

Rose-Coss, Dylan H, EMNRD

From: Barnhill, Amy D. <ABarnhill@chevron.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 9:44 AM
To: Rose-Coss, Dylan H, EMNRD
Subject: [EXT] RE: Workplan Submittal for 1RP-5361

Yes you can call me on my work phone before 2:00pm.

Thank you,
Amy Barnhill
Waste and Water Specialist
MCBU
Office: 432-687-7108
Cell: 432-940-8524
E-Mail: ABarnhill@chevron.com
#OurEnvironmentMatters

From: Rose-Coss, Dylan H, EMNRD <DylanH.Rose-Coss@state.nm.us>
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 10:21 AM
To: Barnhill, Amy D. <ABarnhill@chevron.com>
Subject: [**EXTERNAL**] RE: Workplan Submittal for 1RP-5361

Ms. Barnhill,

My comments are below in blue. Are you free this afternoon for a call?

Thanks,

The NMOCD has reviewed the proposed workplan for the Langley Getty Com #002 site (1RP-5361). The workplan in its current form is denied. Amongst other things, before the workplan is accepted the NMOCD must receive:

- Confirmation of the release volume. In the text of the workplan the release is reported as 11.35 barrels. However, the initial C-141 reports that 43.41 barrels were released. What is the correct value for the release and recovery? – The release was 43.41 bbls. I have sent this to the contractor so they can correct the workplan.
Okay great, thanks
- A site map that shows the potentially impacted area (19.15.29.11 A. (1) NMAC).
 - I am looking for a polygon on the map that delineates the area that was impacted (wetted) during the release. – We have a drawing of the site, attached. Do you need something better than this?
The attached drawing would work if it was overlaid on a satellite image, and contained a scale bar. The map included in the report would also work if a box was drawn around the area of the release. Also of note is that if the area inside the berm was lined then the site assessment and closure activities are substantially reduced.
- Verification of ground water depth. None of the State Engineer well files referenced list a depth to water. Therefore, the reported depth to water of 90 ft is unsubstantiated. – I will let our contractor answer how they determined ground water depth.

Noted, I will wait for correspondence with the contractor then.

- Note: If no water is encountered by the drill rig in the first 51 feet of drilling, then the standards for 51-100 ft below ground surface may be used. – Does this mean we can proceed without the ground water information?

If the OSE database does not return adequate data, then the boring can act as the required groundwater information.

Thanks,

Dylan Rose-Coss

Environmental Scientist
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

(505) 476-3488

From: Barnhill, Amy D. <ABarnhill@chevron.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 6:55 AM
To: Rose-Coss, Dylan H, EMNRD <DylanH.Rose-Coss@state.nm.us>
Subject: [EXT] RE: Workplan Submittal for 1RP-5361

Dylan,

Please see my comments in red below. Please feel free to call me if you need to.

Thank you,

Amy Barnhill

Waste and Water Specialist

MCBU

Office: 432-687-7108

Cell: 432-940-8524

E-Mail: ABarnhill@chevron.com

#OurEnvironmentMatters

From: Rose-Coss, Dylan H, EMNRD <DylanH.Rose-Coss@state.nm.us>
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 5:39 PM
To: Barnhill, Amy D. <ABarnhill@chevron.com>
Subject: [**EXTERNAL**] RE: Workplan Submittal for 1RP-5361

Ms. Barnhill,

The NMOCD has reviewed the proposed workplan for the Langley Getty Com #002 site (1RP-5361). The workplan in its current form is denied. Amongst other things, before the workplan is accepted the NMOCD must receive:

- Confirmation of the release volume. In the text of the workplan the release is reported as 11.35 barrels. However, the initial C-141 reports that 43.41 barrels were released. What is the correct value for the release and recovery? – The release was 43.41 bbls. I have sent this to the contractor so they can correct the workplan.
- A site map that shows the potentially impacted area (19.15.29.11 A. (1) NMAC).

- I am looking for a polygon on the map that delineates the area that was impacted (wetted) during the release. – **We have a drawing of the site, attached. Do you need something better than this?**
- Verification of ground water depth. None of the State Engineer well files referenced list a depth to water. Therefore, the reported depth to water of 90 ft is unsubstantiated. – **I will let our contractor answer how they determined ground water depth.**
 - Note: If no water is encountered by the drill rig in the first 51 feet of drilling, then the standards for 51-100 ft below ground surface may be used. – **Does this mean we can proceed without the ground water information?**

Thanks, and I look forward to working with you to remediate this site. I will be available the rest of the week if you would like to call to discuss any issues.

Regards,

Dylan Rose-Coss

Environmental Scientist
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

(505) 476-3488

From: Barnhill, Amy D. <ABarnhill@chevron.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2019 6:34 AM
To: EMNRD-OCD-District1spills <EMNRD-OCD-District1spills@state.nm.us>
Cc: Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD <mike.bratcher@state.nm.us>; Barnhill, Amy D. <ABarnhill@chevron.com>
Subject: [EXT] Workplan Submittal for 1RP-5361

To Whom it may concern,

We are submitting this workplan for 1RP-5361. Please reply with your approval as soon as possible so that we may begin sampling activities.

Thank you,
Amy Barnhill
Waste and Water Specialist
MCBU
Office: 432-687-7108
Cell: 432-940-8524
E-Mail: ABarnhill@chevron.com
#OurEnvironmentMatters

"The highest performance you can expect is the lowest standard you set"



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message; then please delete this email.