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P R O C E E D I N G S
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning, everyone. This is Thursday, of course, and it is February 16, $I$ believe. And this is the Hearing of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. I am Bill Brancard, Hearing Examiner.

With me today is our Technical
Examiner, Mr. Dylan Rose-Coss. Are you here?
MR. ROSE-COSS: Good morning, everyone.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see a raised hand, so I'll take that as a yes. And as always with these proceedings are being recorded by a court reporter, so please speak clearly and loudly and maybe even slowly, if you need to.

So today's agenda, it's on the worksheet on our Web site, as always. There are 59 cases listed today and we have a number of status conferences to begin the day with. So we may go through this number pretty quickly.

Are there any announcements,
Mr. Rose-Coss?
MR. ROSE-COSS: None from me, thanks.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, rumors are
true -- state employees are back in the
buildings -- although finding offices is not easy
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since our building is still under construction. We have two of three floors available, so people are sitting on each other's laps and it's not a not a fun sight to see.

So with that, we can begin today. And we will call the first nine cases. These are case numbers 21361, 21362, 21364, 21393, 21394, 21489, 21490, and 21491.

We'll start at the top: Mewbourne Oil Company.

MS. HARDY: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Dana Hardy with the Santa Fe Office of Hinkle Shanor of behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Ms. Hardy, you're a little quiet this morning, so -MS. HARDY: I will try to turn up my audio.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's better. MS. HARDY: Okay. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ascent Energy?
MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner; Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Matador Production Company, successor to Ascent Energy.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
you. And Apache Corporation.
MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone; Deana Bennett on behalf of Apache Corporation.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have a few entries of appearances here. Let's start with Colgate Operating.

MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning, everyone.
Sharon Shaheen, Montgomery \& Andrews, on behalf of Colgate Operating.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Apache
Corporation.
MR. BENNETT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Deana Bennett on behalf again on behalf
of Apache Corporation.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. EOG
Resources.
MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Ernest L. Padilla for EOG Resources.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And in a few of these cases, I have Jalapeno Corporation.

MR. BECK: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Matt Beck on behalf of Jalapeno Corporation.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any other entries of appearances? We're talking cases 21361, 362, 363, 364, 393, 394, 489, 490, 491.

Hearing none, we'll start with
Mewbourne Oil Company. Where are we?
MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, the parties are still working toward an agreement and I think they are making progress. We have conferred and I -- I believe everyone has agreed to have another status conference on May 18th, if that works for the Division.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: It will work for the Division. Matador?

MR. SAVAGE: That would be agreeable, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Apache?

MS. BENNETT: That's agreeable to Apache, Mr. Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Any other comments?

Hearing none, these nine cases will be set for a status conference on May 18th.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are at Items 10 through 21. I will call cases 22274,

22275, 22276, 22277, 22600, 22601, 22602, 22603, 22501, 22502, 22503, 22504.

Let's start with Mewbourne Oil Company.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Matador Production Company?
MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert from the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the MRC entities, including Matador.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And we have some entries here from Jalapeno Corporation?

MR. BECK: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Matt Beck on behalf of Jalapeno Corporation.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have for some cases, EOG Resources.

MS. KESSLER: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Jordan Kessler on behalf of EOG Resources.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. That may be all I can see. Any other entries of appearances for these cases?

Hearing none, we'll start again with

Mewbourne.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, the parties have -- have reached a -- I believe, reached a verbal agreement about settling these matters, but it hasn't been drafted and approved by the parties. So we would ask for a continuance. I'd rather have it set for a hearing rather than a status conference. But the -- we would like to bring these to hearing eventually.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Matador?
MR. FELDEWERT: That's my understanding as well, Mr. Examiner, and I don't believe my -- or my client does not have any objection to setting the matter for a hearing, assuming that the verbal agreement eventually results in the correct written agreement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any other comments from other parties?

MS. KESSLER: None.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That was the "none" from EOG and so -- so we're looking at April, $6 t h$ or $20 t h$.

MR. BRUCE: I would prefer the 6th, but I'd ask Mr. Feldewert.

MR. FELDEWERT: Think they can get the agreement done by then, Jim?

MR. BRUCE: I've been told for two months that it would -- it would have settled two months ago. So I, you know --

MR. FELDEWERT: We'll put -- we'll put April 6th -- April 6th will work.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. If already no other comments or objections, we will set this for a hearing on April 6th. Thank you.

With that, we are at Items 22 through 29. Cases 22423, 22424, 22425, 22426, and 22496, 22497, 22498, 22499. Once again, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Jim Bruce for Mewbourne.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And Matador?

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We have an entry from Colgate operating.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett on behalf of Colgate Operating.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And
who else? Jalapeno Corporation.
MR. BECK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, Matt
Beck on behalf of Jalapeno Corporation.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Any other entries of appearance, cases 22423, 424, 425, $426,496,497,498,499 ?$

We'll start with Matador this time.
MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I can say
that that verbal agreement that Mr . Bruce referenced in the last series of cases applies here, so the same circumstance exists. I believe eventually Mewbourne -- assuming the agreement is consummated, that Mewbourne will be able to move forward with these cases.

Is that right, Jim?
MR. BRUCE: Yep, that's right.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So I will assume you want these cases to track the cases that we just set for a hearing on April 6th.

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
MR. FELDEWERT: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mewbourne agreed?

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any other
comments, concerns, questions, until $I$ set this for a hearing on April 6th?

MR. FELDEWERT: We're being way too efficient this morning.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Scary. Hearing none, these cases will be set for a hearing on April 6th, and we will issue a piece of paper to commemorate.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: We are at Items now 30 and 31, Cases 22539, 22540, Rockwood Resources.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Rockwood Resources, et al.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mewbourne Oil Company?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Any other interested persons for cases 22539, 22540?

All right. We'll start with Rockwood.
MR. SAVAGE: Good morning. Mr. Hearing
Examiner, the parties are very, very close, as I understand, to -- to resolving -- to consummating their agreement in place, and I believe there's just
one item that's remaining. We've conferred with the other party and we think a status conference would be appropriate -- one more status conference to -- to resolve this matter.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Mewbourne?
MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I agree. I think another status conference is fine. And in the event all of the -- well, the few remaining issues are resolved by then, $I$ think that Rockwood could dismiss its applications. But $I$ think setting another status conference for now is fine.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any suggestions on a date; sooner or later?

MR. SAVAGE: Sooner would be fine. Thank you.

MS. HARDY: That's fine with Mewbourne.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Why don't we give you 'til April 6th; will that work?

MR. SAVAGE: That would be great, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Thank you.

MS. HARDY: That's fine. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. With that, cases 22539 and 22540 are set for a status conference on April 6th.

All right. Case 22782, Whiptail
Midstream.
MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Whiptail Midstream.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oil Conservation
Division?
MR. TREMAINE: Good morning,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. This is Jesse Tremaine for the Oil Conservation Division.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Any other interested persons for case 22782?

So we were going to have oral arguments; there's been some papers filed but now we're having a status conference. So what's the wish of the parties?

MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, given the matters of some of the proceedings with the public notice and clarifying a number of the issues and pleadings, we thought that a status conference would be appropriate at this time.

But the positions seem to be still unresolved and I believe that both parties, their positions should receive due consideration -- or due -- yeah, due consideration as the issues
are -- seem to be important and have different consequences, depending on which direction you go. So I would request that we set up a motion hearing on this to address the issues and then see if there's a need for a evidentiary -- some kind of evidentiary hearing.

MR. TREMAINE: I concur, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
I believe you are muted, Mr. Hearing
Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't know why
I keep doing that.
When were you thinking to have oral arguments? March is a little crowded, but --

MR. SAVAGE: March or any time thereafter would be appropriate, I believe.

MR. TREMAINE: Anything in that time scale would work for the Division, Mr. Hearing Examiner. I do happen to be out of the country April 19th through May 3rd, so I'm hearing that April 20th taking in the earlier hearings, so that would not work for me. But $I$ believe that the April 6th date would -- would, if it works for Whiptail.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I was thinking
April 6th also. So is that okay with Whiptail?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, thank you. That sounds good.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So we're going to have a hearing on the pending -- I believe it's a summary judgment motion; is that correct?

MR. SAVAGE: That is correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So we'll have a hearing on April 6th, a summary judgment motion, and we will send out a piece of paper. Thank you all.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you. Thank you.
MR. TREMAINE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And with that, we are at Items 33 and 34. This is case 22853 and 23295, Pride Energy Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Pride.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And Cimarex Energy Company?

MR. SAVAGE: Hearing Examiner, Darin Savage on behalf of Coterra and Cimarex Energy Company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We have entries here in at least one of the cases from

ConocoPhillips.
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry With
ConocoPhillips, COG Operating, and Concho Oil and Gas; the whole -- the whole gamut this morning.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Great -- but not
mongoose, huh?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: I guess it isn't the --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And Marathon Oil
Permian, LLC.
MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone.
Deana Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, LLC.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Anyone else here for cases 22853, 23295?
So I'll start with Pride.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, we were set to go to hearing today for a contested hearing, and I -- I had witness availability problems so I requested a -- a status conference so we could set this down the road for a contested hearing.

The parties are talking but haven't been seeming to get too far along; is that correct, Darin?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, that's -- that's correct. It doesn't seem to be -- seems to be an
impasse at this point.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. So we should set this for a contested hearing date, correct?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. How about April 20th?

MR. BRUCE: That would be fine with me.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Coterra, Cimarex?

MR. SAVAGE: I believe that would work. I do need to check with that -- with the client, but I -- I think that tentatively that would suffice.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any comments or concerns from the other parties?

MS. BENNETT: Mr. Examiner, this is Deana Bennett on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian, and I have been in communication with Pride's counsel regarding whether Marathon has an interest in the Pride cases, and we have not yet reached resolution on that particular request.

So I would note that there is still an outstanding issue of whether Marathon has an interest in the Pride case, and if so, that may impact

Marathon's position going forward. But I am
coordinating with Mr. Bruce on that particular issue.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well,
we'll give you two months to decide whether to participate in a hearing.

MS. BENNETT: Yep.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And so with
that, cases 22853, 23295, will be set for a hearing on April 20th. Thank you.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: We are now on item 35, case 22988, Matador Production Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Matador.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And we have an entry from ConocoPhillips Company.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of ConocoPhillips.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I believe Conoco has objected to this case going by affidavit; is that correct?

MS. HARDY: That's correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Does Conoco anticipate filing competing applications?

MS. HARDY: Conoco intends to oppose the applications based on its plans to self-develop.

It owns 100 percent of the acreage in part of the spacing unit, so doesn't need to file competing applications but does intend to oppose Matador's application.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So I
believe we need to set a hearing date, then.
MS. HARDY: That's correct.
MR. BRUCE: That's correct,
Mr. Examiner. I know the parties have been in touch about trying to resolve it, but $I$ think moving it a couple of months down the road, set it up for a contested hearing would -- would keep matters rolling.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Are you thinking April or May?

MR. BRUCE: When is the first May
hearing date? Is that --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: May 4th.
MR. BRUCE: May 4th. Okay. That would work for me.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Conoco?
MS. HARDY: That's fine, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else with comments on case 22988?

Hearing none, it will be set for a
hearing on May 4th.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: We're on item now -- thank you. We're on Item Number 36 now, Case 23045, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MS. HARDY: Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Mewbourne.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do we have an entry from MRC Permian?

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart. I think we've also appeared for MRC Delaware resources.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Probably so. Any other persons for Case 23045? We've accepted this for a status conference, but $I$ believe we have a late file motion to dismiss; is that correct? I'll check in with Mewbourne here.

MS. HARDY: Correct. The parties reached an agreement and we have filed a motion to dismiss Mewbourne's application.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any objections, then, to the motion to dismiss?

MR. FELDEWERT: I'm not going to oppose
a motion to dismiss.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Hearing none, then motion will be granted. Thank you.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. We are now on Item 37, Case 23214, EGL Resources.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Ernest L. Padilla for EGL Resources.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We have entries from Matador Production Company.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And Cimarex
Energy.
MR. SAVAGE: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Darin Savage on behalf of Cimarex energy company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Is there anyone else here for Case 23214?

Hearing none, where are we headed here?
Has anybody now filed competing applications?
MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, I believe Cimarex has filed competing applications in the last week. They're not on this docket. We reluctantly
agreed to extend this hearing to April 6th to be combined with other cases that are going to come up on that hearing date. So Cimarex has filed, I think, four cases, if I'm correct.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me hear from
Cimarex.
MR. SAVAGE: That is correct,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. This overlap resulted as a result of some competing applications between Mewbourne and Cimarex in -- in another set of cases. Cimarex filed four applications and they happened to overlap with these particular EGL cases, you know, in one particular section. And, therefore, they're mutually exclusive and competing. As a result, we would like to have these -- all cases heard on the April 6th docket.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Do you have in front of you case numbers?

MR. SAVAGE: I -- I don't have in front of me, but $I$ can pull those up and -- and let you know what cases those are.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Well, while we're waiting, can we get any comments from Matador Production?

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Matador
agrees that it makes sense to move this matter to the April 6th docket since, I believe, that's where the Cimarex case is, or going to first appear. I think it's their iron -- island or something like that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's
Mewbourne, I think. Let me see.
Well, let me just say $I$ don't -- I
don't have a problem with an April 6th hearing date in these cases. If you can't get me those numbers right now, Mr. Savage, you can send an e-mail and copy all the parties so we can put them all into one very pretty prehearing order.

MR. SAVAGE: I will do that. Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. I apologize for not being able to pull this up off of my e-mail quickly, but $I$ will send those to you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Any other concerns before we set this for a contested hearing on April 6th?

Hearing none, we will do so and we will await the Cimarex cases to put them on the prehearing order.

MR. PADILLA: Thank you, sir.
MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Now
on Items 38 and 39, case 23254, 23255, V-F Petroleum, Inc.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of $V-F$ Petroleum.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Apache Corporation?

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone, Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling on behalf of Apache Corporation.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anyone else here for cases 23254, 23255?

Hearing none, let's start with V-F. Where are we?

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Apache has opposed the presentation of these cases by affidavit.

However, Apache does not have an interest in the south-half case, which is Number 23255. Given that they don't have an interest in that case, I don't think there's a basis for the objection and I would like to request an opportunity to present that case by affidavit on the north-half case, since they do have an interest. It seems we probably need a contested hearing date on the soonest available docket.

## THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.

Let's hear from Apache.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you. It's my understanding that the JOA that $V-F$ originally sent to Apache included the south-half and the north-half acreage, and that's why V-F -- Apache was opposing both cases. And it wasn't until February 7th that -- or February 6th, I think, that V-F sent Apache a revised JOA.

And, in either event -- so, first of all, Apache still needs time to review the revised JOA and is still considering its options. And in either event, it is still opposed to both cases proceeding by affidavit.

And so we would ask that the cases either be set for a contested -- I'm sorry, for a status conference to allow Apache to review the revised JOA and has its questions answered, because it's my understanding that Apache's asked some questions as well of $V-F$ that have not yet been answered. And so to set either a status conference in, $I$ guess, April or a contested hearing in April, but the cases are not ready -- even the south-half case is not ready to be heard today in $V-F--$ in Apache's view, given the timing of everything that's transpired very recently.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So I'm guessing that Apache is not preparing a contested case, it's own case.

MS. BENNETT: Not that I'm aware of. But, again, Apache only received the JOA for the north-half on February 6th so it's still reviewing the north-half JOA and still waiting for its questions to be answered on that JOA. But as far as I know, it's -- it isn't at this time going to be filing competing applications. But, again, just received the JOA.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me go back to $V-F$ for a second for a response.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, I think if we are not able to present the south-half case by affidavit, $I$ would ask that both cases be set for a contested hearing on the first April docket and hopefully the parties can work these issues out the by then. But another status conference would cause additional delay and $I$ think $V-F$ is ready to proceed with its development.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: But you -- V-F is willing to move one case without the other.

MS. HARDY: Yes. If we can present the south-half case by affidavit, that would be
preferable. Well, hopefully we can present both cases by affidavit, if the objections are resolved. But definitely $I$ think we should be able to proceed with the south-half case since Apache doesn't have an interest in that case.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Well, why don't we set this, then, for a hearing on April 6th, and hopefully you all can resolve things one direction or another.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any other
interested persons, then, cases 23254, 23255?
Hearing none, they will be set for a contested hearing on April 6th.

All right. With that, we are on Items 40, 41, 42, Cases 22171, 22172, 22408, Alpha Energy Partners.

MS. HARDY: Mr. Examiner, Dana Hardy with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Alpha Energy Partners.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: MRC Permian
Company?
MR. SAVAGE: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie \& Schill on behalf of MRC Permian. Also Matador Production

Company, success to Ascent Energy.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: City of
Carlsbad?
MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett, Modrall Sperling, on behalf of the City of Carlsbad.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Oil
Conservation Division?
MR. TREMAINE: This is Jesse Tremaine on behalf of the Oil Conservation Division.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I have Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Michael Feldewert at the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any other entries, 22171, 22172, 22408?

MR. HAJNY: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Brandon Hajny with Cavin \& Ingram in Albuquerque .

We represent Realeza Del Spear, which originally filed an objection to Alpha's 22172 case. We've withdrawn that objection and I'm just here to monitor.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Goodness, I thought you had dropped out as a party. I'm sorry.

Thank you. So, once upon a time, we had a prehearing order, three different companies overlapping spacing units, and now it appears that two of the sets of applications have disappeared, been dismissed, and we're left with Alpha Energy Partners; is that correct, Ms. Hardy?

MS. HARDY: Correct, Mr. Examiner. And at this point, I don't believe there are any objections to Alpha's applications, but $I$ do note that the Oil Conservation Division may want to oppose permit conditions because of the proximity of these wells in relation to the Carlsbad brine well. So I'm hoping we can work those issues out.

But I think we would ask for the cases to be set for a hearing on the May $18 t h$ docket, and if we're able to resolve the permit conditions with OCD, we would hope to present these cases by affidavit. But if not, we might need hearing testimony.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well,
let's go around, check in with everybody here. Start with MRC?

MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Hearing Examiner,
that's correct. And no objections on that.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: The City of
Carlsbad?

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. The City of Carlsbad has -- when the Alpha cases were first filed -- or the City of Carlsbad was negotiating with Alpha about the lease terms, and then we entered into this, you know, state of flux and these multiple continuances.

And so to be quite honest, when the other two parties settled and made this Alpha case more -- on a faster track, I needed to check back in with the City of Carlsbad to see where the lease negotiations were, because those had been put on the back burner, I'm sure, pending this -- the outcome of the cases.

So I have been in contact with the City of Carlsbad, but $I$ don't have a final answer from them yet on whether their lease negotiations with Alpha have been successful. So that is still a pending issue.

So while I largely agree with Ms. Hardy, I would like to put a pin in this and just note that the City is still needing to work through some things with Alpha and that could potentially affect the presentation by affidavit. But I'm hopeful that between now and May 18th I would have time to get that all resolved and that the City and Alpha would be
able to come to an agreement or not between now and them. But $I$ did want to raise that for the record and for the parties' understanding.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Let me just check with Mewbourne first here.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I
anticipate that the -- Mewbourne is not going to be involved any further in this matter, because $I$ do not believe we are an affected party now that the other cases have been dismissed.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So Oil Conservation Division.

MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I agree with Ms. Hardy. I think that is a good plan. The OCD has been in contact with the contractor remediating the brine well to get the final monitoring plan finalized and in place, and that will hopefully be happening well in advance of the May date and inform OCD's position at that time.

The Division's involvement at this point is related to the current one-mile restriction on development, and so my intent is to be able to provide an updated position on the part of the Division before that date, and we will be in touch with Alpha to discuss any appropriate conditions that

OCD would be requesting in advance of the May 18th hearing date.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. If I read the maps correctly, these spacing units appear to be within one mile of the brine well cavern, correct?

MR. TREMAINE: Yes, that is my understanding.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
All right. So let's go back, then, to Alpha. And so May 18th is fine. Should we set this up, basically, as a potential contested hearing that could turn into an affidavit hearing?

MS. HARDY: I think so, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Any objections from anyone? Any further comments? I see noddings of head, "no", and with that, Cases 22171, 22172, 22408 will be set for a hearing on May 18th.

MS. HARDY: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you all.
Well, let's try to do a few hearings here while we're at it. We'll start off with a couple of cases that have been continued from prior hearings.

Item Number 43, Case 23210, Novo Oil \& Gas Northern Delaware, LLC.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning,

Mr. Examiner and everyone. Deana Bennett on behalf of Novo Oil \& Gas Northern Delaware, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any entries from
Yates Energy Corporation? MRC Delaware Resources? MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning,

Mr. Examiner. Michael Feldewert, Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: COG Operating?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Ocean Munds-Dry with
COG Operating, LLC.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And EOG
Resources.
MS. KESSLER: Good morning. Jordan
Kessler on behalf of EOG Resources.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Sorry, Ms. Kessler, you were a little quiet there, so --

MS. KESSLER: I'll speak up.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Well, I believe there was some notice issues to deal with; is that correct?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: That's correct. This case was continued for notice purposes only.

When we were preparing the materials for the case a few weeks ago, we noticed that on the
tracking that we had the tracking said, "to be mailed," even though we had physically delivered the letters to the post office. And so for the folks who were noted as to be mailed, on our spreadsheet we went ahead and prepared handwritten green cards and set those letters by certified mail with handwritten green cards -- or, you know, original green cards that folks could sign.

And so we did file a supplemental
declaration of Earl DeBrine, and that supplemental declaration does show that the additional -- or those parties that were listed as to be mailed were timely sent notice letters. And the majority of those letters was delivered, as is reflected by the signed green cards that we received back.

So with that, I would ask that the supplemental declaration of Earl DeBrine and the supplemental exhibit regarding notice, which is Exhibit B.7.6, be admitted into the record in case 23210, and that the case be taken under advisement.
(Item 43 Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any other comments or objections on Case 23210?

Thank you. I will also note you also
filed another amended exhibit on listing the overriding royalty interest owners.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: That's correct. At the end of the first hearing, or during the first hearing, we did need to submit a amended pooled party list, and we did submit that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. With
that, the exhibits will be admitted into the record and the case will be taken under advisement.
(Item 43 Exhibit 1 was received into evidence.)

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Thank you very much.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We are now on Item 44, Case 23298, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Any other entries of appearance for Case 23298?

Hearing none, this case is also a continuation for notice purposes. Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, Mr. Examiner. I think the Affidavit of Publication was -- may have been published one day late, so the matter was continued to let the notice period run.

Plus, I had not received the original
affidavit of publication as of the last -- as of the hearing -- prior hearing, and I did receive that, and I filed a supplemental exhibit, and that was Exhibit 4. But $I$ would ask that Exhibits 1 through 5 in the case -- was presented other than the notice affidavit -- Exhibits 1 through 5 be admitted into the record and that the case be taken under advisement. (Item 44 Exhibits 1 through 5 were marked for identification.) THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any objections, case 23298? Hearing none, all the exhibits will be admitted into the record and the case will be taken under advisement.
(Item 44 Exhibits 1 through 5 were received into evidence.)

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are on Items 45 through 48, Cases 22915, 22916, 22990, 22992, Matador Production Company.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning, Mr. Brancard and Mr. Rose-Coss, Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the Applicant.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We have entries from COG Operating, LLC.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning,
Mr. Hearing Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry with COG Operating, LLC and Concho Oil and Gas.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mewbourne Oil
Company.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And COG
Resources.
MS. KESSLER: Jordan Kessler on behalf of EOG Resources.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any other interested person in cases 22915, 916, 990, and 992?

Hearing none, these cases were part of a larger prehearing order, and I believe we vacated the prehearing order. And Matador wants to go ahead with four of these cases; am I correct, Mr. Feldewert?

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So, all right. Let me just once again check; does anyone object to those cases going forward by affidavit?

MR. BRUCE: I do not.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see several nodding of heads on the screens, "no." So with that, I believe, Mr. Feldewert, you may go ahead with

Matador's presentation.
MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
Mr. Examiner, Mr. Rose-Coss, this is -- part of these four cases are really a part of a series of cases on file to address development in an area of New Mexico that has some unique land configurations. I would invite everyone to take a look at what was -- been filed as Matador Exhibit C3, which is page 48 of the PDF that we have filed, and you'll see -- we've outlined here the acreage in question.

And what you'll observe here is that in this very unique part of New Mexico, you have some irregular sections of land, and these irregular sections of land are comprised of a series of unusual number of contiguous lots.

And you'll also notice when you look at this plat that those continuance -- contiguous lots actually shift as you move from 21 -- or 20 south -- 21 south, 28 east to 21 south 29 east; they kind of drop down a little bit.

So to address this very unique land configuration we have presented here today -- or going to present here today four consolidated cases involving the Wolfcamp formation underlying this area.

Two of these applications seek approval
and pooling of nonstandard horizontal space units to address the unusual number and configuration of the lots that you see that comprise, essentially, the north-half of this acreage. And, now, those are cases 22990 and 22992.

22990 seeks an order approving and pooling what will be a nonstandard horizontal well spacing unit, approximately 670 acres in the Wolfcamp, and you'll see that from this exhibit that it will be comprised of lots 1 through 8 of irregular section 1 and 2, which will be the north-half -- north-half equivalent of those sections.

And then also lots -- or, let's see, 1 through 8. And then lots 3 through 6 -- hold on a minute here. I've got to look at my -- in my plat, here. It would be -- if you shift over then to the next section, you'll see it will involve lots 3, 4, 5 and 6 of irregular section 6 , which is essentially the north-half of the northwest quarter equivalent of Section 6 for the proposed 2 and a half mile wells which would be the Simon Camamile 201, 202 and 222 that you see there at the top of the screen.

Then case 22992 seeks an order approving and pooling a nonstandard horizontal spacing unit approximately 780 acres in the Wolfcamp comprised
of lots 9 through 16 , which would be the south-half of the north-half equivalent of sections -- irregular sections 1 and 2. And then the lots 11, 12, 13, and 14 of irregular section 6 , which is essentially the south-half of the northwest quarter equivalent.

We then have case 22915 which seeks to pool a standard -- this one gets to be a standard one -- a standard 390 acre horizontal space unit in the Wolfcamp that's comprised of the north-half and the south-half of sections 1 and 2 , and then what would be lot 17 , and then the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of irregular section 6, which would be the north-half of the south-half equivalent of that southwest quarter.

And then finally, rounding things out, we got Case 22916, which seeks to pool a standard horizontal well spacing unit comprised of approximately 390 acres in the Wolfcamp comprised of the south-half of the south-half of sections 1 and 2 , and then the lot 18 in the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 6, which is the south-half of the southwest quarter equivalent of Section 6.

And both of these standard spacing units at this time will be initially dedicated to a
single well at 205 H Simon Camamile and then the Simon Camamile 206H.

With respect to the standard spacing units that we seek under 22915 and 22916, the original application sought approval of an overlapping spacing unit in each of those applications, as $I$ note in our prehearing statement that is no longer needed.

So with that said, what we provided you in our package here is Exhibit $A$ is comprised of the four compulsory pooling checklists for each of these cases, the Exhibit $B$ is the application that was filed for each of these four cases, Exhibit $C$ is the self-affirmed statement of the Landman, Mr. Clay Wooten [ph], who has not previously testified before this Division, so he outlines his credentials in paragraph 2, which, $I$ believe, qualifies him to speak as an expert landman.

Mr. Wooten then goes on to provide in Exhibit C1 the C102s for all the wells that you saw listed on Exhibit C3 and we have them in numerical order, just to make it easier to find them. Exhibit C 2 is a general location map to show you where this unusual acreage configuration is located. Exhibit C3 is what -- is the exhibit we just reviewed outlining the spacing units and the acreage that's involved.

Exhibit C4 then begins a series of track maps for each of these spacing units that identifies the tracts of land involved, the interest owners that require pooling and where their acreage is located, along with their interest in each of the proposed spacing units, and then a list for each case of the overriding royalty interest owners that the company seeks to pool under these applications.

And so we have for each case the track map, the list of working interest owners and their -- where their interest is located with their interests, along with the overriding royalty -- list of overriding royalty interest owners. That's Exhibit C4.

Exhibit 5 is a sample of the well proposal letter -- letters that went out in connection with this project, starting back in November of 2021. There's been a few letters that have updated and amended the wells -- well proposals. The AFEs are provided, and Mr. Wooten talks about those in his statement.

Exhibit C6 is the summary of
communications, which each of the parties that the company seeks to pool by case in that Exhibit, so it's comprised of a series of pages identified by
particular case number and then the chronology context. And then recall that we have two applications where we seek approval of nonstandard spacing units that deal with those lots up there in what is the north-half equivalent of this -- this acreage in the northwest quarter equivalent of Section 6.

So Exhibit $C 7$ identifies the tracts that are impacted by the requests for a nonstandard spacing unit and then it provides a list of the parties that are affected by the nonstandard spacing unit sought in Case 22990 and in Case 22992.

We then get to the geology affidavit, Mr. Andrew Parker -- this is a little more standard and straightforward. He provides his self-affirmed statement along with a location map, a structure map that shows the well bores and the acreage in question and a map showing the wells that he utilized to create a stratigraphical structural cross-section, which is then identified as Exhibit D3, wherein he identifies the landing zones for the wells that are proposed under these four applications.

Exhibit E is my affidavit confirming that notice was provided in each of these cases to all of the parties. I've broken it down into Exhibit E1
to my affidavit, which contains a list of the status of delivery and the parties to whom notice was provided for Cases 22915 and 22916, which are the standard spacing units, and they have the same -- they share a common notice list.

Exhibit E2 contains the status of mailings for Case 22990, which is one of the nonstandard spacing units, and what you'll see is it has in the list underneath that case, first it identifies the offset owners and the status of notice to them, and then as you continue through that list -- I'm looking at page 117 of the PDF -- off set notice list first, and as you continue through and you get to page 118, you'll see in the middle there begins there forced pooled or the pooled party list.

Then Exhibit E3 contains the same type of information for the other cases seeking a nonstandard spacing unit.

And then Exhibit E4 looks a little different because it's a series of information dealing with the status of notice to a Canadian interest owner, so that's why we have Exhibit E4.

Exhibit $F$, then, is a notice of
publication for each of those -- these four cases that was accomplished by name to each of the pooled parties
and then each of the parties who are affected by the proposed -- two cases that propose approval of a -- and pooling of a nonstandard horizontal well spacing unit.

So with that, I would move the admission of Exhibits $A, B, C, D, E$, and $F$, and ask that these four cases be taken under advisement.
(Items 45 to 48 Exhibits A through F
were marked for identification.)
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Let me start with the other parties, if there are any questions or concerns.

Let's start with COG Operating.
MS. MUNDS-DRY: No questions, no concerns. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Same here.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And EOG
Resources.
MS. KESSLER: No concerns. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: You're a little quiet there, EOG; is that "no"?

MS. KESSLER: No concerns. Thank you, sir.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. All
right. Anyone else with questions or concerns?
Hearing none, I will go to
Mr. Rose-Coss for questions.
MR. ROSE-COSS: Sure. Good morning, Mr. Feldewert.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good morning.
MR. ROSE-COSS: Yeah -- thanks for your detailed outline of the material; very, very helpful.

So you know what? I don't have many questions really. One of the ones that came to mind, though, I see that there's a -- some Wolf -- some Bone Spring development in the same area with wells that run north to south versus east to west.

And I'm just, you know, curious, playing devil's advocate; is this east to west configuration really optimal for here? Would any of the potential spacing conflicts be alleviated going that direction and is there any -- is there going to be a complication or stranded resources, you know, based on there being -- you know, north-south Bone Springs wells in the area? Does that kind of limit this acreage being developed by Matador at the Bone Spring interval or what's going on there?

MR. FELDEWERT: So just for purposes of
the record, this case -- these cases involve the Wolfcamp formation, and I know that our geologist talks about the orientation of the wells for the Wolfcamp formation.

But to answer your question, there are some cases -- Matador has on file cases for the Bone Spring wells. Just to kind of make these easier and break it up, I didn't present the Bone Spring cases today and started with the Wolfcamp cases. I think they're -- these are the wells that they want to drill first.

We do have similar applications for the
Bone Spring. They do involve some overlapping
horizontal well spacing units, but it's my
understanding that the company, having looked at the information available and the stress orientation in this area has come to the same conclusion that lay downs are better here for the Bone Spring development like this than stand up.

MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. Perfect. And, you know, just for -- make sure it's clear in my mind, why -- why are the -- kind of the development in the north-half -- north-half nonstandard and then in the south -- they look pretty similar. What makes then none standard versus --

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, this is where the lawyers get to have a lot of fun. If you take a look at the language in the horizontal well rule and how the definition of a standard spacing unit is defined, okay, there is some question about whether these -- whether we can bring together, for example, lots one through eight in Sections 1 and 2 and then 3, 4, 5, and 6 in Section 6 as a standard spacing unit with that well 222 , okay?

Because there's some language in the horizontal well rules that could be read to indicate that you cannot -- you can only bring in a quarter -- quarter section equivalent, singular, and here to the configuration here for what $I$ would concern to be the north-half -- north-half acreage, is comprised of more than one lot. Usually you just see one lot, you know, but here's we have a series of lots because of, I guess, the unique area here.

So we made the decision that -- not to get involved in that particular debate and just create a nonstandard spacing unit that clearly is allowed by the rules, clearly allows the company to develop this acreage in an efficient and effective manner, and deals with what $I$ would consider to be a very unique land configuration/situation in New Mexico. MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. I'm putting it together now. In the north-half of this -- of the map, it's irregular sections are broken out into sublots, but in the -- kind of in the south-half we end up with regular quarters -- or more regular.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good point. If you take a look at what $I$ would consider the south-half acreage, which is Case 22915 and 22916 -- I'm looking at Exhibit C3, that's how $I$ keep this straight -- you'll see that that's comprised of what you usually see in a -- in a regular section, is that you have standard quarter-quarter sections, and then you have, like we see over in Section 6, just one lot comprising the quarter-quarter section equivalent for -- in this case lot 17 for the north-half of the south-half acreage and then lot 18 for the south-half of the south-half acreage.

So at that -- clearly fits within the horizontal well rules, clearly indicates that they are standard, so we did not have to go with the nonstandard approval effort like we did for the remaining acreage.

MR. ROSE-COSS: And then the paperwork effort from the nonstandard aspect, that's all been filed and that's being dealt with outside of this?

MR. FELDEWERT: No. That is
actually -- you'll see on the compulsory pooling checklist that we need approval of the nonstandard spacing unit and then pooling of that nonstandard spacing unit, which is why we have some additional notice for the Case 22990 and 22992, because we have to give notice to the affected parties in the tracts that adjoin the proposed nonstandard spacing unit.

MR. ROSE-COSS: I see. Perfect. And, you know, my last question, and maybe it's not relevant here, does this create any complications with APD for the BLM when -- when the wells kind of cross tracts the way they are?

MR. FELDEWERT: Man, I hope not.
MR. ROSE-COSS: For sure.
MR. FELDEWERT: Good question, though. I -- I don't -- we have -- they put together the, you know, the C102s, they've been surveyed. I am not aware of any issue being raised by the BLM. I -- you know, they are contiguous. Yes, they kind of jog a little bit, but they are contiguous.

MR. ROSE-COSS: Sure. Okay. Well, that's my last question here. It seems, like, as an efficient way as possible to deal with the kind of irregularity in this section, so
hopefully -- hopefully it can just go forward. And, thanks again. Those are my questions.

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Okay. So let me get my little concerns out of the way here, which relate to legal descriptions. Okay?

I think that the legal descriptions that you have provided for the notice paragraphs that we used are fine because they focus on lot numbers, which are how the public land survey system divides this area up.

It's when you start calling things equivalents that it gets a little confusing. I'm not sure what -- you know, I don't know what an equivalent is, but, anyway, more importantly, in -- in your checklists, you're doing equivalents. Okay?

MR. FELDEWERT: Let me check up with you here. I see. Yeah.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: In particular you stumble in the checklist for 992 , if $I$ can find it here.

MR. FELDEWERT: In terms of the description of the spacing unit?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. So 992,
you say lots 9216 south-half-south-half equivalent; it's not the south -- it's the south-half -- if it's an equivalent of anything, it's the south-half of the north-half.

MR. FELDEWERT: You're right. Okay. That's a typo. Because we say the south-half of the northwest quarter. You're right.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. So --
MR. FELDEWERT: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I guess that's sort of -- and it's a parenthetical, so, you know, we're not relying on it. I mean, I think the true legal description is using the lot numbers. And so you have those correctly in all the other notice documents.

So other than maybe correcting -- looking at all these checklists to make sure they're correct, I think we're fine.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Now --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: But at least the 992 checklist should be changed.

MR. FELDEWERT: Certainly. Now, recall that -- and the reason $I$ did this is because I think in the past you have requested us to identify -- you thought it easier if we happen to identify the -- what
would be equivalents.
Now, whether -- you're right. I don't know if these are the equivalent or not, but it certainly helped me, and perhaps the public, understand what type of acreage we're dealing with in each case. That's the reason we went with the equivalent language that the Division sometimes finds helpful.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So let me just talk a little bit about these irregular sections.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me just start by saying that your approach with treating these as nonstandard spacing units is obviously the safe approach, and that's fine. So there's nothing wrong with what you've done here.

MR. FELDEWERT: Good.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let's just start with that. But $I$-- when these applications first started coming in in this Township 21 South, it caused us to have a number of internal discussions about what is a standard spacing unit when it comes to irregular shaped tracts.

And so we had -- debate on this issue, and there are some of us, like myself, who favored
sort of a broad approach to nonstandard spacing units, you know, when everything -- when anything is not quite right, just call it nonstandard -- and then there were the people out in the field who was, like, no, that's not -- and so $I$ lost in the debate.

And, basically, our position is that these lots are tracts for the purposes of the horizontal spacing unit definitions. Okay? So you can line up the lots and turn it into a standard horizontal spacing unit.

However, there is the old provisions -- the provisions in the old rules prior to the horizontal well units that talk about nonstandard spacing units being tracts that are less than 70 percent or greater than 130 percent of a normal-sized tract. In other words, if it's a quarter-quarter, it's, you know, 28 acres and whatever 130 percent is. That makes it irregular. Okay?

So I think our position is that if you line up a whole bunch of these lots and you add up the acreage of those lots and it comes out to being less than 70 percent or greater than 130 percent of what it would have been had you had normal quarter-quarter 40 acre tracts, you have a nonstandard spacing unit. Okay?

But most of your lots here are 40 acre lots so they could form the basis of a standard horizontal spacing unit. Which means you could also use proximity wells to tag along some of these irregular spacing units into a standard spacing unit. So I don't know if of you some -- if you're understanding what I'm saying, but --

MR. FELDEWERT: Well, I'm just harking back --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think we're taking a little more, you know, forgiving attitude about standard spacing units when it comes to these irregular units that we might have initially given the impression of --

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Good. Because that was a little --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Like I said -- going the safe route and calling them nonstandard, you've done your client, you know, a favor. I'm not having a whole argument about whether these are standard or not.

MR. FELDEWERT: Right. Because I'm harking back to some conversations and communications had in trying to deal with this previously and that, of course, formed the basis of how we decided may be
the best way to proceed, given the uncertainties. So I appreciate the clarification. I'm not sure I'm going to take the risk.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Right.
You know, why put your client at a risk of having another continuance or something or having to reapply --

MR. FELDEWERT: Right. Because the last thing $I$ want to do is have something that takes a year to get an order, so there you go.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right. Right. So, anyway, that's just how -- that's just how we've looked at it, is using that -- I mean, the issue in this area would be that top row of lots because they are clearly undersized, and you probably could not form a standard spacing unit just with, you know, a lay down unit consisting of those, because they're right around the 70 percent number there.

But you're combining them with the units below and using a proximity well, you could create a standard spacing unit, so that's today's discussion.

But thank you for finally bringing this
forward. It forced us to have a whole internal discussion about what that meant and how to apply the
horizontal spacing unit rules.
And, again -- and the other issue, obviously, is when you connect over to the crossing the township line and you know have --

MR. FELDEWERT: Yeah.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- a different size of units. I don't think those are problems because it's clearly -- you would also recall from the horizontal well rule making that it was written in a way that you can create standard spacing units that are not rectangles.

You go on an angle, as long as that well is crossing each of those tracts, that's a standard spacing unit. So they don't have to be perfect rectangles in order to qualify as a standard spacing unit.

MR. FELDEWERT: For a -- for a lay down well?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, that's what you have here. You have lay down wells that are not perfect rectangles, because some of them are a little --

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. Yeah.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- you know, further south or further north. But as long as the
well is inside that tract, $I$ think that's at standard spacing unit.

MR. FELDEWERT: Because that was another issue because, as you recall, the language of the horizontal well rules talk about if the -- you have to maintain a rectangular shape generally if your -- if your space -- if the orientation of your contiguous lots would be a -- a rectangle. So I was a little concerned here with the jog that we'd run into the rectangular issue in the horizontal well rules.

But if you're telling me that perhaps that's not an issue, that's good to know.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, I think -- I mean, as I read it, the rectangular issue relates to if you're trying to do sort of a proximity deal and adding another tract on.

MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. Yep.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay?
MR. FELDEWERT: Right.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So you have four tracts and then, you know, your well goes off near the edge and you add a fifth track above it. Well, you've created an nonstandard -- that's not a standard spacing unit.

MR. FELDEWERT: I agree with that. I
agree. My concern was -- if you look at C3, as you get into Section 6, if you use 222 as your proximity track well, you're bringing in lots 5 and 6 that arguably, or some could view, as messing up your rectangle. Now, it sounds like that's not the Division's interpretation, and I'm glad to hear that. But that was another area of uncertainty.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right. Yes. Yeah, I mean that is -- yeah, for a proximity well, that might be an issue. Okay? But for -- for just -- if you just went straight across, right, and just did all those lots -- if you did lots, you know, say, you know, 9 through 12 -- 9 through 12 and then went across into the next section -- because they're all essentially 40 acre tracts except for that one little lot over there in 6 -- I think you have a standard spacing unit, if you lined up 10 tracts in a row.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. That's good to know.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: But we have discussed this internally, so feel free to chat with us in advance of filing an application if this issue comes up again.

MR. FELDEWERT: But we're okay with the

| 1 | nonstandard spacing unit approach, right? That's the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | most -- |
| 3 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Absolutely. |
| 4 | MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Good. Because |
| 5 | that's -- |
| 6 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: That is the safe |
| 7 | way -- and that -- that works. |
| 8 | MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. Good. Because |
| 9 | we have the other applications on file. Good. Thank |
| 10 | you, sir. |
| 11 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. All |
| 12 | right. With that, any other further questions for |
| 13 | cases 22915, 22916, 22990, 22992? |
| 14 | Hearing none, the exhibits will be |
| 15 | admitted into the record, and these cases will be |
| 16 | taken under advisement, and we will leave the record |
| 17 | open if -- for corrections to at least one |
| 18 | checklist -- |
| 19 | (Items 45 to 48 Exhibits A through F |
| 20 | were received into evidence.) |
| 21 | MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. Yes. |
| 22 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- inspect them |
| 23 | all just to make sure. |
| 24 | MR. FELDEWERT: I will do that. Thank |
| 25 | you for your time. |
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THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are now on Item 49. And let me first check in with our court reporter to see how we are doing.

THE REPORTER: Doing fine.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Then let's just keep going here.

Item 49, Case 23205, Centennial
Resource Production.
MS. VANCE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. Rose-Coss, Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the Applicants, Centennial Resource Production, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We have an entry from Tap Rock Operating?

Novo Oil and Gas?
MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling on behalf of Novo Oil \& Gas.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. COG Operating, LLC?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Ocean Munds-Dry with COG Operating, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. I believe Centennial has a late file motion to continue; is that correct?

MS. BENNETT: That's correct,
Mr. Hearing Examiner, and I apologize. We filed as expeditiously as we could yesterday evening, and I'm glad to see that you got that filing.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And what is the date for the continuance?

MS. BENNETT: It is the March 16th hearing date, and $I$ also did get an e-mail from Mr. Rodriguez from Tap Rock. He does not oppose the continuance.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any objections to this continuance? I see nodding of heads "no," and so I'll take that as we're fine with it.

With that, this case is continued to March 16th.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
We are now on Item Number 50. This is Case 23206, Mewbourne Oil Company.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Mewbourne. Sorry, I didn't realize I was on mute.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. We
have an entry from ConocoPhillips.
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Ocean Munds-Dry with ConocoPhillips and COG Operating, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons for case 23206?

Hearing none, Mewbourne may proceed.
MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'll run through the exhibits, and there's a couple things I need to point out.

First Exhibit 1 is the application and proposed notice.

Exhibit 2 is the verified statement of Carson Colin [ph], the Landman. It contains all the usual information -- tract maps, C102s, a list of interest owners and those being pooled, and then the proposal letters that were sent out.

Exhibit 3 is asking for the usual release. I would point out that, once again just like at the last hearing, Mewbourne is requesting overhead rates of 1,000 -- excuse me, $\$ 10,000$ for a producing well and $\$ 1,000$-- $\$ 10,000$ for a drilling well, $\$ 1,000$ for a producing well. It contains the usual AFEs for the wells.

Exhibit 3 is the geologist's statement from Tyler Hill [ph] containing the structure map,
cross-section, information on other wells in the area and the horizontal drilling plans. And he states that this -- each quarter section in the well unit will contribute more or less equally to production.

Exhibit 4 is my notice affidavit.
I'll get to Exhibit 5 in a minute.
And then Exhibit 6 is the pooling checklist.

The things to note there was no C102 prepared as of yesterday for the third well listed in the application, the W2MP well, which is a lower Wolfcamp well. I have asked that they get that C102 to me as soon as possible and I will submit that when I get it.

The second thing to notice is if you go to Exhibit 3A, the geologist's structure map, which is page 27 of the exhibit package, you'll notice that there are overlapping well units, north-south well units that go from either Section 28 down into Section 33 or Section 29 down into Section 32.

Those are other viper prospect wells that are operated by Mewbourne. The overlapping well units are being -- notice of those is being -- has been taken care of by -- pursuant to the administrative procedures and $I$ will report back on
when that is complete.
The third thing is Exhibit 2B, which is the list of interest owners and those being pooled. Yesterday morning, COG and ConocoPhillips did sign a JOA, so I submitted a revised Exhibit 2B which shows that they are not being pooled and correcting the amount of the interest that is subject to pooling.

And then, finally, Exhibit 5 [sic], the notice affidavit, when you go through my notice affidavit, you'll see that $I$ did not get a green card back from Oxy Y-1 Company, even though it was marked by the -- on the postal service's Web site as being delivered in late November. I guess there's little likelihood of me getting a green card back. I did not realize this. I thought $I$ had all the green cards.

So what I did was publish notice as against Oxy Y-1 Company, but since I was late, I published notice for the March 2 nd hearing, so at the end of this hearing, I would ask that the matter be placed on the March 2nd docket to allow the publication period to lapse. I think I published that about ten days ago, but it was obviously too late for this hearing. And I will submit that notice affidavit when $I$ receive that.

So I'm missing a couple of items here
which I will provide to the Division but, you know, we did submit Exhibits 1 through 5 and 7. I will submit Exhibit -- I mean, excuse me, 1 through 4, 6 and 7, and I will submit the publication affidavit when I receive that, hopefully in the mail soon, and the c102 for the well.

But with that, I would open myself up to questions.
(Item 50 Exhibits 1 through 4, 6 and 7 were marked for identification.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Let's start with ConocoPhillips; any questions or concerns?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: No questions, no concerns. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. Rose-Coss, questions?
MR. ROSE-COSS: No. No, I don't have any questions. I might have had some questions about kind of the stand up and lay down wells in the same section in the same interval, but if it's all Mewbourne, then I'll assume they've got that sorted. So, thanks.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. And this probably isn't perfectly clear in the geologist affidavit, but
in speaking with them, the geologist at Mewbourne, these stand up well units are older well units, and they're productivity is much less efficient than more current wells. Apparently lay down wells are the favored item for the newer wells with better productivity and also a higher oil cut. And so that is the reason for seeking to drill additional lay down wells, even though there are existing wells.

And, again, I will -- I will confirm
for the Division when the time has expired on objecting to the overlapping well units.

MR. ROSE-COSS: Okay. Now, well,
thanks for the clarification. Because I believe -- you know, the reason that it's one of the things that kind of piques my interest, the first case that $I$ was a Hearing Examiner for, Mr. Bruce, you were there; I believe it was in 2019 and it was Apache versus somebody else and we had -- we had a whole argument -- we had a whole afternoon's worth of arguments about whether stand up or lay down was going to be better and whether -- whether $a$ stand down and a lay -- stand up and a lay down could exist in the same section in the same interval together. And at that time it was absolutely no and that there was no preferred stress orientation that would determine
whether stand up or lay down was preferred. But I suppose those things have -- there's been additional -- since 2019.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. And these are Purple Sage Wolfcamp wells, and generally I don't think in many -- at least in most areas, there is no favored well orientation, but this is based strictly on -- from off setting wells and -- which is why they want to do the lay downs with the overlaps.

MR. ROSE-COSS: Well, no. I'm glad we got that fleshed out a little bit more. And that's the extent of my questions. So thank you, Mr. Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So if $I$ understand correctly, Mr. Bruce, you need to get us C102 -- one C102?

MR. BRUCE: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And then we have to wait for the publication, and you have to file that information.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah, the publication notice period wouldn't -- would be -- well, the ten days will have lapsed well before the March 2nd hearing.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good. So
with that, any other concerns from anyone on case 23206 ?

Hearing none, we will admit the exhibits so far into the record, including your supplement Exhibit COG, and this case will be continued to March 2 nd to complete notice requirements.
(Item 50 Exhibits 1 through 4, 6 and 7
were received into evidence.)
Thank you.
MR. BRUCE: Thank you. With that, we are on Item 51, Case 23226 Spur Energy Partners.

MS. MCLEAN: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Jackie McLean with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Spur Energy Partners.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any other interested persons for case 23226?

Hearing none, Spur may proceed.
MS. MCLEAN: Thank you. In Case Number 23226, Spur seeks to pool all uncommitted interests in the Yeso formation underlying 160 acres standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the west half, east half of Section 22, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. And this spacing unit will be dedicated to the Mayaro 22 State Com 10H and

70 H wells, which will be drilled from surface hole locations in the southwest corridor, Southeast Corridor Unit $O$ of Section 15 to bottom hole locations in the southwest corridor, Southeast Corridor Unit o of Section 22.

And the Exhibit packet that we submitted to the Division for Case Number 23226 contains the compulsory point checklist, then we have Exhibit A, which is the land professional's testimony and related land exhibit, which include a plot of tracts ownership interests, the pooled party, a well proposal letter, and a summary of communications, and there were no unlocatable parties.

Exhibit B, geological testimony, which includes a location map, sub $C$ structure map, gun barrel diagram, and a structural cross-section of the interval of interest.

And then we have Exhibit C, which is notice testimony, which sets out when the notice letter of this hearing and application were sent to the party to the pooled.

And there's only one party and they received notice and we attached a copy of the certified mail receipt, and we also went a little overkill and we published this one as well in a timely
manner.
And with that, I ask that Exhibits A, B, and C be admitted into the record in Case Number 23226 and that the case be taken under advisement. (Item 51 Exhibits $A, B$, and $C$ were marked for identification.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Are
there any other interested persons for Case 23226?
Hearing none, Mr. Rose-Coss, any questions?
MR. ROSE-COSS: My main concern -- or I shouldn't say it that way. But $I$ just wanted to -- one of the big issues $I$ had to deal with in this area with the Yeso horizontal wells is that they -- Spur had fracked the Yeso well right next to an existing vertical well and kind of -- several damaged the casing on a saltwater disposal well.

Do you know, are you aware, if all of that has been taken into consideration on these well paths, that there aren't any kind of -- I guess, that's been the theme of all my questions today. There aren't going to be any complications with existing well bores and with these well bores?

MS. MCLEAN: I'm looking at the Exhibit B1, and it doesn't look like it should be. But if you'd like additional information, we can certainly
ask for that.
MR. ROSE-COSS: Yeah. Because it -MS. MCLEAN: We could -- sorry.

MR. ROSE-COSS: A bullet point from the geologist affirmation that they've evaluated and determined that they aren't jeopardizing any existing well bores in the area?

I just scrolled through as well, that Exhibit, and it's like, okay, well there is a lot of wells in the area. So that would be something that, you know, on the UIC side, the disposal wells, that I'd have to take into consideration.

MS. MCLEAN: Yeah. And I think that the one -- the one is a Spur operated lay down well. If you look at paragraph number 3, that's the one that bisects the proposed spacing unit.

So what I'm -- I would think that Spur wouldn't want to damage their own wells. But so I think that the geology takes care of that, but we can certainly, you know, get a supplemental affidavit that specifically addresses that.

MR. ROSE-COSS: Perfect.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is
that -- Exhibit B1, are all the little squares existing wells?

MS. MCLEAN: All the little -- where's the -- do you --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: The squares with dots in the middle.

MS. MCLEAN: The squares with dots? I believe so.

MR. ROSE-COSS: I believe the dots with lines through them are also wells.

MS. MCLEAN: Mm-hmm.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: There's circles with the cross in them also.

MS. MCLEAN: Yeah. And then there's -- the ones that are in the Yeso formation are the green ones.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I see what you mean.

MR. ROSE-COSS: Because we've also -- the Division's also seen now existing well bores providing conduits for frack fluid to find its way to the surface.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And so I
believe, Ms. McLean, you're saying that Collier 22 well --

MS. MCLEAN: Yes, Mr. Examiner.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- that

| 1 | is -- that is a Spur well? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. MCLEAN: Yes, Mr. Examiner. |
| 3 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: And it's in the |
| 4 | San Andres? |
| 5 | MS. MCLEAN: Yes. Because the Spur |
| 6 | target is deeper, it should not -- not interfere with |
| 7 | that one. |
| 8 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So that |
| 9 | seems like a reasonable request. If you could have a |
| 10 | supplement to the geologist's affidavit that addresses |
| 11 | any analysis of potential impacts to existing wells, |
| 12 | either horizontal or vertical, that are in this |
| 13 | spacing unit. |
| 14 | MS. MCLEAN: We will do, Mr. Examiner. |
| 15 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any other |
| 16 | questions, Mr. Rose-Coss? |
| 17 | MR. ROSE-COSS: That's the extent to my |
| 18 | questions. Thank you, Mr. Examiner. |
| 19 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. All |
| 20 | right. With that -- and the Exhibits will be admitted |
| 21 | into the record and Case 23226 will be taken under |
| 22 | advisement, and the record left open for a |
| 23 | supplemental geologist exhibit -- affidavit. |
| 24 | (Item 51 Exhibits A, B, and C were |
| 25 | received into evidence.) |
|  | Page 87 |

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are on Items 52, 53, and 54. These are cases 23248, 23249, 23250, Matador Production Company.

MS. VANCE: Good morning, again,
Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. Rose-Coss, Paula Vance with the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the Applicant, Matador Production Company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And I assume you want to do these cases three -- in bunches of three?

MS. VANCE: That's correct, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. We have an entry of appearance from Coterra Energy?

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner. Darin Savage with the Santa Fe office of Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Coterra Energy and Cimarex energy company. And we have no objection to this case going forward by affidavit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Novo Oil \& Gas.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett, Modrall Sperling on behalf of Novo Oil
\& Gas. And we have no objection to these cases being heard by affidavit this morning.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Any other interested persons, Cases 23248, 249, 250?

MR. SAMANIEGO: Yes, Mr. Brancard. This is Samaniego. I'm an interested owner in the pooling.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Samaniego.

So Matador, please proceed.
MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. So in Cases 23248, 23249, and 23250, these all involve the north-half of Sections 27 and 28, Township 22 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

And in Case 23248 and Case 23249, Matador is seeking to pool the uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring formation, and the pool is the Culbreth [ph] Bluff Bone Spring, south pool, and the pool code is 15011 for both of those cases. And so in Case 23248, Matador is seeking to pool the Bone Spring underlying a standard 320 acre, more or less, horizontal spacing unit comprised of the north-half/ north-half of Sections 27 and 28 and dedicate that spacing unit to the proposed Charlie K.S. 2827 Fed Com

Number 121 H well.
And then in Case 23249, Matador is
seeking to pool the uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring formation in a standard 320 acre more or less horizontal well spacing unit, comprised of the south-half of the north-half of Sections 27 and 28 and dedicate that Bone Springs spacing unit to the -- initially dedicated that Bone Spring spacing unit to the Charlie K.S. 2827 Fed Com 122H well.

And then, lastly, in Case 23250 Matador is seeking to pool the uncommitted interests in the Wolfcamp formation, and that's the Purple Sage Wolfcamp in the pool code 98220. And that is underlying a standard 640 acre, more or less, horizontal spacing unit, comprised of the north-half of sections 27 and 28, and initially dedicate this Wolfcamp spacing unit to the Charlie K.S. 2827 Fed Com 201 H and 202 H wells.

In this case, we have provided the compulsory pooling checklists, as well as the affidavit of Landman Hanna Bollenbach and Geologist Daniel Broge [ph] -- Brugioni, excuse me, both of whom have previously testified before the Division and their credentials have been accepted as a matter of record.

In Ms. Bollenbach's exhibits, her affidavit is Exhibit C, which includes sub-exhibits C 1, the C102s, C2, a land tract map, C3, a summary of uncommitted working interest owners, C4, a list of overriding royalty interest owners, C5, a sample well proposal letter and AFEs, and C6, a chronology of contacts.

This is followed by Mr. Brugioni's affidavit, which is Exhibit D, and includes all sub-exhibits: The D1 which is the locator map, D2 a Bone Spring Sub-C structure and cross-section map, and D3 a bone string stratigraphic cross-section, and D4 a Wolfcamp sub $C$ structure and cross-section map, and D5 a Wolfcamp stratigraphic cross-section.

In this case, Mr. Brugioni did not observe any faulting pinch-outs or other geological impediments to the horizontal drilling of these wells.

Then, lastly, as Exhibit E, as self-affirmed statement of notice with sample letters that were timely mailed on December 16, 2022 and then January -- an additional notice that went out on January 27, 2023.

And then Exhibit F, which is an affidavit of notice of publication, which was timely published on December 18, 2022 and an additional

| 1 | notice of publication that was published on January |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 31, 2023. |
| 3 | So unless there are any questions, I |
| 4 | would ask that all exhibits and sub-exhibits be |
| 5 | admitted in the record and that cases 23248, 23249, |
| 6 | and 23250 be taken under advisement by the Division at |
| 7 | this time. |
| 8 | I stand by for any questions. Thank |
| 9 | you. |
| 10 | (Items 52 to 54 Exhibits C through F |
| 11 | were marked for identification.) |
| 12 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. |
| 13 | Let me start with the parties. We'll |
| 14 | start with Coterra Energy; any questions or concerns? |
| 15 | MR. SAVAGE: No questions or concerns. |
| 16 | Thank you. |
| 17 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Novo Oil \& Gas? |
| 18 | MS. BENNETT: No questions or concerns. |
| 19 | Thank you. |
| 20 | THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. |
| 21 | Mr. Samaniego? |
| 22 | MR. SAMANIEGO: Yes. Before I get |
| 23 | started, I'd like to -- the exhibits that Ms. Vance |
| 24 | was mentioning, were those entered into -- were those |
| 25 | entered? |
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THE HEARING EXAMINER: They have been proposed.

MR. SAMANIEGO: I'm looking --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: They are shown on a case file, if you need to look at them.

MR. SAMANIEGO: I'm looking through the case file and I see no exhibits that she mentioned entered in that file.

MS. VANCE: Mr. Hearing Examiner, this is the first that I'm hearing about Mr. Samaniego having any interest involved with this case, and unless there is something that's been entered into the record that he had an interest here, I would ask that we move forward with this case.

But as far as to my knowledge,
Mr. Samaniego has no interest in these -- in the subject lands in these hearings -- in these cases.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me first start with Mr. Samaniego's question.

Which is if you go to the case files, Mr. Samaniego, for 23248, the last document there has a little Matador insignia on the front cover. Those are the exhibits that have been mentioned here by Counsel for Matador.

MR. SAMANIEGO: I understand that, and

I don't see these specific exhibits entered. So I'm going to request that the Hearing Examiner ignore and -- I mean, ignore those exhibits that she mentioned by them not being submitted in the file. THE HEARING EXAMINER: They are submitted, and as a part of this process, I would decide at the end of the hearing whether to admit those exhibits or not. That is the normal process. So those were exhibits --

MR. SAMANIEGO: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- were filed in advance, as required, so they can be viewed and then I will decide whether to admit them or not.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Okay. And then, also, there was an admittance by Paula Vance that -- stating I have no interest in this pooling unit. So that backs my -- my injury of facts raised, had what I'm about to bring up by her stating I had no interest in these lands shows the lack of notice requirements being sent to me.

So I'm going to go ahead and get started for cases 48, 49, and 50, requirements of 1915412, notice -- A1, notice requirements and specific adjudications, 1955A, 191514 A1 and 2, 19151615 rules and statutes, 195511 and 1955A and 7231
and 7228 .
This is the third time dealing with
Matador in this particular area for not following proper procedures and proper requirements. There's injury of fact raised, violation of the Oil and Gas Act raised. Matador intentionally ignored and failed notice requirements for compulsory pooling, Matador's application must be admitted for such failed and total disregard for such requirements.

Matador to not give proper notice requirements is nothing more than a technical scheme to not have any oppositions at the compulsory hearing. The Matador application is intentional negligence, gross negligence, intentional infliction to cause harm bad faith efforts and bad intentions, all while intentionally undermining with bad faith efforts and bad intentions to maliciously mislead the Division and Hearing Examiners.

On Matador application, I was not listed and stated by Paula Vance that $I$ am not a interested party in this particular pooling, and by that statement shows the disregard of the notice requirements given to interested parties.

Matador is requesting a 200 percent penalty; that should be ignored and is salt on a
wound. A penalty must be imposed on Matador for violating the Oil and Gas Act and obligated requirements for the third time and impose 200 percent penalty on top of a penalty should be imposed on Matador. Not being properly notified is infringement on an interest owner's rights, the statutes, rules, policies, the Oil and Gas Act, the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution.

The Division position is obligated to have duty uphold these rules, statutes, policy, Oil and Gas Act, and by not doing so, falls under negligence itself upon the Division.

Matador misled Holland \& Hart Law Firm -- Matador misled Holland \& Hart Law Firm and attorneys. In their application, Holland \& Hart had submitted a misleading application with bad faith efforts and bad intentions to undermine the Division, and Hearing Examiners' positions, obligations, and duties.

Paula Vance signed a sworn affidavit in false pretenses for their client, Matador, Michael Feldewert, in past cases, 20717, 20183, 84, 85, 86, has also signed affidavits under false pretenses back in July of 2020 for their client, Matador, stating notice requirements were met to the best of his
knowledge and their knowledge. Notice requirements were not met and submitted falsified documents and false sworn affidavits with bad intentions, regardless of the judicial ethics and standards.

In other cases, 22605, 22874, I have found false pretenses of false sworn affidavits to mislead the Division and Hearing Examiners and Holland \& Hart Firm and Attorneys Julia Broggi and Adam Rankin, Holland \& Hart, to the best of their ability are intentionally negligent in their unethical behavior for continuously not following the rules, statutes, policy, the Oil and Gas Act, a total of six times in my personal account, and such behavior of a law practice, not only unethical behavior with bad intentions, but intentionally acts with total disregard and recklessness towards judicial ethics and standards.

Legislation sets a
compulsory -- policies -- Oil and Gas Act for everyone to follow.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Samaniego, Mr. Samaniego --

MR. SAMANIEGO: The Division and
Hearing Examiners have obligations and duties to the statutes, rules, policy and the Oil and Gas Act. The

Division is committed to making sure the oil and gas industry is acting responsibly in good faith efforts.

By granting Matador application will render the Hearing Examiner position and compulsory pooling hearing very purpose of having them useless and defeated. The Division and Hearing Examiner must impose sanctions on operations against Matador for bad faith, malicious intentions with imposed penalties and not allowed to produce until all such penalties are paid.

Matador's unethical behavior and tactics must have penalties to prevent further future unethical tactics from recurring such bad faith, unethical behavior.

Matador's unethical behavior and tactics must have penalties to prevent future unethical tactics from recurring such bad, unethical behavior. And I have to repeat that because it keeps reoccurring.

For the Division and Hearing Examiners to approve this application is reckless, negligent, an abuse of the process and will further question the state of mind of such approval under the circumstances that will have damaging future consequences and set a precedent for future compulsory hearing requirements.
70-2-28, "And any person
violates -- threatens to violate any statutes with respect to the conservation of the oil and gas or both or any provisions or any rule, regulation, or order made, the Division through the attorney general will bring suit against such person or operator for penalties and to retain such person from continuing such violations or carrying out the threat of violations."

For the Division to take a broad approach to the Oil and Gas Act statutes, rules, policy is not justification to break them or abuse them. I further respectfully request the Division and the Hearing Examiners to dismiss these applications of Matador.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Samaniego. So let me get this straight. You are claiming that you have an interest in this area?

MR. SAMANIEGO: There's no claim; I can prove it.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, Matador has listed -- Matador has not listed you as an interest owner. All right? That means --

MR. SAMANIEGO: And I know that, and I'm letting you --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me just finish. Let me finish.

That means that if we approve this application, it does not apply to any interest you might own. Okay?

So there's not a notice problem because you're not listed in their application as a party that they are seeking to pool.

MR. SAMANIEGO: The Application doesn't oversee -- let me make a statement --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- your interests will not be pooled by this application.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Their application doesn't oversee or overrule interest owners in this area, and the fact that they didn't notify me as an interested party to the Division puts them in violation of the regulations and requirements that they're supposed to follow for compulsory poolings.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: The Division can only pool those parties that are listed as pooled parties and are provided notice. You were not listed as a pooled party --

MR. SAMANIEGO: That's not how it says it. Right here -- let me pull it up right here -- "An Applicant shall give notice to each owner of an
interest in the mineral estate portion of the land the Applicant proposes to be pooled."

To each owner of an interest in the mineral estate of any portion of left hand this Applicant proposes to be pooled, 1950412 A1.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Well, you obviously -- you and Matador obviously have a disagreement as to who has an interest here.

MR. SAMANIEGO: The application must be dismissed and the process should be started all over again.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And you -- and you need to settle that with Matador or sue them, whatever.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Say that again?
THE HEARING EXAMINER: You
either -- you either need to work this out with Matador or you could take it to court. I mean, we do not adjudicate interests in mineral rights in this --

MR. SAMANIEGO: But it's your obligation to --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We only take what the parties have given to us.

MR. SAMANIEGO: -- withhold the ethics and the -- of the policies, the statutes, and the
rules supposed to enforce and this is over looking that. Yeah, that's negligence. That's negligence.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We do not adjudicate property rights. Mr. Samaniego, we do not adjudicate property rights.

MR. SAMANIEGO: You're giving -- you're giving them rights to my property.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We are not.
MR. SAMANIEGO: You're granting them rights under negligence.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I just -- I just explained to you this proceeding does not involve any rights you might own.

MR. SAMANIEGO: The process has to be dismissed and the process has to be started all over again, and then they can notify me once the process is started over again. But to grant them approval under these circumstances is negligence.

Okay? The process has a -- it has to be dismissed and the process started all over again, and give me proper notification.

But as far as right now under these circumstances, this is negligence upon the Division and negligence of Matador and -- and fraud.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.

Here's what I'm going to do, Mr. Samaniego. I'm going to continue this matter -- and assuming you may want to continue the following matter -- to provide you an opportunity to present any evidence you have of an ownership of a working interest in this property, or a royalty interest -- an overriding royalty interest to Matador.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Okay. It's not my obligation to show ownership to Matador. It's their job to find it.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, you
know --
MR. SAMANIEGO: It's not the mineral -- no, no. It's not mineral owner's responsibility to show ownership to the oil company for pooling. No, their job is to go in there -- they got landmen; no and get it.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well --
MR. SAMANIEGO: I'm a single dad -- I'm a single dad with two kids. They're a big corporation. Go and get it. Send the -- unleash the landmen. Go get it.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Samaniego, we have a whole signed affidavit from a landman in this proceeding about their --

MR. SAMANIEGO: And it's under false pretenses and it's backed by Paula Vance's statement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I think that's your obligation to prove it. All right? And I'm giving you the opportunity, which I don't need to give you.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Not to Matador -- not to Matador, but I'll prove it to the Division. And I've always sent you the proof that I've had, to you, Mr. Brancard. I have no reason to come here and lie and waste everybody's time and my own time.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Samaniego, you do have an obligation if you want to show that you have an interest in this property. Okay?

MR. SAMANIEGO: To you, to have this case dismissed; I have no obligation to Matador.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: As to us, we don't really -- we take the word -- we will pool whoever they say they're pooling.

MR. SAMANIEGO: I have no obligation to Matador, but I will send it to you. I will send it to you so that this case can be dismissed.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Samaniego, if you have an interest, I'm sure Matador would change their application to include your interest.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Buy me out. Buy me out.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's not for the Division to decide; that's between you and Matador.

MR. SAMANIEGO: And that's not for the Division to decide, to give them my interest, to show them what I own. That's not by obligation either, nor is it your obligation to obligate me to obligate those kind of -- my interest to them.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
MR. SAMANIEGO: No notice was given. It's your obligations to follow the statutes, policy, and rules and the Oil and Gas Act to dismiss when these -- when certain requirements, statute, policy, and Oil and Gas Act are not followed to the $T$ to be granted this kind of approval.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Samaniego, At this point --

MR. SAMANIEGO: Mr. Brancard,
Mr. Brancard --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- we have the evidence of Matador. You have not provided a single shred of evidence at this point. All right?

MR. SAMANIEGO: Do you -- do you
want --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So I'm going to give you an opportunity to do that. If you don't want to take that opportunity, that's fine. That's your choice. But I'm going to give you that opportunity, and then we will proceed. All right?

So on the basis of that, this case will be continued to March 2nd. Exhibits that have been so far provided will be admitted into the record. And we will move forward.
(Items 52 to 54 Exhibits C through F were received into evidence.)

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. I would add -- if I may, these -- these cases have been -- you know, they were filed in December 6th. You know, we've continued these cases, and it would be our preference to move forward with these cases and not have to continue them.

Especially -- I understand Mr. Samaniego, his position, but up to this point, there's been nothing entered into the record by Mr. Samaniego that -- you know, to show that he has standing in these cases, that he has an interest, and there's been significant time to allow him to do that.

And it would be our preference to go
ahead and move these forward but understand if we do have to continue the cases. But, again, our preference would be to move forward on these.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I'm going to continue the cases. That may not be the best solution, but I'm going to continue the cases. I've already stated that.

But as I said, you know, we recently issued an opinion where a party claimed that they had a working interest in a property and there was a dispute about it and we told the parties to settle the matter outside of the hearing process. And, as a result, whatever interest that party had was not pooled.

And so even if -- even if Mr. Samaniego does not provide any evidence in the next two weeks, it simply means that if he has an interest, it's not pooled.

MS. VANCE: Correct. Which -- which is, you know, the other part to that, which is why we would like to move forward and I believe maybe my colleague, Mr. Feldewert, is their -- I think he was shaking his head as well. We both agree. We're not -- even if Mr. Samaniego is, you know, saying he has an interest, we're clearly -- we're not trying to
pool any interests of his. So --
MR. SAMANIEGO: Can I get on the record of how a compulsory pooled unit does not pool mineral owners in the unit. Aren't the resources being pulled out regardless of being pooled or not being pooled?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Samaniego, what is pooled is there is a working interest in the property.

MR. SAMANIEGO: I mean, it's -- and then the 200 percent -- on top of that?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So if you have a mineral interest that has been leased, you would be subject to pooling.

If you have a mineral interest that has been leased and therefore you only have a royalty interest left, it's that leased party that gets pooled, because they have a working interest in the property. We wouldn't pool a mineral interest owner who has leased their property.

MR. SAMANIEGO: As long as proper notice was -- given.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So, for instance, we don't pool the Bureau of Land Management who has leased property.

MR. SAMANIEGO: But the Bureau of Land

Management wouldn't allow any interest whatsoever to be pooled without proper notice requirements, nor would the state.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Nope, we do -- constantly pool federal lease interests without notice to the Bureau of Land Management.

So if you have a mineral interest that's been leased, that's not subject to being pooled.

MR. SAMANIEGO: So you're saying state land can be pooled without giving notice?

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. If they have lease --

MR. SAMANIEGO: Excuse me? Excuse me?
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. If they have -- if the state has leased its land, the party that's pooled is the leased party.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Interesting. So the state would --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Because if you lease, what you're left with is a royalty interest, which is not in any way affected by the pooling.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Interesting.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So --
MR. SAMANIEGO: Okay. Well, then, I
guess I will -- I'll be there March 2nd.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. But we would prefer it if you file something in advance showing your interest that we can have a look at. Thank you.

MR. SAMANIEGO: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. With
that, were there -- anything else that we had, Ms. Vance, on these cases?

MS. VANCE: No, but once we get to -- if $I$ can go ahead and present the next case, even if we do continue it, I'm ready to provide -- you know, provide the -- go through the exhibits with the examiners.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. No, we -- we have now continued cases $23248,23249,23250$ to March 2 nd, but all your exhibits have been admitted into the record. And so it would certainly be for the question of dealing with, are there any interests here that you have not properly pooled.

And with that, I believe we are on Items 55, 56, and 57, Cases 23251, 23252, 23253, Matador Production Company.

MS. VANCE: Good morning, again, Mr. Hearing Examiner and Mr. Rose-Coss. Paula Vance
with the Santa Fe Office of Holland \& Hart on behalf of the Applicant, Matador Production Company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: We have entries from Coterra Energy.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing
Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner. Darin Savage with the Santa Fe Office of Abadie \& Schill on behalf of Coterra Energy and Cimarex Energy Company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And Novo Oil \& Gas.

MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. Deana Bennett, Modrall Sperling, on behalf of Novo Oil \& Gas, and we have no objections to the cases proceeding by affidavit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Any other --

MR. SAMANIEGO: And, Mr. Brancard, I'd like to be on record on these cases that I'm opposing and they should be dismissed. Jon Samaniego, an interested party in the pooling.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. I have an entry from Jonathan Samaniego. Anyone else?

Hearing none, Matador may proceed.
MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. And just to make sure $I$ get this on the
record as well, Mr. Samaniego has not provided anything into the record or to substantiate that he has an interest and at this point Matador is not seeking to pool -- pool him.

So in Case 23251, 23252, and 23253, this involves the south-half of Sections 27 and 28, Township 22 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. And in Case 23251 and 23252, Matador is seeking to pool the uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring formation. The pool is the Culbreth [ph] -- Culebra Bluff Bone Spring south -- I'm not sure I said that right in the previous cases, so I apologize. And the pool code is 15011.

And in Case 23251, Matador is seeking to pool the Bone Spring formation in a standard 320 acre more or less horizontal spacing unit, comprised of the north-half of the south-half of Sections 27 and 28, and dedicate that Bone Springs spacing unit to the proposed warrior 2827 Fed Com Number 123H well.

And in case 23252, Matador is seeking to pool those -- pool the uncommitted Bone Spring, the uncommitted interests in the Bone Spring, underlying a standard 320 acre more or less horizontal spacing unit, comprised of the south-half, south-half of
sections 27 and 28, and initially dedicate that Bone Spring formation -- or Bone Spring spacing unit to the Warrior 2827 Fed Com 124 H well.

And then, lastly, in case 23253,
Matador is seeking to pool all the uncommitted interests in the Wolfcamp formation, and that's -- the pool is the Purple Sage, and the pool code is 98220. And that's underlying a standard 640 acre more or less horizontal spacing unit, comprised of the south-half of sections 27 and 28. And initially dedicate that Wolfcamp spacing unit to the Warrior 2827 Fed Com Number 203 H and 204 H wells.

In these cases, we have provided the compulsory pooling checklist, as well as the affidavit of Landman Hanna Bollenbach and Geologist Daniel Brugioni, both of whom have previously testified before the Division and their credentials have been accepted as a matter of record.

Ms. Bollenbach's affidavit is
Exhibit C, which includes sub-exhibits C 1, C102s, C2, a land tract map, C3, a summary of uncommitted working interest owners, C4, a list of overriding royalty interests, a C5, a sample well proposal letter and AFEs, and C6, a chronology of contacts.

This is followed by Mr. Brugioni's

affidavit, which is Exhibit $D$ and includes sub-exhibits D1, a locator map, D2, a Bone Spring Sub-C structure and cross-section map, D3 a Bone Spring stratigraphic cross-section, D4 a Wolfcamp Sub-C structure and cross-section, and D5 a Wolfcamp stratigraphic cross-section.

In these cases, Mr. Brugioni did not observe any faulting pinch-outs or other geologic impediments to the horizontal drilling of these wells. And then lastly, as Exhibit E, as self-affirmed statement -- a self-affirmed -- sorry, Self-Affirmed Statement of Notice with sample letters that were timely mailed on December 16, 2022 and an additional notice that went out on January 27, 2023.

And then Exhibit $F$, an Affidavit of Notice of Publication, which was timely published on December 18, 2022 and then additional notice -- or additional notice of publication that was published on January 31, 2023.

And unless there are any questions, I would ask that the exhibits and sub-exhibits be admitted in the record and that cases 23251, 23252, and 23253 be taken under advisement at this time, understanding -- with the understanding that you may want to -- or you are going to continue this case as
well.
(Items 55 to 57 Exhibits C through F
were marked for identification.)
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Mr. Rose-Coss, any questions?
MR. ROSE-COSS: No, Mr. Hearing
Examiner. I do not have any questions.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Any
questions or concerns from Coterra?
MR. SAVAGE: No questions. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any questions or
conterns [ph] -- concerns, sorry -- from Novo?
MS. BENNETT: No questions. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Samaniego, do you have the same concern with these cases as the previous three?

MR. SAMANIEGO: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
MR. SAMANIEGO: And I'd also like to state on there 1915412 A1, the non-notice of requirements, 19155 A, 191514 A1 and 2, 19151615, 195511, 19558, 7231, 7228, and also the wells being -- other than a standardized spacing unit requires notification to all affected parties.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So I
will leave the record open until March 2 nd and continue this hearing, to -- if any resolving of issues can occur between now and then.

Other than that, the exhibits will be admitted into the record, and we will see you all on March 2nd.
(Items 55 to 57 Exhibits C through F were received into evidence.)

MR. SAMANIEGO: Thank you,
Mr. Examiner. Have a good day.
MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Thank you, Mr. Rose-Coss.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that, we are on Items 58 and 59, Cases 23315, 23316, Silverback Operating.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning -- good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner, Mr. Technical Examiner. Darin Savage with the Santa Fe Office of Abadie \& Schill appearing on behalf of Silverback operating II, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Entry of appearance from COG Operating?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Ocean Munds-Dry with COG Operating, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Any
other interested parties, Cases 23315, 23316 ?
Does COG have any objections to this case going forward by affidavit?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: No objection,
Mr. Examiner. Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
Silverback may proceed.
MR. SAVAGE: Thank you. We are
presenting cases 22 -- 23315 and 23316, a consolidated matter, which both cover lands in Section 22 , Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

The Landman, Brennan West, for these cases has testified before the Division as an expert witness and his credentials have been accepted of record.

Likewise, the geologist, Nathaniel
Gilbertson, has testified previously before the Division as an expert witness, and his credentials have been accepted as a matter of record.

If there's no objection, I tender Mr. West and Mr. Gilbertson as expert witnesses.

In case number 23315, Silverback seeks an order establishing a standard one-sixty acre -- 160 acre more or less spacing unit covering the
north-half, south-half of Section 22 and pooling all and committed interests in the Yeso formation designated as an oil pool underlying the unit. The unit is dedicated to four initial wells, the Krauss 22 103H well, the Krauss 22 203H well, the Krauss 22 102H well, and the Krauss 22204 H well.

Orientation of the wells are lay down,
east-west. The locations of the 103H, 203H, and 204 H wells are Orthodox. The location of the 102 H is nonstandard, and Silverback will be applying to the OCD for administrative approval of the location.

Mr. West's Exhibit A for case 23315
includes his landman self-affirmed statement, C102s, and the ownership break down. And if I may point out that the update of the Exhibit A2 ownership break down was filed yesterday, following negotiations with COG.

The well proposal letter with AFE in a supplement to the well proposal for clarification and the chronology of context.

Mr. Gilbertson's Exhibit B for this case includes his geology self-affirmed statement along with the standard geology exhibits, showing the potential for development as described in his statement.

Exhibit $C$ provides the affidavit of
notice for mailing and publication notice. Note, this was timely mailed and owners were locatable. Service of notice by publication was timely.

Both Mr. West and Mr. Gilbertson affirm that the approval of this application is in the best interests of conservation protection of correlative rights and the prevention of waste and will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells.

In the next case, Number 23316,
Silverback seeks an order establishing a standard 160 acre more or less spacing unit covering the south-half, south-half of Section 22 and pooling all uncommitted interests in the Yeso formation designated as an oil pool underlying this unit. The unit is dedicated to three initial wells, the Krauss 22 101H well, the Krauss 22 201H well, and the Krauss 22 202H well.

The orientation of the wells are laid down east to west. The locations of the 101 H and the 201H are orthodox, and the location of the 202 H is unorthodox, and, again, we will be providing -- applying to the OCD for approval of the unorthodox location.

Mr. West's Exhibit A for case 23316 includes his landman self affirmed statement, C102s,
the ownership break down, which the update was filed yesterday, which is of record now, well proposal letters with AFE as well as supplement to the well proposal for clarification, and the chronology of contacts.

Mr. Gilbertson's Exhibit B for this case includes his geology self-affirmed statement, along with the standard geology exhibits.

Exhibit $C$ provides the affidavit of notice for mailings and the publication notice. The notice, again, was timely mailed to the owners. We received undeliverable mailings to two owners, Nancy Miller and Violet Shipyon [ph], which are noticed in the mailing report and described in paragraph 14 of Mr. West's affidavit statement.

Service of notice publication was timely. Both Mr. West and Mr. Gilbertson affirm that the approval of this application is in the best interests of conservation protection of correlative rights and the prevention of waste and will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells.

At this time, I move that Exhibits A, B, and C and all sub-exhibits be admitted into the record for Cases 23315 and 23316, and that these cases be taken under advisement.
(Items 58 and 59 Exhibits A, B, and C were marked for identification.)

I stand available for any questions regarding this case that you may have. Thank you. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr. Rose-Coss, any questions?

MR. ROSE-COSS: Yes. Good afternoon -- or, good morning, still, Mr. Savage. MR. SAVAGE: Good morning. Thank you. Yeah. So in these cases, I'm going to raise the same concern this I did with the previous case by Spur proposing horizontal development in the Yeso.

MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Thank you. I -- I figured that you would do that. It seems appropriate. MR. ROSE-COSS: So just a supplemental bullet point to the geologist's statement saying that they've examined kind of well penetrations in the area and that these wells aren't going to jeopardize or cause any harm to existing well bores that or migration pathways for injection fluid no the surface. MR. SAVAGE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you.

MR. ROSE-COSS: Perfect. Thanks. And that's all the questions I have.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. So, Mr. Savage, I got confused. In your supplemental affidavit where you indicate, I guess, that COG has dropped out, correct?

MR. SAVAGE: That is correct. They reached an agreement and COG and Silverback have a voluntary agreement, or are moving towards a voluntary agreement, and, therefore, committed and not listed among the uncommitted interest owners to be pooled.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: So the uncommitted interest owners are listed in your supplemental affidavit as Oxy Y-1 Company and Frederick Crouse -- spelled differently than the well names -- Heirs. When I look at who you provided notice to, $I$ don't -- I don't know who the Frederick Crouse Heirs are.

MR. SAVAGE: So we've provided notice to the individuals listed. Heirs would be any known or unknown heirs, and we would hope that the time and publication stating known and unknown heirs would address that. Silverback did not provide individual names, so I'm going to assume that they were not locatable or identifiable, and, therefore unknown. That would be my understanding.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, you have
notice to a bunch of people here.
MR. SAVAGE: Right.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are those the heirs or are those royalty interests or what?

MR. SAVAGE: They would be working interests, owners -- everybody listed on our --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Looking at page 92 of exhibits --

MR. SAVAGE: Okay. Hold on. I'm sorry, I'm juggling here the hearing packet and those -- those additionally filed -- 92 -- okay. So those would be the interest owners that they sent these well proposals to.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So some of them may actually be committed at this point?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, that would be my understanding. And, yeah, the -- we can -- we can give you clarification on this in a supplemental statement, if that would -- if you would grant us leave to do that.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah. Looking at page 106, which has essentially the same list, I think, and then it's followed by 107 , which simply lists two working interest owners in the chronology of contacts.

MR. SAVAGE: Right. Those were the communications that we were provided from the -- from Silverback, regarding communications.

So it was -- you know, I don't know all
the efforts and communications that were made to locate these individuals and the efforts to reach out, but we can certainly clarify that. But --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, you could. Because, $I$ mean, first of all -- I mean, again, I'm looking at page -- your Exhibit C2, right, which is page 123, and it lists all these people that you're giving notice to. And it says "working interests" here. Only one of them is listed as an override --

MR. SAVAGE: Only one is listed as overrides. Mr. Brancard, I understand -- I understand that -- some of the confusion here and discrepancy, so I -- you know, I'm addressing it as best I can. But we certainly want to make sure that the Division is -- has clarification on this.

The mailing reports that we filed in that Exhibit $C$ do list the notice letters that were sent out and the addresses. So if you would let us review this and make sure that all the discrepancy that you are concerned -- make me concerned about are addressed and clarified to your satisfaction, we would
certainly do that.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So the questions are, A., on this notice list, who are these working interest owners -- in the sense that when we go to your supplemental exhibit, none of them are listed as working interest owners.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay? And, B., and this may be the same answer, which is what efforts were made to contact the heirs of Frederick Cruz [sic]. These may be the heirs of Frederick Cruz [sic]; I don't know.

MR. SAVAGE: Correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay?
MR. SAVAGE: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: But if -- and because if you look at your newspaper publication, heirs of Frederick Cruz [sic] are not listed.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay? So, yeah.
MR. SAVAGE: No, it's very
understandable. And, you know, I don't have an excuse for this, but $I$ certainly have an interest in addressing the -- the concerns.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's
all I have. And I think -- and I think what we'll do is, you know, because this is a notice issue, we will continue this to March 2nd.

MR. SAVAGE: Okay.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And do you think
that's enough time to -- appearance?
MR. SAVAGE: Yes, that -- that should be enough time to clarify -- you know, the extent that it can be clarified, that is certainly enough time to -- to address this.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And, also, obviously, as Mr. Rose-Coss mentioned, there's the need to update the geologist's affidavit.

MR. SAVAGE: And we will do that. And we'll do that within the two weeks -- or before the -- before the next set hearing date.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And do you know whether in this area one mile laterals are sort of the norm? I'm just curious.

MR. SAVAGE: I don't -- I believe -- we can -- I believe our geologist is on line.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, I
don't -- I don't -- I'm just curious, 'cause, you know, it's --

```
                        MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, I don't -- I don't
```

know the scope of that. You know, I believe that one mile laterals are still viewed as economical in a variety of contexts, so I assume that -- that these are economical and something that would prevent waste and promote correlative rights.

MR. GILBERTSON: Mr. Examiner, I can address that very briefly, if you choose.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Gilbertson,
I see your name there; is that correct?
MR. GILBERTSON: That is correct, sir.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: And, you know, this is not -- this is not, like, necessary for this case; this is just more curiosity. So I'm not even going to swear you in.

MR. GILBERTSON: Yes, sir. It's -- it has to do with physics. We are at such shallow depths that the torque and drag on the drill string really does prevent us from going further out than a mile in most cases.

You will see some examples of mile-and-a-half-type lateral. So that -- I can't do anything about physics, sir, so, that's where we stand.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, no,
that's -- a that's a perfect explanation. Since I
know nothing about physics, I'll take your word for it. So, thank you.

And by "shallow," you mean this Yeso formation?

MR. GILBERTSON: Yes, sir.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
you. I appreciate that.
MR. GILBERTSON: You're welcome, sir.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I was just curious, because we have -- this is not the only one-mile lateral we've had today; we've had several of them, you know? And when we had these contested hearings, we have this experts telling us that one-mile laterals are, like, so $20 t h$ Century.

So, anyway, enough of my education for now. And with that, $I$ think we will continue Cases 23315, 23316 to March 2nd to address the notice questions and also a revised geologist affidavit.

But the exhibits that have been presented today will be admitted into the record.
(Items 58 and 59 Exhibits A, B, and C were received into evidence.)

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you, Mr. Brancard. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And
with that, $I$ believe we're at the end of our agenda today. So thank you all, and have a great rest of the day.

```
                    (Whereupon, at 11:50 a.m., the
                proceeding was concluded.)
```
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| 66:24 67:5 | 47:14 78:13 | different 27:1 | divides 64:11 |
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| 114:17 | 130:1 | 131:3 | 54:15 66:7 |
| decide 31:3 | depths 127:16 | direction 27:2 | 79:1 80:10 |
| 94:7,13 105:4 | described | 40:9 59:19 | 83:7 90:23 |
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| 26:25 |  | 28:16,20,22 | 62:14 64:15 |
| duly 130:5 | economical | 34:15,18 40:17 | 65:1,3 66:3,7 |
| duties 96:19 | $127: 2,4$ | 40:20 41:1 | equivalents |
| 97:24 | $\text { eddy } 82: 24$ | 42:5 46:4 | 64:14,17 66:1 |
| duty 96:10 | $89: 14112: 7$ | 82:12,15 88:15 | ernest 5:13 9:3 |
|  | 117:11 | 88:19,19 92:14 | 18:17 34:7 |

[es - examiner]

| es 12:2 130:4 | evidence 48:11 | 31:2,6,10,12,14 | 74:23 75:2,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| especially | 49:15 73:20 | 31:16,18,22 | 75:11,18,19,22 |
| 106:19 | 82:9 87:25 | 32:5,9,13,17,20 | 75:25 76:4,7 |
| esquire 3:3,15 | 103:4 105:23 | 32:22,23 33:4 | 79:11,16 80:16 |
| 3:20 4:3,10,16 | 105:24 106:12 | 33:9,12,15,23 | 81:14,18,25 |
| 4:22 5:3,9,13 | 107:16 116:8 | 34:2,5,7,9,12 | 82:14,16 84:7 |
| 5:19,22 6:3,9 | 128:22 | 34:14,17,19,23 | 85:23 86:3,10 |
| 6:15,22 7:3,9 | evidentiary | 35:5,8,17,22,25 | 86:15,21,24,25 |
| 7:11,17,20 8:3 | 27:5,6 | 36:5,14,17,25 | 87:2,3,8,14,15 |
| 8:9,14,20 9:3,9 | examined | 37:3,5,10,14,25 | 87:18,19 88:2 |
| 9:15,22 10:3,9 | 121:18 | 39:1,12,14,22 | 88:6,9,13,14,17 |
| 10:15,21 11:3 | examiner 2:4 | 40:6,12,19,21 | 88:17,22 89:3 |
| 11:9 | $3: 416: 2,6,8,10$ | 40:24 41:2,7 | 89:8,12 92:12 |
| essentially 52:3 | 16:23 17:11,14 | 41:11,15,18,24 | 92:17,20 93:1 |
| 52:18 53:4 | 17:18,20,22,25 | 42:7,19,22,24 | 93:4,9,18 94:2 |
| 72:15 123:22 | 18:4,10,13,15 | 43:1 44:4,6,11 | 94:5,11 97:21 |
| establishing | 18:17,19,21,23 | 44:13 45:3,8 | 98:4,6 99:16 |
| 117:24 119:10 | 19:3,9,12,13,16 | 45:13,14,19 | 99:21 100:1,11 |
| estate 101:1,4 | 19:17,24 20:4 | 46:1,3,6,8,11 | 100:19 101:6 |
| et $24: 14$ | 20:6,9,12,15,17 | 46:15,19 47:23 | 101:12,16,22 |
| ethics 97:4,16 | 20:20,22 21:2 | 48:7,13,15,17 | 102:3,8,11,25 |
| 101:24 | 21:10,13,18,21 | 48:21 49:10,17 | 103:11,18,23 |
| evaluated 85:5 | 22:8,16,19,21 | 49:24 50:2,4,6 | 104:3,12,17,23 |
| evd 12:6,12 | 22:25 23:2,4,8 | 50:8,12,19,23 | 105:3,11,18,22 |
| 13:2,12 14:2 | 23:17,22,25 | 51:3 58:10,16 | 106:2,14 107:4 |
| 14:10 15:2,13 | 24:5,10,13,15 | 58:19,22 59:1 | 108:6,11,22 |
| evening 75:3 | 24:17,19,23 | 64:5,20,25 | 109:4,12,15,20 |
| event 25:9 38:9 | 25:5,7,13,18,21 | 65:8,10,20 | 109:24 110:2,7 |
| 38:12 | 25:23 26:4,6,9 | 66:9,12,18 | 110:15,25 |
| eventually 21:9 | 26:11,17 27:8 | 68:10,17 69:4 | 111:3,6,6,9,15 |
| 21:16 23:11 | 27:10,11,19,24 | 69:11 70:6,19 | 111:21,25 |
| everybody | 28:3, $8,14,17,19$ | 70:24 71:13,18 | 115:4,7,8,11,14 |
| 42:20 123:6 | 28:21,24 29:3 | 71:20 72:8,21 | 115:18,25 |
| everybody's | 29:6,9,13,16 | 73:3,6,11,22 | 116:10,12,13 |
| 104:11 | $30: 2,6,9,14,16$ | 74:1,5,10,13,19 | 116:17,17,21 |
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| $116: 25117: 5,6$ | $54: 12,19,20,21$ | $114: 21,21$ | fact $95: 5$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $121: 5122: 1,10$ | $54: 23,2455: 1$ | $115: 2116: 4,7$ | $100: 15$ |
| $122: 25123: 3,7$ | $55: 13,15,22,24$ | $118: 22120: 8$ | facts $94: 17$ |
| $123: 14,21$ | $56: 8,20,23,25$ | $120: 22,23$ | failed $95: 6,8$ |
| $124: 8125: 2,8$ | $57: 6,16,19,22$ | $121: 1123: 8$ | faith $95: 15,16$ |
| $125: 14,16,20$ | $57: 2362: 9$ | $128: 19,21$ | $96: 1698: 2,8$ |
| $125: 25126: 5$ | $76: 10,12,17,24$ | exist $80: 22$ | $98: 13$ |
| $126: 11,17,22$ | $77: 5,6,7,16,17$ | existing $80: 8$ | falls $96: 11$ |
| $127: 6,8,11,24$ | $78: 2,5,879: 3$ | $84: 15,2285: 6$ | false $96: 21,23$ |
| $128: 6,9,25$ | $82: 583: 6,9,10$ | $85: 2586: 18$ | $97: 3,6,6104: 1$ |
| examiners | $83: 14,1884: 23$ | $87: 11121: 20$ | falsified $97: 2$ |
| 95:18 96:18 | $85: 9,2487: 23$ | exists $23: 11$ | far $29: 2239: 8$ |
| 97:7,24 $98: 20$ | $91: 2,9,18,23$ | expeditiously | $82: 493: 15$ |
| 99:14 $110: 14$ | $113: 20114: 1$ | $75: 3$ | $102: 22106: 9$ |
| example $61: 6$ | $114: 10,15$ | expert $54: 17$ | faster $43: 9$ |
| examples | $118: 12,15,20$ | $117: 14,19,22$ | faulting $91: 16$ |
| $127: 20$ | $118: 25119: 24$ | experts $128: 13$ | $114: 8$ |
| except $72: 15$ | $120: 6,9124: 10$ | expired $80: 10$ | favor $68: 20$ |
| exclusive $35: 14$ | $124: 21125: 5$ | explained | favored $66: 25$ |
| excuse $76: 20$ | exhibits $12: 10$ | $102: 12$ | $80: 581: 6$ |
| $79: 390: 22$ | $12: 1913: 10,20$ | explanation | fe $2: 63: 10,18$ |
| $109: 14,14$ | $14: 8,1915: 11$ | $127: 25$ | $3: 234: 6,13,25$ |
| $125: 22$ | $15: 2048: 8$ | extend $35: 1$ | $5: 11,16,256: 6$ |
| exhibit $12: 8,14$ | $49: 4,6,8,12,14$ | extent $81: 12$ | $6: 12,18,257: 6$ |
| $12: 15,16,17,17$ | $58: 6,873: 14$ | $87: 17126: 8$ | $7: 148: 12,17$ |
| $12: 1813: 4,5,6$ | $73: 1976: 8$ |  | $8: 239: 6,12,18$ |
| $13: 7,8,9,14,15$ | $79: 2,982: 4,8$ | f $9: 813: 914: 18$ | $9: 2510: 18,24$ |
| $13: 16,17,18,19$ | $84: 2,587: 20$ | $15: 1037: 1,4$ | $11: 6,1217: 12$ |
| $14: 4,6,7,13,15$ | $87: 2491: 1,2$ | $37: 1238: 3,5,7$ | $20: 922: 19$ |
| $14: 17,1815: 5$ | $91: 1092: 4,4$ | $38: 19,2339: 13$ | $33: 1234: 12$ |
| $15: 7,9,10,16,18$ | $92: 10,2393: 7$ | $39: 20,2257: 23$ | $41: 1446: 6$ |
| $15: 1947: 18,19$ | $93: 2394: 1,3,8$ | $58: 6,873: 19$ | $49: 2274: 11$ |
| $47: 2148: 1,10$ | $94: 9106: 8,11$ | $91: 2392: 10$ | $88: 7,18111: 1$ |
| $49: 3,351: 8$ | $110: 13,17$ | $106: 11114: 15$ | $111: 7116: 18$ |
| $52: 954: 9,11$ | $113: 20114: 2$ | $115: 2116: 7$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[february - free]

| february $2: 2$ | figured $121: 15$ | $66: 1574: 4$ | forgiving $68: 11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16: 438: 6,7$ | file $32: 233: 18$ | $75: 14106: 4$ | form $68: 2$ |
| $39: 6$ | $47: 951: 560: 6$ | finish $100: 2,2$ | $69: 16$ |
| fed $89: 2590: 9$ | $73: 974: 24$ | firm $4: 235: 14$ | formation |
| $90: 17112: 20$ | $81: 1993: 5,7,8$ | $9: 496: 14,14$ | $51: 2460: 2,4$ |
| $113: 3,11$ | $94: 4110: 3$ | $97: 8$ | $82: 2186: 13$ |
| federal $109: 5$ | filed $26: 14$ | first $17: 632: 15$ | $89: 1890: 4,12$ |
| feel $72: 22$ | $33: 2134: 22,24$ | $36: 338: 9$ | $112: 10,16$ |
| feldewert $4: 3$ | $35: 3,1141: 21$ | $39: 1743: 3$ | $113: 2,6118: 2$ |
| $5: 228: 9,20$ | $43: 348: 149: 3$ | $44: 548: 4,4$ | $119: 13128: 4$ |
| $10: 1520: 8,9$ | $51: 8,954: 11$ | $57: 9,1360: 11$ | formed $68: 25$ |
| $21: 12,2522: 1$ | $62: 2575: 2$ | $66: 1974: 2$ | forward $23: 13$ |
| $22: 6,18,19$ | $94: 11106: 15$ | $76: 1080: 15$ | $30: 2550: 21$ |
| $23: 8,2124: 3$ | $118: 16120: 1$ | $93: 10,19124: 9$ | $64: 169: 24$ |
| $33: 11,12,25$ | $123: 11124: 20$ | fits $62: 18$ | $88: 2093: 14$ |
| $34: 11,1241: 13$ | files $93: 20$ | fleshed $81: 11$ | $106: 10,17$ |
| $41: 1444: 6$ | filing $31: 23$ | floors $17: 2$ | $107: 1,3,21$ |
| $46: 5,649: 20$ | $39: 972: 23$ | fluid $86: 19$ | $117: 3$ |
| $49: 2150: 17,18$ | $75: 4$ | $121: 21$ | found $97: 6$ |
| $50: 2551: 2$ | final $43: 15$ | flux $43: 5$ | four $13: 435: 4$ |
| $59: 6,7,2561: 1$ | $44: 16$ | focus $64: 10$ | $35: 1150: 17$ |
| $62: 663: 1,14$ | finalized $44: 17$ | folks $47: 3,7$ | $51: 4,2354: 10$ |
| $63: 1664: 4,18$ | finally $53: 15$ | follow $97: 20$ | $54: 1256: 22$ |
| $64: 2365: 5,9$ | $69: 2378: 8$ | $100: 18105: 13$ | $57: 2458: 7$ |
| $65: 19,2266: 11$ | financially | followed $91: 8$ | $71: 20118: 4$ |
| $66: 1768: 8,15$ | $130: 15131: 11$ | $105: 16113: 25$ | frack $86: 19$ |
| $68: 2269: 8$ | find $54: 21$ | $123: 23$ | fracked $84: 14$ |
| $70: 5,17,23$ | $64: 2186: 19$ | following $95: 3$ | francis $3: 95: 15$ |
| $71: 3,17,19,25$ | $103: 10$ | $97: 11103: 3$ | $9: 5$ |
| $72: 19,2573: 4$ | finding $16: 25$ | $118: 16$ | fraud $102: 24$ |
| $73: 8,21,24$ | finds $66: 7$ | forced $57: 15$ | frederick |
| $96: 22107: 22$ | fine $25: 8,12,15$ | $69: 24$ | $122: 13,15$ |
| field $67: 4$ | $25: 17,2230: 8$ | foregoing | $125: 11,12,18$ |
| fifth $71: 2296: 7$ | $32: 2245: 10$ | $130: 3,4131: 4$ | free $72: 22$ |
|  | $64: 1065: 18$ |  |  |

[front - green]

| front 35:18,19 | 79:25 80:1 | glad 72:6 75:4 | 22:18,23 24:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93:22 | 85:5 87:23 | 81:10 | 24:22 26:3,8 |
| fulton 2:7 | 90:21 113:15 | go 16:18 27:2 | 28:2 29:2,11 |
| 130:2,18 | 117:17 126:21 | 29:17 39:12 | 33:11 34:11,16 |
| fun 17:3 61:2 | 128:18 | 42:20 45:9 | 37:7 40:23 |
| further 44:8 | geologist's | 50:16,25 59:3 | 41:4,13,17 |
| 45:15 70:25,25 | 76:24 77:16 | 62:20 64:1 | 44:14 45:25 |
| 73:12 98:12,22 | 87:10 121:17 | 69:10 70:12 | 46:5,13 49:20 |
| 99:13 127:18 | 126:13 | 77:15,19 78:9 | 50:1 59:5,7 |
| 130:12 131:9 | geology 13:7 | 93:20 94:21 | 62:6 63:16 |
| future 98:12,16 | 56:13 85:19 | 103:16,21,22 | 66:17 68:15 |
| 98:24,25 | 118:21,22 | 106:25 110:11 | 71:12 72:19 |
| g | 120:7,8 | 110:13 125:5 | 73:4,8,9 74:9 |
| g 16:1 | getting 78:14 | goes 54:18 | 74:16 81:25 |
| gamut 29:5 | gilbertson | 71:21 | 82:13 88:5,16 |
| gas 7:19 10:8 | 11:19 117:18 | going 26:13 | 88:24 98:2 |
| 29:4 45:24 | 117:22 119:4 | 28:4 30:25 | 110:24 111:5 |
| 46:2 50:3 | 120:17 127:6,8 | 31:19 33:25 | 111:11 116:10 |
| 74:15,18 88:23 | 127:10,15 | 35:2 36:3 39:9 | 116:16,16 |
| 89:1 92:17 | 128:5,8 | 44:7 50:21 | 121:7,8,9 |
| 95:5 96:2,7,11 | gilbertson's | 51:22 59:18,19 | goodness 41:24 |
| 97:12,19,25 | 118:20 120:6 | 59:24 68:18 | grant 102:17 |
| 98:1 99:3,11 | give 25:19 31:3 | 69:3 74:6 | 123:19 |
| 105:14,16 | 63:7 95:10 | 80:20 84:21 | granted 34:3 |
| 111:10,13 | 100:25 102:21 | 88:20 94:2,21 | 105:17 |
| general 54:22 | 104:6 105:7 | 103:1,1 106:2 | granting 98:3 |
| 99:5 | 106:3,5 123:18 | 106:5 107:4,6 | 102:9 |
| generally 71:6 | given 26:18 | 114:25 117:3 | great 25:20 |
| 81:5 | 37:18 38:24 | 121:10,19 | 29:6 129:2 |
| geologic 114:8 | 68:13 69:1 | 122:22 127:14 | greater 67:15 |
| geological 14:6 | 95:23 101:23 | 127:18 | 67:22 |
| 15:18 83:14 | 105:12 108:21 | good 16:2,9 | green 47:5,6,7 |
| 91:16 | giving 102:6,7 | 17:11,21 18:2 | 47:15 78:10,14 |
| geologist 11:19 | 104:5 109:11 | 18:7,12,21 | 78:15 86:14 |
| 13:16 60:2 | 124:12 | 20:8,14,19 |  |
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| gross 95:14 | hand 16:11 | 22:20 33:13 | 28:3,4,8,9,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| guadalupe 4:5 | 101:4 | 34:13 41:14 | 28:19,21,24 |
| 5:24 8:11,22 | handwritten | 46:7 49:22 | 29:3,6,9,13,17 |
| 10:17,23 | 47:5,6 | 74:11 88:7 | 29:17,20 30:2 |
| guess 29:8 | hanna 90:21 | 96:13,14,15 | 30:3,6,9,14 |
| 38:21 61:18 | 113:15 | 97:8,9 111:1 | 31:2,4,6,7,10 |
| 65:10 78:13 | happen 27:19 | head 45:16 | 31:14,18,22 |
| 84:19 110:1 | 65:25 | 107:23 | 32:5,6,12,13,16 |
| 22:4 | happened | headed 34:21 | 32:17,20,23,25 |
| guessing 39:2 | 35:11 | heads 50:24 | 33:1,4,9,15,23 |
| gun 83:15 | happening | 75:13 | 34:2,3,5,9,14 |
| h | 44:18 | hear 35:5 38:1 | 34:19,21 35:1 |
| h 12:513:1 | hardy 3:15 | 72:6 | 35:3,5,8,17,22 |
| $14: 1 \quad 15: 1$ | $119: 9,15$ | heard 35:15 | 36:5,8,14,17,19 |
| hajny 1 | 17:11,12,15,16 | 38:23 89:2 | 36:20,25 37:5 |
| 41:17,18 | 17:19 19:3,21 | hearing 1:5 2:1 | 37:10,12,23,25 |
| half $37: 17,21$ | 24:17,17 25:7 | $2: 416: 2,4,6,10$ | 38:21 39:1,12 |
| 38:4,4,22 39: | 25:17,22 31:16 | 16:23 17:14,18 | 39:17,22 40:6 |
| $39 \cdot 7,15,25$ | 31:16,21,24 | 17:20,21,25 | 40:7,12,14,15 |
| $39.7,15$, $40: 452:$ | 32:7,22 33:2,7 | 18:4,10,15,19 | 40:21 41:2,7 |
| 2:11, | 33:7,20 34:4 | 18:23 19:1,9 | 41:11,15,17,24 |
| $53: 1,2,5,9,10$ | 37:3,3,14 | 19:12,13,17,19 | 42:15,18,19,22 |
| .1,2,5,9,10 | 39:14,24 40:11 | 19:24 20:6,12 | 42:24 44:4,11 |
| $225$ | 40:19,19 42:6 | 20:14,17,22,25 | 44:13 45:2,3,8 |
| $: 23,23$ | 42:7 43:20 | $21: 7,8,10,15,18$ | 45:11,12,14,17 |
| $61: 15 \text { 62:2,4,7 }$ | 44:14 45:13,18 | 21:21 22:8,10 | 45:19 46:3,8 |
| $62: 15,16,16,17$ | harking 68:8 | 22:16,21,25 | 46:11,15,19 |
|  | 68:23 | 23:2,4,17,19,22 | 47:23 48:4,4,7 |
| 82:22,23 89:13 | harm 95:14 | 23:25 24:2,5,5 | 48:13,17,19 |
| 89:23.24 90:6 | 121:20 | 24:6,10,12,15 | 49:2,2,10,11,17 |
| $90: 6,15112$ | harris 4:17 5:4 | 24:19,22 25:5 | 49:24 50:2,4,8 |
| 2.18 18.6 | 8:4 10:4,10 | 25:13,18,21,23 | 50:12,14,19,23 |
| $12: 25 \quad 113: 9$ | hart 4:4 5:23 | 26:3,6,9,11,17 | 58:10,16,19,22 |
| :25 113:9 | 8:10,21 10:16 | 27:4,6,7,9,11 | 59:1,3 64:5,20 |
| $118: 1,1119: 12$ $119: 12127: 21$ | 10:22 20:10 | 27:18,20,24 | 64:25 65:8,10 |
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| $65: 20$ 66:9,12 | $106: 2,13107: 4$ | higher $80: 6$ | $70: 1,971: 5,10$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $66: 1868: 10,17$ | $107: 12108: 6$ | hill $76: 25$ | $77: 282: 22$ |
| $69: 4,1170: 6$ | $108: 11,22$ | hinkle $3: 16$ | $84: 1387: 12$ |
| $70: 19,2471: 13$ | $109: 4,12,15,20$ | $7: 129: 10,16$ | $89: 2390: 5,15$ |
| $71: 18,2072: 8$ | $109: 24110: 2,7$ | $11: 417: 12$ | $91: 17112: 17$ |
| $72: 2173: 3,6$ | $110: 15,25$ | $24: 1831: 17$ | $112: 24113: 9$ |
| $73: 11,14,22$ | $111: 3,5,9,15,21$ | $33: 737: 4$ | $114: 9121: 12$ |
| $74: 1,5,9,13,19$ | $111: 23,24$ | $40: 2082: 14$ | huh $29: 7$ |
| $74: 2375: 2,5,8$ | $115: 4,6,8,11,14$ | hmm $86: 9$ | i |
| $75: 11,17,19,25$ | $115: 18,25$ | hold $52: 14$ | identifiable |
| $76: 4,6,19$ | $116: 2,11,13,17$ | $123: 9$ | $122: 23$ |
| $78: 18,19,23$ | $116: 21,25$ | hole $83: 1,3$ | identification |
| $79: 11,1680: 16$ | $117: 6121: 5$ | holland $4: 4$ | $47: 2249: 9$ |
| $81: 14,18,24,25$ | $122: 1,10,25$ | $5: 238: 10,21$ | $58: 979: 10$ |
| $82: 3,16,18$ | $123: 3,7,10,14$ | $10: 16,2220: 10$ | $84: 692: 11$ |
| $83: 2084: 7,9$ | $123: 21124: 8$ | $22: 2033: 13$ | $115: 3121: 2$ |
| $85: 2386: 3,10$ | $125: 2,8,14,16$ | $34: 1341: 14$ | identified |
| $86: 15,21,25$ | $125: 20,25$ | $46: 749: 22$ | $55: 2556: 20$ |
| $87: 3,8,15,19$ | $126: 5,11,16,17$ | $74: 1188: 7$ | identifies $55: 2$ |
| $88: 2,6,9,12,14$ | $126: 22127: 8$ | $96: 13,14,15$ | $56: 8,2057: 10$ |
| $88: 16,2289: 3$ | $127: 11,24$ | $97: 7,9111: 1$ | identify $65: 24$ |
| $89: 8,1192: 12$ | $128: 6,9,25$ | honest $43: 7$ | $65: 25$ |
| $92: 17,2093: 1$ | hearings $27: 21$ | hope $42: 17$ | ignore $94: 2,3$ |
| $93: 4,9,10,18$ | $45: 20,2293: 17$ | $63: 14122: 19$ | ignored $95: 6,25$ |
| $94: 2,5,7,11$ | $128: 13$ | hopeful $43: 23$ | ii $11: 8116: 19$ |
| $95: 12,1896: 18$ | heirs $122: 14,16$ | hopefully $39: 18$ | impact $30: 24$ |
| $97: 7,21,24$ | $122: 18,19,20$ | $40: 1,844: 17$ | impacted $56: 9$ |
| $98: 4,5,6,20,25$ | $123: 4125: 10$ | $64: 1,179: 5$ | impacts $87: 11$ |
| $99: 14,16,21$ | $125: 11,18$ | hoping $42: 13$ | impasse $30: 1$ |
| $100: 1,11,19$ | helped $66: 4$ | horizontal $52: 1$ | impediments |
| $101: 6,12,16,22$ | helpful $59: 9$ | $52: 7,2453: 8$ | $91: 17114: 9$ |
| $102: 3,8,11,25$ | $66: 8$ | $53: 1758: 3$ | important $27: 1$ |
| $103: 11,18,23$ | hereto $130: 14$ | $60: 1461: 3,11$ | importantly |
| $104: 3,12,17,23$ | $131: 11$ | $62: 1967: 8,10$ | $64: 16$ |
| $105: 3,11,18,22$ |  | $67: 1368: 3$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[impose - issue]

| impose 96:3 | initial 118:4 | 100:14 101:1,3 | 120:19 123:4,6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98:7 | 119:15 | 101:8 103:5,6 | 124:12 |
| imposed 96:1,4 | initially 53:25 | 103:6 104:14 | interfere 87:6 |
| 98:8 | 68:13 90:8,16 | 104:24,25 | internal 66:21 |
| impression | 113:1,10 | 105:7,10 | 69:24 |
| 68:14 | injection | 106:23 107:10 | internally |
| include 83:10 | 121:21 | 107:13,17,25 | 72:22 |
| 104:25 | injury 94:17 | 108:7,12,14,16 | interpretation |
| included 38:4 | 95:5 | 108:17,18 | 72:6 |
| includes 83:15 | inside 71:1 | 109:1,7,21 | interval 59:24 |
| 91:2,9 113:20 | insignia 93:22 | 110:4 112:3 | 79:21 80:23 |
| 114:1 118:13 | inspect 73:22 | 113:22 122:9 | 83:17 |
| 118:21 119:25 | instance 108:23 | 122:11 123:12 | invite 51:7 |
| 120:7 | intend 32:3 | 123:24 125:4,6 | involve 52:17 |
| including 20:11 | intends 31:24 | 125:23 | 60:1,13 89:13 |
| 82:4 | intent 44:22 | interested | 102:12 |
| indicate 61:11 | intentional | 11:17 24:20 | involved 44:8 |
| 122:3 | 95:13,14 | 26:12 40:13 | 54:25 55:3 |
| indicates 62:19 | intentionally | 50:13 76:5 | 61:20 93:11 |
| individual | 95:6,16 97:10 | 82:17 84:8 | involvement |
| 122:21 | 97:15 | 89:4,6 95:21 | 44:20 |
| individuals | intentions | 95:23 100:16 | involves 112:6 |
| 122:18 124:6 | 95:15,17 96:17 | 111:20 117:1 | involving 51:24 |
| industry 98:2 | 97:3,15 98:8 | 130:15 131:12 | iron 36:4 |
| infliction 95:14 | interest 30:19 | interesting | irregular 51:13 |
| inform 44:19 | 30:23 37:17,18 | 109:18,23 | 51:13 52:10,18 |
| information | 37:22 40:5 | interests 55:12 | 53:2,4,12 62:3 |
| 57:17,20 60:16 | 48:2 55:3,5,7 | 82:20 83:11 | 66:10,22 67:18 |
| 76:14 77:1 | 55:10,11,13 | 89:17 90:3,11 | 68:5,13 |
| 81:20 84:25 | 57:21 76:15 | 100:12 101:19 | irregularity |
| infringement | 78:3,7 80:15 | 108:1 109:5 | 63:25 |
| 96:5 | 83:17 91:4,5 | 110:19 112:9 | island 36:4 |
| ingram 11:16 | 93:11,13,16 | 112:23 113:6 | issue 24:7 |
| 41:18 | 94:16,18 96:6 | 113:23 118:2 | 30:23 31:1 |
|  | 99:18,23 100:4 | 119:6,13 | 43:18 63:19 |

[issue - land]

| 66:24 69:13 | jalapeno 5:18 | judicial 97:4,16 | 68:6,11,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70:2 71:4,10 | 18:20,22 20:13 | juggling 123:10 | 69:5,16 70:4 |
| 71:12,14 72:10 | 20:15 23:1,3 | julia 97:8 | 70:24 71:12,21 |
| 72:23 126:2 | james 3:21 6:16 | july 96:24 | 72:12,13,20 |
| issued 107:9 | jamesbruc 3:24 | justification | 79:1 80:14 |
| issues 25:9 | 6:19 | 99:12 | 84:17 85:11,20 |
| 26:19,25 27:4 | january 91:21 | k | 99:24 103:12 |
| 39:18 42:13 | 91:22 92:1 | k.s. 89:25 90:9 | 106:15,16,22 |
| 46:20 84:12 | 114:14,19 | k.s. 89.2590 .9 | 107:8,20,24 |
| 116:3 | jeopardize | keep 27:12 | 110:13 122:15 |
| item 12:7,13 | 121:19 | 32:12 62:9 | 124:4,4,17 |
| 13:13 14:3 | jeopardizing | 74:6 | 125:12,22 |
| 25:1 31:11 | 85:6 |  | 126:2,8,17,24 |
| 33:4,5 34:6 | jesse 3:3 26:9 | kessler 5:9 | 127:1,1,11 |
| 45:23 47:21 | 41:9 | $20: 19,2021: 20$ | 128:1,12 |
| 48:10,14 49:8 | jim 3:20 6:15 | 46:13,14,16,18 | knowledge |
| 49:14 74:2,7 | 20:4 22:2,15 | 50:10,10 58:21 | 93:15 97:1,1 |
| 75:20 79:9 | 23:15 28:17 | 50.10,10 58 | 130:9 131:6 |
| 80:5 82:8,12 | 31:12 48:15 | kids 103:20 | known 122:18 |
| 84:5 87:24 | 50:6 75:22 | kind 27:5 51:20 | 122:20 |
| items 13:3 | joa 38:3,8,10 | 59:22 60:7,22 | krauss 118:4,5 |
| 14:11 15:3,14 | 38:17 39:5,7,8 | $62: 463: 12,20$ | 118:5,6 119:15 |
| 19:25 22:11 | 39:11 78:5 | 63:24 79:20 | 119:16,16 |
| 24:10 28:15 | job 2:8 103:10 | $80: 15 \text { 84:15,19 }$ | 1 |
| 37:1 40:16 | 103:16 | 105:10,17 | $l$ 5:13 9:3 18:17 |
| 49:18 58:8 | jog 63:20 71:9 | 121:18 | 34:7 |
| 73:19 78:25 | jon 111:19 | know 22:5 | lack 94:19 |
| 88:3 92:10 | jonathan 11:17 | 27:11 32:9 | laid 119:18 |
| 106:11 110:22 | 111:22 | 35:12,20 39:8 | land 14:4 15:16 |
| 115:2 116:7,14 | jordan 5:9 | 43:5 47:7 | 51:6,13,14,21 |
| 121:1 128:21 | 20:20 46:13 | 59:10,15,20,21 | 55:3 61:25 |
| j | 50:10 | 60:2,21 61:17 | 64:11 83:9,10 |
| jackie 11:3 | judgment 28:5 | 63:10,18,20 | 91:3 101:1 |
| 82:14 | 28:9 | 64:15,15 65:11 | 108:23,25 |
|  |  | 66:3 67:2,17 | 109:6,11,16 |
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[land - looking]

| 113:21 | 80:4,7,20,22,22 | lined 72:17 | 29:10,12 45:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| landing 56:21 | 81:1,9 85:14 | lines 86:8 | 46:2,10 49:25 |
| landman 54:13 | 118:7 | list 48:5 55:6 | 50:3 74:12,20 |
| 54:17 76:13 | lease 43:4,10 | 55:10,12 56:10 | 74:22 76:3 |
| 90:21 103:24 | 43:16 109:5,13 | 57:1,5,9,12,13 | 116:20,24 |
| 113:15 117:13 | 109:21 | 57:15 76:14 | llp 3:167:12 |
| 118:13 119:25 | leased 108:12 | 78:3 91:4 | 9:10,16 11:4 |
| landmen | 108:15,16,19 | 113:22 123:22 | locatable 119:2 |
| 103:17,22 | 108:24 109:8 | 124:21 125:3 | 122:23 |
| lands 93:17 | 109:16,17 | listed 16:17 | locate 124:6 |
| 94:19 117:10 | leave 73:16 | 47:12 54:20 | located 54:23 |
| language 61:3 | 116:1 123:20 | 77:10 95:20 | 55:4,11 |
| 61:10 66:7 | left 42:587:22 | 99:22,22 100:7 | location 2:5 |
| 71:4 | 101:4 108:16 | 100:20,21 | 54:22 56:16 |
| laps 17:3 | 109:21 | 122:8,11,18 | 83:15 118:9,11 |
| lapse 78:21 | legal 64:7,8 | 123:6 124:13 | 119:20,23 |
| lapsed 81:23 | 65:13 | 124:14 125:6 | locations 83:2 |
| largely 43:19 | legislation | 125:18 | 83:3 118:8 |
| larger 50:15 | 97:18 | listing 48:1 | 119:19 |
| lastly 90:10 | letter 55:16 | lists 123:24 | locator 91:10 |
| 91:18 113:4 | 83:12,20 91:6 | 124:11 | 114:2 |
| 114:10 | 113:23 118:17 | little 17:15 | long 70:12,25 |
| late 33:17 | letters 47:3,6 | 27:14 46:16 | 108:20 |
| 48:23 74:24 | 47:13,14 55:16 | 51:20 56:14 | longer 54:7 |
| 78:13,17,22 | 55:18 76:16 | 57:19 58:22 | look 51:7,16 |
| lateral 127:21 | 91:19 114:12 | 63:21 64:6,14 | 52:15 60:24 |
| 128:11 | 120:3 124:21 | 66:10 68:11,16 | 61:2 62:7 72:1 |
| laterals 126:18 | letting 99:25 | 70:22 71:9 | 84:24 85:15 |
| 127:2 128:14 | lie 104:10 | 72:16 78:13 | 93:5 110:4 |
| law 3:21 4:23 | likelihood | 81:11 83:24 | 122:14 125:17 |
| 5:14 6:16 9:4 | 78:14 | 85:24 86:1 | looked 60:15 |
| 96:13,14 97:14 | likewise 117:17 | 93:22 | 69:13 |
| lawyers 61:2 | limit 59:22 | llc 4:21 5:2 6:8 | looking 21:22 |
| lay 60:17 69:17 | line 67:9,20 | 7:16 8:2,8 10:8 | 57:12 62:8 |
| 70:17,20 79:20 | 70:4 126:21 | 10:20 11:8 | 65:17 84:23 |

[looking - mean]

| 93:3,6 102:1 | main 84:10 | march 27:14,15 | 104:24 105:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123:7,21 | maintain 71:6 | 75:7,16 78:18 | 105:23 110:23 |
| 124:10 | majority 47:13 | 78:20 81:23 | 111:2,23 112:3 |
| looks 57:19 | make 54:21 | 82:6 106:8 | 112:8,15,21 |
| lost 67:5 | 60:7,21 65:17 | 110:1,17 116:1 | 113:5 |
| lot 53:11,20 | 73:23 100:10 | 116:6 126:3 | matador's 32:3 |
| 61:2,16,17 | 111:25 124:18 | 128:17 | 51:1 95:7 |
| 62:13,15,16 | 124:23,24 | marked 47:21 | 98:11,15 |
| 64:10 65:13 | makes 36:1 | 49:9 58:9 | material 59:9 |
| 72:16 85:9 | 60:24 67:18 | 78:11 79:10 | materials 46:24 |
| lots 51:15,17 | making 19:5 | 84:6 92:11 | matt 5:19 18:22 |
| 52:3,10,13,14 | 70:9 98:1 | 115:3 121:2 | 20:15 23:2 |
| 52:17 53:1,3 | malicious 98:8 | marlene 3:6 | matter 1:5 |
| 56:4 61:7,17 | maliciously | marlene.salvi. | 21:15 25:4 |
| 65:1 67:7,9,20 | 95:17 | 3:12 | 36:1 44:8 |
| 67:21 68:1,2 | man 63:14 | matador 4:8 | 48:23 78:19 |
| 69:14 71:8 | management | 5:21 9:20 | 90:24 103:2,3 |
| 72:3,12,12 | 108:23 109:1,6 | 17:23 19:10 | 107:12 113:18 |
| loudly 16:13 | manner 61:23 | 20:7,11 21:11 | 117:10,20 |
| lower 77:11 | 84:1 | 22:17 23:7 | matters 21:4 |
| m | map 54:22 | 31:11,13 34:10 | 26:18 32:12 |
| made 43:8 | 55:10 56:16,16 | 35:24,25 40:25 | mayaro 82:25 |
| 61:19 99:5 | 56:18 62:3 | 49:19 50:16 | mckenzie 4:12 |
| 124:5 125:10 | 76:25 77:16 | 51:8 59:23 | 6:5,11,24 7:5 |
| mail 36:10,15 | 83:15,15 91:3 | 60:6 88:4,8 | 8:16 9:24 |
| 47:6 75:8 79:5 | 91:10,11,13 | 89:10,17,21 | 11:11 |
| 83:24 | 113:21 114:2,3 | 90:2,10 93:22 | mclean 11:3 |
| mailed 47: | maps 45:4 55:1 | 93:24 95:3,6 | 82:13,14,19 |
| 47:12 91:20 | 76:14 | 95:10,13,19,24 | 84:23 85:3,13 |
| 114:13 119:2 | marathon 8:2 | 96:1,5,13,14,21 | 86:1,5,9,12,22 |
| $120: 11$ | 29:9,12 30:17 | 96:24 98:3,7 | 86:24 87:2,5 |
| mailing 119:1 | 30:19,23 | 99:15,22,22 | 87:14 88:1 |
| 120:14 124:20 | marathon's | 101:7,13,18 | mean 65:12 |
| mailings 57:7 | 30:25 | 102:24 103:7,9 | 69:13 71:14 |
| 120:10,12 |  | 104:7,8,16,21 | 72:9 79:3 |

[mean - mute]

| $86: 16$ 94:3 | 6:12,18,25 7:6 | minerals $3: 7$ | $59: 5,774: 9,16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $101: 18108: 9$ | $7: 148: 6,12,17$ | minute $52: 15$ | $78: 482: 13$ |
| $124: 9,9128: 3$ | $8: 239: 6,12,18$ | $77: 6$ | $88: 5,16,24$ |
| means $68: 3$ | $9: 2510: 6,12$ | mislead $95: 17$ | $89: 2110: 24$ |
| 99:23 100:3 | $10: 18,2411: 6$ | $97: 7$ | $111: 5,11$ |
| $107: 17$ | $11: 1216: 5$ | misleading | $116: 16,17$ |
| meant 69:25 | $51: 5,1261: 25$ | $96: 16$ | $121: 8,9$ |
| mentioned 93:7 | $82: 2489: 15$ | misled $96: 13,14$ | motion $27: 4$ |
| 93:23 94:4 | $112: 8117: 12$ | missing $78: 25$ | $28: 5,1033: 18$ |
| $126: 12$ | $130: 20$ | mm $86: 9$ | $33: 21,2434: 1$ |
| mentioning | michael $4: 3$ | modrall $4: 17$ | $34: 374: 24$ |
| $92: 24$ | $5: 228: 9,20$ | $5: 48: 410: 4,10$ | move $23: 13$ |
| messing 72:4 | $10: 1520: 9$ | $37: 841: 5$ | $36: 139: 23$ |
| met $96: 2597: 2$ | $22: 1933: 12$ | $74: 1788: 25$ | $51: 1858: 5$ |
| mewbourne | $34: 1241: 13$ | $111: 12$ | $93: 14106: 10$ |
| $3: 144: 217: 9$ | $46: 649: 21$ | mongoose $29: 7$ | $106: 17107: 1,3$ |
| $17: 1319: 2$ | $96: 21$ | monitor $41: 23$ | $107: 21120: 22$ |
| $20: 3,521: 1$ | midde $57: 14$ | monitoring | moving $32: 10$ |
| $22: 13,1523: 12$ | $86: 4$ | $44: 16$ | $122: 7$ |
| $23: 13,2224: 15$ | midstream $6: 8$ | montgomery | mrc $5: 218: 8,19$ |
| $24: 1825: 6,17$ | $26: 2,5$ | $4: 2318: 8$ | $20: 1033: 10,14$ |
| $33: 6,8,19$ | migration | months $22: 4,5$ | $40: 21,2542: 21$ |
| $35: 1036: 6$ | $121: 21$ | $31: 332: 11$ | $46: 4$ |
| $41: 1244: 5,7$ | mile $44: 2145: 5$ | morning $16: 2,9$ | multiple $24: 9$ |
| $48: 14,1650: 4$ | $52: 20126: 18$ | $17: 11,15,21$ | $43: 5$ |
| $50: 758: 16$ | $127: 2,18,21$ | $18: 2,7,12,21$ | munds $7: 9,17$ |
| $75: 21,2376: 6$ | $128: 11,14$ | $20: 8,14,19$ | $7: 2029: 2,3,8$ |
| $76: 1977: 22$ | miller $120: 13$ | $22: 18,2324: 4$ | $46: 9,9,2248: 3$ |
| $79: 2280: 1$ | mind $59: 11$ | $24: 12,2226: 3$ | $48: 1250: 1,2$ |
| mewbourne's | $60: 2198: 23$ | $26: 829: 2,5,11$ | $58: 1474: 21,21$ |
| $33: 22$ | mineral $1: 2$ | $33: 1134: 11,16$ | $76: 2,279: 14$ |
| mexico $1: 12: 6$ | $101: 1,4,19$ | $37: 740: 23$ | $116: 23,23$ |
| $3: 10,18,234: 6$ | $103: 14,14$ | $41: 4,13,17$ | $117: 4$ |
| $4: 13,19,255: 6$ | $108: 3,12,14,18$ | $45: 2546: 5,13$ | mute $75: 24$ |
| $5: 11,16,256: 6$ | $109: 7$ | $49: 2050: 1$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[muted - notice]

| muted 27:9 mutually 35:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { negligent } 97: 10 \\ & 98: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:23 61:21 } \\ & 62: 21,2463: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { note } 30: 2242: 9 \\ 43: 2147: 25 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | negotiating | 63:4,8 66:14 | 54:6 77:9 |
| n 3:1 4:1 5:1 | 43:3 | 67:1,3,13,24 | 119:1 |
| 6:17:1 8:1 9:1 | negotiations | 68:19 71:23 | noted 47:4 |
| 10:1 11:1 12:1 | 43:11,16 | 73:1 118:10 | notice 13:8,9 |
| 16:1 | 118:16 | nope 109:4 | 13:14,17 14:7 |
| name 57:25 | neither 130:10 | norm 126:19 | 14:17,18 15:9 |
| 127:9 | 131:7 | normal 67:15 | 15:10,19 26:19 |
| names 122:14 | new $1: 12$ : | 67:23 94:8 | 46:20,23 47:13 |
| 122:22 | 3:10,18,23 4:6 | north 4:5 5:24 | 47:18 48:20,24 |
| ancy 12 | 4:13,19,25 5:6 | 8:11,22 10:17 | 49:5 51:16 |
| nathanial | 5:11,16,25 6:6 | 10:23 37:21 | 56:24 57:2,5 |
| 11:19 | 6:12,18,25 7:6 | 38:4 39:6,7 | 57:10,13,21,23 |
| haniel | 7:14 8:6,12,17 | 52:4,11,11,19 | 63:6,7 64:9 |
| 117:17 | 8:23 9:6,12,18 | 53:2,9,13 56:5 | 65:14 76:11 |
| ural | 9:25 10:6,12 | 59:14,21 60:23 | 77:5,15,17,23 |
| ar 71:21 | 10:18,24 11:6 | 60:23 61:15,15 | 78:9,9,16,18,23 |
| necessary | 11:12 16:5 | 62:2,15 65:4 | 81:22 82:6 |
| 127:12 | 51:5,12 61:25 | 70:25 77:18 | 83:19,19,23 |
| need 16:14 27:5 | 82:24 89:14 | 89:13,23,24 | 91:19,21,24 |
| $30: 1232: 2,6$ | 112:7 117:11 | 90:6,15 112:18 | 92:1 94:19,23 |
| 37:22 42:18 | 130:20 | 118:1 | 94:23 95:7,10 |
| 48:5 63:3 76:9 | newer 80:5 | northeast | 95:22 96:25 |
| 81:15 93:5 | newspaper | 53:11 | 97:1 100:6,21 |
| 101:13,17 | 125:17 | northern 10:8 | 100:25 105:12 |
| 104:5 126:13 | nine 17:6 19:19 | 45:24 46:2 | 108:21 109:2,6 |
| needed 43:9 | nodding 50:24 | northwest 4:18 | 109:11 114:12 |
| 54:7 | 75:13 | 5:5 8:5 10:5,11 | 114:14,16,17 |
| needing 43:2 | noddings 45:16 | 52:19 53:5 | 114:18 115:20 |
| needs 38:10 | non 115:20 | 56:6 65:7 | 119:1,1,3 |
| negligence | nonstandard | nos 1:9 | 120:10,10,11 |
| $95: 13,14 \text { 96:12 }$ | 52:1,7,24 56:3 | notary 2:7 | 120:16 122:15 |
| 102:2,2,10,18 | 56:9,11 57:8 | 130:19 | 122:17 123:1 |
| 102:23,24 | 57:18 58:3 |  | 124:12,21 |

[notice - once]

| 125:3 126:2 | 0 | ocd 42:16 | 97:12,19,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:17 | o 16:1 83:3,4 | 44:15 45:1 | 98:1 99:3,11 |
| noticed 46:25 | object 50:21 | 118:11 119:22 | 103:15 105:14 |
| 120:13 | objected 31:19 | ocd's 44:19 | 105:16 111:9 |
| notification | objecting 80:11 | ocean 7:9,17,20 | 111:13 118:3 |
| 102:21 115:24 | objection 21:14 | 29:3 46:9 50:2 | 119:14 |
| notified 96:5 | -37:19 41:21,22 | 74:21 76:2 | okay 17:19,25 |
| notify 100:15 | 88:20 89:1 | 116:23 | 18:19 21:18,21 |
| 102:16 | 117:4,21 | office 17:12 | 27:25 31:2 |
| november | objections | 20:10 22:20 | 32:5,18 34:9 |
| 55:17 78:13 | 33:24 40:2 | 33:13 34:13 | 39:1,12 41:24 |
| novo 10:8 | 42:9,23 45:15 | 41:14 46:6 | 42:19 44:4 |
| 45:23 46:2 | 47:24 49:11 | 47:3 49:22 | 58:16 60:20 |
| 74:15,17 88:22 | 75:12 111:13 | 74:11 88:7,18 | 61:5,9 62:1 |
| 88:25 92:17 | 117:2 | 111:1,7 116:18 | 63:22 64:6,7 |
| 111:9,12 | obligate 105:9 | officer 130:1,2 | 64:17 65:5,9 |
| 115:12 | 105:9 | offices 16:25 | 65:19 67:8,18 |
| number 16:17 | obligated 96: | offset 57:10 | 67:25 68:15 |
| 16:19 26:19 | 96:9 | oil 1:3,6 3:2,8 | 71:18 72:10,19 |
| 33:5 37:17 | obligation | 3:14 4:2 7:19 | 72:25 73:4,8 |
| 45:23 51:15 | 101:21 103:9 | 8:2 10:8 16:5 | 80:12 81:25 |
| 52:2 56:1 | 104:4,13,16,20 | 17:9,13 19:2 | 85:9 86:15 |
| 66:21 69:18 | 104.4,13 | 20:3 22:13 | 87:8 94:10,14 |
| 75:20 82:19 |  | 24:15,18 26:6 | 99:21 100:5 |
| 83:7 84:3 | 96:18 97:2 | 26:10 29:4,9 | 102:19 103:8 |
| 85:15 90:1 | 105:13 | 29:12 30:17 | 104:14 109:25 |
| 112:20 113:12 | observe 51:11 | 33:6 41:7,10 | 121:22 123:9 |
| 117:23 119:9 | 91:16 114:8 | 41:12 42:10 | 123:11,14 |
| numbers 17:7 | observer 11:15 | 44:12 45:23 | 125:2,7,8,14,19 |
| 35:18 36:9 | obviously | 46:2 48:14 | 125:20,25 |
| 64:10 65:13 | 66:14 70:3 | 50:3,4 58:17 | 126:4,11 128:6 |
| numerical | 78:22 101:7,7 | 74:15,18 75:21 | old $67: 11,12$ |
| 54:20 | 126:12 | 80:6 88:23,25 | older 80:2 |
|  |  | 92:17 95:5 | once 22:13 42:1 |
|  |  | 96:2,7,10 | 50:20 76:18 |

[once - paid]

| 102:16 110:10 ones $59: 11$ $86: 13,14$ open $73: 17$ $79: 787: 22$ $116: 1$ operated $77: 22$ $85: 14$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { options } 38: 11 \\ \text { oral } 26: 13 \\ 27: 13 \\ \text { order } 36: 12,22 \\ 42: 250: 15,16 \\ 52: 6,23 \\ 54: 21 \\ 69: 10 ~ 70: 15 \\ 99: 4 \\ 117: 24 \end{array}$ | overlapping $42: 354: 5$ $60: 13 \quad 77: 18,22$ 80:11 overlaps $81: 9$ override 124:13 overrides | $125: 4,6$ ownership $83: 11 \quad 103: 5,9$ $103: 15 \quad 118: 14$ 118:15 120:1 owns $32: 1$ oxy $78: 11,17$ $122: 12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| operating 4:21 | 119:10 | 124:15 | p |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 27: 1611: 8 \\ & 18: 6,922: 22 \end{aligned}$ | orientation 60:3,16 71:7 $80: 2581: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { overriding } 48: 2 \\ & 55: 6,12,13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { p } 3: 1,14: 1,1 \\ 5: 1,16: 1,17: 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 22:24 29:4 | 80:25 81:7 | 91:5 103:6 | 7:1 8:1,1 9:1,1 |
| 46:8,10 49:25 | 118:7 119:18 | 113:22 | 10:1,1 11:1,1 |
| 50:3 58:13 | original 47:7 | overrule | 16:1 |
| 74:14,20,22 | 48:25 54:4 | 100:14 | p.a. 5:14 9:4 |
| 76:3 116:15,19 | originally $38: 3$ | oversee 100:10 | p.o. $3: 17,22$ |
| 116:22,24 | 41:21 | 100:14 | 6.o. $6: 177: 13$ 9:11 |
| operations 98:7 | orthodox 118:9 | own 39:3 85:18 | 9:17 11:5 |
| operator 99:6 | 119:20 | 100:5 102:13 | pa 4:17 5:4 8:4 |
| opinion 107:9 | outcome 43:120 | 104:11 105:8 | 10:4,10 |
| opportunity | 130:15 131:12 | owner 11:17 | package 54:9 |
| 37:20 103:4 | outline 59:9 | 7:22 89:6 | 77:17 |
| 104:5 106:3,4 | outlined 51:10 | 99:23 100:25 | packet 83:6 |
| 106:5 | outlines 54:15 | 101:3 108:18 | 123:10 |
| oppose $31: 24$ | outlining 54:24 outs 91:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { owner's 96:6 } \\ \text { 103:14 } \end{gathered}$ | padilla 5:13,14 |
| 32:3 33:25 | outs 91:16 | 103:14 | 9:3,4 18:17,18 |
| $42: 1075: 9$ opposed 37.15 | 114:8 | owners 48:2 | 34:7,8,23 |
| opposed 37:15 | outside 62:25 | 55:3,7,10,13 | 35:25 36:23 |
| 38:12 | 107:12 | 57:10 76:15 | page 51:8 |
| opposing 38:5 | outstanding | 78:3 91:4,5 | 57:12,14 77:17 |
| 111:18 | 30:23 | 100:14 108:4 | 123:7,22 |
| oppositions | overhead 76:19 | 113:22 119:2 | 124:10,11 |
| 95:12 | overkill 83:25 | 120:11,12 | pages 55:25 |
| optimal 59:17 | overlap 35:8,12 | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 9,11123: 6 \\ & 123: 12,24 \end{aligned}$ | paid 98:10 |

[paper - point]

| paper $24: 7$ | $92: 1395: 23$ | pending $28: 4$ | ph $54: 1476: 13$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $28: 10$ | $100: 20,21$ | $43: 12,17$ | $76: 2589: 19$ |
| papers $26: 14$ | $101: 23107: 11$ | penetrations | $90: 22112: 11$ |
| paperwork | $115: 24117: 1$ | $121: 18$ | $115: 12120: 13$ |
| $62: 23$ | $130: 11,14$ | people $17: 2$ | physically $47: 2$ |
| paragraph | $131: 8,11$ | $67: 4123: 1$ | physics $127: 16$ |
| $54: 1685: 15$ | partners $9: 14$ | $124: 11$ | $127: 22128: 1$ |
| $120: 14$ | $11: 240: 18,20$ | peralta $4: 24$ | piece $24: 7$ |
| paragraphs | $42: 582: 12,15$ | percent $32: 1$ | $28: 10$ |
| $64: 9$ | party $25: 2$ | $67: 15,15,17,22$ | pin $43: 20$ |
| parenthetical | $41: 2544: 9$ | $67: 2269: 18$ | pinch $91: 16$ |
| $65: 11$ | $48: 557: 15$ | $95: 2496: 3$ | $114: 8$ |
| parker $56: 14$ | $83: 11,21,22$ | $108: 10$ | piques $80: 15$ |
| part $32: 144: 23$ | $95: 21100: 7,16$ | perfect $60: 20$ | place $24: 25$ |
| $50: 1451: 3,4$ | $100: 22107: 9$ | $63: 970: 15,21$ | $44: 17$ |
| $51: 1294: 6$ | $107: 13108: 16$ | $85: 22121: 24$ | placed $78: 20$ |
| $107: 20$ | $109: 16,17$ | $127: 25$ | plan $44: 14,17$ |
| participate | $111: 20$ | perfectly $79: 25$ | plans $31: 25$ |
| $31: 4$ | paseo $4: 24$ | period $48: 24$ | $77: 2$ |
| particular | past $65: 24$ | $78: 2181: 22$ | plat $51: 17$ |
| $30: 2131: 1$ | $96: 22$ | permian $8: 2,8$ | $52: 15$ |
| $35: 12,1356: 1$ | paths $84: 19$ | $29: 10,1230: 17$ | playing $59: 16$ |
| $61: 2064: 20$ | pathways | $33: 1040: 21,25$ | pleadings |
| $95: 3,21$ | $121: 21$ | permit $42: 11$ | $26: 20$ |
| parties $19: 3$ | paula $10: 21$ | $42: 16$ | please $16: 13$ |
| $21: 2,5,19$ | $74: 1088: 6$ | person $50: 13$ | $89: 10$ |
| $24: 2326: 16,23$ | $94: 1595: 20$ | $99: 1,6,7$ | plot $83: 10$ |
| $29: 2130: 15$ | $96: 20104: 2$ | personal $97: 13$ | plus $48: 25$ |
| $32: 933: 20$ | $110: 25$ | persons $24: 20$ | point $30: 142: 8$ |
| $36: 1139: 18$ | pdf $51: 957: 12$ | $26: 1233: 16$ | $44: 2162: 6$ |
| $43: 844: 3$ | penalties $98: 8,9$ | $40: 1376: 5$ | $76: 9,1883: 8$ |
| $47: 1255: 23$ | $98: 12,1699: 7$ | $82: 1784: 8$ | $85: 4105: 19,24$ |
| $56: 11,2557: 2$ | penalty $95: 25$ | $89: 4$ | $106: 20112: 3$ |
| $57: 2558: 1,11$ | $96: 1,4,4$ | petroleum $9: 8$ | $118: 14121: 17$ |
| $63: 783: 13$ |  | $37: 1,4$ | $123: 15$ |
|  |  |  |  |

[policies - process]

| policies 96:7 | 108:13 109:22 | prepared 47:5 | pride 6:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97:19 101:25 | 111:20 113:14 | 77:10 131:3 | 28:16,18 29:15 |
| policy 96:10 | 118:1 119:12 | preparing 39:2 | 30:20,24 |
| 97:12,25 99:12 | poolings | 46:24 | pride's 30:18 |
| 105:13,15 | 100:18 | present 11:14 | prior 45:22 |
| pool 53:7,16 | portion 101:1,4 | 37:20 39:15,24 | 49:2 67:12 |
| 55:7,24 82:20 | position 30:25 | 40:1 42:17 | 130:5 |
| 89:17,18,19,20 | 44:19,23 67:6 | 51:23 60:8 | probably 33:15 |
| 89:21 90:3,11 | 67:19 96:9 | 103:4 110:11 | 37:22 69:15 |
| 90:13 100:8,20 | 98:4 106:20 | presentation | 79:24 |
| 104:18 108:1,3 | positions 26:22 | 37:15 43:23 | problem 36:8 |
| 108:18,23 | 26:24 96:18 | 51:1 | 100:6 |
| 109:5 112:4,4 | possible 63:24 | presented 49:5 | problems 29:18 |
| 112:9,10,13,16 | 77:13 | 51:22 128:20 | 70:7 |
| 112:22,22 | post 47:3 | presenting | procedures |
| 113:5,7,7 | postal 78:12 | 117:9 | 77:25 95:4 |
| 118:3 119:14 | potential 45:11 | pretenses 96:21 | proceed 39:20 |
| pooled 48:5 | 59:18 87:11 | 96:23 97:6 | 40:3 69:1 76:6 |
| 57:15,15,25 | 118:23 | 104:2 | 82:18 89:10 |
| 76:15 78:3,6 | potentially | pretty 16:19 | 106:6 111:23 |
| 83:11,21 | 43:22 | 36:12 60:24 | 117:7 |
| 100:12,20,22 | practice 97:14 | prevent 98:12 | proceeding 2:5 |
| 101:2,5 107:14 | precedent | 98:16 119:7 | 38:12 102:12 |
| 107:18 108:3,5 | 98:25 | 120:20 127:4 | 103:25 111:14 |
| 108:5,7,17 | prefer 21:24 | 127:18 | 129:5 131:4 |
| 109:2,9,11,17 | 110:3 | prevention | proceedings |
| 110:20 122:9 | preferable 40:1 | 119:7 120:20 | 16:12 26:18 |
| pooling 13:4,18 | preference | previous | 130:3,4,6,8 |
| 52:1,7,24 | 106:17,25 | 112:13 115:16 | 131:6 |
| 54:10 55:4 | 107:3 | 121:11 | process 94:6,8 |
| 58:3 63:2,4 | preferred | previously | 98:22 101:10 |
| 77:7 78:7 89:7 | 80:25 81:1 | 54:14 68:24 | 102:14,15,16 |
| 90:20 94:16 | prehearing | 90:23 113:16 | 102:19,20 |
| 95:7,21 98:5 | 36:12,21 42:2 | 117:18 | 107:12 |
| 103:16 104:19 | 50:15,16 54:7 |  |  |

[produce - questions]

| produce $98: 9$ producing $76: 20,22$ production $4: 8$ $5: 219: 20$ $10: 20 \quad 17: 23$ $20: 731: 11$ $34: 1035: 24$ | proposals 55:19 $123: 13$ propose $58: 2$ proposed $52: 20$ $55: 556: 21$ $58: 263: 8$ $76: 1185: 16$ $89: 25 \quad 93: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { providing } \\ & 86: 19119: 22 \\ & \text { provisions } \\ & 67: 12,1299: 4 \\ & \text { proximity } \\ & 42: 1168: 4 \\ & 69: 2071: 15 \\ & 72: 2,9 \end{aligned}$ | pursuant $77: 24$ put $22: 6,6$ $36: 11,21 \quad 43: 11$ $43: 2063: 17$ 69:5 puts 100:16 putting $62: 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40:25 49:19 | 112:20 | public 2.7 | q |
| 74:8,12 77:4 | proposes 101:2 | pubic $26: 19$ $664: 11$ $66: 4$ 130:19 | qualified 130:7 <br> qualifies 54:16 |
| 88:4,8 110:23 | 101:5 | 66:4 130:19 | qualify 70:15 |
| 111:2 productivity | proposing | publication | quarter 52:19 |
| productivity | 121:12 prospect 77.21 | $13: 948: 22$ $49 \cdot 157.24$ | 53:5,11,12,14 |
| 80:3,6 | prospect 77:21 | 49:1 57:24 | 53:20,21,22 |
| professional's | protection | 78:21 79:4 | 56:6 61:13,13 |
| 14:4 15:16 | 119:6 120:19 | 81:19,21 91:24 | 62:12,12,14,14 |
| 83:9 | prove 99:20 | 92:1 114:16,18 | 65:7 67:16,16 |
| progress 19:5 | 104:4,8 | 119:1,3 120:10 | 67:23,23 77:3 |
| project 55:17 | provide 44:23 | 120:16 122:20 | quarters 62:5 |
| promote 127:5 | 54:18 79:1 | 125:17 | question 51:10 |
| proof 104:9 | 103:3 107:16 | publish 78:16 | 56:17 60:5 |
| proper 95:4,4 | 110:12,13 | published | 61:5 63:10,16 |
| 95:10 102:21 | 122:21 | 48:23 78:18,21 | 63:23 93:19 |
| 108:20 109:2 | provided 54:8 | 83:25 91:25 | 98:22 110:19 |
| properly 96:5 | 55:20 56:24 | 92:1 114:16,18 | questions 24:1 |
| 110:20 | 57:3 64:9 | pull 35:20 | 38:17,19 39:7 |
| property 102:4 | 90:19 100:21 | 36:15 100:24 | 58:12,14 59:2 |
| 102:5,7 103:5 | 105:23 106:9 | pulled 108:4 | 59:4,11 64:3 |
| 104:14 107:10 | 112:1 113:13 | purple 108:4 | 73:12 79:8,12 |
| 108:8,18,19,24 | 122:14,17 | 90:12 113:7 | 79:14,17,19,19 |
| proposal 55:16 | 124:2 | purpose 1:7 | 81:12 84:9,20 |
| 76:16 83:12 | provides 56:10 | 98:5 | 87:16,18 92:3 |
| 91:6 113:23 | 56:15 118:25 | purposes 46:23 | 92:8,14,15,18 |
| 118:17,18 | 120:9 | 48:20 59:25 | 114:20 115:5,7 |
| 120:2,4 |  | 67:7 | 115:9,10,11,13 |
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[questions - request]

| 121:3,6,25 | realize 75:23 | 90:25 92:5 | relate 64:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125:3 128:18 | 78:15 | 93:13 106:9,21 | related 44:21 |
| quickly 16:19 | really 51:4 | 108:2 110:18 | 83:10 130:11 |
| 36:15 | 59:11,17 | 111:18 112:1,2 | 131:7 |
| quiet 17:15 | 104:18 127:17 | 113:18 114:22 | relates 71:15 |
| 46:16 58:23 | reapply 69:7 | 116:1,5 117:16 | relation 42:12 |
| quite 43:7 67:3 | reason 65:23 | 117:20 120:2 | relative 130:13 |
| r | 66:6 80:7,14 | 120:24 128:20 | 131:10 |
| r 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 | 104:10 | 130:9 131:5 | release 13:16 |
| 7:18:19:1 | reasonable | recorded 16:12 | 76:18 |
| 10:1 11:1 16:1 | 87:9 | 130:6 | relevant 63:11 |
| raise $44: 2$ | recall 56:2 | recording | reluctantly |
| 121:11 | 65:22 70:8 | 130:8 131:4 | 34:25 |
| raised 16:10 | 71:4 | rectangle 71:8 | relying 65:12 |
| 63:19 94:17 | receipt 83:24 | 72:5 | remaining 25:1 |
| 95:5,6 | receive $26: 24$ | rectangles | 25:9 62:22 |
| range $82: 23$ | 49:2 78:24 | 70:11,15,21 | remediating |
| 89:14 112:7 | 79:5 | rectangular | 44:16 |
| 117:11 | received 39:5 | 71:6,10,14 | remote 2:5 |
| rankin 97:9 | 39:10 47:15 | recurring | render 98:4 |
| rates 76:20 | 48:10,25 49:15 | 98:13,17 | reoccurring |
| rather 21:6,7 | 73:20 82:9 | reduced 130:6 | 98:19 |
| rcx $12: 2$ | 83:23 87:25 | referenced 23:9 | repeat 98:18 |
| rdx 12:2 | 106:12 116:8 | reflected 47:14 | report 77:25 |
| reach 124:6 | 120:12 128:22 | regarding | 120:14 |
| reached 21:3,3 | recently $38: 25$ | 30:19 47:18 | reported 2:7 |
| $30: 20 \text { 33:21 }$ | 107:8 | 121:4 124:3 | reporter 16:13 |
| 122:6 | reckless 98:21 | regardless 97:3 | 74:3,4 |
| read 45:4 6 | recklessness | 108:5 | reports 124:20 |
| 71:14 | 97:16 | regular 62:5,5 | represent |
| ready 38:22,23 | record 44:2 | 62:11 | 41:20 |
| 39:20 110:12 | 47:19 48:8 | regulation 99:4 | request 27:3 |
| realeza 11:15 | 49:7,12 60:1 | regulations | 30:21 37:20 |
| realeza 11.15 | 73:15,16 82:4 | 100:17 | 87:9 94:2 |
| 4 | 84:3 87:21,22 |  | 99:13 |

[requested - rules]

| requested | 58:20 59:20 | $30: 2,632: 13$ | roehl $4: 175: 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $29: 1965: 24$ | $108: 4$ | $32: 2034: 5$ | $8: 410: 4,10$ |
| requesting $45: 1$ | respect $54: 3$ | $35: 17,2236: 9$ | rolling $32: 12$ |
| $76: 1995: 24$ | $99: 3$ | $36: 17,2540: 6$ | rose $3: 416: 8,9$ |
| requests $56: 9$ | respectfully | $40: 1645: 9,14$ | $16: 21,2249: 21$ |
| require $55: 3$ | $99: 13$ | $46: 15,1950: 20$ | $51: 359: 4,5,8$ |
| required $94: 12$ | response $39: 13$ | $59: 265: 5,7$ | $60: 2062: 1,23$ |
| requirements | responsibility | $66: 267: 3$ | $63: 9,15,22$ |
| $82: 794: 19,22$ | $103: 15$ | $68: 2269: 4,8$ | $74: 1079: 17,18$ |
| $94: 2395: 4,7,9$ | responsibly | $69: 11,11,18$ | $80: 1281: 10$ |
| $95: 11,2396: 3$ | $98: 2$ | $71: 1972: 8,11$ | $84: 9,1085: 2,4$ |
| $96: 2597: 1$ | rest $129: 2$ | $73: 1,1284: 14$ | $85: 2286: 7,17$ |
| $98: 25100: 17$ | restriction | $87: 2088: 14$ | $87: 16,1788: 6$ |
| $105: 15109: 2$ | $44: 21$ | $89: 399: 23$ | $110: 25115: 5,6$ |
| $115: 21$ | result $35: 9,14$ | $100: 24,24$ | $116: 12121: 6,7$ |
| requires | $107: 13$ | $101: 6102: 22$ | $121: 16,24$ |
| $115: 24$ | resulted $35: 8$ | $102: 25104: 4$ | $126: 12$ |
| resolution | results $21: 16$ | $105: 11,24$ | rounding $53: 15$ |
| $30: 20$ | retain $99: 7$ | $106: 6110: 2,7$ | route $68: 18$ |
| resolve $25: 4$ | retained $12: 10$ | $112: 12123: 2$ | row $69: 14$ |
| $32: 1040: 8$ | $12: 1913: 10,20$ | $124: 1,10$ | $72: 18$ |
| $42: 16$ | $14: 8,1915: 11$ | rights $96: 6$ | royalty $48: 2$ |
| resolved $25: 10$ | $15: 20$ | $101: 19102: 4,5$ | $55: 7,12,13$ |
| $40: 243: 25$ | review $38: 10$ | $102: 7,10,13$ | $91: 5103: 6,6$ |
| resolving $24: 24$ | $38: 16124: 23$ | $119: 7120: 20$ | $108: 15109: 21$ |
| $116: 2$ | reviewed $54: 24$ | $127: 5$ | $113: 22123: 4$ |
| resource $10: 20$ | reviewing $39: 6$ | risk $69: 3,5$ | rule $61: 370: 9$ |
| $74: 8,12$ | revised $38: 8,10$ | road $29: 20$ | $99: 4$ |
| resources $1: 2$ | $38: 1778: 5$ | $32: 11$ | rules $61: 11,22$ |
| $3: 75: 8,106: 2$ | $128: 18$ | rock $74: 1475: 9$ | $62: 1967: 12$ |
| $8: 199: 218: 16$ | right $21: 10$ | rockwood $6: 2$ | $70: 171: 5,10$ |
| $18: 1820: 18,21$ | $22: 823: 4,15$ | $24: 11,14,21$ | $94: 2596: 6,10$ |
| $24: 11,1433: 14$ | $23: 1624: 21$ | $25: 10$ | $97: 11,2599: 11$ |
| $34: 6,846: 4,12$ | $25: 526: 128: 3$ | rodriguez $75: 9$ | $102: 1105: 14$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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[rumors - sections]

| rumors 16:23 | 106:22 107:15 | savage 4:10 6:3 | scary 24:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| run 48:24 | 107:24 108:2,6 | 6:9,22 7:3 8:14 | scheme 95:11 |
| 59:14 71:9 | 108:9,20,25 | 9:22 11:9 | schill 4:11 6:4 |
| 76:7 | 109:10,14,18 | 17:21,22 19:11 | 6:10,23 7:4 |
| S | 109:23,25 | 19:22 24:12,13 | 8:15 9:23 |
| S 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 | 110:6 111:17 | 24:22 25:15,20 | 11:10 17:22 |
| $7: 18: 19: 1$ | 111:19,22 | 26:3,4,17 | 24:13 26:4 |
| 10:1 11:1 12:5 | 112:1 115:14 | 27:15 28:1,7 | 40:24 88:18 |
| 13:1 14: $115: 1$ | 115:17,19 | 28:12,21,22 | 111:7 116:19 |
| 16:1 | 116:9 | 29:24 30:11 | scope 127:1 |
| safe 66:15 | samaniego's | 31:9 34:16,17 | screen 52:22 |
| 68:18 73:6 | 93:19 | 35:7,19 36:10 | screens 50:24 |
| sage 81:5 90:12 | sample 55:15 | 36:13,24 40:23 | scrolled 85:8 |
| 113:7 | 91:5,19 113:23 | 40:24 42:22 | second 39:13 |
| saint 3:9 5:15 | 114:12 | 88:16,17 92:15 | 77:15 |
| 9:5 | san 87:4 | 111:5,6 115:10 | section 35:13 |
| salt 95:25 | sanctions 98:7 | 116:16,18 | 52:10,17,18,20 |
| saltwater 84:16 | santa 2:6 3:10 | 117:8 121:8,9 | 53:4,12,21,23 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { salvidrez } & 3: 6\end{array}$ | 3:18,23 4:6,13 | 121:14,22 | 56:7,19 61:8 |
| samaniego | 4:25 5:11,16 | 122:2,5,17 | 61:13 62:11,13 |
| 11:17 89:5 | 5:25 6:6,12,18 | 123:2,5,9,16 | 62:14 63:25 |
| 92:21,22 93:3 | 6:25 7:6,14 | 124:1,14 125:7 | 72:2,14 77:1,3 |
| 93:6,10,16,21 | 8:12,17,23 9:6 | 125:13,15,19 | 77:19,19,20,20 |
| 93:25 94:10,14 | 9:12,18,25 | 125:21 126:4,7 | 79:21 80:23 |
| 97:21,22,23 | 10:18,24 11:6 | 126:14,20,25 | 82:23 83:3,5 |
| 99:17,19,24 | 11:12 17:12 | 128:23 | 83:16 91:11,12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100: 9,13,23 \end{aligned}$ | 20:9 22:19 | saw 54:19 | 91:13,14 114:3 |
| $101: 9,15,20$ | 33:12 34:12 | saying 66:13 | 114:4,5,6 |
| 102:4,6, | 41:14 46:6 | 68:7 86:22 | 117:10 118:1 |
| 103:1,8,13,19 | 49:22 74:10 | 107:24 109:10 | 119:12 |
| 103:23 104:1,7 | 88:7,18 111:1 | 121:17 | sections 51:13 |
| 104:12,15,20 | 111:7 116:18 | says 100:23 | 51:14 52:12 |
| 104:23 105:1,6 | satisfaction | 24:12 | 53:2,3,10,19 |
| 105:12,18,20 | 124:25 | scale 27:18 | 61:7 62:3,12 |
| 105:25 106:20 |  |  | 66:10 89:13,24 |

[sections - singular]

| 90:6,16 112:6 | seen 86:18 | sets 42:483:19 | showing 56:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112:18 113:1 | self 31:25 54:13 | 97:18 | 110:4 118:22 |
| 113:10 | 56:15 91:19 | setting 21:14 | shown 93:4 |
| see 16:10 17:4 | 114:10,11,11 | 25:11 81:8 | shows 56:17 |
| 20:23 27:5 | 118:13,21 | settle 101:13 | 78:5 94:19 |
| 36:6 43:10 | 119:25 120:7 | 107:11 | 95:22 |
| 45:15 50:23 | send 28:10 | settled 22:4 | shred 105:24 |
| 51:9 52:3,9,13 | 36:10,16 | 43:8 | sic 78:8 125:11 |
| 52:17,22 57:8 | 103:21 104:21 | settling 21:4 | 125:12,18 |
| 57:14 59:12 | 104:21 | several 50:23 | side 85:11 |
| 61:16 62:10,11 | sense 36:1 | 84:15 128:11 | sight 17:4 |
| 62:13 63:2,9 | 125:4 | shaheen 4:22 | sign 47:878:4 |
| 64:19 74:3 | sent 38:3,7 | 18:7,8 | signature |
| 75:4,12 78:10 | 47:13 76:16 | shaking 107:23 | 130:17 131:14 |
| 86:15 93:7 | 83:20 94:20 | shallow 127:16 | signed 47:14 |
| 94:1 116:5 | 104:9 123:12 | 128:3 | 96:20,23 |
| 127:9,20 | 124:22 | shanor 3:16 | 103:24 |
| seek 51:25 54:4 | series 23:10 | 7:12 9:10,16 | significant |
| 56:3 | 51:4,14 55:1 | 11:4 17:12 | 106:24 |
| seeking 57:17 | 55:25 57:20 | 24:18 31:17 | silverback 11:8 |
| 80:7 89:17,21 | 61:17 | 33:8 37:4 | 116:14,19 |
| 90:3,11 100:8 | service 119:2 | 40:20 82:14 | 117:7,23 |
| 112:4,9,15,21 | 120:16 | shape 71:6 | 118:10 119:10 |
| 113:5 | service's 78:12 | shaped 66:23 | 122:6,21 124:3 |
| seeks 52:6,23 | set 19:20 21:6 | share 57:5 | similar 60:12 |
| 53:6,16 55:7 | 22:9 23:19 | sharon 4:22 | 60:24 |
| 55:24 82:20 | 24:1,6 25:24 | 18:8 | simon 52:21 |
| 117:23 119:10 | 27:3 29:16,19 | shift 51:18 | 54:1,1 |
| seem 26:22 | 30:3 31:7 32:6 | 52:16 | simply 107:17 |
| 27:1 29:25 | 32:11,25 35:10 | shipyon 120:13 | 123:23 |
| seeming 29:22 | 36:18 38:15,20 | show 47:11 | single 54:1 |
| seems 29:25 | 39:16 40:7,14 | 54:22 103:9,15 | 103:19,20 |
| 37:22 63:23 | 42:15 45:10,17 | 104:13 105:7 | 105:23 |
| 87:9 121:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:5 57:12 } \\ & 98: 24 \text { 126:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 106:22 | singular 61:13 |

[sir - standard]

| sir 30:5 36:23 | sounds 28:2 | 61:4,8,21 63:4 | 89:18,19,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50:18 58:25 | 72:5 | 63:5,8 64:24 | 90:4,8 91:11 |
| 73:10 127:10 | south 3:9 5:15 | 66:14,22 67:1 | 112:10,11,16 |
| 127:15,22 | 9:5 37:17 38:4 | 67:8,10,14,24 | 112:22,23 |
| 128:5,8 | 38:22 39:15,25 | 68:3,5,5,12 | 113:2,2 114:2 |
| sisk 4:17 5:4 | 40:4 51:19,19 | 69:16,21 70:1 | 114:4 |
| 8:4 10:4,10 | 51:19 53:1,5 | 70:10,14,16 | springs 59:22 |
| site 16:16 78:12 | 53:10,13,19,19 | 71:2,24 72:17 | 90:7 112:19 |
| sitting 17:3 | 53:22 59:14,21 | 73:1 82:22,24 | spur 11:2 82:12 |
| situation 61:25 | 60:24 62:4,7 | 85:16 87:13 | 82:15,18,20 |
| six 97:12 | 62:16,16,17 | 89:23,25 90:5 | 84:14 85:14,17 |
| sixty 117:24 | 65:1,1,2,2,3,6 | 90:7,8,15,17 | 87:1,5 121:12 |
| size 70:7 | 66:20 70:25 | 112:17,19,24 | squares 85:24 |
| sized 67:15 | 77:18 82:23 | 113:2,9,11 | 86:3,5 |
| skills 130:10 | 89:14,19 90:6 | 115:23 117:25 | stand 60:19 |
| 131:6 | 112:6,7,12,18 | 119:11 | 79:20 80:2,20 |
| slowly 16:14 | 112:25,25 | speak 16:13 | 80:21,22 81:1 |
| solution 107:6 | 113:9 117:11 | 46:18 54:16 | 92:8 121:3 |
| somebody | 118:1 119:12 | speakers 24:9 | 127:23 |
| 80:18 | 119:12 | speaking 80:1 | standard 53:7 |
| soon 77:13 79:5 | southeast 53:20 | spear 11:15 | 53:7,8,16,24 |
| sooner 25:14 | 83:2,4 | 41:20 | 54:3 56:14 |
| 25:15 | southwest | specific 94:1,24 | 57:4 60:25 |
| soonest 37:23 | 53:12,14,21,22 | specifically | 61:4,8 62:12 |
| sorry 38:15 | 83:2,4 | 85:21 | 62:20 66:22 |
| 41:25 46:16 | space 52:1 53:8 | spelled 122:13 | 67:9 68:2,5,12 |
| 75:23 85:3 | 71:7 | sperling 4:17 | 68:21 69:16,21 |
| 114:11 115:12 | spacing 32:2 | 5:4 8:4 10:4,10 | 70:10,14,15 |
| 123:10 | 42:3 45:4 52:8 | 37:8 41:5 | 71:1,23 72:17 |
| sort 65:11 67:1 | 52:24 53:17,24 | 74:17 88:25 | 82:21 89:22 |
| 71:15 126:18 | 54:3,5,25 55:2 | 111:12 | 90:4,14 112:16 |
| sorted 79:22 | 55:5 56:4,10 | spreadsheet | 112:24 113:8 |
| sought 54:5 | 56:11 57:4,8 | 47:4 | 117:24 118:22 |
| 56:12 | $57: 1858: 4$ | spring 59:13,24 <br> 60:7,8,13,18 | 119:10 120:8 |

[standardized - surface]

| standardized | $114: 12118: 13$ | stress $60: 16$ | sue $101: 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $115: 23$ | $118: 21,24$ | $80: 25$ | suffice $30: 13$ |
| standards $97: 4$ | $119: 25120: 7$ | strictly $81: 7$ | suggestions |
| 97:17 | $120: 15121: 17$ | string $91: 12$ | $25: 13$ |
| standing | $123: 19$ | $127: 17$ | suit $99: 6$ |
| 106:23 | states $77: 2$ | structural | suite $4: 5,185: 5$ |
| start $17: 918: 5$ | stating $94: 15$ | $56: 1983: 16$ | $5: 248: 5,11,22$ |
| $19: 120: 3,25$ | $94: 1896: 24$ | structure $56: 16$ | $10: 5,11,17,23$ |
| $23: 724: 21$ | $122: 20$ | $76: 2577: 16$ | summary $28: 5$ |
| $29: 1537: 12$ | status $16: 17$ | $83: 1591: 11,13$ | $28: 955: 22$ |
| 42:20 45:21 | $19: 6,2021: 7$ | $114: 3,5$ | $83: 1291: 3$ |
| $58: 11,1364: 13$ | $25: 2,3,8,11,24$ | stumble $64: 21$ | $113: 21$ |
| $66: 13,1879: 12$ | $26: 15,2029: 19$ | sub $83: 1591: 2$ | supplement |
| $92: 13,1493: 19$ | $33: 1738: 16,20$ | $91: 10,11,13$ | $82: 587: 10$ |
| started $60: 9$ | $39: 1957: 1,6$ | $92: 4113: 20$ | $118: 18120: 3$ |
| $66: 2092: 23$ | $57: 10,21$ | $114: 2,3,5,21$ | supplemental |
| $94: 22101: 10$ | statute $105: 15$ | $120: 23$ | $12: 8,1747: 9$ |
| $102: 15,17,20$ | statutes $94: 25$ | subject $78: 7$ | $47: 10,17,18$ |
| starting $55: 17$ | $96: 6,1097: 12$ | $93: 17108: 13$ | $49: 385: 20$ |
| state $1: 116: 24$ | $97: 2599: 2,11$ | $109: 8$ | $87: 23121: 16$ |
| $43: 582: 25$ | $101: 25105: 13$ | sublots $62: 4$ | $122: 3,12$ |
| $98: 23109: 3,10$ | straight $62: 10$ | submit $48: 5,6$ | $123: 18125: 5$ |
| $109: 16,19$ | $72: 1199: 17$ | $77: 1378: 23$ | suppose $81: 2$ |
| $115: 20130: 20$ | straightforward | $79: 2,2,4$ | supposed |
| state.nm.us | $56: 15$ | submitted $78: 5$ | $100: 18102: 1$ |
| $3: 11,12$ | stranded $59: 20$ | $83: 794: 4,6$ | sure $43: 1259: 5$ |
| stated $95: 20$ | stratigraphic | $96: 1697: 2$ | $60: 2163: 15,22$ |
| $107: 7$ | $91: 12,14114: 4$ | substantiate | $64: 1565: 18$ |
| statement $13: 6$ | $114: 6$ | $112: 2$ | $69: 273: 23$ |
| $13: 15,1614: 17$ | stratigraphical | success $41: 1$ | $98: 1104: 24$ |
| $15: 954: 7,13$ | $56: 19$ | successful | $111: 25112: 12$ |
| $55: 2156: 16$ | street $4: 12,18$ | $43: 17$ | $124: 18,23$ |
| $76: 12,2491: 19$ | $5: 56: 5,11,24$ | successor $4: 8$ | surface $83: 1$ |
| $95: 22100: 10$ | $7: 58: 5,169: 24$ | $9: 2017: 23$ | $86: 20121: 21$ |
| $104: 2114: 11$ | $10: 5,1111: 11$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[survey - thought]

[threat - undersized]

| threat 99:8 | 80:23 | transcriptionist | uncertainty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| threatens 99:2 | told 22:3 | 130:7 | 72:7 |
| three 17:2 42:2 | 107:11 | transpired | uncommitted |
| 88:10,11 | top 17:9 52:22 | 38:25 | 82:20 89:17 |
| 115:16 119:15 | 69:14 96:4 | treating 66:13 | 90:3,11 91:4 |
| thursday 2:2 | 108:10 | tremaine 3:3 | 112:9,22,23 |
| 16:3 | torque 127:17 | 26:8,9 27:7,17 | 113:5,21 |
| time 2:3 23:7 | total 95:8 97:12 | 28:13 41:9,9 | 119:13 122:9 |
| 26:21 27:15,17 | 97:15 | 44:13 45:6 | 122:11 |
| 38:10 39:9 | touch 32:9 | true 16:24 | undeliverable |
| 42:1 43:24 | 44:24 | 65:12 130:9 | 120:12 |
| 44:19 53:25 | toward 19:4 | 131:5 | under 17:1 |
| 73:25 80:10,24 | towards 97:16 | try 17:16 45:20 | 47:20 48:9 |
| 92:7 95:2 96:3 | 122:7 | trying 32:10 | 49:7,13 54:4 |
| 104:11,11 | township 66:20 | 68:24 71:15 | 55:8 56:22 |
| 106:24 114:23 | 70:4 82:23 | 107:25 | 58:7 73:16 |
| 120:22 122:19 | 89:14 112:7 | turn 17:16 | 84:4 87:21 |
| 126:6,8,9 | 117:11 | 45:12 67:9 | 92:6 96:11,23 |
| timely 47:12 | track 23:18 | two 17:2 22:3,4 | 98:23 102:10 |
| 83:25 91:20,24 | 43:9 55:1,9 | 31:3 42:3 43:8 | 102:17,22 |
| 114:13,16 | 71:22 72:3 | 51:25 56:2 | 104:1 114:23 |
| 119:2,3 120:11 | tracking 47:1,1 | 58:2 103:20 | 120:25 |
| 120:17 | tract 67:16 | 107:16 120:12 | underlying |
| times 97:13 | 71:1,16 76:14 | 123:24 126:15 | 51:24 82:21 |
| timing 38:24 | 91:3 113:21 | tyler 76:25 | 89:22 90:14 |
| today 16:7,17 | tracts 55:2 56:8 | type 57:16 66:5 | 112:23 113:8 |
| 17:5 29:17 | 63:7,13 66:23 | 127:21 | 118:3 119:14 |
| 38:23 51:22,23 | 67:7,14,24 | typewriting | undermine |
| 60:9 84:20 | 70:13 71:21 | 130:7 | 96:17 |
| 128:11,20 | 72:15,17 83:11 | typo 65:6 | undermining |
| 129:2 | transcriber | u | 95:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { today's } \quad 16: 15 \\ 69: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 131:1 transcript | uic 85:11 uncertainties | $\begin{aligned} & \text { underneath } \\ & 57: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { together } 61: 6 \\ 62: 263: 17 \end{gathered}$ | 131:3,5 | $69: 1$ | undersized $69 \cdot 15$ |

[understand - want]

| understand | 112:17,19,25 | uphold 96:10 | videoconfere... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24:24 66:5 | 113:2,9,11 | use 68:4 72:2 | 2:1 3:3,5,6,15 |
| 81:15 93:25 | 115:23 117:25 | used 64:10 | 3:20 4:3,10,16 |
| 106:19 107:1 | 118:3,4 119:11 | useless 98:5 | 4:22 5:3,9,13 |
| 124:15,15 | 119:14,14 | using 65:13 | 5:19,22 6:3,9 |
| understandable | units 42:3 45:4 | 69:13,20 | 6:15,22 7:3,9 |
| 125:22 | 52:1 53:25 | usual 76:14,17 | 7:11,17,20 8:3 |
| understanding | 54:4,25 55:2,5 | 76:22 | 8:9,14,20 9:3,9 |
| 21:12 38:3,18 | 56:4 57:4,8 | usually 61:16 | 9:15,22 10:3,9 |
| 44:3 45:7 | 60:14 66:14 | 62:11 | 10:15,21 11:3 |
| 60:15 68:7 | 67:1,13,14 | utilized 56:18 | 11:9,16,18,20 |
| 114:24,24 | 68:5,12,13 | v | view 38:24 72:4 |
| 122:24 123:17 | 69:20 70:7,10 | v 9:8 37:1,4,12 | viewed 94:12 |
| unethical 97:10 | 77:18,19,23 | 38:3,5,7,19,23 | 127:2 |
| 97:14 98:11,13 | 80:2,2,11 | 39:13,20,22 | violate 99:2 |
| 98:14,15,17,17 | unknown 12:14 | vacated 50:15 | violates 99:2 |
| unique 51:6,12 | 12:15,16,18 | vance $10: 21$ | violating 96:2 |
| 51:21 61:18,24 | 13:19 122:19 | 74:9,10 88:5,6 | violation 95:5 |
| unit 32:2 52:8 | 122:20,23 | 88:12 89:11 | 100:17 |
| 52:25 53:8,17 | unleash 103:21 | 823 | violations 99:8 |
| 54:6 56:10,12 | unlocatable | 94:15 95:20 | 99:9 |
| 57:18 58:4 | 83:13 | 96:20 106:13 | violet 120:13 |
| 61:4,8,21 63:4 | unnecessary | 107:19 110:9 | viper 77:21 |
| 63:5,8 64:24 | 119:8 120:21 | 110:10,24,25 | voluntary |
| 66:22 67:8,10 | unorthodox | 111:24 116:11 | 122:7,7 |
| 67:24 68:3,5 | 119:21,23 | vance's 104:2 | w |
| 69:16,17,21 | unresolved | variety 127:3 | w2mp 77:11 |
| 70:1,14,16 | 26:23 | verbal 21:3,15 | wait 81:19 |
| 71:2,24 72:17 | unusual 51:14 | 23:9 | waiting 35:23 |
| 73:1 77:3 | 52:2 54:23 | verified 13:15 | 39:7 |
| 82:22,24 83:3 | update 118:15 | 76:12 | want 23:18 |
| 83:4 85:16 | 120:1 126:13 | versus 59:14 | 42:10 44:2 |
| 87:13 89:23,25 | updated $44: 23$ | 60:25 80:18 | 60:10 69:9 |
| 90:5,7,9,15,17 | 55:18 | vertical 84:15 | 81:9 85:18 |
| 94:16 108:3,4 |  | 87:12 | 88:10 103:2 |

[want - yesterday]

| 104:13 106:1,3 | 83:1 84:13 | witnesses | written 21:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114:25 124:18 | 85:10,11,18,25 | 117:22 | 70:9 |
| wanted 84:11 | 86:8 87:11 | wolf 59:12 | wrong 66:16 |
| wants 50:16 | 90:18 91:17 | wolfcamp | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| warrior 112:20 | 113:12 114:9 | 51:24 52:8,25 | $\text { x } \quad 12: 1,5 \quad 13: 1$ |
| 113:3,11 | 115:22 118:4,7 | 53:9,18 60:2,4 | $14: 1 \quad 15: 1$ |
| waste 104:11 | 118:9 119:8,15 | 60:9 77:12 |  |
| 119:7 120:20 | 119:18 120:21 | 81:5 90:12,13 | y |
| 127:4 | 121:19 | 90:17 91:13,14 | у 78:11,17 |
| way $24: 363: 13$ | went 47:4 | 113:6,11 114:4 | 122:12 |
| 63:24 64:6 | 55:16 66:6 | 114:5 | yates 10:14 |
| 69:170:10 | 72:11,14 83:24 | wooten 54:14 | 46:4 |
| 73:7 84:11 | 91:21 114:14 | 54:18 55:20 | yeah 26:25 |
| 86:20 109:22 | west 59:14,16 | word 104:18 | 59:8 64:19 |
| we've 25:1 | 82:22 117:13 | 128:1 | 65:8 66:11 |
| 33:13,16 41:22 | 117:22 118:8 | words 67:16 | 69:4 70:5,23 |
| 51:9 69:12 | 119:4,19 | work 19:9 22:7 | 71:13 72:9,9 |
| 86:17 106:16 | 120:17 | 25:19 27:18,21 | 79:24 81:4,21 |
| 122:17 128:11 | west's 118:12 | 30:11 32:19 | 85:2,13 86:12 |
| 128:11 | 119:24 120:15 | 39:18 42:13 | 102:2 121:10 |
| web 16:16 | whatsoever | 43:21 101:17 | 123:17,21 |
| 78:12 | 109:1 | working 19:4 | 124:8 125:20 |
| week 34:25 | whiptail 6:8 | 55:10 91:4 | 126:22,25 |
| weeks 46:25 | 26:1,5 27:23 | 103:5 107:10 | year 69:10 |
| 107:16 126:15 | 27:25 | 108:7,17 | уер 23:16 $31: 5$ |
| welcome 128:8 | willing 39:23 | 113:21 123:5 | 71:17 |
| wells 42:12 | wish 26:15 | 123:24 124:12 | yeso 82:21 |
| 52:20 54:19 | withdrawn | 125:4,6 | 84:13,14 86:13 |
| 55:19 56:18,21 | 41:22 | works 19:7 | 118:2 119:13 |
| 59:13,22 60:3 | withhold | 27:23 73:7 | 121:13 128:3 |
| 60:7,10 63:12 | 101:24 | worksheet | yesterday 75:3 |
| 68:4 70:20 | witness 12:2 | 16:16 | 77:10 78:4 |
| 76:23 77:1,21 | 29:18 117:15 | worth 80:19 | 118:16 120:2 |
| 79:20 80:4,4,5 | 117:19 130:4 | wound 96:1 |  |
| 80:8,8 81:5,8 |  |  |  |

[zones - zones]

| zones $56: 21$ |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |

