STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT
MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC TO AMEND
ORDER NO. R-7765, AS AMENDED TO
EXCLUDE THE SAN ANDRES FORMATION
FROM THE UNITIZED INTERVAL OF THE
EUNICE MONUMENT SOUTH UNIT
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 24278

APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT
MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC TO AMEND
ORDER NO. R-7767 TO EXCLUDE THE SAN
ANDRES FORMATION FROM THE EUNICE
MONUMENT OIL POOL WITHIN THE
EUNICE MONUMENT SOUT UNIT AREA,
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 24277

APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT PERMIAN MIDSTREAM, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF A SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO AND, AS A PARTY ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY ORDER R-22869-A, FOR A HEARING DE NOVO BEFORE THE FULL COMMISSION, PURSUANT TO NMSA 1978, SECTION 70-2-13.

CASE NO. 24123

APPLICATION OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC TO AMEND ORDER NO. R-22026/SWD-2403 TO INCREASE THE APPROVED INJECTION RATE IN ITS ANDRE DAWSON SWD #1, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NO. 23775

APPLICATIONS OF GOODNIGHT MIDSTREAM PERMIAN, LLC FOR APPROVAL OF A SALTWATER DISPOSAL WELL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NOS. 23614-23617

APPLICATION OF EMPIRE NEW MEXICO TO REVOKE THE INJECTION AUTHORITY GRANTED UNDER ORDER NO. R22026 FOR THE ANDRE DAWSON SWD #001, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

CASE NOS. 24018-24027

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION'S REBUTTAL EXHIBIT LIST AND REBUTTAL

WITNESS TESTIMONY DISCLOSURE

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division ("OCD") hereby submits its Exhibit List and

Witness testimony Disclosure pursuant to the Oil Conservation Commission's Pre-Hearing Order

entered on June 3, 2024.

I. Reservation of rights

OCD reserves the right to supplement or amend this pleading. OCD also reserves the right to

seek additional discovery, which would be consistent with its reservation of rights to amend or

supplement this pleading. OCD avers that such a reservation is proper for two reasons:

(a) That Empire continues, through at least January 31, 2025, to revise its experts'

anticipated testimony, creating an ever-shifting landscape to which OCD must respond,

as well as increasing the chance that Empire's testimony may shift dramatically; and

(b) That the original expert testimony disclosures by both Empire and Goodnight did not

address in any way OCD's case-in-chief – OCD anticipates that filed rebuttal testimony

from both parties will target some or all of OCD's case-in-chief, thereby depriving

OCD of the opportunity to rebut attacks on its case. This is a matter of fundamental

fairness in the administrative litigation process and OCD seeks to preserve its ability to

respond properly to such attacks.

Therefore, OCD cannot represent at the time of filing that the contents of this pleading

represent the full and complete rebuttal testimony of OCD's disclosed witnesses, thereby justifying

this reservation of rights to supplement or amend this pleading.

II. **Definitions**

OCD'S REBUTTAL WITNESS TESTIMONY

In the interest of clarifying the testimony as outlined below, OCD provides the following

definitions:

"Act" or "the Act" refers to the New Mexico Oil and Gas Act, codified at Chapter 70,

Article 2 of the NMSA 1978

"Empire" refers to Empire of New Mexico.

"Goodnight" refers to Goodnight Permian Midstream LLC.

"Hiss Article" refers to Movement of Ground Water in Permian Guadalupian Aquifer

Systems, Southeastern New Mexico and Western Texas from the New Mexico Geological Society

Guidebook, 31st Field Conference, Trans-Pecos Region, 1980.

"Hiss Paper" or "the Hiss Paper" refers to the 1975 thesis paper for the University of

Colorado Department of Geological Sciences entitled STRATIGRAPHY AND

GROUNDWATER HYDROLOGY OF THE CAPITAN AQUIFER, SOUTHEASTERN NEW

MEXICO AND WESTERN TEXAS by William Louis Hiss, B.S. Kansas State University, M.S.

University of Oklahoma, 1960.

"Legislature" or "the Legislature" refers to the New Mexico Legislature.

"Operators" refers to Empire of New Mexico and Goodnight Permian Midstream, LLC,

collectively.

"OCD" refers to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.

"OCC" refers to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.

"State" refers to the State of New Mexico.

"San Andres" refers to the San Andres Formation, which underlies the Grayburg

Formation, and is in the San Juan Basin in Southeastern New Mexico.

OCD'S REBUTTAL WITNESS TESTIMONY FOR CASE NOS. 23614-23617, 23775, 24018-24020, 24025, 24277, 24278, and 24123

"UIC" refers to Underground Injection Control, a program originating from § 42 U.S.C.

1421-26, 1431, and 1442-43, as well as 40 C.F.R. Parts 144-48, and which seek to prevent

contamination of Underground Sources of Drinking Water ("USDW").

III. OCD Rebuttal Witness Testimony.

a. Philip Goetze, Engineering UIC Permitting Group Lead

Further review of publications provided three additional exhibits that the OCD is

submitting in support of its position. Exhibit 17 is a technical article written by the two principal

experts of the screening and criticization criteria for aquifers adopted in the New Mexico Primacy

Demonstration for the UIC Class II program. The authors present two scenarios in the Permian

Basin involving the San Andres formation and the results of an aquifer evaluation for each using

their recommended guidance. The author's evaluation for the Roswell Artesian Basin endorsed the

alternative which designated protection of only the artesian aquifer which was being used as a

drinking water supply. The second scenario in Lea County includes the current subject matter of

these cases: the relationship of the Capitan Reef and the San Andres formation that extends north

in the area described as the Hobbs Channel. The authors recommendation for the San Andres

formation to be classified as an exempt aquifer is not disputed by the OCD; however, the

exemption is based on the Hiss model with ground-water flow direction from the Reef north

towards the city of Hobbs in the location of the Hobbs Channel. As a result, there is no assessment

of impacts to the Capitan Reef and its protectable waters with the dramatic increased use of the

San Andres formation for commercial disposal of produced water.

Exhibit 18 presents a focused study by Dr. Lewis Land for the use of the water in the Capitan

Reef aquifer to supplement freshwater resources of southeast New Mexico. Details of this

presentation relevant to the OCD case:

OCD'S REBUTTAL WITNESS TESTIMONY FOR CASE NOS. 23614-23617, 23775,

1. "The impact of brackish water withdrawals on fresh water resources near Carlsbad,

and on the baseflow into the Pecos River, is thought to be minimal because of the

presence of the hydraulic barrier (submarine canyons) that separates the eastern and

western segments of the reef." This observation further supports the isolation of the

Reef in the area of the Hobbs Channel. While Dr. Land makes no specific observation

on the Hobbs Channel, this barrier would prevent any contribution of rising water

levels in the Reef from the eastern segment.

2. The graph presentation of water level measurements on page 15 are the same findings

incorporated by OCD for use in Division Case No. 15732 (found in OCD Exhibit No.

10, Attachment 2) to oppose the permitting of commercial disposal wells in the

vicinity of Jal, New Mexico. Dr. Lewis comments "This remarkable rise in water

levels in Lea co. monitoring wells raises interesting questions about sources of

recharge and the age of groundwater in the eastern segment of the reef aquifer."

3. In his Preliminary Results, Dr. Lewis states "Preliminary data support the conceptual

model of hydrologic isolation of the eastern segment of the Capitan Reef, but do not

address the question of why water levels have been steadily rising for the last three

decades in the Lea county wells."

Exhibit 19 is an expansive study prepared for the Texas Water Development Board of the

Capitan Reef Complex that covers the Reef's occurrence in both Texas and New Mexico. This

study again adopts the conceptual model first developed by Hiss and modified with recent

observations and sampling events. The inclusion of this study is to recognize that the source of

water levels rising in the eastern segment of the Reef could be surface recharge of the Glass

Mountains. However, the study does reveal data gaps and sparse geochemical sampling that

OCD'S REBUTTAL WITNESS TESTIMONY FOR CASE NOS. 23614-23617, 23775,

provides general correlation but not localized characterization of the Reef. An example is

provided by Well 46-32-309 located north of Glass Mountain and used for water levels in the

Reef in Ward County (the closest data point to the state line). The hydrograph for this well

(Figure 4.2.14) shows an increase of water level of approximately 130 feet from 1972 through

1982 then decreases before water level measurements ceased being recorded in 1988. The study

also includes a conceptual model summarized in Figure 5.0.1 (Capitan Reef Complex Aquifer

Groundwater Availability Model) which includes the San Andres formation for the portion of

the Reef in New Mexico. Additionally, the study does caveat the complex relationship and

interaction of the San Andres formation and the Capitan Reef Complex along the eastern segment

by stating "where hydraulicly connected."

i. Recommendation to Commission.

OCD possesses the authority to regulate the oil and gas industry in many respects. OCD

regulates injection to avoid flooding of recovery zones and injection, generally. See § 70-2-12

NMSA; see also Chapter 15, Part 25 NMAC. OCD regulates "[p]revention of drowning of oil or

gas producing strata, encroachment by water of productive strata, or any other kind of water

encroachment upon productive strata to ensure production from those productive strata. *Id.* OCD

also regulates management of produced water in relation to production, among other things, of oil

and gas. Id. OCD regulates the prevention of water, crude petroleum oil, or natural gas for

escaping from strata in which it is found into other strata. *Id.* Finally, OCD possesses authority

"to regulate the disposition, handling, transport, storage, recycling, treatment and disposal of

produced water during, or for reuse in, the exploration, drilling, production, treatment or

refinement of oil or gas, including disposal by injection pursuant to authority delegated under the

OCD'S REBUTTAL WITNESS TESTIMONY FOR CASE NOS. 23614-23617, 23775, 24018-24020, 24025, 24277, 24278,

federal Safe Drinking Water Act, in a manner that protects public health, the environment and

freshwater resources." Id.

Based on the above exhibits and testimony, OCD, by and through Philip Goetze, renders

the following opinion and recommendation to the OCC:

(1) That there is a potential risk to the water quality in the Capitan Reef, which is managed

as a protected aquifer, from injection operations in the San Andres formation within

the hydrologic feature identified as the Hobbs Channel;

(2) That neither Operator has addressed, in any meaningful way, the risks posed to drinking

water due to said injection, nor have the Operators shown concern about this issue;

(3) That OCD lacks sufficient data, based on the Operators' evidence and currently

available through government agencies, to determine the status of the Hobbs Channel

or Capitan Reef and the hydrologic relationship between these features;

(4) To resolve the above, OCD recommends that the OCC order the Operators, as identified

individually or collectively, to do the following and to withhold a final decision on the

merits of the Operators' respective claims:

a. Empire shall develop for OCD review and potential approval a pilot project to

fully delineate the existence, or lack thereof, of any ROZ for any and all

formations and pools for which Empire claims the existence of a ROZ;

b. OCD and the Operators shall develop and implement a plan for both the

immediate monitoring of the ground water between the Capitan Reef and

injection in the San Andres of the Hobbs Channel and a comprehensive

investigation of the hydrology in this area as characterize the relationship for

possible use in an Aquifer Exemption application; and

OCD'S REBUTTAL WITNESS TESTIMONY FOR CASE NOS. 23614-23617, 23775,

c. The OCC shall direct the OCD to suspend any new UIC permit applications in review for UIC Class II commercial disposal wells within the Hobbs Channel until the OCD provides initial results of the monitoring effort.

IV. OCD's Exhibit List

OCD offers the following exhibits, for which a link will be sent to counsel for access and download, with rebuttal exhibits found in *bold italics*:

- a. Exhibit 1: General Site Map Showing Area of Interest and Locations of Wells
 Including UIC Class II Disposal Wells
- **b.** Exhibit 2: Map Showing Locations of Goodnight's UIC Class II Disposal Wells and Empire East Monument South Unit Within the Hobbs Channel
- c. Exhibit 3: UIC Program: New Mexico Oil and Gas Act
- d. Exhibit 3A: Enumeration of Powers excerpt from the New Mexico Oil and Gas
 Act
- e. Exhibit 3B: Statutory Unit Act excerpt from the New Mexico Oil and Gas Act
- **f.** Exhibit 4: UIC Program: Appendix II from the New Mexico Primacy

 Demonstration
- g. Exhibit 5: Permian Guadalupian Ground Water References by W. L. Hiss
- h. Exhibit 5A: Movement of Ground Water in Permian Guadalupian Aquifer Systems, Southeastern New Mexico and Western Texas from the New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook, 31st Field Conference, Trans-Pecos Region, 1980
- i. Exhibit 5B: Stratigraphy and Ground-Water Hydrology of the Capitan Aquifer,

 Southeastern New Mexico and Western Texas by William L. Hiss, Doctor of

 Philosophy Thesis, 1975 [text only]

- **j.** Exhibit 5C: Figure 19 from W. L. Hiss Doctor of Philosophy Thesis
- k. Exhibit 5D: Figure 26 from W. L. Hiss Doctor of Philosophy Thesis
- Exhibit 6: Summary of Permian Production in the Area of Interest
 Exhibit 7: Summary of Disposal Operations into Permian Formations in the Area
 of Interest
- m. Exhibit 7A: Chronology of UIC Class II Disposal Permits and Disposal Operations
- n. Exhibit 7B: Excerpts of Testimony from Cases Involving Disposal Well
 Applications
- o. Exhibit 7C: Summary of Injection Volumes by UIC Class II Disposal Wells
- p. Exhibit 7D: Summary of Form C-108 Applications for the Area of InterestExhibit 8: Current Issues Regarding Disposal in San Andres formation
- q. Exhibit 8A: Permian Operators Squeezed by Growing Water Pressure; Journal of Petroleum Technology, April 2019
- r. Exhibit 8B: Well completion forms from the Well File for E M E Well No. 8 (API No. 30-025-06017)
- s. Exhibit 8C: OCD E-mail communications regarding cement work for the N-11
 SWD Well No.1 dated July 13, 2020
- t. Exhibit 8D: OCD E-mail communications regarding cement work for the Andre Dawson SWD Well No.1 dated December 21, 2022
- **u.** Exhibit 9: Division Order No. R-22869-A
- v. Exhibit 10: Update of Underground Injection Control Class II Activities within the State of New Mexico for Possible Injection into Underground Sources of

Drinking Water: The Capitan Reef Aquifer System, Oil Conservation Division correspondence to the United States Environmental Protection Agency dated May 28, 2020

- w. Exhibit 11: Safe Drinking Water Act Aquifer Exemption Program
- x. Exhibit 11A: Enhancing Coordination and Communication with States on Review and Approval of Aquifer Exemption Requests Under SWDA, United States

 Environmental Protection Agency Memorandum dated July 24, 2014
- y. Exhibit 11B: Review of Underground Injection Control Class II Activities within the State of New Mexico for Possible Injection into Underground Sources of Drinking Water, Soil Conservation Division correspondence to the United States Environmental Protection Agency dated October 24, 2016
- z. Exhibit 11C: Oil Conservation Division correspondence to the New Mexico State Engineer dated April 23, 1992
- aa. Exhibit 11D: Oil Conservation Division correspondence to the New Mexico StateEngineer dated March 23, 1993
- **bb.** Exhibit 11E: Figure Showing Current Exempted Aquifer Designations for the Capitan Reef.
- cc. Exhibit 12: State of California Experience
- dd. Exhibit 12A: United States Environmental Protection Agency correspondence dated to the California Department of Conservation and California State Water Resources Control Board dated December 22, 2014

- ee. Exhibit 12B: California Department of Conservation and California State Water Resources Control Board correspondence to the United States Environmental Protection Agency dated February 6, 2015
- **ff.** Exhibit 12C: United States Environmental Protection Agency correspondence dated to the California Department of Conservation and California State Water Resources Control Board dated March 9, 2015
- gg. Exhibit 12D: California Department of Conservation and California State Water Resources Control Board correspondence to the United States Environmental Protection Agency dated March 3, 2017
- hh. Exhibit 13: Capitan Reef Excerpt from Overview of Fresh and Brackish Water Quality in New Mexico; New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources Open File Report 583; 2016
- ii. Exhibit 14: Proposed Investigation and Monitoring Plan Regarding the Capitan Reef Aquifer and Disposal in the San Andres Formation in the Area of Interest
- **jj.** Exhibit 15: Resume of Brandon Powell
- kk. Exhibit 16: Resume of Phillip Goetze
- II. Exhibit 17: Wilson, L. and Holland, M., 1984; Aquifer Classification for the UIC Program: Prototype Studies in New Mexico; Ground Water Volume 22, Number 6; November-December 184; pages 706-716
- mm. Exhibit 18: Land, Lewis, 2016; Using Brackish Water from Karstic

 Aquifers to Augment Freshwater Resources in the Semi-arid Southwest,

 Geological Society of America Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado, January

 2016

nn. Exhibit 19: Jones, I., 2016; Conceptual Model: Capitan Reef Complex Aquifer of Texas; Texas Water Development Board; August 3, 2016; 184 p.

oo. Any and all documents or exhibits disclosed in any capacity by any Party, including any such documents or exhibits relied-upon by the Parties in deposition or at any merits hearing in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher L. Moander Assistant General Counsel New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 Tel (505) 709-5687 chris.moander@emnrd.nm.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 10, 2025 this pleading was served by electronic mail on:

Ernest L. Padilla

Padilla Law Firm, P.A. Post Office Box 2523

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

(505) 988-7577

padillalawnm@outlook.com

Dana S. Hardy

Jaclyn M. McLean

HINKLE SHANOR LLP

P.O. Box 2068

Santa Fe, NM 87504-2068

(505) 982-4554

dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com

jmclean@hinklelawfirm.com

Sharon T. Shaheen

Samantha H. Catalano

Spencer Fane

Post Office Box 2307

Santa Fe, NM 87504-2307

(505) 986-2678

sshaheen@spencerfane.com

cc: dortiz@spencerfane.com

Attorneys for Empire New Mexico, LLC

Michael H. Feldewert

Adam G. Rankin

Paula M. Vance

HOLLAND & HART LLP

110 N. Guadalupe Street #1

Santa Fe, NM 87501

(505) 988-4421

mfeldewert@hollandhart.com

agrankin@hollandhart.com

pmvance@hollandhart.com

NRJurgensen@hollandhart.com

Attorneys for Goodnight Midstream Permian, LLC

Miguel A. Suazo

Sophia A. Graham

Kaitlyn A. Luck

500 Don Gaspar Ave.

Santa Fe, NM 87505

(505) 946-2090

msuazo@bwenergylaw.com

sgraham@bwenergylaw.com

kluck@bwenergylaw.com

Attorneys for Pilot Water Solutions SWD, LLC

Matthew Beck

Peifer Hanson Mullins & Baker, P.A.

P.O. Box 25245

Albuquerque, NM 87125-5245

(505) 247-4800

mbeck@peiferlaw.com

Attorneys for Rice Operating Company and Permian Line Service, LLC

Christopher L. Moander