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P R O C E E D I N G S
MR. CHAKALIAN: The time is 7 a.m., on December 8. We are continuing this hearing that we began yesterday in Cases number 23711 and 23712.

Mr. Padilla, as a preliminary matter, I sent you an email yesterday at about 4:30 p.m. Did you receive it?

If you're speaking, no one can hear you because you've not unmuted yourself.

MR. PADILLA: I'm sorry. What I
determined was that you were looking at -- I was looking at the 23712 case instead of the 23711 case.

In the 11 case, Mr. Kent is not a witness on that. The only thing that he has there is an affidavit of mailing. The affidavit of mailing was pretty intense and they did it because we didn't have the capability of sending all our notices out, although that was on our letterhead.

But I think Mr. Feldewert suggested we do Case 23712 first. That makes sense and probably we should not have filed the 11 case before the unitization case.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I'm confused. So let me go to the email I sent you yesterday. I said: "Mr. Padilla, you have time to supplement the
exhibits filed in 23711 with the missing exhibits that I brought to your attention today. Please file the missing exhibits before 9 a.m., tomorrow morning."

You did not respond to my email and now you're saying what?

MR. PADILLA: Now I'm saying that we submitted the entire package because --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I understand. You already explained that. So, Mr. Padilla, in the future, maybe you could reply to my emails and let me know why you're not going to do what I'm asking you to do.

MR. PADILLA: I certainly will. After I looked at it, I probably should have responded and told you that we were good with what we filed.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right. So we are going to then begin today's hearing with Case number 23712. We have a complete exhibit set here that was filed timely. Yesterday, I asked if the parties had time to review the exhibits and the answer was yes.

So, Mr. Padilla, we don't have an objection to your proceeding by affidavit, so why don't you move for the admission of these exhibits into evidence at the beginning of your case.

MR. PADILLA: Very good. Mr. Examiner, as a preliminary matter, however, I'd like the witnesses to be sworn so that their sworn statements are under oath.

MR. CHAKALIAN: The witnesses were sworn by myself yesterday.

MR. PADILLA: Okay. We therefore move the admission of Exhibit $A, B, C$, and $D$.
(Exhibit A through Exhibit D were
marked for identification.)
Are there any objections to admitting these exhibits and their subparts into evidence?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, sir.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
So Exhibits A, A1 through A10; B, B1
through B7; C, C1 through C5; and D are all admitted into evidence.
(Exhibit A through Exhibit D were
received into evidence.)
The witnesses have been previously sworn, so $I$ will remind the witnesses that you are still under oath.

Mr. Padilla, let's try to keep the summaries short since we have all of this evidence and the parties have already reviewed the evidence, the
technical evidence. How do you want to proceed?
MR. PADILLA: Well, Mr. Examiner, we can skip the whole introduction, but $I$ thought it would be beneficial to the Examiners to have some short summary of what is contained in Exhibits A, B, and $C$.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Hold on,
Mr. Padilla. Let's ask the examiners. I see Mr. Gebremichael and Mr. Goetze on camera, as well as Mr. Feldewert, who is here to ask questions as well. Let's start with Mr. Gebremichael.

Do you need a summary, sir?
MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes, that would be helpful.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Do you want a summary from each of the three witnesses?

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: The only thing I would -- is the main --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, very good.
Mr. Goetze, do you want a summary from the witnesses.

MR. GOETZE: Let's start off with the general overview by Mr. Padilla. And I think this is a second go-around for this application.

MR. CHAKALIAN: That's right.

MR. GOETZE: And we have concerns about the original application. I would put forth to Mr. Padilla to highlight the differences between the last application for the same area and this application if he would in his new discussion.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Mr. Padilla, do you want to make an opening statement and explain the differences between this application and the previous one?

MR. PADILLA: Yes, I will,
Mr. Examiner.
The difference between the first application and the second application was that the first application contained an erroneous legal description. Not so far as Lea County or New Mexico, but as far as the principal meridian. The earlier application had the six prints for meridian which Mr. Goetze said was in Nebraska.

So that case was dismissed. We simply refiled the application pretty much the same way as the first one with the corrected legal description. So there's no difference in what we filed earlier. We were ready to proceed with hearing, but we were not allowed to continue the case in order to cure the principal meridian description.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, Mr. Padilla. Thank you.

So, Mr. Goetze, Mr. Padilla is not a sworn witness in this case and so, you know, the statements that he makes are not evidence. Are there any other statements that he might help you with before we get to the witnesses?

MR. GOETZE: No, that's a good
indication of where were are. I just wanted to clarify that between the two applications.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you. Okay, very good. So, Mr. Goetze, since you're the primary technical reviewer in this case, which of the three witnesses would you like a summary from to begin with?

MR. GOETZE: Well, usually in this type of approval of statutory units, we start with the unit owner itself, so Mr. Kent probably would be the first person to discuss how this has been put together.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Kent?
MR. KENT: Yes, hello. Thank you, Mr. Hearing Examiner, and technical examiners. So today, we're asking for the approval of this South Jal unit, which consists of $19,369.77$ acres in the Enhanced Oil Recovery unit that is unitizing the

Yates, Seven Rivers, and Queens Formations.
The proposed unit is going to be located in Lea County, New Mexico, in Townships 25 South, 36 East; 25 -- 25 South, 37 East; 26 South, 36 East; and 26 South, 37 East. Our proposed participation formula, as approved by the BLM and the SLO is going to be 90 percent remaining oil in place and 10 percent current production.

The exhibits I've uploaded, the proposed unit agreement, unit operating agreement, unitized well list, hearing notice, return receipts, preapprovals from both the BLM and the $S L O$, and return gratifications from those individuals and entities that we have successfully voluntarily joindered.

In general, Forty Acres has committed substantial time, resources, and diligence in researching title and researching for exact addresses for all relevant owners within the unit. We provided sufficient and timely notice to all parties required under NMOCD rules.

Additionally, we have made good faith effort to voluntarily joinder all interest owners. And as a -- as a general breakdown of the land types in this unit, the unit consists of 67 and a half percent BLM land, 13.9 percent SLO land, and
18.6 percent fee lands.

Additionally, we -- we will continue to work closely with the BLM and the SLO in the -- in the creation in the unit -- in the unit participation formula, the unit participation factors, and all relevant agreements. So I'm happy to answer any additional questions.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Goetze?
MR. GOETZE: I'm going to let
Mr. Feldewert have the first round at it.
MR. FELDEWERT: That's fine.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let's see what you have, Mr. Feldewert.

JOE KENT,
called as a witness and having been previously duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. FELDEWERT:
Q Good morning, Mr. Kent.
A Good morning.
Q Now I understand from your resume that you joined FAE in January. Is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. And I guess starting at that point,

| 1 | so that's the first time that you had some involvement |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | in the development of this unit? |
| 3 | A That is correct. |
| 4 | Q Now I understand that over time, you had |
| 5 | some discussions and emails with Jess Ritter at |
| 6 | ConocoPhillips. Is that right? |
| 7 | A Among other parties at ConocoPhillips, yes. |
| 8 | Q Okay. I want to go over some of those |
| 9 | discussions with you; okay? |
| 10 | A Okay. |
| 11 | Q Do you have any notes in front of you that |
| 12 | you're referring to? |
| 13 | A I'm just --- I -- I have a notebook here of |
| 14 | just kind of indexing where some of these exhibits |
| 15 | start in the -- in the PDF 'cause there's a lot |
| 16 | Of -- there's a lot of pages of exhibits here. |
| 17 | Q I agree with that. Do you have any other |
| 18 | notes in front of you? |
| 19 | A No. |
| 20 | Q Okay, all right. I saw a legal pad. |
| 21 | A Yeah, those -- those were the notes I was |
| 22 | referring to. |
| 23 | Q Those are the notes that you have for the |
| 24 | exhibits? |
| 25 | A Yeah, that's referring back to the exhibits |
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that I have pulled up on my screen.
Q Okay, all right. My understanding is that throughout your discussions with ConocoPhillips, you would not disclose FAE's ownership on a consolidated basis in this proposed unit.

A To my knowledge, if I -- I don't recall Conoco specifically requesting that information.

Q Did you disclose your ownership interest in any documents to ConocoPhillips in this unit on a consolidated basis?

A What do you mean by "consolidated basis"?
Q In the unit as a whole. Any interest in the unit.

A I'm -- I'm not aware that I have.
Q Did you do that with any other working interest owners?

A No -- I don't remember off the top of my head, but if somebody would have specifically requested that information, I don't see why we -- we would have withheld it.

Q I see. So if someone indicates that they had asked for that information and you refused to provide it, you would agree that that would be inappropriate?

A I -- I guess it depends on the circumstance.

Q Under what circumstance would you deem it appropriate to not tell a working interest owner what your consolidated interest is in your proposed unit?

A I -- I don't know. I can't -- I can't think of any off the top of my head.

Q Neither can I. What is FAE's percentage ownership in your proposed unit?

A It's going to be roughly 73 percent right now without any sort of, you know, carried interest for nonparticipating working interest owners.

Q Where do I find in the numerous pages of exhibits that you have provided, the consolidated ownership breakdown for the unit. In other words, where do I find it showing your 73 percent and then what everybody owns?

A In Exhibit $B$ of the proposed --
Q Exhibit B?
A Yeah, the Exhibit $B$ of the proposed unit agreement.

Q Now when I looked at that Exhibit $B$ to the proposed unit agreement, I did not see a consolidated working interest owner percentage on the unit as a whole.

A Oh, yeah. Not as a unit as a whole. It's a -- it's a tract-by-tract breakdown.

Q Okay. Have you provided anywhere, the percentage ownership of the effective working interest owners in the unit as a whole?

A No, sir. That - that interest is evolving day by day based off of deals that we're still continuing to negotiate and other interest owners that might choose to participate.

Q I understand, but at that time that you filed your exhibits, you didn't provide one? Your ownership at that time on a consolidated basis and everybody else's?

A I have not -- I have not submitted any documentation on a consolidated basis, no. To the extent that somebody would have requested that information, I would have provided it.

Q And you would agree that if somebody had requested it, it would be inappropriate not to provide it?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Didn't you inform ConocoPhillips that the company, FAE, did not have any title opinions for the proposed unit?

A Are you -- are you asking me that -- if $I$ said that?

Q Did you say that?

| 1 | A I don't recall saying that. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q Okay. Does the company have a title opinion |
| 3 | for the proposed unit? |
| 4 | A For the entire unit? |
| 5 | Q Yeah. |
| 6 | A Like a division or a title opinion? |
| 7 | Q Do you have a title opinion for the entire |
| 8 | unit? |
| 9 | A No. |
| 10 | Q Okay. Wasn't that a big concern that COG |
| 11 | discussed with you? Not having title opinions? |
| 12 | A I -- I have not had those discussions |
| 13 | specifically with ConocoPhillips myself, no. |
| 14 | Q Are you aware that ConocoPhillips raised |
| 15 | those concerns with the company? |
| 16 | A I do not -- I do not recall any of those |
| 17 | concerns being raised with us. |
| 18 | Q What about other working interest owners? |
| 19 | A I don't recall any other working interest |
| 20 | owners raising that concern either. |
| 21 | Q Okay. Why don't you have a title opinion |
| 22 | for the proposed unit? |
| 23 | A We felt like the title that we have is |
| 24 | sufficient enough. |
| 25 | Q What title do you have? |
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A We have title covering every single tract within the unit.

Q How old is that title?
A It varies. No more than a couple of years usually.

Q Okay. But your testimony is that you have title for every tract?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. Is there a reason why you didn't provide that to ConocoPhillips when they asked for it?

A Well, that information contains proprietary data.

Q What? The title opinion is proprietary data?

A Absolutely it is.
Q Okay. So this title that you apparently did you say you have for the unit, that resulted in crediting Meridian Oil Company with a working interest; correct?

A That is correct. And --
Q And you're aware that Meridian Oil has not been around in existence since the 1990s?

A Yes, I'm aware of that now and we've -- whenever Jess brought that to our attention, we corrected our records immediately.

Q So whatever records you had were old enough that it showed Meridian Oil as having an interest?

A The -- are you saying that the ownership report that we had was from the early '90s?

Q I thought you had a title opinion for every tract.

A I -- I never said that. I said we had title for every tract.

Q Oh, I'm sorry. That made a good clarification. Do you have a title opinion for every tract in the unit?

A No, sir. We don't have a title opinion for every tract in the unit.

Q When you say you have ownership information, what are you talking about?

A We have ownership reports in federal and state abstracts.

Q Ownership reports in federal and state abstracts?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Now are you aware that
abstracts -- you consider abstracts to be reliable title information?

A In conjunction with county record research, yes.

| 1 | Q But abstracts are just summaries; right? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A Of -- of BLM and -- and state records, yes. |
| 3 | Q Do you agree with me that they're not |
| 4 | updated? |
| 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | Q Okay. As an experienced landman, would you |
| 7 | advise your client to rely on federal and state |
| 8 | abstracts for title information? |
| 9 | A Not solely, no. |
| 10 | Q Okay. And the other thing you said you had |
| 11 | is ownership reports. Is that right? |
| 12 | A That is correct. |
| 13 | Q Okay. What do you mean by "ownership |
| 14 | reports"? |
| 15 | A We've hired a team of brokers to go out and |
| 16 | review federal abstracts, state -- state land |
| 17 | abstracts, and county records to come to an opinion on |
| 18 | ownership. |
| 19 | Q Did they give you a title opinion? |
| 20 | A Are you referring to what -- what? A title |
| 21 | opinion as a -- an opinion given by a law firm? |
| 22 | Q I am referring to a title opinion that would |
| 23 | be reliable for purposes of developing an Exhibit $B$ to |
| 24 | a unit agreement. |
| 25 | A Under -- under that definition, then, yes. |
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We have title opinions for all tracts in the unit.
Q Okay. And by title opinion, you mean you have broker reports?

A That is correct.
Q Is that all you're going to do?
A Unless the need arises that we would need to research anything further, yes.

Q Okay. And you use those broker reports then to send out estimated title to working interest owners?

A To my knowledge, we haven't sent out any ownership reports to -- to working interest owners.

Q When you send out your ratification forms, it says "estimated title;" didn't it?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Are you going to do anything to move it from "estimated title" to "established title"?

A Yes. Once the unit is approved, and we've solidified the ownership and no changes have -- no additional changes have been made to either tract participation or the formula in which we came up with the participation formula and how we calculate that, then that will be -- that will be solidified.

Q Solidified how?
A Upon -- upon approval of the unit.

Q So you're not going to get formal title opinions for the unit area?

A Define "formal title opinions."
Q What would you refer to as a formal title opinion?

A I -- I'm -- I'm just going off of trying to answer your question, sir.

Q Okay. Are you going to do any other title work other than these ownership reports that was done by your brokers?

A If the need -- if we feel like the need arises to research title further, we will -- we will update and get new title -- title reports, yes.

Q But you didn't do that in advance of this hearing?

A No, sir.
Q Okay. And those ownership reports that you utilized that showed Meridian Oil Company having an interest; right?

A I believe one of those ownership reports did, yes.

Q Which means it had to be at least 20-or 25-years old?

A Are you referring to the date of the ownership report?

their records reflect different acreage similar to what you experienced with Meridian."

A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q So it appears that -- and you go on to say "We've been able to successfully work with these other parties to fill the gaps of title." Do you see that?

A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q So your use of ownership reports and simply looking at federal abstracts resulted in gaps in title with ConocoPhillips first; correct?

A Mm-hmm, that's correct.
Q And then with other working interest owners?
A That's correct.
Q Okay. And you cheered some of that by just buying them out?

A Among other things, correct. Yes.
Q Okay, all right. Now when I look at your unit agreement in terms of the unitized substances, it's very broad; right?

A I -- I guess it depends on what your definition of "broad" is.

Q Includes oil? Gas?
A (No audible response.)
Q Is that a yes?
A I'm -- would you -- I'm going to try and

| 1 | pull up the unit agreement. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q All right. Let's go to Exhibit page 201. |
| 3 | Are you familiar with this unit agreement? |
| 4 | A Yes, sir. |
| 5 | Q Have you looked at it closely? |
| 6 | A Yes, sir. |
| 7 | Q Okay. So it'd be Exhibit A, page 201? |
| 8 | A Okay. |
| 9 | Q Wouldn't it? |
| 10 | A At page 201? |
| 11 | Q Yeah. |
| 12 | A Yes, sir. |
| 13 | Q See the definition of "unitized substances"? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q All oil, gas, gaseous substances, sulfur |
| 16 | contained in gas, et cetera. |
| 17 | A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$. |
| 18 | Q Okay? |
| 19 | A Okay. |
| 20 | Q That's what you seek to use as unitized |
| 21 | substances? |
| 22 | A Okay. |
| 23 | Q Not just oil? |
| 24 | A That is correct. |
| 25 | Q Okay. As this project proceeds then, you |
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anticipate you'll produce some gas?
A I would -- I would refer to the reservoir engineer or the geologist on -- if there would be any additional gas produced.

Q Are you generally familiar with these types of operations?

A In general, yes.
Q Okay. So would you expect that if you're going to unitize gas, that you're going to produce gas at some point?

A I -- I wouldn't want to speak to what may or may not be produced when we commence development operations in this unit.

Q Okay. Didn't you inform ConocoPhillips that there are -- when you look at the ownership here, that there are owners with gas rights in the unitized area that may differ from owners with oil rights?

A I -- I did not. That was a concern that Conoco had raised to me in that -- and I explained that we were capturing gas rights where they were split.

Q Okay. So you agree that there are circumstances where the gas rights and the oil rights were split?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q Okay. Are there are also then circumstances where they may have different percentages of ownership in the oil and gas?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Now if I go back to page A945 -- I'll give you a minute to get there and we'll start to scroll through this.

A I'm -- I'm there right now, sir.
Q Oh, you're quick. I'm looking at paragraph 1 of your email.

A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q Okay? After ConocoPhillips raised that concern, you said, "Gas rights are being tracked through the existing decks." Do you see that?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. What do you mean by "through the existing decks"?

A So as the proposed participation formula indicates that the formula is going to be 90 percent remaining oil in place and 10 percent current production, and to the extent that those gas rights are split in the gas wells that are currently producing, we are capturing those gas rights in the decks.

So the percentages that we've come up with
for that 10 percent come directly from the decks that we currently have.

Q When you say "decks you currently have," what do you mean by that?

A The pay decks that we are currently paying royalties off of.

Q Pay decks, okay. And pay decks then would be for those areas where you have producing wells?

A That is correct. And any acreage dedicated to those producing wells.

Q Okay. So these pay decks would cover only the producing wells and the acreage dedicated to those producing wells; correct?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. And are these generally vertical wells?

A To my knowledge, they are vertical wells.
Q Okay. Which means they're most likely -- if they're oil, on Forty Acre spacing?

A I -- I don't recall how many oil versus gas wells are currently producing.

Q Okay. But your pay decks would be limited to the spacing unit associated with the wells?

A Yes. Or the unitized area associated with the wells, yes.

| 1 | Q Is there a unitized area associated with |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | some wells? |
| 3 | A That is correct. There is the Rhodes |
| 4 | federal gas unit that is completely within the -- our |
| 5 | proposed South Jal unit area that the -- that we are |
| 6 | proposing, and the BLM and the SLO have approved the |
| 7 | dissolution and complete incorporation into our South |
| 8 | Jal unit. |
| 9 | Q Okay. Any other unitized areas within |
| 10 | the -- |
| 11 | A Not that I'm aware of. |
| 12 | Q Okay. So your pay decks would be limited to |
| 13 | the producing wells and acreage dedicated to the |
| 14 | wells, and then whatever you have for the Rhodes unit? |
| 15 | A That is correct. |
| 16 | Q How big is the Rhodes unit? |
| 17 | A I don't recall the size off the top of my |
| 18 | head. |
| 19 | Q Roughly? |
| 20 | A I -- I don't think I can put a number to it. |
| 21 | Q You're the land manager. You don't know how |
| 22 | big the Rhodes unit is within the unitized area that |
| 23 | you -- |
| 24 | A Not off the top of my head, no. |
| 25 | Q And you know where it's located? |
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A Yeah, it's -- we're located generally in the southern part of the South Jal unit. Our proposed South Jal unit.

Q Okay. So you agree with me then that your pay decks won't cover your entire unit area?

A What -- what do -- could you --
Q In other words -- I'm sorry. Your pay decks would not encompass all of the acreage of this nearly 20,000 acre unit that you seek to force on these owners?

A Yeah, the pay decks wouldn't -- wouldn't cover the unit, no.

Q Okay. So have you undertaken any other examination of the gas rights within your proposed unit area other than these existing pay decks?

A Yes, sir.
Q And how have you done that?
A We've -- we've done title -- we've -- those title reports that you -- we've discussed earlier would have captured that.

Q These ownership reports?
A That is correct.
Q Okay. So your testimony is these ownership reports would address gas rights?

A Yes, in the -- in event that they were
split.
Q Give me a minute here. Have you examined whether there are ownership depth severances within your proposed interval? Unitized interval?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. Where do I find identification of those ownership depth severances within your filings?

A Those depth splits are going to be located on the Exhibit $B$ of the proposed unit agreement.

Q And does it allocate based on the depth severances?

A Yes, sir. It does.
Q Now we'll look at page 269 of Exhibit A.
A Okay.
Q I'm trying to get there. Hold on a minute. Sorry about that. Now this is your affidavit of notice, Mr. Kent. Is that right?

A It looks like 269 is the signature page to my affidavit.

Q Okay, okay. Let's go to paragraph 1 of that affidavit. Are you there?

A On Exhibit A269, it is just a signature page.

Q So go up a couple then. I think that my pagination was a little off. I want to go to

| 1 | paragraph 1 of your affidavit. Affidavit of Notice. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | A Can you -- okay. Can you specify what |
| 3 | page -- what exhibit page number you're referring to? |
| 4 | Q A267. |
| 5 | A Okay. I'm there. |
| 6 | Q Are you there? |
| 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q Okay. Now you state you made a good faith |
| 9 | effort to secure voluntary unitization? |
| 10 | A That is correct. |
| 11 | Q Okay. Now your broker reports indicated |
| 12 | that you've identified 348 interest owners in the |
| 13 | unit? |
| 14 | A That's correct. |
| 15 | Q Okay. But you secured voluntary agreement |
| 16 | with only 36 of those owners? |
| 17 | A Working interest owners. That's correct, |
| 18 | yeah. |
| 19 | Q It'd be about 1 percent? |
| 20 | A I -- I can't do that math in my head. |
| 21 | Q Okay. So I see you're seeking to force over |
| 22 | 300 working interest owners into this unit? |
| 23 | A Yeah, that is correct. We -- yes, 'cause we |
| 24 | have -- we have over 75 percent of the unit ratified |
| 25 | working-interest-wise. |
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Q Okay. And you state that you've gotten voluntary agreement of 78.10 percent?

A That is correct.
Q And 70 -- what did you tell me?
Seventy-three percent of that is yours?
A That is correct.
Q So you've only got 5.10 percent of voluntary agreement?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Where is the list of uncommitted owners that you seek to force into this unit?

A I mean, there's -- there's not one publicly available, to my knowledge.

Q I'm not asking publicly available. In your exhibits that you have filed with the Division, where is your list of uncommitted owners?

A I have not uploaded a list of uncommitted owners.

Q Okay. So we don't have a list of the uncommitted owners and then we don't have a breakdown of their ownership percentage on a unit-wide basis?

A That is correct.
Q Where is your chronology of contacts with these over 300 uncommitted owners?

A I -- I don't -- I'm not sure I understand
the question.
Q Where is the document that references the efforts by the company to reach an agreement with over 300 interest owners that you seek to force into your 20,000 acre unit?

A Outside of what $I$ testified to in my self-affirmed statement, there's no -- there's no specific document on the efforts that we've -- that went through to voluntarily joinder of these interest owners.

Q You just lumped everybody together and said you undertook good faith efforts?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. How many of these 348 owners that you seek to force into the unit, how many of those have you been able to locate?

A I couldn't think of a number of the top of my head. It's several.

Q Several of the 348? Do you know how many you've been unable to locate?

A Not off the top of my head.
Q I'm not asking at the top of your head. Is it anywhere in your filed exhibits?

A No, it's not uploaded into my filed exhibits.

Q So we don't have an interest, we don't have a list of the parties you've been unable to locate?

A No, sir.
Q And we don't have the percentage of those that you've been unable to locate?

A No, sir.
MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner, I do have some other questions of not only this witness, but other witnesses. But $I$ would like, at this point, to move to dismiss this application or to continue this application until such time that they can come back and demonstrate that they've done sufficient title work for the entire unit area to ensure that we have an accurate Exhibit $B$ that they want you to adopt as part of its case.

But more importantly, to ensure that we have notice to all of the affected parties in this area and to get an understanding of what efforts they have done, who they've not been able to locate and therefore not give notice to, and what efforts were done to try to locate those parties.

It's astonishing to me that we don't have a more formal title effort for this entire 20,000 acre unit given that they're seeking to use the police power of the state to force into this unit over 300
owners. We don't have identified anywhere, the interests that are held by these parties that they seek to force into this unit.

There is no list, like $I$ said, of unlocatable parties. All they've done is gone out and relied on some federal abstracts and some broker summaries to bring this application before you for this 20,000 acre unit. It seems to me that if you're going to invoke the police power of the state, we need an accurate ownership information.

We need to make sure notice has been provided to all the impacted parties, so we need to know who all is what and what percentage, and they've been unable to locate and why they've been unable to locate them before you can even more forward.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right,
Mr. Feldewert, let's hear from Mr. Padilla.
THE WITNESS: I think he's coming.
Ernie, I think you're on mute.
MR. PADILLA: I've done a lot of title opinions based on federal abstracts. Federal abstracts contain all of history of title in oil and gas leases, so that's very good evidence. Same thing with take-offs that landmen prepare for oil pump lease.

The issue of title opinion work is actually sort of remote now because there's such strong reliance on landmen discovery, so I don't see a problem. If Mr. Feldewert or the Division wants a supplemental listing of those interest owners that haven't answered or they haven't -- which is typical is that a lot of people --

I'm working now in the North Cal unit and I get calls every day from small working interest owners. But they've gotten notice of the hearing. Whether they appear or not at this hearing is another thing. ConocoPhillips and COG have been in this process for well over a year with no meaningful feedback.

So raising this issues, it's not -- yeah, it's a nice argument, but otherwise, when you're talking about 20,000 acres and a very minority interest in the unit, people who don't respond or who had been notified and they're not in this hearing, most of them are going to ratify the interest at some point or another.

Mr. Kent has testified about continuous work on getting these individual owners, but that's not a reason to dismiss. If the Division wants a breakdown of who has been contacted, a
supplemental -- we're willing to do that, but to dismiss this case is improper at this point.

Certainly, in terms of even disclosure as to what ConocoPhillips owns, is my information is that they own about 1 percent of this unit and I believe that's a leverage in order to gain a better division in terms of a buyout because my understanding is that they're initiating a buyout.

But we're willing to supplement the record with that, but not a dismissal.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Mr. Padilla.
Mr. Feldewert, is there a rule or a statute that you are in fact referring to on your motion?

MR. FELDEWERT: There is no rule. Okay? The Statutory Unitization Act requires the operator to come in and demonstrate that they have undertaken good faith efforts to locate all of the affected parties, in trying to reach a voluntary agreement with all the affected parties, and then to provide notice to all of the affected parties.

And it's a very high standard because they're asking you, just like the compulsory pooling, they're asking you to force owners into this unit and exercise your police power. So notice is important.

Information is important. As Mr. Padilla points out, I think it's more than a nice argument.

I think it is a correct argument. And it doesn't matter, just like compulsory pooling, it doesn't matter how small the interest is. You have the same obligation to identify, locate, and then try to reach a voluntary agreement with an interest owner whether they own 90 percent or less than 1 percent. It's the same obligation. Is it very difficult? Yes. Is it very difficult to do for a 20,000 acre proposed unit? Yes. Okay? But you're invoking the police power of the state, so you have to demonstrate that you've identified these people, that you provided notice to them, and that you tried to reach a voluntary agreement.

And all we just have is just a high level statement. As he admitted, "Yeah, we just lumped everybody together" and said, "Yeah, we undertook a god faith effort." There's no demonstration of the who owners are that they reached out to. We don't even have a list of who they're trying to bring into the unit.

So I don't know how you can go forward. So you can dismiss it or continue it. It's up to you. But we don't have enough information to be able to
evaluate what they've done.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Padilla, I've not given you an
opportunity to ask redirect questions to your witness to establish the compliance with this good faith effort that the statute requires to use the state's police power to compulsory pool. So why don't you establish how the percentage of owners that have been contacted or the good faith efforts that your party has used here?

> So go ahead.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. PADILLA:
Q Mr. Kent, where do you identify the people that you have sent notices of the hearing?

You're muted.
A Yes, sorry about that. So yes, I have uploaded all certified mail receipts, notices, and return receipts that -- to all parties that we sent notices to.

Q And what part of your exhibits do you have a listing of every owner that you have identified for the proposed unit?

A So a listing of all the owners in the unit are -- can be found in Exhibit $B$ of the unit
agreement. And all the return receipts, certified mail receipts can be referred to at Exhibit A8. And that's certified mail receipts, the notice hearing letter, and the return receipts.

Q Where do you have a listing of the ownership in your materials?

A It's going to be under Exhibit $B$ of the unit agreement.

Q And how many pages do you have of ownership?
A I mean, it's -- it's hundreds. You know, 500 pages.

Q And it's your testimony that you sent notice of this hearing to every one of those owners?

A That is correct.
Q But in particular, what did you do with respect to working interest owners?

A So working interest owners would have actually received two notices. They would have received first a notice of -- they would have received first a notice of working interest owners meeting that we had with links and documentation to all the relevant agreements that we were proposing under this unit.

All -- all working interest owners had the ability to attend this working interest owners
meeting. So that was the first notice. And the second notice would have been the notice of the hearing, which we would have included a notice with the links to this hearing and also additional ratifications.

Q Are you still continuing to receive ratifications to the unit as we go along?

A Yes, sir. And we are still actually in ongoing negotiations with additional parties to either buy them out or -- or lease them.

Q What's your specific time line for negotiating with Conoco or COG?

A Up until -- up until yesterday.
Q And what's the latest information that you have or what's the latest status for those negotiations?

A The latest status was that Conoco had called me and they had lowered their -- their purchase -- purchase price, but it was still not to a point to where we were able to transact on it. And, as a condition of that, where they wanted us to continue this hearing, which we were not amenable to.

Q And why did you not want to continue this hearing?

A Well, first, this -- this unit has been in
the works for two -- two years now and Conoco has been aware of that every step of the way. And negotiations with Conoco have gone on for well over a year at this point.

Q Have any of the working interest owners that Mr. Feldewert talks about, have they -- what's your history with those owners in terms of this hearing?

A Which owners are you speaking about, Ernie?
Q Working interest owners.
A All -- all working interest owners that have reached out, we've been responsive to and if -- if it was possible to work out a deal for them to participate, not participate, or for us to buy them out, we have done that.

Q Now Mr. Feldewert asked you about what he calls "inappropriate titles." What is the practice in the oil industry with respect to ascertaining pay decks or title?

MR. FELDEWERT: Objection.
I object to the question on the grounds that it's not been demonstrated Mr. Kent has the appropriate background to answer that. He's not a title attorney.

MR. PADILLA: I realize he's not a title attorney, but he is director of land and
obviously handles title matters.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, when an objection is made, please wait for me to understand the objection before I turn to you and ask for your position, which I will do.

So, Mr. Feldewert, I want to make sure I understand your objection. You're basically objecting to this question being outside the scope of this witness's expertise. Is that correct?

MR. FELDEWERT: Correct. I mean, he's just joined the company on January 1st. He didn't even work in New Mexico prior to January 1st. And he's demonstrated no background as to be able to offer opinions of what is appropriate title, particularly for unit agreements and forced unitization, or even what the customary practice is.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Padilla, your response?
MR. PADILLA: Mr. Feldewert answered the question. My question was related to custom and practice, and I don't see any difference between title in Texas or Montana, or title standards in terms of ascertaining ownership in oil and gas leases.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Mr. Padilla.
I understand your response.

Mr. Feldewert, I'm going overrule the objection. I'll give the answer the weight I deem it appropriate.

Go ahead and answer the question.
THE WITNESS: So yes, we -- it is
industry standard in my opinion and what -- every step of the way in my career, that you have -- you obtain ownership reports in ascertaining ownership in oil and gas leases. BY MR. PADILLA:

Q Now once a unit is approved, you testified that you would do further title work. Can you describe what further title work you're going to do once a unit is approved?

A We would be firming up the interest, and like I mentioned previously, we're -- we're currently in ongoing negotiations with several parties on buying them out, so their -- our interest will, you know, be affected by that. And the parties that might currently be in the exhibit -- owners report right now, may not be there after these deals are done.

So to the extent that we need to cure any title in those deals or any -- if any other title needs arise, we will do additional title.

Q Now do you do division order title opinions
or do you do pay decks now?
A It's all about what the policy of that company is comfortable with as far as risk. You know, we -- we were -- we are not planning on doing a division order title opinion, so we are going to base our decks off the current ownership reports.

Q Okay. Have you been unable to locate any working interest owners?

A Yes.
Q And do you know who they are?
A Not off the top of my head, but we can certainly gather a list of the -- the parties we have not been able to contact.

Q Okay.
MR. PADILLA: I think that's all the questions I have, Mr. Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Mr. Padilla, let's take a five-minute break before we come back for any recross from Mr. Feldewert. But I'd also like to hear questions from our technical examiners who are familiar with this issue before $I$ make a ruling on this motion.

So Mr. Goetze and Mr. Gebremichael, I am also looking to you to ask the questions regarding this motion of insufficient notice before $I$ make a
ruling.
So we'll be back on the record at 10:05 a.m. Thank you.
(Off the record.)
MR. CHAKALIAN: It is 10:05. We're
back on the record.
Mr. Goetze, I'm going to turn to you. Do you have any questions that might help me?

MR. FELDEWERT: I have some additional
questions, Mr. Examiner.
MR. CHAKALIAN: I know you do,
Mr. Feldewert, but before we get to your recross, I'm asking Mr. Goetz whether he has any questions for this witness that might help clarify this notice issue.

MR. GOETZE: No, I'll just note that this is a fairly big operation and ownership is quite diverse in this area. I mean, it has been broken up and handed around many times. Some of the questions that Mr. Feldewert was asked is also reflected with my concerns as to how much we have accurately in hand and to what level or degree of trust can we have in the -- whether the oil ownership to be able to move forward with approval.

We certainly want to make sure that we have a standard that's met comparable to our other
larger water flood operations, say the north opps or south opps. So I have more questions with regards to the participation formulas and the unit agreement as opposed to the notice issues.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Gebremichael?
Okay. I think you're muted, but I
think you said you have no questions. Is that right?
MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Are you asking me,
Mr. Examiner?
MR. CHAKALIAN: Sorry?
MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Sorry. I didn't hear your question.

MR. CHAKALIAN: My question to you was, do you have any questions for this witness?

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: No, I don't. I
concur with --
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Feldewert?
Recross?
MR. FELDEWERT: Yes.
/ /
/ /


A I don't think we included that in the -- in the pre-unit AFE. No, sir.

Q Okay. Why not? If you can recover it under your unit operating agreement, why wouldn't you go out and get a title opinion that covers this unit area so there's no questions?

A We -- we are comfortable with the title that we have. And to the extent that additional title needed to be done, we -- we would do that.

Q But you had working interest owners raising concerns about title; right?

A No -- no working interest owners ever has -- has raised a concern over not having additional or title opinion to me.

Q You're saying that ConocoPhillips did not? They didn't raise those concerns to you. That's your testimony?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q As you list parties that you seek to force into the unit, you referenced Exhibit B. Is that right?

A The -- are you talking about the ownership lists?

Q Whatever you referenced.
A I guess I -- I don't understand what you're
asking.
Q Mr. Padilla asked you where's the list of owners in the unit and you said Exhibit B; correct?

A Exhibit $B$ to the unit agreement, yes.
Q And you said that includes the owners that you seek to force into the unit.

A It includes -- it includes all owners.
Q That you've been able to locate?
A No, sir. All owners whether they have been located, not located, participating, or not participating. Every single owner that we have.

Q Okay. That's your title work -- discussed?
A That is correct.
Q Okay. If I go to that Exhibit B, is it anywhere identified on there the parties that you seek to force into the unit?

A No, sir.
Q And we don't know then what their percentages are that you seek to force?

A That -- that is not listed in the exhibit.
Q Okay. And then in terms of your efforts to reach a voluntary agreement, the only thing you have provided is Exhibit A, page 267. Is that right?

A Exhibit A267?
Q That's your affidavit. So if I go to

Exhibit A, page 267. I may have -- let me see if I've got my number right again. Yeah, I do. Are you there? That's your paragraph 1.

A Okay.
Q And that's the information you provided the Division about your efforts to reach a voluntary agreement?

A That -- that is what it said in the affidavit.

Q That's the only thing you provided the Division; correct?

A I -- I provided a self-affirmed statement as well.

Q I'm talking about on the subject of the efforts to reach a voluntary agreement with the uncommitted owners. The only thing I found, and you correct me if there's something else, is what you say here in paragraph 1 of Exhibit A, page 267.

A That is the only thing that is in this affidavit. That is correct.

Q Okay. Is there anything else in these exhibits?

A We -- I uploaded a -- return receipts, certified mail receipts, notices --

Q Let me stop you. I'm talking about efforts
to reach a voluntary agreement with these owners; okay?

A Yep.
Q On that subject, the only thing you provided is what's in paragraph 1 of your affidavit?

A That is correct, yes.
Q Okay. There's no chronology of contacts?
A No.
Q Okay. And according to your information, your efforts resulted in reaching an agreement of about 1 percent of the owners out there?

A Yes, sir. That's -- that's all we -- that's all we needed to get over the 75 percent threshold.

Q So you kind of stopped after you got over the 75 percent threshold?

A No, sir. We have not stopped. As I mentioned earlier, we are -- we have ongoing negotiations with several parties.

Q Okay. The facts are what the facts are. You've been able to reach with less than 1 percent despite what you call your good faith efforts?

A But it will -- it's -- it's actually 4 -- 73 to 78 percent. We have 78 percent ratified.

Q I mean of the 3 -- all right, let me step back. You're right. You can reach maybe agreement
with 5 percent -- you have 73 percent; right?
A I'm sorry. Repeat -- repeat the question.
Q You had 73 percent interest in the unit?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q There are 348 interest owners out there?
A Yes.
Q You've only reached a voluntary agreement with 36 of them?

A That's correct.
Q Which results in another 5 percent?
A That's correct.
Q It got you over your threshold?
A Yes, that is correct. But that -- that
is --
Q But you haven't been able to reach agreement -- there's nothing indicating what you've done to reach an agreement with the other owners? Remaining owners?

A I have not uploaded any exhibits to the effect -- pertaining to any other interest owners.

Q Okay. If I go back to that Exhibit B that you referenced, is there anything on that Exhibit $B$ that identifies the owners that you've been unable to locate?

A No, there's nothing in the Exhibit B that
would indicate owners that we've tried to locate or -- or have successfully joindered.

Q Okay. And so you haven't provided any information about what efforts were undertaken for a specific working interest owner that you've been unable to locate?

A No, that -- no.
Q Okay.
MR. FELDEWERT: That's all I have,
Mr. Examiner.
I would renew my motion. And I'm saying either continue or dismiss, but $I$ don't know how you can go forward without having this basic information that we provide in all compulsory pooling cases. And this is just one big compulsory pooling effort. 20,000 acres. It's tough, but it's an obligation.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. Well, while the parties have been asking questions and answering questions, I've been doing a little bit of research and I'm not quite there yet, so I'll reserve my decision till $I$ finish.

However, Mr. Feldewert, what I believe I'm being asked to determine is whether or not the efforts, as demonstrated by the exhibits and the
answers to your questions, satisfy the standard of good faith, which is in 70-7-6(A) (5), which states "That the operator has made a good faith effort to secure voluntary unitization within the pool or portion thereof directly affected."

Is that correct?
MR. FELDEWERT: I would say yes and then $I$ would preface it by saying have they provided sufficient evidence, okay, of that? Number 1. Good faith efforts to reach a voluntary agreement and have they identified sufficient evidence of the efforts to locate the unlocatable parties?

At this point, we don't even know who the unlocatable parties are.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Do you know of any case law, Mr. Feldewert?

And I'm going to come to you, Mr. Padilla, in a moment.

Do you know any case that elaborates on that term "good faith" and how it applies here to this statute?

MR. FELDEWERT: I'm not aware of any case law. I think the easiest thing to go on is what do we do for compulsory pooling. It's the same thing. This is just a much bigger area. And what do we do
for compulsory pooling? You need a list of the parties you've been unable to locate and to show the efforts undertaken to locate those parties.

You need to identify the parties that you've been unable to reach a voluntary agreement with and you need to demonstrate what we call a "chronology of contacts" that you did undertake an effort with each and every one of those, despite the percentage interest. And it's more than just sending out notice of unitization. It requires more than that.

Just like compulsory pooling. And if we don't provide that in a compulsory pooling case, it doesn't even go forward.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Padilla, you've heard
Mr. Feldewert's summation of the problems he's having with the exhibits and the testimony. He's, if I understand him correctly, suggesting that good faith requires that you at least identify the owners, the working interest owners that you have not been able to make contact with that have an ownership interest in this pool.

And what do you say about that?
It sure would be nice if folks could learn to unmute before they start talking.

MR. PADILLA: I'm sorry. That's why we have notice by publication when you can't find anybody. And that's no different than compulsory pooling when you can't find someone. You've identified the ownership, but you have no response after notice. And that's why you have notice by publication.

I've handled a number of quiet title cases where publication has been an issue, whether it's proper notice or not. The Hanover case, United States Supreme Court --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Let me stop you here. I understand. And I don't think that's the issue here, Mr. Padilla. I think the issue is, has your client identified everyone with a working interest in this pool, not necessarily how have they put them on notice, whether it be mailings or constructive notice.

But I think the question is, have they all been identified. Now what is your response to that issue?

MR. PADILLA: Based on Mr. Kent's testimony, they've all been identified. Now granted, there's going to be a mistake here and there in a unit of this size and that's going to have to be cured at some point if additional title information comes into
being.
But to the extent of identifying everyone who is reasonably known, they have done that. MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Padilla.
Mr. Feldewert, you've heard
Mr. Padilla, that everyone with a working interest has been at least identified. Do you disagree with that?

MR. FELDEWERT: Do I?
MR. CHAKALIAN: Based on the evidence, and the exhibits, and the testimony, do you disagree that everyone with a working interest has been identified?

MR. FELDEWERT: I don't think I can answer that question because $I$ think there's an issue as to whether they've done sufficient title work in advance of this hearing to assure that everyone has been identified. Okay? That's first step. Second step is, those they have identified --

In other words, those on their Exhibit
B. Okay? Who have they been unable to locate? We don't know. What was done to try to locate those parties? We don't know. Okay? Then you go to, of those parties that they've been able to identify and locate, what efforts were undertaken to reach a
voluntary agreement with those owners. Okay?
All we have is one paragraph that says "We made good faith efforts to secure voluntary unitization, we've identified 348 interest owners, and we've secured voluntary agreement with 36." Okay? Those numbers aren't very good and don't demonstrate good faith in and of themselves.

So you better have something else, which means a chronology of contacts. Who did you contact for each of those interest owners? What efforts were undertaken to reach a voluntary agreement? We don't have that. We don't know who they are.

We don't know who they're even trying to force into this unit. And we don't know what efforts were taken with those individual interests to reach a voluntary agreement. That's the problem.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, Mr. Feldewert. I understand.

Mr. Kent, I'm going to ask you some very simple, basic questions. I don't know if you've answered these before, but I'm going to answer [sic] these questions.

Number 1. What percentage of the working interest owners have you identified in this
pool?
THE WITNESS: We've identified all working interest owners in this pool.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, all. So
100 percent of the working interest owners have been identified?

THE WITNESS: To -- to the best of our title abilities, yes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, okay. Once you identified all of the working interest owners, what did you do to negotiate with them?

THE WITNESS: First -- first, we sent out offers to purchase their interests and offers to participate and -- or, if they were unleased mineral owners, offers to lease them.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Of the 100 percent of the working interest owners, what percentage of the offers were actually received and communicated back to you as either a yes or a no?

THE WITNESS: I don't have that exact percentage, but $I$ know that 78 percent of -- well, all working interest owners in this unit have ratified and approved this unit.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And you're including yourself as a large owner of it?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So you own
73 percent?
THE WITNESS: That is correct.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So what you're saying
is then 5 percent of the working interest owners have agreed to participate?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. As of today with additional negotiations ongoing.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I understand. Of the 22 percent that have not agreed, have they received either constructive notice or actual notice?

THE WITNESS: All 22 percent remaining have received offers to purchase, offers to lease, and notice -- constructive notice of the hearing. Yes.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Feldewert, my interpretation of good faith effort to secure voluntary cooperation in this unit is somewhat reliant on some research I did when I was at the Environment Department when a similar argument was made on whether an applicant for an air quality permit had made good faith efforts to give notice to the surrounding landowners.

And the research $I$ did in the case law in New Mexico -- because the argument by the
landowners was that absolute compliance was required. Absolute compliance with the law is required. And the research that I conducted demonstrated that substantial compliance was required in New Mexico and not absolute compliance.

And unless you have something that says
otherwise, then I believe the evidence so far, supplied through testimony and through exhibits, shows a substantial compliance with this requirement of good faith effort to secure voluntary unitization. So that being said, I'm going to overrule your objection and deny the motion.

However, however, I will leave open the option that additional information is required to supplement this record at the end of this hearing if $I$ so determine it or if the technical examiners so want it. So that being said, and your questions and cross-examination questions have been answered, are there any other questions for this witness before we move on to the second witness?

Yes, Mr. Feldewert.
MR. FELDEWERT: If I may. I'm sorry. You know, I didn't want to waste any more of your time if possible. I do have some additional questions for this witness that have nothing to do with what $I$
perceive to be a lack of evidence sufficient to meet the burden. Okay?

> MR. CHAKALIAN: Please proceed.

MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you.
FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. FELDEWERT:
Q Mr. Kent, when I look at your unit boundary in your exhibits, where is that located in your Exhibit A?

A The -- the unit outline boundary is going to be located on the Exhibit $A$ of the proposed unit agreement.

Q So if I look at, for example, Exhibit A10 -- if you could go to that.

A Exhibit A10?
Q Yeah.
A Yes, Exhibit A10 is the unit boundary. Correct.

Q Okay. Now when the company developed this particular boundary, you're doing this to unitize the Yates, Seven Rivers, Queen reservoir. Is that right?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Now is this boundary based on ownership or is it based on the geologic boundary of the reservoir?

A I would say it -- it was -- it was an all above the approach. It was -- it was formed in coordination with the BLM, and with geological boundaries, and existing units that -- that bounded it on the north end and the east sides.

Q Okay, okay. If I go down to the southeast part of the unit, okay, and I go down to -- do you see Section 23 down there?

A Section 23, yes.
Q Yeah, there's a little tract. You've got it labeled "Tract 24."

A Yes.
Q Why are you only including 40 acres out of that section?

A I -- I can't recall the reasoning off the top of my head, but it was -- the decision for the outline was made in conjunction with the BLM. And a lot of those decisions happened prior to me joining Forty Acres.

Q Do you know who owns the remaining acreage in Section 23?

A I do not, no.
Q Was there any notice provided to those owners in Section 23 that you are taking only 40 acres out of that section?

A To the extent that the owners were required to receive notice under the New Mexico Oil

Conservation Division Statutes, they received notice.
Q So my question is, did you provide -- this would have been a party outside the unit; okay?

A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q All right. Did you provide notice to the owner particularly in the west half of the west half of Section 23 that you are taking 40 acres out of that section?

A I -- I don't understand why we would have needed to -- to have done that.

Q You don't?
A No.
Q You don't think that owner would be concerned about interference with potential development plans?

A To the extent anybody had concerns about that, they -- they would have received notice and that they -- they would have brought it up to us.

Q But you didn't give them notice. Did you give notice to any party outside the unit boundary?

A To the extent that anybody was required to receive notice under the statute, we -- we sent notice.

Q My question is, did you send notice to any party offsetting the unit boundary?

A I don't understand why we would have needed to have sent notice to anybody that wasn't required to receive notice.

Q So the answer is no, you did not send notice to any offsetting owner?

A In circumstances where owners were outside the unit that were statutorily required to receive notice, but they were sent notice.

Q And what circumstance was that?
A I can't -- I can't name one off the top of my head, but to the extent that they were required to, maybe in a $C-108$ or something like that, that they received notice.

Q Okay. But sitting here today, you can't tell me that the owners in Section 23, particularly the west half of 23, were notified of your proposed unit?

A I -- I don't have the ownership of the west half of the west half of that section in front of me, but to the extent that somebody would have received notice or offers, we -- we sent those.

Q Now if I go to the east side and I look at Section 35 -- do you see Section 35? If you go to the

| 1 | north where we just were and go up into Section 35 and |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | it looks like Tract 24 -- what's that tract; 24 maybe? |
| 3 | A What -- what township are you -- are you |
| 4 | referring to? |
| 5 | Q It looks like 26 South, 27 East. |
| 6 | A 26 South, 27 East? |
| 7 | Q I'm sorry. 26 South, 27 East. |
| 8 | A 27 East? |
| 9 | Q Yeah. |
| 10 | A That 27 East is not within this -- this map |
| 11 | range. |
| 12 | Q Hold on a second. Let's quit messing around |
| 13 | here. Can you see my screen now, Mr. Kent? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q Okay. So we were just down here in Section |
| 16 | 23? |
| 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q Can you see my cursor? |
| 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | Q Okay. Now I'm moving north. Help me out |
| 21 | here. What section is 35 in? |
| 22 | A Yeah, so that's -- if you -- if you look |
| 23 | right there to the right, it's going to be 25 South, |
| 24 | 35 East. |
| 25 | MS. NEAL: 37 Section. |
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MR. FELDEWERT: Now we're all having trouble. Not just me. How's that? That better? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's -- that's fine.

BY MR. FELDEWERT:
Q Okay. So I was wrong saying 25 South, 37 East or is that correct?

A I thought you were saying 27 East.
Q Okay. All right, my bad. So let's forget that. I'm looking at Section 35. Okay? I'm looking at your unit boundary. Okay? Do you see that?

A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q You didn't include that 120 acres in the east half of the east half of Section 35?

A That -- it doesn't appear that way.
Q Okay. Did you give notice to the owner in the east half of the east half of Section 35 that you were only leaving them 120 acres?

A To the extent that they were required to receive notice under statute, they received notice.

Q That's a nice vague answer. To your knowledge, did the company give notice -- first off, do you know who the owner is in the east half of the east half of 24?

A I do not. I do not have the ownership of
that in front of me, no.
Q And to your knowledge, did the company provide notice of this hearing to the owner in the northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 35?

A To -- to the extent that those owners were required to receive notice, we -- we sent notice.

Q Now if $I$ go to just above that into Section 26 -- do you see where my cursor is?

A Yes, is that -- are you referring to Tract 25 -- Unit Tract 25?

Q I think that's right, yeah. Same question there. Do you know why you're not including the remaining portion of the west half of the east half of Section 26?

A I don't recall the specific reasoning for this, but the unit outline was made in conjunction with the BLM and -- and pretty much dictated by the BLM.

Q Okay. And if $I$ ask you whether you gave notice to the owner in the east half of Section 26 of your unit, are you going to give me the same vague "I don't know answer"?

A To the extent that owners outside of the unit were required to receive, we sent them notice.

Q Okay. And just to follow-up on that, do you know whether the company gave notice to any offset owner outside the unit?

A To the extent that they were required to under statute, then we sent them notice.

Q Okay. Is there anywhere in your list of parties that you provided notice to indicate whether they were notified because they were being affected by the unit boundary?

A I don't -- I don't -- I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q Can I look at any of the information that you provided to the Division and ascertain what owner was being notified, if any, because they were affected by the boundary of the unit?

A All -- all the owners that are shown as -- in uploaded exhibits that received notice or were statutorily required to receive notice whether they were inside or outside of the unit.

Q My question is, if $I$ go to your list of owners that you notified, okay, does your list identify owners that are outside the unit but that were notified because they were affected by the unit boundary?

A I'm sorry. What -- what list are you
referring to?

Q Well, good question. Is there a list anywhere of owners that you notified?

A All the owners in the Exhibit $B$ of the unit agreement were notified.

Q Okay. If I look at that Exhibit B then, that means that those were all owners within the unit; correct?

A Those are all owners within the unit; correct.

Q Okay, all right. Exhibit $B$ does not contain any list of owners outside the unit?

A No, sir. I don't see why it would have.
Q Okay. And your notice of this hearing was limited to the owners identified on Exhibit B?

A Well, to the extent that owners outside of the unit were required to receive notice, we sent them notice.

Q Where do $I$ see that in your Exhibit B?
A They wouldn't have been differentiated in Exhibit $B$ 'cause Exhibit $B$ is the list for the unit agreement.

Q Of owners within the unit?
A That is correct.
Q Okay. Now I want to go to your proposed
unit operating agreement, which $I$ believe is Exhibit A220. And let me stop sharing so I don't give everybody vertigo as I go through the Exhibit here. Can you get to A220 for me, Mr. Kent?

A Yes, I am on A220.
Q Okay, thank you. Now this is the document that you're asking the Division to adopt and force on the over 300 uncommitted working interest owners in this unit; correct?

A On all nonparticipating -- all participating -- all working interest owners; correct. Yes.

Q Okay. And did you have discussions with working interest owners about this unit operating agreement?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now we know we had objections to the participation formula; correct?

A The previous participation formula that was originally proposed on the -- the original application of this unit.

Q Okay. Aside from the objection to the participation formula, were there any objections raised by working interest owners to other provisions in the unit agreement?

| 1 | A I wouldn't say objections. There were |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | certainly questions about the operating agreement. |
| 3 | Q Were there concerns raised? |
| 4 | A Sure, yeah. |
| 5 | Q Okay. As a result of those concerns, did |
| 6 | the company make any changes? |
| 7 | A No. |
| 8 | Q Okay. And you would agree with me that |
| 9 | neither the BLM nor the State Land Office approve or |
| 10 | even look at the unit operating agreement? |
| 11 | A That's correct. |
| 12 | Q Okay. Because they are a non-cost bearing |
| 13 | interest owner? |
| 14 | A That is correct. |
| 15 | Q And this deals with working interest owners? |
| 16 | A Correct. |
| 17 | Q Okay. All right. Then if I go to this unit |
| 18 | agreement and I go down to Section 3.2. |
| 19 | A I'm -- I'm sorry. Which agreement are you |
| 20 | referring to? |
| 21 | Q The unit operating agreement. |
| 22 | A Okay. Sorry, you said -- you said unit |
| 23 | agreement. You said 3.2? |
| 24 | Q Yeah, are you there? |
| 25 | A Yes. |
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| 1 | Q Okay. Actually, I'll start sharing. Let me |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | share. |
| 3 | MR. FELDEWERT: I should ask. |
| 4 | Mr. Examiner, is it okay if I share? |
| 5 | Can you all see that now? |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 7 | MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. |
| 8 | BY MR. FELDEWERT: |
| 9 | Q So I am at page -- let's see. A228. Do you |
| 10 | see that? |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q Okay. All right. Do you see where there's |
| 13 | Section 3.2.11, 3.2.12, 3.2.13, and 3.2.14? |
| 14 | A Uh-huh. |
| 15 | Q And there's no text? |
| 16 | A Yes, I can see it. |
| 17 | Q Okay. Do you agree that there should be |
| 18 | proposed language under these headings? |
| 19 | A I -- I don't think so. I mean, this |
| 20 | operating agreement was reviewed by counsel. |
| 21 | Q Whose counsel? |
| 22 | A Our counsel. |
| 23 | Q Your counsel, okay. So your counsel |
| 24 | concluded that what you send out the working interest |
| 25 | owners and what you ask the Division should adopt, |
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shouldn't have any provisions on a removal of unit operator and selection of a successor operator?

A Yes. I mean, that's what -- that is what we've uploaded as an exhibit.

Q So no provisions governing that?
A Not unless they're referencing additional provisions in the operating agreement.

Q And nothing in your unit operating agreement under 3.2.12 about enlargement of the unit area? And nothing in the unit operating agreement did you disclose under 3.2.13 about the readjustment of investments? And nothing in your proposed unit operating agreement under 3.2.14 about termination of the unit agreement? You just left all that blank.

A Yes, I would assume that any provision referring to the termination of the unit agreement would be governed by provisions under the unit agreement and not the unit operating agreement.

Q Okay. Now when $I$ go to 3.2.4 -- above this. I'm sorry. Do you see that on my screen?

A Yes.
Q Okay. This provision allows up to $\$ 600,000$ of expenditures without an AFE for the working interest owners, or a working interest owner approval or vote?

| 1 | A That's correct. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q Okay. And isn't it true that working |
| 3 | interest owners like COG and other experienced |
| 4 | operators objected to this level of unapproved |
| 5 | expenditure? |
| 6 | A COG has not specifically brought an |
| 7 | objection to this provision to me. |
| 8 | Q What about to the company? |
| 9 | A I'm -- I'm -- to the company? |
| 10 | Q Yeah. |
| 11 | A Not -- not to my knowledge. |
| 12 | Q Okay. Has anybody else objected? Well, let |
| 13 | me step back. Have you talked to any of the working |
| 14 | interest owners about this level of expenditure? |
| 15 | A There's -- there's been other parties that |
| 16 | have asked several questions about the operating |
| 17 | agreement in general. |
| 18 | Q Okay. But you didn't change anything? |
| 19 | A I have not made any modifications to the |
| 20 | operating agreement. |
| 21 | Q When I go to Section 3.2, this indicates the |
| 22 | type of operations where a working interest owner |
| 23 | forced into this unit can decide whether or not to |
| 24 | participate; right? |
| 25 | A That is correct. |
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Q Okay. And it says "With the exception of the Arnott Ramsey Waterflood Project" -- which we'll talk about here in a minute -- "the matters with respect to which the working interest owner shall decide and take action shall include but not be limited to the following, method of operation" -- right? "Drilling of wells, oil recompletions and change of status."

Is that right, Mr. Kent?
A That's -- that's what it says, yes.
Q Okay. Now with that in mind, I want you to keep that mind; okay? I want to go down to Section 11.2 which deals in pre-unitization expenses. Are you familiar with that provision?

A I -- I believe so, yes.
Q It says "Within 60 days from the effective date of this agreement, the unit operator shall bill all working interest owners their proportionate share of all expenses benefitting the working interest owners incurred prior to the effective date."

It goes on to say "These expenses include but are not limited to title work" -- we've talked about that already -- "attorneys' fees, and filing fees associated with unitization. Additionally, pre-unit expenses will include capital workovers and
lease operating expenses associated with unitized wells."

And then you exclude the Arnott Ramsey project, which we're going to address. And it says "From" and there's a blank "to the effective date." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Now is there anywhere that identifies for the working interest owners that you force to seek into this unit what the pre-unit costs are?

A Yes, those were uploaded to a public Google Drive that was -- where a link was sent to all working interest parties, and a letter, and at the working interest owners meeting.

Q Okay. All right. And when was that sent?
A It would have been sent whenever those -- whenever the working interest owners meeting notices went out. I don't have that date off the top of my head.

Q Is that a year ago, two years ago?
A It would have been probably first or second quarter of this year.

Q Okay. All right. Now you mentioned the existing -- well, while we're on this, let me -- you mentioned the existing Rhodes Federal Unit. Okay?

Now you indicated you don't know where that is?
A No, I know where it is. What I -- what I didn't know was you were referring to how many acres it covered and I did not have that number off the top of my head.

Q Okay, all right. If we go back up and -- let me stop sharing. I want to go back up to the map of the unit area. If you can get there for me.

A I'm sorry. You kind of broke up a little bit. What -- where are you wanting me to turn to?

Q Let's go back to that map of the unit area.
A Okay.
Q And if $I$ look at that map of the unit area, can you tell me roughly where the existing Rhodes unit is located?

A Are you referring to Exhibit A10? Is that the map area you're referring to?

Q Good question. Hold on a second. It would be the Exhibit $A$ to your unit agreement. It's marked as Exhibit A3. Is that right?

A Yes, yes. That's it.
Q Okay, all right. Here, I'll share so it's easy. Can you tell us roughly where this existing Rhodes unit is?

A Can you scroll down a little bit?
Q Will this help?
A Yeah -- well, now $I$ can't really see the --
Q Okay. Tell me when $I$ should stop scrolling.
A Yeah, just scroll down to -- to cover the entire south. Yeah. So in general, it covers parts of Section 10 of 26, 37; Section 9; Section 8; Section 22; Section 16; Section -- Section 15; and parts of Section 22 and 21. I believe that's roughly -- that's roughly -- that's a -- that's a good ballpark without having it in front of me.

Q Where I have my cursor?
A Yeah. A little farther east, but yeah, that -- your -- the -- the other east. Yeah.

MR. FELDEWERT: So just for anybody looking at the record here, I see a big square that has blue in it. 53 -- Tracts 53, 46, 42. BY MR. FELDEWERT:

Q Do you see that?
A I see it, yes.
Q Is it to the west of that or the east of that?

A That would encompass that and to the east.
Q And to the east. Okay, all right. So we've got a general area there.

| 1 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Feldewert? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. FELDEWERT: Yes. |
| 3 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Excuse me. Where is |
| 4 | this line of questioning headed? |
| 5 | MR. FELDEWERT: You'll see in one |
| 6 | minute. |
| 7 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, what I'm |
| 8 | wondering is, I'm trying to determine how relevant |
| 9 | this line of questioning is to what we're doing here |
| 10 | today. |
| 11 | MR. FELDEWERT: Certainly. I'll cut to |
| 12 | the chase real quick here. |
| 13 | BY MR. FELDEWERT: |
| 14 | Q Mr. Kent, this has been unitized already for |
| 15 | oil and gas bearing zones? |
| 16 | A It is a gas only unit. |
| 17 | Q Gas only unit, okay. Why wouldn't you |
| 18 | gradually expand this unit rather than forcing owners |
| 19 | into a 20,000 acre unit? |
| 20 | A Because it is a gas only unit. |
| 21 | Q Okay. Why wouldn't you start your -- is |
| 22 | there oil in this area? |
| 23 | A I -- you would have to refer to our |
| 24 | reservoir engineer. |
| 25 | Q Okay, all right. But under your proposed |
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agreement, you're going dissolve this unit and create this much larger unit?

A That was at the request of the BLM, yes.
Q Have you obtained approval from all the working interest owners to dissolve it?

A To the extent that approvals were needed, we've obtained approvals.

Q So you got the approvals necessary?
A That is correct.
Q Okay, all right.
MR. FELDEWERT: That's all I have
there, Mr. Examiner. I just have one other additional line of questioning, then I'm done. Okay?

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So you haven't answered the question $I$ asked originally, which is how was this line of questions relevant to what we're doing today.

MR. FELDEWERT: I think it might fall into what Mr . Goetze said earlier on this, and that is the ownership in this area is quite diverse, number 1. Number 2, they're seeking a statutory approval of a very large, unitized area rather than a more targeted, smaller area where they could expand. Okay?

And I think there's real questions about how prudent that is in this area and this
circumstance.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. And what is this
final line of questioning that you have?
MR. FELDEWERT: It's going to deal with
this Arnott Ramsey existing waterflood project within this unit.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Go ahead.
BY MR. FELDEWERT:
Q While we have this picture up here,
Mr. Kent, the company currently operates an existing waterflood within this unitized area; correct?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. And where is that located within this proposed 20,000 acre unit?

A That is going to be located in -- so if you look at Unit Tract 43, and that's going to be in -- if you zoom, I can't really see those township numbers, but --

Q How am I doing?
A Yeah, it's going to be in Section 32 -- scroll up, please.

Q Scroll up?
A Yeah, Section 32 of 25 South, 37 East.
Q This blue?
A That is a blue tract, yes.

Q Blue tract and it's Tract 43?
A That is correct.
Q And that is your existing waterflood operation?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay, all right. And you propose to retain that waterflood operation within this much larger 20,000 acre unit?

A Yes, sir. That is -- that is a waterflood project in the current zones that we are wishing to unitize.

Q Okay. How long has it been in operation?
A I -- I don't know that off the top of my head. You would have to refer to our reservoir engineer.

Q Okay. Now if $I$ go then, with this in mind, okay, and $I$ go then down to your unit operating agreement -- so I want to get to your unit operating agreement; okay?

A Okay.
Q I'm going to stop sharing for a second. Everybody get to the unit operating agreement, which is Exhibit A220, right, Mr. Kent?

A I'm navigating there now. It's a lot of exhibits.

| 1 | Q It is. That's part of the nature of the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | game. |
| 3 | A Okay, I'm there. |
| 4 | Q I'm almost there. There's a provision in |
| 5 | there dealing with this existing Ramsey waterflood; |
| 6 | right? |
| 7 | A I believe so, yes. |
| 8 | Q Okay. You recall what section that is? |
| 9 | A I don't recall specifically what section |
| 10 | that is off the top off my head. I'm scrolling |
| 11 | through all the pages. |
| 12 | Q How about Exhibit A, page 228? |
| 13 | A You said 228? I'm sorry. |
| 14 | Q Yeah. |
| 15 | A Okay, I am there. |
| 16 | Q And is it showing up on my -- can you see it |
| 17 | now as well on my screen? |
| 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | Q Okay. Great, great. As I read this, once |
| 20 | the division forces your working interest owners into |
| 21 | this unitized area, third sentence says "Participation |
| 22 | in the Arnott Ramsey Waterflood Project by all working |
| 23 | interest owners is obligatory." Is that right? |
| 24 | A That is correct. That's what it says. |
| 25 | Q They have no ability to opt out of this |
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particular project that you all committed on your own within this unit?

A That is correct.
Q Further, as $I$ understand it, is they don't have any vote or say, so to speak, in the existing or future operations within this many waterflood project?

A Well, the -- this would be incorporated into the unit agreement and so it would be -- it would be then governed by the unit agreement.

Q Well, I'm trying to understand the next sentence here. It's the fourth sentence. It says "The decision to perform operations or to terminate operations on the Arnott Ramsey Waterflood Project is at the unit operators' sole discretion." Their sole discretion. No vote; right?

A Uh-huh.
Q Is that how you read that?
A That's what it says.
Q "And upon electing to perform such operations, all working interest owners' participation is obligatory." So they don't get a vote. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And then it says "Payment of all associated non-paid out expenditures associated with the Arnott

Ramsey Waterflood Project" -- and then it goes on to describe the types. And I'm going down now to the next page, "shall be obligatory as to all working interest owners." You must pay.

A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q No say, but you got to pay; right? Is that how you read that, Mr. Kent?

A That's what it says.
Q Okay. And it goes on to say that payment -- and I'm down here in the latter part -- is "Payment is made within 60 days of receipt of the AFE." Do you see that?

A Yup.
Q Okay. And then it says if you don't pay those costs, you're subject to 300 percent risk penalty. Costs plus 300 percent. Is that correct, Mr. Kent?

A That's -- that's what it says.
Q That's what you all are proposing?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Have you identified to the working interest owners the current expenditures from this existing waterflood that they are going to be obligated to pay within 60 days of you sending them the bill if this is approved?

A That was included in the pre-unit AFE that was provided to all working interest owners, yes.

Q Okay. And so if a working interest owner is forced into this unit agreement by the Division, then number 1 , they have to pay those costs whether they want to or not; right?

A If they are -- if they are a nonparticipating party, they do not have to pay these costs.

Q This says they don't get a choice. They have to pay it. It's obligatory; right?

A All participating working interest owners.
Q Okay. And so if -- it says -- what do you mean by a participating working interest owner? Because it says "Participation in the Arnott project by all working interest owners hereto is obligatory."

A I believe it -- what is the definition of working interest owners as defined in that operating agreement?

Q Good question. I don't know. Are you saying they get an election whether to participate in that waterflood project or not?

A If they -- if they get an election to participate in the unit agreement, yes. And -- and to this -- in -- in this waterflood project, yes.

Q Despite this paragraph saying it's obligatory?

A Yes, they -- in order to participate in the unit agreement, participation in the Arnott Ramsey Waterflood Project is obligatory.

Q Okay. So what happens to those working interest owners who are forced to accept this unit operating agreement by the Division? What happens to them?

A I don't understand who would be forced to participate in the waterflood project.

Q One of the things you were asking the Division to do in your application is to approve this statutory unitization and to adopt this unit operating agreement to govern the relationship between FAE and all the working interest owners, including those forced into this unit; correct?

A Yeah, that is correct.
Q Okay. Which then mean that once the Division does that, the owners who have not voluntarily committed to this unit agreement become working interest owners under this unit agreement. Is that what you're asking?

A Those parties that have not elected to participate in the agreement become nonparticipating
working interest owners; correct.
Q Nonparticipating working interest owners?
A That is correct.
Q Okay. And what does that then mean?
A You would have to go to the definition under the operating agreement. I don't know what that is off the top of my head.

Q So they are then working interest owners once forced into this are forever treated as nonparticipating working interest owners?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And they therefore have no voting rights; correct?

A I believe that's correct.
Q Okay. So they have no say in past or future operations?

A You're referring to nonparticipating working interest owners; correct?

Q Working interest owners that are forced into this unit agreement?

A That -- that would be deemed nonparticipating working interest owners.

Q Okay. And so they don't have a vote in future operations?

A If -- if they are nonparticipating working
interest owners, they do not have a vote. That is correct.

Q And they don't get any notice of operations in this unit?

A I believe that's correct. I'm not so sure on that.

Q Let's go to Section 11.8. I'm sorry. 11.9, Nonparticipating Working Interest Owners. That's what you were talking about; right?

A That is correct.
Q "Upon entry of an order by the New Mexico Oil Conservation of the agreement, this agreement" -- I'm sorry. Let me slow down. "Upon entry of an order of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, this agreement governs the relationship of all working interest owners and lands included in the unit area.

Any working interest owner that does not join in and pay their proportionate share of pre-unitization expenses and ratify this agreement, shall" -- number 1, they have no voting rights; correct?

A I believe that's what it says.
Q Okay. They're deemed to be nonparticipation in all unit operations conducted in accordance with
this agreement?
A That is correct.
Q Okay. And C, "Shall not be entitled to notice of or to attend meetings of the working interest owners"?

A That's what it says.
Q Okay. Then it goes on to say that for except the Ramsey project, they are subject to actual costs incurred plus 200 percent quote, unquote "risk penalty" if they're forced into this unit.

A That's what it says.
Q Is that right?
A That's what it says.
Q And then when it comes to the Ramsey project, okay, they are not only forced into the Ramsey project, but they're subject to costs plus 300 percent?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. And this is what you're asking the Division to force working interest owners?

A All -- all working interest parties had an election to make. They had -- they -- they had a decision whether or not they wanted to participate in this unit or not. Nobody's forcing -- the OCD is not forcing costs on working interest parties.

Q They have to pay their proportionate share or they're going to be subject to their proportionate share plus 200 percent or 300 percent for the Ramsey project; correct?

A If they elected not to participate, that is correct.

Q If they're forced into this unit?
A If -- if they did not elect to participate, they will be -- they will be forced into this unit as nonparticipating working interest owners; correct.

Q Okay.
MR. FELDEWERT: That's all the
questions I have, Mr. Examiner. Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Do we have any redirect questions for this witness, Mr. Padilla?

MR. PADILLA: Just very briefly. I don't know where this line of questioning really went and I don't know what --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Well, then,
Mr. Padilla, may $I$ suggest that you object in the future if you don't know where this line is going?

MR. PADILLA: Okay. I'll do that. No questions.

MR. CHAKALIAN: The technical
reviewers, are there any questions for this witness
before we excuse him?
MR. GOETZE: Mr. Examiner, Phillip
Goetze. Is this witness familiar with the unitization participation formula?

THE WITNESS: To the extent that it, you know, doesn't cover, you know, any sort of technical reservoir or geological interpretation, I am. Yes.

MR. GOETZE: Well, then I'll ask you just general questions. We have a designation of both the Phase 1 and Phase 2. I'm familiar with this as this is a carryover from the last time. So how do we know we're in Phase 1 or Phase 2? How is FAE going to inform working interests whether they're in or out and how is that available?

THE WITNESS: To -- to my -- to my knowledge, that Phase 1 and Phase 2 have been completely scraped. They are -- they are no longer applicable. From day 1, the participation formula as proposed, the 90 percent remaining oil in place and 10 percent current production will go into effect.

And that will be in effect for the life of the unit unless deemed otherwise by the BLM.

MR. GOETZE: Well, your unitization participation formula designates these things. Are
you saying it's not going to be incorporated as you state in the unit agreement?

THE WITNESS: The unit -- maybe you can be more specific of what you're referring to you and I can -- I can answer.

MR. GOETZE: Phase 1 is designated to reflect the value of the unit's current development, working interest. It's calculated based on average oil rates from July to December. Effective date after three years' time. Phase 2 -- our participation shall apply. So how do you know if you're in Phase 1 or Phase 2? How does this apply and how do we know where it's applied?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Mr. Technical Examiner. Can you refer me to specifically which page you're referring to?

MR. GOETZE: It's Exhibit C. It's Exhibit C-10 -- 1002 is the page number.

THE WITNESS: Exhibit C?
MR. GOETZE: C1002.
MS. NEAL: -- Virtual connectivity
interruption -- I think it -- that's part of my exhibits.

THE WITNESS: Exhibit C1002.
MS. NEAL: This is the feasibility
study that was presented to the BLM and originally it was not updated to reflect the participation formula.

THE WITNESS: Okay, Mr. Technical
Examiner. I'm being told that what you're referring to is the original feasibility study that was not modified to reflect the new participation formula.

MR. GOETZE: Where do I find the new participation formula?

THE WITNESS: That would be in the unit agreement. And the unit agreement will start at -- let's see.

MR. GOETZE: Well, it starts at A220?
THE WITNESS: It will start at
A -- Exhibit A195.
MR. GOETZE: Got it. All right. Participation. Well, we have dropped this Phase 1, Phase 2 concept. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. There's no -- there's no Phase 1 or Phase 2. It's just -- as soon as the -- as soon as the unit becomes effective, the 90 percent remaining oil in place, 10 percent current production formula will take effect.

MR. GOETZE: Thank you for clearing that up. Right now, that's the only thing I was really interested in.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you.
MR. GOETZE: No further questions.
THE WITNESS: Mr. -- Mr. Hearing
Examiner?
MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Mr. Hearing Examiner, you're muted.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, who is your second witness?

MR. PADILLA: That would be Mr. Hooper, geologist.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Hooper, do you want to give a summation of your testimony before you go to cross-examination?

MR. HOOPER: Sure.
Well, my names is Charles Hooper. I am a senior geologist with Forty Acres. I have knowledge and experience in geological and waterflood matters pertinent to this application. And though I know we stated this yesterday, our application seeks order bearing statutory unitization of a proposed enhanced oil recovery that's secondary and tertiary to cover the lands that we've previously gone over.

Looking to the exhibits, Exhibit B2 is a well log that penetrates the entirety of the
proposed unitized area. It has formation tops on record with the OCD, is in a centralized location. This well is the basis for dividing the unitized interval stratigraphically.

And the unitized interval will be from the top -- the Yates formation top to the base of the Queen, otherwise known as the Grayburg formation top. Injected water will be contained within this unitized interval. Exhibit B3 contains a type log with a more modern and complete log sweep.

Exhibit B4 contains a structure map of the Yates formation over the unit area. There's a gentle west, southwest dip in a local anticline in Sections 27 and 34. The Sevens Rivers and Queen formations largely mirror the Yates in structure. Exhibits B5 and B6 contain structural cross-sections.

These cross-sections illustrate the lateral continuity of land packages that are waterflood targeted zones within these formations. Geologic studies over the area deem these areas well-suited for secondary recovery. There are no faults or other geologic impediments that would negatively impact these -- this project.

It is of my professional opinion that injected -- injection into the selected interval will
enhance, not impair, oil production and I -- injection will be confined to the injection interval by stratigraphic-confining layers above and below the injection zone.

Exhibit B7 shows water analysis from freshwater wells through the project areas and produced water, which will be the source of our water injection. Our team here at FAE has examined all data available to us and found no evidence of faults or any chronological connection between the produced formation water and the shallow freshwater. The two sources of water are compositionally distinct and hydrologically separate.

The exhibits listed were prepared by me and FAE II personnel under my purview. It is my opinion that granting this application would serve in the interests of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of relative rights. I understand this statement was -- would be used as testimony in my case and this summary, and I can confirm that my testimony in paragraphs 1 through 15 in the submitted affidavit is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

And with that, I'd like to open it up for questions.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Feldewert, do you have any questions for this witness?

MR. FELDEWERT: I do.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Proceed. CHARLES HOOPER, called as a witness and having been previously duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FELDEWERT:
Q Mr. Hooper?
A Yes.
Q We've referenced the fact that the company is currently operating that Ramsey waterflood within your proposed unitized area?

A Correct.
Q Okay. In that, what I'll call a mini waterflood, are you flooding the same interval?

A Part of the same proposed unitized interval, yes.

Q Okay. When you say "part," does the waterflood operations in the Ramsey unit currently include flooding at a larger or smaller portion of the proposed unitized interval here?

A The injection is targeted in the Ramsey
section -- the Arnott Ramsey Section 32 waterflood project, water is targeted in the lower Seven Rivers and upper portion of the Queen.

Q Okay. And does that relate to what you're seeking to unitize here?

A It is a part of the entire unitized interval. In this specific area, the lower Seven Rivers and upper Queen, is what is oil productive. And that is what we're targeting for waterflood operations.

Q Okay. In your opinion, have those efforts been successful?

A In my opinion, yes.
Q Okay. And do you know if it's paid out?
A I can't speak to the payout, but technically speaking, we have seen waterflood responses.

Q Okay. And based on what you've seen, would you expect the operations in that existing waterflood to pay out?

A I -- I believe so. I'm -- I'm not an accountant nor do $I$ pretend to be.

Q I understand. I'm just talking about the geologic perspective.

A Mm-hmm, yes.
Q From what you've seen, you would expect
enough production to overcome the costs?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you see any geologic risk in expanding this operation to the entire 20,000 acre proposed waterflood area?

A This South Jal development -- can you define what kind of risk do you mean?

Q You can only speak about geologic risk; right?

A Correct.
Q Okay. As a geologist, do you see any geologic risk in taking what you're doing in the Ramsey waterflood and expanding that out to your 20,000 acre proposed unit?

A No. Now the 20,000 -- the 19,000 acre proposed unit, within that unit, different reservoir segments of the different formations will be targeted, but the unit outline was drawn to encompass lands that we believe to have waterflood feasibility.

Q Okay. So I'll get back to my question then. Do you see any geologic risk in undertaking those operations based on what you've seen so far with the Ramsey unit?

A Based on the data available to us, I -- I deem it to be low risk.

Q Okay.
MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, any
follow-up to those questions?
MR. PADILLA: I don't have any
questions of Mr. Hooper.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Gebremichael?
MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Thank you,
Mr. Hearing Examiner.
My question is, you keep referring on the waterflooding, but in your application also it includes tertiary recovery. Are you injecting anything else besides water?

THE WITNESS: At the moment, we are not.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: But that's what you are proposing to though?

THE WITNESS: We are proposing to include tertiary -- to make this an enhanceable recovery unit so that in the future we can inject co2.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: CO2. Okay. One more question $I$ have is, in the course of the discussion, there was a mention of about hydrogen
sulfide, $H 2 S$; right? Is that going to be an injected substance or it's going to be the produced part?

THE WITNESS: Sorry. In -- in what portion was that?

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: About the presence of any H2S.

THE WITNESS: I believe that was in reference to produced -- produced use.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Okay. So do you have the $H 2 S$ contingency plan in place?

THE WITNESS: I believe -- I cannot speak to that directly. I believe that's something I have to get with our operations engineers and confirm.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: That's all the questions $I$ have, Mr. Hearing Examiner.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
And, Mr. Goetze?
MR. GOETZE: Good morning, Mr. Hooper.
THE WITNESS: Good morning.
MR. GOETZE: So looking at the scale of this, just out of curiosity, why did we go with something so large instead of doing individual waterfloods?

THE WITNESS: Well, these sand bodies are contiguous. I mean, these -- these sands
are -- are correlative and -- and mappable for very plus miles. And so the name of the game on a lot of this waterflood stuff is scale and economies in scale, and we believe the whole area to be productive.

MR. GOETZE: Okay. I have a question about -- let's see. Let's go back. So we're going to be working mostly looking at the pools that your unit encounters. I see at least three oil and I see one gas. Most of this will be Langlie Mattix, Seven Rivers, Queen, Grayburg.

So it is your intention just to use Yates, Seven Rivers, Queen. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct. And additional it -- it has the Rhodes pool, Leonard pool, and -- and Scarborough pool to the south.

MR. GOETZE: Those have Yates and Seven Rivers. Langlie Mattix does not include the Yates in most places. Of course, it's always subject to interpretation. Another question $I$ have with regards to previous operations in this area. In particular, you have up to the northwest, a leg of this project area, which is in 25 South, 36 East.

The arm that sticks up in the northwest. So in the middle of that, I have a -- no, I don't, but there is an operator who has a very
active disposal well and we have the Shoals [ph] B25 number 2 -- 25 -- 25 South, 36 East. At this point, they have put in 45 million barrels of water into the Yates and there's contention that it's not necessarily going to stay in the Yates.

Have, in your evaluation, taken in consideration a series of disposal wells in this area, especially for those that have turned into commercial as a result of recent activity?

THE WITNESS: We have. We plan our development around wells with previous injection, especially untreated or largely untreated for waterflood injection. But to that point, we -- we also operate a well very close to that saltwater disposal well that makes on the order of 30 barrels of oil a day.

So we -- we believe that injection to be more so than what was going down into the -- into the -- prior to the waterline.

MR. GOETZE: You're not going to say Capitan; are you?

THE WITNESS: No, not in the Capitan.
MR. GOETZE: Thank you.
I will just make one comment to the examiner. We have two applications. One for the
statutory unit, which is the case 23712 and then the C-108 application, which is the application for injection and that's the 23711 case. We will note to the examiner that we will require some additional time to review this portion of it, the $\mathrm{C}-108$ application since it encumbers a lot of well review.

So our understanding is that we may have questions about, especially in this area where we have very poor records of plugging and completion, that we may come back to this applicant and request either additional information or may have to stipulate that there may be issues with the AOR wells.

Other than that, I don't have any more questions. Thank you.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, Mr. Goetze.
Mr. Padilla, any follow-up on those cross-examination questions?

MR. PADILLA: No, Mr. Examiner. I think the geologist is very --

MR. CHAKALIAN: Would you like to call your final witness?

MR. PADILLA: We'd call Vanessa Neal at this time.

MR. CHAKALIAN: And Ms. Neal, would you like to give a summary of your testimony?

MS. NEAL: Yes.
My name is Vanessa Neal, senior
reservoir engineer at Forty Acres. We're referencing the statutory unitization of proposed South Jal unit as, again, an oil recovery unit. The unitized interval, as Mr. Hooper said, is from the top of the Yates to the bottom of the Queen.

We're also requesting injection authority across the entirety of the unitized interval which does span multiple pools across the unit. Those include, but are perhaps not limited to the Jalmat, the Langlie Mattix, the Rhodes, the Scarborough, and the Leonard pools.

We have estimated, based off of surrounding analog waterfloods, that there is over 90 million barrels of oil in -- for both total and -- and tertiary recovery, and we believe that unitization is the most effective way to recover these reserves.

Full development of this unit, on a waterflood basis, we have estimated to cost about $\$ 480$ million using $2022 / 2023$ pricing and we expect that development to take a minimum of ten years. Economics have been run for this project going over 50 years and we believe that the project will generate about \$3.9 billion in oil and gas revenue, gross.

After capital -- after taking into account capital expenses and taxes, this would equate to about 2.8 billion in non-discounted cash flow or a discounted cash flow of present value at 10 percent of $\$ 630$ million. Without this unitization, the producing wells that are currently active within the South -- the proposed South Jal unit boundaries, have a P/B 10 of $\$ 32$ billion.

I -- I don't know if you want me to go into the $\mathrm{C}-108$ packets that are not -- well, just a couple of sentences on those. The C-108 packets, that there were three packets submitted and go over three different injection projects would be the initial development targets for this unit. They include 26 injectors.

We've used the NMOCD guidelines and -- and pressure grading to estimate the max injection pressure based on perforation depth and that max injection pressure ranges from 700 to 1,000 psi, depending on if you were targeting the Yates, Seven Rivers, or Queen formation in your flood.

Our average expected injection rate is 600 barrels of water injected per day per injector. And we expect the maximum rate to be 1500 barrels of water injected per day per day injector, or as
dictated by your max pressure. We've included induced seismicity assessment for the entire proposed unit area and based on our assessment, we believe this is at low risk for inducing seismicity.

Additionally, we have included the
feasibility study that was provided and approved by the BLM and SLO.

That's all I have to say.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, is your witness ready for cross-examination.

MR. PADILLA: Ready. Pass the witness.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Feldewert?
VANESSA NEAL,
called as a witness and having been previously duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FELDEWERT:
Q Good morning, Ms. Neal.
A Good morning.
Q I was reading through your statement and information. Am I correct that in your opinion, you believe it's prudent to introduce waterflood or other enhanced oil recovery methods in areas where primary
production has occurred because the pressure in the reservoir has been depleted. Is that a pretty good summary?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q Okay. When I go to your affidavit at paragraph 7 -- so I'm on Exhibit C2976. Let me know when you get there.

A Is that under the title history of the field?

Q Yes.
A Okay.
Q And in this paragraph you're talking about that existing Rhodes Federal Unit. Is that right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. You know, first, that that Rhodes Federal Unit was first used primarily for gas storage; right?

A Correct.
Q And then you say "After the RFU" -- which is Rhodes Federal Unit -- "was converted into a producing gas unit, additional development occurred but was contained to the gas bearing formations, leaving the oil bearing formations undeveloped in this central unit area." Do you see that?

A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

Q Then when $I$ go down to paragraph 16 -- are you there?

A Yes.
Q Your second sentence, again, says "The central part of the unit has not been fully developed; i.e., not all primary recovery has occurred in the unit to date."

A Correct.
Q Okay. That's still your testimony?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So you're seeking to force working interest owners into an area that includes the central area that has not been subject to primary recovery for oil?

A We are seeking to have working interest owners join us in developing the primary -- the primary reserves that are currently undeveloped, and then to implement secondary recovery in order to increase the production rate and waterflood the entirety of the unit.

Q Are you contemplating starting a waterflood before primary recovery has occurred?

A We would expand the waterflood unit and do primary at the same time, yes. But expanding into this area.

Q Okay. Have you thought about, for example, focusing on a smaller statutory unit area that would encompass where you intend to waterflood and then gradually expand as needed based on primary recovery?

A That is one approach. We believe that that would be inefficient and that is why we went for the larger unit. The way a waterflood works, you need boundaries and with the existing unitized outline, there are boundaries of existing waterfloods to the east and the north, and geologic boundaries to the west and south.

Q Okay. But one valid approach would be to start a little smaller and then get bigger as you go along; right?

A That is a valid approach that could lead to waste, yes.

Q The existing Ramsey waterflood unit in the area where you started waterflooding, have you committed to that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. I think you state somewhere in your affidavit that that has been deemed successful. Do you recall that testimony?

A I don't recall it, but $I$ would deem the project successful.

Q And if I go to -- I guess we're on the same page. Page C977, paragraph 8. Are you there?

A Yes, mm-hmm.
Q Okay. So is it your opinion that it's been deemed successful?

A Yes.
Q What does that mean?
A It is a waterflood success in that we injected water and saw oil and gas respond as expected in a waterflood.

Q Do you expect then the additional production from the Ramsey federal unit to cover the costs? In other words, do you expect it to pay out?

A Yes, I would expect it to pay out.
Q Now with your idea of taking this and expanding it to the larger unitized area, I'm going to ask you a similar question. Do you see any engineering or reservoir risk in expanding this waterflood project across your proposed 19,000 acre unit area?

A No, I think that the greatest risk would be economic risks as oil price goes up and down.

Q Okay. So would you characterize your engineering and reservoir risk as low? How would you characterize it?

| 1 | A I would characterize it as low. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Q I'm sorry. I didn't mean to put words in |
| 3 | your mouth. |
| 4 | A I know this. |
| 5 | MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. This is all the |
| 6 | questions I have. Thank you. |
| 7 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Any redirect questions, |
| 8 | Mr. Padilla? |
| 9 | MR. PADILLA: No, Your Honor. No, sir. |
| 10 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Gebremichael, any |
| 11 | questions? |
| 12 | MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes, Mr. Hearing |
| 13 | Examiner. |
| 14 | My question to you is, Ms. Neal, when |
| 15 | you put those 26 injectors to wells and then you also |
| 16 | mentioned about the waterflood boundary, have you |
| 17 | carried out any reservoir simulation to cover this |
| 18 | 20,000 acres? |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: We did a small simulation |
| 20 | on the Arnott Ramsey and the area to the south of |
| 21 | that, but we have not done it over the entire 20,000 |
| 22 | acre project. |
| 23 | MR. GEBREMICHAEL: And then you didn't |
| 24 | see it necessary or |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: Not really. I mean, |
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these formations have them flooded across the entirety of the Central Basin Platform. There are numerous waterflood analogs that are real-life analogs flooding the same formations. Real-life examples tend to always be better than -- than computer simulations, so we took our direction from them.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: And then also you mentioned the proposed maximum injection is only the water. So when are you planning to start your tertiary recovery scheme?

THE WITNESS: So we would want to have an area of the formation that has reached -- a very large area of the formations that have reached fill-ups before introducing CO 2 or tertiary injection. Most likely, that would not happen earlier than five years from the start of development.

But we have been in talks with a local CO2 provider in preparation for starting at a minimum of -- in the area for tertiary recovery.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: So you said you'll be preparing for the, you know, with the CO2, the gas, that -- issues to your well accordingly?

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.
MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Okay.
Those are all the questions I have,

Mr. Hearing Examiner. Thank you.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Goetze?
MR. GOETZE: Thank you.
Good morning, Ms. Neal.
THE WITNESS: Good morning.
MR. GOETZE: A couple of questions. We did have this -- touch upon bounding of this water.

THE WITNESS: Mm-hmm.
MR. GOETZE: Pretty much we know to the west, we know the south, the east. How are we going to deal with areas where we don't have a physical feature, such as a pinch-out or a change in -- are we going to have agreements, or are we going to have negative production, or what's the plan considering the scale of this?

THE WITNESS: There are a couple of different options that haven't been finalized at this point. But you can either have a row of injectors along the boundary so you lose injection water outside of your -- outside of your boundaries, but you don't lose production.

Another alternative is to have a row of producers along the boundary in the efforts to create a -- a significant enough drawdown that you don't lose
any reserves outside of the boundary. Those are options and I'm sure there are other options that we can consider in the future as well.

MR. GOETZE: Have we identified these areas where we would have to utilize such engineering?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I think they would be mostly to -- to the north, but not specifically yet. We'd have to dig down into it a little bit more.

MR. GOETZE: Okay. In your proposal, have we given options as to what you would do considering if we get a -- I'm sure you're going to ask for the ability for administrative approval to add and move wells around. How do we make sure that we are not impacting correlative rights?

THE WITNESS: I think you could do that with spacing. Well spacing from the -- from the edge of the lease to avoid -- virtual connectivity interruption -- those or outside of the unit.

MR. GOETZE: Could it be possible that you would put together a supplemental statement as to how you're going to keep within your boundaries of this waterflood unit? At least options that can be considered, especially since you're going to seek administrative approval of moving wells, that we have options in place?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. GOETZE: I would recommend that strongly.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. GOETZE: So that's a request for,
Mr. Examiner, is clarification on bounding and maintaining within the waterflood, ensuring correlative rights, what engineering activities or operational activities to deal with it.

Next subject. So we're going to be doing waterflood. Do you anticipate requiring makeup water?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we will need some makeup water. Our primary source will be produced water and then we have some very high water wells that are producing from these formations that we intend to utilize. But in the event that makeup water is needed and after significant development, we have been in talks with local SDWs and evaluating their water as a potential water source. Makeup water source.

MR. GOETZE: So when you reference water wells, you're talking about water wells permitted under the Office of the State Engineer?

THE WITNESS: No, no, no. I was talking about producing oil wells that have very high
water rates.

MR. GOETZE: Okay. So essentially, you're still looking at produced water as a primary makeup?

THE WITNESS: Correct, yes.
MR. GOETZE: One other item I would ask. In your original package, you provided a feasibility and unitization study.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. GOETZE: It was dated March 10, 2022. In there, you had a development plan?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. GOETZE: Are you going to update that? And if not, there is a Figure 11 in there that I would like to be able to see. I guess you're going to use the same one again. Let's give it to us on a scale that is readable.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. GOETZE: Please.
THE WITNESS: Sure.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, are you taking notes for the items that Mr. Goetze is requesting?

MR. PADILLA: I am.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GOETZE: I don't think I have any more questions for this witness.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Padilla, do you have anything left in this case?

MR. PADILLA: No. The only thing I have is Exhibit $D$ and that is an affidavit for me that we published in the Hobbs newspaper and the certificates of publication are attached to that affidavit. So we ask admission of Exhibit D also.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I think I admitted that with the other exhibits when $I$ first admitted all the exhibits.

MR. PADILLA: If we didn't, that's fine. If we didn't.

MR. CHAKALIAN: We did. So do you rest your case-in-chief?

MR. PADILLA: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. So are you asking the Division to take this case under advisement at this point?

MR. PADILLA: Yes, of course.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. All right. And, Mr. Padilla, you said you had a list of the additional documents that Mr . Goetze -- why don't you read them
out so we know what you have.
MR. PADILLA: Well, he wants a readable Figure 11 in the feasibility study, wants information on the makeup water, and wants supplemental statement on bounding requirement for options to protect correlative rights. And I think Ms. Neal testified that they had several options, but I think a statement would increase that requirement.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Goetze, is that a complete list?

MR. GOETZE: That is correct. It's what $I$ have on my list.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Mr. Padilla, when do you anticipate filing those documents through the portal?

MR. PADILLA: Let me defer to Mr. Kent and to my witnesses. I think probably a week we could get something in there.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Let's set a deadline then. Why don't we give you two weeks and we'll say the deadline to submit those documents is December 22.

```
                MR. PADILLA: Very good.
```

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. CHAKALIAN: So that concludes that
case and now before we call the next case --
MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner?
MR. CHAKALIAN: -- I would like to take
a lunch break. We will return at one o'clock to take up Case 23711 and we can incorporate as much information, Mr. Padilla, as you wish from Case number 23712. I don't know how much overlap there is, but I leave that to you and your witnesses. I do.

MR. PADILLA: Very good. I think
there's considerable overlap, so I think we can be briefer on the 711 case.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Okay. So we will be in recess until 1:00 p.m., this afternoon.
(Off the record.)
MR. CHAKALIAN: It is 12:59 p.m., on the 8th of December. We are continuing the hearing in Cases number 23712 and 23711. We have already heard Case 23712 and are taking it under advisement.

Mr. Padilla, are you ready to proceed with 23711?

MR. PADILLA: Yes, Mr. Examiner. And to begin with, we offer Exhibits A, B, C and D in this case.
/ /

| 1 | (Exhibit A through Exhibit D were |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | marked for identification.) |
| 3 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. |
| 4 | Mr. Feldewert? |
| 5 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, I had a |
| 6 | chance to -- are they the same as filed in 23712? |
| 7 | MR. PADILLA: Slightly different only |
| 8 | because -- but essentially, the geology is the same, |
| 9 | type logs, cross-sections, that kind of thing. So the |
| 10 | only real evidence in this case should be coming from |
| 11 | Vanessa Neal, the reservoir engineer. And that one is |
| 12 | slightly different, but essentially the same |
| 13 | conclusions that she reached this morning. |
| 14 | MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. |
| 15 | No objection. |
| 16 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you, |
| 17 | Mr. Feldewert. |
| 18 | So Exhibits A1 through A3; B1 through |
| 19 | B7; C1 through C7; and D, your affidavit; are admitted |
| 20 | into evidence. |
| 21 | (Exhibit A through Exhibit D were |
| 22 | received into evidence.) |
| 23 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Would you like to |
| 24 | present your first witness? |
| 25 | MR. PADILLA: We would call on |
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Mr. Hooper and have him briefly tell us what is different in this case than what he presented this morning and there shouldn't be that much difference.

So go ahead, Mr. Hooper. Give us a brief summary of what your affirmed statement says.

MR. HOOPER: Okay.
Like Mr. Padilla said, most of this
testimony overlaps with the unitization case. In this
case, I will highlight the differences. In this C-108
case, FAE intends to convert or -- or drill 27 wells for injection in three separate applications. The Queen project, the Yates project, and Mobily [ph] projects and would like the ability in the future, after unit approval, to convert additional wells to the unit administrative rate.

And that is it. The exhibits all
remain the same.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay.
Mr. Feldewert, any questions for this
witness?
MR. FELDEWERT: No.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Goetze?
MR. GOETZE: Well, the only thing I
will say, Mr. Examiner, is they took the hint. Thank you very much.

| 1 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr. Gebremichael? |
| 3 | MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Mr. Hearing |
| 4 | Examiner, I don't have any questions. Thank you. |
| 5 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. |
| 6 | Mr. Padilla, your second witness? |
| 7 | MR. PADILLA: Vanessa Neal. |
| 8 | MS. NEAL: Again, most of the stuff has |
| 9 | already been covered in 23712. The exhibits that I |
| 10 | submitted specifically go over the C-108 applications |
| 11 | for the three initial project areas in the proposed |
| 12 | South Jal unit. |
| 13 | It goes over our estimated maximum |
| 14 | injection pressure, which is based off of the NMOCD |
| 15 | guidelines using a pressure gradient to determine the |
| 16 | max pressure to be between 700 to 1,0000 psi, with the |
| 17 | intent in the future to submit a step-rate test as |
| 18 | needed to increase that grade and -- and pressure. |
| 19 | MR. PADILLA: Anything else, Ms. Neal? |
| 20 | MS. NEAL: No. |
| 21 | MR. PADILLA: Pass the witness. |
| 22 | MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Feldewert? |
| 23 | MR. FELDEWERT: Sure. |
| 24 | / / |
| 25 | / / |
|  | Page 421 |

BY MR. FELDEWERT:
Q Ms. Neal, there was a couple of things that dawned on me after our discussion this morning and that is, as I understand it from your testimony, this unit is being formed for enhanced oil recovery?

A Yes.
Q Okay. But we also talked about, and I think you referenced the fact, that there are areas within the proposed unit that have not been subject to primary oil recovery efforts. Remember that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And I think you indicated that those primary recovery efforts the intention is to implement those under the same unit agreement, unit operating agreement. Is that right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. If $I$ have you -- I'm going to try to bring it up here. Give me a second. Can you see this unit outline?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Can you identify for me the area in this unit where you intend to do waterflood operations and then -- or maybe it's easier this -- the area within the unit where you intend to do primary
recovery operations?
A I cannot with just this general map.
They -- it's scattered all across the unit areas that have not been primarily depleted on a 40 acre spacing basis. A lot of -- a large portion of that falls in the area of the Rhodes Federal Unit, but there are places all across the unit.

Q And that Rhodes Federal Unit was kind of in the central area here?

A Yeah, just south of where your mouse was.
Q Okay, all right.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. That's all I had. Thank you.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we rest.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I was going to ask if you had follow-up and $I$ guess the answer is no. But before you rest, sir, I was going to ask Mr. Goetze and Mr. Gebremichael if they had any cross-examination for Ms. Neal.

MR. GOETZE: This is Mr. Goetze. No, I do not have any additional questions for Ms. Neal. Thank you.

MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Mr. Hearing
Examiner, I just want to emphasis I'm not sure if this is going to be handled by Ms. Neal or Mr. Hooper. The
one that $I$ mentioned about $H 2 S$ contingency plan, as a supplemental, it would be nice if they could provide as identify the wells that produce $H 2 S$ and then their respective contingency plan as well.

Thank you. That's all.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you,
Mr. Gebremichael.
Mr. Padilla, that's been the fourth piece of information that the Division is asking for. MR. PADILLA: I have it, Mr. Examiner. MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we were all on the same page. Okay. Then if you rest --

Mr. Feldewert?
MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir?
MR. CHAKALIAN: Are you putting on a case?

MR. FELDEWERT: No, I have just a statement of concerns that $I$ want to raise with you, so it won't take long.

MR. CHAKALIAN: All right. So why don't you go ahead and then we'll leave it to Mr. Padilla to make his closing argument.

MR. FELDEWERT: Okay. And I'm not going to repeat what $I$ said earlier. I mean, I've
already raised my concerns with four things. The level of title done to identify the affected working interest owners because that directly impacts notice, which is one of most important things here.

I also raised concerns with the absence of evidence on the unlocatable parties. Who are they and what was done to locate them? We don't know. I also raised concerns about the absence of evidence on the parties subject to forced unitization. Who are they and what do they own? We don't know.

And what efforts were undertaken specifically with those parties to reach agreement with them independent of how small their interest is. What I also want to raise concerns about is that when you look at this Exhibit D, which is their unit outline, $I$ raised concerns about the lack of notice to offset operators.

And you're going to say to me "Well, Mr. Feldewert, where does it say they got to provide notice to offset operators"? Okay? And the answer is that there is nothing in the rule, just like there's not in compulsory pooling cases or federal exploratory unit cases.

But the division, I can tell you, has authority to require additional notice when they deem
it necessary. And I have seen a number of federal voluntary exploratory units that were toothy like this. I mean, you know, jets out and things where the Division said, "Look, because of the potential impact on the offsetting operators and the fact that you're taking away their development rights, you're going to give them notice."

And I think that would be totally
appropriate here. The other issue I raised involved the unit operating agreement, which I walked through. I tried to do it as efficiently as possible. But as you walk through the unit operating agreement that they have proposed, first thing you need to know is you guys have to decide on this. Okay?

This is one of the things you all have to adopt as reasonable for the working interest owners under your authority as a Division under the statutory unitization act. BLM doesn't pass on it and neither does the State Land Office. It's up to you. And I've pointed out to you that they put forth a unit operating agreement here that has some incomplete provisions, particularly the stuff that is so important as the removal of unit operator and successor unit operator. Nothing in there.

Those are important provisions. Then
you'll see -- I pointed out and I know you were frustrated with me, but I felt like I needed to do it. We got this Ramsey waterflood project that they're going to force onto the working interest owners that are forced into this unit. Okay?

And independent of all the concerns I raised about that, it has a 300 percent risk penalty if they don't buck up and pay the costs for that operation for which they have no vote for past operations and no vote on future operations. In fact, zero input. And they want a 300 percent risk penalty?

I asked their geologist, I asked their reservoir engineer "What about this Ramsey unit"? Everybody said: "Oh, it's successful. It's going to pay out, so there is zero risk." They provided no evidence whatsoever to support a 300 percent risk penalty on this Ramsey unit project that they're going to force on the working interest owners.

Then we go to the standard -- not standard. We go to the 200 precent risk percent penalty that they have proposed for nonparticipating working interest owners forced into this unit. Okay? And independent of the Ramsey unit, Section 11.9 of this unit operating agreement -- if I can get there -- arrives at --

Put the Ramsey unit aside. For all these other operations, if you, as a working interest owner, decide not to participate, you lose a lot of rights, number 1, and more importantly, we're going to recoup it from you at cost plus 200 percent. Okay? There is no evidence to support a 200 percent risk penalty. Zero.

Both their geologists said, "The geology risk, low." Reservoir engineer, she said the same thing, "Reservoir and engineering risk, low." There's nothing to support a 200 percent risk penalty. And you're going to ask me "Well, Mr. Feldewert, is that something we need to worry about"?

And I say, yes, it is because under the Statutory Unitization Act under Section 70-7-7(F), requires the Division to determine what the appropriate risk penalty is. And there is zero evidence here to support a 300 percent risk penalty or a 200 percent risk penalty.

And my final point $I$ think is equally important to everything I've just raised, statutory unitization is limited to secondary recovery operations. You don't force people into a unit where you're going to conduct primary operations. Those are voluntary units.
unitization, is only applicable to areas that have been sufficiently depleted or it is determined that secondary recovery operations are necessary. And we have a 19,000 acre proposed unit area here that includes increase where there's not going to be secondary operations.

There's going to be primary operations because there have been zero primary operations. So I don't understand how they can bring this 19,000 acre unit under the Statutory Unitization Act. It does not fit.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, do you have a closing argument?

MR. PADILLA: Yes, let me just say a few words.

Mr. Feldewert says there's no risk
here. Ms. Neal testified that the project, over a ten year period, was going to cost 480 million, somewhere around there. That's a heck of a risk not to have somebody participate. The reason for statutory unitization is so that some operator in the middle of the pool or in the middle of the unit area decides that he's going to benefit without having participated in the cost.

I don't see that the unit operating agreement is any different than compulsory pooling in the procedures. That's the standard penalty in JOAs, joint operating agreements, and if you don't participate, you're penalized. And statutory unitization, even taking this primary production, Ms. Neal just testified that there was primary production throughout the unit.

But taking the whole unit overall, the whole unit area, it's subject to tertiary recovery now in finalizing the waterflood and then followed by carbon dioxide injection. So you can't have somebody here in the middle of this unit saying "No, I don't want to participate and I'm going to get a free ride." That's not the reason for the Statutory Unitization Act. I don't think that the BLM or the State Land Office would have approved this if it was way out of whack, and they did. There's a requirement to get the BLM. And in terms of the participation formula, the BLM essentially was the driver on this thing.

And the reasoning for that formula is that it doesn't take historical production. It takes the last two of years of 10 percent of that and 90 percent future. So it just seems equitable to me.

It makes sense that most of the participation is going to be on future oil and gas production.

Mr. Feldewert makes a big deal about
title. I think, as the testimony has been from Mr. Kent, they're still working on title. Pay decks are upgraded. There's no question that somebody dies, there's got to be a probate and then you have different ownership.

Some of that is continuously applying in oil and gas. Title work. People die or they sell their interest in their new ownership. But basically having the history that we have here starting in 1920, all of those leases have constantly been upgraded. There's no question that there's a lot of title work here.

But in terms of meeting the 75 percent threshold, they already have. Now I don't think there's any problem with supplementing the record to show who is unlocatable and who is not, but it's no reason to deny the application with the requirement that title be upgraded in terms of what the custom and standard is in the oil industry.
I've done a title opinion on a United States participating area for an entire unit and it's a tremendous amount of work to upgrade the title, but
you do have probates and some people just disappear in the woodwork. So to the extent that we have not received any feedback from some of the owners, then I think we could supply that.

We could certainly supplement
the -- but, I think that there's been substantial evidence already on efforts to notify every interest owner that is known about this hearing and about the proposal. The working interest owners have had proposals to participate. Whether or not they do or not, or ignore it, that's a different thing.

But that also is a reason for statutory unitization, just like it is in compulsory pooling, where somebody doesn't respond or they don't want to drill the well. This is just on a unit-wide basis. There's nothing presented that 19,000 acres is too large an area.

I think it's justified based on
Ms. Neal's testimony that it makes sense to have this kind of a unit of the size because you don't leave oil in the ground. Smaller units may or may not, but I think her testimony is credible. There's surely nothing from the other side that it is not.

Now if you go to the unit agreement, you know, Mr. Feldewert makes a point that there are
three paragraphs that don't have any narrative. But if you go to unit agreement, the unit agreement does say how you remove an operator and that requires an election to remove a unit operator that people don't like the person that's operating.

So those three paragraphs that are missing narrative are addressed in the unit agreement. And there's nothing magical about removing a unit operator who's not further developing the unit. You get rid of them. And so with that, I'll stop. But there's no reason to delay this applications simply because there seems insufficient title work.

The title work is there now, with a caveat that that has to be upgraded every once in a while. That just happens. With that, we ask that this applications be approved.

MR. FELDEWERT: Mr. Examiner?
MR. CHAKALIAN: I was waiting for
Mr. Padilla to finish his sentence. I heard "the applications be" and then $I$ assume you were going to say taken under advisement, but I didn't hear those words.

MR. PADILLA: Exactly. Thank you for that. We would ask you to take them under advisement. MR. CHAKALIAN: I figured that's what
you were going to do, but $I$ was waiting. All right, Mr. Padilla, for my notes, I'm going to put them in the case here. Would you list the four documents your filing on or before December 22 nd?

MR. PADILLA: We're going to file the supplemental statement on bounding from Ms. Neal as to how you're going to protect the boundaries from migration and protecting correlative rights on waterflooding neighbors, essentially. We have to do something about makeup water. A report on makeup water.

We're going to have to expand Figure
11. And I don't know, unless you want us to
supplement the record also with the missing folks who haven't responded and we don't know who they are, then we can do that as well.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay. Well, let's get number 4 and then I'm going to ask Mr. Goetze to clarify number three that you just said. And number 4 that Mr. Gebremichael just asked you for?

MR. PADILLA: I'm sorry?
MR. CHAKALIAN: There was a fourth item that you just wrote down a few minutes ago when Mr. Gebremichael asked you for it.

MR. PADILLA: Oh, the wells that
produce H 2 S .
MR. CHAKALIAN: Very good.
Okay, Mr. Goetze, you heard the list. Does that comport with you understanding or do you want to reword any of that?

MR. GOETZE: No, those are the items that $I$ required, Mr. Examiner, as far as what he spelled out. I'm satisfied with that.

MR. CHAKALIAN: I wasn't sure about that expanding Figure 11. Is that what you asked for or is there other words that $I$ should have?

MR. GOETZE: Yes, Mr. Examiner, I did request it. It was part of their Plan of Development and it showed in a math formula where they were going to do, what wells, and what areas. So I'd like to see it in something other than a thumbnail.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Okay, very good. All right, excellent. So I think everyone understands what Mr. Padilla is going to file on or before December 22 nd.

Is there anything left here before we close the hearing and adjourn?

MR. PADILLA: Let me just clarify something on this Figure 11. Sometimes, in order to file it with the OCD, we have to compress something
like that and perhaps if it doesn't compress, we could send a hard copy.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Padilla, I'll bet that if you file those four items either as one document or four individual documents, you won't have to compress anything because it'll be just a single item.

MR. PADILLA: Okay.
MR. CHAKALIAN: Mr. Gebremichael?
MR. GEBREMICHAEL: Yes, Mr. Examiner.
Mr. Padilla just mentioned identifying the wells that produce $H 2 S$, but also the corresponding H2S contingency plan. Thank you very much.

MR. CHAKALIAN: Thank you,
Mr. Gebremichael.
Okay. If there is nothing further from either party, we will be adjourned. It is 1:26 p.m., on the 8 th of December.

Thank you for everyone's participation.
And we will take both cases under advisement.
(Whereupon, at 1:26 p.m., the
proceeding was concluded.)
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| 370:16,18,18 | amount 431:25 | applicable | 413:24 420:14 |
| 371:17,20 | analog 403:15 | 389:19 429:2 | approvals |
| 372:17 374:20 | analogs 411:3,3 | applicant | 377:6,7,8 |
| 377:1 379:18 | analysis 394:5 | 356:21 402:10 | approve 368:9 |
| 379:19,22 | answer 300:20 | application | 384:13 |
| 381:8,9 383:4 | 306:6 316:7 | 302:24 303:2,4 | approved |
| 383:19,24 | 337:22 339:2,4 | 303:5,8,13,13 | 305:6 315:18 |
| 384:4,8,15,21 | 353:15 354:22 | 303:14,17,20 | 323:6 339:11 |
| 384:22,25 | 361:6 363:21 | 329:10,11 | 339:14 355:23 |
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[approved - b7]

| 382:25 405:6 | 423:3 429:2 | 341:13 342:9 | 397:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 430:17 433:16 | 435:15 | 345:1 349:19 | average 390:8 |
| area 303:4 | argument | 367:7 384:12 | 404:22 |
| 316:2 320:16 | 331:16 333:2,3 | 384:23 387:19 | avoid 413:17 |
| 322:24 323:1,5 | 356:21,25 | 416:20 424:9 | aware 308:14 |
| 323:22 324:5 | 424:23 429:14 | assessment | 311:14 312:21 |
| 324:15 329:13 | arises 315:6 | 405:2,3 | 312:23 313:21 |
| 329:18 341:17 | 316:12 | associated | 317:2,11 |
| 344:5 350:25 | arm 400:23 | 322:23,24 | 323:11 337:2 |
| 370:9 374:8,12 | arnott 372:2 | 323:1 372:24 | 350:22 |
| 374:14,18 | 373:3 378:5 | 373:1 381:24 | b |
| 375:25 376:22 | 380:22 381:13 | 381:25 | b 298:1,5,12 |
| 377:20,22,23 | 381:25 383:15 | assume 370:15 | 301:8,15 302:5 |
| 377:25 378:11 | 384:4 396:1 | 433:20 | 309:16,17,18 |
| 380:21 386:17 | 410:20 | assure 353:17 | 309:20 314:23 |
| 393:1,12,20 | arrives 427:25 | astonishing | 325:9 329:14 |
| 395:15 396:7 | ascertain | 329:22 | 334:25 335:7 |
| 397:5 400:4,20 | 365:13 | attached 416:9 | 344:20 345:3,4 |
| 400:22 401:7 | ascertaining | attend 335:25 | 345:14 348:21 |
| 402:8 405:3 | 337:17 338:23 | 387:4 | 348:22,25 |
| 406:24 407:12 | 339:8 | attention 300:2 | 353:21 366:4,6 |
| 407:13,25 | aside 367:22 | 312:24 317:15 | 366:11,15,19 |
| 408:2,18 | 428:1 | attorney | 366:21,21 |
| 409:16,20 | asked 300:19 | 337:23,25 | 404:8 418:23 |
| 410:20 411:12 | 308:22 312:10 | 437:14 438:10 | b1 298:5,12 |
| 411:13,19 | 337:15 341:19 | attorneys | 301:15 419:18 |
| 422:22,24 | 345:2 349:24 | 372:23 | b2 392:24 |
| 423:6,9 429:5 | 371:16 377:15 | audible 318:23 | b25 401:1 |
| 429:23 430:10 | 427:12,12 | audio 437:8 | b3 393:9 |
| 431:24 432:17 | 434:20,24 | 438:3 | b4 393:11 |
| areas 322:8 | 435:10 | authority 403:9 | b5 393:16 |
| 323:9 393:20 | asking 300:11 | 425:25 426:17 | b6 393:16 |
| 394:6 405:25 | 304:23 310:23 | available | b7 298:5,12 |
| 412:12 413:5 | 327:14 328:22 | 327:13,14 | 301:16 394:5 |
| 421:11 422:9 | 332:23,24 | 389:15 394:9 | 419:19 |
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[back - buck]

| back 307:25 | 393:3 403:20 | 375:16 431:3 | 363:11 365:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 321:5 329:11 | 423:5 432:15 | bigger 350:25 | 365:15,24 |
| 340:18 341:2,6 | bearing 368:12 | 408:13 | 410:16 412:20 |
| 343:4 347:25 | 376:15 392:21 | bill 372:17 | 412:24 413:1 |
| 348:21 355:19 | 406:22,23 | 382:25 | bounded 359:4 |
| 371:13 374:6,7 | began 299:4 | billion 403:25 | bounding |
| 374:12 397:20 | beginning | 404:3,8 | 412:8 414:6 |
| 400:6 402:10 | 300:25 | bit 349:20 | 417:5 434:6 |
| background | believe 316:20 | 374:11 375:1 | break 340:18 |
| 337:22 338:13 | 332:6 349:23 | 413:8 | 418:4 |
| bad 363:9 | 357:7 367:1 | blank 370:14 | breakdown |
| ballpark | 372:15 375:9 | 373:5 | 305:23 309:13 |
| 375:10 | 380:7 383:17 | blm 305:6,12 | 309:25 327:20 |
| barrels 401:3 | 385:14 386:5 | 305:25 306:3 | 331:25 |
| 401:15 403:16 | 386:23 396:20 | 314:2 323:6 | brief 420:5 |
| 404:23,24 | 397:19 399:7 | 359:3,17 | briefer 418:11 |
| base 340:5 | 399:11,12 | 364:18,19 | briefly 388:16 |
| 393:6 | 400:4 401:17 | 368:9 377:3 | 420:1 |
| based 310:5 | 403:17,24 | 389:23 391:1 | bring 317:15 |
| 325:10 330:21 | 405:3,24 408:5 | 405:7 426:18 | 330:7 333:22 |
| 352:21 353:10 | beneficial | 430:16,19,20 | 422:19 429:10 |
| 358:23,24 | 302:4 | blue $375: 17$ | broad 318:19 |
| 390:8 396:17 | benefit 429:24 | 378:24,25 | 318:21 |
| 397:22,24 | benefitting | 379:1 | broke 374:10 |
| 403:14 404:18 | 372:19 | bodies 399:24 | broken 341:17 |
| 405:3 408:4 | best 355:7 | bottom 403:7 | broker 315:3,8 |
| 421:14 432:18 | 394:23 437:10 | boundaries | 326:11 330:6 |
| basic 349:13 | 438:6 | 359:4 404:7 | 343:4 |
| 354:21 | bet 436:3 | 408:8,9,10 | brokers 314:15 |
| basically 338:7 | better 332:6 | 412:21 413:21 | 316:10 |
| 431:11 | 354:8 363:2 | 434:7 | brought 300:2 |
| basin 411:2 | 411:5 | boundary | 312:24 360:20 |
| basis 308:5,10 | big 311:10 | 358:7,10,17,20 | 371:6 |
| 308:11 310:10 | 323:16,22 | 358:23,24 | buck 427:8 |
| 310:13 327:21 | 341:16 349:15 | 360:22 361:2 |  |
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| burden 358:2 | 395:6 405:15 | 420:9,10 | 302:15,19,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| buy 336:10 | calls 331:9 | 424:17 434:3 | 303:6 304:1,11 |
| 337:13 | 337:16 | cases 299:4 | 304:19 306:8 |
| buying 318:15 | camera 302:9 | 349:15 352:9 | 306:12 330:16 |
| 339:17 | capability | 418:18 425:22 | 332:11 334:2 |
| buyout 332:7,8 | 299:17 | 425:23 436:20 | 338:2,17,24 |
| c | capital 372:25 | cash 404:3,4 | 340:17 341:5 |
| $1298: 6$ | 404:1,2 | cause 307:15 | 341:11 342:5 |
| 8:13 299:1 | capitan 401:21 | 326:23 366:21 | 342:11,14,18 |
| $: 8,16$ | 401:22 | caveat 433:14 | 342:21 349:18 |
| 361:14 387:3 | captured | central 406:23 | 350:15 351:14 |
| 390:17,18,19 | 324:20 | 407:5,12 411:2 | 352:12 353:4 |
| 402:2,5 404:10 | capturing | 423:9 | 353:10 354:18 |
| 404:11 418:23 | 320:20 321:23 | centralized | 355:4,9,16,24 |
| 420:9 421:10 | carbon 430:12 | 393:2 | 356:2,5,10,16 |
| c1 298:6,13 | career 339:7 | certainly | 358:3 376:1,3 |
| 301:16 419:19 | carried 309:9 | 300:13 332:3 | 376:7 377:14 |
| c1002 390:20 | 410:17 | 340:12 341:24 | 378:2,7 388:14 |
| 390:24 | carryover | 368:2 376:11 | 388:19,24 |
| c2976 406:6 | 389:12 | 432:5 | 392:1,7,11 |
| c5 298:13 | case 295:9 | certificate | 395:1,4 398:4 |
| 301:16 | 299:12,12,13 | 437:1 438:1 | 398:8 399:16 |
| c7 298:6 419:19 | 299:20,21,22 | certificates | 402:15,20,24 |
| c977 409:2 | 300:17,25 | 416:9 | 405:9,12 410:7 |
| 331:8 | 303:19,24 | certified | 410:10 412:2 |
| calculate | 304:4,13 | 334:18 335:1,3 | 415:21,25 |
| 315:22 | 329:15 332:2 | 346:24 | 416:3,11,16,19 |
| calculated | 350:15,19,23 | certify 437:4 | 416:23 417:9 |
| 390:8 | 351:12 352:10 | 438:2 | 417:13,19,25 |
| $\text { call } 347: 2$ | 356:24 394:20 | cetera 319:16 | 418:3,12,16 |
| 351:6 395:17 | 402:1,3 416:5 | chakalian | 419:3,5,16,23 |
| 402:20,22 | 416:17,20 | 296:13 299:2 | 420:18,22 |
| 418:1 419:25 | 418:1,1,5,6,11 | 299:23 300:8 | 421:1,5,22 |
| called 295:6 | 418:19,24 | 300:16 301:5 | 423:12,15 |
| 306:15 336:17 | 419:10 420:2,8 | 301:14 302:7 | 424:6,11,16,21 |

[chakalian - conducted]

| 429:13 433:18 | 435:23 | comment | compulsory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 433:25 434:17 | clearing 391:23 | 401:24 | 332:23 333:4 |
| 434:22 435:2,9 | clerk 296:19,21 | commercial | 334:7 349:14 |
| 435:17 436:3,9 | client 314:7 | 401:8 | 349:15 350:24 |
| 436:14 | 352:15 | committed | 351:1,11,12 |
| chance 419:6 | close 401:14 | 305:15 381:1 | 352:3 425:22 |
| change 371:18 | 435:22 | 384:21 408:19 | 430:2 432:13 |
| 372:8 412:13 | closed 317:24 | communicated | computer |
| changes 315:19 | closely 306:3 | 355:19 | 411:5 |
| 315:20 368:6 | 319:5 | company | concept 391:17 |
| characterize | closing 297:4 | 310:21 311:2 | concern 311:10 |
| 409:23,25 | 424:23 429:14 | 311:15 312:18 | 311:20 320:18 |
| 410:1 | co2 398:22,23 | 316:18 328:3 | 321:13 344:13 |
| charles 297:12 | 411:14,18,21 | 338:11 340:3 | concerned |
| 392:16 395:5 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o g }}$ 311:10 | 358:19 363:22 | 360:16 |
| chase 376:12 | 317:6,8,12 | 364:2 365:2 | concerns 303:1 |
| cheered 318:14 | 331:12 336:12 | 368:6 371:8,9 | 311:15,17 |
| chief 416:17 | 371:3,6 | 378:10 395:13 | 341:20 344:11 |
| choice 383:10 | cogswell | comparable | 344:16 360:18 |
| choose 310:7 | 295:17 437:2 | 341:25 | 368:3,5 424:19 |
| chronological | 437:21 | complete | 425:1,5,8,14,16 |
| 394:10 | come 314:17 | 300:18 323:7 | 427:6 |
| chronology | 321:25 322:1 | 393:10 417:10 | concluded |
| 327:23 347:7 | 329:11 332:17 | completely | 369:24 436:22 |
| 351:6 354:9 | 340:18 350:17 | 323:4 389:18 | concludes |
| circumstance | 402:10 | completion | 417:25 |
| 308:25 309:1 | comes 352:25 | 402:9 | conclusions |
| 361:11 378:1 | 387:14 | compliance | 419:13 |
| circumstances | comfortable | 334:5 357:1,2 | concur 342:17 |
| 320:23 321:1 | 340:3 344:7 | 357:4,5,9 | condition |
| 361:8 | coming 330:18 | comport 435:4 | 336:21 |
| clarification | 419:10 | compositiona... | conduct 428:24 |
| 313:10 414:6 | commence | 394:12 | conducte |
| clarify 304:10 | 320:12 | compress | 357:3 386:25 |
| 341:14 434:19 |  | 435:25 436:1,6 |  |

[confined - correct]

| confined 394:2 | considered | contention | 318:10,11,13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| confining 394:3 | 413:23 | 401:4 | 318:16 319:24 |
| confirm 394:21 | considering | contiguous | 320:25 321:4 |
| 399:13 | 295:8 412:15 | 399:25 | 321:15 322:9 |
| confused | 413:11 | contingency | 322:13,14 |
| 299:23 | consists 304:24 | 399:10 424:1,4 | 323:3,15 |
| conjunction | 305:24 | 436:13 | 324:22 326:10 |
| 313:24 359:17 | consolidated | continue | 326:14,17,23 |
| 364:17 | 308:4,10,11 | 303:24 306:2 | 327:3,6,9,22 |
| connection | 309:3,12,21 | 329:10 333:24 | 328:13 333:3 |
| 394:10 | 310:10,13 | 336:22,23 | 335:14 338:9 |
| connectivity | constantly | 349:12 | 338:10 343:15 |
| 390:21 413:17 | 431:13 | continuing | 343:17,19 |
| conoco 308:7 | constructive | 299:3 310:6 | 345:3,13 |
| 320:19 336:12 | 352:17 356:12 | 336:6 418:17 | 346:11,17,20 |
| 336:17 337:1,3 | 356:15 | continuity | 347:6 348:4,9 |
| conocophillips | contact 340:13 | 393:18 | 348:11,13 |
| 307:6,7 308:3 | 351:21 354:10 | continuous | 350:6 356:1,4 |
| 308:9 310:20 | contacted | 331:22 | 356:8 358:18 |
| 311:13,14 | 331:25 334:9 | continuously | 358:22 363:7 |
| 312:10 317:14 | contacts 327:23 | 431:9 | 366:8,10,24 |
| 318:10 320:14 | 347:7 351:7 | convert 420:10 | 367:9,11,18 |
| 321:12 331:12 | 354:9 | 420:14 | 368:11,14,16 |
| 332:4 344:15 | contain 330:22 | converted | 371:1,25 377:9 |
| conservation | 366:11 393:16 | 406:20 | 378:11,12 |
| 295:3,6 296:16 | contained | cooperation | 379:2 380:24 |
| 296:18,20 | 302:5 303:14 | 356:18 | 381:3 382:16 |
| 360:3 386:12 | 319:16 393:8 | coordination | 384:17,18 |
| 386:14 394:17 | 406:22 | 359:3 | 385:1,3,13,14 |
| consider | contains | copy 436:2 | 385:18 386:2,5 |
| 313:22 413:3 | 312:11 393:9 | correct 306:23 | 386:10,22 |
| considerable | 393:11 | 306:24 307:3 | 387:2,18 388:4 |
| 418:10 | contemplating | 312:19,20 | 388:6,10 |
| consideration | 407:21 | 313:20 314:12 | 391:17,18 |
| 401:7 |  | 315:4,15 317:7 | 394:22 395:16 |
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| 397:10 400:12 | course 398:24 | 391:22 | 389:19 401:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400:13 405:23 | 400:18 416:22 | currently | 404:23,25,25 |
| 406:4,18 407:8 | court 352:11 | 321:22 322:2,3 | days 372:16 |
| 415:5 417:11 | cover 322:11 | 322:5,21 | 382:11,24 |
| corrected | 324:5,12 375:5 | 339:16,20 | deadline |
| 303:21 312:25 | 389:6 392:22 | 378:10 395:14 | 417:20,21 |
| correctly | 409:12 410:17 | 395:22 404:6 | deal 337:12 |
| 351:18 | covered 374:4 | 407:17 | 378:4 412:12 |
| correlative | 421:9 | cursor 362:18 | 414:9 431:3 |
| 400:1 413:14 | covering 312:1 | 364:9 375:12 | dealing 380:5 |
| 414:8 417:6 | 343:21 | custom 338:20 | deals 310:5 |
| 434:8 | covers 344:5 | 431:21 | 317:25 339:21 |
| corresponding | 375:6 | customary | 339:23 368:15 |
| 436:12 | create 377:1 | 338:16 | 372:13 |
| cost 343:21 | 412:24 | cut 376:11 | december |
| 368:12 403:20 | creation 306:4 | cx 297:6 | 295:13 299:3 |
| 428:5 429:19 | credible 432:22 | d | 390:9 417:22 |
| 429:25 | crediting | d 297:1 298:7,7 | 418:17 434:4 |
| costs 343:15,24 | 312:18 | d 298:14 299:1 | 435:20 436:18 |
| 373:10 382:15 | cross 306:18 | 301:8,9,16,18 | decide 371:23 |
| 382:16 383:5,9 | 357:18 392:14 | 416:7,10 | 372:5 426:14 |
| 387:9,16,25 | 393:16,17 | 418:23 419:1 | 428:3 |
| 397:1 409:12 | 395:9 402:17 | $419: 19,21$ | decides 429:23 |
| 427:8 | 405:10,18 | 425:15 | decision 349:22 |
| counsel 369:20 | 419:9 422:1 | $\text { data } 312: 12,14$ | 359:16 381:12 |
| 369:21,22,23 | 423:19 | 394:8 397:24 | 387:23 |
| 369:23 437:11 | cure 303:24 | date 295:13 | decisions |
| 437:14 438:7 | 339:22 | 316:24 372:17 | 359:18 |
| 438:10 | cured 352:24 | 372.20 373.5 | decks 321:14 |
| county 303:15 | curiosity | $373: 18 \text { 390:9 }$ | 321:17,24 |
| 305:3 313:24 | 399:21 | 407:7 | 322:1,3,5,7,7 |
| 314:17 | current 305:8 | dated 415:10 | 322:11,22 |
| couple 312:4 | 321:20 340:6 | dawned 422:4 | 323:12 324:5,7 |
| 325:24 404:11 | 379:10 382:22 | day 295:12 | 324:11,15 |
| 412:7,17 422:3 | 389:21 390:7 | 310:5,5 331:9 | 337:18 340:1,6 |
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[decks - dismissal]

| 431:5 | depending | 397:6 401:11 | direction 411:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 322:9,12 | 404:20 | $4: 1$ | $\begin{array}{cl} \text { ctly } \\ 0: 5 & 399 \end{array}$ |
| 323:13 | 318:20 | 411:16 414:18 | 425:3 |
| deem 309:1 | depleted 406:2 | 415:11 426:6 | director 337:25 |
| 339:2 393:20 | 423:4 429:3 | 435:13 | disagree 353:8 |
| 397:25 408:24 | depth 325:3,7,8 | dictated 364:18 | 353:11 |
| 425:25 | 325:10 404:18 | 405:1 | disappear |
| deemed 385:21 | describe | die 431:10 | 432:1 |
| 386:24 389:23 | 339:13 382:2 | dies 431:6 | disclose 308:4,8 |
| 408:22 409:5 | description | differ 320:17 | 370:11 |
| defer 417:16 | 298:2,9 303:15 | difference | disclosure |
| define 316:3 | 303:21,25 | 303:12,22 | 332:3 |
| 397:6 | designated | 338:21 420:3 | discounted |
| defined 383:18 | 390:6 | differences | 404:3,4 |
| definition | designates | 303:3,8 420:9 | discovery |
| 314:25 318:21 | 389:25 | different 318:1 | 331:3 |
| 319:13 383:17 | designation | 321:2 352:3 | discretion |
| 385:5 | 389:10 | 397:16,17 | 381:14,15 |
| degree 341:21 | despite 347:21 | 404:13 412:18 | discuss 304:18 |
| delay 433:11 | 351:8 384:1 | 419:7,12 420:2 | discussed |
| demonstrate | determine | 430:2 431:8 | 311:11 324:19 |
| 329:12 332:17 | 349:24 357:16 | 432:11 | 345:12 |
| 333:13 351:6 | 376:8 421:15 | differentiated | discussion |
| 354:6 | 428:16 | 366:20 | 303:5 398:25 |
| demonstrated | determined | difficult 333:10 | 422:4 |
| 337:21 338:13 | 299:11 429:3 | 333:10 | discussions |
| 349:25 357:3 | developed | dig 413:8 | 307:5,9 308:3 |
| demonstration | 358:19 407:5 | digital 437:8 | 311:12 367:13 |
| 333:20 | developing | 438:3 | dismiss 329:10 |
| deny 357:12 | 314:23 407:16 | diligence | 331:24 332:2 |
| 431:20 | 433:9 | 305:16 | 333:24 349:12 |
| department | development | dioxide 430:12 | dismissal |
| 295:2 356:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 307: 2320: 12 \\ & 360: 17 \text { 390:7 } \end{aligned}$ | dip 393:13 | 332:10 |
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[dismissed - emails]

| dismissed | 417:14,21 | 362:5,6,7,8,10 | 329:18,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 303:19 | 434:3 436:5 | 362:24 363:7,8 | 332:18 334:9 |
| disposal 401:1 | doing 340:4 | 363:14,14,17 | 345:21 346:6 |
| 401:7,15 | 349:20 358:20 | 363:17,23,24 | 346:15,25 |
| dissolution | 376:9 377:17 | 364:14,21 | 347:10,21 |
| 323:7 | 378:19 397:12 | 375:13,14,21 | 349:4,25 |
| dissolve 377:1 | 399:22 414:11 | 375:23,24 | 350:10,11 |
| 377:5 | drawdown | 378:23 400:22 | 351:3 353:25 |
| distinct 394:12 | 412:25 | 401:2 408:10 | 354:3,11,16 |
| diverse 341:17 | drawn 397:18 | 412:11 | 356:22 396:11 |
| 377:20 | drill 420:10 | easy 374:24 | 412:24 422:11 |
| dividing 393:3 | 432:15 | economic | 422:14 425:11 |
| division 295:3 | drilling 372:7 | 409:22 | 432:7 |
| 295:7 296:16 | drive 296:9 | economics | either 311:20 |
| 296:18,20 | 373:12 | 403:22 | 315:20 336:9 |
| 311:6 327:15 | driver 430:20 | economies | 349:12 355:19 |
| 331:4,24 332:7 | dropped | 400:3 | 356:12 402:11 |
| 339:25 340:5 | 391:16 | edge 413:16 | 412:19 436:4 |
| 343:14 346:6 | duly 306:15 | effect 348:20 | 436:17 |
| 346:11 360:3 | 395:6 405:15 | 389:21,22 | elaborates |
| 365:13 367:7 | 437:5 | 391:22 | 350:19 |
| 369:25 380:20 | dx 297:6 | effective 310:2 | elect 388:8 |
| 383:4 384:8,13 | e | 372:16,20 | elected 384:24 |
| 384:20 386:15 | e 296:1,1 $297: 1$ | 373:5 390:9 | 388:5 |
| 387:20 416:20 | 298:1 299:1,1 | 391:20 403:18 | electing 381:19 |
| 424:9 425:24 | earlier 303:16 | efficiently | election 383:21 |
| 426:4,17 | 303:22 324:19 | 426:11 | 383:23 387:22 |
| 428:16 | 347:17 377:19 | effort 305:22 | 433:4 |
| document | $411: 15424: 25$ | 326:9 329:23 | else's 310:11 |
| 328:2,8 367:6 | early 313: | 333:19 334:6 | email 299:6,24 |
| 436:5 | earnest 296:7 | 349:16 350:3 | 300:4 317:20 |
| documentation | easier 422:24 | 351:7 356:18 | 321:10 |
| 310:13 335:21 | $\text { easiest } 350: 23$ | 357:10 | emails 300:10 |
| documents | $\text { east } 305: 4,4,5,5$ | efforts 317:5 | 307:5 |
| 308:9 416:25 | 359:5 361:24 | 328:3,8,12 |  |
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[emphasis - examiner]

| emphasis | ensure 329:13 | establish 334:5 | 425:6,8 427:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 423:24 | 329:16 | 334:8 | 428:6,18 432:7 |
| employed | ensuring 414:7 | established | evolving 310:4 |
| 437:11,14 | entire 300:7 | 315:17 | exact 305:17 |
| 438:8,11 | 311:4,7 324:5 | estimate | 355:20 |
| employee | 329:13,23 | 343:25 404:17 | exactly 433:23 |
| 437:13 438:10 | 343:21 375:6 | estimated | examination |
| encompass | 396:6 397:4 | 315:9,14,17 | 306:18 324:14 |
| 324:8 375:23 | 405:2 410:21 | 343:20 403:14 | 334:12 343:1 |
| 397:18 408:3 | 431:24 | 403:20 421:13 | 357:18 358:5 |
| encounters | entirety 392:25 | et 319:16 | 392:14 395:9 |
| 400:8 | 403:9 407:20 | evaluate 334:1 | 402:17 405:10 |
| encumbers | 411:1 | evaluating | 405:18 422:1 |
| 402:6 | entities 305:13 | 414:19 | 423:19 |
| energy 295:2 | entitled 387:3 | evaluation | examined |
| engineer 320:3 | entry 386:11,14 | 401:6 | 306:17 325:2 |
| 376:24 379:15 | environment | evd 298:2,9 | 394:8 395:8 |
| 403:3 414:23 | 356:20 | event 324:25 | 405:17 |
| 419:11 427:13 | equally 428:20 | 414:17 | examiner |
| 428:9 | equate 404:2 | everybody | 296:13,15,17 |
| engineering | equitable | 309:15 310:11 | 301:1 302:2 |
| 409:18,24 | 430:25 | 328:11 333:18 | 303:11 304:22 |
| 413:5 414:8 | ernie 330:19 | 367:3 379:22 | 329:7 340:16 |
| 428:10 | 337:8 | 427:14 | 341:10 342:10 |
| engineers | erroneous | everyone's | 349:10 369:4 |
| 399:13 | 303:14 | 436:19 | 377:12 388:13 |
| enhance 394:1 | es 297:6 437:4 | evidence | 389:2 390:15 |
| enhanceable | especially | 300:25 301:12 | 391:4 392:4,6 |
| 398:21 | 401:8,12 402:8 | 301:17,19,24 | 398:11 399:15 |
| enhanced | 413:23 | 301:25 302:1 | 401:25 402:4 |
| 304:25 392:21 | esquire 296:2,7 | 304:5 330:23 | 402:18 410:13 |
| 405:25 422:6 | essentially | 350:9,11 | 412:1 414:6 |
| enlargement | 415:2 419:8,12 | 353:10 357:7 | 418:2,22 |
| 370:9 | 430:20 434:9 | 358:1 394:9 | 420:24 421:4 |
|  |  | 419:10,20,22 | 423:14,24 |
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| 424:10 433:17 | 358:11,13,15 | existing 321:14 | experienced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 435:7,12 | 358:17 366:4,6 | 321:17 324:15 | 314:6 318:2 |
| 436:10 | 366:11,15,19 | 359:4 373:24 | 371:3 |
| examiners | 366:21,21 | 373:25 374:15 | expertise 338:9 |
| 302:4,8 304:22 | 367:1,3 370:4 | 374:24 378:5 | explain 303:7 |
| 340:20 357:16 | 374:17,20,21 | 378:10 379:3 | explained |
| example | 379:23 380:12 | 380:5 381:5 | 300:9 320:19 |
| 358:13 408:1 | 390:17,18,19 | 382:23 396:18 | exploratory |
| examples 411:4 | 390:24 391:14 | 406:13 408:8,9 | 425:22 426:2 |
| excellent | 392:24 393:9 | 408:17 | extent 310:14 |
| 435:18 | 393:11 394:5 | expand 376:18 | 321:21 339:22 |
| except 387:8 | 406:6 416:7,10 | 377:23 407:23 | 344:8 353:2 |
| exception | 419:1,1,21,21 | 408:4 434:12 | 360:1,18,23 |
| 372:1 | 425:15 | expanding | 361:13,22 |
| exclude 373:3 | exhibits 300:1 | 397:4,13 | 363:19 364:6 |
| excuse 376:3 | 300:1,3,20,24 | 407:24 409:16 | 364:24 365:4 |
| 389:1 | 301:12,15 | 409:18 435:10 | 366:16 377:6 |
| exercise 332:25 | 302:5 305:9 | expect 320:8 | 389:5 432:2 |
| exhibit 298:4,5 | 307:14,16,24 | 396:18,25 | f |
| 298:6,7,11,12 | 307:25 309:12 | 403:21 404:24 | f 428:15 |
| 298:13,14 | 310:9 327:15 | 409:11,13,14 | fact $332: 13$ |
| 300:18 301:8,9 | 328:23,25 | expected | 395:13 422:9 |
| 301:9,18,18 | 334:21 346:22 | 404:22 409:9 | 426:5 427:10 |
| 309:16,17,18 | 348:19 349:25 | expenditure | factors 306:5 |
| 309:20 314:23 | 351:17 353:11 | 371:5,14 | facts $347: 19,19$ |
| 317:17 319:2,7 | 357:8 358:8 | expenditures | fae 306:23 |
| 325:9,13,22 | 365:17 379:25 | 370:23 381:25 | $310: 21384: 15$ |
| 326:3 329:14 | 390:23 392:24 | 382:22 | 389:13 394:8 |
| 334:25 335:2,7 | 393:16 394:14 | expenses | 394:15 420:10 |
| 339:20 344:20 | 416:12,13 | 372:13,19,21 | fae's 308:4 |
| 345:3,4,14,20 | 418:23 419:18 | 372:25 373:1 | 309:6 |
| 345:23,24 | 420:16 421:9 | 386:20 404:2 | fairly 341:16 |
| 346:1,18 | existence | experience | faith 305:21 |
| 348:21,22,25 | 312:22 | 392:18 | 326:8 328:12 |
| 353:20 358:9 |  |  | 332:18 333:19 |
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| $334: 5,9347: 21$ | feel $316: 11$ | $424: 24425: 19$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fees $372: 23,24$ | $428: 12429: 17$ | find $309: 11,14$ |  |
| $351: 18,10,20$ | $354: 3,7$ | feldewert $296: 2$ | $431: 3432: 25$ |
| $356: 18,22$ | $297: 8,13,16,17$ | $433: 17$ | $391: 7$ |
| $357: 10$ | $299: 19301: 13$ | feldewert's | fine $306: 11$ |
| fall $377: 18$ | $302: 10306: 10$ | $351: 16$ | finish $349: 15$ |
| falls $423: 5$ | $306: 11,13,19$ | felt $311: 23$ | $433: 19$ |
| familiar $319: 3$ | $329: 7330: 17$ | $427: 2$ | firm $296: 8$ |
| $320: 5340: 21$ | $331: 4332: 12$ | field $406: 9$ | $314: 21$ |
| $372: 14389: 3$ | $332: 15337: 6$ | figure $415: 14$ | firming $339: 15$ |
| $389: 11$ | $337: 15,19$ | $417: 3434: 12$ | first $299: 20$ |
| far $303: 15,16$ | $338: 6,10,19$ | $435: 10,24$ | $303: 12,14,21$ |
| $340: 3357: 7$ | $339: 1340: 19$ | figured $433: 25$ | $304: 17306: 10$ |
| $397: 22435: 7$ | $341: 9,12,19$ | file $300: 2434: 5$ | $307: 1318: 10$ |
| farther $375: 13$ | $342: 21,23$ | $435: 19,25$ | $335: 19,20$ |
| faults $393: 22$ | $343: 2349: 9,23$ | $436: 4$ | $336: 1,25$ |
| $394: 9$ | $350: 7,16,22$ | filed $299: 21$ | $353: 18355: 12$ |
| fe $295: 3,16$ | $353: 6,9,14$ | $300: 1,15,19$ | $355: 12363: 22$ |
| $296: 5,10$ | $354: 18356: 17$ | $303: 22310: 9$ | $373: 21406: 15$ |
| feasibility | $357: 21,22$ | $327: 15328: 23$ | $406: 16416: 12$ |
| $390: 25391: 5$ | $358: 4,6363: 1$ | $328: 24419: 6$ | $419: 24426: 13$ |
| $397: 19405: 6$ | $363: 5369: 3,7$ | filing $372: 23$ | fit $429: 12$ |
| $415: 8417: 3$ | $369: 8375: 15$ | $417: 14434: 4$ | five $340: 18$ |
| feature $412: 13$ | $375: 18376: 1,2$ | filings $325: 7$ | $411: 15$ |
| federal $313: 16$ | $376: 5,11,13$ | fill $318: 6$ | flood $342: 1$ |
| $313: 18314: 7$ | $377: 11,18$ | $411: 14$ | $404: 21$ |
| $314: 16318: 9$ | $378: 4,8388: 12$ | final $378: 3$ | flooded $411: 1$ |
| $323: 4330: 6,21$ | $395: 1,3,10$ | $402: 21428: 20$ | flooding $395: 18$ |
| $330: 21373: 25$ | $398: 2405: 13$ | finalized | $395: 2341: 3$ |
| $406: 13,16,20$ | $405: 19410: 5$ | $412: 18$ | flow $404: 3,4$ |
| $409: 12423: 6,8$ | $418: 2419: 4,14$ | finalizing | focused $343: 9$ |
| $425: 22426: 1$ | $419: 17420: 19$ | $430: 11$ | $343: 10$ |
| fee $306: 1$ | $420: 21421: 22$ | financially | focusing $408: 2$ |
| feedback | $421: 23422: 2$ | $437: 15438: 11$ | folks $351: 24$ |
| $331: 14432: 3$ | $424: 14,15,18$ |  | $434: 14$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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| follow 365:1 | formation | fourth 381:11 | 320:1,4,9,9,16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 398:5 402:16 | 393:1,6,7,12 | 424:8 434:22 | 320:20,23 |
| 423:16 | 394:11 404:21 | francis 296:9 | 321:3,13,21,22 |
| followed | 411:12 | free 430:14 | 321:23 322:20 |
| 430:11 | formations | freshwater | 323:4 324:14 |
| following 372:6 | 305:1 393:15 | 394:6,11 | 324:24 330:23 |
| follows 306:17 | 393:19 397:17 | friday 295:13 | 338:23 339:9 |
| 395:8 405:17 | 406:22,23 | front 307:11,18 | 343:12 376:15 |
| force 324:9 | 411:1,4,13 | 361:21 364:1 | 376:16,17,20 |
| 326:21 327:11 | 414:16 | 375:11 | 400:9 403:25 |
| 328:4,15 | formed 359:2 | frustrated | 406:16,21,22 |
| 329:25 330:3 | 422:6 | 427:2 | 409:9 411:21 |
| 332:24 344:19 | forms 315:13 | full $403: 19$ | 431:2,10 |
| 345:6,16,19 | formula 305:6 | fully 407:5 | gaseous 319:15 |
| 354:15 367:7 | 306:5 315:21 | further 315:7 | gather 340:12 |
| 373:9 387:20 | 315:22 321:18 | 316:12 339:12 | gebremichael |
| 407:11 427:4 | 321:19 367:18 | 339:13 358:5 | 296:15 302:9 |
| 427:18 428:23 | 367:19,23 | 381:4 392:2 | 302:11,13,17 |
| forced $338: 15$ | 389:4,19,25 | 433:9 436:16 | 340:23 342:6,9 |
| 371:23 383:4 | 391:2,6,8,22 | 437:13 438:9 | 342:12,16,20 |
| 384:7,10,17 | 430:20,22 | future 300:10 | 392:5 398:9,10 |
| 385:9,19 | 435:14 | 381:6 385:15 | 398:18,23 |
| 387:10,15 | formulas 342:3 | 385:24 388:21 | 399:5,9,14 |
| 388:7,9 425:9 | forth 303:2 | 398:22 413:3 | 410:10,12,23 |
| 427:5,22 429:1 | 343:14 426:20 | 420:13 421:17 | 411:7,20,24 |
| forces 380:20 | forty 305:15 | 427:10 430:25 | 421:2,3 423:18 |
| forcing 376:18 | 322:19 359:19 | 431:2 | 423:23 424:7 |
| 387:24,25 | 392:17 403:3 | g | 434:20,24 |
| foregoing $437: 3,4438: 4$ | forward 330:15 333:23 341:23 | $\text { g } 299: 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 436:9,10,15 } \\ \text { general } 302: 23 \end{gathered}$ |
| forever 385:9 | 349:13 351:13 | game 380:2 | 305:15,23 |
| forget 363:9 | found 334:25 | $400: 2$ | 320:7 371:17 |
| formal 316:1,3 | 346:16 394:9 | gaps 318:6,9 | 375:6,25 |
| 316:4 329:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { four } 425: 1 \\ & 434: 3436: 4,5 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { gas } 318: 22$ $319: 15,16$ | 389:10 423:2 |
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| generally $320: 5$ | go $299: 24$ | goetz $341: 13$ | $339: 1,13340: 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $322: 15324: 1$ | $302: 24307: 8$ | goetze $296: 17$ | $341: 7350: 17$ |
| generate | $314: 15318: 4$ | $302: 9,20,22$ | $352: 23,24$ |
| $403: 24$ | $319: 2321: 5$ | $303: 1,18304: 3$ | $354: 20,22$ |
| gentle 393:13 | $325: 20,24,25$ | $304: 8,12,15$ | $357: 11358: 10$ |
| geologic 358:24 | $333: 23334: 11$ | $306: 8,9340: 23$ | $362: 23364: 22$ |
| $393: 20,22$ | $336: 7339: 4$ | $341: 7,15$ | $373: 4377: 1$ |
| $396: 23397: 3,8$ | $344: 4345: 14$ | $377: 19389: 2,3$ | $378: 4,15,16,20$ |
| $397: 12,21$ | $345: 25348: 21$ | $389: 9,24390: 6$ | $379: 21382: 2$ |
| $408: 10$ | $349: 13350: 23$ | $390: 17,20$ | $382: 23388: 2$ |
| geological | $351: 13353: 23$ | $391: 7,12,15,23$ | $388: 21389: 13$ |
| $359: 3389: 7$ | $358: 14359: 6,7$ | $392: 2399: 17$ | $390: 1399: 1,2$ |
| $392: 18$ | $361: 24,25$ | $399: 18,20$ | $400: 6401: 5,18$ |
| geologist $320: 3$ | $362: 1364: 8$ | $400: 5,16$ | $401: 20403: 23$ |
| $392: 10,17$ | $365: 20366: 25$ | $401: 20,23$ | $409: 16412: 11$ |
| $397: 11402: 19$ | $367: 3368: 17$ | $402: 15412: 3,4$ | $412: 14,14$ |
| $427: 12$ | $368: 18370: 19$ | $412: 7,10413: 4$ | $413: 11,21,23$ |
| geologists | $371: 21372: 12$ | $413: 9,19414: 2$ | $414: 10415: 13$ |
| $428: 8$ | $374: 6,7,12$ | $414: 5,21415: 2$ | $415: 15422: 18$ |
| geology $419: 8$ | $378: 7379: 16$ | $415: 6,10,13,19$ | $423: 15,17,25$ |
| $428: 9$ | $379: 17385: 5$ | $415: 22416: 1$ | $424: 25425: 18$ |
| getting $331: 23$ | $386: 7389: 21$ | $416: 25417: 9$ | $426: 6427: 4,14$ |
| give $314: 19$ | $392: 13399: 21$ | $417: 11420: 22$ | $427: 17428: 4$ |
| $321: 6325: 2$ | $400: 6404: 9,12$ | $420: 23423: 18$ | $428: 12,24$ |
| $329: 20339: 2$ | $406: 5407: 1$ | $423: 20,20$ | $429: 6,8,19,24$ |
| $356: 23360: 21$ | $408: 13409: 1$ | $434: 18435: 3,6$ | $430: 14431: 1$ |
| $360: 22363: 16$ | $420: 4421: 10$ | $435: 12$ | $433: 20434: 1,2$ |
| $363: 22364: 22$ | $424: 22427: 19$ | going $300: 11$ | $434: 5,7,12,18$ |
| $367: 2392: 12$ | $427: 20432: 24$ | $300: 17305: 2,7$ | $435: 14,19$ |
| $402: 25415: 16$ | $433: 2$ | $306: 9309: 8$ | good $300: 15$ |
| $417: 20420: 4$ | god $333: 19$ | $315: 5,16316: 1$ | $301: 1302: 19$ |
| $422: 19426: 7$ | goes $372: 21$ | $316: 6,8318: 25$ | $304: 8,12$ |
| given $314: 21$ | $382: 1,9387: 7$ | $320: 9,9321: 19$ | $305: 21306: 20$ |
| $329: 24334: 3$ | $409: 22421: 13$ | $325: 8330: 9$ | $306: 21313: 9$ |
| 410 | $331: 20335: 7$ | $326: 8328: 12$ |  |
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| 330:23 332:18 | great 380:19,19 | happens 384:6 | 392:3,5 398:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 334:5,9 347:21 | greatest 409:21 | 384:8 433:15 | 399:15 410:12 |
| 350:2,3,9,20 | gregory 296:13 | happy 306:6 | 412:1 418:17 |
| 351:18 354:3,6 | gross 403:25 | hard 436:2 | 421:3 423:23 |
| 354:7 356:18 | ground 432:21 | hart 296:3 | 432:8 435:22 |
| 356:22 357:9 | grounds 337:20 | head 308:18 | heck 429:20 |
| 366:2 374:19 | guadalupe | 309:5 323:18 | held 330:2 |
| 375:10 383:20 | 296:4 | 323:24 326:20 | hello 304:21 |
| 399:18,19 | guess 306:25 | 328:18,21,22 | help 304:6 |
| 405:20,21 | 308:25 318:20 | 340:11 359:16 | 341:8,14 |
| 406:2 412:5,6 | 344:25 409:1 | 361:13 373:19 | 362:20 375:2 |
| 417:23 418:9 | 415:15 423:16 | 374:5 379:14 | helpful 302:14 |
| 435:2,17 | guidelines | 380:10 385:7 | hereto 383:16 |
| google 373:11 | 404:16 421:15 | headed 376:4 | 437:15 438:11 |
| gotten 327:1 | guys 426:14 | headings | high 332:22 |
| 331:10 | h | 369:18 | 333:16 414:15 |
| govern 384:15 | h 298:1 | hear 299:8 | 414:25 |
| governed | $\text { h2s } 399: 1,6,10$ | 330:17 340:20 | highlight 303:3 |
| 370:17 38 | 424:1,3 435:1 | 342:13 343:6 | 420:9 |
| governing | 436:12,12 | 433:21 | hint 420:24 |
| 370:5 | half 305:25 | heard 351:15 | hired 314:15 |
| governs 386:15 | 360:8,8 361:18 | 353:6 418:18 | historical |
| grade 421:18 | $361: 21,21$ | 433:19 435:3 | 430:23 |
| gradient | 363:14,14,17 | hearing 295:5 | history 330:22 |
| 421:15 | 363:17,23,24 | 295:11 296:13 | 337:7 406:8 |
| grading 404:17 | 364:14,14,21 | 299:3 300:17 | 431:12 |
| gradually | and 341:20 | 303:23 304:22 | hmm 318:3, |
| 376:18 408:4 | handed 341:18 | 305:11 316:15 | 318:11 319:17 |
| granted 352:22 | handled 352:8 | 331:10,11,19 | 321:11 360:6 |
| granting | $423: 25$ | 334:15 335:3 | 363:12 382:5 |
| 394:16 | handles 33 | 335:13 336:3,4 | 396:24 406:25 |
| gratifications | hanover 352:10 | 336:22,24 | 409:3 411:23 |
| 305:13 | happen 411:15 | 337:7 353:17 | 412:9 |
| grayburg 393:7 | happened | 356:15 357:15 | hobbs 298:15 |
| 400:10 | $359: 18$ | 364:3 366:14 | 416:8 |
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[hold - information]

| hold 302:7 | 413:4 | improper 332:2 | 427:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 325:15 362:12 | identifies | inappropriate | indexing |
| 374:19 | 348:23 373:8 | 308:24 310:17 | 307:14 |
| holland 296:3 | identify 333:6 | 337:16 | indicate 349:1 |
| honor 410:9 | 334:14 351:4 | include 363:13 | 365:7 |
| hooper 297:12 | 351:19 353:24 | 372:5,21,25 | indicated |
| 392:9,12,15,16 | 365:22 422:22 | 395:23 398:21 | 326:11 374:1 |
| 395:5,11 398:7 | 424:3 425:2 | 400:17 403:11 | 422:13 |
| 399:18 403:6 | identifying | 404:14 | indicates |
| 420:1,4,6 | 353:2 436:11 | included 336:3 | 308:21 321:19 |
| 423:25 | ignore 432:11 | 344:1 383:1 | 371:21 |
| how's 363:2 | ii 394:15 | 386:16 405:1,5 | indicating |
| huh 369:14 | illustrate | includes 318:22 | 348:16 |
| 381:16 | 393:17 | 343:18 345:5,7 | indication |
| hundreds | immediately | 345:7 398:14 | 304:9 |
| 335:10 | 312:25 | 407:12 429:6 | individual |
| hydrogen | impact 393:23 | including | 331:23 354:16 |
| 398:25 | 426:4 | 343:23 355:24 | 399:22 436:5 |
| hydrologically | impacted | 359:13 364:13 | individuals |
| 394:13 | 330:12 | 384:16 | 305:13 |
| i | impacting | incomplete | induced 405:1 |
| i.e. 407:6 | 13:14 | 426:21 | inducing 405:4 |
| idea 409:15 | impacts 425:3 | incorporate | industry |
| identification | impair 394:1 | 418:5 | 337:17 339:6 |
| 301:10 325:6 | impediments | incorporated | 431:22 |
| 9:2 | 393:22 | 381:7 390:1 | inefficient |
| identified | implement | incorporation | 408:6 |
| 326:12 330:1 | 407:18 422:14 | 323:7 | inform 310:20 |
| 333:13 334:22 | important | increase 407:19 | 320:14 389:14 |
| 345:15 350:11 | 332:25 333:1 | 417:8 421:18 | information |
| $352: 5,15,19,22$ | 425:4 426:23 | 429:6 | 308:7,19,22 |
| 353:8,13,18,19 | 426:25 428:21 | incurred | 310:15 312:11 |
| 354:4,25 355:2 | importantly | 372:20 387:9 | 313:14,23 |
| 355:6,10 | 329:16 428:4 | independent | 314:8 330:10 |
| 366:15 382:21 |  | 425:13 427:6 | 332:4 333:1,25 |
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| 336:14 346:5 | insufficient | 355:3,5,10,17 | interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 347:9 349:4,14 | 340:25 433:12 | 355:22 356:6 | 356:17 389:7 |
| 352:25 357:14 | intend 408:3 | 367:8,11,14,24 | 400:19 |
| 365:12 402:11 | 414:16 422:23 | 368:13,15 | interruption |
| 405:23 417:3 | 422:25 | 369:24 370:24 | 390:22 413:18 |
| 418:6 424:9 | intends 420:10 | 370:24 371:3 | interval 325:4 |
| initial 404:13 | intense 299:16 | 371:14,22 | 325:4 393:4,5 |
| 421:11 | intent 421:17 | 372:4,18,19 | 393:9,25 394:2 |
| initially 317:10 | intention | 373:9,13,14,17 | 395:18,19,24 |
| initiating 332:8 | 400:11 422:14 | 377:5 380:20 | 396:7 403:6,9 |
| inject 398:22 | interest 305:22 | 380:23 381:20 | introduce |
| injected 393:8 | 308:8,12,16 | 382:4,22 383:2 | 405:24 |
| 393:25 399:1 | 309:2,3,9,10,22 | 383:3,12,14,16 | introducing |
| 404:23,25 | 310:3,4,6 | 383:18 384:7 | 411:14 |
| 409:9 | 311:18,19 | 384:16,22 | introduction |
| injecting | 312:19 313:2 | 385:1,2,8,10,18 | 302:3 |
| 398:14 | 315:9,12 | 385:19,22 | investments |
| injection | 316:19 317:9 | 386:1,8,16,18 | 370:12 |
| 393:25 394:1,2 | 317:12 318:12 | 387:5,20,21,25 | invoke 330:9 |
| 394:4,8 395:25 | 326:12,17,22 | 388:10 390:8 | invoking |
| 401:11,13,17 | 326:25 328:4,9 | 407:12,15 | 333:12 |
| 402:3 403:8 | 329:1 331:5,9 | 425:3,13 | involved 426:9 |
| 404:13,18,19 | 331:18,20 | 426:16 427:4 | involvement |
| 404:22 411:8 | 333:5,7 335:16 | 427:18,22 | 307:1 |
| 411:14 412:20 | 335:17,20,24 | 428:2 431:11 | issue 331:1 |
| 420:11 421:14 | 335:25 337:5,9 | 432:7,9 | 340:21 341:14 |
| 430:12 | 337:10 339:15 | interested | 352:9,13,14,20 |
| injector 404:23 | 339:18 340:8 | 391:25 437:15 | 353:15 426:9 |
| 404:25 | 343:16 344:10 | 438:12 | issues 331:15 |
| injectors | 344:12 348:3,5 | interests 330:2 | 342:4 402:12 |
| 404:15 410:15 | 348:20 349:5 | 354:16 355:13 | 411:22 |
| 412:19 | 351:9,20,21 | 389:14 394:17 | it'd 319:7 |
| input 427:11 | 352:15 353:7 | interference | 326:19 |
| inside 365:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 353: 12 \text { 354:4 } \\ & 354: 10,25 \end{aligned}$ | 360:16 | it'll 436:6 |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { item } 415: 6 \\
434: 22 ~ 436: 7 \\
\text { items } 415: 22 \\
435: 6436: 4 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$} \& k \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{364:23 365:2} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 368: 9393: 18 \\
& 426: 19430: 17
\end{aligned}
$$} \\
\hline \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { keep } 301: 23 \\
& 372: 12398: 12 \\
& 413: 21
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \& \\
\hline \& \& $$
367: 17374: 1,2
$$ \& landman 314:6 \\
\hline \& \& 374:3 379:13 \& landme \\
\hline j \& \& 383:20 385:6 \& 330:24 331:3 \\
\hline jal 304:23 \& $$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { kent } 297: 7 \\
\text { 299:13 304:17 }
\end{array}
$$ \& 388:17,18,21 \& landowners \\
\hline 323:5,8 324:2 \& 304:20,21 \& 389:6,6,13 \& 356:23 \\
\hline 324:3 397:6 \& 306:14,20 \& 390:11,12 \& ds 306:1 \\
\hline 403:4 404:7 \& 325:17 331:22 \& 392:19 396:14 \& 386:16 392:23 \\
\hline 421:12 \& 334:14 337:21 \& 404:9 406:6,15 \& 397:18 \\
\hline jalmat 403:11 \& 343:3,6 354:20 \& 410:4 411:21 \& langlie 400:9 \\
\hline james 295:17 \& 358:7 362:13 \& 412:10,11 \& 400:17 403:12 \\
\hline J437:2,21 \& 367:4 372:9 \& 417:1 418:7 \& language \\
\hline january 306:23 \& 376:14 378:10 \& 425:7,10 426:3 \& 369:18 \\
\hline 338:11,12 \& 379:23 382:7 \& 426:13 427:1 \& large 355:2 \\
\hline jess 307:5 \& 382:17 417:16 \& 432:25 434:13 \& 377:22 399:22 \\
\hline 312:24 \& 431:5 \& 34 \& 411:13 423:5 \\
\hline jets 426:3 \& kent's 352:21 \& knowledge \& 432:17 \\
\hline joas 430:3 \& kind 307:14 \& 308:6 315:11 \& largely 393:15 \\
\hline job 295:18 \& 347:14 374:10 \& 317:16 322:17 \& 401:12 \\
\hline joe 297:7 \& 397:7 419:9 \& 327:13 344:18 \& larger 342:1 \\
\hline J06:14 \& 423:8 432:20 \& 363:22 364:2 \& 377:2 379:7 \\
\hline join 386:19 \& know 300:11 \& 371:11 389:17 \& 395:23 408:7 \\
\hline 407:16 \& 304:4 309:4,9 \& 392:17 394:23 \& 409:16 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{joinder 305:22
$328: 9$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$323: 21,25$
$328 \cdot 19330 \cdot 13$} \& 437:10 438:6 \& lateral 393:18 \\
\hline \& \& known 353:3 \& latest 336:14 \\
\hline joindered \& 333:23 335:10 \& 393:7 432:8 \& 336:15,17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{305:14 349:2} \& 339:18 340:3 \& 1 \& law 296:8,19,21 \\
\hline \& 340:10 341:11 \& l 296:7 \& 314:21 350:16 \\
\hline joined 306:23 \& 345:18 349:12 \& labeled 359:11 \& 350:23 356:24 \\
\hline $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 338:11 } \\
\text { joining } 359: 18
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 350:13,15,19 \& lack 358:1 \& $357: 2$
layers

$394 \cdot 3$ \\

\hline joint 430:4 \& 353:22,23 \& 425:16 \& | layers 394:3 |
| :--- |
| lea $303: 15$ | \\

\hline july 390:9 \& 354:12,14,15 \& land 305:23,25 \& lea 303:15

$$
305: 3
$$ \\

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{justified 432:18} \& 354:21 355:21 \& 305:25 314:16 \& 305:3 \\
\hline \& 357:23 359:20 \& 323:21 337:25 \& \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

[lead - made]

| lead 408:15 | 366:15 372:6 | local 393:13 | looked 300:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| learn 351:25 | 372:22 403:11 | 411:17 414:19 | 309:20 317:1 |
| lease 330:25 | 428:22 | locate 328:16 | 319:5 |
| 336:10 355:15 | line 336:11 | 328:20 329:2,5 | looking 299:11 |
| 356:14 373:1 | 376:4,9 377:13 | 329:19,21 | 299:12 317:20 |
| 413:17 | 377:16 378:3 | 330:14,15 | 317:23 318:9 |
| leases 330:23 | 388:17,21 | 332:18 333:6 | 321:9 340:24 |
| 338:23 339:9 | link 373:12 | 340:7 345:8 | 363:10,10 |
| 431:13 | links 335:21 | 348:24 349:1,6 | 375:16 392:24 |
| leave 357:13 | 336:4 | 350:12 351:2,3 | 399:20 400:7 |
| 418:8 424:22 | lisa 438:2,16 | 353:21,22,25 | 415:3 |
| 432:20 | list 305:11 | 425:7 | looks 325:18 |
| leaving 363:18 | 327:10,16,17 | located 305:3 | 362:2,5 |
| 406:22 | 327:19 329:2 | 323:25 324:1 | lose 412:20,22 |
| left 370:14 | 330:4 333:21 | 325:8 345:10 | 412:25 428:3 |
| 416:4 435:21 | 340:12 344:19 | 345:10 358:8 | lot 307:15,16 |
| leg 400:21 | 345:2 351:1 | 358:11 374:16 | 330:20 331:7 |
| legal 303:14,21 | 365:6,20,21,25 | 378:13,15 | 359:18 379:24 |
| 307:20 | 366:2,12,21 | location 295:15 | 400:2 402:6 |
| leonard 400:14 | 416:24 417:10 | 393:2 | 423:5 428:3 |
| 403:13 | 417:12 434:3 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }}$ 392:25 | 431:14 |
| letter 335:4 | 435:3 | 393:9,10 | low 397:25 |
| 373:13 | listed 345:20 | logs 419:9 | 405:4 409:24 |
| letterhead | 394:14 | long 379:12 | 410:1 428:9,10 |
| 299:18 | listing 331:5 | 424:20 | lower 396:2,7 |
| level 333:17 | 334:22,24 | longer 389:18 | lowered 336:18 |
| 341:21 371:4 | 335:5 | look 318:17 | lumped 328:11 |
| 371:14 425:2 | lists 344:23 | 320:15 325:13 | 333:18 |
| leverage 332:6 | little 325:25 | 358:7,13 | lunch 418:4 |
| life 389:22 | 349:20 359:10 | 361:24 362:22 | m |
| 411:3,4 | 374:10 375:1 | 365:12 366:6 |  |
| likely 322:18 | 375:13 408:13 | 368:10 374:14 | 313:9 315:20 |
| 411:15 | 413:8 | 378:16 425:15 | 326:8 338:3 |
| limited 317:5 | llp 296:3 | 426:4 | 350:3 354:3 |
| 322:22 323:12 |  |  | 356:21,22 |

Page 22
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| 359:17 364:17 | march 415:10 | meet 358:1 | million 296:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 371:19 382:11 | marked 301:10 | meeting 335:20 | 401:3 403:16 |
| magical 433:8 | 374:20 419:2 | 336:1 373:14 | 403:21 404:5 |
| mail 334:18 | marlene 296:19 | 373:17 431:16 | 429:19 |
| 335:2,3 346:24 | materials 335:6 | meetings 387:4 | mind 372:11,12 |
| mailing 299:15 | math 326:20 | mention 398:25 | 379:16 |
| 299:15 | 435:14 | mentioned | mineral 355:14 |
| mailings | matter 295:5 | 339:16 347:17 | minerals 295:2 |
| 352:17 | 299:5 301:2 | 373:23,25 | mini 395:17 |
| main 302:18 | 333:4,5 | 410:16 411:8 | minimum |
| maintaining | matters 338:1 | 424:1 436:11 | 403:22 411:18 |
| 414:7 | 372:3 392:18 | meridian | minority |
| make 303:7 | mattix 400:9,17 | 303:16,17,25 | 331:17 |
| 330:11 338:6 | 403:12 | 312:18,21 | minute 321:6 |
| 340:21,25 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a x }} 404: 17,19$ | 313:2 316:18 | 325:2,15 |
| 341:24 351:21 | 405:1 421:16 | 317:2,3 318:2 | 340:18 372:3 |
| 368:6 387:22 | maximum | messing 362:12 | 376:6 |
| 398:21 401:24 | 404:24 411:8 | met 341:25 | minutes 434:23 |
| 413:13 424:12 | 421:13 | method 372:6 | mirror 393:15 |
| 424:23 | mean 308:11 | methods | missing 300:1,3 |
| makes 299:20 | 314:13 315:2 | 405:25 | 433:7 434:14 |
| 304:5 401:15 | 321:16 322:4 | mexico 295:1,3 | mistake 352:23 |
| 431:1,3 432:19 | 327:12 335:10 | 303:15 305:3 | mm 318:3,7,11 |
| 432:25 | 338:10 341:17 | 338:12 356:25 | 319:17 321:11 |
| makeup 414:11 | 347:24 369:19 | 357:4 360:2 | 360:6 363:12 |
| 414:14,17,20 | 370:3 383:14 | 386:11,14 | 382:5 396:24 |
| 415:4 417:4 | 384:19 385:4 | 437:23 | 406:25 409:3 |
| 434:10,10 | 397:7 399:25 | michael 296:2 | 411:23 412:9 |
| manager | 409:7 410:2,25 | middle 400:24 | mobily 420:12 |
| 323:21 | 424:25 426:3 | 429:22,23 | modern 393:10 |
| map 362:10 | meaningful | 430:13 | modifications |
| 374:8,12,14,18 | 331:13 | migration | 371:19 |
| 393:11 423:2 | means 316:22 | 434:8 | modified 391:6 |
| mappable | 322:18 354:9 | miles 400:2 | moment 350:18 |
| 400:1 | 366:7 |  | 398:16 |
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| montana | nature 380:1 | 408:4 414:17 | nmocd 305:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 338:22 | navigating | 421:18 427:2 | 404:16 421:14 |
| morning 300:3 | 379:24 | needs 339:24 | nobody's |
| 306:20,21 | neal 297:15 | negative | 387:24 |
| 399:18,19 | 362:25 390:21 | 412:15 | non 368:12 |
| 405:20,21 | 390:25 402:22 | negatively | 381:25 404:3 |
| 412:5,6 419:13 | 402:24 403:1,2 | 393:23 | nonparticipat... |
| 420:3 422:4 | 405:14,20 | negotiate 310:6 | 309:10 367:10 |
| motion 332:14 | 410:14 412:5 | 355:11 | 383:8 384:25 |
| 340:22,25 | 417:6 419:11 | negotiating | 385:2,10,17,22 |
| 349:11 357:12 | 421:7,8,19,20 | 336:12 | 385:25 386:8 |
| mouse 423:10 | 422:3 423:19 | negotiations | 388:10 427:21 |
| mouth 410:3 | 423:21,25 | 336:9,16 337:2 | nonparticipat... |
| move 300:24 | 429:18 430:7 | 339:17 347:18 | 386:24 |
| 301:7 315:16 | 434:6 | 356:9 | north 296:4 |
| 329:10 341:22 | neal's 432:19 | neighbors | 331:8 342:1 |
| 357:20 413:13 | nearly 324:8 | 434:9 | 359:5 362:1,20 |
| moving 362:20 | nebraska | neither 309:6 | 408:10 413:7 |
| 413:24 | 303:18 | 368:9 426:18 | northeast |
| multiple | necessarily | 437:11 438:7 | 364:4,4 |
| 403:10 | 352:16 401:4 | never 313:7 | northwest |
| mute 330:19 | necessary | new 295:1,3 | 400:21,24 |
| muted 334:16 | 377:8 410:24 | 303:5,15 305:3 | nos 295:9 |
| 342:7 343:6 | 426:1 429:4 | 316:13 338:12 | notary 437:22 |
| 392:6 | need 302:12 | 356:25 357:4 | note 341:15 |
| n | 315:6,6 316:11 | 360:2 386:11 | 402:3 |
| n 296:1 | 316:11 330:9 | 386:14 391:6,7 | notebook |
| 299:1 | $330: 11,12$ $339 \cdot 22351 \cdot 1,4$ | 431:11 437:23 | 307:13 notes $307 \cdot 11,18$ |
| name 361:12 | 339:22 351:1,4 351:6 408:7 | newspaper 298:16 416:8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { notes } 307: 11,18 \\ 307: 21.23 \end{gathered}$ |
| $400: 2 \text { 403:2 }$ | 351:6 408:7 414:13 426:13 | 298:16 416:8 nice $331: 16$ | 415:22 434:2 |
| rative 433:1 | 428:13 | 333:2 351:24 | notice 305:11 |
| $433: 7$ | needed 344:9 | 363:21 424:2 | 305:19 325:17 |
| natural 295:2 | 347:13 360:12 | nm 295:16 | 326:1 329:17 |
|  | 361:3 377:6 | 296:5,10 | 329:20 330:11 |
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| 331:10 332:21 | 352:8 354:24 | 382:3 383:11 | 312:18,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 332:25 333:14 | 374:4 377:20 | 383:16 384:2,5 | 313:2 316:18 |
| 335:3,12,19,20 | 377:21 383:5 | obtain 339:7 | 318:22 319:15 |
| 336:1,2,2,3 | 386:21 390:18 | obtained 377:4 | 319:23 320:17 |
| 340:25 341:14 | 401:2 418:6,18 | 377:7 | 320:23 321:3 |
| 342:4 351:9 | 426:1 428:4 | obviously | 321:20 322:19 |
| 352:2,6,6,10,17 | 434:18,19,19 | 338:1 | 322:20 330:22 |
| 352:17 356:12 | numbers 354:6 | occurred 406:1 | 330:24 337:17 |
| 356:12,15,15 | 378:17 | 406:21 407:6 | 338:23 339:8 |
| 356:23 359:23 | numerous | 407:22 | 341:22 343:5,9 |
| 360:2,3,7,19,21 | 309:11 411:2 | ocd 296:19 | 343:11,12 |
| 360:22,24,25 | 0 | 387:24 393:2 | 360:2 372:7 |
| 361:1,4,5,6,10 | 0 | 435:25 | 376:15,22 |
| 361:10,15,23 | o'clock 418:4 | offer 338:13 | 386:12,14 |
| 363:16,20,20 | oath 301:4,22 | 418:23 | 389:20 390:9 |
| 363:22 364:3,7 | object 337:20 | offers 355:13 | 391:21 392:22 |
| 364:7,21,25 | 388:20 | 355:13,15,18 | 394:1 396:8 |
| 365:2,5,7,17,18 | objected 371:4 | 356:14,14 | 400:8 401:16 |
| 366:14,17,18 | 371.12 | 361:23 | 403:5,16,25 |
| 386:3 387:4 | objecting 338:8 | office 368:9 | 405:25 406:23 |
| 425:3,16,20,25 | objection | 414:23 426:19 | 407:14 409:9 |
| 426:7 | 300:23 337:19 | 430:17 | 409:22 414:25 |
| notices 299:17 | $338: 3,4,7$ | officer 437:2 | 422:6,11 431:2 |
| 334:15,18,20 | 339:2 $357: 11$ | offs 330:24 | 431:10,22 |
| 335:18 346:24 | 367:22 371:7 | 343:4,5,8 | 432:20 |
| 373:18 | 419.15 | offset 365:2 | okay 299:23 |
| notified 331:19 | objections | 425:17,20 | 300:8,16 301:7 |
| 361:18 365:8 | 301:11 367:17 | offsetting 361:2 | 302:7,15,19 |
| 365:14,21,23 | 367:23 368:1 | 361:7 426:5 | 303:6 304:1,11 |
| 366:3,5 | obligated | oh 309:24 | 304:19 306:12 |
| notify $432: 7$ | $382: 24$ | 313:9 321:9 | 306:25 307:8,9 |
| number 299:4 | obligation | 427:14 434:25 | 307:10,20 |
| 300:18 323:20 | 333:6,9 349:17 | oil 295:3,6 | 308:2 310:1,20 |
| 326:3 328:17 | obligatory | 296:15,17,19 | 311:2,10,21 |
| 346:2 350:9 | 380:23 381:21 | 304:25 305:7 | 312:6,9,16 |
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| $313: 21314: 6$ | $359: 6,6,7$ | $398: 1,2,8,23$ | opening $297: 3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $314: 10,13$ | $360: 5361: 16$ | $399: 9,16400: 5$ | $303: 7$ |
| $315: 2,8,16$ | $362: 15,20$ | $405: 12406: 5$ | operate $401: 14$ |
| $316: 8,17$ | $363: 6,9,10,11$ | $406: 11,15$ | operates |
| $317: 17,19$ | $363: 16364: 20$ | $407: 9,11408: 1$ | $378: 10$ |
| $318: 14,17$ | $365: 1,6,21$ | $408: 12,21$ | operating |
| $319: 7,8,18,19$ | $366: 6,11,14,25$ | $409: 4,23410: 5$ | $305: 10317: 6,8$ |
| $319: 22,25$ | $367: 6,13,17,22$ | $411: 24412: 2$ | $317: 12343: 3$ |
| $320: 8,14,22$ | $368: 5,8,12,17$ | $413: 9414: 4$ | $343: 13344: 4$ |
| $321: 1,5,12,16$ | $368: 22369: 1,4$ | $415: 2,18,25$ | $367: 1,14368: 2$ |
| $322: 7,11,15,18$ | $369: 7,12,17,23$ | $416: 3,19,23$ | $368: 10,21$ |
| $322: 22323: 9$ | $370: 19,22$ | $417: 13,19$ | $369: 20370: 7,8$ |
| $323: 12324: 4$ | $371: 2,12,18$ | $418: 12,13$ | $370: 10,13,18$ |
| $324: 13,23$ | $372: 1,11,12$ | $419: 3,14420: 6$ | $371: 16,20$ |
| $325: 6,14,20,20$ | $373: 15,23,25$ | $420: 18421: 1,5$ | $373: 1379: 17$ |
| $326: 2,5,8,11,15$ | $374: 6,13,23$ | $422: 8,13,18,22$ | $379: 18,22$ |
| $326: 21327: 1$ | $375: 4,24$ | $423: 11,12,15$ | $383: 18384: 8$ |
| $327: 10,19$ | $376: 17,21,25$ | $424: 11,12,24$ | $384: 14385: 6$ |
| $328: 14332: 16$ | $377: 10,13,14$ | $425: 20426: 14$ | $395: 14422: 15$ |
| $333: 11334: 2$ | $377: 23378: 2,7$ | $427: 5,22428: 5$ | $426: 10,12,21$ |
| $338: 17340: 7$ | $378: 13379: 6$ | $434: 17435: 3$ | $427: 24430: 1,4$ |
| $340: 14,17$ | $379: 12,16,17$ | $435: 17436: 8$ | $433: 5$ |
| $342: 5,7,18$ | $379: 19,20$ | $436: 16$ | operation |
| $343: 13,20$ | $380: 3,8,15,19$ | old $312: 3313: 1$ | $341: 16372: 7$ |
| $344: 3345: 12$ | $382: 9,14,21$ | $316: 23$ | $379: 4,7,12$ |
| $345: 14,21$ | $383: 3,13384: 6$ | once $315: 18$ | $397: 4427: 9$ |
| $346: 4,21347: 2$ | $384: 19385: 4$ | $339: 11,14$ | operational |
| $347: 7,9,19$ | $385: 12,15,23$ | $355: 9380: 19$ | $414: 9$ |
| $348: 21349: 3,8$ | $386: 24387: 3,7$ | $384: 19385: 9$ | operations |
| $350: 9351: 14$ | $387: 15,19$ | $433: 14$ | $320: 6,13342: 1$ |
| $353: 4,18,21,23$ | $388: 11,14,22$ | ongoing $336: 9$ | $371: 22381: 6$ |
| $354: 1,5,18$ | $391: 3392: 11$ | $339: 17347: 17$ | $381: 12,13,20$ |
| $355: 4,9,9,16$ | $395: 17,21$ | $356: 9$ | $385: 16,24$ |
| $356: 2,16358: 2$ | $396: 4,11,14,17$ | open $357: 13$ | $386: 3,25$ |
| $358: 19,23$ | $397: 3,11,20$ | $394: 24$ | $395: 22396: 10$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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## [operations - owners]

| 396:18 397:22 | opportunity | overall 430:9 | 326:22 327:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 399:13 400:20 | 334:4 | overcome | 327:16,18,20 |
| 422:23 423:1 | opposed 342:4 | 397:1 | 327:24 328:4 |
| 427:10,10 | opps 342:1,2 | overlap 418:7 | 328:10,14 |
| 428:2,23,24 | opt 380:25 | 418:10 | 330:1 331:5,10 |
| 429:4,7,8,9 | option 357:14 | overlaps 420:8 | 331:23 332:24 |
| operator | options 412:18 | overrule 339:1 | 333:20 334:8 |
| 332:17 350:3 | 413:2,2,10,22 | 357:11 | 334:24 335:13 |
| 370:2,2 372:17 | 413:25 417:5,7 | overview | 335:16,17,20 |
| 400:25 426:23 | order 303:24 | 302:23 | 335:24,25 |
| 426:24 429:22 | 332:6 339:25 | own 332:5 | 337:5,7,8,9,10 |
| 433:3,4,9 | 340:5 384:3 | 333:8 356:2 | 339:20 340:8 |
| operators | 386:11,14 | 381:1 425:10 | 343:16 344:10 |
| 371:4 381:14 | 392:20 401:15 | owner 304:17 | 344:12 345:3,5 |
| 425:17,20 | 407:18 435:24 | 309:2,22 | 345:7,9 346:16 |
| 426:5 | original 303:2 | 317:12,13 | 347:1,11 348:5 |
| opinion 311:2,6 | 367:20 391:5 | 333:7 334:22 | 348:17,18,20 |
| 311:7,21 | 415:7 | 345:11 349:5 | 348:23 349:1 |
| 312:13 313:5 | originally | 355:25 360:8 | 351:19,20 |
| 313:10,12 | 367:20 377:15 | 360:15 361:7 | 354:1,4,10,25 |
| 314:17,19,21 | 391:1 | 363:16,23 | 355:3,5,10,15 |
| 314:21,22 | outcome | 364:3,21 365:3 | 355:17,22 |
| 315:2 316:5 | 437:16 438:12 | 365:13 368:13 | 356:6 359:24 |
| 331:1 339:6 | outline 358:10 | 370:24 371:22 | 360:1 361:8,17 |
| 340:5 343:21 | 359:17 364:17 | 372:4 383:3,14 | 364:6,24 |
| 343:23 344:5 | 397:18 408:8 | 386:18 428:3 | 365:16,21,22 |
| 344:14 393:24 | 422:20 425:16 | 432:8 | 366:3,4,7,9,12 |
| 394:16 396:11 | outside 328:6 | owners 305:18 | 366:15,16,23 |
| 396:13 405:23 | 338:8 360:5,22 | 305:22 308:16 | 367:8,11,14,24 |
| 409:4 431:23 | 361:8 364:24 | 309:10 310:3,6 | 368:15 369:25 |
| opinions | 365:3,19,22 | 311:18,20 | 370:24 371:3 |
| 310:21 311:11 | 366:12,16 | 315:10,12 | 371:14 372:18 |
| 315:1 316:2,3 | 412:20,21 | 318:12 320:16 | 372:20 373:9 |
| 330:21 338:14 | 413:1,18 | 320:17 324:10 | 373:14,17 |
| 339:25 |  | 326:12,16,17 | 376:18 377:5 |
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| 380:20,23 | owns 309:15 | 398:6 402:16 | paid 381:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 381:20 382:4 | 332:4 359:20 | 402:18,22 | 396:14 |
| 382:22 383:2 | p | 405:9,11 410:8 | paragraph |
| 383:12,16,18 | p 296:1,1 299:1 | 410:9 415:21 | 317:20,24 |
| 384:7,16,20,22 | 404:8 | 415:24 416:4,6 | 321:9 325:20 |
| 385:1,2,8,10,18 | p. 296.8 | 416:14,18,22 | 326:1 346:3,18 |
| 385:19,22 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { p.a. } & 296.8 \\ \text { p.m. } & 299: 6\end{array}$ | 416:24 417:2 | 347:5 354:2 |
| 386:1,8,16 | p.m. $418: 14,16$ | 417:13,16,23 | 384:1 406:6,12 |
| 387:5,20 | 436:17,21 | 418:6,9,20,22 | 407:1 409:2 |
| 388:10 407:12 | package 300:7 | 419:5,7,25 | paragraphs |
| 407:16 425:3 | 415:7 | 420:7 421:6,7 | 394:21 433:1,6 |
| 426:16 427:4 | packages | 421:19,21 | part 324:2 |
| 427:18,22 | packages | 423:14 424:8 | 329:15 334:21 |
| 432:3,9 | packets 404:10 | 424:10,23 | 359:7 380:1 |
| ownership | $404: 11,12$ | 429:13,15 | 382:10 390:22 |
| 308:4,8 309:7 | pad 307:20 | 433:19,23 | 395:19,21 |
| 309:13 310:2 | padilla 296:7,8 | 434:2,5,21,25 | 396:6 399:2 |
| 310:10 313:3 | 297:3,4,9,10 | 435:19,23 | 407:5 435:13 |
| 313:14,16,18 | 299:5,10,25 | 436:3,8,11 | participate |
| 314:11,13,18 | 300:6,9,13,22 | page 297:2 | 310:7 337:13 |
| 315:12,19 | $301: 1,7,23$ | 319:2,7,10 | 337:13 355:14 |
| 316:9,17,20,25 | $302: 2,8,23$ | 321:5 325:13 | 356:7 371:24 |
| 317:6 318:8 | 303:3,6,10 | 325:18,23 | 383:21,24 |
| 320:15 321:2 | 304:1,3 330:17 | 326:3,3 345:23 | 384:3,11,25 |
| 324:21,23 | 304.1,3 330.17 | 346:1,18 369:9 | 387:23 388:5,8 |
| 325:3,7 327:21 | 333:1 334:3,13 | 380:12 382:3 | 428:3 429:21 |
| 330:10 335:5,9 | 337:24 338:2 | 390:15,18 | 430:5,14 |
| 338:23 339:8,8 | $338: 18,19,24$ | 409:2,2 424:12 | 432:10 |
| 340:6 341:16 | $339: 10340: 15$ | pages 307:16 | participated |
| 341:22 344:22 | 340:17 345:2 | 309:11 317:19 | 429:24 |
| 351:21 352:5 | $350: 18 \text { 351:15 }$ | 335:9,11 | participating |
| 358:24 361:20 | $352: 1,14,21$ | 380:11 | 345:10,11 |
| 363:25 377:20 | $353: 5,7388: 15$ | pagination | 367:11 383:12 |
| 431:8,11 | $\begin{aligned} & 388: 16,20,22 \\ & 392: 7,9398: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 325:25 | 383:14 431:24 |
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[participation - picture]

| participation | 387:25 425:6,9 | 428:19 430:3 | 355:18,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 305:6 306:4,5 | 425:12 437:12 | penetrates | percentages |
| 315:21,22 | 437:14 438:8 | 392:25 | 321:2,25 |
| 321:18 342:3 | 438:11 | people 331:7,18 | 345:19 |
| 367:18,19,23 | parts 375:6,8 | 333:13 334:14 | perforation |
| 380:21 381:20 | party 334:9 | 428:23 431:10 | 404:18 |
| 383:15 384:4 | 360:5,22 361:2 | 432:1 433:4 | perform 381:12 |
| 389:4,19,25 | 383:8 436:17 | perceive 358:1 | 381:19 |
| 390:10 391:2,6 | pass 405:11 | percent 305:7,8 | period 429:19 |
| 391:8,16 | 421:21 426:18 | 305:25,25 | permit 356:22 |
| 430:19 431:1 | past 385:15 | 306:1 309:8,14 | permitted |
| 436:19 | 427:9 | 321:19,20 | 414:23 |
| particular | pay 322:5,7,7 | 322:1 326:19 | person 304:18 |
| 335:15 358:20 | 322:11,22 | 326:24 327:2,5 | 433:5 |
| 381:1 400:20 | 323:12 324:5,7 | 327:7 332:5 | personnel |
| particularly | 324:11,15 | 333:8,8 347:11 | 394:15 |
| 338:14 360:8 | 337:17 340:1 | 347:13,15,20 | perspective |
| 361:17 426:22 | 382:4,6,14,24 | 347:23,23 | 396:23 |
| parties 300:20 | 383:5,8,11 | 348:1,1,3,10 | pertaining |
| 301:25 305:19 | 386:19 388:1 | 355:5,17,21 | 348:20 |
| 307:7 317:25 | 396:19 409:13 | 356:3,6,11,13 | pertinent |
| 318:6 329:2,17 | 409:14 427:8 | 382:15,16 | 392:19 |
| 329:21 330:2,5 | 427:15 431:5 | 387:9,17 388:3 | ph 401:1 |
| 330:12 332:19 | paying 322:5 | 388:3 389:20 | 420:12 |
| 332:20,21 | payment | 389:21 391:21 | phase 389:11 |
| 334:19 336:9 | 381:24 382:10 | 391:21 404:4 | 389:11,13,13 |
| 339:17,19 | 382:11 | 427:7,11,16,20 | 389:17,17 |
| 340:12 344:19 | payout 396:15 | 428:5,6,11,18 | 390:6,10,11,12 |
| 345:15 347:18 | pdf 307:15 | 428:19 430:24 | 391:16,17,19 |
| 349:19 350:12 | penalized | 430:25 431:16 | 391:19 |
| 350:14 351:2,3 | 430:5 | percentage | phillip 296:17 |
| 351:4 353:23 | penalty 382:16 | 309:6,22 310:2 | 389:2 |
| 353:24 365:7 | 387:10 427:7 | 327:21 329:4 | physical 412:12 |
| 371:15 373:13 | 427:11,17,21 | 330:13 334:8 | picture 378:9 |
| 384:24 387:21 | 428:7,11,17,18 | 351:8 354:24 |  |
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[piece - problem]

| piece 424:9 | police 329:24 | pre 343:15 | previous 303:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pinch 412:13 | 330:9 332:25 | 344:2 372:13 | 367:19 400:20 |
| place 305:7 | 333:12 334:7 | 372:25 373:10 | 401:11 |
| 321:20 389:20 | policy 340:2 | 383:1 386:20 | previously |
| 391:21 399:10 | pool 334:7 | preapprovals | 301:20 306:15 |
| 413:25 | 350:4 351:22 | 305:12 | 339:16 392:23 |
| places 400:18 | 352:16 355:1,3 | precent 427:20 | 395:6 405:15 |
| 423:7 | 400:14,14,15 | preface 350:8 | price 336:19 |
| plan 399:10 | 429:23 | preliminary | 409:22 |
| 401:10 412:15 | pooling 332:23 | 299:5 301:2 | pricing 403:21 |
| 415:11 424:1,4 | 333:4 349:14 | preparation | primarily |
| 435:13 436:13 | 349:15 350:24 | 411:18 | 406:16 423:4 |
| planning 340:4 | 351:1,11,12 | prepare 330:24 | primary |
| 411:9 | 352:4 425:22 | prepared | 304:12 405:25 |
| plans 360:17 | 430:2 432:13 | 394:14 438:3 | 407:6,13,16,17 |
| platform 411:2 | pools 400:7 | preparing | 407:22,24 |
| please 300:2 | 403:10,13 | 411:21 | 408:4 414:14 |
| 338:3 358:3 | poor 402:9 | presence 399:5 | 415:3 422:11 |
| 378:21 415:19 | portal 417:15 | present 296:12 | 422:14,25 |
| plugging 402:9 | portion 350:5 | 404:4 419:24 | 428:24 429:8,9 |
| plus 382:16 | 364:14 395:23 | presented | 430:6,7 |
| 387:9,16 388:3 | 396:3 399:4 | 391:1 420:2 | principal |
| 400:2 428:5 | 402:5 423:5 | 432:16 | 303:16,25 |
| point 306:25 | position 338:5 | pressure | prints 303:17 |
| 320:10 329:9 | possible 337:12 | 404:17,18,19 | prior 338:12 |
| 331:21 332:2 | 357:24 413:19 | 405:1 406:1 | 359:18 372:20 |
| 336:20 337:4 | 426:11 | 421:14,15,16 | 401:19 437:5 |
| 350:13 352:25 | potential | 421:18 | probably |
| 401:2,13 | 360:16 414:20 | pretend 396:21 | 299:20 300:14 |
| 412:19 416:21 | 426:4 | pretty 299:16 | 304:17 373:21 |
| 428:20 432:25 | power 329:25 | 303:20 364:18 | 417:17 |
| pointed 426:20 | 330:9 332:25 | 406:2 412:10 | probate 431:7 |
| 427:1 | 333:12 334:7 | prevention | probates 432:1 |
| points 333:1 | practice 337:16 | 394:17 | problem 331:4 |
|  | 338:16,21 |  | 354:17 431:18 |
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## [problems - publication]

| problems | 394:1 397:1 | propose 379:6 | provide 308:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 351:16 | 406:1 407:19 | proposed 305:2 | 310:9,17 |
| procedures | 409:11 412:15 | 305:5,10 308:5 | 312:10 332:21 |
| 430:3 | 412:22 430:6,8 | 309:3,7,16,18 | 349:14 351:12 |
| proceed 302:1 | 430:23 431:2 | 309:21 310:22 | 360:4,7 364:3 |
| 303:23 358:3 | productive | 311:3,22 | 424:2 425:19 |
| 395:4 418:20 | 396:8 400:4 | 321:18 323:5 | provided |
| proceeding | professional | 324:2,14 325:4 | 305:18 309:12 |
| 295:15 300:23 | 393:24 | 325:9 333:11 | 310:1,15 |
| 436:22 438:4 | project 319:25 | 334:23 358:11 | 330:12 333:14 |
| proceedings | 372:2 373:4 | 361:18 366:25 | 345:23 346:5 |
| 437:3,5,6,9 | 378:5 379:10 | 367:20 369:18 | 346:10,12 |
| 438:6 | 380:22 381:1,6 | 370:12 376:25 | 347:4 349:3 |
| proceeds | 381:13 382:1 | 378:14 389:20 | 350:8 359:23 |
| 319:25 | 383:15,22,25 | 392:21 393:1 | 365:7,13 383:2 |
| process 331:13 | 384:5,11 387:8 | 395:15,19,24 | 405:6 415:7 |
| produce 320:1 | 387:15,16 | 397:5,14,16 | 427:15 |
| 320:9 424:3 | 388:4 393:23 | 403:4 404:7 | provider |
| 435:1 436:12 | 394:6 396:2 | 405:2 409:19 | 411:18 |
| produced | 400:21 403:23 | 411:8 421:11 | provision |
| 320:4,12 394:7 | 403:24 408:25 | 422:10 426:13 | 370:15,22 |
| 394:10 399:2,8 | 409:19 410:22 | 427:21 429:5 | 371:7 372:14 |
| 399:8 414:14 | 420:12,12 | proposing | 380:4 |
| 415:3 | 421:11 427:3 | 323:6 335:22 | provisions |
| producers | 427:17 429:18 | 382:19 398:19 | 367:24 370:1,5 |
| 412:24 | projects 404:13 | 398:20 | 370:7,17 |
| producing | 420:13 | proprietary | 426:22,25 |
| 321:23 322:8 | proper 352:10 | 312:11,13 | prudent 377:25 |
| 322:10,12,13 | proportionate | protect 417:5 | 405:24 |
| 322:21 323:13 | 372:18 386:19 | 434:7 | psi 404:19 |
| 404:5 406:20 | 388:1,2 | protecting | 421:16 |
| 414:16,25 | proposal 413:9 | 434:8 | public 373:11 |
| production | 432:9 | protection | 437:22 |
| 305:8 321:21 | proposals | 394:18 | publicatio |
| 389:21 391:22 | 432:10 |  | 298:15 352:2,7 |
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[publication - reached]

| 352:9 416:9 | 338:8,20,20 | 402:14,17 | 427:3,13,17,23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| publicly 327:12 | 339:4 342:13 | 410:6,7,11 | 428:1 |
| 327:14 | 342:14 343:7 | 411:25 412:7 | range 362:11 |
| published | 348:2 352:18 | 416:2 420:19 | ranges 404:19 |
| 416:8 | 353:15 360:4 | 421:4 423:21 | rate 404:22,24 |
| pull 319:1 | 361:1 364:12 | quick 321:9 | 407:19 420:15 |
| pulled 308:1 | 365:11,20 | 376:12 | 421:17 |
| pump 330:24 | 366:2 374:19 | quiet 352:8 | rates 390:9 |
| purchase | 377:15 383:20 | quit 362:12 | 415:1 |
| 336:19,19 | 397:20 398:12 | quite 341:16 | rather 376:18 |
| 355:13 356:14 | 398:24 400:5 | 349:21 377:20 | 377:22 |
| purpose 295:7 | 400:19 409:17 | quote 387:9 | ratification |
| purposes | 410:14 431:6 | r | 315:13 |
| 314:23 | 431: | r 296:1 299:1 | ratifications |
| purview 394:15 | questioning | raise 344:16 | 336:5,7 |
| put 303:2 | 376:4,9 377:13 | 424:19 425:14 | ratified 326:24 |
| 304:18 323:20 | 378:3 388:17 | raised 311:14 | 347:23 355:22 |
| 343:14 352:16 | questions | 311:17 320:19 | ratify 331:20 |
| 401:3 410:2,15 | 302:10 306:7 | 321:12 344:13 | 386:20 |
| 413:20 426:20 | 329:8 334:4 | 367:24 368: | rex 297:6 |
| 428:1 434:2 | 340:16,20,24 | 425:1,5,8,16 | rdx 297:6 |
| putting 424:16 | 341:8,10,13,18 | 426:9 427:7 | reach 328:3 |
| q | 342:2,8,15 | 428:21 | 332:19 333:7 |
| ualified 437:7 | 344:6 349:19 | raising 311:20 | 333:15 345:22 |
| quality 356:22 | 349:20 350:1 | 331:15 344:10 | 346:6,15 347:1 |
| quarter 364:4,4 | 354:21,23 | ramsey 372:2 | 347:20,25 |
| 373:22 | 357:17,18,19 | 373:3 378:5 | 348:15,17 |
| queen 358:21 | 357:24 368:2 | 380:5,22 | 350:10 351:5 |
| 393:7,14 396:3 | 371:16 377:16 | 381:13 382 | 353:25 354:11 |
| 396:8 400:10 | 377:24 388:13 | 384:4 387:8,1 | 354:17 425:12 |
| 400:12 403:7 | 388:15,23,25 | 387:16 388:3 | reached 333:20 |
| 404:21 420:12 | 389:10 392:2 | 395:14,22,25 | 337:11 348:7 |
| queens 305:1 | 394:25 395:2 | 396:1 397:13 | 411:12,13 |
| question 316:7 | 398:3,5,7 | 397:23 408:17 | 419:13 |
| 328:1 337:20 | 399:15 402:8 | 409:12 410:20 |  |
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[reaching - relative]

| reaching | 359:15 364:16 | recorded 437:6 | 344:24 348:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 347:10 | 380:8,9 408:23 | recording | 395:13 422:9 |
| read 380:19 | 408:24 | 437:8 438:4 | references |
| 381:17 382:7 | receipt 382:11 | records 312:25 | 328:2 |
| 416:25 | receipts 305:11 | 313:1 314:2,17 | referencing |
| readable | 334:18,19 | 318:1 402:9 | 370:6 403:3 |
| 415:17 417:2 | 335:1,2,3,4 | recoup 428:5 | referred 335:2 |
| reading 405:22 | 346:23,24 | recover 344:3 | referring |
| readjustment | receive 299:7 | 403:18 | 307:12,22,25 |
| 370:11 | 336:6 360:2,24 | recovery | 314:20,22 |
| ready 303:23 | 361:5,9 363:20 | 304:25 343:15 | 316:24 326:3 |
| 405:10,11 | 364:7,25 | 392:22 393:21 | 332:13 362:4 |
| 418:20 | 365:18 366:17 | 398:14,22 | 364:10 366:1 |
| real 376:12 | received | 403:5,17 | 368:20 370:16 |
| 377:24 411:3,4 | 301:19 335:18 | 405:25 407:6 | 374:3,17,18 |
| 419:10 | 335:19,19 | 407:13,18,22 | 385:17 390:4 |
| realize 337:24 | 355:18 356:11 | 408:4 411:10 | 390:16 391:4 |
| really 375:3 | 356:14 360:3 | 411:19 422:6 | 398:12 |
| 378:17 388:17 | 360:19 361:15 | 422:11,14 | refiled 303:20 |
| 391:25 410:25 | 361:22 363:20 | 423:1 428:22 | reflect 318:1 |
| reason 312:9 | 365:17 419:22 | 429:4 430:10 | 390:7 391:2,6 |
| 331:24 429:21 | 432:3 | recross 340:19 | reflected |
| 430:15 431:20 | recent 401:9 | 341:12 342:22 | 341:19 |
| 432:12 433:11 | recess 418:13 | 343:1 358:5 | refused 308:22 |
| reasonable | recommend | redirect 334:4 | regarding |
| 426:16 | 414:2 | 334:12 388:15 | 298:14 340:24 |
| reasonably | recompletions | 410:7 | regards 342:2 |
| 353:3 | 372:8 | reduced 437:7 | 400:19 |
| reasoning | record 313:24 | refer 316:4 | relate 396:4 |
| 359:15 364:16 | 332:10 341:2,4 | 320:2 376:23 | related 338:20 |
| 430:22 | 341:6 357:15 | 379:14 390:15 | 437:11 438:7 |
| recall 308:6 | 375:16 393:2 | reference 399:8 | relationship |
| 311:1,16,19 | 418:15 431:18 | 414:21 | 384:15 386:15 |
| 322:20 323:17 | 434:14 437:9 | referenced | relative 394:18 |
| 343:23,25 | 438:5 | 343:5,8 344:20 | 437:13 438:10 |
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[relevant - rhodes]

| relevant 305:18 | reports 313:16 | requiring | 352:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 306:6 335:22 | 313:18 314:11 | 414:11 | responses |
| 376:8 377:16 | 314:14 315:3,8 | research | 396:16 |
| reliable 313:22 | 315:12 316:9 | 313:24 315:7 | responsive |
| 314:23 | 316:13,17,20 | 316:12 349:20 | 337:11 |
| reliance 331:3 | 318:8 324:19 | 356:19,24 | rest 416:16 |
| reliant 356:19 | 324:21,24 | 357:3 | 423:14,17 |
| relied 330:6 | 326:11 339:8 | researching | 424:13 |
| rely 314:7 | 340:6 | 305:17,17 | result 368:5 |
| remain 420:17 | request 377:3 | reserve 349:21 | 401:9 |
| remaining | 402:10 414:5 | reserves 403:18 | resulted 312:17 |
| 305:7 321:20 | 435:13 | 407:17 413:1 | 318:9 347:10 |
| 348:18 356:13 | requested | reservoir 320:2 | results 348:10 |
| 359:20 364:14 | 308:19 310:14 | 358:21,25 | resume 306:22 |
| 389:20 391:21 | 310:17 | 376:24 379:14 | retain 379:6 |
| remember | requesting | 389:7 397:16 | return 305:11 |
| 308:17 422:11 | 308:7 403:8 | 403:3 406:2 | 305:12 334:19 |
| remind 301:21 | 415:23 | 409:18,24 | 335:1,4 346:23 |
| remote 295:15 | require 402:4 | 410:17 419:11 | 418:4 |
| 331:2 | 425:25 | 427:13 428:9 | revenue 403:25 |
| removal 370:1 | required | 428:10 | review 300:20 |
| 426:23 | 305:19 357:1,2 | resources | 314:16 402:5,6 |
| remove 433:3,4 | 357:4,14 360:1 | 295:2 305:16 | reviewed |
| removing | 360:23 361:4,9 | respect 335:16 | 301:25 369:20 |
| 433:8 | 361:13 363:19 | 337:17 372:4 | reviewer |
| renew 349:11 | 364:7,25 365:4 | respective | 304:13 |
| repeat 348:2,2 | 365:18 366:17 | 424:4 | reviewers |
| 424:25 | 435:7 | respond 300:4 | 388:25 |
| reply 300:10 | requirement | 331:18 409:9 | reword 435:5 |
| report 313:4 | 357:9 417:5,8 | 432:14 | rfu 406:19 |
| 316:25 339:20 | 430:18 431:20 | responded | rhodes 323:3 |
| 434:10 | requires | 300:14 434:15 | 323:14,16,22 |
| reported | 332:16 334:6 | response | 373:25 374:15 |
| 295:17 | 351:10,19 | 318:23 338:18 | 374:25 400:14 |
|  | 428:16 433:3 | 338:25 352:5 | 403:12 406:13 |
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[rhodes - second]

| 406:15,20 | 422:16 423:11 | round 306:10 | 380:21,24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 423:6,8 | 424:21 434:1 | row 412:19,23 | 381:11,18,24 |
| rid 433:10 | 435:18 | royalties 322:6 | 382:8,14,18 |
| ride 430:14 | rights 320:16 | rule 332:12,15 | 383:10,13,15 |
| right 300:16 | 320:17,20,23 | 425:21 | 386:23 387:6 |
| 302:25 307:6 | 320:23 321:13 | rules 305:20 | 387:11,13 |
| 307:20 308:2 | 321:21,23 | ruling 340:21 | 407:4 420:5 |
| 309:8 314:1,11 | 324:14,24 | 341:1 | 429:17 |
| 316:19 318:17 | 343:9,10,11,12 | run 403:23 | scale 399:20 |
| 318:19 319:2 | 385:13 386:21 | $\mathbf{s}$ | 400:3,3 412:16 |
| 321:8 325:17 | 394:18 413:14 | S 296:1 298:1 | 415:17 |
| 330:16 339:20 | 414:8 417:6 | 299:1 | scarborough |
| 342:8 343:13 | 426:6 428:4 | saltwater | 400:15 403:12 |
| 344:11,21 | 434:8 | 401:14 | scattered 423:3 |
| 345:23 346:2 | risk 340:3 | salvidrez | scheme 411:10 |
| 347:24,25 | 382:15 387:9 | 296:19 | scoman 438:2 |
| 348:1 349:18 | 397:3,7,8,12,21 | sand 399:24 | 438:16 |
| 358:21 360:7 | 397:25 405:4 | sands 399:25 | scope 338:8 |
| 362:23,23 | 409:18,21,24 | santa 295:3,16 | scraped 389:18 |
| 363:9 364:12 | 427:7,11,15,16 | 296:5,10 | screen 308:1 |
| 366:11 368:17 | 427:20 428:6,9 | satisfied 435 | 362:13 370:20 |
| 369:12 371:24 | 428:10,11,17 | satisfy 350:1 | 380:17 |
| 372:7,9 373:15 | 428:18,19 | saw 307:20 | scroll 317:18 |
| 373:23 374:6 | 429:17,20 | 409:9 | 321:7 375:1,5 |
| 374:21,23 | risks 409:22 | saying 300:5,6 | 378:21,22 |
| 375:24 376:25 | ritter 307:5 | 311:1 313:3 | scrolling 375:4 |
| 377:10 379:6 | rivers 305:1 | 344:15 349:12 | 380:10 |
| 379:23 380:6 | 358:21 393:14 | 350:8 356:5 | sdws 414:19 |
| 380:23 381:15 | 396:2,8 400:10 | 363:6,8 383:2 | second 302:24 |
| 382:6 383:6,11 | 400:12,17 | 384:1 390:1 | 303:13 317:23 |
| 386:9 387:12 | 404:21 | 430:13 | 336:2 353:18 |
| 391:15,24 | roughly 309:8 | says 315:14 | 357:20 362:12 |
| 397:9 399:1 | 323:19 374:15 | $317: 24354: 2$ | 373:21 374:19 |
| 406:13,17 | 374:24 375:9 | $357: 6372: 1,10$ | 379:21 392:8 |
| 408:14 416:23 | 375:10 | 372:16 373:4 | 407:4 421:6 |
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[second - shows]

| $422: 19$ | $361: 25362: 13$ | seismicity | set $300: 18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| secondary | $362: 18363: 11$ | $405: 2,4$ | $417: 19$ |
| $392: 22393: 21$ | $364: 9366: 13$ | selected $393: 25$ | seven $305: 1$ |
| $407: 18428: 22$ | $366: 19369: 5,9$ | selection $370: 2$ | $358: 21396: 2,7$ |
| $429: 4,7$ | $369: 10,12,16$ | self $328: 7$ | $400: 9,12,16$ |
| section 359:8,9 | $370: 20373: 6$ | $346: 12$ | $404: 20$ |
| $359: 14,21,24$ | $375: 3,16,19,20$ | sell $431: 10$ | sevens $393: 14$ |
| $359: 25360: 9$ | $376: 5378: 17$ | send $315: 9,13$ | seventy $327: 5$ |
| $360: 10361: 17$ | $380: 16381: 21$ | $361: 1,6369: 24$ | several $328: 18$ |
| $361: 21,25,25$ | $382: 12391: 11$ | $436: 2$ | $328: 19339: 17$ |
| $362: 1,15,21,25$ | $397: 3,11,21$ | sending $299: 17$ | $347: 18371: 16$ |
| $363: 10,14,17$ | $400: 6,8,8$ | $351: 9382: 24$ | $417: 7$ |
| $364: 4,8,15,21$ | $406: 24409: 17$ | senior $392: 17$ | severances |
| $368: 18369: 13$ | $410: 24415: 15$ | $403: 2$ | $325: 3,7,11$ |
| $371: 21372: 12$ | $422: 19427: 1$ | sense $299: 20$ | shallow $394: 11$ |
| $375: 7,7,7,7,8,8$ | $430: 1435: 15$ | $431: 1432: 19$ | share $369: 2,4$ |
| $375: 8,9378: 20$ | seek $319: 20$ | sent $299: 6,24$ | $372: 18374: 23$ |
| $378: 23380: 8,9$ | $324: 9327: 11$ | $315: 11334: 15$ | $386: 19388: 1,3$ |
| $386: 7396: 1,1$ | $328: 4,15330: 3$ | $334: 19335: 12$ | sharing $367: 2$ |
| $427: 23428: 15$ | $344: 19345: 6$ | $355: 12360: 24$ | $369: 1374: 7$ |
| sections $393: 14$ | $345: 15,19$ | $361: 4,10,23$ | $379: 21$ |
| $393: 16,17$ | $373: 9413: 23$ | $364: 7,25365: 5$ | sheila $296: 21$ |
| $419: 9$ | seeking $326: 21$ | $366: 17373: 12$ | shoals $401: 1$ |
| secure $326: 9$ | $329: 24377: 21$ | $373: 15,16$ | short $301: 24$ |
| $350: 4354: 3$ | $396: 5407: 11$ | sentence | $302: 5$ |
| $356: 18357: 10$ | $407: 15$ | $317: 23380: 21$ | show $317: 5,8$ |
| secured $326: 15$ | seeks $392: 20$ | $381: 11,11$ | $351: 2431: 19$ |
| $354: 5$ | seems $330: 8$ | $407: 4433: 19$ | showed $313: 2$ |
| see $302: 8$ | $430: 25433: 12$ | sentences | $316: 18435: 14$ |
| $306: 12308: 19$ | seen $396: 16,17$ | $404: 11$ | showing $309: 14$ |
| $308: 21309: 21$ | $396: 25397: 22$ | separate | $380: 16$ |
| $318: 6319: 13$ | $426: 1$ | $394: 13420: 11$ | shown $365: 16$ |
| $321: 14326: 21$ | segments | series $401: 7$ | shows $357: 8$ |
| $331: 3338: 21$ | $397: 17$ | serve $394: 16$ | $394: 5$ |
| $346: 1359: 7$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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[sic - start]

| sic 354:22 | six 303:17 | 348:2 352:1 | speak 320:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| side 361:24 | size 323:17 | 357:22 362:7 | 381:5 396:15 |
| 432:23 | 352:24 432:20 | 365:25 368:19 | 397:8 399:12 |
| sides 359:5 | skills 437:10 | 368:22 370:20 | speaking 299:8 |
| signature | 438:6 | 374:10 380:13 | 337:8 396:16 |
| 325:18,22 | skip 302:3 | 386:7,13 | specific 328:8 |
| 437:20 438:15 | slightly 419:7 | 390:14 399:3 | 336:11 349:5 |
| significant | 419:12 | 410:2 434:21 | 364:16 390:4 |
| 412:25 414:18 | slo 305:7,12,25 | sort 309:9 | 396:7 |
| similar 318:1 | 306:3 323:6 | 331:2 389:6 | specifically |
| 356:21 409:17 | 405:7 | source 394:7 | 308:7,18 |
| simple 354:21 | slow 386:13 | 414:14,20,20 | 311:13 371:6 |
| simply 303:19 | small 331:9 | sources 394:12 | 380:9 390:15 |
| 318:8 433:11 | 333:5 410:19 | south 296:9 | 413:7 421:10 |
| simulation | 425:13 | 304:23 305:4,4 | 425:12 |
| 410:17,19 | smaller 377:23 | 305:4,5 323:5 | specify 326:2 |
| simulations | 395:23 408:2 | 323:7 324:2,3 | spelled 435:8 |
| 411:5 | 408:13 432:21 | 342:2 362:5,6 | split 320:21,24 |
| single 312:1 | sole 381:14,14 | 362:7,23 363:6 | 321:22 325:1 |
| 345:11 436:6 | solely 314:9 | 375:6 378:23 | splits 325:8 |
| sir 301:13 | solidified | 397:6 400:15 | square 375:16 |
| 302:12 310:4 | 315:19,23,24 | 400:22 401:2 | st 296:9 |
| 312:8 313:12 | somebody | 403:4 404:7,7 | standard |
| 316:7,16 317:8 | 308:18 310:14 | 408:11 410:20 | 332:22 339:6 |
| 319:4,6,12 | 310:16 361:22 | 412:11 421:12 | 341:25 350:1 |
| 321:8 324:16 | 429:21 430:12 | 423:10 | 427:19,20 |
| 325:5,12 329:3 | 431:6 432:14 | southeast 359:6 | 430:3 431:22 |
| 329:6 336:8 | somewhat | southern 324:2 | standards |
| 344:2 345:9,17 | 356:19 | southwest | 338:22 |
| 347:12,16 | soon 391:20,20 | 393:13 | start 302:11,22 |
| 366:13 379:5,9 | sorry 299:10 | spacing 322:19 | 304:16 307:15 |
| 410:9 423:17 | 313:9 317:13 | 322:23 413:16 | 321:6 351:25 |
| 424:15 | 317:18 324:7 | 413:16 423:4 | 369:1 376:21 |
| sitting 361:16 | 325:16 334:17 | span 403:10 | 391:10,13 |
|  | 342:11,12 |  | 408:13 411:9 |
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[start - summation]

| 411:16 | statutes 360:3 | strongly 414:3 | successful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| started 408:18 | statutorily | structural | 396:12 408:22 |
| starting 306:25 | 361:9 365:18 | 393:16 | 408:25 409:5 |
| 407:21 411:18 | statutory | structure | 427:14 |
| 431:12 | 304:16 332:16 | 393:11,15 | successfully |
| starts 391:12 | 377:21 384:14 | studies 393:20 | 305:14 317:24 |
| state 295:1 | 392:21 402:1 | study 391:1,5 | 318:5 349:2 |
| 313:17,18 | 403:4 408:2 | 405:6 415:8 | successor 370:2 |
| 314:2,7,16,16 | 426:17 428:15 | 417:3 | 426:24 |
| 326:8 327:1 | 428:21 429:1 | stuff 400:3 | sufficient |
| 329:25 330:9 | 429:11,21 | 421:8 426:22 | 305:19 311:24 |
| 333:12 368:9 | 430:5,15 | subject 346:14 | 329:12 350:9 |
| 390:2 408:21 | 432:12 | 347:4 382:15 | 350:11 353:16 |
| 414:23 426:19 | stay 401:5 | 387:8,16 388:2 | 358:1 |
| 430:17 437:23 | step 337:2 | 400:18 407:13 | sufficiently |
| state's 334:6 | 339:6 343:4 | 414:10 422:10 | 429:3 |
| stated 392:20 | 347:24 353:18 | 425:9 430:10 | suggest 388:20 |
| statement | 353:19 371:13 | submit 417:21 | suggested |
| 297:3,4 303:7 | 421:17 | 421:17 | 299:19 |
| 328:7 333:17 | sticks 400:23 | submitted | suggesting |
| 346:12 394:19 | stipulate | 300:7 310:12 | 351:18 |
| 405:22 413:20 | 402:11 | 394:22 404:12 | suite 296:4 |
| 417:4,7 420:5 | stop 346:25 | 421:10 | suited 393:21 |
| 424:19 434:6 | 352:12 367:2 | subparts | sulfide 399:1 |
| statements | 374:7 375:4 | 301:12 | sulfur 319:15 |
| 301:3 304:5,6 | 379:21 433:10 | substance | summaries |
| states 350:2 | stopped 347:14 | 399:2 | 301:24 314:1 |
| 352:11 431:24 | 347:16 | substances | 330:7 |
| status 336:15 | storage 406:16 | 318:18 319:13 | summary 302:5 |
| 336:17 372:8 | stratigraphic | 319:15,21 | 302:12,16,20 |
| statute 332:13 | 394:3 | substantial | 304:14 394:20 |
| 334:6 350:21 | stratigraphica... | 305:16 357:4,9 | 402:25 406:3 |
| 360:24 363:20 | 393:4 | 432:6 | 420:5 |
| 365:5 | strong 331:3 | success 409:8 | summation 351:16 392:13 |
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[supplement - thank]

| supplement | t | technical | testified 306:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 299:25 332:9 | 298:1 | 296:15,17 | 328:6 331:22 |
| 357:15 432:5 | take 330:24 | 302:1 304:13 | 339:11 395:8 |
| 434:14 | 340.18 343.45 | 304:22 340:20 | 405:17 417:6 |
| supplemental | 343:8 372.5 | 357:16 388:24 | 429:18 430:7 |
| 331:5 332:1 | 391:22 403:22 | 389:7 390:14 | testifying 437:5 |
| 413:20 417:4 | 416:20 418:3,4 | 391:3 | testimony |
| 424:2 434:6 | 424:20 430:23 | technically | 312:6 317:14 |
| supplementing | 433:24 436:20 | 396:15 | 324:23 335:12 |
| 431:18 | taken 354:16 | tell 306:16 | 344:17 351:17 |
| supplied 357:8 | 401:6 433:21 | 309:2 327:4 | 352:22 353:11 |
| supply 432:4 | 437:3,12 438:9 | 361:17 374:15 | 357:8 392:13 |
| support 427:16 | takes 430:23 | 374:24 375:4 | 394:20,21 |
| 428:6,11,18 | talk 372.3 | 395:7 405:16 | 402:25 407:9 |
| supreme | talked 371:13 | 420:1 425:24 | 408:23 420:8 |
| 352:11 | 372:22 422:8 | ten 403:22 | 422:5 431:4 |
| sure 327:25 | talking 313:15 | 429:18 | 432:19,22 |
| 330:11 338:6 | 317:1 331:17 | tend 411:4 | texas 338:22 |
| 341:24 351:24 | 344:22 346:14 | term 350:20 | text 369:15 |
| 365:10 368:4 | 346:25 351:25 | terminate | thank 301:14 |
| 386:5 392:15 | 386:9 396:22 | 381:12 | 304:2,11,21 |
| 413:2,11,13 | 406:12 414:22 | termination | 332:11 334:2 |
| 415:20 421:23 | 414:25 | 370:13,16 | 338:24 341:3 |
| 423:24 424:12 | talks 337:6 | terms 318:18 | 342:18,20 |
| 435:9 | 411:17 414:19 | 332:3,7 337:7 | 353:4 358:4 |
| surely 432:22 | targeted | 338:22 345:21 | 367:6 388:13 |
| surrounding | 377:22 393:19 | 430:19 431:16 | 391:23 392:1 |
| 356:23 403:15 | 395:2 | 431:21 | 398:3,8,10 |
| sweep 393:10 | 397:17 | tertiary 392:22 | 401:23 402:14 |
| sworn 301:3,3 |  | 398:14,21 | 402:15 410:6 |
| 301:6,21 304:4 | $404: 20$ | 403:17 411:10 | 412:1,2,4 |
| 306:16 395:7 | targets 404:14 | 411:14,19 | 415:25 419:16 |
| 405:16 437:5 | taxes 404:2 | 430:10 | 420:24 421:4 |
|  | team 314:15 | test 421:17 | 423:13,22 |
|  | 394:8 |  | 424:5,6 433:23 |
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[thank - tract]

| 436:13,14,19 | 422:13 426:8 | 311:7,11,21,23 | together |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thereof 350:5 | 428:20 430:16 | 311:25 312:1,3 | 304:18 328:11 |
| thing 299:14 | 431:4,17 432:4 | 312:7,13,16 | 333:18 413:20 |
| 302:17 314:10 | 432:6,18,22 | 313:5,7,10,12 | told 300:15 |
| 330:23 331:12 | 435:18 | 313:23 314:8 | 391:4 |
| 345:22 346:10 | third 380:21 | 314:19,20,22 | tomorrow |
| 346:16,19 | thought 302:3 | 315:1,2,9,14,17 | 300:3 |
| 347:4 350:23 | 313:5 363:8 | 315:17 316:1,3 | took 411:6 |
| 350:24 391:24 | 408:1 | 316:4,8,12,13 | 420:24 |
| 416:6 419:9 | three 302:16 | 316:13 317:1 | toothy 426:2 |
| 420:23 426:13 | 304:13 327:5 | 318:6,9 324:18 | top 308:17 |
| 428:10 430:21 | 390:10 400:8 | 324:19 329:12 | 309:5 323:17 |
| 432:11 | 404:12,12 | 329:23 330:20 | 323:24 328:17 |
| things 318:16 | 420:11 421:11 | 330:22 331:1 | 328:21,22 |
| 384:12 389:25 | 433:1,6 434:19 | 337:18,23,25 | 340:11 359:16 |
| 422:3 425:1,4 | threshold | 338:1,14,21,22 | 361:12 373:18 |
| 426:3,15 | 347:13,15 | 339:12,13,23 | 374:4 379:13 |
| think 299:19 | 348:12 431:17 | 339:23,24,25 | 380:10 385:7 |
| 302:23 309:4 | thumbnail | 340:5 343:18 | 393:6,6,7 |
| 323:20 325:24 | 435:16 | 343:21,23 | 403:6 |
| 328:17 330:18 | till 349:22 | 344:5,7,8,11,14 | tops 393:1 |
| 330:19 333:2,3 | time 295:14 | 345:12 352:8 | total 403:16 |
| 340:15 342:7,8 | 299:2,25 | 352:25 353:16 | totally 426:8 |
| 344:1 350:23 | 300:20 305:16 | 355:8 372:22 | touch 412:8 |
| 352:13,14,18 | 307:1,4 310:8 | 406:8 425:2 | tough 349:16 |
| 353:14,15 | 310:10 317:11 | 431:4,5,10,14 | township 362:3 |
| 360:15 364:12 | 329:11 336:11 | 431:21,23,25 | 378:17 |
| 369:19 377:18 | 357:23 389:12 | 433:12,13 | townships |
| 377:24 390:22 | 390:10 402:4 | titles 337:16 | 305:3 |
| 402:19 408:21 | 402:23 407:24 | today 300:2 | tracked 321:13 |
| 409:21 413:6 | timely 300:19 | 304:23 356:9 | tract 309:25,25 |
| 413:15 416:1 | 305:19 | 361:16 376:10 | 312:1,7 313:6 |
| 416:11 417:6,7 | times 341:18 | 377:17 | 313:8,11,13 |
| 417:17 418:9 | title 305:17 | today's 300:17 | 315:20 359:10 |
| 418:10 422:8 | 310:21 311:2,6 |  | 359:11 362:2,2 |
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[tract - unit]

| 364:10,11 | turn 317:17 | 360:2,24 | undertaken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 378:16,25 | 338:4 341:7 | 363:20 365:5 | 324:13 332:18 |
| 379:1,1 | 374:11 | 369:18 370:9 | 349:4 351:3 |
| tracts 315:1 | turned 401:8 | 370:11,13,17 | 353:25 354:11 |
| 375:17 | two 295:12 | 376:25 384:22 | 425:11 |
| transact 336:20 | 304:10 335:18 | 385:5 394:15 | undertaking |
| transcriber | 337:1,1 373:20 | 406:8 414:23 | 397:21 |
| 438:1 | 394:11 401:25 | 416:20 418:19 | undertook |
| transcript | 417:20 430:24 | 422:15 426:17 | 328:12 333:19 |
| 438:3,5 | type 304:15 | 426:17 428:14 | undeveloped |
| transcriptionist | 371:22 393:9 | 428:15 429:11 | 406:23 407:17 |
| 437:8 | 419:9 | 433:21,24 | unit 304:16,24 |
| treated 385:9 | types 305:23 | 436:20 | 304:25 305:2 |
| tremendous | 320:5 382:2 | understand | 305:10,10,18 |
| 431:25 | typewriting | 300:8 306:22 | 305:24,24 |
| tried 333:14 | 437:7 | 307:4 310:8 | 306:4,4,5 |
| 349:1 426:11 | typical 331:6 | 327:25 338:3,7 | 307:2 308:5,9 |
| trouble 363:2 | u | 338:25 343:7 | 308:12,13 |
| true 371:2 | uh | 344:25 351:18 | 309:3,7,13,18 |
| 394:22 437:9 | 381:16 | 352:13 354:19 | 309:21,22,24 |
| 438:5 | unable 328:20 | 356:10 360:11 | 310:3,22 311:3 |
| trust 341:21 | $329: 2,5330: 14$ | 361:3 365:11 | 311:4,8,22 |
| truth 306:16,16 | 330:14 340:7 | 381:4,10 | 312:2,17 |
| 306:17 395:7,7 | 330.14340 .7 | 384:10 394:19 | 313:11,13 |
| 395:8 405:16 | 351:2 5 | 396:22 422:5 | 314:24 315:1 |
| 405:16,17 |  | 429:10 | 315:18,25 |
| try 301:23 | 371:4 | understanding | 316:2 317:6,9 |
| 318:25 329:21 | uncommitted | 308:2 329:18 | 317:13,25 |
| 333:6 353:22 | $327: 10,16,17$ | 332:7 402:7 | 318:18 319:1,3 |
| 422:18 | $327: 20.24$ | 435:4 | 320:13 322:23 |
| trying 316:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 327: 20,24 \\ & 346: 16367: 8 \end{aligned}$ | understands | 323:4,5,8,14,16 |
| 317:18 325:15 | under 301:4,22 | 435:18 | 323:22 324:2,3 |
| 332:19 333:22 | 305:20 309:1 | undertake | 324:5,9,12,15 |
| 354:14 376:8 | $314: 25,25$ | 351:7 | 325:9 326:13 |
| 381:10 | 335:7,22 344:3 |  | 326:22,24 |
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[unit - upgraded]

| $327: 11,21$ | $374: 8,12,14,15$ | $425: 15,23$ | $319: 20320: 16$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $328: 5,15$ | $374: 20,25$ | $426: 10,12,20$ | $322: 24323: 1,9$ |
| $329: 13,24,25$ | $376: 16,17,18$ | $426: 23,24$ | $323: 22325: 4$ |
| $330: 3,8331: 8$ | $376: 19,20$ | $427: 5,13,17,22$ | $373: 1376: 14$ |
| $331: 18332: 5$ | $377: 1,2378: 6$ | $427: 23,24$ | $377: 22378: 11$ |
| $332: 24333: 11$ | $378: 14,16$ | $428: 1,23429: 5$ | $380: 21393: 1,3$ |
| $333: 22334: 23$ | $379: 8,17,18,22$ | $429: 11,23$ | $393: 5,8395: 15$ |
| $334: 24,25$ | $381: 2,8,9,14$ | $430: 1,8,9,10,13$ | $395: 19,24$ |
| $335: 7,23336: 7$ | $383: 1,4,24$ | $431: 24432: 15$ | $396: 6403: 5,9$ |
| $336: 25338: 15$ | $384: 4,7,14,17$ | $432: 20,24$ | $408: 8409: 16$ |
| $339: 11,14$ | $384: 21,22$ | $433: 2,2,4,7,8,9$ | unitizing |
| $342: 3343: 3,13$ | $385: 20386: 4$ | unit's $390: 7$ | $304: 25$ |
| $343: 22344: 2,4$ | $386: 17,25$ | united $352: 10$ | units $304: 16$ |
| $344: 5,20345: 3$ | $387: 10,24$ | $431: 23$ | $359: 4426: 2$ |
| $345: 4,6,16$ | $388: 7,9389: 23$ | unitization | $428: 25432: 21$ |
| $348: 3352: 23$ | $390: 2,3391: 9$ | $299: 22326: 9$ | unleased |
| $354: 15355: 22$ | $391: 10,20$ | $332: 16338: 15$ | $355: 14$ |
| $355: 23356: 19$ | $393: 12395: 22$ | $343: 15350: 4$ | unlocatable |
| $358: 7,10,11,17$ | $397: 14,16,16$ | $351: 10354: 4$ | $330: 5350: 12$ |
| $359: 7360: 5,22$ | $397: 18,23$ | $357: 10372: 13$ | $350: 14425: 6$ |
| $361: 2,9,19$ | $398: 22400: 7$ | $372: 24384: 14$ | $431: 19$ |
| $363: 11364: 11$ | $402: 1403: 4,5$ | $386: 20389: 3$ | unmute $351: 25$ |
| $364: 17,22,25$ | $403: 10,19$ | $389: 24392: 21$ | unmuted $299: 9$ |
| $365: 3,9,15,19$ | $404: 7,14405: 2$ | $403: 4,17404: 5$ | unquote $387: 9$ |
| $365: 22,23$ | $406: 13,16,20$ | $415: 8420: 8$ | untreated |
| $366: 4,7,9,12,17$ | $406: 21,24$ | $425: 9426: 18$ | $401: 12,12$ |
| $366: 21,23$ | $407: 5,7,20,23$ | $428: 15,22$ | update $316: 13$ |
| $367: 1,9,14,21$ | $408: 2,7,17$ | $429: 1,2,11,22$ | $415: 13$ |
| $367: 25368: 10$ | $409: 12,20$ | $430: 6,16$ | updated $314: 4$ |
| $368: 17,21,22$ | $413: 18,22$ | $432: 13$ | $391: 2$ |
| $370: 1,8,9,10,12$ | $420: 14,15$ | unitize $320: 9$ | upgrade |
| $370: 14,16,17$ | $421: 12422: 6$ | $358: 20379: 11$ | $431: 25$ |
| $370: 18371: 23$ | $422: 10,15,15$ | $396: 5$ | upgraded |
| $372: 17,25$ | $422: 20,23,25$ | unitized $305: 11$ | $431: 6,13,21$ |
| $373: 10,10,25$ | $423: 3,6,7,8$ | $318: 18319: 13$ | $433: 14$ |
|  |  |  |  |
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[uploaded - we've]

| uploaded 305:9 | vertigo 367:3 | 307:8 320:11 | waterflood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 327:17 328:24 | videoconfere... | 325:25 329:14 | 372:2 378:5,11 |
| 334:18 346:23 | 295:11 296:2,7 | 336:23 338:6 | 379:3,7,9 |
| 348:19 365:17 | 296:14,16,18 | 341:24 343:4 | 380:5,22 381:6 |
| 370:4 373:11 | 296:20,21 | 357:16,23 | 381:13 382:1 |
| upper 396:3,8 | virtual 390:21 | 366:25 372:11 | 382:23 383:22 |
| ups 411:14 | 413:17 | 372:12 374:7 | 383:25 384:5 |
| use 315:8 318:8 | voluntarily | 379:18 383:6 | 384:11 392:18 |
| 319:20 329:24 | 305:14,22 | 392:12 404:9 | 393:19 395:14 |
| 334:6 399:8 | 328:9 384:21 | 411:11 423:24 | 395:18,22 |
| 400:11 415:16 | voluntary | 424:19 425:14 | 396:1,9,16,18 |
| used 317:2 | 326:9,15 327:2 | 427:11 430:14 | 397:5,13,19 |
| 334:10 394:19 | 327:7 332:19 | 432:14 434:13 | 400:3 401:13 |
| 404:16 406:16 | 333:7,15 | 435:5 | 403:20 405:24 |
| using 403:21 | 345:22 346:6 | wanted 304:9 | 407:19,21,23 |
| 421:15 | 346:15 347:1 | 336:21 387:23 | 408:3,7,17 |
| usually 304:15 | 348:7 350:4,10 | 424:11 | 409:8,10,19 |
| 312:5 | 351:5 354:1,3 | wanting 374:11 | 410:16 411:3 |
| utilize 413:5 | 354:5,11,17 | wants 331:4,24 | 413:22 414:7 |
| 414:17 | 356:18 357:10 | 417:2,3,4 | 414:11 422:23 |
| utilized 316:18 | 426:2 428:25 | waste 357:23 | 427:3 430:11 |
| $\mathbf{v}$ | vote 370:25 | 394:18 408:16 | waterflooding |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { vague } 363: 21 \\ & 364: 22 \\ & \text { valid } 408: 12,15 \\ & \text { value } 390: 7 \\ & 404: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 381:5,15,21 | water 342:1 | 398:13 408:18 |
|  | 385:23 386:1 | 393:8 394:5,7 | 434:9 |
|  | 427:9,10 | 394:7,11,12 | waterfloods |
|  | voting 385:12 | 396:2 398:15 | 399:23 403:15 |
|  | 386:21 | 401:3 404:23 | 408:9 |
| vanessa 297:15 | w | 404:25 409:9 | waterline |
| 402:22 403:2 | wait 338:3 | 411:9 412:8,20 | 401:19 |
| 405:14 419:11 | waiting 433:18 | 414:12,14,15 | way 303:20 |
| 421:7 | 434:1 | 414:15,17,19 | 337:2 339:7 |
| ries 312:4 | walk 426:12 | 414:20,20,22 | 363:15 403:18 |
| versus 322:20 | walked 426:10 | 414:22 415:1,3 | 408:7 430:18 |
| vertical 322:15 | want 302:1,15 | 417:4 434:10 | we've 312:24 |
| 322:17 | 302:20 303:7 | 434:11 | 314:15 315:18 |
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[we've - working]

| 318:5 321:25 | whack 430:18 | 413:6,15 414:1 | 310:2 311:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 324:18,18,18 | whatsoever | 414:4,13,24 | 311:19 312:18 |
| 324:19 328:8 | 427:16 | 415:5,9,12,18 | 315:9,12 |
| 337:11 349:1 | wide 327:21 | 415:20 416:2 | 317:12 318:12 |
| 354:4,5 355:2 | 432:15 | 417:24 419:24 | 326:17,22,25 |
| 370:4 372:22 | willing 332:1,9 | 420:20 421:6 | 331:8,9 335:16 |
| 375:24 377:7 | wise 326:25 | 421:21 437:4 | 335:17,20,24 |
| 392:23 395:13 | wish 418:6 | witness's 338:9 | 335:25 337:5,9 |
| 404:16 405:1 | wishing 379:10 | witnesses 301:3 | 337:10 340:8 |
| week 417:17 | withheld | 301:5,20,21 | 343:16 344:10 |
| weeks 417:20 | 308:20 | 302:16,21 | 344:12 349:5 |
| weight 339:2 | witness 297:6 | 304:7,14 329:9 | 351:20 352:15 |
| wells 321:22 | 299:14 304:4 | 417:17 418:8 | 353:7,12 |
| 322:8,10,12,13 | 306:15 329:8 | wondering | 354:25 355:3,5 |
| 322:16,17,21 | 330:18 334:4 | 376:8 | 355:10,17,22 |
| 322:23,25 | 339:5 341:14 | woodwork | 356:6 367:8,11 |
| 323:2,13,14 | 342:15 355:2,7 | 432:2 | 367:14,24 |
| 372:7 373:2 | 355:12,20 | words 309:13 | 368:15 369:24 |
| 394:6 401:7,11 | 356:1,4,8,13 | 324:7 353:20 | 370:23,24 |
| 402:12 404:6 | 357:19,20,25 | 409:13 410:2 | 371:2,13,22 |
| 410:15 413:13 | 363:3 369:6 | 429:16 433:22 | 372:4,18,19 |
| 413:24 414:15 | 388:15,25 | 435:11 | 373:9,12,13,17 |
| 414:22,22,25 | 389:3,5,16 | work 306:3 | 377:5 380:20 |
| 420:10,14 | 390:3,14,19,24 | 316:9 317:2 | 380:22 381:20 |
| 424:3 434:25 | 391:3,9,13,18 | 318:5 329:13 | 382:3,21 383:2 |
| 435:15 436:11 | 392:3,8 395:2 | 331:1,23 | 383:3,12,14,16 |
| went 328:9 | 395:6 398:16 | 337:12 338:12 | 383:18 384:6 |
| 373:18 388:17 | 398:20 399:3,7 | 339:12,13 | 384:16,22 |
| 408:6 | 399:11,19,24 | 343:18 345:12 | 385:1,2,8,10,17 |
| west $360: 8,8$ | 400:13 401:10 | 353:16 372:22 | 385:19,22,25 |
| 361:18,20,21 | 401:22 402:21 | 431:10,14,25 | 386:8,16,18 |
| 364:14 375:21 | 405:10,11,15 | 433:12,13 | 387:4,20,21,25 |
| 393:13 408:11 | 410:19,25 | working | 388:10 389:14 |
| 412:11 | 411:11,23 | 308:15 309:2 | 390:8 400:7 |
|  | 412:6,9,17 | 309:10,22 | 407:11,15 |
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[working-zoom]

| 425:2 426:16 | 384:18 423:10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 427:4,18,22 | year 331:13 |
| 428:2 431:5 | 337:3 373:20 |
| 432:9 | 373:22 429:19 |
| workovers | years 312:4 |
| 372:25 | 316:23 337:1 |
| works 337:1 | 373:20 390:10 |
| 408:7 | 403:22,23 |
| worry 428:13 | 411:16 430:24 |
| wrong 363:6 | yep 347:3 |
| wrote 434:23 | yesterday |
| x | 299:4,6,24 |
| x 297:1 298:1 | 300:19 301:6 |
| y | 336:13 392:20 |
|  | yup 382:13 |
| yates 305:1 | z |
| 358:21 393:6 | zero 427:11,15 |
| $400: 12,16,17$ | 428:7,17 429:9 |
| 401:4,5 403:7 | zone 394:4 |
| 404:20 420:12 | zones 376:15 |
| yeah 307:21,25 | 379:10 393:19 |
| 309:18,24 | zoom 378:17 |
| 311:5 319:11 |  |
| 324:1,11 |  |
| 326:18,23 |  |
| 331:16 333:17 |  |
| 333:18 346:2 |  |
| 358:16 359:10 |  |
| 362:9,22 363:3 |  |
| 364:12 368:4 |  |
| 368:24 371:10 |  |
| 375:3,5,6,13,13 |  |
| 375:14 378:20 |  |
| 378:23 380:14 |  |
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