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PROCEEDINGS
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to the Oil Conservation Division, Docket Number 12-23. Today is Thursday, June the 15th, 2023. And fortunately, we have a short docket this morning. So first -- virtual connectivity interruption -- ask if we have all of the OC folks -virtual connectivity interruption --

MR. BRUCE: This is Jim Bruce. You're cutting out; $I$ can't hear you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Can you hear me now, Jim?

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I apologize, everyone. The internet connection here is not great. I'm coming to you from a boat -- virtual connectivity interruption -- If you don't hear me, just ask me to repeat myself. Marlene, are you there?

MS. SALVIDREZ: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do we call the OCD staff that needs to be present for this?

MS. SALVIDREZ: So I do see Leonard, and I do see Hailee. You all can speak up.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. LOWE: I'm here. This is Leonard.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, folks. Let's proceed then with the docket. Again, it's just 20 cases deep, so we should be able to move through this fairly quickly. My understanding is cases 1 through 6 are consolidated; could you chime in on that, Mr. Bruce?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Then let me formally -- then we're on the record, correct?

THE REPORTER: That is correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Let me call cases 23399, 23400, 23401, 23402, 23214, and 23308. May $I$ have a entry of appearance for the applicant in those cases, or applicants?

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Good morning, Technical Examiners. Darin Savage with the Santa Fe office of Abadie \& Schill, appearing on behalf of Cimarex Energy Company, applicant in the big -- the big iron cases.

MR. BRUCE: And Jim Bruce, on behalf of Mewbourne Oil Company in all these cases.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, Earnest L. Padilla for EGL Resources, Inc.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Padilla. Didn't mean to cut you off. Are
there any other in-persons that are interested in these six cases? If so, would you enter your appearance?

MS. BENNETT: Everyone, Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling. And I'm representing Avant Operating, and that's in cases 23400, 23402, and 23308. And on the docket it notes that I'm -- in certain of the cases from Marathon Oil, but I've reviewed my files, and $I$ don't think $I$ entered an appearance for Marathon in these cases, so I -- it's just Avant in the three cases that I mentioned: 23400, 23402, and 23308. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure, thank you. My understanding -- and I'm sure you guys will correct me if I'm wrong, but -- these six cases are -- at least they're showing on my screen, except for a status conference, essentially a date for a contested hearing. And whether or not you're all in for that scheduling order or a pre-hearing order would be of -of use or value to the -- virtual connectivity interruption -- between now and a -- and a hearing date. Somebody jump in and tell me if I got that right or wrong.

MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Head Examiner, this is Darin Savage, with Cimarex Energy Company. Cimarex
and Mewbourne have been in productive conversations, it appears, and I've talked to Mr. Bruce a little bit, and -- and he can chime in on his position on -- on this. I believe that the parties, that these two parties would like to see an additional status conference in the -- in the future and -- and provide an opportunity to see if we can resolve these -- these matters. Mr. Padilla's involved in this as an applicant for EGL, and -- and we would like to get his opinion on that as well.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
Bruce?
MR. BRUCE: Yeah. I -- I agree with what Mr. Savage stated.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So that was basically, if I'm reading between the lines, you're --you're not -- you don't really want to set a contested hearing at this point? You want to set another status conference down the road?

MR. SAVAGE: That would be correct. To allow the negotiations -- to see if they could come to fruition. There should be some opportunity for that, and I think an additional status conference would be in order to try to get these resolved.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not sure
what the protocol -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
MR. BRUCE: I was just -- Jim Bruce --
I was just going to say that both parties, I think, feel pretty good about resolving -- Mewbourne and Cimarex -- about resolving their differences. Of course, there's still Mr. Padilla and EGL out there. But if it settles, then the big portion of the fight between Mewbourne and Cimarex will go away.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, let me chime in. This is Ernie Padilla. I agree with another status conference. EGL is waiting for resolution of the negotiations between Mewbourne and Cimarex. A lot depends on that negotiation. And hopefully it will get resolved. But I think we're dependent on, to see which way we're going to flow, and how acreage positions are going to change with the negotiation. So we don't have an objection to a further continuance or a further status conference.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Does any interested party have any objection or anything to add, comment-wise, to the statements that have been made already?

MS. BENNETT: This is Deana Bennett, on behalf of Avant Operating. We're monitoring the cases, so I don't have anything to add. Thank you
very much.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure. Thank you, Ms. Bennett. You know, I've often found in other contexts, I'm not sure what the protocol is here, but sometimes parties have a greater incentive to settle the case if they know they have a hearing date or, you know, a trial on the merits that's already set and coming up. Does anybody think that it would be of benefit to your settlement -- speeding along your settlement discussions -- for us to, you know, set a hearing on these six contested cases for some time in the future?

MR. BRUCE: Well, it could be. I think from what was said at the last hearing where you were not the Chief Hearing Examiner, contested hearings were being shoved to, like, the end of September and into October. And, I mean, even just a relatively, you know, that short time for another status conference might -- might resolve whether we need to kick the can down the road to October. So I'd rather have a sooner, you know, a status conference in the next, you know, maybe the mid-July hearing or even the early August hearing. What do you think, Mr. Savage? MR. SAVAGE: Mr. Bruce, I agree with that. I think an additional status -- I mean, I
believe the parties are -- are negotiating in earnest. I mean, it's kind of a complex situation. I think that the parties want to resolve it. Seems like -seems like that's in good faith and, you know, because the dates are so far down, I think that it would -- I think the parties would appreciate an earlier status conference and then set a date for a later hearing at that time.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me ask, Mr. Lowe or Ms. Thompson, do you have any thoughts on this?

MR. LOWE: I have no comments. Thank you.

MS. THOMPSON: No comments.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Salvidrez -I'm sorry, who is that?

MS. SALVIDREZ: Yeah. Let me jump in. So this is Marlene. How about August 3rd, we will issue a scheduling order for a status conference?

MR. BRUCE: I'm up for that.
MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, that sounds good. That -- that's fine with us, with EGL.

MS. SALVIDREZ: Okay. That's fine with me. So we will issue a scheduling order for August 3rd. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Marlene. You answered all my remaining questions. Is there anything else in the first six cases that anyone else wants me to add that the -- virtual connectivity interruption --

Okay. Hearing nothing, we'll move on to cases seven, eight, nine, and 10. Case number 3475 -- virtual connectivity interruption -- 477, 23365, and 23366. -- virtual connectivity interruption -entry of appearance, please, for applicants?

MR. SAVAGE: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Good morning, Technical Examiners. Darin Savage, appearing on behalf of Earthstone Operating, LLC.

MR. BRUCE: And this is Jim Bruce, representing Mewbourne Oil Company in these cases.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. If I'm recalling from the May hearing, there are not any other interested persons, but if there are, now is your time to chime in.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Harwood, good morning, everyone. This is Michael Feldewert with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart, appearing on behalf of MRC Permian Company. And then also on behalf of Devon Energy Production Company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay --
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Mr.
Hearing Examiner. Sorry, Mr. Hearing Examiner, this is Ocean Munds-Dry. I'm representing ConocoPhillips and COG Operating, LLC.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you
-- thank you all. With that on the record, please also --

MR. JONES: I'm sorry, Mr. Hearing Examiner. You also have Blake Jones with Steptoe \& Johnson, appearing on behalf of Northern Oil \& Gas.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect. Before I go on, is there anybody else?

All right. Okay, so these cases are also set for a status conference -- virtual connectivity interruption -- in at least four cases, you all are waiting to hear from us on the motion to dismiss that was heard back in May, the last time I presided over one of these hearings.

And I've got to tell you that the Division -- virtual connectivity interruption -motion and response on that is still being taken under advisement and considered. We apologize for the delay in the ruling, but the -- but the motions are still under consideration, and so we will get a rule to you
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just as soon as possible. Again, there -- virtual connectivity interruption -- on the motion. Anybody have any questions based on -- with what I've just said?

MR. BRUCE: This is Jim Bruce. I don't really have any questions, I guess, except maybe -maybe one. And this is addressed to Mr. Savage, too. What would be the Division's pleasure insofar as setting it for a hearing some -- some months down the road? And I think Marlene would be the one to tell us what dates are available, because $I$ know they're pretty far out there.

MS. SALVIDREZ: So the dates available for contested hearings are September 21 st, any docket after that.

MR. BRUCE: I'm, you know, I --
September 21 or the next, would that be October 2 or 3?

MS. SALVIDREZ: So we have September 21st, October 5th, October 19th.

MR. BRUCE: October 5th's okay. Mr. Savage, do you have any preference?

MR. SAVAGE: No. Either one of those sound good. September 21 st sounds good to us, I believe.

MR. BRUCE: Okay with me.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'm not -

- virtual connectivity interruption -- are there any questions about, you know, the status of the ruling or anything like that?

Hearing nothing, and -- and based on these comments, it will be -- virtual connectivity interruption -- from the Division's perspective, do you guys want this set for a contested hearing on the September 21 st docket? And then -- virtual connectivity interruption -- hearing on merits before?

Okay. I'm not hearing from anybody. Did you hear my comment?

MR. BRUCE: Yes. You know -- and I don't know what the Division's time frame is. I know it might take some time to come to a resolution, and I think Mr. Savage and I have been pretty good about working out dates if, for some reason, $9 / 21$ did not look doable in a couple of months because the Division is still pondering the issues, we could -- we could convert that to a status conference --

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So if I hear what you're -- if $I$ hear what you're saying, Mr. Bruce, -- virtual connectivity interruption -- try for the 21st. -- virtual connectivity interruption --
that we could convert that to a status conference and give you all more time for a contested hearing.

Is that what you're saying?
MR. BRUCE: That's -- yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Marlene, I'm going to ask you, is that -- is that something that we could do and, you know, is that going to -- virtual connectivity interruption --

MS. SALVIDREZ: Yeah. So the Division will issue a pre-hearing order for September 21st. We could always change that to a status conference if the Division hasn't made a decision by then, but $I$ hope they would. So we will issue a pre-hearing order regarding cases 23475,23477 , 23365 , and 23366 is that okay?

MR. BRUCE: Okay with me.
MR. SAVAGE: Yeah, that sounds good.
Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Anything else in -- in those four cases from anyone? All right. I'm going to invoke the five-second rule. Five seconds of silence in response to a question is going to mean no from here on out; how about that?

All right. Moving -- moving right along, my understanding -- correct me if I'm wrong, is
that docket items number 11 through 14 , cases 23329, 23330, 23331, and 23332 are consolidated; is that correct?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. May I have a -- a entry of appearance for the applicant in those cases? Did you hear me? Entry of appearance for the applicant in those four cases?

MR. BRUCE: Jim -- Jim Bruce, representing the applicant.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Are there any other interested persons in those four cases?

MR. SAVAGE: -- excuse me, go ahead.
MS. MUNDS-DRY: Sorry, Mr. Savage. We're kind of stumbling over here. You go ahead, please.

MR. SAVAGE: Darin Savage, appearing on behalf of Coterra Energy and Cimarex Energy Company, et al.

MS. MUNDS-DRY: Good morning, Mr. Hearing Examiner. Ocean Munds-Dry, with ConocoPhillips.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, thank you
$\qquad$

Page 19

MS. PENA: And good morning Mr. -sorry, Mr. Hearing Examiner. One more. Yarithza Pena, with the office of Hinkle Shanor, on behalf of Earthstone Operating.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Any other interested persons? My understanding is that this is a -- a hearing on these four consolidated cases. And I'm also understanding that the case -- the proposal is to present the case by affidavit. A: is that correct, and B: are there any objections to the case being presented by affidavit? MR. BRUCE: Yes, it is to be presented by affidavit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Any objections from anyone to the proposal? All right. Hearing nothing, Mr. Bruce, you may proceed.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. There -- there are four cases, they're -- they're pretty similar. They -- they affect the same property. I'll -- I'll go through them briefly to just state what is -virtual connectivity interruption -- In case 329, EGL seeks to pool the west half/west half of sections 13 and 24 in 19 South 33 East for the purpose of drilling a first Bone Spring well and a second Bone Spring well.

In case 330, EGL seeks the same relief for the east half/west half of sections 13 and 24, same township and range. In cases 23331 and 23332, EGL seeks the same relief, same lands, for the purpose of drilling one Wolfcamp well in each proposed well unit. The exhibit packages, other than the formations, are -- are virtually identical. Exhibit 1 is the application and proposed notice; Exhibit 2 is the landman's affidavit.
(23329/23330/23331/23332 Exhibits 1 and 2 were marked for identification.) MR. BRUCE: Exhibit 3 is the geologist's affidavit, and I would notice I -- note that $I$ did file an updated -- I think it was late Tuesday -- an updated geologist's affidavit which added two cross-sections in it, and I'll get to those in a minute.
(23329/23330/23331/23332 Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)

MR. BRUCE: The landman's affidavit sets forth the -- the usual material, the affidavit requesting the pooling, the -- gives forth the summary of if there's a tract map for each application that is different, showing the interest in the Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp formation. There is no depth severances
in the Bone Spring or the Wolfcamp. So the interests are -- in the west half/west half units are pretty similar; the interest in the east half/west half unit are similar.

EGL has been -- these wells were first proposed in August of last year. And so there's been quite a few contacts between the parties. There's a proposal letter; there's the AFE for each of the wells, and the landman requests overhead rates of 8500 for drilling well and 800 a month for a producing well. And of course the maximum cost plus 200 percent risk charge. I believe the land information is pretty up-to-date.

Then there's a geologist affidavit which contains the usual structure maps, it contains net pay maps, and then cross-sections, I would ask the technical hearing examiners -- I did not get those until late Tuesday. I haven't had a chance to get hold of my geologist. The -- the cross-sections looked a little unreadable to me, and if you want me to get better-quality cross-sections, I will do that for you.

But anyway, the zones are, to quote:
"the Bone Spring and the Wolfcamp zones are continuous across the -- virtual connectivity interruption --
units. Each quarter/quarter section should contribute more or less equally production, and there's no faulting or other geologic impediment to the drilling of the wells."

Exhibit 4 is my affidavit of mailing; there were a couple of unlocatable people, so as a result, we also published notice in the Hobbs newspaper, and that is marked Exhibit 5. Exhibit 6, that was filed separately, but that is the pooling checklist for each of the well units, each of the cases.
(23329/23330/23331/23332 Exhibits 4, 5,
and 6 were marked for identification.)
MR. BRUCE: And then finally Exhibit 7 is the certified notice spreadsheet. It is for all the cases, and so I think the exhibit packages are correct. I would move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 7 in each case, and ask that matters be taken under advisement.
(23329/23330/23331/23332 Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bruce. Exhibits 1 through 7 in each case will be admitted.
(23329/23330/23331/23332 Exhibits 1
through 7 were received into evidence.)
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me ask if there are -- first ask, I should say -- if there are questions from any other parties or interested persons in these four cases, questions for Mr. Bruce.

Okay. Then hearing no response, let me ask if there are questions from any of OCD's technical hearing examiners. I guess Mr. Lowe, we'll start with you.

MR. LOWE: Yes, hi. Good morning. This is Leonard Lowe. I'm -- can everybody hear me okay?

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
MR. LOWE: Okay. Because I'm -- I've got a new -- I'm set up in a different location for today's hearing, so -- good morning, everyone. I have a question, Mr. Bruce, on -- virtual connectivity interruption -- through your AFEs, in particular for case number 23329.

I understand all these wells are located -- are going to be perforating in two sections, sections 13 and 24; correct?

MR. BRUCE: That is correct.
MR. LOWE: And in particular to case

23329, I was looking at the AFE, and the AFE for facility cost indicates that there's a -- a difference of about 7,000 -- $\$ 700,000$ for the 101 H well and the 201H well. Particularly, the 201 H well, the facility's cost is -- is greater than the 101 well. Can you tell me why that -- is that?

MR. BRUCE: Okay. It's greater for the
201H well?
MR. LOWE: Yes, sir.
MR. BRUCE: No, I don't. I can
certainly find out and -- and file a supplemental affidavit from somebody at EGL about that. I don't know -- I -- I think the -- let me see. I guess I didn't look at it with the eagle eye you have, but --

MR. LOWE: The -- the facility costs for the other wells in the other cases are pretty much nominal. It's just the one for the 201 H well is $\$ 714,000$ greater than the 101 H one. I was just curious to know why that was, or what's in -- it's a big, big difference. And $I$ was just curious about it.

MR. BRUCE: Let me inquire, and I'll
get back right back to you on that issue.
MR. LOWE: Okay. That was my first
question. My other question is, you indicated in -in your testimony just a while ago, that you
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referenced an exhibit's page, or numbered exhibits as for the parties that you did not -- could not reach; is that correct?

MR. BRUCE: Yeah. There's --
MR. LOWE: Which -- which exhibit
number is that?
MR. BRUCE: That would be 4. That would be the notice, my notice of affidavit with the notice letter. And the green cards, you can see that most of the people, certainly all of those who are here today, did receive notice, except for that one. Well, except for a couple, but Linda Sue Henry, there was no known address.

And then $I$ believe that Western Oil Producers is a -- now a defunct company, as probably is Williamson Enterprises. My history goes back and -- I think $I$ know the original people who owned those companies, and they're no longer around. But if you would like, $I$ can get more -- if $I$ can get a supplemental affidavit from the landman, but you -- we did discuss --

MR. LOWE: No, no. That's -- that's okay. I was just curious to know which -- which references you were talking about in reference to your exhibits.

MR. BRUCE: Okay.
MR. LOWE: And then the -- due to
that, you said you did a -- a publication, and you did the publication in the Hobbs News-Sun, it looks like?

MR. BRUCE: Yes. And that was -- that was published in February, so for once I have a timely publication notice. And it did include all the people who $I$ did not get a green card back from.

MR. LOWE: And you also verbalized that there were some geological maps that were submitted that you thought were not submission, or something to that effect?

MR. BRUCE: Well, they were -- they were hard to read. And really what it is, is -- it's Exhibit 3, is the geology. I thought $I$ had filed it separately, but it is -- let's see. Okay. Let me make sure. Well, $I$ hope $I$ included it. I know it's somewhere; I have a -- I -- I know I have a cross-section somewhere that $I$ thought I'd included late on Tuesday, or maybe it was Wednesday and I just don't have that at my fingertips right now.

I will get that to you immediately after the hearing. Ah, no, I did file it. If you look in each case file, there's a replacement or revised Exhibit 3, the geologist's affidavit. And if
you look at Attachment $F$, there's -- there's a -- in whatever case, there is a cross-section which, for the life of me, $I$ can't read. And if you would like a more readable one, $I$ will have one put together.

MR. LOWE: That -- yes. That -- that -- I can see that now. It's -- it's too -- there's, like, the font's too -- too teeny on it.

MR. BRUCE: Yeah.
MR. LOWE: And there's -- on there.
MR. BRUCE: I -- I will ask for a better quality.

MR. LOWE: And that is -- okay.
MR. BRUCE: Yeah. There's a Bone Spring one for the first two cases, and a Wolfcamp one for the second two cases, and they're all of -- they both pretty much look the same to me, but they're -the printing is so small, I figured you'd want a better copy, but $I$ just didn't have time to get that done.

MR. LOWE: Okay. Yes, that would be appreciated. I'll take a look at it. I would just say send the entire exhibit together, the one that you want where this geological Attachment $C$ map is, or, yeah.

## MR. BRUCE: Okay.

MR. LOWE: If you could just replace the entirety of what you're submitting, other than entering one page to -- to adopt those now -- Which is a little harder these days now, but.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. In -- in other words, resubmit the entire affidavit with a better structure map?

MR. LOWE: Yeah. Just for that -that document. Not the -- yeah. Not the entirety of everything.

MR. BRUCE: Okay. Okay. Will do. MR. LOWE: Okay. I think those are my only questions. Thank you, Mr. Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you -virtual connectivity interruption -- Anyone else from OCD? Thompson, and I also see Carl from OCD. Ms. Thompson, do you have any questions?

MS. THOMPSON: I have no questions at this time. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Carl, do you -MS. SALVIDREZ: Rip, Carl's just a spectator. CARL: Yeah, I'm a spectator. I'm just here in the -- virtual connectivity interruption --

Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Well, I'm not quite sure what we do under the -virtual connectivity interruption -- Can you all hear me?

MS. SALVIDREZ: Yes. So we should take these four cases under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- virtual connectivity interruption -- that or continue them, pending these additional documents that need to be provided.

All right. These four cases, then, will be taken under advisement.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Does anyone else -- does anyone else have anything to add on -- with respect to these four cases before we move on?

All right. Then we're moving on to docket items 15 and 16. My understanding is that cases 23549 and 23552 are consolidated; could someone confirm or deny that?

MR. RANKIN: Good morning,
Mr. Examiner. Mr. Adam Rankin with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart. Yes, we'd like to present these two cases together.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'll take that as your entry of appearance. -- virtual connectivity interruption --

MR. RANKIN: Sorry, you broke up there, so I'm not sure of what you said.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry. That -- I'll take that as your entry of appearance for the applicant.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Are there any other parties to these two cases? Entry of appearance for any other parties to 23549/23552? Are there any other interested persons in these two cases that wish to make an entry of appearance?

All right. I take it from all of that silence that no one objects to the case being presented by affidavit? All right, then -- then you may proceed.

MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Examiner. May it please the Division, Adam Rankin with the Santa Fe office of Holland \& Hart, on behalf of the applicant in these two cases. Mr. Examiner, these two cases are a little different than a normal pooling case, that in both -- for both cases, the acreage at issue has previously been pooled under existing orders
that had been entered by the Division.
However, subsequent to pooling, the applicant was notified by the Division that the underlying spacing was different, and as a consequence, we had to come back before the Division to respace the units pursuant to the Division's underlying spacing for this acreage.

In case 23549, MRC Permian and Matador seeks to amend pooling order R21271, which was previously amended, to incorporate the acreage under adjacent spacing unit under order $R 21272$, and to dismiss order R2172 [sic]. The units under the existing orders that we're seeking to consolidate here in this case were pooled, and all -- under -- within the Wolfcamp formation.

At the time, Matador was instructed by the Division that the acreage at issue in the Wolfcamp was subject to an oil pool, and therefore space these or -- dedicated these -- this acreage under a 40 -acre building block, when in fact, after the pooling order was entered, the Division notified Matador that these were actually subject to 320 -acre building blocks.

As a consequence, we're seeking to
combine the -- the acreage here into one large -well, standard spacing unit so that it will comply
with the underlying gas spacing that the Division has assigned to this acreage. As to both underlying spacing units that were pre-existing, wells have been -- initial wells have been drilled under the terms of the existing orders. Parties in those cases have been given an opportunity to elect and participate under the pooling orders and -- and those -- those notices have gone out.

In the -- in the case, Mr. Examiner, we filed Exhibits A through $F$ on Tuesday. Exhibit A is a copy of the updated compulsory pooling checklist, reflecting the elements necessary for entering a pooling order identifying the cost of the applications, footages, and other elements required for pooling. Exhibit $B$ is the application that was filed in this case.
(23549 Exhibits A and B were marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit $C$ is the affidavit of Matador's landman, Mr. Hawks Holder, who has previously testified before the Division and has had his credentials as an expert in -- land matters accepted as a matter of record. His affidavit reviews the basis and the history here behind the application and the need to change the spacing following the --
the Division's notification of the correct, underlying well spacing.
(23549 Exhibit $C$ was marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: He reviews the wells that have been drilled for each of the existing space units, reflects that the parties have been given the opportunity to elect, under the prior existing, or the existing pooling orders. He identifies the C102s for the wells as they have been drilled, subject to the new proposed spacing, and as well the -- identifies the separate tracts of land with the interest that will comprise the spacing unit.

And in this case, Mr. Examiner, the interests are uniform as between the two existing units. And so therefore, the interests do not change at all between the existing orders and the new, proposed spacing unit. So there's no change as a result of the enlargement of the spacing unit to the allocation or production of royalty or interests for costs. So none -- none of the interests have changed as a result of the -- the proposed enlargement of the spacing.

As a consequence, Mr. -- Mr. Examiner,
Matador asks that the application be granted and that
all the other provisions, other than the size of the space unit, remain unchanged, and that order R21271 be amended to adopt the enlarged spacing unit. Exhibit D is a copy of the geology statement from Matador's geologist, Mr. Andrew Parker. He's previously testified before the Division. His Exhibits D1 through D3 reviewed the geology of the acreage, which has already been pooled and -- and been confirmed to be appropriate for horizontal well development. (23549 Exhibits D1 through D3 were marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit E is a copy of the self-affirmed statement of my colleague, Ms. Paula Vance, who is not feeling well today. It identifies that we have provided notice to each of the parties who are subject to the underlying compulsory pooling orders in the underlying cases. It identifies that we provided them notice by certified mail, and the status of each of those mailings.
(23549 Exhibit E was marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit $F$ is a copy of the notice of publication, or rather, the affidavit confirming that we published notice of this application and hearing to each of those parties
identified who are subject to the pooling by name and -- and have done so timely in a newspaper of general circulation within that county. I believe it is Eddy County.
(23549 Exhibit $F$ was marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: With that, Mr. Examiner, I will move on to the next case, which is a very similar circumstance, but slightly different. In case 23552, the same circumstance exists, and as a consequence of the actual spacing here that we were notified of by the Division, Matador again seeks to combine two orders into one, in order to accommodate the Division's designated or assigned spacing for the acreage.

Therefore, Matador seeks to amend order R22559 to incorporate the acreage under order R22560, to create -- in this case, it would be a non-standard horizontal well space unit, and dismiss order R22560.

Under the existing orders, Matador has drilled two initial wells and has provided opportunity to the pool parties to elect -- participate in those wells under the existing orders. Both were prior -previously were standard horizontal well spacing units that were based on 40 -acre building blocks. And as I
mentioned before, the Division -- after the orders were entered, the Division notified Matador that, in fact, this acreage is dedicated to 320-acre gas spacing.

Therefore, we're seeking to amend the former orders to accommodate that different spacing here. These pool -- these -- the enlarged spacing unit here is going to be non-standard, because the east half of the southeast quarter of section 22 will be excluded from this spacing unit. That excluded acreage is going to be developed separately by Devon, who plans to develop that acreage as part of its development plans to the east. Therefore, that acreage, as explained in the underlying orders, was excluded from Matador's development plans.

The wells have already been drilled, and so that acreage is now not included -- not capable of being included in this acreage. Accordingly, because we're at 320 -acre spacing, that that requires that this spacing would be designated as a nonstandard spacing unit.

Attached to our exhibit package that were filed on Tuesday are Exhibits A through F. Exhibit A is a copy of the compulsory pool checklist for the case, identifying the elements for compulsory
pooling: the wells, the footages, the costs that are associated with drilling, and references to the specific exhibits.
(23552 Exhibit A was marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit $B$ is a copy of the application that was filed in the case. C is an affidavit of the landman for Matador, Mr. Hawks Holder. Mr. Holder reviews, again, the history of -of this acreage, the prior pooling, and the change in the -- in the dedicated acreage based on the Division's assignment to a -- a gas spacing.
(23552 Exhibits B and C were marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit C 1 is a copy of the prior order that was entered. $C 2$ is a -- is a copy of the land plat, showing the acreage at issue. Exhibit C 3 is a copy of the revised C102 showing the wells as drilled with the increased at-acreage dedication for quotes for each of the wells. Exhibit C5 just is a tract map showing the federal leases dedicated -- or underlying each of the -- the tracts. (23552 Exhibits C1, C2, C3, and C5 were marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit $D$ is a copy of the
affidavit of Matador's geologist, Mr. Andrew Parker, who's previously testified, and he just reviews again and confirms that the acreage is appropriate for compulsory pooling and development of horizontal wells. The acreage, as I mentioned before, was already subject and confirmed to be appropriate for horizontal well development.
(23552 Exhibit D was marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit $E$ is a copy of the affirmed -- self-affirmed statement of my colleague, Ms. Paula Vance, who affirms that notice was provided to each of the parties who were subject to the underlying pooling orders, giving them notice of today's application and hearing, along with a list of the -- showing the certified mail status of each of those parties.
(23552 Exhibit E was marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: Exhibit $F$ is a copy of the affidavit of publication, showing that we also gave notice of today's hearing and application to each of those by name. And in this paper of general circulation, it's in the -- it's in Eddy County. / /
(23552 Exhibit $F$ was marked for identification.)

MR. RANKIN: With that, Mr. Examiner, I would move the admission of Exhibits A through F in each of these cases, and ask that they be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr.
Rankin. Exhibits A through F, including all subparts in cases 23549 and 23552, will be admitted and made a part of the record.
(23549 Exhibits A through F and 23552
Exhibits A through $F$ were received into
evidence.)
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Let me ask Mr.
Lowe: do you have any question for Mr. Rankin?
MR. LOWE: Yes. Good morning,
Mr. Rankin.
MR. RANKIN: Good morning.
MR. LOWE: Just to -- I'd just like to get a quick synopsis, just to make sure I -- I understand what's going on with -- with these two cases. Case 23549, there's a -- you were requested by the OCD to correct or update the spaces in it for this location; is that what you're doing?

MR. RANKIN: Yeah. Initially,
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Mr. Lowe, we were informed -- Matador was informed that this -- this acreage was subject to 40-acre spacing, oil spacing. So went forward with compulsory pooling on that basis, and had established in -- in the cases, standard spacing units based on 40-acre spacing. So initially they were -- for case 23549, it was -- you had 40-acre spacing.

And subsequently after the orders were issued, and after the wells, I believe, were already drilled, the -- the Division informed Matador that in fact, the underlying acreage was subject to 320 -acre gas pool rules. And therefore, Matador had to go back and -- and respace the -- the spacing units to accommodate the assignment of the acreage to a gas pool.

MR. LOWE: Okay. So the spacing unit is pretty much the same, it's just a reference to the -- I guess the pool formation?

MR. RANKIN: In -- in the underlying cases for 23549 , they initially were, you know, south half of the north half and the north half of the north half. And now they're going to be all dedicated to a single spacing unit that comprises the north half of those sections. So it's combining two separate spacing units into one.

MR. LOWE: Okay. So you -- in this particular case, I didn't get a chance to review the C102s on -- on this one, but they're built on 40-acre building blocks, and what you're doing is you're requesting the north half of sections?

MR. RANKIN: So initially, they were based on 40-acre building blocks. But we were informed that they -- they should have been based on 320 -acre building blocks.

MR. LOWE: Okay. Okay.
MR. RANKIN: So that's -- that's why we have to change the -- the configuration of the spacing units.

MR. LOWE: Oh, okay. And then you want to amend R2 -- hearing order R21271 and are requesting to cancel hearing order number 21272?

MR. RANKIN: Correct. So R21271 would incorporate the acreage for both the prior spacing units, and then we would dismiss R2172 [sic].

MR. LOWE: Okay.
MR. RANKIN: A similar situation for the other case, Mr. Lowe, where same -- same circumstance, except in the south half of the -- of the sections. The only difference in that case is that the spacing unit is required to be non-standard,
because of the exclusion of the eastern half of the southeast quarter of section 22 .

MR. LOWE: Okay. Just by -- what -what is the pool code for 23552 case?

MR. RANKIN: The proper pool code is the -- let me find it real quick. It's the Burton Flats, Wolfcamp East gas pool. And the pool code is 73480, I believe.

MR. LOWE: 73480. Okay, it's 320 and 660. Okay. And it -- and in that case, you're excluding acreages; right? Is that what I heard?

MR. RANKIN: Yeah. In the -- in the second case, in case 23552 , the east half of the southeast quarter of section, I believe it's 22. Let me just confirm that. Yeah, section 22 is being excluded from the spacing unit. So it will be necessarily a non-standard spacing unit.

MR. LOWE: And in that -- in reference to the NSP portion, what areas did you provide notice to?

MR. RANKIN: So the acreage that was -is being excluded was identified as affected parties given -- given notice. So the southeast -- I'm sorry, the east half of the southeast quarter of section 22.

MR. LOWE: And -- and this
modification portion of -- of case -- of this particular case is -- is in reference to the NSP as well two, or is it just a -- a spacing unit?

MR. RANKIN: Well, because the -- under the previous orders, Mr. Lowe, the -- the spacing unit was -- were standard, because it was 40 -acre spacing. So they were deemed to be standard under the prior existing orders. Here, because -- because of the 320-acre designation, they're now necessarily nonstandard, because of the exclusion of that east half of the southeast quarter of section 22 .

MR. LOWE: Okay. And then on -- so for case 23552, how many wells do you have on this one, this case?

MR. RANKIN: Mr. -- Mr. Examiner, there are two wells drilled in that case. And so they're -and so, you know, it's got two existing wells that are -- have been drilled in -- in the, you know, northern part of that spacing unit and the southern part of that spacing unit.

MR. LOWE: And one of the wells is the Michael K 21/22 Fed Com, well number 203H; correct?

MR. RANKIN: Let me make sure I have that -- it's right. What was the well that you mentioned? Sorry.

MR. LOWE: Michael K 21/22 Fed Com, well number 203 H .

MR. RANKIN: That's correct.
MR. LOWE: Okay. And -- and that, I'm looking at the C 102 for that one. Is the last take point for this well the same as the bottom hole location?

MR. RANKIN: I don't know 100 percent. I can't quite tell. Let me just look real quick; let me look at this and see.

MR. LOWE: It -- it looks like it, according to what it is on the -- I just scrolled it further down on the C102.

MR. RANKIN: It does -- it does appear that way. I can confirm that with you, but I do know that because of the change in pools, we're going to have to file separately for administrative approval for non-standard locations --

MR. LOWE: Okay. So there'd be two -applications in reference to these wells, then.

MR. RANKIN: Yeah. I'm just looking -Mr. Holder does -- does discuss this in his affidavit for -- for these cases, and confirms that the first and last take points are at non-standards locations. And so you'll see, Mr. Lowe, we'll be filing an NSL,
or the company will be filing an NSL for the first and last take points. And we'll --

MR. LOWE: It looks like -- it looks like the first take point -- never mind. Okay. Yeah, that's right. Okay. I will be expecting that, then. MR. RANKIN: Yup, you will.

MR. LOWE: Okay. Those are my
questions. Thank you.
MR. RANKIN: In fact, Mr. Examiner, I understand that those NSLs have -- have already been filed, so it should be in your pile.

MR. LOWE: Okay. I -- I've got -I've got a slew of them right now from you all, so I -- I don't have it in the back of my head, but I -I'll take a look at it, if it's not already -- review that. But it should be.

MR. RANKIN: All right. Thank you.
MR. LOWE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
Well, thank you, Mr. Lowe. Ms. Thompson, do you have any questions?

MS. THOMPSON: I think Mr. Lowe asked all my questions.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: You were -- I didn't hear that very well, but $I$ think it was a no.

MS. THOMPSON: No questions.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
right. Mr. Rankin, thank you for that. Cases 23549 and 23552 will be taken under advisement. Which brings us now to case number 17.

I note that we've been going for an hour; let me -- let me hear from the folks involved in cases 17 through 20. I don't think we'll be here more than maybe another 30 minutes; would you like a five-minute break or shall we just push on through?

MR. BRUCE: This is Jim Bruce. It doesn't matter to me. Do whatever you guys want. We're still going to get done early, so.

MS. MCLEAN: This is Jackie McLean. I
have the last two and I'm fine with whatever the Division would like to do as well.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Lowe, Ms. Thompson, are you -- do you all -- Ms. Salvidrez?

MR. LOWE: I'm good to go --
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Does anyone need a break?

MR. LOWE: -- continue.
MS. THOMPSON: We can continue.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. -virtual connectivity interruption -- entry of
appearance for the applicant, please.
MR. BRUCE: Jim Bruce, for Mewbourne Oil Company.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any other parties to this case?

Okay. Hearing nothing, no objections -- virtual connectivity interruption -- Mr. Bruce to the case being presented by affidavit?

MR. BRUCE: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: -- objections?
Mr. Bruce?
MR. BRUCE: Yes.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You may -

- you may proceed.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you. In this case, Mewbourne seeks the pooling of the Wolfcamp formation -- Purple Sage-Wolfcamp gas pool, under the northwest quarter of section 14 and the west half of section 11 , 26 south, 29 east, in Eddy County. The unit will -will be dedicated to four Wolfcamp wells, identified in the application. Mewbourne is also seeking approval for unorthodox well locations. Normally, the Purple Sage-Wolfcamp is -- has 330-foot setbacks from the -- for the first and last take points, and Mewbourne seeks to be 100 feet from the ends of the
well unit for each of these four wells.
Exhibit 1 is the application and proposed notice. Exhibit 2 is the landman's affidavit, which contains the usual, describes what -describes what they examined to determine the addresses of the parties they went to.
(23445 Exhibits 1 and 2 were marked for identification.)

MR. BRUCE: There are some unlocatable parties that they never heard back from, and in particular, there was -- has -- there's a couple of parties being force pooled. There's Carbon -- the working interest owners being pooled are Carbon Techs Energy, $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}$, Energy, and $\mathrm{Nu}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{U}$, Energy Oil and Gas. Those are the only working interest parties being pooled. They generally have fairly small interests.

I personally have notified them of a good, I don't know, seven or eight hearings before the Division, and have never gotten green cards back from either of them. And I've tried to locate them myself, besides my client trying to do that, and there's no valid address for those parties.

The other interest owners being pooled are some record title owners, EXCO Resources, RKC

Energy, or RKC Inc., and K.P. Kauffman Company. They -- they own no working interest, so they're not subject to any penalty. They are merely being force pooled so that the federal government will issue a com agreement on this well unit.

Goes through -- the landman goes
through the other data, the effort to contact them, the sample of the proposal letter sent -- are sent out. The 200 percent risk charge sought, the 800 per month producing well, and the 8000 per month drilling well overhead rates that are suggested. There's a land plat that shows the tracts involved, and the interest owners, and notes those interest owners being pooled with an asterisk beside their names.

There's the AFEs, which are stated to be fair and reasonable. There are -- also there's also land plats for each well showing -- I think we only really needed one, but as I said, they're seeking 100-foot offsets for the -- I mean setbacks from the end lines for the first and last take points. The only offset affected is Mewbourne, and so notice was not given to anyone else of the unorthodox well locations. There's the affidavit of the -- that's Exhibit 2.

> The geologist's affidavit is Exhibit 3,
containing the usual structure map cross-section. This one's at least legible. It shows production from other wells in this area; this area is pretty heavily developed, in particular by Mewbourne. Contains all of the other data that is normally submitted.
(23445 Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)

MR. BRUCE: Exhibit 4 is my affidavit of notice. I submitted a supplemental affidavit because even though the proposal letter was sent out -- I think in March, mid-March -- even as of this week, I was still getting some returned envelopes back. So I did update that, and I also prepared a certified notice spreadsheet, which is Exhibit 7.
(23445 Exhibits 4 and 7 were marked for identification.)

MR. BRUCE: Exhibit 5 is a publication affidavit, so all of the people who did not receive certified notice did receive publication notice. And that affidavit was timely published, or that notice was timely published. And I'm looking through my notes; $I$ think that is pretty much it.
(23445 Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)

MR. BRUCE: I would note one thing --
and the hearing examiners may have more -- I am going to have to revise the pooling checklist, Exhibit 6. And believe it or not, $I$ read this thing at least four times, and I still made errors. And I think the -the sharp eyes of the hearing examiners probably caught at least some of them. I put down the wrong acreage for the building blocks and the well number four that $I$ list in the pooling checklist has the wrong API number, so I need to correct that. So I will do that this afternoon or this morning, and refile a revised pooling checklist.
(23445 Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)

MR. BRUCE: And with that, of course, open myself up to questions, but $I$ would move the admission of Exhibits 1 through 7, including supplemental Exhibit 2 A , which contains revised C102s, and ask that they be taken into the record. And answering.
(23445 Exhibit 2 A was marked for identification)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bruce. Your Exhibits 1 through 7, with subparts, will be admitted. And Mr. Lowe, do you have questions for Mr. Bruce?
(23445 Exhibits 1 through 7 were received into evidence.)

MR. LOWE: Good morning, Mr. Bruce.
Yes, I do. This is case 23445; correct? Only?
MR. BRUCE: Correct. Correct.
MR. LOWE: Okay. I'm not aware, but I
-- I thought we -- OCD did not review and approve grant permission for NSLs through hearing these days --

MR. BRUCE: Oh, okay. I -- I -- now I do recall Examiner Brancard saying that. So I will take care of that separately.

MR. LOWE: Yeah, I know. So that's kind of -- that was kind of -- I don't think we can -we can approve that.

MR. BRUCE: Okay.
MR. LOWE: So in this -- in the case for 23445 , I guess all the references to NSL will need to be submitted administratively.

MR. BRUCE: Okay.
MR. LOWE: And -- and then that sort of, in reference to your exhibit, I guess, additional filing exhibits? Just to get a synopsis of, what's the difference between that one and your -- your other exhibits, as far as your C102 goes?

MR. BRUCE: The -- the original -- and I -- I threw them away -- the original 102 s I got from my client did not have an API number on them. They didn't have the pool -- pool rules, and they didn't show the first and last take points of the wells, which had to be amended anyway because these C102s were prepared, you know, a year and a half ago or something like that. And they -- they had the usual 330 -foot setbacks when they should be -- what Mewbourne is going to request, administratively now, shows 100 -- 100-foot setbacks.

And then Exhibit 4 just contained, you know, revised Exhibit 4 contained all the notice materials which, as I said, still show up occasionally recently. And then Exhibit 7 is the certified notice spreadsheet.

MR. LOWE: Okay. Okay. I mean, those are the only questions I had, Mr. Bruce. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Thompson, anything else?

MS. THOMPSON: I only have one question that I -- maybe I just didn't see it on the application or the landman affidavit -- was the dedicated acreage? Reading through, I did not see it called out on the application for the landman's --

MR. BRUCE: Did not see what now?
MS. THOMPSON: That dedicated acreage.
MR. BRUCE: Yeah. And $I$ was going to talk with you about that. I am in the process of revising some of the applications that I'm filing to include that. I will -- if you want, I will submit a -- just a revised application, showing the acreage and the Purple Sage-Wolfcamp pool.

I -- I was -- I have not been used to doing that, and as everybody knows, I'm old as the hills, and so I -- I learn slowly. But I understand -- I heard you say at -- I think it was in May at one of the hearings that you now like the -- not only the acreage involved but -- not only the area of land involved, but the -- the number of acres involved, and you also like the pool and pool code on the application. So I will -- I will certainly comply with your request.

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Thank you. And yes, you did get my second question, which was the pool, which is updating it from the Wolfcamp to the Purple Sage, so that's the only question I had. Thank you.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
you, Ms. Thompson. -- virtual connectivity
interruption -- case number 23445 will be taken under advisement, with the proviso that Mr. Bruce provide the additional requested documents, and I think those included a revised Exhibit number 6. Anything further from anyone in case number 23445?

All right. Then we will move on to, I believe the -- the last three items on the docket are all your cases, Mr. Bruce? 23542 --

MR. BRUCE: No.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm sorry, go ahead.

MR. BRUCE: We have -- we have 23542 is mine, and I think Mr. Rankin may be here for the remaining two cases. I entered an appearance, but they're not my cases.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Rankin --

MS. MCLEAN: This is Jackie -- Jackie McLean. The last two cases, 23534 and 23535, are mine.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All right

MR. BRUCE: Sorry about that, Rip. MS. MCLEAN: That's okay.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right, -virtual connectivity interruption -- case number 18, on all three of these cases were continued from June the 1st. I guess we'll start with case number 18, but I think the Division had some questions for everyone, so -- so well, we'll start with you, Mr. Bruce.

My understanding is that these were continued because they were missing documents, so maybe you could tell me what is the status on 23542?

MR. BRUCE: Yes. In this case, Mewbourne seeks the compulsory pooling of the north half of sections 21 and 20 , and 20 South, 27 East, for purposes of drilling Bone Spring wells. That's second and third Bone Spring wells. And -- excuse me, for drilling -- I think it's -- yeah, for drilling third Bone Spring wells.

These cases were put on at the last hearing. They were continued for a couple of reasons, one of which was that the publication of the notice that was published was published a day late, so the cases had -- the case had to be continued to complete the -- let the publication period expire.

Secondly, I -- this is another case where $I$-- collecting some green cards and returned envelopes, so I submitted a revised -- I submitted all
of the additional materials that $I$ had received after the last hearing, and that is marked Exhibit 4A, which would go along with Exhibit 4, originally submitted. And then I submitted Exhibit 7, the certified notice spreadsheet.
(23542 Exhibits 4A and 7 were marked for identification.)

MR. BRUCE: As you can see, I was not very successful in my mailings here, but again, notice was published as shown on Exhibit 5.

And with that, I'd move the admission of Exhibits 4A and 7, which are additional from the prior hearing, and ask that the matter be taken under advisement.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
right. Those exhibits will be admitted and made a part of the record.
(23542 Exhibits 4A and 7 were received into evidence.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: For Mr. Lowe, do you have questions for Mr. Bruce?

MR. LOWE: I have -- I don't have any questions. Thank you.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. How about you, Ms. Thompson? Anything for Mr. Bruce?

MS. THOMPSON: I have no questions.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So, all right. Then this case number 23542 will be taken under advisement. Anything else from anyone in 23542?

All right. Moving on to the last two items on the docket, we'll call case number 23534 and 23535. Entry of appearance from the applicant in that case -- those cases?

MS. MCLEAN: Hi, good morning. Jackie McLean, with Hinkle Shanor, on behalf of Permian Resources Operating.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MR. BRUCE: And Jim -- Jim Bruce, on behalf of MRC Permian Company. And MRC has no objection to this matter proceeding, again, by affidavit.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And -- and when you say "proceeding again" Ms. McLean, can you answer the question $I$ asked Mr. Bruce in the preceding case? Both of these cases were continued from June the 1st; could you explain why and where we are now?

MS. MCLEAN: Yes, Mr. Examiner. The cases taken under advisement at the June 1st hearing. It was continued because we had included a copy of a
green card we got back from Oxy, who is a party that's being pooled. But it didn't have a signature.

So the Division wanted us to file something that showed that Oxy was aware that the hearing was being conducted on June 1st in case numbers 23534 and 23535. So we submitted a notice of supplemental exhibit, along with the supplemental Exhibit A6, which is email correspondence between Permian and Oxy, in which Permian tells them -- tells Oxy that they did file compulsory pooling applications for the June 2 nd document, and Oxy responds, you know, I'll get back with you shortly. So it's very clear as to that Oxy was aware of these applications.

So with that, we'd ask that Exhibit A6 be admitted into the record in case numbers 23534 and 23535, and that it be considered along with the rest of the exhibits that were previously taken under advisement by the Division.
(23534/23535 Exhibit A6 was marked for identification.) THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Anything to add, Mr. Bruce? MR. BRUCE: No. No, sir. THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Exhibit A6 will be admitted into the record in these two
cases.
(23534/23535 Exhibit A6 was received into evidence.)

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I probably
should have asked this a long time ago: I'm assuming these cases are consolidated and were presented that way; is that right, Ms. McLean?

MS. MCLEAN: Yes. That's correct.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Lowe, questions for Ms. McLean or Mr. Bruce?

MR. LOWE: I'm just looking at -- I just finally got a chance to open up your exhibit. Sorry for my late response. I have no questions. Thank you.

MS. MCLEAN: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Thompson?
MS. THOMPSON: I have no questions at this time.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I take it from -- from the Division's perspective, Mr. Lowe, I'll ask you: are we in a position at this point to -to take these two consolidated cases under advisement? Do you have what you need?

MR. LOWE: I believe so, according to what $I$ saw briefly on -- on these two cases previously
that this is more -- more of the things that was being lacked. But I -- I just have a question, another question for the -- the attorney. When you referenced Exhibit A6, is that A6 pertaining to both cases?

MS. MCLEAN: Yes. We filed it in both -- both case number 23534 and 23535.

MR. LOWE: Okay. Yeah, everything should be in -- in accordance now, from what $I$ recall of this -- of these cases.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, great. Then we'll take 23534 and 535 under advisement. Is there anything else from anyone on those two cases?

Okay. Hearing nothing, that brings us to the end of our docket today. And thank you, everybody, for attending and presenting. Marlene, is there anything to add, or shall we go off the record at this time?

MS. SALVIDREZ: I have nothing to add.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Once again, thanks -- thank you, everyone, for attending. And Ms. Fulton, you can indicate that we will be going off the record in this docket today, docket 12-23, on Thursday, June the 15th, 2023. We're off the record at 9:41 a.m.
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| dismiss 15:18 | drilling 20:23 | egl 8:23 10:9 | entire 28:22 |
| 32:12 36:19 | 21:5 22:10 | 11:6,11 13:22 | 29:6 |
| 42:19 | 23:3 38:2 | 20:21 21:1,4 | entirety 29:2,9 |
| division 1:3,7 | 50:10 57:13,15 | 22:5 25:12 | entry 8:13 |
| 7:3 15:21 | 57:15 | eight 14:7 | 14:10 19:6,7 |
| 17:19 18:9,12 | dry $2: 15$ 15:2,4 | $49: 19$ | 31:2,7,11,14 |
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| 33:21 35:6 | duly 64:5 | elect 33:6 34:8 | 51:12 57:25 |
| 36:12 37:1,2 |  | 36:22 |  |

[equally - fe]

| equally 23:2 | 36:7 40:3,7,14 | 5:9,10,11,12,15 | existing 31:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ernie 11:10 | 44:15 46:9,19 | 5:21,23,24 | 32:13 33:3,5 |
| errors 52:4 | 46:24 47:2,17 | 21:6,7,8,12,18 | 34:6,8,9,15,17 |
| es 64:4 | 47:20,24 48:4 | 23:5,8,8,14,16 | 36:20,23 44:8 |
| essentially 9:17 | 48:10,13 52:22 | 23:20 26:5 | 44:17 |
| established | 53:11 54:19 | 27:15,25 28:22 | exists 36:10 |
| 41:4 | 55:25 56:11,17 | 33:10,15,19 | expecting 46:5 |
| et 19:20 | 56:22 57:1 | 34:3 35:3,12 | expert 33:22 |
| evd 3:3 4:3 5:3 | 58:15,20,24 | 35:20,22 36:5 | expire 57:22 |
| 5:19 | 59:2,12,17,23 | 37:22,24 38:4 | explain 59:21 |
| everybody | 60:21,24 61:4 | 38:6,15,18,20 | explained |
| 24:12 55:10 | 61:9,16,19 | 38:25 39:8,10 | 37:14 |
| 62:15 | 62:10,19 | 39:18,20 40:1 | explanation 6:4 |
| evidence 24:2 | examiners 8:16 | 49:2,3 50:24 | eye $25: 14$ |
| 40:13 53:2 | 14:12 22:17 | 50:25 51:6,8 | eyes 52:5 |
| 58:19 61:3 | 24:9 52:1,5 | 51:14,17,23 | f |
| examined 49:5 | except 9:16 | 52:2,12,17,20 | f 4:5,22 5:21 |
| examiner 1:19 | 16:6 26:11,12 | 53:22 54:12,13 | 6:2 28:1 33:10 |
| 2:3,4 7:2,11,14 | 42:23 | 54:15 56:5 | 35:22 36:5 |
| 7:20,24 8:1,8 | excluded 37:10 | 58:2,3,4,10 | 37:23 39:20 |
| 8:11,16,22,24 | 37:10,15 43:16 | 60:7,8,14,19,24 | 40:1,4,8,11,12 |
| 9:13,24 10:11 | 43:22 | 61:2,12 62:4 | facility $25: 2,15$ |
| 10:15,25 11:9 | excluding | exhibit's 26:1 | facility's 25:5 |
| 11:19 12:2,15 | 43:11 | exhibits 21:10 | fact 32:20 37:3 |
| 13:9,15 14:1 | exclusion 43:1 | 23:12,17,23 | 41:11 46:9 |
| 14:12,17 15:1 | 44:10 | 24:1 26:1,25 | fair 50:16 |
| 15:3,3,6,10,12 | exco 49:25 | 33:10,17 35:6 | fairly 8:4 49:16 |
| 17:2,22 18:5 | excuse 19:14 | 35:10 37:23 | faith 13:4 |
| 18:19 19:5,11 | 57:14 | 38:3,13,23 | far 13:5 16:12 |
| 19:22,24 20:2 | exhibit 3:5,7,8 | 40:4,8,11,12 | 53:25 |
| 20:5,14 23:22 | 3:9,10,11,12,16 | 49:7 51:15 | faulting 23:3 |
| 24:3 29:15,21 | 3:18,19,21,23 | 52:16,23 53:1 | fe 1:21 $8: 17$ |
| 30:2,8,15,23 | 4:5,9,11,12,14 | 53:23,25 58:6 | 14:23 30:23 |
| 31:1,6,10,19,22 | 4:15,16,17,18 | 58:12,16,18 | 31.21 |
| 33:9 34:14,24 | 4:20,22 5:5,7,8 | 60:17 |  |

[february - great]

| february 27:6 | 46:1,4 48:24 | frame 17:15 | gives 21:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fed 44:22 45:1 | 50:20 54:5 | fruition 10:22 | giving 39:14 |
| federal 38:21 | five $18: 21,22$ | fulton 1:22 | go 11:1,8 $15: 13$ |
| 50:4 | 47:10 | 62:21 64:2,20 | 19:14,16 20:20 |
| feel 11:4 | flats 43:7 | further 11:18 | 41:12 47:19 |
| feeling 35:14 | flow 11:15 | 11:18 45:13 | 56:11 58:3 |
| feet $48: 25$ | folks 7:7 8:1 | 56:5 64:12 | 62:16 |
| feldewert 2:11 | 47:7 | 65:9 | goes 26:16 50:6 |
| 14:21,22 | following 33:25 | future 10:6 | 50:6 53:25 |
| fight 11:7 | font's 28:7 | 12:12 | going 11:3,15 |
| figured 28:17 | foot 48:23 | g | 11:16 18:6,7 |
| file 21:14 $25: 11$ | 50:19 54:9,11 |  | 18:21,23 24:22 |
| 27:23,24 45:17 | footages 33:14 | $\text { gas } 15: 1133: 1$ | 37:8,11 40:21 |
| 60:3,10 | 38:1 | 37:3 38:12 | 41:22 45:16 |
| filed 23:9 27:15 | force 49:12 | 41:12,14 43:7 | 47:6,13 52:1 |
| 33:10,16 37:23 | 50:3 | 48:17 49:15 | 54:10 55:3 |
| 38:7 46:11 | foregoing 64:3 | general 36:2 | 62:21 |
| 62:5 | 64:4 65:4 | 39:23 | good 7:2 8:15 |
| files 9:9 | formally $8: 9$ | generally 49 | 8:16 11:4 13:4 |
| filing 45:25 | formation | geologic 23:3 | 13:21 14:11,12 |
| 46:1 53:23 | 21:25 32:15 | geological | 14:21 15:2 |
| 55:5 | 41:18 48:16 | $27: 10 \text { 28:23 }$ | 16:24,24 17:17 |
| finally $23: 14$ | formations | geologist $3: 21$ | 18:17 19:21 |
| 61:12 | 21:7 | geologist $4: 18$ $22: 14,19$ | 20:1 24:11,17 |
| financially | former 37:6 | 35:5 39:1 | 30:22 40:16,18 |
| 64:15 65:11 | forth 21:21,22 | geologist's 3:8 | 47:19 49:19 |
| find 25:11 43:6 | fortunately $7: 5$ | $5: 9 \text { 21:13,15 }$ | 53:3 59:9 |
| fine 13:22,23 | forward 41:3 | 27:25 50:25 | gotten 49:20 |
| 47:15 | found 12:3 | geology 27:1 | government |
| fingertips | four 15:16 | $35: 4,7$ | 50:4 |
| 27:21 | 18:20 19:8,13 | getting 51:12 | grant 53:8 |
| first 7:6 14:3 | 20:7,18 24:6 | give 18:2 | granted 34:25 |
| 20:24 22:5 | 30:7,12,17 | given 33:6 $34: 7$ | great 7:15 |
| 24:4 25:23 | 48:20 49:1 | 43:23,23 50:22 | 62:10 |
| 28:14 45:23 | 52:3,8 |  |  |

[greater - incorporate]

| greater 12:5 | 17:23 19:7 | 56:11,17,22 | 39:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:5,7,18 | 24:12 30:4 | 57:1,18 58:2 | host 2:5 |
| green 26:9 27:8 | 46:25 47:7 | 58:13,15,20,24 | hour 47:7 |
| 49:20 57:24 | heard 15:18 | 59:2,12,17,24 | i |
| 60:1 | 43:11 49:10 | 60:5,21,24 | identical 21:7 |
| guess 16:6 24:9 | 55:12 | 61:4,9,16,19 | identification |
| 25:13 41:18 | hearing 1:5,16 | 62:10,13,19 | 21:11,19 23:13 |
| 53:18,22 57:4 | 1:19 7:2,11,14 | hearings 12:15 | 23:21 33:18 |
| guys 9:14 17:9 | 7:20,24 8:1,8 | 15:19 16:14 | 34:4 35:11,21 |
| 47:12 | 8:11,15,24 | 49:19 55:13 | 36:6 38:5,14 |
| h | 9:13,18,19,21 | heavily 51:3 | 38:24 39:9,19 |
| h 3:2 4:2 5:2 | 10:11,15,18,25 | henry 26:12 | 40:2 49:8 51:7 |
| 49:14 | 11:19 12:2,6 | hereto 64:14 | 51:16,24 52:13 |
| hailee 2:3 7:23 | 12:11,14,15,2 | 65:11 | 52:21 58:7 |
| half 20:22,22 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 2313: 7,9 \\ & 13: 15 \quad 14: 1,6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hi } 24: 1159 \\ & \text { hills } 55: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 60:20 |
| 21:2,2 22:2,2,3 | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 15 \quad 14: 1,6 \\ & 14 \cdot 111718 \end{aligned}$ | hinkle $20 \cdot 3$ | identified 36:1 |
| 22:3 37:9 | 14:11,17,18 | hinkle 20:3 | 43:22 48:20 |
| 41:21,21,21,22 | 15:1,3,3,6,9,12 |  | identifies 34:9 |
| 41:23 42:5,23 | 16:9 17:2,6,9 | history 26:16 | 34:11 35:14,17 |
| 43:1,13,24 | 17:11,12,22 | 33:24 38:9 | identifying |
| 44:10 48:18 | 18:2,5,10, 3,19 | hobbs 23:7 | 33:13 37:25 |
| 54:7 57:12 | 19:5,11,22,24 $20: 2,5,7,14,16$ | 27:4 hold $22: 19$ | immediately |
| hard 27:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 2,5,7,14,16 \\ & 22: 1723: 22 \end{aligned}$ | holder 33:20 | 27:22 |
| harder 29:4 | 24.3,7.9,17 | $38: 9.945: 22$ | impediment |
| hart 14:23 | $27: 23 \text { 29:15,21 }$ | holder's 3:19 | 23:3 |
| 30:24 31:21 | 27:23 29:15,21 | holder's 3:19 | incentive 12:5 |
| harwood 1:19 | 30:2,8,15 31:1 | 4:12 | include 27:7 |
| 14:21 | 31:6,10 35:25 | hole 45:6 | 55:6 |
| hawks 3:19 | 39:15,22 40:7 | holland 14:23 | included 27:17 |
| 4:12 33:20 | 40:14 42:15,16 | 30:24 31:21 | 27:19 37:17,18 |
| 38:8 | 46:19,24 47:2 | hope 18:12 | 56:5 59:25 |
| head 9:24 | 47:17,20,24 | 27:17 | including 40:8 |
| 46:14 | 48:4,6,10,13 | hopefully 11:14 | 52:16 |
| hear 7:10,11,17 | 52:1,5,22 53:8 | horizontal 35:9 | incorporate |
| 15:17 17:13,23 | 54:19 55:25 | 36:19,24 39:4 | 32:10 36:17 |
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[locate - month]

[months - october]

| months 16:9 | necessary | north 41:21,21 | nsp 43:19 44:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:19 | 33:12 | 41:21,23 42:5 | nu 49:14 |
| morning 7:2,6 | need 12:19 | 57:11 | number 7:4 |
| 8:15,16 14:11 | 30:10 33:25 | northern 15:11 | 14:7 19:1 |
| 14:12,22 15:2 | 47:20 52:9 | 44:18 | 24:20 26:6 |
| 19:21 20:1 | 53:18 61:23 | northwest | 42:16 44:22 |
| 24:11,17 30:22 | needed 50:18 | 48:17 | 45:2 47:5 52:7 |
| 40:16,18 52:10 | needs 7:21 | nos 1:9 | 52:9 54:3 |
| 53:3 59:9 | negotiating | notary 64:21 | 55:15 56:2,5,6 |
| motion 15:17 | 13:1 | note 21:13 47:6 | 57:2,4 59:3,6 |
| 15:22 16:2 | negotiation | 51:25 | 62:6 |
| motions 15:24 | 11:13,17 | notes 9:7 50:13 | numbered 26:1 |
| move 8:3 14:6 | negotiations | 51:22 | numbers 60:6 |
| 23:17 30:17 | 10:21 11:12 | notice 3:6,10 | 60:15 |
| 36:8 40:4 | neither 64:10 | 3:12 4:6 5:6,10 | 0 |
| 52:15 56:7 | 65:7 | 5:12 21:8,13 | о 5:18 6:2,2 7:1 |
| 58:11 | net 22:16 | 23:7,15 26:8,8 | objection 11:17 |
| moving 18:24 | never 46:4 | 26:9,11 27:7 | 11:20 59:15 |
| 18:24 30:18 | 49:10,20 | 35:15,18,23,24 | objections |
| 59:5 | new 1:1 24:16 | 39:12,14,22 | $20: 11,1548: 6$ |
| mrc 14:24 32:8 | 34:11,17 64:22 | 43:19,23 49:3 | $48: 10$ |
| 59:14,14 | news 27:4 | 50:21 51:9,14 | objects 31:16 |
| munds 2:15 | newspaper | 51:19,19,20 | oc $7 \cdot 7$ |
| 15:2,4 19:15 | 23:8 36:2 | 54:13,15 57:19 | occasionally |
| 19:21,22 | nine 14:7 | 58:4,9 60:6 | 54:14 |
| n | nm 1:21 | notices 33:7 | ocd 7:21 29:17 |
| n $2: 13: 14: 1$ | nominal 25:17 | notification | 29:17 40:23 |
| 5:1,18 6:1,2,2 | non 36:18 37:8 |  | 53:7 |
| 7:1 49:14 |  | notified $32: 3$ <br> $32.2136 \cdot 11$ | ocd's 24:8 |
| name 36:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:17 44:9 } \\ & 45: 18,24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 21 ~ 36: 11 \\ & 37: 249: 18 \end{aligned}$ | ocean 2:15 15:4 |
| 9:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 45:18,24 } \\ \text { normal } 31: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { nsl } 45: 25 \text { 46:1 }$ | 19:22 |
| names 50:14 | normally $48: 22$ | $53: 18$ | october 12:17 |
| natural 1:2 | normaliy 48:22 | 53:18 | 12:20 16:17,20 |
| necessarily $43: 17 \text { 44:9 }$ |  | nsis 46:10 53:8 | 16:20,21 |
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| office 8:17 | 54:17 55:25 | originally $58: 3$ | participate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:23 20:3 | 56:17,22,25 | outcome 64:15 | 33:6 36:22 |
| 30:24 31:21 | 58:15 59:2,12 | 65:12 | particular |
| officer 64:1,2 | 60:24 61:9,19 | overhead 22:9 | 24:19,25 42:2 |
| offset 50:21 | 62:7,10,13,19 | 50:11 | 44:2 49:11 |
| offsets 50:19 | old 55:10 | own 50:2 | 51:4 |
| oh 42:14 53:10 | once 27:6 62:19 | owned 26:17 | particularly |
| oil 1:3,6 7:3 | one's 51:2 | owners 49:13 | 25:4 |
| 8:21 9:8 14:16 | open 52:15 | 49:24,25 50:13 | parties 10:4,5 |
| 15:11 26:14 | 61:12 | 50:13 | 11:3 12:5 13:1 |
| 32:18 41:3 | operating 9:6 | oxy 5:16 60:1,4 | 13:3,6 22:7 |
| 48:3 49:14 | 11:24 14:13 | 60:9,10,11,13 | 24:5 26:2 |
| okay 7:24 8:1,8 | 15:5 20:4 | p | 31:11,12 33:5 |
| 8:24 10:11,15 | 59:11 | p 2:1,17:1 | 34:7 35:15,25 |
| 11:19 13:23 | opinion 10:10 | package 37:22 | 36:22 39:13,17 |
| 14:6,17 15:1,6 | opportunity | $\begin{array}{lr}\text { packages } & 21: 6\end{array}$ | 43:22 48:5 |
| 15:12,14 16:21 | 10:7,22 33:6 | packages 21.6 | 49:6,10,12,15 |
| 17:1,2,12,22 | 34:8 36:21 | padilla 2:10 | 49:23 64:11,14 |
| 18:15,16,19 | order 4:14 9:19 | 8:22,23,25 | 65:8,11 |
| 19:5,11,24 | 9:19 10:24 | 1:6,9,10 | party 11:20 |
| 20:5,14,17 | 13:19,24 18:10 | padilla's 10:8 | 60:1 |
| 23:22 24:7,13 | 18:13 32:9,11 | page 6:3 26:1 | paula 3:24 4:21 |
| 24:15 25:7,23 | 32:12,20 33:13 |  | 35:13 39:12 |
| 26:23 27:1,16 | 35:2 36:13,16 | panel 2:6,7,8,9 | pay 22:16 |
| 28:12,20,25 | 36:17,19 38:16 | panel $2.6,10,11,12,13$ | pena 2:9 20:1,3 |
| 29:5,11,11,12 | 42:15,16 | 2:14,15,16,17 | penalty 50:3 |
| 31:1,10 41:16 | orders 31:25 | $\text { aper } 39: 23$ | pending 30:10 |
| 42:1,10,10,14 | 32:13 33:5,7 | parker | people 23:6 |
| 42:20 43:3,9 | 34:9,17 35:17 | $39: 1$ | 26:10,17 27:7 |
| 43:10 44:12 | 36:13,20,23 | parker's 3:2 | 51:18 |
| 45:4,19 46:4,5 | 37:1,6,14 | $4: 18$ | percent 22:11 |
| 46:7,12 47:2 | 39:14 41:8 | $\text { part } 37: 1$ | 45:8 50:9 |
| 47:17 48:6,13 | 44:5,8 | $40: 1044: 19,19$ | perfect 15:12 |
| 52:22 53:6,10 | original 26:17 | $58: 17$ | perforating |
| 53:16,20 54:17 | 54:1,2 |  | 24:22 |
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| period 57:22 | pool 20:22 | prepared 51:13 | proceedings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| permian 5:16 | 32:18 36:22 | 54:7 65:3 | 64:3,4,6,8 65:6 |
| 14:24 32:8 | 37:7,24 41:12 | present 7:21 | process 55:4 |
| 59:10,14 60:9 | 41:15,18 43:4 | 20:9 30:24 | producers |
| 60:9 | 43:5,7,7 48:17 | presented | 26:15 |
| permission | 54:4,4 55:8,16 | 20:11,12 31:17 | producing |
| 53:8 | 55:16,21 | 48:8 61:6 | 22:10 50:10 |
| personally | pooled 31:25 | presenting | production |
| 49:18 | 32:14 35:8 | 62:15 | 14:25 23:2 |
| persons 9:1 | 49:12,13,16,24 | presided 15:19 | 34:20 51:2 |
| 14:19 19:12 | 50:4,14 60:2 | pretty 11:4 | productive |
| 20:6 24:5 | pooling 3:11,16 | 16:12 17:17 | 10:1 |
| 31:13 | 4:9 5:23 21:22 | 20:18 22:2,12 | proper 43:5 |
| perspective | 23:9 31:23 | 25:16 28:16 | property 20:19 |
| 17:8 61:20 | 32:2,9,20 33:7 | 41:17 51:3,22 | proposal 20:9 |
| pertaining 62:4 | 33:11,13,15 | previous 44:5 | 20:15 22:8 |
| pile 46:11 | 34:9 35:16 | previously | 50:8 51:10 |
| plans 37:12,13 | 36:1 38:1,10 | 31:25 32:10 | proposed 3:5 |
| 37:15 | 39:4,14 41:4 | 33:21 35:5 | 5:5 21:5,8 22:6 |
| plat 4:15 38:17 | 48:16 52:2,8 | 36:24 39:2 | 34:11,18,22 |
| 50:12 | 52:11 57:11 | 60:17 61:25 | 49:3 |
| plats 50:17 | 60:10 | printing 28:17 | protocol 11:1 |
| please 14:10 | pools 45:16 | prior 4:14 34:8 | 12:4 |
| 15:7 19:17 | portion 11:7 | 36:23 38:10,16 | provide 10:6 |
| 31:20 48:1 | 43:19 44:1 | 42:18 44:7 | 43:19 56:3 |
| pleasure 16:8 | position 10:3 | 58:13 64:5 | provided 30:11 |
| plus 22:11 | 61:21 | probably $26: 15$ | 35:15,18 36:21 |
| point 10:18 | positions 11:16 | 52:5 61:4 | 39:12 |
| 45:6 46:4 | possible 16:1 | proceed 8:2 | provisions 35:1 |
| 61:21 | pre 9:19 18:10 | 20:16 31:18 | proviso 56:3 |
| points 45:24 | 18:13 33:3 | 48:1 | public 64:21 |
| 46:2 48:24 | preceding | proceeding | publication |
| 50:20 54:5 | 59:20 | 1:20 59:15,18 | 4:22 5:11 27:3 |
| pondering | preference | 65:4 | 27:4,7 35:23 |
| 17:20 | 16:22 |  | 39:21 51:17,19 |
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| $\begin{array}{r} 57: 19,22 \\ \text { published } 3: 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:17 } \\ & \text { quick } 40: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56: 14,18 \\ \text { rates } 22: 9 \end{gathered}$ | recorded 64:6 recording 64:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:5 23:7 27:6 | 43:6 45:9 | 50:11 | 65:4 |
| 35:24 51:20,21 | quickly 8:4 | rather 12:20 | reduced 64:6 |
| 57:20,20 58:10 | quite 22:7 30:3 | 35:23 | reference 26:24 |
| purple 48:17 | 45:9 | reach 26:2 | 41:17 43:18 |
| 48:23 55:8,22 | quote $22: 2$ | read 27:14 28: | 44:2 45:20 |
| purpose 1:7 | quotes 38:20 | 2: | 53:22 |
| 0:23 21:4 | $\mathbf{r}$ | readable 28 | referenced 26:1 |
| purposes 57:13 | $\text { r } 2: 15: 186: 2,2$ | reading 10:16 | $62: 3$ |
| pursuant 32:6 push 47:10 | $7: 1$ |  | references 26:24 38:2 |
| $\text { put } 28: 452: 6$ | r2 42:15 | $\text { really } 10: 17$ | $53: 18$ |
| 57:17 |  | 16:6 27:14 | refile 52:11 |
| q | $\mathbf{r 2 1 2 7 2} 32: 11$ | 50:18 | reflecting |
| qualified 64:7 | r2172 32:12 | reason 17:18 reasonable | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 12 \\ & \text { reflects } 34: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| quality $22: 21$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42: 19 \\ \mathbf{r} 22559 \end{array}$ | $50: 16$ | regarding |
| quarter 23:1,1 | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{r} 22560 & 36: 17 \end{array}$ | reasons 57:18 | 18:14 |
| 37:9 43:2,14 | 36:19 | recall 53:11 | related 64:11 |
| 43:24 44:11 | range 21:3 | 62:8 | 65:7 |
| 18 | rankin 2:16 | recalling 14:18 | relative 64:13 |
| question 18:22 | 30:22,23 31:4 | receive 26: | 65:10 |
| 24:18 25:24,24 | 31:9,19,20 | 1:18, | relatively $12: 17$ |
| 40:15 54:21 | 33:19 34:5 | received $24: 2$ | relief 21:1,4 |
| 55:20,22 59:19 | 35:12,22 36:7 | 40:12 53:2 | remain 35:2 |
| 62:2,3 | 38:6,15,25 | 58:1,18 61:2 | remaining 14:2 |
| questions 14:2 | 39:10,20 40:3 | recently 54:15 record 8.915 .7 | 56:15 |
| 16:3,6 17:4 | 40:8,15,17,18 | record 8:9 15:7 | remote 1:20 |
| 24:5,6,8 29:13 | 40:25 41:19 | 33:23 40:10 | repeat 7:18 |
| 29:18,19 46:8 | 42:6,11,17,21 | 49:25 52:18 | replace 29:1 |
| 46:21,23 47:1 | 43:5,12,21 | 58:17 60:15,25 | replacement |
| 52:15,25 54:18 | 44:4,15,23 | $62: 16,22,23$ |  |
| 57:5 58:21,23 | 45:3,8,14,21 | 64:9 65:5 | reported 1:22 |
| 59:1 61:10,13 | 46:6,9,17 47:3 |  |  |
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| 48:25 57:11 | sharon 2:13 | small 28:17 | 37:20,21 38:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seems 13:3,4 | sharp 52:5 | 49:16 | 41:3,3,5,6,7,13 |
| self 3:23 4:20 | short 7:5 12:18 | somebody 9:22 | 41:16,23,25 |
| 35:13 39:11 | shortly 60:12 | 25:12 | 42:12,18,25 |
| send 28:22 | shoved 12:16 | soon 16:1 | 43:16,17 44:3 |
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