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: It's in Exhibit 6, the checklist. Yes.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Thank you.
That's important to make sure that works.
Okay. All that being said, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, the case will be limited to compulsory pooling and will be taken under advisement and the record left open to submit green card spreadsheet, revised checklist.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
With that, we have one more case left.
Item number 32, case 22701.
Legacy Reserves Operating?
MR. PARROT: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner, this is James Parrot with Beatty \& Wozniak representing EOG Resources.

MR. BRANCARD: I have COG Operating.
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry with COG Operating, LLC.

MR. BRANCARD: All right. Let's just get this out of the way right away. Does COG still object to this case being -- going forward by affidavit?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: So I actually believe that was Mewbourne that had the objection, not COG. We're along for the ride, Mr. Examiner.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay.
MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, I apologize.
I said EOG, I meant Legacy Reserves Operating. Sorry about that.

MR. BRANCARD: We have an entry from Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Yes, Mr. Examiner. Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company, and at this point I am -- same thing as with COG. I'm just here for the ride.

MR. BRANCARD: So you no longer are objecting to this case going forward by affidavit?

MR. BRUCE: Absolutely.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. A lot of stuff here on the record here. All right. So where are we
with Legacy?
MR. PARROT: Mr. Examiner, I believe we're ready to proceed on the basis of affidavit, if it pleases the division.

MR. BRANCARD: Ah, I found it. Okay. Ms. Munds-Dry, on September 27, 2022, COG Operating said that they object to this case being presented by affidavit.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you for finding that. We withdraw that objection, and we're okay with the case going forward.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. That's a good thing, because we actually have a prehearing order on this case, which no one has complied with, so we will suspend the prehearing order.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: Actually, we'll vacate
it. How about that?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: That sounds amazing.
MR. BRANCARD: All right. I sometimes read these case files, and I get confused.

So with that, Legacy may proceed.
MR. PARROT: Okay. Mr. Examiner, I will note that we filed prehearing statement many months ago, and we just filed an updated prehearing
statement earlier this week on Tuesday. We normally would have filed it a week ago, but it was kind of a last-minute resolution to allow the case to go to hearing rather than a status conference. So we went ahead and just had an updated one filed just for the record. So you'll see that in there.

With that being said, case number 22701
is an application to pool all uncommitted interests in an approximately 480-acre unit for the Bone Spring formation, covering the east half of Section 33, in 18 South, 31 East, and the northeast of Section 4, in 19 South, 31 East, all in Eddy County. So the unit is committed to six wells. All are Jet Fed Com wells. They are the $401 \mathrm{H}, 402 \mathrm{H}, 501 \mathrm{H}, 502 \mathrm{H}, 601 \mathrm{H}$, and 602 H . The unit has proximity tracts. One of these wells would be drilled approximately on the quarter section line dividing the east half of section 33 and 4.

So the exhibit packet that we filed on Tuesday contains the checklist and the normal exhibits. Exhibit $A$ is the checklist, and $B$ is the application. Exhibit $C$ is the affidavit of Legacy's land witness, who is Taylor Thoreson. Ms. Thoreson has previously testified before the division and had her qualifications accepted as those of an expert. C1 is a general location map, C2 contains the form C102s.

I will note that on Exhibit C, Ms. Thoreson notes that there are no overlapping spacing unit. Exhibit C3 depicts the spacing units in the wells. $C 4$ is the ownership. C5 is the sample of the proposal that was sent to working interest owners. C6 is the AFEs. And C7 provides the chronology of contacts.

Exhibit D is the affidavit of EOG's geology witness, John Stewart, who has previously testified before the division and had his qualifications accepted as those of an expert. D1, D4, and D7 are locator maps showing the bone spring unit the wells use to construct cross-section from A to A Prime. D2, D5, and D8 are structure maps off the top of the first, second, and third Bone Spring formations, respectively. And Mr. Stewart states that the wells are representative of the area geology, and he observed no faulting, pinching, or other geologic hazards for horizontal development.

D3, D6, and D9 are cross-sections of the first, second, and third Bone Spring formations respectively, showing gamma ray, resistivity, and porosity logs, and the targeted interval in the Bone Spring unit. Exhibit $E$ is the notice affidavit, showing the notice letters were mailed to some addresses for Concho and COG, but were ultimately not
delivered. Nevertheless, Concho and COG both signed JOAs, and we've communicated with both to get addresses to which they would prefer we send notices in the future to make sure that those do get delivered. Certified mailing receipts are attached. Out of an abundance of caution, we did publish notice in the Carlsbad newspaper, and that notice is attached. The affidavit of publication is attached as Exhibit $F$. So with that, thank you very much for your time, and $I$ ask the exhibits be admitted into the record and the matter taken under advisement.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Let me just check once again. Any questions or concerns from COG or Mewbourne?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: None. Thank you, Mr. Examiner.

MR. BRUCE: None from me.
MR. BRANCARD: Thank you.
Mr. Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: I have one.
Mr. Parrot, I believe in your opening, you had said that one of the wells would be a proximity well?

MR. PARROT: Yes.
MR. GARCIA: Your checklist 102s and
all of the exhibits do not reflect that, so as it stands, it would actually also need an NSP case, or an NSP order. So I guess either we would need updated C102s checklist and possibly a few other exhibits, or this would need an administrative checklist -- or administrative NSP. Not sure which one the case is, but yeah, as it stands, it says no on proximity tracts, which is correct looking at the 102s. None of these are a proximity well.

MR. PARROT: Yeah, understood Mr. Garcia. When the C102s were initially drafted, there was still not a determination of which one would be the proximity well, so -- and I'm not sure exactly is going to be the proximity well -- so with your permission, $I$ can have an updated C102 filed along with a checklist to designate which one of those is going to be the proximity well for the unit and get those in to you. And you said there are some other things that would need to be amended?

MR. GARCIA: The geology exhibits show them also not being -- the 102 is my main concern point for these, though.

MR. PARROT: Okay.
MR. GARCIA: 102 and the checklist.
MR. PARROT: I think -- you know, the
geology in my end exhibits are just kind of sticks on the map. They're showing kind of a general idea of -yeah. Sorry, go ahead.

MR. GARCIA: The 102 is the main
concern for the accuracy of the wellbore. The geology exhibits, I agree, they're kind of proposed wellbore sticks.

MR. PARROT: Okay. And it's only the C102 for the proximity well that we would need to get to you; right? The amended --

MR. GARCIA: And the checklist reflecting that.

MR. PARROT: And the checklist. Right. Can we take the matter under advisement and hold the record open for those amended documents?

MR. GARCIA: Yeah, I have no objection to that, as long as you combine with those and use guidance of the week turn around time. Mr. Brancard is kind of in charge of that though.

MR. PARROT: Okay. Understood.
MR. GARCIA: That's all my questions, Mr. Brancard.

MR. BRANCARD: Thank you. You stole my big question.

MR. PARROT: There's got to be
something else that we can talk about.
MR. BRANCARD: Yeah, well, I guess my question is who is being pooled here?

MR. PARROT: Overriding royalty owners, and there was originally some question about whether all the working interest owners would sign JOAs, but they did. They ended up signing JOAs, so now we just have override royalty interest owners.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Are those listed in the application?

MR. PARROT: No, they are -- I don't think -- well, you know what, let me just double check. I'm not sure that they are. No.

MR. BRANCARD: Well, then you're not pooling them.

MR. PARROT: I was under the impression we did not need to list overriding royalty interest owners to have them be pooled. Is that incorrect?

MR. BRANCARD: Well, then you don't need a pooling application.

MR. PARROT: I mean, we can provide you an amended affidavit --

MR. BRANCARD: I mean, the question is did you provide notice to them?

MR. PARROT: That is a question that I
am not prepared to answer right now. I don't know if we did provide notice to overriding royalty interest owners. I'll have to check with our land witness.

MR. BRANCARD: Because if you're going to pool people, you need to give them notice. That's the whole point of the process. So ...

MR. PARROT: I guess my understanding was notify the working interest owners. The overriding royalty interest derive from the working interest and are on constructive notice of the pooling by virtue of the notice to the working interest owners out of whose interest the overriding royalty interests derive.

MR. BRANCARD: Well, yeah, from a theoretical basis, but you know, if you look at, say, Avant's application earlier today, 23246, I think they were like around 300 overriding royalty interest owners that they provided notice to. So that's the way it works. If you're going to pool overriding royalty interest owners, you have to come up with addresses for them and send them notice.

MR. PARROT: I mean, I -- I understand that there are companies who will notify overriding royalty interest owners out of an abundance of caution, but my understanding was that was not
required by the regulations. That was simply --
MR. BRANCARD: Well, I mean it's -- you
decide who you want to pool. Okay?
MR. PARROT: Yeah.
MR. BRANCARD: And so normally people will overriding royalty interest owners, maybe there's some who don't, but when they do try to pool them, they do provide them notice, and that's how the process works. So here's your choice. Since you don't have -- at this point, there's no working interest owners that are being pooled; correct?

MR. PARROT: That's correct.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. So there's nothing here to do at this point, unless you either file a new application to pool overriding royalty interest owners, or we continue the case, allow you to provide notice to the overriding royalty interest owners, or you just dismiss the case and go on your way. But -- that's kind of the choices here.

MR. PARROT: Okay. Well let's go ahead and continue the case to -- well, I'll tell you what -- since $I$ don't know that we didn't provide notice to overriding royalty interest owners, would you allow me to file additional evidence that we did notify overriding royalty interest owners along with
the amended C102 and checklist? And if it turns out we did not notify overriding royalty interest owners, we will file a continuance to allow us time to notify those owners; would that work?

MR. BRANCARD: Well, the normal process is, in terms of going forward, that while we do allow the record to be revised or supplemented, when it comes to a notice issue, we generally continue the case. So we will continue this case to March 2nd; okay? And so the question is, do you think you can get it all done by then if you have to?

MR. PARROT: I'll -- I don't want to toot my own horn, but $I$ think $I$ might be capable of taking care of that within the next month.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay.
So with that, were there any other
concerns, Mr. Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: Small concern, because I didn't catch we were only pooling overrides. Mr. Parrot, you might just reevaluate your checklist for overhead reads, because I don't believe overheads are subject to supervision costs.

MR. PARROT: Yes.
MR. GARCIA: Typically, counsel drops those and leaves them blank for only -- only pooling
overhead. I believe that's it, Mr. Brancard.
MR. BRANCARD: Right. If you have no working interest owners being pooled, it's a lot simpler order for us. So --

MR. PARROT: Fair enough.
MR. BRANCARD: Issue number 1 is the overriding royalty interest owners, and whether you're pooling them, how you're pooling them, etc., and then the other issues are clarifying whether this is or not a non-standard spacing unit, and you're saying it's not because you think there will be a proximity well, and to do that, you need a new C102 and new checklist to show where those stake points are.

Was there anything else, Mr. Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: No, just -- and to all counsel that's submitting stuff, just please keep that in mind. The cover letter explaining why we have additional exhibits in the case files.

MR. BRANCARD: Right.
So yeah, you would likely submit a whole new packet, or just a supplemental packet.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah, and just some letter up front explaining why, so $I$ don't get confused two weeks from now.

MR. PARROT: Fair enough.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. And so with that, this case will be continued to March 2nd. You do not need to file a continuance.

MR. PARROT: Thank you very much.
MR. BRANCARD: Anything else today?
MR. GARCIA: I have a non-direct hearing question aimed at specifically one counsel, if I may.

MR. BRANCARD: Well, okay.
MR. GARCIA: Mr. Bruce, I'd just like to confirm you've been receiving OCD's emails. I believe $I$ and Marlene sent you an email but didn't hear back. Just an FYI to please check your inbox.

MR. BRUCE: I'll try to be more capable.

MR. GARCIA: Thank you.
MR. BRANCARD: Yeah, and Mr. Bruce, Marlene's question to you about signing on to the hearings, we've gotten a concern from someone else trying to sign in as a call-in user, and so we were a little confused about how easy or difficult it was.

MR. BRUCE: Signing in as a caller is absolutely no issue.

MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Do you need to present a password or code?

MR. BRUCE: Well, for calling in, yeah.
There's two codes to sign in, but it's no big deal.
MR. BRANCARD: Okay. Thank you.
MR. BRUCE: I don't see the issue.
It's very easy.
MR. BRANCARD: Yeah, well we didn't
either, but we try to be helpful to the public.
Thank you everyone. Have a great day.
MR. BRUCE: You too.
(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at
12:09 p.m.)
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| $10: 17,2111: 6$ | $58: 2459: 7,10$ | $111: 12,18$ | $100: 3,11,18$ |
| $11: 12,17,21$ | $59: 1660: 1,6,9$ | $113: 18,22,23$ | $101: 2,4,8,18,22$ |
| $12: 4,8,1913: 5$ | $60: 14,18,21$ | $114: 2,9,14,19$ | $102: 6,12,15,22$ |
| $13: 8,11,16,25$ | $61: 3,1063: 15$ | $114: 23115: 4$ | $103: 6,12104: 8$ |
| $14: 4,9,1815: 1$ | $64: 2465: 2,6$ | $115: 14116: 2,5$ | $104: 14,16$ |
| $15: 6,11,22$ | $65: 12,1666: 4$ | $116: 13117: 5$ | $105: 3,5,12,16$ |
| $16: 13,1617: 1$ | $66: 8,1367: 2$ | $117: 15118: 1,2$ | $105: 20106: 1,4$ |
| $18: 320: 21,24$ | $67: 2368: 10,15$ | $118: 6,19119: 1$ | $106: 8,9,18$ |
| $21: 3,5,10,16$ | $68: 1869: 2,13$ | $119: 5,9,17,24$ | $107: 17,18,23$ |
| $22: 4,2223: 5$ | $69: 17,2070: 3$ | $120: 3,6$ | $111: 17119: 10$ |
| $23: 11,14,17,23$ | $71: 2472: 7,13$ | brancard's | $119: 14,17,22$ |
| $24: 329: 11,16$ | $72: 21,2473: 6$ | $104: 19$ | $120: 1,4,9$ |
| $31: 16,17,19,25$ | $77: 378: 17,20$ | branching $95: 7$ | brunsman $25: 7$ |
| $32: 6,11,24$ | $79: 1,7,10,16,21$ | brazell $76: 19$ | $27: 2232: 15$ |
| $33: 2,13,19$ | $79: 2581: 7$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[building - certainly]

| building 78:22 | c5 82:6 110:4 | 34:22 35:1,5 | 106:20,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78:22 90:6 | c6 110:5 | 35:10,11,12,22 | 107:6,22 108:7 |
| 98:17 | c7 110:6 | 36:1,5,13,20 | 108:11,14,21 |
| bullet 30:1 | call 4:18 23:11 | 37:17,19,25 | 109:3,7 112:2 |
| bumped 35:6,6 | 62:10 64:23 | 38:20,22,24 | 112:6 116:16 |
| bunch 19:5 | 71:16 119:20 | 39:3,18 40:2 | 116:18,21 |
| bypass 99:24 | called 1:6 59:13 | 40:15 41:18,21 | 117:9,9 118:18 |
| c | caller 119:22 | 42:18,22 43:7 | 119:2 |
| c 3:1 4:1 $26: 21$ | calling 120:1 | 43:15,16,21,22 | cases 4:7,8,18 |
| 41:15 53:16 | calls 70:10 | 44:2,2,8 45:22 | 5:18,20,24 |
| 56:12 57:21 | 71:12,15 72:4 | 45:22 46:3 | 6:20,25 8:1,14 |
| 63:5 74:20 | 90:8 | 47:5,7 48:1,9 | 11:25 12:9 |
| 80:22 92:10 | cancelation | 48:15,19 50:20 | 13:2,12,16 |
| 94:19 109:21 | 9:24 10:2 | 51:12 52:14,20 | 15:12,16,21 |
| 110:1 | capable 117:13 | 54:1,25 55:5 | 16:3,10,18,20 |
| c1 56:12 75:15 | 119:15 | 55:12,19 56:4 | 35:3,11 36:14 |
| 109:24 | card 45:8 | 57:5 60:10,11 | 36:16 37:11,15 |
| c102 25:14,15 | 106:17 | 60:15 61:11 | 37:18 38:10,11 |
| 28:4 56:12 | cards 49:23 | 64:4,6 67:9,20 | 47:17 48:6,10 |
| 75:15 112:15 | 50:2 93:7,11 | 69:10 70:7 | 50:20 51:13 |
| 113:9 117:1 | 105:4,7 | 72:14,16,21,25 | 52:9,11 56:20 |
| 118:12 | care 117:14 | 73:4,8,11,17 | 98:13 |
| c102s 18:6 | carlsbad 84:18 | 74:1,20 75:4 | catch 117:19 |
| 48:24 62:8 | 96:5 111:7 | 75:22 79:3,4 | catching 36:6 |
| 68:21 81:13 | carrell 62:22 | 79:11,15,22 | caught 100:6 |
| 92:3 95:17 | carried 31:5 | 80:1,4,13,22 | caused 84:6 |
| 109:25 112:4 | carved 18:14 | 81:24 82:11,16 | caution 111:6 |
| 112:11 | case 1:9 8:18 | 84:5,21 89:11 | 115:25 |
| c2 56:13 75:16 | 9:3,13,17 10:8 | 90:17,18,19,22 | cb $80: 10$ |
| 81:12 109:25 | 10:12,22 11:23 | 91:9,11,13,13 | cc $74: 5$ |
| c3 56:14 76:3 | 11:23 13:20 | 93:8,16,25 | cecilia 88:25,25 |
| 81:15 85:7 | 14:10,17 15:4 | 94:2,17 95:14 | certain 9:11 |
| 88:9 110:2 | 16:21 17:3,7 | 96:19 97:10 | 43:22 |
| c4 56:15 81:25 | 23:6,8,12,24 | 101:20 102:24 | certainly 24:4 |
| 110:3 | 24:2 34:15,16 | 105:2 106:14 | 30:13,24 31:13 |

[certainly - communication]

| 31:14 33:6,6 | 115:3 119:13 | circumstances | 87:2,9,19,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:19 63:25 | checked 49:24 | 33:11 | columns 85:20 |
| 67:19 | checklist 27:16 | clarification | com 17:13 |
| certificate | 27:21 30:8 | 58:13 | 27:19 41:4 |
| 121:1 122:1 | 33:21 56:5 | clarify 39:17 | 44:1,25 53:3 |
| certified 26:23 | 61:24 74:12 | 46:20 98:6 | 74:5 80:11 |
| 41:16 49:4 | 80:15 89:20 | clarifying | 109:13 |
| 53:17,22 84:11 | 105:17 106:8 | 118:9 | combine 13:12 |
| 111:5 | 106:10,17 | cleanest 104:19 | 15:12 75:14 |
| certify 49:3 | 109:19,20 | clear 75:20 | 98:4,14 113:17 |
| 121:3 122:2 | 111:25 112:4,5 | 76:9 78:20 | combined 13:2 |
| change 24:19 | 112:16,24 | 88:9 | combining |
| 24:22 27:4,17 | 113:11,13 | cless 49:9 | 63:21 68:2 |
| 27:18,24 28:5 | 117:1,20 | client 6:2 8:5 | come 87:11 |
| 28:9,12 30:9 | 118:12 | 72:12 102:10 | 115:20 |
| 32:19 33:11 | checklists | clients 71:19 | comes 89:1 |
| 68:23 | 30:25 | 104:9 | 100:14 117:8 |
| changed 31:12 | chevron 12:4,7 | close 49:6 | comfortable |
| 33:18,21,23 | 12:20,22 79:12 | code 55:22 | 100:23 |
| 38:12 68:15 | 80:1,4,24 | 119:25 | comforting |
| 94:12 95:18 | 81:23 82:4,11 | codes 120:2 | 19:11 |
| changes 51:9 | 82:19 83:7 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o g }}$ 12:1,3,10 | coming 49:23 |
| changing 33:14 | 86:14,17,19 | 12:12,13 39:13 | 65:2 105:6 |
| 33:15 | 87:3 | 39:15,23 42:1 | commence |
| charge 19:17 | chile 91:16 | 42:8,9,10,11,25 | 70:21 |
| 30:3,4 113:19 | chisholm 7:11 | 43:2 47:22,24 | comments 6:10 |
| charges 22:8,15 | 7:15 | 47:25 49:19 | 8:13 |
| 52:4 76:11 | choice 116:9 | 50:10,18 51:15 | committed |
| charles 92:18 | choices 116:19 | 107:1,3,5,9,19 | 75:23 109:13 |
| chart 19:6 | chronology | 108:6 110:25 | common 49:7 |
| 85:19 86:22 | 26:11 56:15 | 111:1,14 | 51:2 |
| check 18:22 | 62:19 82:7 | coinflip 61:6 | communicated |
| 47:12 79:7 | 110:6 | colorado 54:21 | 111:2 |
| 102:17 104:4,5 | cimarex 5:13 | column 54:13 | communication |
| 111:13 114:13 |  | 85:14,16,17,22 | 76:4 |

[communications - contains]

| communicati... $35 \cdot 7$ | completing | $117: 17$ | consenting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | concho 110:25 | conservation |
| 44:8,11 74:1 | 108:14 | concluded | 1:3,6 4:4 83:24 |
| communization | comprehensive | 120:10 | consideration |
| 75:12 | 18:23 | condition | 16:11 20:19 |
| companies | comprise 12:23 | 101:11 | considering 1:8 |
| 57:19 61:5 | 62:14 75:18 | confer 89:1 | 17:4 |
| 15:23 | 81:16,18 | conference 6:2 | consistent |
| company 4:20 | comprised | 6:22 8:9,15 | 19:19 20:12 |
| 4:24,25 7:2 | 17:10 40:24 | 9:14,21 10:8 | 83:20 |
| 8:18 13:21 | 52:24 55:24 | 11:8,15 13:20 | consisting |
| 23:12,16 24:19 | 61:16 73:14 | 35:9,14 37:16 | 80:13 |
| 25:25 43:18 | 80:7 83:14 | 38:12 61:9 | consolidated |
| 44:21 45:7 | comprises 29:8 | 109:4 | 36:15 |
| 47:18 57:18 | comprising | confident 68:4 | construct |
| 60:16 62:14,18 | 20:10 66:22 | confirm 50:13 | 110:12 |
| 62:20 67:3 | compulsory | 50:14 54:16 | construction |
| 73:19 75:5,9 | 27:16,20 30:25 | 119:11 | 83:16 |
| 75:10 76:15 | 56:561:24 | confirming | constructive |
| 78:10 90:23 | 74:12 80:14 | 14:22 | 50:5 115:10 |
| 107:16,18 | 84:5 97:14,17 | confirms 77:19 | contact 21:14 |
| company's | 100:21 106:15 | 82:9 83:22 | 51:4 |
| 67:10 75:10 | computer | confused 36:18 | contacted |
| comparable | 46:16 | 42:6 99:7 | 44:16 |
| 19:20 | concern 66:14 | 108:21 118:23 | contacts 18:8 |
| compare 88:1,3 | 66:15 67:24 | 119:21 | 26:12 49:1 |
| 88:16 89:8 | 70:7 112:21 | confusion 27:5 | 56:15 62:20 |
| competing | 113:5 117:18 | 103:23 | 92:10 110:6 |
| 14:15,20 15:12 | 119:19 | connection | contain 88:10 |
| complete 16:1 | concerns 18:3 | 40:15 | contains 25:7 |
| 90:21 | 29:13 41:23 | conocophillips | 48:22 49:1,9 |
| completed 9:12 | 47:5 51:15,17 | 7:16,19 | 61:23 63:7 |
| 74:4 95:25 | 70:4 90:14 | consent 21:13 | 92:19 109:19 |
|  | 104:24 111:14 | 21:20,25 | 109:25 |

[contest - currently]

| contest 38:1 | 76:18 77:25 | costs 19:17 | cp 100:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contestants 7:1 | 78:3,6 80:14 | 22:18,20 45:3 | crawford 80:23 |
| contested 12:12 | 80:21,22 81:12 | 76:12 82:3 | 80:24 82:1,9 |
| 12:15 13:3,17 | 82:21 84:2,8 | 117:22 | 82:14 89:17 |
| 14:17,25 | correct 7:14 | coterra 5:15 | crawford's |
| continuance | 8:11 10:23,25 | 13:25 14:3,11 | 81:10 |
| 11:13,22 38:16 | 16:2 21:8 | 14:14,18,20 | create 63:1 |
| 117:3 119:3 | 27:10 32:13 | 79:17,20,21 | 77:16 |
| continue 10:23 | 33:1,5,16,22 | 85:1 86:8 | creating 66:21 |
| 11:3 27:15 | 35:24 36:8,12 | counsel 8:5,8 | credentials |
| 36:23,24 37:22 | 36:23 42:10,11 | 71:23 117:24 | 19:25 25:10 |
| 116:16,21 | 42:15 43:5,6 | 118:16 119:7 | 56:9 74:23 |
| 117:8,9 | 46:9,10,23 | 121:10,13 | crockett 55:6 |
| continued | 50:12 52:5 | 122:7,10 | 55:10,15,17,19 |
| 11:24 15:25 | 54:16,19 58:22 | country 90:9 | 56:2 57:14,18 |
| 16:4 37:7,15 | 65:22 66:13 | county 17:11 | 57:23 58:10 |
| 38:25 45:23 | 72:17 78:25 | 19:21 24:8 | crosby 92:18 |
| 47:7 119:2 | 85:10 93:2 | 48:18 49:7 | cross 20:2,4 |
| continuing | 97:11,12,15,16 | 53:2 56:1 | 26:18,19 41:13 |
| 24:18 | 97:25 99:20,25 | 61:18 73:15 | 49:10 53:15 |
| continuous | 100:15 102:11 | 80:9 109:12 | 56:18,19 63:1 |
| 92:21 | 102:12,16 | couple 5:20 | 63:2 77:15,16 |
| contribute | 103:1,2 112:8 | 14:23 27:8 | 77:17 83:14,17 |
| 20:11 77:21 | 116:11,12 | 88:17 93:12,20 | 92:19 110:12 |
| 92:23 | correctly 89:15 | course 51:8 | 110:19 |
| convenient | 97:20 | 99:5 | crowded 11:19 |
| 37:20 | corresponden... | court 47:12 | crucial 33:15 |
| conversation | 21:24 | 79:8 | cumulative |
| 104:14 | corresponding | cover 19:12 | 86:22 |
| convert 11:15 | 77:12 | 61:22 118:17 | curious 29:25 |
| conveyance | cost 19:16 23:2 | covered 94:24 | 30:11 60:2 |
| 18:14,20 | 49:6 67:4 | covering 54:7 | current 78:6 |
| copy 18:6 | 71:17 73:22 | 109:10 | 84:18 94:21 |
| 28:13 74:12,19 | 76:10,11 82:12 | covers 96:21 | currently 59:22 |
| 75:15 76:3,13 |  |  | 61:22 |
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[custom - different]

| custom 19:19 | date 2:2 11:5,7 | declarations | depth 19:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22:16 | 12:16 14:24 | 20:17 | 41:4 43:9 49:8 |
| cut 40:17 | 34:6 45:15,17 | dedicate 53:2 | 81:24 |
| cv 17:23 | 45:19,20 | 56:2 74:2 | derive 115:9,13 |
| d | daunting 72:10 | dedicated | describes 50:25 |
| d 4:1 27:1 | david 63:11 | 17:12 41:3 | description |
| $56: 17 \text { 63:11,13 }$ | day 33:4 52:1 | 68:22,24 74:16 | 62:24 |
| $76: 18,2282: 17$ | 99:24 120:8 | 75:2 80:10 | designate |
| 92:5,15 110:7 | days 10:1 14:23 | 81:12 96:2 | 112:16 |
| d1 56:17 76:22 | 45:13 93:12 | dedication | designated |
| 110:10 | deadline 33:4 | 67:15 | 74:4 |
| d2 56:18 77:6 | deal $28: 7,8$ | defectiveness | designation |
| 83:5 110:13 | $31: 20,2164: 17$ $99 \cdot 8120 \cdot 2$ | 100:24 defer 31.15 | 94:12 95:18 |
| d3 56:18 77:7 | 99:8 120:2 <br> debrine 3:13 | defer 31:15 defining 53:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { designed } \\ 32: 25 \end{gathered}$ |
| $77: 14$ 83:7 $110: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { debrine } 3: 13 \\ & 12: 5,6,21 \quad 13: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 74:17 | desires 104:17 |
|  | 13:13 14:6,7 | definition | details 33:14 |
| $83: 13110: 11$ | 14:19 15:3,10 | 102:9 | determination |
| d5 83:18,18 | 15:18,19 16:2 | defunct 75:8 | 112:12 |
| 110:13 | 16:23,24 17:6 | delaware 36:10 | develop 12:14 |
| d6 110:19 | 18:5 21:8,9,11 | delivered 26:25 | 66:24 |
| d7 110:11 | 21:18 22:12 | 63:11 111:1,5 | development |
| d8 110:13 | 23:10 50:23 | delivery 19:7 | 20:9 83:12,24 |
| d9 110:19 | december | 63:9 84:12 | 84:1 110:18 |
| dad $34: 8$ | 26:23 36:4 | demonstrates | devon 23:12,16 |
| dana 2:7 3:7 | 37:4 | 83:19 | 23:25 24:5 |
| $7: 8 \text { 10:14 12:2 }$ | decide 31:16 | denoted 85:16 | 25:8 26:12 |
| 39:5 42:23 | 116:3 | denton 45:7 | 28:1 29:8 |
| 47:13 52:16 | decided 65:23 | denver 17:20 | 30:15 33:11 |
| 121:2,17 | decides 51:10 | department 1:2 | difference 30:5 |
| daniel 25:7 | decipher 54:10 | depending 68:6 | 31:14 |
| darin 3:6 5:15 | decision 51:11 | depends 69:9 | different 26:7,8 |
| 14:2 79:19 | declaration | depicts 110:3 | 31:12,21 38:13 |
| data 96:6 | 17:17,19,24 | deposition | 67:24 68:2,5,6 |
|  | 18:5 20:1 | 121:1 | 68:775:17 |

[different - easier]

| 87:4 88:24 96:10 $105: 18$ difficult $\quad 119: 21$ digital $121: 8$ 122:3 direct $48: 11$ 119:6 | 24:11 26:16 28:19 30:15 37:4 51:2,6,10 56:9 57:6 58:18 61:20 62:4,23 64:6 $73: 1074: 23$ | $79: 8,9$ $82: 5$ <br> dollars $49: 6$ <br> double $20: 15$ <br> 114:12  <br> douglas $80: 23$ <br> drafted $14: 21$ <br> 69:9 $112: 11$ (120 | 108:6,9,16,19 $111: 15$ due $16: 11$ $20: 19$ duly $59: 13$ $121: 5$ dying $90: 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| directed 27:2 | 76:20 77:1 | draw 91:25 | e |
| direction 78:23 | 78:5 79:14 | 94:13 | e 3:1,1 4:1,1 |
| disagree 71:7 | 80:25 81:4,6 | drill 28:2 75:16 | 27:14 56:23 |
| disappeared | 82:20 83:1 | drilled 27:12 | 77:25 84:2,8 |
| 45:8 | 85:21 91:21 | 65:4,15,20 | 95:24 110:23 |
| discovered | 94:9,12 96:5 | 74:4 94:2,6 | eagle 100:17 |
| 16:8 | 96:10 99:6 | 100:7 103:7 | earl 3:13 12:6 |
| discussed 33:13 | 103:13 104:17 | 109:16 | 14:7 15:19 |
| 91:23 | 108:4 109:23 | drilling 19:16 | 16:24 |
| discussion | 110:9 | 19:18,20 22:20 | earlier 13:1 |
| 70:21 | division's 51:11 | 28:3 30:7 31:2 | 101:20 109:1 |
| discussions 6:1 | 65:9 80:16 | 32:20 48:18 | 115:16 |
| 8:6,7 9:7 36:12 | divisions 74:14 | 50:22 56:22 | earliest 12:16 |
| 37:8 38:9,10 | docket 4:8 10:7 | 76:12 77:12 | 37:20 |
| 96:23 | 11:7,11,19 | 82:13 91:16 | early 45:11 |
| dismiss 9:16 | 13:4 16:4 23:3 | 95:4 96:17 | earnest 3:9 5:5 |
| 10:1 43:4 | 36:23,25 37:2 | drills 66:10 | earthstone 5:2 |
| 116:18 | 37:11 43:8 | drop 98:11 | 5:56:4 7:6,8 |
| dismissal 43:10 | 95:16 | 101:2 102:20 | 7:12,14 8:10 |
| 43:12 | document 6:22 | dropped 98:12 | 34:23 35:2,14 |
| dismissed | 58:10 88:4 | drops 117:24 | 37:16 39:4,6 |
| 35:10,12 36:14 | documentation | dry 3:14 7:17 | 40:3,21 41:6 |
| 43:7,16 50:11 | 21:6 | 7:18 39:14,15 | 42:7,12,22,24 |
| 50:19 95:19 | documents | 39:24 43:1,1 | 43:5 |
| dividing 109:17 | 113:15 | 43:13 47:23,23 | ease 64:9,13 |
| division 1:3,7 | doing 29:2 | 48:2 50:10,13 | 101:15 |
| 4:5 16:12 | 47:13,14 66:23 | 50:14 51:16 | easier 88:3 89:8 |
| 17:19 19:15,24 | 69:7,11,12 | 107:2,3,8 | 101:2 102:19 |

[easiest - examined]

| easiest 104:19 | egl 5:3,25 35:2 | energy 1:2 4:25 | 60:21 79:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| easily 101:16 | 36:13 | 5:13,15 7:11 | 91:2 107:15 |
| east 17:11 24:6 | eight 62:15 | 8:23 10:17 | $\operatorname{eog} 5: 8,11$ |
| 24:6,7 25:17 | 74:3 | 13:25 14:3 | 23:18,22 29:12 |
| 25:17 40:24,25 | either 29:3 42:8 | 15:17,21 23:12 | 106:25 107:13 |
| 41:1,1,1,3 | 44:7 59:3 | 23:16 36:3,7 | eog's 110:7 |
| 48:16,17,18,20 | 66:25 67:13 | 52:15,17 79:17 | equally 20:11 |
| 48:20 53:1 | 112:3 116:14 | 79:20 85:2 | 77:21 92:23 |
| 55:24 56:1 | 120:7 | enfield 88:20 | equivalent 41:2 |
| 61:16,17 62:11 | elaboration | 88:23,25 | 74:7 |
| 62:16 66:22,22 | 57:11 | engaged 36:11 | es 121:4 |
| 66:25 73:15 | elected 21:13 | 37:7 38:8 | essentially 66:2 |
| 80:9 91:15 | election 22:2 | engineer 3:12 | 99:20 |
| 96:4 109:10,11 | 68:8,11 70:24 | 55:13,14,16 | estimated |
| 109:12,17 | elements 74:13 | 56:6 58:5 59:3 | 19:15 |
| easy 119:21 | 74:17 77:10 | 59:5,7,9,12,17 | estimating |
| 120:5 | 80:16,19 | 60:5 | 59:22 |
| eddy 73:15 | eliminates | engineer's | et 5:15 14:3 |
| 80:9 109:12 | 102:13,15 | 56:11 57:21 | 79:20 |
| education | email 46:13 | enlarged 74:9 | eventually 67:1 |
| 76:25 | 50:17 52:5 | entered 36:19 | everybody |
| educational | 93:1 119:12 | 36:21 44:2 | 18:18 23:19 |
| 76:23 81:2 | emails 119:11 | entering 30:21 | 50:4 |
| 82:22 | employed | entire 62:15 | everybody's |
| edward 27:15 | 121:11,14 | 83:21 86:2 | 67:21 |
| effected 24:12 | 122:8,11 | 87:20 | evidence 20:18 |
| effective 78:12 | employee | entities 36:9 | 116:24 |
| effectively | 121:13 122:10 | entitled 70:16 | exact 57:19 |
| 64:18 | encountered | entity 26:4 90:7 | 58:6 |
| efficient 95:9 | 33:11 | entries 5:8,18 | exactly 67:7 |
| effort 18:9 | endeavor 8:23 | 7:11 17:2 | 69:10,11 |
| 82:10 | 9:1,9,19 | 35:17 39:8 | 112:13 |
| efforts 70:22 | ended 24:17 | entry 5:12 8:22 | examined |
| 75:9 76:4 82:7 | 114:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 22 \quad 23: 17 \\ & 30.12 \end{aligned}$ | 59:15 |

[examiner - eye]

| examiner 3:3 | 107:3,10,12,17 | 81:15,21,25 | existing 64:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:3,5,22 5:5,10 | 108:2,23 | 82:6,17 83:5,7 | 66:2 68:13 |
| 5:15,23 6:5,13 | 111:16 | 83:13,18,18 | expected 17:14 |
| 6:15,17 7:4,7 | example 86:4 | 84:2,8,8,16 | experience |
| 7:13,18 8:4,12 | excluded 97:24 | 85:7 87:17 | 17:24 76:25 |
| 8:20 9:5 10:4 | 98:1,9,9 99:8 | 88:9 90:6 | 81:3,5 82:22 |
| 10:10,14,19 | excuse 92:17 | 91:18,19 92:2 | experienced |
| 11:1 12:2,6,11 | 93:17 94:25 | 92:17,24 93:4 | 22:18 |
| 12:21 13:23 | executed 31:6 | 93:10,17 99:2 | expert 18:2 |
| 14:2,7,13,20 | exercise 46:21 | 106:4,9 109:18 | 25:11 62:23 |
| 15:9,19 16:24 | exhibit 17:23 | 109:20,21 | 74:24 77:1 |
| 17:7 23:10 | 25:8,17,22 | 110:1,2,7,23 | 81:6 82:25 |
| 24:5 27:6 | 26:9,21 27:1 | 111:9 | 91:21 109:24 |
| 29:14 33:9 | 27:14 28:4,13 | exhibits 16:19 | 110:10 |
| 34:20,25 35:20 | 28:19 29:6,21 | 20:17 23:7 | expiration |
| 37:13 39:1,5 | 29:22 32:16 | 24:15 25:14 | 12:17 |
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[manager - month]

[monthly - note]

| monthly $22: 8$ | 104:23 | 99:9,18 100:8 | $61: 1562: 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| months 22:14 | munds 3:14 | 100:8 101:7,24 | 65:24,25 67:21 |
| 108:25 | 7:17,18 39:14 | 102:23,24 | 70:1 76:10 |
| monticello | 39:15,24 43:1 | 112:2,3,5,19 | 91:24 93:19 |
| 28:22 29:1 | 43:1,13 47:23 | 113:9 114:17 | 94:15,18 95:5 |
| 34:5 | 47:23 48:2 | 114:20 115:5 | 95:7,8,11 |
| morning 4:21 | 50:10,13,14 | 118:12 119:3 | 101:3,21 |
| 5:4,9,14 7:3,7 | 51:16 107:2,3 | 119:24 | 103:13 104:1 |
| 7:17,22 8:19 | 107:8 108:6,9 | needs 9:23 | 118:10 119:6 |
| 8:24 10:18 | 108:16,19 | 97:14 | normal 20:16 |
| 12:5 13:22 | 111:15 | negotiate 30:17 | 109:19 117:5 |
| 14:1,6 15:18 | muted 64:25 | negotiating 6:7 | normally 58:11 |
| 16:23 17:6 | myers 26:15 | neither 121:10 | 67:3 109:1 |
| 23:13 29:17,19 | n | 122:7 | 116:5 |
| 35:19 36:17 | n 3:14:1 | net 26:6,6 | north $24: 23,24$ |
| $38: 1139: 10,14$ | name 27:2,19 | never 90:9,10 | 27:12,12 28:1 |
| 55:7,13 60:17 | $28: 532: 4$ | nevertheless | 28:2,3 52:25 |
| 73:2,9 79:13 | $73: 1978: 11$ | 111:1 | 52:25 93:22 |
| 79:18 106:23 | $84: 7,17$ | new $1: 14: 4,16$ | 94:1,14 95:2,8 |
| motion 10:23 | named 19: | 17:11 22:10,15 | 98:3 99:3 |
| 11:3 36:23,24 | names 26:7 | 35:11 56:1 | 102:10 103:14 |
| 38:15 43:4 | $82: 1488: 17,17$ | 66:6 69:4 | 103:25 104:2 |
| mountain | nathan $49: 8$ | 73:15 80:9 | 105:23 106:2 |
| 15:17,21 | natthawee | 100:8 116:15 | northeast |
| move $29: 7$ | $82: 18$ | 118:12,12,21 | 25:20,20 |
| 43:16 46:2 | natural $1 \cdot 2$ | 121:19 | 109:11 |
| 51:11 78:13 | nearby 58:25 | newly 36:1,10 | nos 1:9 |
| 84:19 97:3 | necessary | news 16:7 | notary 121:18 |
| moved 45:22 | $24: 1174: 1$ | newspaper | note 20:14 |
| moving 9:15 | $80: 1$ | 19:1 78:10 | 46:11 52:4 |
| 60:25 | n | 84:6 111:7 | 54:6 61:18 |
| mrc 35:17 36:9 | need | nine 17:12 | 72:12 95:13 |
| 36:9,19 37:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 1838: 13 \\ & 46: 1.1357: 11 \end{aligned}$ | nm 2:6 | 103:4,12 |
| 60:22,24,25 | $58: 16 \text { 62:5 }$ | non 21:13,20 | 108:24 110:1 |
| 91:3 92:8 93:7 | $71: 7 \text { 72:17,20 }$ | 21:25 30:1 |  |

[noted - officer]

| noted 36:11 | 116:8,17,23 | 63:18 71:17 | observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93:16 | 117:8 | 72:9 79:11 | 103:22 |
| notes 25:9 | noticed 22:7 | 89:13 95:24 | observe 56:21 |
| 27:25 77:10 | 45:14 50:19 | 96:10,22 | 77:11 |
| 95:6 110:1 | 87:25 88:1,4 | 103:16 106:21 | observed |
| notice 12:25 | 88:19 95:16 | 109:7 118:6 | 110:17 |
| 16:1,4,5,6 17:5 | notices 100:17 | numbering | obtain 73:2 |
| 18:25 19:5 | 111:3 | 27:15 | obviously 22:1 |
| 21:2,6,12,16,21 | notification | numbers 30:4 | 22:17 45:15 |
| 21:23 24:12,18 | 78:9 | 30:11 31:11 | 49:17,20 93:7 |
| 24:25 26:22 | notified 97:20 | 86:14 | 94:17 103:13 |
| 28:21 29:4 | 98:25 | 0 | occurred 65:23 |
| 32:7 33:4,8 | notify 98:9,12 | ( $4: 1$ | ocd 90:6,10 |
| 34:2 41:15,16 | 98:13,14,16,17 | object $36: 20$ | ocd's 98:15 |
| 41:17 44:13 | 99:9 101:24 | 60:25 107:6 | 119:11 |
| 45:5,6,10,14,17 | 102:9 104:11 | 108:7 | ocean 3:14 7:18 |
| 46:9,12 47:8 | 115:8,23 | objected 28:17 | 39:15 43:1 |
| 48:13 49:14,23 | 116:25 117:2,3 | objecting 54:18 | 47:23 107:3 |
| 50:1,5 53:16 | notifying 66:16 | 107:22 | october 26:10 |
| 53:16,18 56:24 | noting 27:23 | objection 9:20 | 29:24 31:5 |
| 57:1 63:6,11 | npri 26:5 | objection 9.20 | 32:23 33:10 |
| 75:11 78:3,12 | nsp 62:5 97:14 |  | 92:15 |
| 84:4,9,15,17 | 97:18,22 98:11 | 25:3 35:5,23 | odd $88: 18$ |
| 87:25 88:5,22 | 98:20 99:18,24 | 39:24 43:13 | offer 66:10 |
| 89:1,2,5,9,14 | 100:2,8,22 | 48:1,2 70:2 | offering 31:20 |
| 91:19 92:11,24 | 101:12 112:2,3 | 83:2 107:9 | office 4:23 7:4 |
| 93:3,4,6 97:18 | 112:6 | 108:10 113:16 | 8:20 10:20 |
| 97:18,23 98:20 | nsps 98:8,25 | objections | 13:23 23:15 |
| 99:24 100:15 | 104:10 | $34: 15 \text { 39:18,20 }$ | 35:20 53:20,23 |
| 100:23 102:14 | nst 63:18 | 43:12 77:4 | 55:9 60:19 |
| 104:2 105:7 | number 4:10 | $\text { :22,24 } 81$ | 73:5 78:1 84:3 |
| 110:23,24 | 7:10 10:12 | $4$ | 91:5 |
| 111:7,8 114:24 | 18:12,15 31:5 | objects 36:3,8 | officer 2:4 |
| 115:2,5,10,11 | 43:22 44:1,4 | 101:7 | 121:1,2 |
| 115:18,21 | 55:5 56:3 |  |  |

[official - overhead]

| official 45:24 | 97:13,17 98:22 | 39:11,13,16 | 89:21 94:2,5,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| offset 98:17 | 100:13 101:13 | 42:22,24 43:2 | 97:11 100:6,8 |
| offsetting 74:6 | 103:3,17 104:7 | 47:22,24 55:6 | 102:25 103:4,5 |
| 99:1,12,21,23 | 104:21 105:9 | 55:10,15 57:18 | 108:14,15 |
| 101:24,25 | 105:14 106:11 | 75:24 92:9 | 112:3 118:4 |
| oh 34:8 61:6 | 106:13 107:11 | 106:22 107:1,3 | ordered 19:22 |
| 103:20 | 107:24 108:5 | 107:13 108:6 | orders 61:21 |
| oil 1:3,6 4:4,16 | 108:10,23 | operator 19:14 | 63:21 64:1,3,4 |
| 7:21,24 8:18 | 112:23 113:8 | 80:18 99:1,12 | 64:7 65:17,18 |
| 13:21 43:18 | 113:20 114:9 | 102:2,3,10 | 66:3,5,5 68:9 |
| 44:20 45:7 | 116:3,13,20 | 103:24 104:1 | 70:9 74:14 |
| 47:18 49:18,20 | 117:10,15 | 104:11,11 | 80:17 94:8 |
| 55:22 60:16 | 119:1,9,24 | operators | orientation |
| 90:23 92:1 | 120:3 | 17:25 63:23 | 24:20,22 27:4 |
| 94:13 98:18 | old 59:20 66:5 | 75:9 76:6,16 | 27:11,17,24 |
| 107:16,18 | 97:10,10 100:6 | 78:11 82:4 | 28:9,12 30:9 |
| okay 6:20 7:16 | omits 43:9 | opinion 20:6 | 62:974:15 |
| 8:22 11:6,17 | once 13:2 64:5 | 82:9 | 77:19 80:19 |
| 13:5,16 21:16 | 67:11 68:12 | opposed 32:6 | oriented 78:22 |
| 22:4 32:11,24 | 79:7 111:13 | 96:18 | 83:25 |
| 33:2 34:1,10 | one's 54:18 | ops 16:7 | original 44:2 |
| 36:18 37:6,21 | ones 71:4,5 | order 4:7 9:24 | 50:19 |
| 37:23 38:5,6 | ongoing 37:7 | 10:2 13:18 | originally 35:2 |
| 38:23 40:14,16 | 38:8 | 15:25 17:7 | 114:5 |
| 40:20 42:13,16 | online 76:17 | 18:17 24:14 | orthodox 92:4 |
| 43:16 46:24 | 93:5 | 30:22 38:1 | orthodoxed |
| 47:3,13 51:18 | open 52:12 | 40:4,21 44:2 | 17:15 |
| 55:4 57:10 | 90:20 106:16 | 44:18 46:21 | outcome |
| 65:4,12,16,21 | 113:15 | 52:22 62:5 | 121:15 122:12 |
| 66:4,8,13 67:3 | opening 111:21 | 63:22 64:5 | outdated 97:13 |
| 68:10,18 69:20 | operated 99:4 | 66:6,12 67:11 | outstanding |
| 70:3 71:15 | operating 5:2,6 | 67:14 68:12,13 | 78:9 |
| 72:21 79:8 | 7:6,9 12:1,3 | 70:25 71:2,10 | overhead 30:6 |
| 85:4 88:8 | 14:5 16:22,25 | 71:17 73:11,25 | 30:23 31:1,9 |
| 89:16 90:16 | 34:23 39:4,6,9 | 74:18 80:4 | 50:21 76:12 |
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| 82:12 96:16 | 24:12 25:1,25 | p | 106:23,24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117:21 118:1 | 26:3,12 28:11 | p 3:1,1 4:1 | 107:12 108:2 |
| overheads | 28:14,17,23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p } \quad \text { p.i, } \quad 120: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 108:23 111:21 |
| 117:21 | 32:18 44:4,4 | p13 80:11 | 111:24 112:10 |
| overlapping | 44:10,17 49:1 | package 48:9 | 112:23,25 |
| 24:10,13 25:2 | 53:13 58:10 | package | 113:8,13,20,25 |
| 28:8,15,17 | 63:7 64:13 | 63:10 68: | 114:4,11,16,21 |
| 30:10 95:21 | 73:12 75:22 | packet 29.22 | 114:25 115:7 |
| 96:9,12,13 | 85:6 96:22 | 58.18 74:11 | 115:22 116:4 |
| 103:9,15 110:2 | 98:2 102:4,4,5 | 80:13 109:18 | 116:12,20 |
| override 114:8 | 104:3 110:5 | 118:21,21 | 117:12,20,23 |
| overrides | 114:4,6,8,18 | packets 90 | 118:5,25 119:4 |
| 117:19 | 115:3,8,11,18 | padilla 3:9 5:4 | part 46:17 64:5 |
| overriding 18:8 | 115:20,24 | 5.56.5,14.16 | 67:19 69:21 |
| 18:13,14,24 | 116:6,11,16,18 | :23,25 | 86:18 102:14 |
| 26:2 28:22 | 116:23,25 | 36:12 37:12,13 | participate |
| 29:4 53:12 | 117:2,4 118:3 | 37:23 38:6,15 | 66:11 |
| 81:18 114:4,17 | 118:7 | 38:17,19,23 | particular |
| 115:2,9,12,17 | ownership | 39:1 | 37:19 63:12 |
| 115:19,23 | 25:23 41:11 | page 29:21 | 67:9 |
| 116:6,15,17,23 | 53:9 54:8 | 54:7,8,11 | parties 5:25 6:1 |
| 116:25 117:2 | 56:13 57:20 |  | 6:6,6,11 8:14 |
| 118:7 | 62:11 75:21 |  | 9:6,7 11:4,9 |
| owe 71:3 | 95:4 99:18,19 | S | 15:5 23:24 |
| own 12:23 44:5 | 110:4 |  | 26:22 27:2 |
| 44:21 70:15 | owns 12:13 | $68: 20$ | 30:19 31:4 |
| 75:25 82:2 | 26:5 54:9 | paragraph | 32:13,18 36:11 |
| 99:21 103:1 | oxy 73:1,8,11 | 27:25 34:4 | 37:7 41:12,16 |
| 117:13 | 73:18,24 74:2 | 50:25 57:22 | 41:19 44:20,22 |
| owner 29:4 | 76:10,13 78:3 | 62:2 93:17 | 49:2 50:1 |
| 73:18,20 75:20 | oxy's 74:21 | 95:23 | 51:24 52:13 |
| 86:6 | 77:7 | parent 57 | 53:10,11,17,19 |
| owner's 92:6 |  | parrot 3:17 5:9 | 53:20 62:13,20 |
| owners 18:9,19 |  | 5:10 23:18,19 | 63:9 65:19 |
| 18:24 21:8 |  | 23:21 29:14 | 66:10,16 70:21 |

[parties - pool]

| 76:5 77:24 | people 17:5 | 52:19 54:25 | plat 44:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81:22,23 82:2 | 18:16 31:24 | 55:12 61:11 | 48:23 92:3 |
| 82:11,15 84:4 | 44:25 45:1,8 | 72:14 73:7 | please 11:14 |
| 84:7,10,17 | 51:3 58:9 72:3 | 79:2 80:1 91:8 | 13:11 17:5 |
| 87:15,22 88:2 | 72:4 88:5,18 | 99:13 102:2,2 | 73:10 79:14 |
| 88:3,7,10,12,12 | 88:19 90:8 | 102:9 | 91:4 118:16 |
| 89:4,18,21 | 101:14 115:5 | perspective | 119:13 |
| 90:17,21 92:7 | 116:5 | 14:16 | pleases 108:4 |
| 92:11,13,14 | percent 12:13 | peter 74:21 | plugged 100:10 |
| 93:6 96:23 | 19:16 30:1,3,3 | petroleum 18:2 | 100:11 |
| 98:4 99:13 | 30:16 31:7 | 25:12 74:24 | plus 96:25 |
| 104:22 105:2 | 44:22 54:9 | 76:24 77:2 | point 10:7 |
| 121:11,14 | 62:15 70:15,16 | 81:3,6 82:23 | 33:17 37:24 |
| 122:8,11 | 86:9,11 | 82:25 | 38:21 46:21 |
| partners 44:21 | percentage | ph 16:7 | 67:14,16 70:25 |
| 52:15,17 | 67:5,10,24 | phone 70:10 | 87:24 92:25 |
| parts 12:24 | percentages | 71:12,15 90:8 | 107:19 112:22 |
| party 50:19 | 67:25 68:14 | pick 21:25 | 115:6 116:10 |
| 73:25 86:2 | 89:7 | picked 19:9 | 116:14 |
| 104:3 | percy 3:12 | 53:22 84:14 | pointed 68:25 |
| password | 55:14 56:6 | 88:17 | 95:21 |
| 119:25 | 59:12 | picking 88:17 | pointing 87:3 |
| past 24:22 25:5 | performed 9:23 | pickup 63:10 | points 30:1 |
| 45:16 51:4 | period 99:20,24 | pinching | 105:18,18,25 |
| 52:7 | permian 35:18 | 110:17 | 118:13 |
| paths 77:9 | 60:22,24,25 | pinchouts | policy 98:15 |
| paula 3:5 55:8 | 91:3 92:8 93:8 | 56:21 77:11 | pool 24:5 25:25 |
| pay 4:17 45:2 | 104:23 | 83:11 | 26:13 27:3 |
| 70:11,12 71:9 | permission | place 66:6 75:8 | 41:6 43:22 |
| 71:18 | 95:11 112:15 | 75:24 87:13 | 44:9 48:16 |
| paying 4:15 | person 45:7 | 88:3 | 55:19,21,21,22 |
| pdf 29:21 54:8 | 67:870:15 | placed 94:9 | 61:15 62:6,14 |
| peabody 51:4 | persons 10:21 | 96:4,11 | 62:21 68:23 |
| penalty 30:2,14 | 16:17 22:5 | plan 32:20 | 73:18,25 75:4 |
| 30:16 31:8 | 23:7 40:2 52:9 |  | 75:6 81:15,24 |

[pool - production]

| 83:7 91:14,22 | 84:5 88:7,10 | prepared 12:12 | probably 59:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91:25 92:1,5 | 89:6,20 91:12 | 14:20 77:8 | 90:4,12 100:9 |
| 94:10,13,14 | 91:12 93:13,14 | 78:1 83:8 84:3 | 100:16 |
| 96:5,10 109:8 | 93:22 94:1 | 115:1 122:3 | problem 106:6 |
| 115:5,19 116:3 | 95:17 97:14,17 | present 24:2 | procedurally |
| 116:7,15 | 100:21 106:15 | 83:20 119:25 | 64:2 |
| pooled 26:22 | 114:15,20 | presented 16:3 | procedures |
| 28:11 32:17 | 115:10 117:19 | 45:21 108:7 | 93:13 |
| 41:11 44:3,3 | 117:25 118:8,8 | pretty 44:15 | proceed 12:12 |
| 44:19,23 45:4 | porosity 110:22 | 49:6 54:17 | 17:5 37:19 |
| 51:23 52:13 | portion 45:3 | 68:4 70:12 | 40:3 52:20 |
| 53:10 75:2 | 91:12,13 | 92:20 | 55:17 61:12 |
| 87:14,22 88:1 | position 15:2 | prevention | 73:8 80:2 91:9 |
| 88:2,6,12 89:4 | possible 12:16 | 83:25 | 91:11 108:3,22 |
| 89:18,21,23 | 12:18 13:4 | previous 61:19 | proceeding 2:5 |
| 90:21 92:8,20 | 14:17 | 102:24 | 9:9 36:4,9 |
| 93:25 94:3 | possibly 112:4 | previously | 122:4 |
| 114:3,18 | post 53:19,22 | 17:18 19:24 | proceedings |
| 116:11 118:3 | postal 19:10 | 26:16 29:5 | 121:3,4,6,8 |
| pooling 5:24 | 49:24 | 56:8 57:13 | 122:6 |
| 17:8 24:14 | potential 12:17 | 62:22 74:22 | proceeds 26:6 |
| 26:3 27:16,21 | 18:24 | 76:20 80:25 | process 70:5 |
| 30:15,22,25 | practice 19:19 | 82:19 91:21 | 115:6 116:9 |
| 31:7,22 40:4 | preceding 11:2 | 109:23 110:8 | 117:5 |
| 40:21 42:8,9 | prefer 11:10 | prime 63:1 | produced |
| 42:14 50:6 | 111:3 | 110:13 | 49:18,19 |
| 52:22 56:5 | preference 11:9 | principal 15:5 | producer |
| 61:21,24 66:1 | prefers 10:4 | print 54:7 | 100:12 |
| 66:3,12 67:1 | prehearing | printout 63:8 | producing |
| 67:11,14,20 | 13:18 38:1 | prior 16:8 22:2 | 17:14 18:1 |
| 69:12 70:25 | 108:13,15,24 | 36:13 44:18 | 31:3 50:22 |
| 71:2,10 73:11 | 108:25 | 64:1 67:25 | 74:4 82:13 |
| 74:12,14,18 | premature 9:22 | 68:3,9 69:6 | 96:7,18 |
| 75:13 80:4,15 | 10:3 | 95:14 96:19 | production |
| 80:16,17 82:15 |  | 102:25 121:5 | 4:20,24 7:2 |

[production - questions]

| 19:18 23:12,16 | 113:6 | proximity | purposes 30:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:11 57:24 | proposes 53:2 | 20:15 37:17 | 30:21 31:6 |
| 73:24 77:21 | 66:21 | 53:4,5 74:8,17 | 36:15 47:8 |
| 86:7 92:23 | proposing | 78:23 109:15 | 64:16 85:21 |
| productive 6:1 | 12:23 | 111:23 112:7,9 | pursue 65:23 |
| 37:8 | proration | 112:13,14,17 | put 45:14,19 |
| professional | 91:24 94:16,18 | 113:9 118:11 | 67:13 68:21 |
| 17:18,21 | 95:11 | public 29:1 | 79:4 100:4 |
| profits 26:6 | protest 99:20 | 70:10 90:7,8 | putting 66:6 |
| progressing 8:7 | provide 24:25 | 120:7 121:18 | q |
| proper 94:11 | 25:13 57:20 | publication | qualifications |
| 95:19 | 63:11 67:17 | 27:1 29:5 | 81:5 109:24 |
| properly 84:1 | 76:2 89:17 | 45:10,11,12,14 | 110:10 |
| property 88:21 | 90:20 114:21 | 45:17,24 46:8 | qualified 91:21 |
| proportionate | 114:24 115:2 | 46:12,12 50:3 | 121:7 |
| 76:1,11 | 116:8,17,22 | 52:4 57:1 | qualify |
| proposal 14:12 | provided 25:1 | 63:12 84:6,16 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { quarter } & 20: 10\end{array}$ |
| 18:7 21:12,19 | 25:10 26:14,22 | 91:19 111:8 | 55:25 74:6 |
| 22:13 26:10 | 26:25 27:14,21 | publish 45:10 | 92:21,22,22 |
| 32:22 33:7,10 | 28:9 41:8,16 | 45:18 111:7 | 97:1 109:16 |
| 44:24 49:2,3 | 53:6,10,16,19 | published 16:7 | question 22:7 |
| 56:14 62:17 | 56:4 57:15 | 18:25 19:11 | 59:6 63:20 |
| 70:20 71:1,25 | 58:17 62:7 | 41:17 45:18 | 65:10 96:15 |
| 82:192:13 | 75:11 76:13 | 53:18 57:2 | 100:14 113:24 |
| 94:20 110:4 | 78:2 82:14 | 78:9 | 114:3,5,23,25 |
| proposals | 84:4 115:18 | pull 52:7 | 117:10 119:7 |
| 14:15 69:5 | provides 25:16 | puma 44:1 | 119:18 |
| 96:22 | 25:22 26:16,17 | pumps 23:1 | questions 16:14 |
| proposed 12:14 | 28:4,13 41:10 | purely 63:21 | 16:15 20:22,23 |
| 44:13 48:13 | 41:13 53:8,14 | purple 81:14 | 21:1,4 22:23 |
| 56:3 62:6 68:8 | 62:23 110:6 | 98:16 | 29:13,16 31:18 |
| 75:1 80:10,18 | providing 84:9 | purpose 1:7 | 34:12,13 41:19 |
| 80:20 81:10,13 | provisions | 27:10,23 28:21 | 41:23,24 42:4 |
| 82:15 91:19,24 | 88:23 89:1,9 | 48:18 91:16,22 | 46:5 47:5 |
| 92:16 96:11 |  |  | 50:23 51:15,16 |
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[regard - right]

| regard 18:10 | replace $32: 25$ | requirements | return 41:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:23 21:13 | 66:2 | 16:1 | 49:4 53:18 |
| regarding | replacement | requires 18:22 | returned 45:7 |
| 57:16 | 36:13 43:8 | 89:13 | revenue 73:23 |
| registered | replacing 103:4 | research 76:4 | 85:20 |
| 75:10 76:8 | report 9:6 19:4 | resend 105:17 | review 75:6 |
| registry 73:21 | 53:20 | reserves 106:22 | 96:6 |
| regular 11:7,11 | reported 2:7 | 107:13 | reviews 74:25 |
| regulation | reporter 47:12 | resistivity | 75:1 76:23 |
| 99:16 | 47:14 79:8,9 | 110:21 | 81:10 |
| regulations | represent 61:5 | resolution 11:4 | revise $34: 17$ |
| 116:1 | representative | 109:3 | 52:12 |
| reiterating | 83:15 110:16 | resolved 11:16 | revised 25:16 |
| 90:5 | representing | resort 75:13 | 27:8,9,16,20 |
| relate 85:20 | 5:11 23:22 | resources 1:2 | 28:4 30:8 |
| related 121:11 | 106:25 | 5:8,11 8:23 | 106:17 117:7 |
| 122:7 | request $12: 15$ | 10:13,16 23:18 | revising 30:10 |
| relationship | 41:20 45:22 | 23:22 73:19 | revoke 9:9 |
| 57:17 | 51:1,2,9 53:25 | 75:4,19,25 | 102:25 |
| relative 121:13 | 75:11 102:22 | 76:6,9 92:8,9 | rid 66:5 |
| 122:10 | requested 45:2 | 106:25 | ride 107:10,20 |
| relevant 85:21 | 45:11 50:21 | respect $30: 14$ | ridge $10: 13,15$ |
| relief 28:19 | 64:4 93:20 | 37:18 103:15 | 92:8,9 |
| rely 69:4 | 94:18 96:16,19 | respectively | right 9:2 10:5 |
| remain 81:20 | requesting | 110:15,21 | 11:21 13:8,19 |
| 96:24 | 30:15 | responded | 14:18 15:6 |
| remainder | requests 95:10 | 21:20 | 21:18 31:25 |
| 25:21 | 97:2 99:7 | response 70:19 | 32:6 33:2 |
| remains 33:25 | 100:2 | 104:9 | 35:16 38:14 |
| remember | require 26:3 | result 9:6 18:17 | 42:5 49:18 |
| 46:18 | required 9:11 | 19:11 41:6 | 50:16 52:8 |
| remote 2:5 | 24:19 116:1 | resulted 27:18 | 54:12,23 59:11 |
| reopen 43:22 | requirement | 51:1 65:24 | 60:6 65:6 66:9 |
| reorientation | 103:14 | resume 76:22 | 67:23 70:3,22 |
| 28:6 |  | 81:2 | 85:7,14,17 |

[right - seeks]

| 86:12 88:13 | rules 96:12 | scheduled 10:1 | 74:7 80:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89:23 93:17 | 97:23 98:21 | schematic 20:1 | 93:23,24 94:1 |
| 101:22 102:14 | 99:11,13 | schill 14:2 | 94:4 95:3,8 |
| 102:19 103:17 | run 12:25 | 79:20 | 110:19 |
| 107:4,5,25 | runner 10:13 | se $27: 7$ | see 5:12 $24: 8$ |
| 108:20 113:10 | 10:15 92:8,9 | searches 18:20 | 25:9,18,24 |
| 113:13 115:1 | S | 29:2 | 26:9 28:24 |
| 118:2,19 | S 3:14:1 | second 20:4 | 30:10 32:1 |
| risk 19:17 30:3 | sage $81: 14$ | 25:4 28:23 | 34:7,9 40:9 |
| 30:3,14,16 | sage 98.16 | 48:19 59:8 | 53:11 69:3,13 |
| 31:876:11 | salvidrez 3:4 | 85:22 87:1,9 | 72:9 84:2 |
| rock 32:5 39:9 | sample 56:14 | 95:13 110:14 | 85:14 86:5,24 |
| 39:11,18,21 | $56: 2481: 25$ | 110:20 | 86:25 87:9 |
| 41:23,25 42:8 | 110:4 | secondly 94:22 | 94:20,23 97:9 |
| 42:14 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s a n }}$ 55:20,21 | secretary 75:7 | 97:23 101:11 |
| rodrigues | $58: 2159: 19,24$ | 76:7 | 109:6 120:4 |
| 44:14 | santa 2:6 4:22 | section 20:10 | seeing 22:9 |
| rodriguez 3:8 | 7:4 8:20 10:19 | 25:20 26:18,19 | 23:4 |
| 39:10,11,20 | 13:23 23:15 | 40:25 41:2,13 | seek 27:2 |
| 41:24 | 35:20 55:8 | 43:24,25 48:16 | seeking 9:24 |
| role 57:11 | 60:19 73:4 | 48:17 49:10 | 17:7 49:12 |
| route 65:24 | 91:5 | 53:1,15 55:24 | 73:18,24 74:2 |
| routine 4:9 |  | 55:25 56:18,19 | 75:4,6 76:10 |
| royalty 18:13 | 17:17 | 63:1,2 66:22 | 81:24 82:12 |
| 18:14 26:2,6,7 | savage 3:6 5:14 | 66:23 77:15,16 | 83:7 |
| 26:8 28:23 | 5:15 14:1,2,13 | 77:17 83:14,17 | seeks 24:5 |
| 29:4 53:12 | 15:8 79:18,19 | 91:14,15 92:19 | 25:25 26:13 |
| 81:19 114:4,8 | 79:24 85:3 | 92:22,22 95:24 | 40:4,21 41:6 |
| 114:17 115:2,9 | $\text { saw } 14: 1331: 5$ | 96:2 109:10,11 | 43:21 44:9 |
| 115:12,17,20 | saying $65: 19$ | 109:16,17 | 48:15 52:21 |
| 115:24 116:6 | $86: 18,18,21,25$ | 110:12 | 55:19 56:2 |
| 116:15,17,23 | $118: 10$ | sections 17:10 | 61:14 62:14,20 |
| 116:25 117:2 | says $36: 366: 20$ | 20:4 24:7 | 73:11 80:4 |
| 118:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 105: 23,23,25 \\ & 112: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 20 ~ 61: 17 \\ & 62: 16 ~ 73: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 91:13 |
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[seems - soon]

| seems 30:2 | session 4:15 | sharon 3:11 | simpler 118:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54:15 85:6 | set 6:21 8:15 | 7:23 8:25 | simply 45:3 |
| seen 90:10 | 9:13 10:6,8 | short 45:21 | 50:1 85:23 |
| self 56:6,11,16 | 11:7,10 13:9 | shortly 24:17 | 116:1 |
| 56:23 57:22 | 13:17 15:7 | shoulder 6:19 | single 89:18 |
| 63:23 74:21 | 24:16,21 25:4 | show 49:3 | sir 37:9 46:6 |
| 76:19,21 80:23 | 25:4,6,14,15 | 54:15 112:20 | 59:9 60:5 |
| 82:17,21 83:5 | 26:14 27:1,6 | 118:13 | 104:25 |
| send 6:22 32:18 | 29:9,9 34:6 | showing 27:10 | sisters 21:10,12 |
| 53:20 65:18 | 35:9 36:16 | 44:20 48:24 | 21:15 |
| 66:9 67:2,11 | 37:18 38:10,11 | 49:11,25 62:9 | site 93:2 |
| 67:16 70:8 | 38:11 66:18 | 62:25 83:9 | situation 22:1 |
| 71:1 111:3 | setback 33:24 | 92:20 110:11 | six 5:21 $21: 2$ |
| 115:21 | seth 76:19 | 110:21,24 | 37:11 59:23 |
| sending 66:17 | sets 17:24 | 113:2 | 109:13 |
| 70:24 | 24:15 | shown 68:14 | size 20:16 |
| sense 36:13 | settlement 8:6 | 96:3 | skills 121:10 |
| sent 21:22 | 36:11 37:8 | shows 77:14 | 122:6 |
| 28:10,14 32:12 | 38:8 | 83:13 92:5,7 | slow 105:6 |
| 32:17 33:6,10 | several 59:18 | 93:6 94:25 | small 25:19 |
| 34:5 62:18 | 91:11 | 95:1 96:6 | 72:4 117:18 |
| 63:6 68:8 69:5 | severance 41:5 | shut 9:9 | soft 65:3 |
| 69:6 82:1 84:9 | 43:9 | sign 31:11,20 | sole 28:21 |
| 88:23 110:5 | severances | 31:24 44:7,25 | solely 27:10 |
| 119:12 | 81:25 | 70:23 72:1 | 30:21 63:23 |
| sep 54:13 | shaheen 3:11 | 114:6 119:20 | solomon 41:9 |
| separate 14:17 | 7:22,23 8:24 | 120:2 | 41:10 |
| 35:14 69:21 | 8:25 9:20 | signature | somebody 38:1 |
| 87:18 88:21 | 10:10 | 121:16 122:14 | 72:1 88:20 |
| september | shanor 7:8 | signed 31:4 | 89:22 |
| 108:6 | 10:15 39:6 | 50:10 111:1 | somewhat |
| sequence 27:16 | 42:24 52:17 | signing 114:7 | 19:11 50:24 |
| serial 73:21 | share 57:19 | 119:18,22 | soon 12:18 |
| service 19:4,10 | 76:11 | similar 22:14 | 14:17 65:8 |
| 49:24 93:5 |  | 82:3,5 95:7 | 105:6 |

[sorry - status]

| sorry 15:15 | 20:16 24:10,13 | spring 17:9 | standpoint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:19 28:9 | 25:2,23 28:15 | 20:4 24:5 40:5 | 20:7 |
| 40:15 43:20 | 28:18 33:15,18 | 40:6,22,22 | stands 112:2,7 |
| 64:10 85:11 | 33:24 40:24 | 41:5,7 43:23 | start 4:9,18,19 |
| 100:13 105:11 | 52:24 55:23 | 44:6 61:15 | 5:19 8:2 15:14 |
| 107:13 113:3 | 56:2 61:16 | 100:12 109:9 | 25:6 40:16,18 |
| sort 69:3 | 62:3 65:17,24 | 110:11,14,20 | 51:6 59:10 |
| 101:15 | 66:1 67:12,21 | 110:23 | 104:23 |
| sought 24:9 | 68:4 69:21 | spur 52:15,17 | started 6:18 |
| 94:15 | 70:1,16 73:14 | 52:20,21 53:2 | state 1:1 60:24 |
| sounds 6:12 | 74:3,9,14,16 | 54:8,13,14 | 75:7 76:7 90:6 |
| 58:15 100:10 | 75:1,14,18 | squeeze 11:18 | 95:24 96:9 |
| 108:19 | 76:177:7,18 | stage 71:25 | 103:16 121:19 |
| south 17:10,11 | 77:22 80:7,9 | stake 105:17,18 | stated 49:7 |
| 23:1 24:7,24 | 80:18,20 81:11 | 105:24 118:13 | statement |
| 24:24 27:12,13 | 81:17,18 83:21 | stand 49:12,20 | 27:23,25 32:15 |
| 28:2,3 41:1,2 | 91:24 94:5,15 | 78:15 | 44:14 56:6,11 |
| 43:24,24,24,25 | 94:18,22 100:9 | standard 17:8 | 56:16,24 57:22 |
| 43:25 48:17 | 103:9 110:2,3 | 22:16 31:1,9 | 61:19,25 62:3 |
| 52:25,25 53:1 | 118:10 | 40:24 41:10 | 62:21 74:21,25 |
| 55:21,25 61:17 | specific 75:19 | 52:24 53:8 | 76:19,21 77:6 |
| 73:15 80:8 | specifically | 55:23 61:16 | 80:23 81:1,10 |
| 91:14,14,15 | 119:7 | 62:3 65:17,24 | 82:18,21 83:5 |
| 93:23 94:3,21 | spelled 32:10 | 65:25 67:21 | 91:20 108:24 |
| 94:24 95:2,3,3 | spelling 32:10 | 70:173:13 | 109:1 |
| 98:3,3,3 | sperling 12:6 | 80:6 91:24 | states 57:22 |
| 105:24 106:3 | 14:7 15:19 | 93:19 94:15,18 | 62:2 86:6 |
| 109:11,12 | 16:24 | 95:5,7,8,11 | 110:15 |
| southeast 22:10 | split 69:25 | 98:10,11,18 | status 5:22 6:2 |
| 55:25 | spoke 65:8 | 101:3,21 | 6:21 8:8,15 |
| space 103:25 | spoken 101:23 | 103:13 104:1 | 9:14,21 10:8 |
| spaced 94:10 | spreadsheet | 118:10 | 11:8,15 13:20 |
| 94:14 | 45:6 49:25 | standards | 14:15 19:7 |
| spacing 17:9 | 50:6 93:4 | 98:14 | 35:9,13 37:15 |
| 18:11 20:6,10 | 105:13 106:17 |  | 38:12 63:8 |

[status - terms]

| 75:16 84:10,12 | 28:20 44:12 | supplemented | 58:9 60:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109:4 | 48:9 49:22 | 117:7 | 63:14 72:22 |
| step 65:8,14 | 95:17 | supported | 79:4 84:22 |
| 67:6 93:15 | submitting | 17:16 | 90:19 97:5 |
| steps 45:1 | 9:23 70:24 | supposed 71:9 | 106:16 111:11 |
| stewart 110:8 | 118:16 | sure 13:11 | 121:3,12 122:9 |
| 110:15 | subsea 56:18 | 40:16 46:18 | talk 30:11 |
| sticks 113:1,7 | substantially | 54:15 58:5 | 66:14 98:24 |
| stole 113:23 | 22:18 | 59:2 63:19 | 104:12 114:1 |
| straightforward | subsurface | 67:7 68:25 | talking 6:19 8:5 |
| 44:15 | 83:8 | 71:7,10 88:5 | 8:5 71:5 |
| stratigraphic | succeeded 93:3 | 89:4,14 92:25 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a p }} 39: 8,11,18$ |
| 20:3 26:18,19 | successful | 100:15 106:12 | 39:20 41:23,24 |
| 56:19 83:17 | 28:25 | 111:4 112:6,13 | 42:8,14 |
| stripping 91:12 | successor 7:14 | 114:13 | target 20:5,12 |
| structural 20:3 | suggest 6:2 | surface 28:1 | 33:23 63:3 |
| 20:877:17 | 40:18 | 33:12,19 95:10 | 77:18 83:10,19 |
| structure 20:2 | sum 87:20 | surrounding | 83:20 |
| 26:17 41:13 | summary 18:7 | 98:14 | targeted |
| 49:9 53:15 | 49:1 82:6 | suspend 108:15 | 110:22 |
| 56:18 62:25 | 92:10 | sworn 59:13 | task 9:22 |
| 77:8 83:8,9,16 | sun 16:7 | 121:5 | taylor 50:3 |
| 92:19 110:13 | supervision | t | 109:22 |
| study 20:3 | 19:17 117:22 | tails 74:5,5 | technical 3:3 |
| stuff 105:17 | supplement | take 38:13 42:1 | 4:5 |
| 107:24 118:16 | 32:25 58:4 | 42:748:6 | tell 25:15 59:14 |
| sub 56:17 57:4 | 93:10 | 58.18 93.15 | 72:12 97:21 |
| subject 44:16 | supplemental | $113: 14$ | 116:21 |
| 49:17 82:15 | 24:21 25:15 | taken 16:10,20 | telling 86:25 |
| 84:5 117:22 | 27:6,22,25 | 20:19 23:9 | ten 45:13 59:23 |
| submit 72:17 | 28:10 29:9 | 29:10 34:17 | term 102:1 |
| 99:19 106:17 | 32:16,21,22 | 41:21 42:18 | terms 30:20,23 |
| 118:20 | 33:7 34:2 58:7 | $45: 146: 3$ | 54:22 103:1 |
| submitted 11:1 | 118:21 | $51 \cdot 1352 \cdot 11$ | 117:6 |
| 16:5 19:6 27:8 |  | $54: 155: 257: 5$ |  |

[testified - today's]

| testified 17:18 | 51:21 52:3,13 | think 9:22 | 94:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:24 26:16 | 52:18,21 54:1 | 10:22 31:1 | threshold |
| 56:8 59:15 | 54:2,5 55:3,16 | 34:10 35:7 | 54:21 |
| 62:22 74:22 | 55:18 57:7,25 | 37:14 39:12 | thursday $2: 2$ |
| 76:20 80:25 | 59:16 60:1,12 | 42:16 44:25 | tidy 54:7 |
| 82:20 109:23 | 60:13,14 61:3 | 52:1 53:21 | tied 38:9 |
| 110:9 | 61:13 63:15,17 | 57:10 58:3,8 | tiffany 17:17 |
| testifies 28:16 | 64:19 66:14 | 58:20 62:1 | time 2:3 6:7 |
| testify 18:2 | 72:23 73:6 | 64:25 65:11 | 9:16 10:4 |
| 25:2,11 | 78:17 79:1,6 | 66:24 67:3 | 12:24 25:9 |
| testifying 25:10 | 79:10,21,24 | 69:8 70:6 72:3 | 32:3 36:14 |
| 59:11 121:5 | 80:3 84:23 | 72:16,20 84:19 | 37:20 45:23 |
| texas 75:7 76:7 | 85:3 90:21 | 86:24,25 93:2 | 51:6,7 57:6 |
| thank 4:13 5:1 | 91:1,7 97:6 | 93:2,12 94:24 | 64:20 69:24 |
| 5:7 6:9,23 7:20 | 103:21 104:25 | 95:14 96:11 | 70:25 78:13 |
| 7:25 8:16 9:18 | 105:9 106:4,11 | 97:8 99:13 | 81:4 82:23 |
| 10:10,11 11:22 | 106:18,19 | 100:4,5 102:10 | 94:8,22 103:10 |
| 12:8,11,19 | 107:2 108:9,12 | 103:18 105:2 | 111:10 113:18 |
| 13:10,14 14:4 | 108:16 111:9 | 105:10 112:25 | 117:3 |
| 14:19 15:1,9 | 111:12,15,18 | 114:12 115:16 | timely 19:1 |
| 15:10,13,22 | 113:23 119:4 | 117:10,13 | 41:17 53:17 |
| 16:13,16 17:1 | 119:16 120:3,8 | 118:11 | 56:25 57:2 |
| 20:21,24 21:5 | thanks 4:14 | thinks 96:24 | 84:18 |
| 22:22 23:5,10 | theoretical | third 92:6 | timing 13:3 |
| 23:23 29:11,14 | 115:15 | 96:14 110:14 | title 44:4,9,16 |
| 31:19 34:19,21 | thickness 20:12 | 110:20 | 44:23 45:4 |
| 35:22 39:1,7 | thing 33:23 | thoreson | 73:20 75:20 |
| 39:21,22,24 | 34:2 49:10 | 109:22,22 | today $4: 5,7,8$ |
| 40:1,20 41:21 | 54:6 68:19,23 | 110:1 | 23:1 34:24 |
| 41:22,25 42:20 | 90:4 97:23 | thought 32:2 | 37:11 93:25 |
| 43:3,11,14 | 102:19 107:19 | 38:9 96:15 | 94:4,7 101:20 |
| 46:4,15,24 | 108:13 | 99:8 | 115:16 119:5 |
| 47:9,10,15,21 | things 4:16 5:3 | three 17:22 | today's 23:2,2 |
| 47:24 48:2,4 | 9:15 27:8 | 44:22 70:15,16 | 84:10 |
| 50:15 51:14,17 | 70:23 112:19 | 80:10 83:14 |  |

[together - under]

| together 36:16 <br> 67:13 68.21 | tract's 85:24 | true 50:15 87:8 | 98:14 118:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| told 4:17 46:17 | tracts 25:18 | trust 26:5 | tyler 61:25 |
| 104:10 | 44:19 48:25 | trustee 88:22 | type 26:8 |
| took 35:2 | 62:10 81:16 | 88:23 | typewriting |
| tool 18:22 | 87:4 88:14 | truth 59:14,14 | 121:7 |
| toot 117:13 | 92:5,6 94:25 | 59:15 | typically 30:4 |
| top 59:2 77:8 | 97:20 98:9,13 | try 105:3 116:7 | 98:15 117:24 |
| 83:8 86:5 92:7 | 98:15,18 99:1 | 119:14 120:7 | typo 105:15,16 |
| 110:14 | 99:3,5 109:15 | trying 28:21 | u |
| total 62:14 87:5 | 112:8 | 30:2 31:23 | ugly 10:7 |
| 87:11,19 | trade 8:6 | 33:12 54:10 | ultimately |
| township 17:11 | traffic 90:7 | 89:1 103:18 | 110:25 |
| 40:25 41:2 | train 32:2 | 119:20 | unable 75:12 |
| 48:17 53:1 | transcriber | tuesday 24:22 | unclear 97:22 |
| 55:25 73:15 | 122:1 | 25:5 27:6 | uncommitted |
| 80:8 | transcript | 74:10 80:12 | 17:8 40:5,21 |
| track 18:18 | 122:3,5 | 109:1,19 | 52:22 55:20 |
| 25:19 | transcriptionist | turn 113:18 | 73:11,18 80:5 |
| tracking 19:4 | 121:7 | turning 40:14 | 81:20 109:8 |
| 53:20 63:22 | translates | turns 117:1 | unconfuse 97:9 |
| 64:9,13 78:6 | 85:24 | two 21:7 22:14 | uncontested |
| tracks 68:7 | translation | 24:15 25:18,25 | 37:25 |
| 74:7,8 95:1 | 85:23 | 26:2 28:25 | under 9:8,11 |
| tract 25:17,21 | trap 93:3 | 35:8 37:15 | 16:10,11,20 |
| 25:23 26:1,1,2 | treated 69:18 | 45:8 49:15 | 20:19 23:9 |
| 41:11 48:24 | treating 36:15 | 61:4 62:8,16 | 24:25 29:10 |
| 53:4,5,9 56:13 | 100:1 | 62:18 63:4,21 | 30:17 34:17 |
| 62:9 75:17,19 | tree 91:25 | 64:1,2,4,6 | 41:21 42:19 |
| 81:15 85:9,16 | 94:13 | 65:12,16,17 | 46:3 51:13 |
| 85:19 86:4,6,9 | trgw1 85:16 | 66:2 67:25 | 52:11 54:1 |
| 86:14 95:1 | tried 35:3 | 85:20 91:16 | 55:2 57:5 |
| 98:9,11,12 | 50:16 | 92:12 93:23,24 | 58:19 60:11 |
| 99:8 | trouble 23:20 | 94:8 95:2 | 62:4 63:14 |
|  |  | 96:21,25 97:1 | 68:9,12,13 |

[under - want]

| 69:6 71:2,9,9 | 61:16 62:3 | university | vague 70:9,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:22 74:17 | 65:24 66:1,21 | 17:20 | van 53:8,14 |
| 79:5 84:22 | 66:25 67:12,22 | unleased 102:5 | 74:22,22 76:3 |
| 90:19 93:5 | 68:5 69:18 | unlocatable | vance 3:555:7 |
| 96:11,23 97:5 | 70:1,16 73:14 | 16:7 19:3,12 | 55:8,18 57:13 |
| 104:3 106:16 | 73:24 74:3,9 | 44:7 | 58:3,15,22 |
| 111:11 113:14 | 74:15,16 75:1 | unopposed | 59:1 60:12 |
| 114:16 | 75:14,18 76:2 | 36:22 | various 17:25 |
| underlying | 77:7,19,22 | update 33:9 | 62:10 88:14 |
| 24:6 40:6,23 | 80:7,9,18,20 | 46:13 51:22 | verde 91:16 |
| 43:23 52:23 | 81:11,17,18 | 105:12 | verification |
| 55:22 61:15 | 83:21 85:17,18 | updated 78:5 | 75:11 |
| 73:12 80:6 | 85:19,23,24 | 108:25 109:5 | video 23:20 |
| understand | 86:2,10,16,18 | 112:3,15 | 40:9,15 |
| 37:17 58:1 | 87:1,2,7,10,20 | usa 12:4,7 73:1 | videoconfere... |
| 72:11 98:22 | 87:22 91:25 | 79:12 87:3 | 2:1 |
| 102:6 115:22 | 92:21,22 93:19 | usage 52:7 | virtually 48:10 |
| understanding | 94:16,18,21 | use 95:10 102:1 | virtue 115:11 |
| 9:8 35:8,13 | 95:5,7,8,11,21 | 110:12 113:17 | void 45:9 |
| 115:7,25 | 95:24 96:9,12 | used 5:2 22:16 | voluminous |
| understood | 96:13 100:9 | 60:3 66:16 | 18:12 |
| 112:10 113:20 | 101:21 103:10 | 77:15 83:15 | voluntarily |
| uniform 95:4 | 103:13,15,25 | user 18:22 | 75:23 |
| 99:18,19 | 104:1 109:9,12 | 119:20 | voluntary 18:9 |
| unit 17:9,12 | 109:15 110:2 | using 18:20 | 66:25 70:22 |
| 18:11 20:6,6 | 110:12,23 | usps 93:5 | w |
| 20:10,11,14,16 | 112:17 118:10 | usual 4:9 48:23 | wait 59:8 |
| 21:14 24:10,13 | united 86:6 | 49:9 | waive 99:22 |
| 25:2,23 28:15 | units 12:14,23 | usually 61:4 | waiver 99:19 |
| 28:18 30:10 | 49:12,13,21 | utilized 63:1,7 | want 11:6 |
| 33:15,18,24 | 65:17 67:25 | v | 13:12 35:12 |
| 40:24 41:3,5 | 68:3 69:21 | vacate 61:21 | 51:22 87:25 |
| 44:18 52:24 | 94:11 99:5 | 64:6 108:17 | 88:9 103:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53: 2,455: 24 \\ & 56: 260: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 110:3 | vader 57:17,23 | 116:3 117:12 |

[wanted - yeah]

| wanted 21:20 | 58:25 62:6,8,9 | witness 59:13 | 110:5 114:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:24 58:1,13 | 62:19,25 63:4 | 81:6 109:22 | 115:8,9,11 |
| wants 35:15 | 65:4,21 68:16 | 110:8 115:3 | 116:10 118:3 |
| 37:16 89:22 | 68:16,17 74:3 | 121:4 | works 104:20 |
| warrants 12:17 | 74:5,15,16 | wolf 17:12 | 106:12 115:19 |
| waste $83: 25$ | 75:2,16 76:13 | wolfcamp | 116:9 |
| way 5:21 64:17 | 77:12,15,18,19 | 73:12 77:9 | worksheet 4:6 |
| 100:4 101:2 | 77:20 80:10,11 | 80:5 81:14 | wozniak 5:11 |
| 107:5 115:19 | 81:11,13,14 | 83:9,19 91:15 | 23:21 106:24 |
| 116:19 | 82:5 83:12,14 | 91:25 93:22,25 | wpx 50:2 |
| wayback 51:5 | 91:17 92:4 | 94:10,13 95:25 | wrapping 64:2 |
| we've 7:10 23:3 | 93:22 94:6,9 | 96:5,7 99:4 | writing 63:17 |
| 34:5 41:8 53:6 | 95:12 96:11 | wonder 22:10 | wrong 98:7 |
| 56:4 111:2 | 98:8 100:7 | wondering | 99:25 |
| 119:19 | 103:7 105:22 | 69:3 101:1 | x |
| website 46:13 | 109:13,13,15 | word 99:21 |  |
| 49:24 52:5 | 110:3,12,16 | words 11:12 | $\text { xto } 4: 2410: 17$ |
| 75:7 76:7 | 111:22 | 67:12 69:17 | 11:1,17 35:18 |
| week 78:7 | went 12:25 | work 13:7 29:3 | 35:23 36:3,7,8 |
| 109:1,2 113:18 | 19:6 26:10,23 | 76:23,25 81:3 | xto's 11:15 |
| weekend 51:4 | 32:7,23 78:4 | 82:22 101:10 |  |
| weekly $61: 8$ | 92:14 94:20 | 117:4 | $\frac{y}{\text { yea } 6: 18}$ |
| 104:14 | 109:4 | worked 17:21 | yeah 6:18 21:3 |
| weeks 9:13 | west $48: 16,16$ | working 17:24 | 21:5 31:10,13 |
| 35:8 118:24 | 80:7 96:2 | 18:8 21:8 | 31:15 32:9 |
| weird 21:2 | wide $87: 2$ | 24:12 25:1,25 | 36:2 59:17 |
| wellbore 20:1 | wie 53:8,14 | 26:12 28:11,14 | 64:8,19,22,22 |
| 77:9 113:5,6 | willing 99:6 | 32:17 44:3,21 | 68:6 69:14,23 |
| wells 17:13,14 | 100:3 | 44:22 48:24,25 | 70:18 71:6,11 |
| 18:6 19:15,16 | wise 100:21,22 | 54:9 62:15 | 71:16,20,24 |
| 19:20 20:5,15 | withdraw | 63:6 66:21,25 | 72:6,11,12 |
| 21:14 22:21 | 108:10 | 73:22 75:22 | 86:15 87:16,21 |
| 48:19 49:5,8 | withdrawn | 81:19 82:8 | 99:11,15 100:5 |
| 49:15,16,17 | 35:23 | 86:9 101:25 | 100:13 102:6,7 |
| 50:11 53:3 |  | 102:3,4 104:2 | 102:8,16,17 |
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