| 1 | New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Department |
| 3 | Oil Conservation Division Hearings |
| 4 | Docket No. 10-23 OCD |
| 5 | Case Numbers: 22171,22172, 22408, 21489, 21490, |
| 6 | 21491, 21393, 21394, 23483, 22409, 22410, 22411, |
| 7 | 22412, 23236, 23237, 23240, 23241, 23242, 23485, |
| 8 | 23486, 22813, 22814, 23476, 23478, 23014, 23015, |
| 9 | 23016, 23017, 23018, 23019, 22584, 22912, 22917, |
| 10 | 22989, 22991, 23247, 21361, 21362, 21363, 21364, |
| 11 | 22161, 22162, 22163, 22164, 23443, 23465, 23466, |
| 12 | 23467, 23488, 23412, 21568, 21572, 22653, 23435, |
| 13 | 23426, 23309, 23310, 23311, 23312, 23313, 23314, |
| 14 | 23399, 23400, 23401, 23402, 23256, 23257, 23214, |
| 15 | 23248, 23249, 23250, 23251, 23252, 23253, 23173, |
| 16 | 23308, 23232, 23233, 23234, 23235, |
| 17 | Moderated by Marlene Salvidrez |
| 18 | Thursday, May 18, 2023 |
| 19 | 9:16 a.m. |
| 20 | Santa Fe, NM 87501 |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | Reported by: Dana Fulton |
| 23 | JOB NO.: 5528913 |
|  | Page 1 |

List of Attendees:
John Harrison, Examiner
Felicia Orth, Examiner
John Garcia, Examiner
Marlene Salvidrez, Host
Darin Savage, Panel
Earl Debrine, Panel
Earnest Padilla, Panel
Jackie McLean, Panel
Michael Rodriguez, Panel
Paula Vance, Panel
Sharon Shaheen, Panel
Ocean Munds-Dry, Panel
Yarithza Pena, Panel
Kyle Perkins, Panel
Roman Seigel, Panel
Jim Bruce, Panel
Adam Rankin, Panel
Deana Bennett, Panel

P R O C E E D I N G S
MS. ORTH: I very much wish that I could turn on my camera, but an intense effort over the last 45 minutes have not -- not brought that about. So I'm sorry. This morning you'll just be hearing my voice until we can make contact with some technical assistance at Cisco.

We have on the platform division staff, including Marlene Salvidrez, and technical staff John Garcia and John Harrison. Let's see. Is there any other technical examiner who would like to introduce himself or herself?

No? All right. They may speak up later. Oh. Who is this? Sorry? All right. They may introduce themselves later.

> You'll find the docket, a variety of policies related to the process by which compulsory pooling applications and other applications are handled at the Division, on the Division web page. We will be walking through the OCD Final Hearings Docket Worksheet dated May 18, 2023, that was posted
yesterday afternoon.
Marlene is our technical host this morning, so if you have any trouble -- not the sort of trouble I'm having on the platform this morning -- please reach out to Marlene.

Mr. Garcia or Mr. Harrison, are there announcements to make this morning before I start calling the cases?

MR. HARRISON: I don't believe I have any.

MR. GARCIA: I don't believe there are any.

MS. ORTH: Thank you very much, Mr. Garcia and Mr. Harrison. I'm going to walk through the cases, then.

The first set of cases, 21489, 21490, and 21491, the applicant is Apache Corp. 21393, 21394, the applicant in those cases is Ascent Energy. 21361, 21362, 21363, and 21364, the applicant in those cases is Mewbourne Oil. The nine of those cases comprise a larger bundle.

I will say, as I was walking through the pleadings in preparation for this morning's session, $I$ noticed that this bundle of cases is aged. If they had a beard, it would look like ZZ Top. I saw pre-hearing orders I signed a couple years ago when I was trying to persuade the Division to move to a Calibri font, I think. But let me ask for appearances here.

From Abadie and Schill on behalf of Ascent, please.

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Madam Hearing Examiner. Good morning, technical examiners. Darin Savage with the Santa Fe office of Abadie and Schill on behalf of Matador Production Company, who is the successor of interest to Ascent Energy LLC.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. It may take me a little bit to remember the successors, so give me some grace on that.

MR. SAVAGE: That's a recent successor. I know these cases were one of the first -- one of the first cases the last time around when you were
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| 1 | present, and they kind of morphed a little bit |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | recently. |
| 3 | MS. ORTH: Oh. Well, thank you for |
| 4 | that, Mr. Savage. |
| 5 | Let's see. Who's here from Modrall |
| 6 | Sperling for Apache? |
| 7 | MR. DEBRINE: Good morning, Madam |
| 8 | Examiner. Earl Debrine with the Modrall Sperling Firm |
| 9 | on behalf of Apache Corp. |
| 10 | MS. ORTH: Good morning. |
| 11 | And from Hinkle Shanor for Mewbourne? |
| 12 | MS. McLEAN: Hi. Good morning. Jackie |
| 13 | McLean from Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Mewbourne. Is |
| 14 | my video showing up? |
| 15 | MS. ORTH: I see only a black square. |
| 16 | MS. McLeAN: Maybe I'm having the same |
| 17 | problems. |
| 18 | MS. ORTH: All righty. I'll let you |
| 19 | know if I find out how to fix that. |
| 20 | MS. McLeAN: Okay. Thank you. |
| 21 | MS. ORTH: Let's see. For EOG |
|  | Page 6 |

Resources, is Mr. Padilla here? I think I see Mr. Padilla.

MR. PADILLA: Yes, I am. Madam Examiner, I'm for EOG, and we're just monitoring this proceedings.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
And let's see. Do I see Ms. Shaheen from Montgomery and Andrews on behalf of Colgate?

MS. SHAHEEN: Good morning, Madam
Examiner. That is correct. Sharon Shaheen, Montgomery and Andrews, on behalf of Colgate this morning.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. And then for Jalapeno Corp, I saw two different law firms making an entry of appearance there. One was Peifer Hanson, and the other was Gallegos. Do we have someone from Peifer Hanson or Gallegos? No? All right.

So this is a status conference following, as $I$ said, a long history of continuances at other status conferences. Where are we headed and
is there any chance we could actually move toward resolution?

MR. SAVAGE: Madam Examiner, this is part of the -- as you recall, part of the Novo hearing proceeding in which cases were returned to the OCD and the cases -- if they're heard, they will be heard at the OCD and move on to the OCC. So they're quite involved, and $I$ believe the parties are making efforts to resolve these. We've had a number of status conferences. I believe the last one we had, at the request of Apache, that we continue it a couple of months. And I've been in communication with the other parties.

Everyone seems to be in agreement for another status conference. I would like to do an additional couple of months to let the parties talk and try to resolve this. Therefore, August 17th or September 21st if that's a possibility, and I'd let the other parties address the matter as well.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Savage.
August 17th I know is available. I believe the dates
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in September are available as well. But I know August 17th is. Would anyone else like to speak to the possibility of setting this for hearing on August 17th?

MR. DEBRINE: Good morning, Madam
Examiner. Earl Debrine for Apache. We have conferred in advance of today's status conference, and I believe all the parties were in agreement that productive discussions are still ongoing and that a status conference a couple months down the road makes sense. And we don't have any preference for August or September.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
Anyone else? No?
MS. McLEAN: Ms. Examiner, I believe Mewbourne is in agreement with everything that's been said so far. Either August or September is fine with us.

MS. ORTH: Great. Thank you,
Ms. McLean. And $I$ can see you now.
MS McLEAN: Great. Yay. It worked
itself out somehow.
MS. ORTH: All righty. Anyone else at all?

MR. SAVAGE: Madam Examiner, this is Darin Savage again. If there is no preference between the two, I believe Matador would be interested in the September 21 st one if the OCD is agreeable to that.

MS. ORTH: All right. September 21 st. Going once? All right. I'll issue a pre-hearing order, then.

Before $I$ wrap this up, let me check with the technical examiners in the event they have something to add.

MR. GARCIA: I don't have anything.
MR. HARRISON: Nothing at this time.
Thank you.
MS. ORTH: Thank you very much, Mr. Garcia and Mr. Harrison. So issuing a pre-hearing order for September 21 st.

MR. SAVAGE: Madam Examiner, I believe it would be another status conference at the September

21st, if $I$ understand how this will be. So I believe that at that September 21 st status conference, a hearing date would be considered at that point.

MS. ORTH: Okay.
MR. SAVAGE: And I realize that it has been extended out, but like I said, it's a complicated matter procedurally. I mean, it would be good to resolve this, if this is possible to do that.

MS. ORTH: Okay. Issuing a pre-hearing order for another status conference on September 21, 2023.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
23483, BTA Oil, producers, compulsory
pooling matter. The well name is Capitan 22. Who is here from Hinkle Shanor on behalf of the applicant?

MS. McLEAN: Jackie McLean on behalf of BTA.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. I understand that at a prior hearing session, you were asked to supplement some information about, I believe,
some administrative rates. I did see a document with that supplemental information. Is there anything you'd like to add?

MS. McLEAN: No. I believe that the case was taken under advisement at the May 4th hearing but was left open for the supplementation of the affidavit of Adams Davenport so that BTA can provide additional information regarding overhead and administrative rates for the wells. That has been done, and unless there is anything -- any other questions, we ask that this be admitted into the record in case numbers 23481 through 23484. I believe we were asked to supplement it for all four of those cases.

MS. ORTH: Thank you for that clarification.

Mr. Garcia or Mr. Harrison, do you have a question of Ms. McLean about the supplemental information?

MR. GARCIA: Not about the supplemental -- well, I guess two questions. You said
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case 234831 [sic] through 4?
MS. McLEAN: Yes, Mr. Garcia.
MR. GARCIA: Okay. And then second question. Small question. Your hearing exhibits from May 4th, are they identical? There's two copies in the case files. They look identical, but they were submitted four hours apart. Just wanted to see because I didn't see anything as different in them. MS. McLEAN: Yes. So I don't know if you were on at the May 4th hearing. What happened was we had submitted all of the exhibits in case numbers 23481 to 23484 on that Tuesday before by the deadline, but for some reason there was a hangup on 23483; and we had submitted them and it had showed on OCD's side that they were submitted, but it hadn't been released to imaging. So at the hearing, it was first thought that we had not submitted exhibits for that case.
During the lunch break, we were all able to run down what had happened, and I was able to present 23483 after the lunch break, so that case was admitted into the record as well. But at that time,
we resubmitted those exhibits. They're the same ones that were on there, just in an excess of caution, so they should be identical to what was submitted previously.

MR. GARCIA: Okay. Thank you. Just making sure we use the right checklist and stuff like that.

MS. McLEAN: Yeah. Sure. No. It should be identical. It just was a little confusing for everyone with the technical issue.

MR. GARCIA: Thank you. That's all my questions.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Garcia. Then that matter remains under advisement with the supplemental information, and thank you, Ms. McLean. MS. McLEAN: Thank you, Ms. Examiner. MS. ORTH: We will move then to the next four matters. This is cases 22409, 22410, 22411, and 22412. Chevron USA is the applicant. The wells are ZN Yosemite and ZN Yellowstone. And let's see. Do we have Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: Madam Examiner, Jim Bruce on behalf of Tap Rock Resources.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. And who do we have here? Oh. Mr. Debrine for the applicant.

MR. DEBRINE: Good morning, Madam
Examiner. Earl Debrine with the Modrall Sperling firm on behalf of Chevron USA, Inc. And these cases are also combined with the following cases for Tap Rock, the contest cases that appear on the docket worksheet as well.

MS. ORTH: Ah, yes. I see. I was using as my visual clue there the hash marks. The rightmost column -- that's right. 21568, 21572, and 22653, which Tap Rock is the applicant. The well is contest-fed. Mr. Bruce there already appeared for Tap Rock, and then we have Chevron. Mr. Debrine has appeared for them.

Coterra Energy, if I'm pronouncing that correctly. Is that you, Mr. Savage?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes, Madam Examiner.

Darin Savage on behalf of Coterra Energy and Cimarex Energy, et al.

MS. ORTH: All right. And then I see COG. Someone here from Conocophillips?

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Madam Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry with Conocophillips and COG Operating LLC.

MS. ORTH: Oh, good morning. MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning.

MS. ORTH: All right. So I have what I saw in the case file there was status conference for those first four cases and then a continuance motion filed for the Tap Rock cases. Would someone address exactly what we're doing this morning in terms of continuing some of these or discussing the status of others?

MR. DEBRINE: Madam Examiner, I believe all the cases are consolidated and Mr. Bruce filed the continuance motion. I think it was intended for all of the cases. It wasn't filed in each case, but we're all here together for a status conference, and the
motion was filed without taking consent of the parties, but we can discuss the motion.

MS. ORTH: All right. So if you would, just go ahead, Mr. Debrine.

MR. DEBRINE: Well, these cases have also been around for a little while, but parties were engaged in productive negotiations up until about two or three weeks ago. And what we understand is that Tap Rock, their private equity partners have put the company up for sale in an auction process that's supposed to take place over the coming weeks. So it's unclear where Tap Rock's going to be on this, and so we think -- and we have problems with availability. I'm not going to be here for -- I'm supposed to be headed on a plane to Maine on the date that Tap Rock has requested the cases be continued for a contested hearing. And so we don't think that we could be ready for a contested hearing anytime soon and would ask that if the Division were to set the cases for contested hearing, that we'd be looking at the earliest August, possibly September, which I think
makes sense, because we'll figure out who the competing operator might be if there still is one.

MS. ORTH: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Debrine. Mr. Bruce, would you like to address that?

MR. BRUCE: Sure thing. First of all, Madam Examiner, welcome back. It is nice to quote-unquote see your smiling face again.

MS. ORTH: Just a picture of it.
MR. BRUCE: And I'm only on the phone, so you can't see me. There's a reason for that. In the morning, I look like Ed Grimley, so.

I filed that motion for a continuance because $I$ was basically told to do so by my client, and it was -- no offense to Chevron, but you do what you're told. Tap Rock -- these have been ongoing for quite some time, as Mr. Debrine said. Tap Rock wants to get these cases on as early as possible.

I understand Mr. Debrine has
availability issues, and that is understood and accepted. But August or September, I think that's way
too late. If we can't get it on in June, I would ask for a July date. And $I$ would also ask, since I did file the motions, I did ask for June 15th. If it's not going to be June 15th, I would ask that those motions be considered for a July date so that I don't have to refile them due to my ongoing problems with getting things filed with the Division.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Bruce.
Ms. Munds-Dry, would you like to address the matter? And before $I$ forget, the only July date that is available for a contested hearing is the early one, July 6th. The later July date is not available for contested hearing.

Go ahead, Ms. Munds-Dry.
MS. MUNDS-DRY: We're along for the ride, Madam Examiner, so whatever the parties decide is fine by Conocophillips and COG.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
And Mr. Savage?
MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Cimarex is reserving
rights, so what the Division and the parties decide is fine. Thank you.

MS. ORTH: All right. So Mr. Debrine, do I understand that you're not available for the July 6th date, or are you?

MR. DEBRINE: Well, I've been looking at my calendar and it is free. I have not checked with the Chevron people even to determine their -- there's always problems around the July 4th holiday because people make plans to be out of town for the long Fourth of July holiday. But it could be a conflict.

We don't have anything scheduled right now, but I've got sort of a significant anniversary that's on the 6th. I don't know if my wife has plans for me on the day, but that could be a personal conflict.

MS. ORTH: All right. At that point, though, we're jumping into August. And I understand people travel around the July 4th holiday. Summers generally can be a challenge. But we would be jumping

| 1 | to the earlier of the August dates. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. DEBRINE: The August 3rd and 17th |
| 3 | dates both are free on my calendar, Madam Examiner. |
| 4 | MS. ORTH: All right. Mr. Bruce, what |
| 5 | about August 3rd? |
| 6 | MR. BRUCE: Well, if that's the deal, |
| 7 | that's the deal. I would like it heard as early as |
| 8 | possible. |
| 9 | MS. ORTH: All right. I will set this |
| 10 | for August 3rd, then, and -- |
| 11 | MR. BRUCE: And I would like it set as |
| 12 | a contested hearing, not a status conference. Thank |
| 13 | you. |
| 14 | MS. ORTH: Right. That's my |
| 15 | understanding of what we're doing. |
| 16 | MR. DEBRINE: That's mine as well. |
| 17 | MS. ORTH: Right. Okay. Mr. Garcia or |
| 18 | Mr. Harrison, do you have any questions or comments |
| 19 | for an August 3rd setting? |
| 20 | MR. GARCIA: No questions. |
| 21 | MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. And |
|  | Page 21 |

if there's nothing else to say around that set of cases, I'll -- the docket. Thank you all, Counsel. MR. BRUCE: Thank you. MR. DEBRINE: Thank you. MS. ORTH: Let's see here. We have next two cases, 23485 and 23486. Devon Energy is the applicant. This is the well name -- one is DEAN APQ Fed and the others are North Blondie and Fed Com. Applicant's counsel is Holland and Hart. I did not see other appearances. Who do we have here for Holland and Hart on behalf of the applicant?

MS. VANCE: Good morning, Madam Hearing Examiner. Paula Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland and Hart on behalf of the applicant, Devon.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. Good morning. Are there other appearances at this point in these two cases?

MS. VANCE: Not as far as $I$ know.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. I
saw that there was a continuance motion filed. Would you like to address that?

MS. VANCE: Yes, Madam Examiner. And actually, just -- I wanted to be clear on the well names. That DEAN APQ, if you look at the application, that well name is related to an overlapping or an existing spacing unit that's in there, and we address that in the application. So both of the applications are for the well family named the North Blondie.

But just to address the continuance: yes, I apologize. We filed a continuance on Tuesday, I believe it was, rather than on Monday. We just had a few changes, and we wanted to make sure that we got those updated and corrected and provided an updated pre-hearing statement and subsequently update our hearing packet and request then that we move to the June 1st docket. There are no other appearances, obviously, in this case, and we are not expecting any, so we believe we'd be able to move to the June 1st docket and not add too much additional time if that would be an option.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. Let me just ask. I think I will see you again on June

1st. At least that's the plan right now. Let me ask if the technical examiners have any questions about these two cases.

MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. HARRISON: No questions.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you, Mr. Garcia and Mr. Harrison. We will set this on June 1st, then. And thank you, Ms. Vance.

MS. VANCE: Thank you, Madam Hearing Examiner.

MS. ORTH: I will move to another set of cases. We have 22813 and 22814, Earthstone Operating. The well is Charger 35. But then we also have what appear to be related and a much larger set of cases: 23476, still Earthstone, where information is being supplemented; 23478, the same thing; and then 22584, which appears to be competing with 22813. This is Matador Production.

Now, I may have -- I think those are related. Certainly 22584 is competing with 22813, as I read the file.

MR. SAVAGE: Madam Examiner, 23476 and 23478 are not part of that larger picture.

MS. ORTH: Okay. Thank you. I thought perhaps I had wrapped my arms around too many matters there. All right.

So I'm going to do that again. 22813 and 22814, Earthstone Operating. The well is Charger 35. And then 22584, Matador Production, the well is English Buffalo, and let me call out the -- call for appearances. We have Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Earthstone Operating. Let me start there.

MS. McLEAN: Yes. Jackie McLean on behalf of Earthstone.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. We have Holland and Hart on behalf of Matador.

MR. RANKIN: Morning, Madam Examiner. Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of Matador in these cases.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. Good morning.

```
Montgomery and Andrews for Fasken Oil
```

and Ranch?
MS. SHAHEEN: That's correct, Madam
Examiner. Sharon Shaheen, Montgomery and Andrews, on behalf of Fasken Oil and Ranch.

MS. ORTH: All right. And
Conocophillips. Counsel?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Madam Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry for Conocophillips. MS. ORTH: Terrific. Thank you. Were there any other appearances? Did I miss anyone there? I don't think so.

All right. So this is a status conference. I also noticed, however, that there was a notice of dismissal filed in 22584. Should we address that first?

MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Madam Examiner. Adam Rankin for Matador. You are correct. Matador has filed a notice of dismissal dismissing its competing case 22584 as the parties have reached agreement, and therefore Matador withdraws its objection to the case's proceeding.

MS. ORTH: Thank you very much,
Mr. Rankin. And shall we hear, then, about the cases in which Earthstone is the applicant?

MS. McLEAN: Yes --
MS. ORTH: Ms. McLean?
MS. McLEAN: Yes. Thank you,
Ms. Examiner. It is my understanding that Fasken and Earthstone are close to an agreement in this case, and so at this point we'd like to continue the matter to the June 15th docket, where it should be able to be presented by affidavit.

MS. ORTH: Okay. Thank you. Are there any other comments from the other counsel on this?

MS. SHAHEEN: Madam Examiner, I would just note that $I$ agree with Ms. McLean's representations, and Fasken is amenable to having this set again on June 15 th.

MS. ORTH: Terrific. Thank you,
Ms. Shaheen.
Anyone else? No? Any questions from the technical examiners? Mr. Garcia?

MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MS. ORTH: No? Mr. Harrison?
MR. HARRISON: Nope.
MS. ORTH: All right. So we will put this on the June 15th docket with the expectation that it will be presented by affidavit. Thank you all.

All right. Let's go to the other
Earthstone cases. This is 23476 and 23478. The wells are Outland 18. Applicant's counsel is Abadie and Schill. Let's see. Is that Mr. Savage, or you're here for --

MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Good morning, Madam Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie and Schill, Santa Fe office, appearing on behalf of Earthstone Operating.

MS. ORTH: Thank you. And then we have appearances from Devon Energy and MRC Permian. Mr. Rankin, are you here from Holland and Hart for them?

MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Ms. Examiner. Yes. Adam Rankin with the Santa Fe
office of Holland and Hart appearing on behalf of Devon Energy and MRC Permian in these cases. MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you very much.

I see that there was a request for supplemental information. I believe that was submitted. Would you like to address that, Mr. Savage?

MR. SAVAGE: Sure. This is a carry-over from May 4th, and we had a couple of items that needed to be addressed. We filed the notice of filings. We revised the A2 exhibits, that's the ownership, and we did a curative board notice for one remaining override that we discovered. That's TWR. I believe that should satisfy the remaining items. I ask that those exhibits -- those are Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 attached to the notice -- I ask that they be admitted into the record.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
Mr. Garcia or Mr. Harrison, do you have questions about the supplemental information?

MR. GARCIA: No questions from me.
MR. HARRISON: Yep. No questions.
Thank you.
MS. ORTH: Any objection to the
exhibits being admitted?
MR. RANKIN: No objections.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. The exhibits are admitted, then. Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. And the matters then will be taken under advisement.

MR. SAVAGE: Thank you.
MS. ORTH: Thank you both.
Let's move, then, down to cases 22161, 22162, 22163, and 22164. Mewbourne Oil is the applicant. The well name is Spanish Bay. Mr. Bruce, are you here on the phone for that?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, I am. Thank you.
MS. ORTH: All right. We have
appearances from COG. Let's see. Is that
Ms. Munds-Dry? You're here for them?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Yes. Thank you, Madam
Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry for COG Operating LLC.

MS. ORTH: Thank you. Holland and Hart for Earthstone again.

MR. RANKIN: Good morning, Madam

Examiner. Yes. Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of Earthstone Operating LLC in these cases as well as for Oxy USA Incorporated. And Oxy has -- we did file an entry early on for Oxy, and we're still on the case for them as well.

MS. ORTH: Okay. Well, thank you for that clarification. EOG? Appearance from BW Energy Law for EOG? No? All right.

And Modrall Sperling for Apache Corp.
MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone.
Deana Bennett on behalf of Apache.
MS. ORTH: Oh, good morning,
Ms. Bennett.
Are there any other --
MS. BENNETT: Nice to see you again.
MS. ORTH: Yeah. Thank you.
Any other appearances this morning in
these matters? No?

All right. I have here that it looks as though we had just one pre-hearing statement filed by the applicant and that it seemed that perhaps the matter could be presented by affidavit.

MR. BRUCE: Yes, Madam Examiner. These cases were originally filed in August of 2021, and it took a little while to get to hearing. They were presented on March -- excuse me, April 20 th, but at the last moment -- I did present the cases then, so there are regular filings in the case file. But at the last moment $I$ noticed that my client had added a few people who needed to be pooled. So these cases were continued to this docket to give additional notice to these other people. And it's taken so long to get to hearing because Ms. Munds-Dry has referred to these cases as cursed.

But I think we're finally there. And so all I have submitted for this hearing, just supplemental to the rest, was an additional proof of notice to the additional three or four parties being pooled, marked Exhibit 7; Exhibit 8, an affidavit of
publication with respect to the additional people; and then Exhibit 9, the certified notice spreadsheet. I would simply ask that Exhibits 7, 8, and 9 be admitted into the record when this case is finally taken under advisement.

MS. ORTH: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bruce. Mr. Garcia, do you have any questions about Exhibits 7, 8, or 9?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MR. GARCIA: I believe I do. } \\
& \text { Mr. Bruce, you said your client }
\end{aligned}
$$ notified more parties to be pooled. So does your old exhibit which references parties to be pooled need to be updated, then? Because if not, they're not going to be pooled.

MR. BRUCE: I think what I submitted four weeks ago identified the landman affidavit, I think as Exhibit probably 2B, did identify all parties to be pooled including the additional parties who were Oxy USA, ZPZ Delaware, the Delmar Hudson Lewis Trust, and the Josephine Hudson Trust. They were identified in the documents filed for the April $20 t h$ hearing, but
those parties had not received notice, and so this updated exhibit does show that notice was given to all these parties.

MR. GARCIA: Okay. I guess what I'm looking for -- I'm sorry. I'm trying to look through these cases. They're big cases. I don't see an interest recap that has the typical parties to be pooled highlighted yellow. I don't see that anywhere in the exhibits.

MR. BRUCE: I might not have -- let me just briefly --

MR. GARCIA: These look like they used to be contested cases because they're pretty massive, but --

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. But like I said, this -- yeah. Exhibit 2 submitted four weeks ago. If we look at Exhibit --

MR. GARCIA: Is that that pink cover letter in the case files?

MR. BRUCE: It would be Exhibit 2B submitted four weeks ago. It identifies by an
asterisk all of the parties being pooled, who are Oxy -- Permian Limited Partnership; Oxy USA; COG Operating LLC, although I believe the parties have come to terms with COG; ZPZ Delaware; the Delmar Hudson Lewis Trust; the Josephine Hudson Trust; and Earthstone Energy. It does identify them all. It's just that four additional parties had to be notified, the ones $I$ previously listed or mentioned. And so notice has been given --

MR. GARCIA: Can we just get an updated one since some of those parties have come to an agreement and stuff? Can we get an updated --

MR. BRUCE: I will do that today.
MR. GARCIA: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that's all my questions.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you, Mr. Garcia. Mr. Harrison, any questions?

MR. HARRISON: No. Those were my questions as well.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
In that case, we'll accept Exhibits 7,

8, and 9, take the matter under advisement, and see if we can't get the information necessary to move forward on the applications.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
MS. ORTH: Yep, Mr. Bruce.
Anything from anyone else on those four cases? No? Okay.

Let's move, then, to a second set of cases for Mewbourne Oil. 23443, 23465, 23466, and 23467. The well here -- the type of application was to amend a previous order. The well name is Desert Eagle. Mr. Bruce, again, you are representing Mewbourne in these matters. I did not see other appearances from folks in these cases.

If there's anyone else who'd like to make an appearance right now, please speak up. No? All right.

Mr. Bruce, would you please address your application for amendment?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, Madam Examiner. These cases involve all of sections one and two, all of
south 28 east. These cases, the original cases were presented a while ago, and orders were issued. There were actually seven cases originally, and Mewbourne has drilled three of the wells. These final groupings of wells, these four cases in the subject orders, they expire July 11th. Mewbourne has them in line to drill. I think they intend to drill them later this year. But they are monitoring information from the wells they have drilled and other nearby wells to determine the best way to continue to evaluate the best way to drill and complete the wells.

And so they're requesting a one-year extension in each of these cases for subject orders to allow them to time to evaluate everything and drill, although I don't think they need the -- they're not going to wait the entire year to drill, but they do need additional time just to commence the drilling of the wells, and so they're asking for in each case an extension of one year, July 11th, 2024 , to grill the wells.

The exhibit packages, other than being
for different cases, are identical information and propose notice marked Exhibit 1. There is Exhibit 2, the landman's affidavit in each case. The notice affidavit in each matter for -- there are a lot of interest owners out there. And then an affidavit of publication pertaining to all the -- I would ask that Exhibits 1 through 4 be submitted.

I do owe the Commission one thing, which is each certified notice spreadsheet. I have continued to get -- receive, I should say, certified green cards, and $I$ will file that certified notice spreadsheet shortly. Probably more a matter of sloth just because there are so many names and so many dates to insert into that spreadsheet. But I will provide that as Exhibit 5 subsequent to the hearing, but I would ask that the matter -- the exhibits be admitted into the record and that the matter is taken under advisement subject to me providing the spreadsheet info.

> MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you,

Mr. Bruce.

Mr. Garcia or Mr. Harrison, do you have a question?

MR. GARCIA: I do have a question. In case 23443 -- it's PDF page four, Mr. Bruce -- there's an email in here. Could you explain that email? I guess I don't understand it. It's a correspondence with Craig Young.

MR. BRUCE: Oh. Papers got mixed up on my desk is basically it. This is for another hearing that was to occur today which is coming up on the docket for a status conference. You can ignore that page. It's for a totally separate matter.

MR. GARCIA: Do you know what case number that is related to?

MR. BRUCE: You can throw that away or totally ignore it. It's a case between two different parties, actually between BTA Oil Producers and Texas Standard, which is coming up on the docket shortly. But it was a communication between me and my engineer in the case. That's all.

MR. GARCIA: Okay. So that email's for
case 23426, BTA Oil Producers?
MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
MR. GARCIA: Just so $I$ know so $I$ won't forget as soon as we hang up.

And then you're going to file, you said, a table of certified notice?

MR. BRUCE: Yes. I will provide the spreadsheet to you. I'll try to get that done later today after $I$ go to the post office and try to recover a few more green cards.

MR. GARCIA: Okay. And that's just going to be basically an easier way to view all the data, of course. Because $I$ see a lot of green cards already.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. There's a lot of interested owners, and so I set them all with the status of certified mail.

MR. GARCIA: Okay. But it is correct, was notified on time?

MR. BRUCE: What? Excuse me?
MR. GARCIA: No. Sorry. The notice

| 1 | went out on time, though? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. BRUCE: Oh. Yes. Yes. The notice |
| 3 | did go out on time. |
| 4 | MR. GARCIA: Okay. |
| 5 | MR. BRUCE: I think it would be Exhibit |
| 6 | 4. Yeah. It was mailed April 13th, so definitely on |
| 7 | time. |
| 8 | MR. GARCIA: Oh, yeah. I believe I |
| 9 | don't have more questions. |
| 10 | MS. ORTH: Okay. Thank you, |
| 11 | Mr. Garcia. |
| 12 | MR. GARCIA: I think your mic cut out, |
| 13 | Felicia. |
| 14 | MS. ORTH: Oh. Sorry. Just asking |
| 15 | Mr. Harrison if he had anything to add by way of |
| 16 | questions of Mr. Bruce. |
| 17 | MR. HARRISON: No questions. Thank |
| 18 | you. |
| 19 | MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. |
| 20 | In that case, Mr. Bruce, we'll accept |
| 21 | exhibits 1 through 4. We'll look forward to receiving |
|  | Page 41 |

Exhibit 5, namely the spreadsheet related to the notice, and take these four cases under advisement.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
MS. ORTH: Let's move, then, while we have you still in the spotlight here, Mr. Bruce, to case 23488. Mewbourne Oil is the applicant. The well name is Pavo Macho. Mr. Bruce is counsel. We do have appearances from Snow Oil and Gas, the estate of Nona Snow, and Trans-Ram.

Is that you, Ms. Bennett, from Modrall
Sperling?
MS. BENNETT: Yes, it is. Thank you very much, Madam Hearing Examiner. Deana Bennett for Modrall Sperling on behalf of Snow Oil and Gas, the estate of Nona Snow, and Trans-Ram LLC.

MS. ORTH: Thank you. Were there any other appearances this morning? No? All right.

So Mr. Bruce, let me just mention here that $I$ put a question mark following the word "affidavit" by way of what we might be doing this morning, because the feeling on the part of the folks
who have reviewed the file have said that it's not ready for presentation really in any form and kind of nominated itself for dismissal this morning. Would you like to address the application?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, Madam Examiner. In this case, Mewbourne originally sought to file the application to pool a three-section of land, sections 31, 32, and 33 of 18 south 29-8, for purpose of pooling approval of a nonstandard spacing of units and approval of overlapping well units.

A few days ago, my clients informed me that all parties have voluntarily -- all people noticed have voluntarily admitted their interest in this well. Therefore, pooling is not necessary anymore. And I meant to file a supplementary notice regarding that, but what $I$ have admitted as Exhibit 1 is the application and proposed notice.

Exhibit 2, the affidavit of Tyler Jolly -- that's a landman from Mewbourne. And if you look at page two of his affidavit, paragraph four, it's highlighted the group and emboldened the fact
that Mewbourne has reached voluntary agreement and requests dismissal of the pooling portion of the application. Pooling is no longer necessary, but they are still requesting a nonstandard unit and approval of overlapping well units and information that's supplied by the landman regarding that showing that attachment $B$ to his affidavit shows the offsets would need to be notified of the nonstandard unit.

And then there's only one party that needs to be notified of overlapping well units, and that is Cimarex Energy Company of Colorado. And then Cimarex and COG Operating and Oxy USA WTT Limited Partnership need to be notified of the nonstandard unit. So this is an abbreviated matter.

Notice was given to the pertinent parties. I, of course, have not attached any notice as to the parties being pooled because that is no longer notices, it's just core purposes of the nonstandard unit, and the approval will go collecting well units. That's Exhibit 3.

And then Exhibit 4 is the certified

numbers spreadsheet, which shows that all the parties -- the three parties who required notice -- the three operators requiring our notice were given notice, and we were also notified separately. The Bureau of Land Management and the Commissioner of Public Lands as required by Division rules, since they are offset mineral interest owners. And so I did notice the question mark you put by the docket. But this is now a simple matter, and so $I$ would request that Exhibits 1 through 4 be admitted into evidence and the matter be taken under advisement, only as to the nonstandard unit and the approval of overlapping well units.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you for clarifying that, Mr. Bruce. Ms. Bennett?

MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much. Thank you. Yes, I'm appearing on behalf of the Snow entities to preserve their rights if necessary. As Mr. Bruce indicated, the Snow entities along with Laura Ann Austen [ph] have all entered into a
voluntary agreement with Mewbourne and so are no longer being pooled; and we agree with the request that the pooling portion of the case be dismissed and appreciate the Division's time.

MS. ORTH: Thank you very much, Ms. Bennett.

Mr. Garcia or Mr. Harrison?
MR. GARCIA: I do have a few questions. Mr. Bruce, so dropping the compulsory polling drops a lot of the stuff that was missing, but I think we're still missing a handful of items for an NSP application. NSP applications, whether hearing or administratively requested, still require 102 s showing acreage that they're wanting for all the wells involved, which there -- I don't see any C-102s here.

The bigger question, and this is more of a policy decision Mr. Brancard went on the last year or so. This is a very large NSP request, just shy of 2000 acres here, and it's technically four tracts wide, because this is what looks to be a bone springs well. So I'm assuming that's 40 acres here.

When NSPs are getting this large, Mr. Brancard has been requesting counsel -- a lot of the counsel here, actually -- to provide engineering exhibits and testimony from either a facilities engineer or someone similar indicating why they're requesting an NSP so large. Because technically this is just more standard side-by-side. I'm assuming this is picking up off of BLM wanting consolidated facilities.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah.
MR. GARCIA: So we've been requiring a lot more. Go ahead.

MR. BRUCE: Well, you know, I requested a number of these, and my client has administratively never been requested to present engineering. I can get him an affidavit from the engineer, but he will basically state the same thing, which is that, you know, this is done. Mewbourne has numerous wells in these three sections of land, and I can certainly provide you with prior to that.

These wells involved are third bone
spring wells. They have a number of -- they're the operator of the three sections in the bone spring formation. They have a number of existing second bone spring wells in this area somewhere. Two-mile wells, I think most of them are. And they really just want a nonstandard unit or operational matters minimize the surface use, be able to have a centralized facilities, so they don't have to build facilities for each. For instance, they don't want to have facilities for the north half-north half of these sections and the south half-north half, et cetera, have four sets of facilities for these wells. It's just a matter of minimizing surface use, minimizing facilities, and saving a little money on drilling of the wells.

Now, if you want an affidavit from Mewbourne's engineer, $I$ can certainly provide that to you, but $I$ don't know that it adds much to it.

MR. GARCIA: So I believe it will be requested, and what we've been requesting from other operators and other counsel is an engineer affidavit showing cost saved, acreage saved, you know, emissions
saved. Because we don't have any rules that say you can't consolidate facilities based off acres. These could be four standard spacing units, and those could be -- you could consolidate facilities all day long. That's why we have surface comingling and downhole comingling. It's production issues. BLM's been requiring us to prove an $M S P$ is the hearsay we're hearing, but we don't approve of units and comm agreements. I mean, and that's basically what this is being is a mini-unit. I mean, because this is just four standard sides side-by-side.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. And I will get you whatever you want, but -- so you'd like an affidavit from the engineer, who will probably be Travis Cude, showing money, the dollars saved. You mentioned emissions.

MR. GARCIA: So things we've been seeing commonly are land disturbance saved, you know, two facilities would disturb $x$ amount of acres, but by consolidating we'll save $x$ amount of acres. You know, basically an affidavit showing what waste you're going
to prevent. Waste of acreage, waste of money, waste of emissions, you know. Typically in consolidating facilities you can save some sort of emission doctor, which, you know, supposedly has a lot of venting and flaring rules right now, which that would appeal to. MR. BRUCE: Okay. I mean, that's easy enough to do. The only question $I$ have is, Madam Examiner, would you prefer to have me submit that subsequent to this hearing, or would you like the matter continued for a couple of weeks and have it put on the docket again just so the Division may ask any other questions?

MS. ORTH: I think your latter suggestion there is the superior one, that we will continue this a couple of weeks so that the staff has an opportunity to look at the additional information you're submitting.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. And I will submit that as soon as possible so that they have sufficient -- more than the usual time to review it. MS. ORTH: All right. So there's the

June 1st, the June 15th. What is your preference, Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: June lst would be great.
MS. ORTH: All righty. We'll continue
this, then, to June 1st.
Thank you very much, Mr. Garcia.
Mr. Harrison, do you have anything to add to the discussion at this point?

MR. HARRISON: Thank you.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bruce, Mr. Garcia, and Mr. Harrison.

We will move on, then, to case 23412. That's a compulsory pooling application on behalf of Spur Energy. The well name is Patrick Fed. Who's here from Hinkle Shanor? Is that you, Ms. McLean?

MS. McLEAN: Yes. It's me again.
Jackie McLean for Spur Energy Partners.
MS. ORTH: This is this morning. I did
not see any others in the file.
MS. McLEAN: That's correct. There's been no other parties that have entered an appearance
in this case.
MS. ORTH: All right. If you would then proceed, please.

MS. McLEAN: Thank you.
In case number 23412, Spur is seeking an order pooling all uncommitted interest within the Yazo Formation underlying a 320-acre, more or less, standard horizontal spacing unit, comprised of the north half of section 10 , township 19 south, range 25 east in Eddy County.

And this spacing unit will be dedicated to the following wells: Patrick Federal Com 1H, 10H, and 50 H wells, which will be drilled from a surface hole location in the southwest quarter-northwest quarter of Section 11 to a bottom hole location in the southwest quarter-northwest quarter of Section 10; and the Patrick Federal Com 11H, 51H, and 71H wells, which will be drilled from surface hole locations in the northwest quarter-northwest quarter of Section 11 to bottom hole locations in the northwest quarter-northwest quarter of Section 10.

Patrick Federal Com 1H well will be located within 330 feet of the line separating the north half-north half and south half-north half of Section 10 to allow for the formation of the 320 -acre standard horizontal spacing unit.

The exhibit packet submitted to the Division for case number 23412 contains Exhibit A, which is a land professional's testimony and corresponding land exhibits, including the $C-102 s$, plot of tracts, ownership interests, pooled parties, a well proposal letter, and a summary of communications. Then we have Exhibit B, which is the geology testimony and the related geology exhibits, which include a location map, subsea structure map, gun barrel diagram, a structural cross-section of the intervals of interest, as well as the offset well map. And then Exhibit C, which is notice testimony that sets out when the notice letter on this hearing and application were sent to the parties to be pooled as well as proof that notice of the hearing was timely published in the

Carlsbad newspaper.
And with that, $I$ ask that Exhibits A, $B$, and $C$ be admitted into the record in case number 23412 and that the case be taken under advisement; and I will answer any questions if there are any.

MS. ORTH: Thank you very much,
Ms. McLean.
Mr. Garcia or Mr. Harrison, do you have
questions?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Garcia.
MR. HARRISON: No questions at this
time. Thank you.
MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Harrison.
All right. Thank you, Ms. McLean.
Exhibits A through $C$ are admitted and case number 23412 is taken under advisement.

MS. McLEAN: Thank you.
MS. ORTH: Let's move to case 23435,
Tascosa Energy. It's a compulsory pooling application. The well name is Ventura 32. Who is
here from Hinkle Shanor for Tascosa?
MS. PENA: Good morning, Madam

Examiner. Yarithza Pena with Hinkle Shanor on behalf of Tascosa Energy Partners.

MS. ORTH: Good morning, Ms. Pena.

MS. PENA: Good morning.

MS. ORTH: We have appearances from

COG. Is that you, Ms. Munds-Dry from Conocophillips?
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Yes. Thank you. Ocean

Munds-Dry with Conocophillips and COG Operating LLC.
MS. ORTH: Thank you.
And Fasken Oil and Ranch, Holland and

Hart. Is that you, Mr. Rankin?

MR. RANKIN: Good morning. Again, yes.
Adam Rankin appearing on behalf of Fasken Oil and Ranch in this case.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. Were there any other appearances that $I$ need to hear about? No? All right.

I saw a prehearing statement filed by the applicant. Will we be proceeding by affidavit
this morning, or what -- does someone have another suggestion?

MS. PENA: I believe, yeah, we will be proceeding by affidavit, Madam Hearing Examiner. I believe Tascosa and Fasken have reached an agreement, and $I$ will explain that Fasken is no longer being pooled in our application, and we are only pooling one additional party.

MS. ORTH: All right. And is that COG?
MS. PENA: No. We won't be pooling
COG. I don't know if Ms. Ocean Munds-Dry has additional comments on that.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: I can just confirm. We have also entered an agreement and have no objection to the case proceeding by affidavit.

MS. ORTH: All right. So please go ahead, Ms. Pena, if there are no objections to proceeding by affidavit.

MS. PENA: Thank you.
So in case number 23435, Tascosa seeks to pool all uncommitted interest in the bone spring
formation underlying a 640-acre, more or less, standard horizontal spacing unit comprised of the north half of sections 32 and 33, township 20 south, range 27 east in Eddy County, New Mexico.

And the spacing unit will be dedicated to the Ventura 32-33 Fed Com numbers $201 \mathrm{H}, 301 \mathrm{H}$, and 602 H wells to be drilled from surface hole location in the southwest quarter-northwest quarter unit E of section 32 to bottom hole location in the northeast quarter-northeast quarter unit A of section 33. And the Ventura $32-33$ Fed Com 202 H and 303 H wells to be drilled from surface hole locations in the southwest quarter-northwest quarter of unit $E$ of section 32 to bottom hole in the southeast quarter-northeast quarter of unit $H$ in section 33. And the completed interval of the Ventura $32-33$ Fed Com 602 H well will be located within 330 feet of the quarter-quarter section line separating that north half-north half and south half-north half of sections 32 and 33. So that will be our proximity track well to create that 640-acre standard horizontal spacing unit.

We have provided the affidavits of
landman John Shtoberg [ph] as Exhibit A, which includes his testimony; the related land exhibits, which includes the C-102s; the plat of tracts; the ownership interest of the pooled parties; a wall proposal letter; and a summary of communications. And in that Exhibit A3, it reflects that we are no longer pooling additional parties besides Brooks.

And Exhibit B includes the geology testimony of Mr. Helder Alvarez, which includes a regional locator map; a cross-section map; a third bone spring stand structure map; a structural cross-section; a stratographic cross-section; and a gun-barrel diagram.

And Exhibit C includes the notice testimony of Dana Hardy, which includes the sample notice letter sent to the parties to be pooled; a chart of those parties and when the letters went out and when we received the certified mail green cards, which we did receive all four that we sent out; and also an affidavit of publication from the Carlsbad
newspaper that was published timely on March 17th. And with that, unless there are any additional questions from the Division, I ask that Exhibits $A, B$, and $C$ be admitted into the record and that case number 23435 be taken under advisement. Thank you.

MS. ORTH: Thank you very much,
Ms. Pena.
Are there questions from other counsel?
MR. RANKIN: No questions, Madam Chair,
and no objections to the exhibits being accepted or the case being taken under advisement.

MS. ORTH: Thank you, Mr. Rankin.
Questions from Mr. Garcia or
Mr. Harrison?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. HARRISON: No questions.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Garcia and Mr. Harrison. In that case, Exhibits A, B, and C are admitted, and the matter will be taken under advisement. That's case 23435.

MS. PENA: Thank you, Madam Examiner and technical examiners.

MS. ORTH: Looks like we just have a couple of status conferences left, so let's move to them.
23426. This is BTA Oil. Miscellaneous
application, which you'll have to explain. The well name is State.

Who is here from Hinkle Shanor on behalf of the applicant? Is that you, Ms. McLean?

MS. McLEAN: Yes. Me again. Jackie McLean on behalf of BTA.

MS. ORTH: Thank you. And then I saw an appearance from Texas Standard Operating. Mr. Bruce, are you here for Texas Standard Operating? MR. BRUCE: Yes. I am. Thank you. MS. ORTH: All right. I saw prehearing statements from the applicant and from TSO and note that we are engaging in a status conference this morning. Ms. McLean, would you like to start us off? MS. McLEAN: Yes. That's correct. We
were geared up to go to a contested hearing today on this matter. However, that wasn't able to happen. So at this point, I believe everything has been submitted and we just need to get a new date for a contested hearing.

MS. ORTH: All right. The next date for a contested hearing, as $I$ understand it, would be July 6th. Marlene can speak up if I've misstated that.

MS. SALVIDREZ: You're correct.
MS. ORTH: Okay. Mr. Bruce, would you object to setting this matter for July 6th for a contested hearing?

MR. BRUCE: No. And Ms. McLean is right. Everybody filed all that they wanted to file, and we were ready to go. Unfortunately, Ms. Hardy's not available, and I'm sure BTA wants this heard as soon as possible. So does Texas Standard. So July 6th would be perfectly fine.

MS. ORTH: All right.
MS. McLEAN: Sorry, Ms. Examiner. We
were hoping for a date in June. Unfortunately, July 6th is not going to work for our witnesses for BTA.

MR. BRUCE: I will go with whatever BTA wants, by the way. So will my client.

MS. ORTH: All right. I have to
consult with Ms. Salvidrez here in real time.
Ms. Salvidrez, is there any chance of putting a contested hearing on June 1st, for example?

MS. SALVIDREZ: That's fine. It's only
one case. But $I$ will need a continuance filed right away so I can set up the docket.

MS. McLEAN: We can do that. Thank you, Ms. Salvidrez.

MS. ORTH: Ms. McLean, we will need a continuance filed through the porthole in order to have a contested hearing on June 1st.

MS. McLEAN: We will do that,
Ms. Examiner. We can do that today.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. I
think I'll see you, then, on June 1st.
MS. McLEAN: Wonderful.

MS. ORTH: And it sounded as though
Mr. Bruce was agreeable.
MR. BRUCE: Yes.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. We
will move, then, to the next.
This is a set of cases, a large set of cases. 23399, 23400, 23401, and 23402. The applicant in each of those is Cimarex Energy. The well name there is Big Iron 4. Then they are related, apparently, to 23308. Mewbourne Oil is the applicant there. Cowbell is the well name. And then 23214 , EGL Resources. The well name there is Cimarron. Let me ask for appearances here. Let's see. From Abadie and Schill, is that you, Mr. Savage?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Good morning, Madam Examiner. Darin Savage with Abadie and Schill on behalf of Cimarex Energy Company.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
Then we have Mewbourne Oil represented by Holland and Hart. Who's here from Holland and Hart?

MR. BRUCE: Madam Examiner, this is Jim
Bruce. I am now representing Mewbourne in these cases. A notice of withdrawal and substitution of counsel was filed, $I$ think, last -- quite some time ago. Six months ago -- no, several months ago, I believe.

MS. ORTH: Okay.
MR. BRUCE: And so I'll refile that just to make it clear that $I$ am the current lead attorney for Mewbourne in these matters.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bruce. Obviously, I missed that substitution of counsel.

Okay. So now we have EGL resources. Mr. Padilla, you're here for EGL? You're muted if you're speaking.

MR. PADILLA: That's correct. I am representing EGL Resources in this series of cases.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you. Oh. And here I actually have Mr. Bruce properly shown as representing Mewbourne.

All right. Let's see. Modrall
Sterling for Marathon?
MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone.
Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling on behalf of Marathon Oil Permian LLC.

MS. ORTH: Thank you. And finally, Matador and MRC Delaware. Who's here for them?

MR. PERKINS: Madam Examiner, this is Kyle Perkins with Matador on behalf of Matador Production Company and MRC Permian Company.

MS. ORTH: All right. Is there anyone I have missed?

MS. BENNETT: Madam Examiner, this is Deana Bennett again. I'm actually in these cases as well for Avant Operating. So Deana Bennett. For Avant Operating, I've entered an appearance in cases 23400, 23402, and 23308.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you very much.

MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
MS. ORTH: So it says we are having a
status conference this morning. Would you like to kick off the discussion, Mr. Savage?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Thank you, Madam Examiner. These cases were scheduled for a contested hearing today, and as $I$ recall, there was a request to vacate the prehearing order and to have a status conference, which was granted and the parties agreed to that. There has been some discussion regarding setting a date and $I$ think there's some uncertainty and questions of availability. There are certainly questions of availability on my end. So there was some discussion about the possibility of doing another status conference on June 15th, but I'm not sure if all the relevant parties were involved in that discussion. I understand EGL has a competing application involved and should be consulted.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
Mr. Padilla?
MR. PADILLA: Madam Examiner, we're caught in the middle between what $I$ understand are overlapping applications, one a three-mile lateral,
another a two-mile lateral. We are waiting for, I believe, Cimarex and Marathon to make a deal so that we can go forward with our one-mile laterals, which are infill wells set under a preexisting compulsory pooling order. But we're not opposed to a continuance to another status conference simply because we are waiting for something to happen between the other parties.

MS. ORTH: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Padilla. So are there objections from any counsel or any part to another status conference on June 15th? MR. BRUCE: No, Madam Examiner. Not from Mewbourne. And the two main parties who have the contest are Cimarex and Mewbourne, not Marathon, although Marathon is obviously involved.

MS. ORTH: All right.
MR. BRUCE: And Mewbourne and Cimarex are in discussions regarding settlement of these matters, and $I$ would prefer status conference also because depending on what goes on I may -- I think I have a conflict there, and $I$ would have to step aside
if necessary. So status conference would be fine.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Bruce.
Any other comment on another status conference being set for June 15th? I'll pause for just a moment here. No?

All right. Mr. Savage, I think that's what we'll do is another status conference on June 15th.

MR. SAVAGE: All right. Thank you, Madam Examiner.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you very much.

We have, it is my understanding, just one more status conference this morning. These are three cases that seem to be related. 23256 and 23257. The applicant is COG Operating. This is compulsory pooling applications. The well name, TLC 30 Fed. Who's here from Holland and Hart on behalf of COG?

MR. RANKIN: Morning, Madam Examiner. May it please the Division, Adam Rankin with the Santa

Fe office of Holland and Hart appearing on behalf of COG Operating in these competing cases.

MS. ORTH: Thank you. And then it looks like the related case or competing case is Mewbourne Oil, 23173. Charlie 31 is the well name, and Mr. Bruce appears to represent Mewbourne in this matter. Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct, Madam Examiner.

MS. ORTH: All right. And it appears we have Abadie and Schill for Coterra Energy. Mr. Savage?

MR. SAVAGE: Yes. Darin Savage on behalf of Coterra Energy and Cimarex Energy Company, et al.

MS. ORTH: All right. Is there anyone I've missed? No? All right. And it says we're having a status conference in this set of matters as well. Mr. Rankin, would you like to begin the discussion?

MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Madam Examiner.

Yes. The parties have been in discussion. This had been set as a contested hearing, and we were preparing to go forward with the contested hearing. However, the parties are continuing to discuss and at the same time COG has reevaluated its plan and is looking at a slightly modified development pattern, so therefore sent out updated well proposals and will have to file amended applications to address the slight change in their spacing.

For that reason, we would ask that either to allow those new applications to become ripe for hearing and for notification purposes, that the cases either be set for status conference, which might be preferable, or can be set for contested hearing at August 3rd, preferably.

MS. ORTH: August 3rd. All right. Are there other input from other counsel?

MR. BRUCE: Madam Chair, I have no objection to what Mr. Rankin said. Cimarron -- excuse me. Mewbourne hopes to settle its differences with COG, and this would give quite a bit of time to
determine whether that's feasible, and so August 3rd would be fine.

MS. ORTH: All right. And that's for the contested hearing, Mr. Rankin, or another status conference?

MR. RANKIN: Madam Chair -- not Madam Chair. Jim, I blame that on you. Madam Examiner, I think in order to avoid extending this too far into the late summer, I think I would ask that it be set for a contested hearing with the knowledge and the understanding that if necessary, the parties could certainly request in advance to convert that to a status conference if it was more appropriate.

MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you.
Any questions or comments from
Mr. Garcia or Mr. Harrison?
MR. GARCIA: No questions.
MR. HARRISON: No questions.
MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you,
gentlemen. In that case, Mr. Rankin, I will schedule the hearing for August 3rd for a contested hearing,

| 1 | and obviously any continuances or other requests would |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | come through the portal. |
| 3 | MR. BRUCE: Thank you. |
| 4 | MR. RANKIN: Thank you. |
| 5 | MS. ORTH: Thank you all. |
| 6 | I believe we have come to the end of |
| 7 | this morning's docket. Is there anything further from |
| 8 | anyone else at all? No? |
| 9 | Well, thank you all very much. It's |
| 10 | been a pleasure to spend the morning with you again, |
| 11 | and I hope to see you and allow you to see me on June |
| 12 | 1st. Thank you all. |
| 13 | (Whereupon, the meeting concluded at |
| 14 | 10:45 a.m.) |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
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| facilities $47: 4,9$ | 22:20 23:9 | form 43:2 | 39:1,3,13,21 |
| 1-48:7,8,9,12,13 | 26:14,18 29:11 | formation 48:3 | 40:3,11,18,21 |
| 49:2,4,19 50:3 | 32:2,6 33:21 | 52:7 53:5 57:1 | 41:4,8,11,12 |
| fact $43: 21$ | 55:20 61:15 | forward 36:2 | 46:7,8 47:11 |
| family $23: 7$ | 62:10,15 64:4 | 41:21 67:3 | 48:18 49:17 |
| far 9:17 22:18 | files 13:6 34:19 | 70:3 | 51:6,11 54:8 |
| 71:8 | filings 29:12 | four 12:13 13:7 | 54:10,11 59:14 |
| fasken 25:21 | 32:10 | 14:18 16:12 | 59:16,19 71:16 |
| 26:4 27:7,16 | final 3:20 37:4 | 32:20 33:16 | 71:17 |
| 55:12,15 56:5 | finally $32: 17$ | 34:16,21 35:7 | gas 42:8,14 |
| 56:6 | 33:4 65:6 | 36:6 37:5 39:4 | geared 61:1 |
| fe 1:20 5:13 | financially | 42:2 43:20 | generally 20:21 |
| 22:13 28:14,21 | 73:15 74:11 | 46:19 48:11 | gentlemen |
| 69:1 | find 3:16 6:19 | 49:3,11 58:20 | 71:20 |
| feasible 71:1 | fine 9:17 19:18 | fourth 20:1 | geology 53:13 |
| fed 15:16 22:8 | 20:2 61:19 | free 20:7 21:3 | 53:14 58:9 |
| 22:8 51:14 | 62:9 68:1 71:2 | fulton 1:22 | getting 19:7 |
| 57:6,11,16 | firm 6:8 15:7 | 73:2,21 | 47:1 |
| 68:18 | firms 7:14 | further 72:7 | give 5:18 32:13 |
| federal 52:12 | first 4:16 5:20 | 73:12 74:9 | 70:21 |
| 52:17 53:2 | 5:21 13:16 | g | given 34:2 35:9 |
| feeling 42:21 | 16:12 18:6 | g 3:1 | 44:15 45:4 |
| feet $53: 357: 17$ | fix $6 \cdot 19$ | gallegos 7:16 | \% 17:4 19:15 |
| felicia $2: 4$ | fix 6:19 | 7:17 | 28:7 40:9 41:3 |
| 41:13 | flaring 50:5 | garcia 2:5 3:10 | 44:19 47:12 |
| figure 18:1 | folks 36:14 | 4:6,11,14 | 56:16 61:1,16 |
| file 16:11 19:3 | 42:21 | 10:14,18 12:17 | 62:3 67:3 70:3 |
| 24:21 31:6 | following 7:20 | $12: 20 \text { 13:2,3 }$ | goes 67:20 |
| 32:10 38:11 | 15:9 42:19 | 14:5,11,13 |  |
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| going 4:14 10:9 | handful 46:11 | 10:9,18 11:3,9 | holland 22:9,11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:12,14 19:4 | handled 3:19 | 11:20 12:5 | 22:14 25:15 |
| 25:6 33:13 | hang 40:4 | 13:4,10,16 | 28:18 29:1 |
| 37:16 40:5,12 | hangup 13:13 | 17:17,18,20 | 31:1 55:12 |
| 49:21 62:2 | hanson 7:16,17 | 19:12,14 21:12 | 63:20,20 68:19 |
| good 5:11,12 | happen 61:2 | 22:12 23:13,14 | 69:1 |
| 6:7,10,12 7:9 | 67:7 | 24:9 32:2,7,15 | hope 72:11 |
| 9:5 11:7 15:6 | happened | 32:18 33:21 | hopes 70:20 |
| 16:5,8,9 22:12 | 13:10,19 | 38:15 39:9 | hoping 62:1 |
| 22:15 25:19 | hardy 58:16 | 42:13 46:12 | horizontal 52:8 |
| 26:7 28:12,20 | hardy's 61:16 | 49:8 50:9 | 53:5 57:2,21 |
| 31:3,13,15 | harrison 2:3 | 53:19,21 56:4 | host 2:64:2 |
| 55:2,5,6,14 | 3:10 4:6,9,14 | 61:1,5,7,13 | hours 13:7 |
| 63:15 65:3 | 10:15,18 12:17 | 62:8,16 66:5 | hudson 33:19 |
| grace 5:18 | 21:18 24:5,7 | 70:2,3,12,14 | 33:20 35:5,5 |
| granted 66:7 | 28:2,3 29:20 | 71:4,10,21,21 | i |
| great 9:19,21 | 30:2 35:17,18 | hearings 1:3 | identical 13:5,6 |
| 51:3 | 39:1 41:15,17 | 3:20 | 14:3,9 38:1 |
| green 38:11 | 46:7 51:7,9,11 | hearsay 49:7 | identified |
| 40:10,13 58:19 | 54:8,12,14 | helder 58:10 | 33:16,20 |
| grill 37:19 | 59:15,17,19 | hereto 73:14 | identifies 34:21 |
| grimley 18:12 | 71:16,18 | 74:11 | identify 33:17 |
| group 43:21 | hart $22: 9,11,14$ | hi 6:12 | 35:6 |
| groupings 37:4 | 25:15 28:18 | highlighted | ignore 39:11,16 |
| guess 12:21 | 29:1 31:1 | 34:8 43:21 | imaging 13:16 |
| 34:4 39:6 | 55:13 63:20,21 | hinkle 6:11,13 | include 53:14 |
| gun 53:15 | 68:19 69:1 | 11:16 25:10 | includes 58:3,4 |
| 58:14 | hash 15:13 | 51:15 55:1,3 | $58: 9,10,15,16$ |
| h | headed 7:21 | 60:9 | including 3:9 |
| h 57:15 | $17: 15$ | history 7:20 | 33:18 53:10 |
| half $48: 10,10$ | hear 27:2 55:1 $\text { heard } 8: 6,6$ | hole 52:14,15 $52: 18,2057: 7$ | incorporated |
| 48:11,11 52:9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { heard } 8: 6,6 \\ 21: 7 \text { 61:17 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 18,2057: 7 \\ & 57: 9,12,14 \end{aligned}$ | 31:6 |
| 53:3,3,4,4 57:3 | hearing 3:6 5:5 | holiday 20:10 | indicated 45:20 |
| 57:18,18,19,19 | $5: 128: 49: 3$ | $20: 11,20$ | indicating 47:5 |

[infill - longer]

| $\text { infill } 67: 4$ | issue 10:9 | 66:13 67:11 | laura 45:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| information | issued 37:2 | k | lead 64:9 |
| 11:21 12:2,8 | issues 18:20 | kick 66:2 | left 12:6 60:4 |
| 12:19 14:15 | 49:6 | kind 6:1 43:2 | letter 34:19 |
| 24:15 29:6,21 | issuing 10:18 | know 5:20 6:19 | 53:12,19 58:6 |
| 36:2 37:8 38:1 | 11:9 | $8: 219: 1 \quad 13: 9$ | 58:17 |
| 44:5 50:16 | items 29:10,15 | 20:15 22:18 | letters 58:18 |
| informed 43:11 | 46:11 | 20.15 22.18 | lewis 33:19 |
| input 70:17 | j | 47:13,18 48:17 | 35:5 |
| insert 38:14 | jackie 2:10 | 48:21 49:18,20 | limited 35:2 |
| instance 48:9 intend $37: 7$ | 6:12 11:17 | 50:2,4 56:11 | 44:12 line 37.653 .3 |
| intended 16:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 1251: 17 \\ & 60: 11 \end{aligned}$ | knowledge 71:10 73:9 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { line } 37: 653: 3 \\ 57: 17 \end{array}$ |
| intense 3:3 | jalapeno 7:14 | $74: 6$ | list 2:2 |
| interest 5:15 <br> $34: 738.5$ | jim 2:18 15:1 | kyle 2:16 65:9 | listed 35:8 <br> little 5:17 6:1 |
| $43: 13 \text { 45:7 }$ | 64:171:7 | 1 | little $5: 176: 1$ $14: 917: 632: 7$ |
| 52:6 53:17 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { job } & 1: 23 \\ \text { john } & 2: 3,5 \\ 3: 9 \end{array}$ | land 43:7 45:5 | 48:14 |
| 56:21 58:5 | $3: 1058: 2$ | 47:19 49:18 | Ilc 5:15 16:7 |
| interested 10:6 | jolly 43:19 | 53:9,10 58:3 | 30:21 31:5 |
| 40:16 73:15 | josephine | landman 33:16 | 35:3 42:15 |
| 74:12 | 33:20 35:5 | 43:19 44:6 | 55:10 65:5 |
| interests 53:11 | $\text { july } 19: 2,5,12$ |  | located 53:2 |
| interval 53:1 | $19: 13,1320: 4$ | landman's 38:3 | 57:16 |
| 57:15 | $20: 9,11,20$ | lands 45:6 | location 52:14 |
| intervals 53:16 |  | large 46:18 | 52:15 53:15 |
| introduce 3:11 |  | 47:1,6 63:6 | 57:7,9 |
| 3:15 | jumping 20:19 | larger 4:21 | locations 52:18 |
| involve 36:21 | $20 \div 21$ | 24:14 25:2 | 52:20 57:12 |
| involved 8:8 | june 19:1,3,4 | late 19:1 71:9 | locator 58:11 |
| 46:15 47:21 | $23: 15.17 .21$ | lateral 66:21 | long 7:20 20:11 |
| 66:14,16 67:15 | $24: 7 \text { 27:10,17 }$ | 67:1 | 32:14 49:4 |
| iron 63:9 | $28: 551: 1.1 .3 .5$ | laterals 67:3 | longer 44:3,18 |
|  | $62: 1,8,16,20$ |  | 46:2 56:6 58:7 |
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| look 5:4 13:6 | 69:21 70:18 | matador 5:14 | mean 11:7 49:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:12 23:3 | 71:6,6,7 | 10:6 24:18 | 49:10 50:6 |
| 34:5,12,17 | mail 40:17 | 25:8,15,17 | meant 43:15 |
| 41:21 43:20 | 58:19 | 26:17,17,20 | meeting 72:13 |
| 50:16 | mailed 41:6 | 65:7,9,9 | mention 42:18 |
| looking 17:20 | main 67:13 | matter 8:19 | mentioned 35:8 |
| 20:6 34:5 70:5 | maine 17:15 | 11:7,15 14:14 | 49:15 |
| looks 32:1 | make 3:6 4:7 | 19:11 27:9 | mewbourne |
| 46:20 60:3 | 20:10 23:11 | 32:4 36:1 38:4 | 4:20 6:11,13 |
| 69:4 | 36:16 64:9 | 38:12,16,17 | 9:16 30:13 |
| lot 38:4 40:13 | 67:2 | 39:12 44:14 | 36:9,13 37:3,6 |
| 40:15 46:10 | makes 9:10 | 45:10,11 48:12 | 42:6 43:6,19 |
| 47:2,12 50:4 | 18:1 | 50:10 59:20 | 44:1 46:1 |
| lunch 13:18,20 | making 7:15 | 61:2,12 69:7 | 47:18 63:10,19 |
| m | 8:8 14:6 | matters 14:18 | 64:2,10,21 |
| macho 42:7 | management | 25:4 30:9 | 67:13,14,17 |
| madam 5:11 | 45:5 | 136 | 69:5,6 70:20 |
| 6:7 7:3,9 8:3 | map $53: 15,15$ | 48:6 64:10 | mewbourne's |
| 9:5 10:4,20 | 53:17 58:11,11 | 67:19 69:18 | 48:16 |
| 15:1,6,21 16:5 | 58:12 | mclean 2:10 | mexico 1:1 57:4 |
| 16:17 18:7 | marathon 65:2 | 6:12,13,16,20 | 73:23 |
| 19:17 21:3 | 65:5 67:2,14 | 9:15,20,21 | mic 41:12 |
| 22:12 23:1 | 67:15 | 11:17,17 12:4 | michael 2:11 |
| 24:9 25:1,16 | march 32:8 | 12:18 13:2,9 | middle 66:20 |
| 26:2,7,16 | 59:1 | 14:8,15,16 | mile 48:4 66:21 |
| $27: 14 \text { 28:12 }$ | mark 42:19 | 25:12,12 27:4 | 67:1,3 |
| 30:20 31:3 | 45:8 | 27:5,6 51:15 | mine 21:16 |
| 32:5 36:20 | marked 32:21 | 51:16,17,20 | mineral 45:7 |
| 42:13 43:5 | 38:2 | 52:4 54:7,15 | minerals 1:1 |
| 50:7 55:2 56:4 | marks 15:13 | 54:18 60:10,11 | mini 49:10 |
| 59:10 60:1 | marlene 1:17 | 60:12,20,21 | minimize 48:6 |
| 63:15 64:1 | 2:6 3:9 4:2,5 | 61:14,21 62:12 | minimizing |
| 65:8,13 66:3 | 61:8 | 62:14,17,21 | 48:13,13 |
| 66:19 67:12 | massive 34:13 | mclean's 27:15 | minutes 3:4 |
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| miscellaneous | 31:13,15,20 | 30:14 36:11 | nominated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:6 | 42:17,21 43:3 | 42:7 51:14 | 43:3 |
| missed 64:12 | 51:18 55:2,5,6 | 54:21 60:8 | nona 42:8,15 |
| 65:12 69:17 | 55:14 56:1 | 63:8,11,12 | nonstandard |
| missing 46:10 | 60:20 63:15 | 68:18 69:5 | 43:9 44:4,8,13 |
| 46:11 | 65:3 66:1 | named 23:7 | 44:19 45:12 |
| misstated 61:8 | 68:15,20 72:10 | names 23:3 | 48:6 |
| mixed 39:8 | morning's 5:2 | 38:13 | nope $28: 3$ |
| moderated | 72:7 | natural 1:1 | north 22:8 23:7 |
| 1:17 | morphed 6:1 | nearby 37:9 | 48:10,10,11 |
| modified 70:6 | motion 16:12 | necessary 36:2 | 52:9 53:3,3,4 |
| modrall 6:5,8 | 16:19 17:1,2 | 43:14 44:3 | 57:3,18,18,19 |
| 15:7 31:12 | 18:13 22:20 | 45:19 68:1 | northeast 57:9 |
| 42:10,14 65:1 | motions 19:3,5 | 71:11 | 57:10,14 |
| 65:4 | move 5:6 8:1,7 | need 33:12 | northwest |
| moment 32:9 | 14:17 23:14,17 | 37:15,17 44:8 | 52:14,16,19,19 |
| 32:11 68:6 | 24:11 30:12 | 44:13 55:18 | 52:20,21 57:8 |
| monday 23:10 | 36:2,8 42:4 | 61:4 62:10,14 | 57:13 |
| money 48:14 | 51:12 54:19 | needed 29:11 | notary 73:22 |
| 49:15 50:1 | 60:4 63:5 | 32:12 | note 27:15 |
| monitoring 7:4 | mre 28:17 29:2 | needs 44:10 | 60:18 |
| 37:8 | 65:7,10 | negotiations | notice 26:14,18 |
| montgomery | msp 49:7 | 17:7 | 29:11,13,17 |
| 7:8,11 25:21 | munds 2:14 | neither 73:10 | 32:14,20 33:2 |
| 26:3 | 16:5,6,9 19:10 | 74:7 | 34:1,2 35:9 |
| months 8:12,16 | 19:15,16 26:7 | never 47:15 | 38:2,3,9,11 |
| 9:10 64:5,5 | 26:8 30:19,20 | new 1:1 57:4 | 40:6,21 41:2 |
| morning 3:5 | 30:21 32:15 | 61:4 70:11 | 42:2 43:15,17 |
| 4:3,5,7 5:11,12 | 55:8,9,10 | 73:23 | 44:15,16 45:3 |
| 6:7,10,12 7:9 | 56:11,13 | newspaper | 45:3,4,8 53:18 |
| 7:12 9:5 15:6 | muted 64:15 | 54:1 59:1 | 53:19,21 58:15 |
| 16:5,8,9,14 | n | nice 18:7 31:18 | 58:17 64:3 |
| 18:12 22:12,16 | $13:$ | nine 4:20 | noticed 5:3 |
| 25:16,20 26:7 |  | nm 1:20 | 26:13 32:11 |
| 28:12,20 31:3 | 22:7 23:4 |  | 43:13 |
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| notices 44:18 | occur 39:10 | 41:4,10 49:12 | originally $32: 6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| notification | ocd 1:4 3:20 | 50:6,18 61:11 | 37:3 43:6 |
| 70:12 | 8:5,7 10:7 | 64:7,14 67:9 | orth 2:4 3:2 |
| notified 33:11 | ocd's 13:14 | old 33:11 | 4:13 5:16 6:3 |
| 35:7 40:19 | ocean 2:14 16:6 | once 10:9 | 6:10,15,18,21 |
| 44:8,10,13 | 26:8 30:21 | ones 14:1 35:8 | 7:6,13 8:20 |
| 45:4 | 55:9 56:11 | ongoing 9:9 | 9:13,19 10:2,8 |
| novo 8:4 | offense 18:15 | 18:16 19:6 | 10:17 11:4,9 |
| nsp 46:11,12,18 | office 5:13 | open 12:6 | 11:13,19 12:15 |
| 47:6 | 22:13 28:14 | operating 16:7 | 14:13,17 15:3 |
| nsps 47:1 | 29:1 40:9 69:1 | 24:13 25:7,11 | 15:12 16:3,8 |
| number 8:9 | officer 73:1,2 | 28:15 30:21 | 16:10 17:3 |
| 39:14 47:14 | offset 45:7 | 31:5 35:3 | 18:3,9 19:8,19 |
| 48:1,3 52:5 | 53:17 | 44:12 55:10 | 20:3,18 21:4,9 |
| 53:8 54:3,16 | offsets 44:7 | 60:14,15 65:15 | 21:14,17,21 |
| 56:20 59:5 | oh 3:14 6:3 | 65:16 68:17 | 22:5,15,19 |
| numbers 1:5 | 15:4 16:8 | 69:2 | 23:20 24:6,11 |
| 12:12 13:11 | 31:15 39:8 | operational | 25:3,14,19 |
| 45:1 57:6 | 41:2,8,14 | 48:6 | 26:5,9 27:1,5 |
| numerous | 64:19 | operator 18:2 | 27:12,18 28:2 |
| 47:18 | oil 1:3 4:20 | 48:2 | 28:4,16 29:3 |
| 0 | 11:14 25:21 | operators 45:3 | 29:19 30:4,7 |
| ( 3:1 | 26:4 30:13 | 48:20 | 30:11,17 31:1 |
| object 61:12 | 36:9 39:17 | opportunity | 31:9,15,19 |
| objection 26:21 | 40:1 42:6,8,14 | 50:16 | 33:6 35:16,20 |
| 30:4 56:14 | 55:12,15 60:6 | opposed 67:5 | 36:5 38:20 |
| 70:19 | 63:10,19 65:5 | option 23:19 | 41:10,14,19 |
| objections 30:6 | 69:5 | order 10:10,19 | 42:4,16 45:14 |
| 56:17 59:11 | okay 6:20 11:4 | 11:10 36:11 | 46:5 50:13,21 |
| 67:10 | 11:9 13:3 14:5 | 52:6 62:15 | 51:4,10,18 |
| obviously | 18:3 21:17 | 66:6 67:5 71:8 | 52:2 54:6,11 |
| 23:16 64:12 | 25:3 27:12 | orders 5:5 37:2 | 54:14,19 55:5 |
| 67:15 72:1 | 31:9 33:6 34:4 | 37:5,13 | 55:7,11,17 |
| occ $8: 7$ | 35:14 36:7 | original $37: 1$ | 56:9,16 59:7 |
| oce 8.7 | 39:21 40:11,18 |  | 59:13,18 60:3 |
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[porthole - quite]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { porthole } 62: 15 \\ \text { portion } 44: 2 \\ 46: 3 \\ \text { possibility } 8: 18 \\ 9: 366: 12 \\ \text { possible } 11: 8 \\ 18: 1821: 8 \end{gathered}$ | presentation <br> 43:2 <br> presented <br> 27:11 28:6 <br> 32:4,8 37:2 <br> preserve 45:19 <br> pretty 34:13 | production <br> 5:14 24:18 <br> 25:8 49:6 <br> 65:10 <br> productive 9:8 <br> 17:7 <br> professional's | purpose $\quad 43: 8$ <br> purposes $\quad 44: 18$ <br> $70: 12$ <br> put $\quad 17: 9 \quad 28: 4$ <br> $42: 19$ <br> $55: 9$ <br> $50: 10$ <br> putting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50:19 61:18 | prevent 50:1 | 53:9 | q |
| possibly 17:21 <br> post 40:9 <br> posted 3:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { previous } 36: 11 \\ & \text { previously } \quad 14: 4 \\ & 35: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pronouncing } \\ & \text { 15:19 } \\ & \text { proof } 32: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { qualified } & 73: 7 \\ \text { quarter } & 52: 14 \\ 52: 15,16,16,19 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { pre } 5: 510: 9,18 \\ 11: 923: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { prior 11:20 } \\ 47: 2073: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53: 20 \\ \text { properly } 64: 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 19,21,21 \\ & 57: 8,8,10,10,13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 32:2 preexisting | private 17:9 probably 33:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { proposal } 53: 12 \\ 58: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 13,14,14,17 \\ & 57: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 67:4 | 38:12 49:14 | proposals 70:7 | question 12:18 |
| prefer 50:8 | problems 6:17 | propose 38:2 | 13:4,4 39:2,3 |
| 67:19 | 17:13 19:6 | proposed 43:17 | 42:19 45:8 |
| preferable | 20:9 | prove 49:7 | 46:16 50:7 |
| 70:14 | procedurally | provide 12:7 | questions 12:11 |
| preferably | 11:7 | 38:14 40:7 | 12:21 14:12 |
| 70:15 preference 9.11 | proceed 52:3 | 47:3,20 48:16 | 21:18,20 24:2 |
| preference 9:11 | proceeding 8:5 | provided 23:12 | 24:4,5 27:20 |
| 10:5 51:1 | 5:21 55:21 | 58:1 | 28:1 29:21 |
| prehearing | 56:4,15,18 | providing | 30:1,2 33:7 |
| 55:20 60:17 | 74:4 | 38:18 | 35:15,17,19 |
| 66:6 | proceedings | proximity | 41:9,16,17 |
| preparation | 7:5 73:3,4,6,8 | 57:20 | 46:8 50:12 |
| 5:2 | 74:6 | public 45:6 | $54: 5,9,10,12$ |
| prepared 74:3 | process 3:17 | 73:22 | $59: 3,9,10,14,16$ |
| preparing 70:2 | 17:10 | publication | 59:17 66:10,11 |
| present 6:1 | producers | 33:1 38:6 | 71:15,17,18 |
| 13:20 32:9 | 11:14 39:17 $40: 1$ | 58:21 | quite 8:7 18:17 |
| 47:15 | 40:1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { published } \\ 53: 2159: 1 \end{gathered}$ | 64:4 70:21 |
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| quote 18:8 | ```received \(34: 1\) 58:19 receiving 41:21 recent 5:19 recently 6:2 record 12:12 13:21 29:18 33:4 38:17 54:3 59:4 73:9 74:5 recorded 73:6 recording 73:8 74:4 recover 40:9 reduced 73:6 reevaluated 70:5 references 33:12 referred 32:15 refile 19:6 64:8 reflects 58:7 regarding 12:8 43:16 44:6 66:8 67:18 regional 58:11 regular 32:10 related 3:17 23:4 24:14,20 39:14 42:1 53:14 58:3 63:9 68:16 69:4 73:11 74:7``` | relative 73:13 | resolution 8:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{r}$ |  | 74:10 | resolve $8: 9$, |
| $13: 1$ |  | released 13 | 11:8 |
| $\operatorname{ram} 42: 9,15$ |  | relevant 66: | resourc |
| ranch 26:1,4 |  | remaining | $7: 115: 2$ 63:12 $64 \cdot 14,18$ |
| 55:12,16 |  | 29:14,15 <br> remains | 64:14,18 |
| range 52:9 57:4 |  | remams remer 5:17 | rest 32:19 |
| 25:16,17 26:16 |  | reported 1:22 | resubmitted |
| 26:17 27:2 |  | represent 69:6 | 14:1 |
| 28:18,20,21 |  | representations | returned 8: |
| 30:6 31:3,4 |  | 27:16 | review 50: |
| 55:13,14,15 |  | represented | eviewed |
| 59:10,13 68:20 |  | 3:19 | evised 29: |
| 68:21 69:19,21 |  | representin | ide |
| 0:19 71:4,6 |  | 6:12 64:2,18 | right 3:13,14 |
| 1:20 72:4 |  | 64:21 | 5:16 7:6,13,18 |
| tes 12:1,9 |  | request 8:11 | 9:13 10:8,9 |
| rather 23:10 |  | 23:14 29:5 | 11:13,19 14:6 |
| reach 4:5 |  | 45:10 46:2,18 | 15:3,14 16:3 |
| reached 26:19 |  | 66:5 71:12 | 16:10 17:3 |
| 44:1 56:5 |  | requested | 19:8,19 20:3 |
| ad 24:21 |  | 46:1 | 20:13,18 21 |
| $\text { ady } 17: 17$ |  | 47:13,15 48:19 | 21:9,14,17,21 |
| 33:2 61:16 |  | requesting | 22:15,19 23:20 |
| $\text { al } 62: 6$ |  | 37:12 44:4 | 24:1,6 25:5,14 |
| $\text { ealize } \quad 11: 5$ |  | 47:2,6 48:19 | 25:19 26:5,12 |
| really 43:2 48:5 |  | requests 44:2 | 28:4,7 29:3,19 |
| reason 13:13 |  | 72:1 | 30:7,17 31:11 |
| 8:11 70:10 |  | require 46:13 | 32:1 35:16,20 |
| all 8:4 66:5 |  | required 45:2,6 | 36:16,17 38:20 |
| $\text { cap } 34: 7$ |  | requiring 45:3 | 41:19 42:17 |
| receive 38:10 |  | 47:11 49:7 | 45:14 50:5,2 |
|  |  | reserving 19:21 | 51:10 52:2 |
|  |  |  | 54:15 55:17,19 |
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| 56:9,16 59:18 | sample 58:16 | 52:21 53:4,16 | separating 53:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:17 61:6,15 | santa 1:20 5:13 | 57:9,10,13,15 | 57:18 |
| 61:20 62:5,10 | 22:13 28:13,21 | 57:17 58:11,13 | september 8:18 |
| 62:19 63:4,18 | 68:21 | 58:13 | 9:1,12,17 10:7 |
| 64:11,19 65:1 | satisfy 29:15 | sections 36:21 | 10:8,19,21 |
| 65:11,18 66:17 | savage 2:75:11 | 43:7 47:19 | 11:2,10 17:21 |
| 67:16 68:2,7 | 5:13,19 6:4 8:3 | 48:2,10 57:3 | 18:21 |
| 68:10,12 69:10 | 8:20 10:4,5,20 | 57:19 | series 64:18 |
| 69:16,17 70:16 | 11:5,12 15:20 | see 3:10 6:5,15 | session 5:3 |
| 71:3,14,19 | 15:21 16:1 | 6:21 7:1,7,7 | 11:20 |
| rightmost | 19:20,21 25:1 | 9:20 12:1 13:7 | set 4:16 17:19 |
| 15:14 | 28:10,12,13 | 13:8 14:20 | 21:9,11 22:1 |
| rights 20:1 | 29:8,9 30:10 | 15:12 16:3 | 24:7,11,14 |
| 45:19 | 63:14,15,16 | 18:8,11 22:5 | 27:17 36:8 |
| righty 6:18 | 66:2,3 68:7,10 | 22:10 23:21 | 40:16 62:11 |
| 10:2 51:4 | 69:12,13,13 | 28:10 29:5 | 63:6,6 67:4 |
| ripe 70:11 | save 49:20 50:3 | 30:18 31:18 | 68:5 69:18 |
| road 9:10 | saved 48:21,21 | 34:6,8 36:1,13 | 70:2,13,14 |
| rock 15:2,9,15 | 49:1,15,18 | 40:13 46:15 | 71:9 |
| 15:17 16:13 | saving 48:14 | 51:19 62:20 | sets 48:11 |
| 17:9,15 18:16 | saw 5:4 7:14 | 63:13 65:1 | 53:18 |
| 18:17 | 16:11 22:20 | 72:11,11 | setting 9:3 |
| rock's 17:12 | 55:20 60:13,17 | seeing 49:18 | 21:19 61:12 |
| rodriguez 2:11 | says 65:21 | seeking 52:5 | 66:9 |
| roman 2:17 | 69:17 | seeks 56:20 | settle 70:20 |
| rules 45:7 49:1 | schedule 71:20 | seem 68:16 | settlement |
| 50:5 | scheduled | seemed 32:3 | 67:18 |
| run 13:19 | 20:13 66:4 | seems 8:14 | seven 37:3 |
| S | schill 5:9,14 | seigel 2:17 | several 64:5 |
| S 2:1 3:1 | 28:10,13 63:14 | sense 9:10 18:1 | shaheen 2:13 |
| sale 17:10 | 63:16 69:11 | sent 53:20 | 7:7,9,10 26:2,3 |
| salvidrez 1:17 | second 13:3 | 58:17,20 70:7 | 27:14,19 |
| 2:6 3:9 61:10 | 36:8 48:3 | separate 39:12 | shanor 6:11,13 |
| 62:6,7,9,13 | section 43:7 | separately 45:5 | 11:16 25:10 |
| -62.6,, , 13 | 52:9,15,16,19 |  | 51:15 55:1,3 |
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| 60:9 | skills 73:10 | sperling 6:6,8 | 21:12 26:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sharon 2:13 | 74:6 | 15:7 31:12 | 39:11 40:17 |
| 7:10 26:3 | slight 70:8 | 42:11,14 65:4 | 60:4,19 66:1,6 |
| shortly 38:12 | slightly 70:6 | spotlight 42:5 | 66:13 67:6,11 |
| 39:18 | sloth 38:12 | spreadsheet | 67:19 68:1,4,8 |
| show 34:2 | small 13:4 | 33:2 38:9,12 | 68:15 69:18 |
| showed 13:14 | smiling 18:8 | 38:14,18 40:8 | 70:13 71:4,13 |
| showing 6:14 | snow 42:8,9,14 | 42:1 45:1 | step 67:21 |
| 44:6 46:13 | 42:15 45:18,20 | spring 48:1,2,4 | sterling 65:2 |
| 48:21 49:15,21 | soon 17:18 40:4 | 56:21 58:12 | stratographic |
| shown 64:20 | 50:19 61:18 | springs 46:21 | 58:13 |
| shows 44:7 | sorry 3:5,14 | spur 51:14,17 | structural |
| 45:1 | 34:5 40:21 | 52:5 | 53:16 58:12 |
| shtoberg 58:2 | 41:14 61:21 | square 6:15 | structure 53:15 |
| shy 46:19 | sort 4:3 20:14 | staff 3:8,9 | 58:12 |
| sic 13:1 | 50:3 | 50:15 | stuff 14:6 35:12 |
| side 13:14 47:7 | sought 43:6 | stand 58:12 | 46:10 |
| 47:7 49:11,11 | sounded 63:1 | standard 39:18 | subject 37:5,13 |
| sides 49:11 | south 37:1 43:8 | 47:7 49:3,11 | 38:18 |
| siegemund | 48:10 52:9 | 52:8 53:5 57:2 | submit 50:8,18 |
| 74:2,18 | 53:4 57:3,18 | 57:21 60:14,15 | submitted 13:7 |
| signature 73:20 | southeast 57:14 | 61:18 | 13:11,14,15,17 |
| 74:17 | southwest | start 4:7 25:11 | 14:3 29:7 |
| signed 5:5 | 52:14,16 57:8 | 60:20 | 32:18 33:15 |
| significant | 57:12 | state 47:17 | 34:16,21 38:7 |
| 20:14 | spacing 23:5 | 60:873:23 | 53:761:3 |
| similar 47:5 | 43:9 49:3 52:8 | statement | submitting |
| simple 45:9 | 52:11 53:6 | 23:13 32:2 | 50:17 |
| simply 33:3 | 57:2,5,21 70:9 | 55:20 | subsea 53:15 |
| 67:6 | spanish 30:14 | statements | subsequent |
| siobhan 74:2 | speak 3:13 9:2 | 60:18 | 38:15 50:9 |
| 74:18 | 36:16 61:8 | status 7:19,21 | subsequently |
| sir 40:2 | speaking 64:16 | 8:9,15 9:7,9 | 23:13 |
| six 64:5 | spend 72:10 | 10:21 11:2,10 | substitution |
|  |  | 16:11,15,21 | 64:3,12 |

Page 19
[successor - time]

| successor 5:15 | sworn 73:5 | thank 4:13 5:16 | 69:3,21 71:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | t | 6:3,20 7:6,13 | 71:19 72:3,4,5 |
| ors 5:17 | table 40:6 | 8:20 9:13,19 | 72:9,12 |
| sufficient 50:20 | take 5:17 17:11 | 10:16,17 11:12 | thing 18:6 |
| suggestion | $36: 142: 2$ | 11:13,19 12:15 | 24:16 38:8 |
| 50:14 56:2 | taken 12:5 30:9 | 14:5,11,13,15 | 47:17 |
| summary 53:12 | 32:14 33:4 | 14:16 15:3 | things 19:7 |
| 58:6 | 38:17 45:11 | 18:3 19:8,19 | 49:17 |
| summer 71:9 | 54:4,17 59:5 | 20:2 21:12,21 | think 5:7 7:1 |
| summers 20:20 | 59:12,20 73:3 | 22:2,3,4,15,19 | 16:19 17:13,17 |
| superior 50:14 | 73:12 74:9 | 23:20 24:6,8,9 | 17:21 18:21 |
| supplement | talk 8:16 | 25:3,14,19 | 23:21 24:19 |
| 11:21 12:13 | tap | 26:9,16 27:1,6 | 26:11 32:17 |
| supplemental | $15: 16 \text { 16:1 }$ | 27:12,18 28:6 | 33:15,17 37:7 |
| 12:2,18,21 | $17: 9,12,15$ | 28:16 29:3,19 | 37:15 41:5,12 |
| 14:15 29:6,21 | 18:16,17 | 30:3,7,10,11,16 | 46:10 48:5 |
| 32:19 | tascosa 54:20 | 30:20 31:1,9 | 50:13 62:20 |
| supplementary | 55:1,4 56:5,20 | 31:19 33:6 | 64:4 66:9 |
| 43:15 | technical 3:7,9 | 35:14,16,20 | 67:20 68:7 |
| supplementat... | 3:11 4:2 5:12 | 36:4 38:20 | 71:8,9 |
| 12:6 | 0:12 14:10 | 41:10,17,19 | third 47:21 |
| supplemented | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 1214: 10 \\ & 4: 227: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 42:3,12,16 | 58:11 |
| 24:16 | $60: 2$ | 45:14,17,18 | thought 13:16 |
| supplied 44:6 | technicall | 46:5 51:6,9,10 | 25:3 |
| supposed 17:11 | 46:19 47:6 | 52:4 54:6,11 | three 17:8 |
| 17:14 | terms 16:14 | 54:13,14,15,18 | 32:20 37:4 |
| supposedly | terms 16.14 | 55:9,11,17 | 43:7 45:2,3 |
| 50:4 | terrific $26:$ | 56:19 59:6,7 | 47:19 48:2 |
| sure 14:6,8 | $27: 18$ | 59:13,18 60:1 | 66:21 68:16 |
| 18:6 23:11 | testifying | 60:13,16 62:12 | throw 39:15 |
| 29:9 61:17 | testimony $47: 4$ | 62:19 63:4,18 | thursday 1:18 |
| 66:13 | $53: 9,13,18$ | 64:11,19 65:6 | time 5:21 10:15 |
| surface 48:7,13 | $58: 3,10,16$ | 65:18,20 66:3 | 13:21 18:17 |
| 49:5 52:13,18 | 58.3, 13,16 xas $39: 17$ | 66:17 67:9 | 23:18 37:14,17 |
| 57:7,12 | $60: 14,15 \text { 61:18 }$ | 68:2,10,12 | 40:19 41:1,3,7 |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 46: 450: 20 \\ & 54: 13 \text { 62:6 } \\ & 64: 470: 5,21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { trust } 33: 19,20 \\ & 35: 5,5 \\ & \text { try } 8: 1740: 8,9 \end{aligned}$ | underlying 52:7 57:1 understand | $\begin{array}{lc} \text { used } & 34: 12 \\ \text { using } & 15: 13 \\ \text { usual } & 50: 20 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| timely 53:21 | trying 5:6 34:5 | 11:1,20 17:8 | v |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59: 1 \\ & \text { tlc } \quad 68: 18 \end{aligned}$ | tso $60: 18$ tuesday 13:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 1920: 4,19 \\ & 39: 661: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lc} \text { vacate } & 66: 6 \\ \text { vance } & 2: 12 \end{array}$ |
| today 35:13 | 23:9 | 66:15,20 | $22: 12,13,18$ |
| 39:10 40:9 | turn 3:3 | understanding | 23:1 24:8,9 |
| 61:1 62:18 | two 7:14 10:6 | 21:15 27:7 | variety 3:16 |
| 66:5 today's 9.7 | $12: 21 ~ 13: 5$ <br> 17.7 <br> 22.6 .17 | 68:14 71:11 | venting 50:4 |
| today's 9:7 together | 17:7 22:6,17 | understood | ventura 54:21 |
| old 18:14,16 | 9,16 |  | 57:6,11,16 |
| took 32:7 | + |  | video 6:14 |
| top 5:4 | 67:1,13 | unit 23:5 44:4,8 | view 40:12 |
| totally 39:12,16 | twr 29:14 | 44:14,19 45:12 | voice $3: 6$ |
| toward 8:1 | tyler 43:18 | 48:6 49:10 | voluntarily |
| town 20:10 | type 36:10 | 52:8,11 53:6 | $43: 12,13$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { township } 52: 9 \\ & 57: 3 \end{aligned}$ | typewriting | 57:2,5,8,10,13 | voluntary $44: 1$ |
|  | 73.7 | 57:15,21 | $46: 1$ |
| track 57:20 <br> tracts 46:20 | typical 34:7 | units 43:9,10 | w |
| 53:11 58:4 |  | $45: 13 \text { 49:3,8 }$ | wait 37:16 |
| trans 42:9,15 |  | unquote 18:8 | waiting 67:1,7 |
| transcriber | uncertainty | update 23:13 | walk 4:14 |
|  |  | updated 23:12 | walking 3:20 |
| transcript 74:3 | unclear 17:12 | 23:12 33:13 | 5:1 |
|  | uncommitted | 34:2 35:10,12 | wall 58 |
| transcriptionist$73: 7$ |  | 70:7 | want 48:5,9,15 |
|  |  | usa 14:19 15:8 | 49:13 |
| travel 20:20 |  | 31:6 33:19 | wanted 13 |
| travis 49:14 |  | 35:2 44:12 | 23:2,11 61:15 |
| trouble 4:3,4 | $38: 17$ $45: 12$ $54: 4$ | use 14:6 48:7 | wanting 46:14 |
| true 73:974:5 | 45:12 54:4,17 $59: 5.12 .21$ | 48:13 | 47:8 |
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| wants 18:17 | wonderful | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61:17 62:4 | 62:21 | zn 14:20,20 |
| waste 49:21 | word 42:19 | $\text { zpz } \quad 33: 1935: 4$ |
| 50:1,1,1 | work 62:2 |  |
| way 18:21 | worked 9:21 |  |
| 37:10,11 40:12 | worksheet 3:21 |  |
| 41:15 42:20 | 15:10 |  |
| 62:4 | wrap 10:11 |  |
| we've 8:947:11 | wrapped 25:4 |  |
| 48:19 49:17 | wtt 44:12 |  |
| web 3:19 | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| weeks 17:8,11 | x 49:19,20 |  |
| 33:16 34:16,21 | y |  |
| welcome 18:7 | yarithza 2:15 |  |
| wells 12:9 | 55:3 |  |
| 14:19 28:8 | yay 9:21 |  |
| 37:4,5,9,9,11 | yazo 52:7 |  |
| 37:18,20 46:14 | yeah 14:8 |  |
| 47:18,21 48:1 | 31:19 34:15,16 |  |
| 48:4,4,12,14 | 40:15 41:6,8 |  |
| 52:12,13,17 | 47:10 56:3 |  |
| 57:7,11 67:4 | year 37:8,12,16 |  |
| went 41:1 | 37:19 46:18 |  |
| 46:17 58:18 | years 5:5 |  |
| wide 46:20 | yellow 34:8 |  |
| wife 20:15 | yellowstone |  |
| wish 3:2 | 14:20 |  |
| withdrawal | уep 30:2 36:5 |  |
| 64:3 | yesterday 4:1 |  |
| withdraws | yosemite 14:20 |  |
| 26:20 | young 39:7 |  |
| witness 73:4 <br> witnesses 62:2 |  |  |
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