

GW - 32

## REPORTS

YEAR(S):

1991- RCRA EPA PHASE I

RFI REPORT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
RECEIVED

**GIANT**  
REFINING CO.

'91 SEP 16 AM 9 34

Route 3, Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico  
87301

505  
722-3833

September 12, 1991

Mr. Rich Mayer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region VI  
1445 Ross Avenue Suite 1200  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

RE: Ammendment to Phase I RFI Report

On August 21, 1991, the Phase I RFI Supplemental Report was mailed to your office. The signature was omitted on the certification statement on page 1.5. The attachment has been signed and dated to correspond with the mailing date. Please insert this attachment in your document.

I apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Thank you,

  
Claud Rosendale  
Environmental Manager  
Ciniza Refinery

cc w/enclosure - David Boyer - Director  
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Richard Mitzelfelt - Director  
New Mexico Environment Department

Linda Carleson - Head Librarian  
Gallup Public Library

Kim Bullerdick - Corporate Counsel  
Giant Industries Arizona, Inc.

File  
Giant Refining

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to be the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Claud Rosendale  
Claud Rosendale, Environmental Manager

August 21, 1991  
Date



August 21, 1991

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
RECEIVED

'91 AUG 30 AM 9 22

Route 3, Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico  
87301

505  
722-3833

Mr. Rich Mayer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region VI  
1445 Ross Avenue Suite 1200  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

RE: Phase I RFI Supplemental Report  
Giant Refining Company  
NMD000333211

Dear Mr. Mayer:

The attached document includes the supplemental sampling data outlined in the Phase I RFI Final Report submitted on April 8, 1991 and the additional requirements outlined in your July 9, 1991 approval letter. Sections 1 through 7 includes data associated with the additional sampling requirements. Section 8 contains Giant's conclusions and recommendations, including Final Remedy Plans (FRP's) for SWMU #8 - Railroad Rack Lagoon and SWMU #10 - Two Sludge Pits. Amendments to the RFI Work Plans are also included to cover the work required by the FRP's.

If you have any questions, contact my office at (505) 722-0217.

Sincerely,

Claud Rosendale  
Environmental Manager  
Ciniza Refinery

cc w/enclosure - David Boyer - Director  
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Richard Mitzelfelt - Director  
New Mexico Environment Department

Linda Carleson - Head Librarian  
Gallup Public Library

Kim Bullerdick - Corporate Counsel  
Giant Industries Arizona, Inc.

File  
Giant Refining

RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION  
PHASE I - SUPPLEMENTAL  
GIANT REFINING COMPANY  
GALLUP, NEW MEXICO  
AUGUST 21, 1991

# PHASE I SUPPLEMENTAL RFI

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page #
Table of Contents . . . . .	i,ii
List of Figures . . . . .	iii,iv
List of Tables . . . . .	v
1.0 Introduction . . . . .	1.0
1.1 General Introduction - Phase I Supplemental . . . . .	1.1
1.2 Sample Numbering System . . . . .	1.4
1.3 Certification . . . . .	1.5
2.0 Quarterly Progress Reports . . . . .	2.0
2.1 February 12, 1991 . . . . .	2.1
2.2 June 20, 1991 . . . . .	2.3
3.0 Project Notifications . . . . .	3.0
3.1 Request for Printing of Public Notice . . . . .	3.1
3.2 Affidavit of Publication . . . . .	3.3
4.0 Sample Collection Data . . . . .	4.0
4.1 Sample Bottle Request . . . . .	4.1
4.2 Status Report Outlining the Sample Numbering System . . . . .	4.18
4.3 Maps Specifying Sample Locations . . . . .	4.19
4.4 SWMU #6, #8 and #10 Specific Sampling Data	
4.4.1 Field Equipment Checklist and Calibration Charts . . . . .	4.23
4.4.2 Data Management Forms . . . . .	4.31
4.4.3 Chain of Custody . . . . .	4.50
5.0 Statistical Information for Samples . . . . .	5.0
5.1 General Review . . . . .	5.1
5.2 Statistical Analysis Methodology . . . . .	5.8
5.3 Notes on Statistical Analysis . . . . .	5.9
5.4 General Background Calculations . . . . .	5.10
5.5 Statistical Comparisons of Background Data to Compliance Data for SWMU #6 . . . . .	5.14
5.6 Statistical Comparisons of Background Data to Compliance Data for SWMU #10 . . . . .	5.15

6.0	Tabulated Analytical Summary . . . . .	6.0
6.1	SWMU #6 - Tank Farm . . . . .	6.1
6.2	SWMU #8 - Railroad Rack Lagoon . . . . .	6.2
6.3	SWMU #10 - Two Sludge Pits . . . . .	6.4
7.0	Original Analytical Data . . . . .	7.0
7.1	SWMU #6 - Tank Farm . . . . .	7.1
7.2	SWMU #8 - Railroad Rack Lagoon . . . . .	7.32
7.3	SWMU #10 - Two Sludge Pits . . . . .	7.47
7.4	SWMU #10 - Composite TCLP Sample . . . . .	7.89
8.0	Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	8.0
8.1	Summary and Recommendations . . . . .	8.1
8.2	SWMU #6 - Tank Farm . . . . .	8.2
8.3	SWMU #8 - Railroad Rack Lagoon . . . . .	8.6
	8.3.1 Final Remedy Plan . . . . .	8.7
	8.3.2 Cost Estimates . . . . .	8.10
	8.3.3. Supplement to Community Relations Plan . . . . .	8.19
	8.3.4 Supplement to Data Management . . . . .	8.20
	8.3.5 Supplement to Safety Execution Plan . . . . .	8.21
8.4	SWMU #9 - Inactive Land Treatment Area and Associated Drainage Ditch . . . . .	8.23
8.5	SWMU #10 - Two Sludge Pits . . . . .	8.24
	8.5.1 Final Remedy Plan . . . . .	8.30
	8.5.2 Cost Estimates . . . . .	8.32
	8.5.3 Supplement to Community Relations Plan . . . . .	8.34
	8.5.4 Supplement to Data Management Plan . . . . .	8.35
	8.5.5 Supplement to Safety Execution Plan . . . . .	8.36

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page #
Figure 1-1 General Location Map, Ciniza Refinery Area . . . . .	1.2
Figure 1-2 Site Map, Ciniza Refinery . . . . .	1.3
Figure 4-1 Site Map, Ciniza Refinery . . . . .	4.19
Figure 4-2 Tank Farm Sample Locations . . . . .	4.20
Figure 4-3 Railroad Rack Lagoon Fan Out Area Sample Locations . . . . .	4.21
Figure 4-4 Sludge Pits Sample Locations. . . . .	4.22
Figure 5-1 Land Treatment Demonstration Vicinity and Site Plan . . . . .	5.3
Figure 5-2 Land Treatment Demonstration Sample Locations - Pre Demo Event - April 1987 . . . . .	5.4
Figure 5-3 Land Treatment Demonstration Sample Locations - Event #5 - April 1988 . . . . .	5.5
Figure 8-1 Tank Farm . . . . .	8.4
Figure 8-2 Tank Farm Sample Locations . . . . .	8.5
Figure 8-3 EZ-80-09-504EP, EZ-80-09-505EP, EZ80-09-506EP Railroad Sewer System . . . . .	8.11
Figure 8-4 Detail A - Sewer Line Tie-in (General). . . . .	8.12
Figure 8-5 Detail B - Sewer Line Tie-in (Detail) . . . . .	8.13
Figure 8-6 Detail C - Sewer Box - Closure . . . . .	8.14

Figure 8-7	Detail D1 - Lead House Tie-in (General) . . . . .	8.15
Figure 8-8	Detail D2 - Lead House Tie-in (Detail). . . . .	8.16
Figure 8-9	Railroad Rack Lagoon Sample Locations . . . . .	8.17
Figure 8-10	Railroad Rack Lagoon Drainage Ditch and Fan Out Area Sample Locations . . . . .	8.18
Figure 8-11	Sludge Pit Sample Locations . . . . .	8.33

LIST OF TABLES

	Page #
Table 5-1 Land Treatment Demonstration Background Analytical - April 1987 . . . . .	5.6
Table 5-2 Land Treatment Demonstration Background Analytical - April 1988 . . . . .	5.7
Table 8-1 SWMU #6 - Tank Farm - Analytical Comparison . . . . .	8.3
Table 8-2 SWMU #10 Analytical Comparisons . . . . .	8.25
Table 8-3 SWMU #10 Analytical Comparisons . . . . .	8.26
Table 8-4 SWMU #10 Analytical Comparisons . . . . .	8.27
Table 8-5 SWUM #10 Analytical Comparisons . . . . .	8.28
Table 8-6 SWMU #10 Analytical Comparisons . . . . .	8.29
Table 8-7 TCLP Constituents . . . . .	8.9

SECTION 1.0  
INTRODUCTION

## INTRODUCTION


### PHASE I SUPPLEMENTAL

This document outlines the specific activities that have been conducted for the Phase I Supplemental requirements for Giant Refining Company. All sampling, analytical and statistical calculations have been completed with the results incorporated in this report.


After review of the initial Phase I sampling data, it was determined that additional sampling would be conducted for SWMU's #6, #8, and #10. All samples were collected by using a backhoe to dig to the start of each sample interval. The hand auger was then used for the sample collection of each six (6) inch interval. All equipment was decontaminated between samples as required by the generic sampling plan. Thirteen additional samples were collected from SWMU #6 to various depths. Nine (9) samples were collected to a depth of eleven (11) to eleven and one half ( $11\frac{1}{2}$ ) feet on May 6 and 7, 1991. After review of this data, three (3) additional samples were collected around Tank #569 on June 18, 1991. Samples were collected at eleven (11), fifteen (15), and sixteen (16) feet respectively for the three (3) borings. Two additional samples were collected from SWMU #8 on May 6 to a depth of seven (7) to seven and one half ( $7\frac{1}{2}$ ) feet. Two additional samples were collected from SWMU #10 on May 8 and 9, 1991. Both samples were collected at the fifteen (15) foot interval. PID readings were taken at the eighteen (18) foot intervals from both borings. An additional boring was made with PID reading taken at both the fifteen (15) and eighteen (18) foot intervals.

All samples were sent to Analytical Technologies, Inc. (ATI) in Mesa, Arizona for final testing. Some of the samples were farmed out to other ATI laboratories to meet required completion dates. All samples were analyzed as required by the generic sampling plan. Section 6 has the analytical data in a tabulated summary form and Section 7 includes copies of all original analytical data.

The statistical analysis and results are included in Section 5. This section outlines the methodology used in determining the background values for the metals and the actual comparisons of the background values to the sample results.




UTM GRID AND 1963 MAGNETIC NORTH  
DECLINATION AT CENTER OF SHEET  
SCALE 1:24000




CONTour INTERVAL 20 FEET  
DOTTED LINES REPRESENT 10-FOOT CONTOURS  
DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL

**Geoscience  
Consultants, Ltd.**


**FIGURE 1-1  
GENERAL LOCATION MAP, CINIZA REFINERY AREA**



CLIENT:	GIANT
DATE:	OCTOBER, 1985
DRAWN BY:	JCH
CHECKED BY:	
REVISED:	
SCALE:	1:24,000



**LEGEND**  
 Evaporation Ponds as identified  
 in Giant Refinery Documents.



**GIANT REFINERY**  
 Gallup, New Mexico

**Applied Earth Sciences**  
 FILE NO. 5202  
 MADE BY: J.G.  
 CHECKED BY: [blank]  
 DATE: 5/15/89

**NAME**  
 GIANT REFINERY  
 Gallup, New Mexico

**FIGURE**  
 1

- 1. Landfill
- 2. Landfill
- 3. Landfill
- 4. Landfill
- 5. Landfill
- 6. Tank Farm
- 7. Burn Pit
- 8. Accretion Basin
- 9. Evaporation Pond
- 10. Evaporation Pond
- 11. Evaporation Pond
- 12. Evaporation Pond
- 13. Evaporation Pond
- 14. Evaporation Pond
- 15. Evaporation Pond
- 16. Evaporation Pond
- 17. Evaporation Pond
- 18. Evaporation Pond
- 19. Evaporation Pond
- 20. Evaporation Pond
- 21. Evaporation Pond
- 22. Evaporation Pond
- 23. Evaporation Pond
- 24. Drainage Ditch
- 25. Sewage Lagoon
- 26. Sewage Lagoon
- 27. Sewage Lagoon
- 28. Sewage Lagoon
- 29. Railroad Rack Lagoon
- 30. Sludge Pit
- 31. Sludge Pit
- 32. Asphalt Pit
- 33. Inactivated Treatment
- 34. Active Lard Treatment
- 35. Inactive Container Storage
- 36. Active Container Storage
- 37. API Separator
- 38. Neutralization Tank
- 39. Secondary Oil Skimmer
- 40. Underground Storage Tanks
- 41. Drainage From Process Area
- 42. Fire Training Area
- 43. Empty Container Storage

## SAMPLE NUMBERING SYSTEM

A unique system was developed for numbering all samples collected during the RCRA Facility Investigation. This numbering system when compared with maps of boring locations assures the ability to pinpoint the exact location of each sample. A description of this sample numbering process is as follows:

<u>Note #</u>	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Sample #</u>	RFI	01	04	V	9.0

Note #1 = Sampling event title  
Note #2 = SWMU number  
Note #3 = Specific boring number in each SWMU  
Note #4 = Type sample  
    V = Vertical  
    A = Angle  
    D = Duplicate  
    E = Equipment rinse  
Note #5 = Beginning depth of sample interval

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

---

Claud Rosendale, Environmental Manager

Date

Section 2.0

Quarterly Progress Reports



February 12, 1991

Route 3, Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico  
87301

505  
722-3833

Mr. Rich Mayer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region VI  
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

RE: RFI Quarterly Progress Report and Phase II Sampling Schedule  
Giant Refining Company  
Permit No. NMD000333211

Dear Mr. Mayer:

The draft report for the Phase I RFI sampling at the Ciniza Refinery was submitted to your office on November 27, 1990. Since that time there has not been any implementation of additional phases of the RFI. However, Giant has received proposals and approved a contractor for the analytical work required on Phase II of the project. Giant has awarded the analytical contract to Analytical Technologies, Inc. (ATI) of Tempe, Arizona. ATI has laboratories in San Diego, Ca., Renton, Wa., Pensacola, Fl., Fort Collins, Co. and Tempe, Az.. They also have an extensive list of laboratory certification including EPA's CLP program (see attached).

Giant Refining Company is submitting the following sampling schedule for Phase II of the RFI for your review and approval:

SWMU #2 - Groundwater

- May 6, 1991: pump MW-4, OW-1, OW-5 and OW-7.
- May 7, 1991: sample MW-4, OW-1, OW-5 and OW-7, pump OW-9 and OW-10 (split samples with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division [OCD]).
- May 8, 1991: sample OW-9 and OW-10 and other wells as required by OCD.
- May 9-10, 1991: Reserved for OCD audit for groundwater discharge plan renewal.

The groundwater samples will be sent to ATI and analyzed for pH, skinner list constituents and background metals.

SWMU #13 - Soil

- May 13, 1991: Samples will be collected at two (2) intervals from four (4) vertical borings. The samples will be sent to ATI and analyzed for skinner list constituents and background metals.

SWMU #2 - Soil

May 14-17, 1991: Samples will be collected at three (3) intervals from twelve (12) vertical borings and six (6) angle borings. The samples will be sent to ATI and analyzed for pH, skinner list constituents, and background metals.

SWMU #1 - Soil

May 20-22, 1991: Samples will be collected at four (4) intervals from four (4) vertical borings and two (2) angle borings. The samples will be sent to ATI and analyzed for EPA 8240 and 8270 priority pollutants and background metals.

Sampling days and specified locations may vary depending on weather, required sampling times, etc.. However, attempts will be made to stay as close to the proposed schedule as possible. Samples must be collected by 2:30 p.m. each day to allow for Federal Express shipment.

Approval of this schedule will allow compliance with the draft report in October and the final report in December.

The attached RFI Workplan approval letter from your office indicates seven (7) groundwater samples will be collected. SWMU #2 of the workplan only list six (6) wells; MW-4, OW-1, OW-5, OW-7, OW-9 and OW-10. Please verify the sampling requirements for six (6) or seven (7) wells. If seven (7) are required, please indicate the seventh well number.

If you have any questions, contact my office at (505) 722-0217.

Sincerely,

*Claud Rosendale*

Claud Rosendale  
Environmental Manager  
Ciniza Refinery

cc w/o attachments: Elizabeth Gordon - New Mexico Environmental  
Improvement Division  
David Boyer - New Mexico Oil Conservation  
Division

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name: *Claud Rosendale/Claud Rosendale* Date: *2-12-91*



June 20, 1991

Route 3, Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico  
87301

505  
722-3833

Rich Mayer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region VI  
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200  
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

RE: QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Dear Mr. Mayer:

Giant Refining Company is submitting this quarterly progress report as required by the May 31, 1990 RFI Workplan approval letter and HSWA Permit, condition C.4, page 11.

All soil and ground water samples required by Phase II of the RFI have been collected and sent to the laboratory for analysis. This included Solid Waste Management Units #1, #2 and #13.

The Phase I supplemental sampling requested by your March 19, 1991 memo and outlined in the Phase I Final Report has also been completed. The initial results were reviewed and as a result of elevated volatile concentrations, Giant collected additional samples around Tank 569.

The reports for the supplemental sampling of Phase I and Phase II draft report will be submitted as scheduled.

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Sincerely,

  
Claud Rosendale  
Environmental Manager  
Ciniza Refinery

cc: John Stokes - Refinery Manager, Giant Refining Company  
Kim Bullerdick - General Counsel  
Giant Industries Arizona, Inc.

Section 3.0  
Project Notifications



Route 3, Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico  
87301

505  
722-3833

March 25, 1991

Barbara Garrett  
Legal Department  
Gallup Independent  
P.O. Box 1210  
Gallup, New Mexico 87305

RE: PUBLIC NOTICE

Dear Ms. Garrett:

Please print the enclosed public notice in the Gallup Independent at the earliest possible date. The purchase order number is 01784.

If you have any questions, contact my office at (505) 722-0217.

Sincerely,

Claud Rosendale  
Environmental Manager  
Ciniza Refinery

Enclosures

CCR/sp



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

GCMS - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8240

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE II RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105784  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/21/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/26/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
CARBON DISULFIDE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<0.5
BENZENE	<0.5
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLEETHER	<0.5
TOLUENE	<0.5
CHLOROBENZENE	<0.5
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5
STYRENE	<0.5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5
1,4-DIOXANE	<7.5
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	<0.25

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	102
BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	106
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	99

PUBLIC NOTICE FOR GIANT REFINING COMPANY'S  
RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION

ADDRESS: Giant Refining Company  
Ciniza Refinery  
Route 3 Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico 87301

LOCATION: I-40 Exit 39  
Jamestown, New Mexico 87347  
Sections 28 and 33  
Township 15 North  
Range 15 West  
New Mexico Prime Meridian

The RCRA Facility Investigation consist of collecting soil and water samples from various locations around the facility and analyzing them for specific parameters to determine if contamination exists. This investigation has been divided into three phases. The EPA approved workplan for the investigation and the report submitted to the EPA for Phase I of the investigation is available for public review at the Gallup Public Library, 115 West Hill, Gallup, New Mexico. Phase II of the RFI Workplan will begin on April 29, 1991. All comments should be addressed to:

Ciniza Refinery  
Attn: Claud Rosendale  
Route 3 Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico 87301

# Affidavit of Publication

STATE OF NEW MEXICO,  
COUNTY OF MCKINLEY ) ss

Barbara Garrett

being duly sworn upon

oath, deposes and says:

As Legal Clerk of the Gallup

Independent, a newspaper published in and having a general circulation in McKinley County, New Mexico, and in the City of Gallup, therein: that this affiant makes this affidavit based upon personal knowledge of the facts herein sworn to. That the publication, a copy of which is hereto attached was published in said newspaper during the period and time of publication and said notice was published in the newspaper proper, and not in a supplement thereof,

for One (1) Time, the first publication being on the

28th day of March, 1991 the

second publication being on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of

\_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_ the third publication

on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_\_

and the last publication being on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of

\_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_\_

That such newspaper, in which such notice or advertisement was published, is now and has been at all times material hereto, duly qualified for such purpose, and to publish legal notices and advertisements within the meaning of Chapter 12, of the statutes of the State of New Mexico, 1941 compilation.

Barbara Garrett

Affiant.

Sworn and subscribed to before me this 1st day of

April

A.D., 1991

Linda Kay Delano

Notary Public.

My commission expires

8-29-93

LEGAL NOTICE  
JAMESTOWN, MCKINLEY COUNTY,  
NEW MEXICO

PUBLIC NOTICE FOR  
GIANT REFINING COMPANY'S  
RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION

ADDRESS:  
Giant Refining Company  
Ciniza Refinery  
Route 3 Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico 87301

LOCATION:  
I-40 Exit 39  
Jamestown, New Mexico 87347  
Sections 28 and 33  
Township 15 North  
Range 15 West  
New Mexico Prime Meridian

The RCRA Facility Investigation consist of collecting soil and water samples from various locations around the facility and analyzing them for specific parameters to determine if contamination exists. This investigation has been divided into three phases. The EPA approved workplan for the investigation and the report submitted to the EPA for Phase I of the investigation is available for public review at the Gallup Public Library, 115 West Hill, Gallup, New Mexico. Phase II of the RFI Workplan will begin on April 20, 1991. All comments should be addressed to:

Ciniza Refinery  
Attn: Claud Rosendale  
Route 3 Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico 87301

Legal #6711 published in the Independent  
March 28, 1991.

Section 4.0  
Sample Collection Data

April 17, 1991

Route 3, Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico  
87301

505  
722-3833

Elizabeth Proffitt  
Analytical Technologies, Inc.  
9830 South 51st Street  
Suite B-113  
Phoenix, Arizona 85044

RE: Sample Bottle Request

Dear Ms. Proffitt:

Giant Refining Company's, Ciniza Refinery, will begin the 1991 RCRA Facility Investigation sampling on April 29, 1991. There have been some slight modifications and additions to the original analytical requests. Please review the following requests and attachments and have the sample bottles delivered to the Ciniza Refinery on the dates requested for each phase.

PHASE II Groundwater Sampling - SWMU #2

A. 12-5-1990 Request Section II.C

Please send sample bottles for water samples to include:

1. 7 wells (MW-4, OW-1, OW-2, OW-5, OW-7, OW-9 and OW-10)
2. 1 duplicate well sample
3. 2 equipment blanks
4. 2 trip blanks

Each of these samples should be analyzed for:

1. pH
2. Skinner List (See Table 4)
3. Background Metals (See Table 1)

B. Oil Conservation Division Sampling

Send sample bottles for water samples (possibly contaminated with hydrocarbons) for:

1. 3 wells (OW-16, OW-25 and OW-26)
2. 1 trip blank

Each of these samples should be analyzed for:

1. General Inorganics (See Table 5)
2. Dissolved Metals (See Table 6)
3. Aromatic Volatile Organics (See Table 7)
4. Halogenated Volatile Organics (See Table 8)
5. Appendix IX Semivolatiles Organics (See Table 9)

Deliver the sample bottles for items A and B, to the Ciniza Refinery with an April 25, 1991 arrival date.

C. Phase I Supplemental Sampling

1. SWMU #6

Send sample bottles for soils to include:

- a) <sup>10</sup> soils
- b) 1 duplicate (soil)
- c) 1 equipment blank (liquid)
- d) ~~2~~ trip blank (liquid)

Each of these samples should be analyzed for:

- a) ~~8~~ 7 samples to be analyzed for BTEX (Method 8020)
- b) 1 sample to be analyzed for BTEX (Method 8020) and Lead (Method 6010)
- c) 1 sample to be analyzed for BTEX (Method 8020), Lead and Nickel (Method 6010)

2. SWMU #8

Send sample bottles for soils to include:

- a) 2 soils
- b) 1 duplicate (soil)
- c) ~~1 trip blank (liquid)~~

Each of these samples should be analyzed for:

- a) Priority Pollutants - Method 8270 (See Table 4)

3. SWMU #10

Send sample bottles for soils to include:

- a) 3 soils
- b) 1 duplicate (soil)
- c) 1 equipment blank (liquid)
- d) 1 trip blank (liquid)

Each of these samples should be analyzed for:

- a) Priority Pollutants - Method 8240 (See Table 2)
- b) Priority Pollutants - Method 8270 (See Table 3)
- c) Metals - Chromium, Copper, Lead, Zinc - Method 6010

Assure that all sample bottles for item C are delivered to Ciniza no later than May 2, 1991.

D. Phase II RFI Soil Samples

1. SWMU #2

Send sample bottles for soil samples to include:

- a) 54 soils

- b) 3 duplicates (soils)
- c) 2 equipment blanks (liquids)
- d) 3 trip blanks (liquids)

Each of these samples should be analyzed for:

- a) pH
- b) Skinner List (See Table 4)
- c) Background Metals (See Table 1)

2. SWMU #13

Send sample bottles for soil samples to include:

- a) 8 soils
- b) 1 duplicate (soil)
- c) 1 equipment blank (liquid)
- d) 1 trip blank (liquid)

Each of these samples should be analyzed for:

- a) Skinner List (See Table 4)

3. SWMU #1

Send sample bottles for soil samples to include:

- a) 24 soils
- b) 2 duplicates (soils)
- c) 2 equipment blanks (liquids)
- d) 3 trip blanks (liquids)

Each of the samples should be analyzed for:

- a) Priority Pollutants - Method 8240 (See Table 2)
- b) Priority Pollutants - Method 8270 (See Table 3)
- c) Background Metals (See Table 1)

Assure that all sample bottles for item D are delivered to Ciniza no later than May 8, 1991.

If you have any questions, contact my office at (505) 722-0217.

Sincerely,



Claud Rosendale  
Environmental Manager  
Ciniza Refinery

enclosures

TABLE -1  
BACKGROUND METALS

Total Metals

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Reporting Limit mg/kg</u>
Antimony	6010	6.0
Arsenic	7060	0.5
Barium	6010	1.0
Beryllium	6010	0.2
Cadmium	6010	0.5
Chromium	6010	1.0
Cobalt	6010	1.0
Copper	6010	2.0
Lead	6010	5.0
Mercury	7471	0.2
Nickel	6010	4.0
Potassium	6010	500
Selenium	7740	0.5
Vanadium	6010	1.0
Zinc	6010	2.0

TABLE-2  
PRIORITY POLLUTANT VOLATILES

Method 8240

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Reporting Limits ug/kg</u>
Acetone	5,000
Acrolein	10,000
Acrylonitrile	10,000
Benzene	500
Bromodichloromethane	500
Bromoform	500
Bromomethane(methyl bromide)	1,000
Carbon disulfide	500
Carbon tetrachloride	500
Chlorobenzene	500
Chlorodibromoethane	500
Chloroethane	1,000
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	1,000
Chloroform	500
Chloromethane	1,000
Dibromomethane	500
1,4 - Dichloro-2-butane	-
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	500
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2,000
1,1-Dichloroethane	500
1,2-Dichloroethane	500
1,1-Dichloroethylene	500
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	500
1,2-Dichloropropane	500
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	500
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	500
Ethanol	10,000
Ethyl benzene	500
Ethyl methacrylate	1,000
2-Hexanone	1,000
Iodomethane	500
Methylene Chloride	500
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	1,000
Methyl isobutyl ketone (4-methyl-2-pentanone)	1,000
Styrene	500
1,1,2,2,-Tetrachloroethane	500
Tetrachloroethylene	500
Toluene	500
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	500
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	500
Trichloroethylene	500
Trichlorofluoromethane	500
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	500
Vinyl acetate	1,000
Vinyl chloride	1,000
Xylenes	500

TABLE-3  
PRIORITY POLLUTANT SEMIVOLATILES

<u>Parameter</u>	Method 8270	<u>Reporting Limits ug/kg</u>
Acenaphthene		5,000
Acenaphthylene		5,000
Acetophenone		5,000
4-Aminobiphenyl		-
Aniline		5,000
Anthracene		5,000
Benzidine		50,000
Benzoic Acid		5,000
Benzo(a)anthracene		5,000
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		5,000
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		5,000
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		5,000
Benzo(a)pyrene		5,000
Benzyl alcohol		5,000
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane		5,000
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether		5,000
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether [bis(2-chloro-1 methylethyl)ether]		5,000
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate		5,000
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether		5,000
Butyl benzyl phthalate		5,000
4-Chloroaniline		5,000
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol		5,000
1-Chloronaphthane		5,000
2-Chloronaphthane		5,000
2-Chlorophenol		5,000
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether		5,000
Chrysene		5,000
Dibenzo(a,j)acridine		-
Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene		5,000
Dibenzo furans(tetrachloro, pentachloro, hexachloro)		-
Di-n-butylphthalate		5,000
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		5,000
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		5,000
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		5,000
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine		10,000
2,4-Dichlorophenol		5,000
2,6-Dichlorophenol -		5,000
Diethyl phthalate		5,000
p(Dimethylamino)azobenzene		5,000
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene		5,000
aa-Demethylphenethylamine		5,000
2,4-Dimethylphenol		5,000
Dimethyl phthalate		5,000
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol		25,000
2,4-Dinitrophenol		25,000
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		5,000

TABLE-3 Continued

2,6-Dinitrotoluene	5,000
Di-n-octyl phthalate	5,000
Diphenylamine	5,000
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	5,000
Ethyl methanesulfonate	5,000
Fluoranthene	5,000
Flourene	5,000
Hexachlorobenzene	5,000
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	5,000
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	5,000
Hexachloroethane	5,000
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5,000
Isophorene	5,000
3-Methylcholanthrene	5,000
Methyl methanesulfonate	5,000
2-Methylnaphthalene	5,000
2-Methylphenol	5,000
3-Methylphenol	5,000
4-Methylphenol	5,000
Naphthalene	5,000
1-Naphthylamine	5,000
2-Naphthylamine	5,000
2-Nitroaniline	25,000
3-Nitroaniline	25,000
4-Nitroaniline	25,000
Nitrobenzene	5,000
2-Nitrophenol	5,000
4-Nitrophenol	25,000
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	5,000
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	5,000
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	5,000
N-Nitrosopiperidine	5,000
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	5,000
Pentachlorobenzene	5,000
Pentachloronitrobenzene	25,000
Pentachlorophenol	25,000
Phenacetin	5,000
Phenanthrene	5,000
Phenol	5,000
2-Picoline	5,000
Pronamide	5,000
Pyrene	5,000
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	5,000
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	25,000
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	5,000
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	25,000
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5,000

TABLE-4  
SKINNER LIST

METHOD 8240

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Reporting Limit (ug/kg)</u>
Benzene	500
Carbon disulfide	500
Chlorobenzene	500
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	1,000
1,2-Dibromomethane	1,000
1,2-Dichloroethane	500
1,4-Dioxane	50,000
Ethyl Benzene	500
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-butanone)	1,000
Styrene	500
Toluene	500
Xylenes	500

METHOD 8270

Anthracene	5,000
Benzenethiol	-
Benzo(a)anthracene	5,000
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5,000
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5,000
Benzo(a)pyrene	5,000
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	5,000
Butyl benzyl phthalate	5,000
Chrysene	5,000
Dibenzo(a,X)acridine	-
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5,000
Di-n-butylphthalate	5,000
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
Diethyl phthalate	5,000
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	5,000
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5,000
Dimethyl phthalate	5,000
2,4-Dinitrophenol	25,000
Di-n-octyl phthalate	5,000
Fluoranthene	5,000
Indene	5,000
Methylchrysene	-
1-Methylnaphthalene	5,000
2-Methylphenol	5,000
3-Methylphenol	5,000
4-Methylphenol	5,000
Naphthalene	5,000
4-Nitrophenol	25,000
Phenanthrene	5,000

TABLE-4 Continued

Phenol.	5,000
Pyrene	5,000
Pyridine	10,000
Quinoline	25,000

Table 5

GIANT REFINING GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

General Inorganics

Parameter	Units	Reporting Limit
Alkalinity, Total as CaCO3 at pH 4.5	mg/L	5.0
Alkalinity, Bicarb. as CaCO3 at pH 4.5	mg/L	5.0
Alkalinity, Carb. as CaCO3 at pH 8.3	mg/L	5.0
Alkalinity, Hydrox. as CaCO3	mg/L	5.0
Chloride	mg/L	3.0
pH	units	--
Phenolics	mg/L	0.010
Sulfate	mg/L	5.0
Specific Conductance at 25 deg.C	umhos/c	1.0
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	10.0

Table 6

GIANT REFINING GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

METALS  
DISSOLVED METALS

Parameter	Units	Reporting Limit
Arsenic	mg/L	0.0050
Barium	mg/L	0.010
Cadmium	mg/L	0.0050
Calcium	mg/L	0.20 (?)
Chromium	mg/L	0.010
Lead	mg/L	0.010
Manganese	mg/L	0.010
Selenium	mg/L	0.0050
Silver	mg/L	0.010
Sodium	mg/L	5.0

Table 7

GIANT REFINING GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Parameter	Units	Reporting Limit
Benzene	ug/L	0.50
Toluene	ug/L	0.50
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	0.50
Ethyl benzene	ug/L	0.50
Total xylenes	ug/L	1.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.50
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.50
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.50

Table 8

GIANT REFINING GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

Halogenated Volatile Organics

Parameter	Units	Reporting Limit
Chloromethane	ug/L	5.0
Bromomethane	ug/L	5.0
Vinyl chloride	ug/L	1.0
Chloroethane	ug/L	5.0
Methylene chloride	ug/L	5.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.50
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	0.50
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	1.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.50
Chloroform	ug/L	0.50
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	0.50
Carbon tetrachloride	ug/L	0.50
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	1.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	1.0
Bromoform	ug/L	5.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	1.0
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	0.50
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	2.0

Table 9

GIANT REFINING GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

APPENDIX IX SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS

Parameter	nits	Reporting Limit
Acenaphthene	ug/L	10
Acenaphthylene	ug/L	10
Acetophenone	ug/L	10
2-Acetylaminofluorene	ug/L	10
4-Aminobiphenyl	ug/L	10
Aniline	ug/L	10
Anthracene	ug/L	10
Aramite	ug/L	10
Benzo(a)anthracene	ug/L	10
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L	10
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L	10
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/L	10
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L	10
Benzyl alcohol	ug/L	10
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)-methane	ug/L	10
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ug/L	10
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl) ether	ug/L	10
bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	ug/L	10
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ug/L	10
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ug/L	10
2sec-Butyl-4,6-dinitrophenol (Dinoseb)	ug/L	10
4-Chloroaniline	ug/L	10
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ug/L	10
2-Chloronaphthalene	ug/L	10
2-Chlorophenol	ug/L	10
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ug/L	10
o-Cresol	ug/L	10
m & p-Cresol(s)	ug/L	10
Chrysene	ug/L	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/L	10
Dibenzofuran	ug/L	10
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/L	10
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	10
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	10
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	10

Table 9 cont.

GIANT REFINING GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

APPENDIX IX SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS

Parameter	Units	Reporting Limit
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ug/L	20
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ug/L	10
2,6-Dichlorophenol	ug/L	10
Diethyl phthalate	ug/L	10
Dimethoate	ug/L	10
p-Dimethylaminoazobenzene	ug/L	10
7,12-Dimethylbenz-anthracene	ug/L	10
3,3'-Dimethylbenzidine	ug/L	10
a,a-Dimethylphenethylamine	ug/L	10
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/L	10
Dimethyl phthalate	ug/L	10
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	ug/L	10
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ug/L	10
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ug/L	50
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/L	50
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ug/L	10
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ug/L	10
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ug/L	10
Diphenylamine	ug/L	10
Disulfoton	ug/L	50
bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	ug/L	10
Ethyl methanesulfonate	ug/L	10
Famphur	ug/L	--
Flouranthene	ug/L	10
Flourene	ug/L	10
Hexachlorobenzene	ug/L	10
Hexachlorobutadiene	ug/L	10
Hexachlororcyclopentadiene	ug/L	10
Hexachloroethane	ug/L	--
Hexachlorophene	ug/L	10
Hexachloropropene	ug/L	10
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	ug/L	10
Isophorone	ug/L	10
Isosafrole	ug/L	20
Methapyrilene	ug/L	10
3-Methylcholanthrene	ug/L	10
Methyl methanesulfonate	ug/L	10
2-Methylnaphthalen	ug/L	10
Methyl parathion	ug/L	50
2-Methylphenol	ug/L	10
3/4-Methylphenol	ug/L	10
Methyl methacrylate	ug/L	10
Napthalene	ug/L	10

Table 9 Cont.

GIANT REFINING GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

APPENDIX IX SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS

Parameter	Units	Reporting Limit
1,4-Naphthaquinone	ug/L	10
1-Naphthylamine	ug/L	10
2-Naphthylamine	ug/L	10
2-Nitroaniline	ug/L	50
3-Nitroaniline	ug/L	50
4-Nitroaniline	ug/L	50
Nitrobenzene	ug/L	10
2-Nitrophenol	ug/L	10
4-Nitrophenol	ug/L	50
4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide	ug/L	--
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	ug/L	10
N-Nitrosodiethylamine	ug/L	10
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ug/L	10
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ug/L	10
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ug/L	10
N-Nitrosomethylethylamine	ug/L	10
N-Nitrosomorpholine	ug/L	10
N-Nitrosopiperidine	ug/L	10
5-Nitro-o-toluidine	ug/L	10
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	ug/L	10
Parathion	ug/L	50
Pentachlorobenzene	ug/L	10
Pentachlorethane	ug/L	10
Pentachloronitrobenzene	ug/L	50
Pentachlorophenol	ug/L	50
Phenacetin	ug/L	10
Phenanthrene	ug/L	10
Phenol	ug/L	10
4-Phenylenediamine	ug/L	--
Phorate	ug/L	100
2-Picoline	ug/L	10
Pronamide	ug/L	10
Pyrene	ug/L	10
Pyridine	ug/L	20
Safrole	ug/L	10
Sulfotepp	ug/L	50
1,2,4,5-Tetrachloro- benzene	ug/L	10
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ug/L	50
Thionazin	ug/L	50

Table 9 Cont.

GIANT REFINING GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

APPENDIX IX SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS

Parameter	Units	Reporting Limit
sym-Trinitrobenzene	ug/L	10
2-Toluidine	ug/L	10
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/L	10
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ug/L	50
0,0,0-Triethylphosphorothioate	ug/L	10
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/L	10
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	ug/L	10
Ethyl methacrylate	ug/L	10



July 9, 1990

Route 3, Box 7  
Gallup, New Mexico  
87301

Rich Mayer  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 6  
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200  
Dallas, TX 75202-2733

505  
722-3833

RE: Status Report for Giant Refinery RFI

Dear Mr. Mayer:

The RCRA Facility Investigation Phase I sampling for Giant Refining Company's Ciniza Refinery was completed on July 5, 1990. All soil samples for SWMU's #6, #8, #9, and #10 have been collected and received at the contract laboratory. The only liquid required for this phase of the sampling was from the railroad rack lagoon if drainage was occurring. However, no drainage was occurring, therefore no sample was collected at this time. A sample may be collected from the lagoon at a later date to assure possible transfer of this liquid to the facility API Separator.

All sample points and corresponding sample numbers are specified on the attachments. A description of the sample numbering process is as follows:


$\frac{1}{RFI} \frac{2}{08} \frac{3}{06} \frac{4}{V} \frac{5}{0.0}$

- #1 = Sampling event
- #2 = SWMU number
- #3 = Specific sample hole number in each SWMU
- #4 = Type sample
  - V = Vertical
  - A = Angle
  - D = Duplicate
  - E = Equipment rinse
- #5 = Beginning depth of sample interval

The draft report will follow as required by the approved schedule.


Claud Rosendale  
Environmental Manager  
Ciniza Refinery

cc: w/attachments:  
John Stokes - Refinery Manager; Giant Refining Co.  
Kim Bullerdick- Corporate Counsel; Giant Ind. Inc.






**LEGEND**

① - ⑫ Evaporation Ponds as Identified In Giant Refinery Documents.




- 1. Landfill
- 2. Landfill
- 3. Landfill
- 4. Landfill
- 5. Landfill
- 6. Tank Farm
- 7. Burn Pit
- 8. Aeration Basin
- 9. Evaporation Pond
- 10. Evaporation Pond
- 11. Evaporation Pond
- 12. Evaporation Pond
- 13. Evaporation Pond
- 14. Evaporation Pond
- 15. Evaporation Pond
- 16. Evaporation Pond
- 17. Evaporation Pond
- 18. Evaporation Pond
- 19. Evaporation Pond
- 20. Evaporation Pond
- 21. Evaporation Pond
- 22. Evaporation Pond
- 23. Evaporation Pond
- 24. Drainage Ditch
- 25. Sewage Lagoon
- 26. Sewage Lagoon
- 27. Sewage Lagoon
- 28. Sewage Lagoon
- 29. Railroad Rack Lagoon
- 30. Sludge Pit
- 31. Sludge Pit
- 32. Asphalt Pit
- 33. Inactive Lead Treatment
- 34. Active Lead Treatment
- 35. Inactive Containmer Storage
- 36. Active Containmer Storage
- 37. API Separator
- 38. Immobilization Tank
- 39. Secondary Oil Skimmer
- 40. Unburgeoned Storage Tanks
- 41. Drainage From Process Area
- 42. Fire Training Area
- 43. Empty Containmer Storage

Applied Earth Sciences  
 FILE NO. 5202  
 MADE BY: A.G.  
 CHECKED BY:  
 DATE: 5-15-97  
 NAME  
 GIANT REFINERY  
 Gallup, New Mexico  
 FIGURE  
 1




<b>Applied Earth Sciences</b> FILE NO. <b>5202</b>		NAME <b>GIANT REFINERY</b> <b>Gallup, New Mexico</b>
MADE BY: <b>R. G.</b>	DATE: <b>12-6-39</b>	
CHECKED BY:	DATE:	





**LEGEND**

● Soil Borings Locations



**GIANT REFINERY**  
Gulup, New Mexico

Sludge Pits

— 2500 W

— 8500 N

TABLE 2

May 6, 1991

Field Equipment Checklist  
Soil and Sludge Sampling

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>REMARKS</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PID Meter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrated
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Specific SWMU Work Plan	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generic Sampling Plan	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Map With Sample Locations	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sample Bottles	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ice Chests	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trip Blanks	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methanol	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deionized Water	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Squeeze Bottles	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal Protective Equipment	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chain of Custody and Sample Record Forms	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastic Bags (To provide clean surfaces)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disposable Gloves	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paper Towels	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tape (For labels and dispenser)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sharpie, Pens, Pencils	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blue Ice or Ice	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Zip-Lock Bags, 1 Gallon	

TABLE 2

5-7-91

Field Equipment Checklist  
Soil and Sludge Sampling

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>REMARKS</u>
✓ PID Meter	✓ Calibrated
✓ Site Specific SWMU Work Plan	
✓ Generic Sampling Plan	
✓ Site Map With Sample Locations	
✓ Sample Bottles	
✓ Ice Chests	
✓ Trip Blanks	
✓ Methanol	
✓ Deionized Water	
✓ Squeeze Bottles	
✓ Personal Protective Equipment	
✓ Chain of Custody and Sample Record Forms	
✓ Plastic Bags (To provide clean surfaces)	
✓ Disposable Gloves	
✓ Paper Towels	
✓ Tape (For labels and dispenser)	
✓ Sharpie, Pens, Pencils	
✓ Blue Ice or Ice	
✓ Zip-Lock Bags, 1 Gallon	

TABLE 2.

Field Equipment Checklist  
Soil and Sludge Sampling

5-8-91

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>REMARKS</u>
/ PID Meter	/ Calibrated
/ Site Specific SWMU Work Plan	
/ Generic Sampling Plan	
/ Site Map With Sample Locations	
/ Sample Bottles	
/ Ice Chests	
/ Trip Blanks	
/ Methanol	
/ Deionized Water	
/ Squeeze Bottles	
/ Personal Protective Equipment	
/ Chain of Custody and Sample Record Forms	
/ Plastic Bags (To provide clean surfaces)	
/ Disposable Gloves	
/ Paper Towels	
/ Tape (For labels and dispenser)	
/ Sharpie, Pens, Pencils	
/ Blue Ice or Ice	
/ Zip-Lock Bags, 1 Gallon	

6-18-91

TABLE 2

Field Equipment Checklist  
Soil and Sludge Sampling

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>REMARKS</u>
✓ PID Meter	_____ Calibrated
✓ Site Specific SWMU Work Plan	
✓ Generic Sampling Plan	
✓ Site Map With Sample Locations	
✓ Sample Bottles	
✓ Ice Chests	
✓ Trip Blanks	
✓ Methanol	
✓ Deionized Water	
✓ Squeeze Bottles	
✓ Personal Protective Equipment	
✓ Chain of Custody and Sample Record Forms	
✓ Plastic Bags (To provide clean surfaces)	
✓ Disposable Gloves	
✓ Paper Towels	
✓ Tape (For labels and dispenser)	
✓ Sharpie, Pens, Pencils	
✓ Blue Ice or Ice	
✓ Zip-Lock Bags, 1 Gallon	

INSTRUMENT	DATE	TIME	STD	READING	STD	READING	STD	READING	OPERATOR
HNL	5-6	8:15	54	55	54	54			L. Sultan

INSTRUMENT	DATE	TIME	STD	READING	STD	READING	STD	READING	OPERATOR
HNV	57	8:10	54	52	54	52			L. Johnson

INSTRUMENT	DATE	TIME	STD	READING	STD	READING	STD	READING	OPERATOR
HWU	5-8	1:00	54	54	54	54			J. L. ...

INSTRUMENT | DATE | TIME | STD | READING | STD | READING | STD | READING | OPERATOR |

INSTRUMENT	DATE	TIME	STD	READING	STD	READING	STD	READING	OPERATOR
HNU	6-18	8:15	54	56	54	56			L. DeKor

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #6 TK 451 Sample Date: 5-7-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0621V11.0 Sample Time/Description: 10:20 AM SAND + ROCK WITH SOME CLAY; VERY WET

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, NW WIND 5-10 MPH

General Field Observations: VERY ROCKY @ SAMPLE DEPTH.  
PID - 10

Boring Lithology: 4' MIXED SAND + CLAY, 2' RED CLAY WITH GRAY MARBLING, 5' MIXED SAND + ROCK WITH SOME RED CLAY

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #6 TK 453 Sample Date: 5-7-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0622 VII.0 Sample Time/Description: 11:05 SANDY CLAY, MOIST

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, 15 MPH W-NW WIND

General Field Observations: PID - 8

Boring Lithology: MIXED SOIL TO 2'. BROKEN SANDSTONE + CLAY TO 4'. MIXED CLAY AND SAND TO 11'. MOIST.

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU # 6 TK 567 Sample Date: 5-8-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0623V11.0 Sample Time/Description: 8:20 AM SAND / CLAY  
W/SOME SMALL ROCKS. PICKED OUT LARGE ROCKS.

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, SLIGHT BREEZE.

General Field Observations: PID - 31

Boring Lithology: MIXED SURFACE SOIL TO 6'. SOLID  
RED CLAY TO 8'. 8'-11' MIXED CLAY, SAND AND  
PEA GRAVEL.

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWM0#6 TNC 568 Sample Date: 5-6-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON, J. COSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0624V11.0 Sample Time/Description: 10:00 AM

Sample No. 0624D11.0 Sample Time/Description: 10:00 AM

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: PARTLY CLOUDY, 10 MPH E WIND, DRY

General Field Observations: PID - 1.3

Boring Lithology: SANDY CLAY TO 12", RED CLAY TO FULL DEPTH

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #6 TK 569 Sample Date: 5-7-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0625 VII.D Sample Time/Description: 9:20 AM SANDY, CLAY - DAM  
BTEX

Sample No. 0625 VII.D Sample Time/Description: 9:20 AM SANDY CLAY  
METALS

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, 5 mph N WIND

General Field Observations: PID - 45

Boring Lithology: 2' MIXED SOIL. SANDY CLAY TO 11'.

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #6 TK 569 Sample Date: 5-7-91

Sample Type: SOIL + WATER

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0626V11.0 Sample Time/Description: 8:50 AM RED CLAY - DAMP

Sample No. 062511.0 Sample Time/Description: 9:00 AM EQUIPMENT WASH

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, 5 MPH N WIND.

General Field Observations: PID - 28

Boring Lithology: MIXED SOIL TO 5'6". RED CLAY TO 11'.

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #6 TIC 570 Sample Date: 5-6-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON, J. GOSS, W. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0627V11.0 Sample Time/Description: 10:45 AM RED CLAY

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: PARTLY CLOUDY, DRY, 10 mph E WIND

General Field Observations: PID - 23

Boring Lithology: MIXED SOIL TO 7'. RED-GRAY CLAY TO 11'. ~~B~~

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SDOMD #6 TK 571

Sample Date: 5-6-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON, J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ.

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0628V11.0 Sample Time/Description: 11:40 AM DARK SAND  
(

Sample No. 0628V11.0 Sample Time/Description: 11:40 AM DARK SAND  
(METALS)

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: PARTLY CLOUDY, DRY, 5MPH SE WIND

General Field Observations: PID-

Boring Lithology: MIXED SOIL FOR 6-7', RED CLAY TO  
9 1/2', SAND TO 11'

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU # 6 TK572 Sample Date: 5-6-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON, J. COSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0629VH.D Sample Time/Description: 11:15 Am DARK SAND

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: PARTLY CLOUDY, DRY, 5 MPH SE WIND

General Field Observations: PID - 7

Boring Lithology: MIKED SOIL TO 8'. RED CLAY 8'-10'.  
SAND 10'-11'.

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #6 MKTG TK 3 Sample Date: 5-7-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0630V11.0 Sample Time/Description: 11:45AM TIGHT MOIST CLAY

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, 10-15 MPH NW WINDS

General Field Observations: PID - 12

Boring Lithology: 2' RED CLAY. 2' MIXED SOIL. TIGHT MOIST CLAY TO 11'.

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #6

Sample Date: 6-18-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON, J. GOSS

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0631V16.0 Sample Time/Description: 8:45 AM SOIL

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND INSIDE DIKE OF TK 569

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, SSE BREEZE @ 5mph

General Field Observations: \_\_\_\_\_

Boring Lithology: 0-4' MIXED SAND & CLAY. 4-7' ALTERNATE LAYERS OF CLAY AND SAND. DISTINCT COLORATION CHANGES. 7-14' - RED CLAY. 14-16' RED CLAY WITH SOME LIGHTER DISCOLORATION.

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: ~~11A~~ SWMW #6 Sample Date: 6-18-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0632 VII.0 Sample Time/Description: 12:40 PM SOIL

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND INSIDE DIKE OF TK 569

Weather Conditions: CLEAR TO PARTLY CLOUDY, DRY, EAST WIND 10-15 MPH

General Field Observations: \_\_\_\_\_

Boring Lithology: 0-6' CLAY/SAND MIXTURE 6'-11' - RED CLAY

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #6 Sample Date: 6-18-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON, J. GOSS

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 0632 V15.0 Sample Time/Description: 10:50 AM SOIL

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: BARE GROUND INSIDE DYKE OF TK 569

Weather Conditions: PARTLY CLOUDY, DRY, E-SE BREEZE  
5-10 MPH

General Field Observations: \_\_\_\_\_

Boring Lithology: 0-6' CLAY/SAND MIX. 6-10' - RED CLAY  
W/ TRACE OF SAND. 10-15' RED/GRAY CLAY W/ TRACE  
OF SAND

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU # 9 Sample Date: 5-6-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON, J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. BE10814V70 Sample Time/Description: 8:40 AM DAMP, DARK BROWN SOIL

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: FLAT, OPEN BARE GROUND SURROUNDED BY 2' BRUSH + WEEDS

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, 10 MPH EAST BREEZE, 45°

General Field Observations: PID - 0.2

Boring Lithology: SOIL WAS CONSISTENT TO TOTAL DEPTH

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #8 Sample Date: 5-6-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: C. ROSENDALE

Sample Personnel: L. SHELTON, J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. RF108157.0 Sample Time/Description: 9:00 AM BROWN CLAY, DAMP

Sample No. RF108158.0 Sample Time/Description: 9:00 AM BROWN CLAY, DAMP

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: FLAT, OPEN BARE GROUND SURROUNDED BY 2' BRUSH & WEEDS

Weather Conditions: PARTLY CLOUDY, 10MPH E WIND, DRY, 45°

General Field Observations: PID - Ø

Boring Lithology: BROWN/GRAY-BLACK MARBLING TO 2'. 1' SOLID BROWN. GRAY/BROWN MARBLING TO 7' DEPTH

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU # 10

Sample Date: 5-8-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 1006V15.0 Sample Time/Description: 1:10 PM MOIST RED CLAY  
PID -  $\emptyset$

Sample No. 1006D15.0 Sample Time/Description: 1:10 PM MOIST RED CLAY  
PID - 1.2

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: FLAT GROUND, SOME SCATTERED VEGETATION 6" HIGH

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, 5 MPH SW WIND

General Field Observations: SLUDGE DEPOSITS SEEM TO BE  
RANDOM.

Top 4' dug out with tractor bucket then the back hoe was  
used to dig to 18'

PID @ 18' -  $\emptyset$

Boring Lithology: 0'-4' - RED CLAY + SAND 4'-10' - OIL +  
GREASE / DIRT MIXTURE. 10'-11' CLAY + SAND. 11'-18'  
RED CLAY.

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #10

Sample Date: 5-9-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 1007VIS.0 Sample Time/Description: 9:10 AM RED CLAY w/ SOME  
PID-5 BLACK SPOTS

Sample No. 1007EIS.0 Sample Time/Description: 9:25 AM  
EQUIPMENT WASH

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: FLAT GROUND, SOME SCATTERED VEGETATION  
6" HIGH

Weather Conditions: CLOUDY, DRY, SE WIND 10 MPH

General Field Observations: SOME BLACK SPOTTING @ 15'. MAY BE  
A TRACE OF CONTAMINATION CARRIED FROM ABOVE.

The top 4' was dug with the tractor bucket then the  
backhoe was used to dig to 18'

PID @ 18' - 0

Boring Lithology: 0-4' CLAY/SAND MIX. 4-5' BLACK  
HYDROCARBON/ SOIL LAYER. 5-10' GREY/RED CLAY  
MIXTURE. 10-15' RED CLAY. 15' - TRACE OF BLACK SPOTTING.  
15'-18' RED CLAY.

No Sample

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU#10 Sample Date: 5-8-91

Sample Type: VISUAL SOIL (AND PID)

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 1008V15.0 Sample Time/Description: 2:00 PM MOIST RED CLAY  
VISUAL PID =  $\emptyset$

Sample No. 1008V18.0 Sample Time/Description: 2:40 PM MOIST RED CLAY  
VISUAL - PID -  $\emptyset$

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: FLAT GROUND, SCATTERED VEGETATION 6" HIGH

Weather Conditions: CLEAR, DRY, 15 MPH SW WIND

General Field Observations: Dug to 15' with back hoe and 15' to 18' with the hand auger

PID @ 18' -  $\emptyset$

Boring Lithology: 0-6' - MIXED CLAY + SAND, 6'-10' GRAY MIXED CLAY WITH TRC OF HYDROCARBONS, 10'-15' RED CLAY

TCLP Mixture

DATA MANAGEMENT

Sample Location: SWMU #10 Sample Date: 5-9-91

Sample Type: SOIL

Team Leader: L. SHELTON

Sample Personnel: J. GOSS, M. BARNEY, T. GOLTZ

Sampling Method: AUGER

Sample No. 1007V6.0 Sample Time/Description: 9:00 Am LAYERED SOIL

Sample No. \_\_\_\_\_ Sample Time/Description: \_\_\_\_\_

Surface Terrain: OPEN GROUND

Weather Conditions: CLOUDY, DRY, 5 mph South wind

General Field Observations: SAMPLE IS A COMPOSITE OF 5' OF LAYERED DISCOLORED SOIL THAT IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE WORST CONTAMINATION IN SLUDGE PIT.

Boring Lithology: N/A



# Chain of Custody

PROJECT MANAGER: <u>Elizabeth Rafferty</u>		ANALYSIS REQUEST	
COMPANY: <u>Giant Refining Co.</u>		SDWA Primary Standards	
ADDRESS: <u>Box 7</u>		SDWA Secondary Standards	
		SDWA Volatiles (502.1503.1)	
BILL TO: <u>Giant</u>		The 13 Priority Pollutant Metals	
COMPANY: <u>Giant</u>		The 8 EP Tox Metals by EP Tox Prep. (1310)	
ADDRESS: <u>Gallop, NM 87301</u>		The 8 EP Tox Metals by Total Digestion	
		The 8 EP Tox Metals by TCLP (1311)	
		Total Lead	
		Total Nickel	
		NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	

SAMPLERS: (Signature) _____		PHONE NUMBER <u>(505) 722 0217</u>	
SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX LAB ID
<u>RF10621V11.0</u>	<u>5-7</u>	<u>9:20</u>	<u>Soil</u>
<u>RF10622V11.0</u>	<u>5-7</u>	<u>11:05</u>	<u>Soil</u>
<u>RF10623V11.0</u>	<u>5-7</u>	<u>8:20</u>	<u>Soil</u>
<u>RF10624V11.0</u>	<u>9-6</u>	<u>1000</u>	<u>Soil</u>
<u>RF10624R11.0</u>	<u>9-6</u>	<u>1000</u>	<u>Soil</u>
<u>RF10625V11.0</u>	<u>5-7</u>	<u>9:20</u>	<u>Soil</u>
<u>RF10626V11.0</u>	<u>5-7</u>	<u>9:50</u>	<u>Soil</u>
<u>RF10627V11.0</u>	<u>9-6</u>	<u>1045</u>	<u>Soil</u>
<u>Trip Blank</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>Water</u>

PROJECT INFORMATION	SAMPLE RECEIPT	
PROJECT NO: <u>—</u>	TOTAL NO. OF CONTAINERS	
PROJECT NAME: <u>Phase I BFE</u>	CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS	
P.O. NO. <u>01908</u>	INTACT?	
SHIPPED VIA: <u>Fed Exp</u>	RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD	
SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS	LAB NUMBER	
<input type="checkbox"/> ATI <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN		
PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS REQUIRED FOR RUSH PROJECTS		
TAT: (NORMAL) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (RUSH) <input type="checkbox"/> 24 <input type="checkbox"/> 48 <input type="checkbox"/> 72 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 WEEK		
Comments:		

RELINQUISHED BY: 1	RELINQUISHED BY: 2	RELINQUISHED BY: 3
Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>	Signature:	Signature:
Printed Name: <u>Charles</u>	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
Date: <u>5-7-91</u>	Date:	Date:
Company: <u>Bigfoot</u>	Company:	Company:
RECEIVED BY: 1	RECEIVED BY: 2	RECEIVED BY: 3
Signature:	Signature:	Signature:
Printed Name:	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
Date:	Date:	Date:
Company:	Company:	Company:



# Chain of Custody

PROJECT MANAGER: CLAUD ROSENDALE

COMPANY: GIANT REFINING

ADDRESS: RT 3 BOX 7  
GALLUP, NM 87301

BILL TO: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPANY: SAME

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

Lynn Shelton (Signature) (505) 722-0227 (PHONE NUMBER)

SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	LAB ID
REF 0631 V 16.0	6-18-91	8:15 AM	SOIL	
REF 0632 V 11.0	6-18-91	10:10 AM	SOIL	
REF 0633 V 15.0	6-18-91	10:50 AM	SOIL	
REF 06	6/18/91		SOIL	

ANALYSIS REQUEST	NUMBER OF CONTAINERS
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)	
(MOD 8015) Gas/Diesel	
Diesel/Gasoline/BTXE (MOD 8015/8020)	
BTXE (8020)	X
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons (601/8010)	X
Aromatic Hydrocarbons (602/8020)	X
MTBE	
Pesticides/PCB (608/8080)	
Herbicides (615/8150)	
Base/Neutral/Acid Compounds GC/MS (625/8270)	
Volatile Organics GC/MS (624/8240)	
SDWA Primary Standards	
SDWA Secondary Standards	
SDWA Volatiles (502.1/503.1)	
The 13 Priority Pollutant Metals	
The 8 EP Tox Metals by EP Tox Prep. (1310)	
The 8 EP Tox Metals by Total Digestion	
The 8 EP Tox Metals by TCLP (1311)	

PROJECT INFORMATION	SAMPLE RECEIPT	RELINQUISHED BY: 1	RELINQUISHED BY: 2	RELINQUISHED BY: 3
PROJECT NO:	TOTAL NO. OF CONTAINERS	Signature: _____	Signature: _____	Signature: _____
PROJECT NAME: <u>PHASE I, REI</u>	CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS	Printed Name: <u>Lynn Shelton</u>	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____
P.O. NO. <u>01908</u>	INTACT?	Date: _____	Date: _____	Date: _____
SHIPPED VIA: <u>FEDEX EXPRESS</u>	RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD	Company: <u>GIANT REFINING</u>	Company: _____	Company: _____
SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS	LAB NUMBER	RECEIVED BY: 1	RECEIVED BY: 2	RECEIVED BY: (LAB) 3
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATI <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN		Signature: _____	Signature: _____	Signature: _____
PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS REQUIRED FOR RUSH PROJECTS				
TAT: (NORMAL) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (RUSH) <input type="checkbox"/> 24 <input type="checkbox"/> 48 <input type="checkbox"/> 72 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 WEEK		Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____
Comments: _____		Company: _____	Company: Analytical Technologies, Inc.	Company: Analytical Technologies, Inc.



TABLE-4  
SKINNER LIST

METHOD 8240

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Reporting Limit (ug/kg)</u>
Benzene	500
Carbon disulfide	500
Chlorobenzene	500
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	1,000
1,2-Dibromomethane	1,000
1,2-Dichloroethane	500
1,4-Dioxane	50,000
Ethyl Benzene	500
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-butanone)	1,000
Styrene	500
Toluene	500
Xylenes	500

METHOD 8270

Anthracene	5,000
Benzenethiol	-
Benzo(a)anthracene	5,000
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5,000
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5,000
Benzo(a)pyrene	5,000
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	5,000
Butyl benzyl phthalate	5,000
Chrysene	5,000
Dibenzo(a,X)acridine	-
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5,000
Di-n-butylphthalate	5,000
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
Diethyl phthalate	5,000
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	5,000
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5,000
Dimethyl phthalate	5,000
2,4-Dinitrophenol	25,000
Di-n-octyl phthalate	5,000
Fluoranthene	5,000
Indene	5,000
Methylchrysene	-
1-Methylnaphthalene	5,000
2-Methylphenol	5,000
3-Methylphenol	5,000
4-Methylphenol	5,000
Naphthalene	5,000
4-Nitrophenol	25,000
Phenanthrene	5,000

TABLE-4 Continued

Phenol.	5,000
Pyrene	5,000
Pyridine	10,000
Quinoline	25,000

# Chain of Custody

PROJECT MANAGER: Elizabeth Profitt  
 COMPANY: Giant Refining Co.  
 ADDRESS: Rt 3 Box 7  
Gallop, NM. 87301  
 BILL TO: \_\_\_\_\_  
 COMPANY: Same  
 ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

SAMPLERS: (Signature) \_\_\_\_\_  
 PHONE NUMBER 505 722 0217

SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	LAB ID
<u>RFI1006 V150</u>	<u>5-8-91</u>	<u>1:10pm</u>	<u>Soil</u>	
<u>RFI1006 D150</u>	<u>5-8-91</u>	<u>1:10</u>	<u>Soil</u>	
<u>RFI1007 V150</u>	<u>5-9-91</u>	<u>9:10</u>	<u>Soil</u>	
<u>RFI1007 E150</u>	<u>5-9-91</u>	<u>9:25</u>	<u>Water</u>	
<u>Tap block</u>			<u>Water</u>	

ANALYSIS REQUEST										
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)										
(MOD 8015) Gas/Diesel										
Diesel/Gasoline/BTEX (MOD 8015/8020)										
BTXE (8020)										
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons (601/8010)										
Aromatic Hydrocarbons (602/8020)										
MTBE										
Pesticides/PCB (608/8080)										
Herbicides (615/8150)										
Base/Neutral/Acid Compounds GC/MS (820/8270)	<u>X</u>									
Volatile Organics GC/MS (824/8240)	<u>X</u>									
SDWA Primary Standards										
SDWA Secondary Standards										
SDWA Volatiles (502.1/503.1)										
The 13 Priority Pollutant Metals										
The 8 EP Tox Metals by EP Tox Prep. (1310)										
The 8 EP Tox Metals by TCLP (1311)										
The 8 EP Tox Metals by Total Digestion										
NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	<u>3</u>									

PROJECT INFORMATION		SAMPLE RECEIPT	
PROJECT NO: _____	TOTAL NO. OF CONTAINERS _____	CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS _____	INTACT? _____
P.O. NO. <u>D1908</u>	RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD _____	LAB NUMBER _____	
SHIPPED VIA: <u>Fed Ex</u>	PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS REQUIRED FOR RUSH PROJECTS		
SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS _____	(RUSH) <input type="checkbox"/> 24 <input type="checkbox"/> 48 <input type="checkbox"/> 72 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 WEEK		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATI <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN	Comments: <u>See attachment for analytical parameters</u>		

RELINQUISHED BY: 1.		RELINQUISHED BY: 2.		RELINQUISHED BY: 3.	
Signature: _____	Time: _____	Signature: _____	Time: _____	Signature: _____	Time: _____
Printed Name: <u>David Skelton</u>	Date: <u>11/07/01</u>	Printed Name: _____	Date: _____	Printed Name: _____	Date: _____
Company: <u>Lisa Shelton</u>	Company: _____				
RECEIVED BY: 1. _____	RECEIVED BY: 2. _____	RECEIVED BY: 3. _____	RECEIVED BY: 1. _____	RECEIVED BY: 2. _____	RECEIVED BY: 3. _____
Signature: _____	Signature: _____	Signature: _____	Signature: _____	Signature: _____	Signature: _____
Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____
Date: _____	Date: _____	Date: _____	Date: _____	Date: _____	Date: _____
Company: _____	Company: _____	Company: _____	Company: _____	Company: _____	Company: _____

TABLE-2  
PRIORITY POLLUTANT VOLATILES

Method 8240

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Reporting Limits ug/kg</u>
Acetone	5,000
Acrolein	10,000
Acrylonitrile	10,000
Benzene	500
Bromodichloromethane	500
Bromoform	500
Bromomethane(methyl bromide)	1,000
Carbon disulfide	500
Carbon tetrachloride	500
Chlorobenzene	500
Chlorodibromoethane	500
Chloroethane	1,000
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	1,000
Chloroform	500
Chloromethane	1,000
Dibromomethane	500
1,4 - Dichloro-2-butane	-
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	500
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2,000
1,1-Dichloroethane	500
1,2-Dichloroethane	500
1,1-Dichloroethylene	500
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	500
1,2-Dichloropropane	500
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	500
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	500
Ethanol	10,000
Ethyl benzene	500
Ethyl methacrylate	1,000
2-Hexanone	1,000
Iodomethane	500
Methylene Chloride	500
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone)	1,000
Methyl isobutyl ketone (4-methyl-2-pentanone)	1,000
Styrene	500
1,1,2,2,-Tetrachloroethane	500
Tetrachloroethylene	500
Toluene	500
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	500
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	500
Trichloroethylene	500
Trichlorofluoromethane	500
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	500
Vinyl acetate	1,000
Vinyl chloride	1,000
Xylenes	500

TABLE-3  
PRIORITY POLLUTANT SEMIVOLATILES

<u>Parameter</u>	Method 8270	<u>Reporting Limits ug/kg</u>
Acenaphthene		5,000
Acenaphthylene		5,000
Acetophenone		5,000
4-Aminobiphenyl		-
Aniline		5,000
Anthracene		5,000
Benzidine		50,000
Benzoic Acid		5,000
Benzo(a)anthracene		5,000
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		5,000
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		5,000
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		5,000
Benzo(a)pyrene		5,000
Benzyl alcohol		5,000
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane		5,000
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether		5,000
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether		
[bis(2-chloro-1 methylethyl)ether]		5,000
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate		5,000
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether		5,000
Butyl benzyl phthalate		5,000
4-Chloroaniline		5,000
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol		5,000
1-Chloronaphthane		5,000
2-Chloronaphthane		5,000
2-Chlorophenol		5,000
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether		5,000
Chrysene		5,000
Dibenzo(a,j)acridine		-
Dibenzo(a,h) anthracene		5,000
Dibenzo furans(tetrachloro, pentachloro, hexachloro)		-
Di-n-butylphthalate		5,000
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		5,000
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		5,000
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		5,000
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine		10,000
2,4-Dichlorophenol		5,000
2,6-Dichlorophenol		5,000
Diethyl phthalate		5,000
p(Dimethylamino)azobenzene		5,000
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene		5,000
aa-Demethylphenethylamine		5,000
2,4-Dimethylphenol		5,000
Dimethyl phthalate		5,000
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol		25,000
2,4-Dinitrophenol		25,000
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		5,000

TABLE-3 Continued

2,6-Dinitrotoluene	5,000
Di-n-octyl phthalate	5,000
Diphenylamine	5,000
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	5,000
Ethyl methanesulfonate	5,000
Fluoranthene	5,000
Flourene	5,000
Hexachlorobenzene	5,000
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	5,000
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	5,000
Hexachloroethane	5,000
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5,000
Isophorene	5,000
3-Methylcholanthrene	5,000
Methyl methanesulfonate	5,000
2-Methylnaphthalene	5,000
2-Methylphenol	5,000
3-Methylphenol	5,000
4-Methylphenol	5,000
Naphthalene	5,000
1-Naphthylamine	5,000
2-Naphthylamine	5,000
2-Nitroaniline	25,000
3-Nitroaniline	25,000
4-Nitroaniline	25,000
Nitrobenzene	5,000
2-Nitrophenol	5,000
4-Nitrophenol	25,000
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	5,000
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	5,000
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	5,000
N-Nitrosopiperidine	5,000
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	5,000
Pentachlorobenzene	5,000
Pentachloronitrobenzene	25,000
Pentachlorophenol	25,000
Phenacetin	5,000
Phenanthrene	5,000
Phenol	5,000
2-Picoline	5,000
Pronamide	5,000
Pyrene	5,000
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	5,000
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	25,000
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	5,000
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	25,000
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5,000

SECTION 5.0

Statistical Information for Soil Samples

## SECTION 5.1

### GENERAL REVIEW

This section includes the collection of information required, the methodology for statistical calculations and the actual statistical comparisons of background values to each individual sample.

Background samples were collected on April 28, 1987 and April 4 and 5, 1988. The analysis for each sampling event is listed on TABLE 5-1 and TABLE 5-2 respectively. All background samples were collected from a background plot which is specified in FIGURE 5-1. The exact location of each sample point is specifically listed on FIGURE 5-2 and FIGURE 5-3. The background plot and sample collection locations were approved by the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division in a Land Treatment Demonstration Permit that was issued to Giant Refining Company on December 22, 1986.

It was Giant's plan to use equivalent vertical depths for background and sample comparisons. However, many of the individual sample points (1-2 foot, 2-3 foot, 3-4 foot, and 4-5 foot) for background collection was composited into one (1) sample for each of the two (2) sampling events. This allowed only two (2) samples to be used for calculating averages and tolerance limits. It is generally understood that a minimum of four (4) sets of analysis should be used to calculate background averages.


To achieve this goal, Giant combined the background analytical for each metal from all samples ranging in vertical depths of zero (0) to five (5) feet. These background averages were then compared to the results of all samples collected for the RFI samples that were in the zero (0) to five (5) foot interval range. Averages and tolerance limits were calculated on the background samples from the five (5) to six (6) foot intervals. These averages were used for statistical comparisons of all remaining RFI samples greater than five (5) feet in depth.

There were no background calculations computed for antimony, cadmium, mercury and selenium as all the original data was below the detection limits for each of these metals. This did not have any impact on the statistical comparisons as none of the samples collected during the Phase I Supplemental sampling event was analyzed for these metals.


The background data for beryllium at the zero (0) to five (5) foot level is somewhat different as all analytical parameters equaled one (1). As a result, the upper tolerance limit is one (1) and there is no coefficient of variance.

SECTION 5.1 (cont')

The background values for lead at the five (5) to six (6) foot level was calculated from the results of the April 28, 1987 sampling event. All lead analysis from this sample depth for the April 4 and 5, 1988 sampling event was reported as non-detectable at a detection limit of 10 mg/kg.



VICINITY MAP



SITE PLAN



FIGURE 2.0



Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.

FIGURE 5-2





FIGURE 3.2



Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc. 5.4

GIANT REFINING COMPANY-GALLUP, NM  
LAND TREATMENT DEMONSTRATION  
SAMPLE LOCATIONS-PRE DEMO EVENT, APR '87

FIGURE 5-3



AREA PLAN



FIGURE 3.7



Lockwood, Andrew & Newnam, Inc.

GIANT REFINING COMPANY - GALLUP, NM  
LAND TREATMENT DEMONSTRATION  
SAMPLE LOCATIONS - EVENT NO. 5, APR '88

First sampling trip  
after application  
4-28-87

TABLE 5-1

Background Plot Data

METALS	0-1 0745 13-15	0-1 0925 16-18	1-2 0750 13-18	2-3 0755 13-18	3-4 0800 13-18	4-5 805 13-18	5-6 810 13	5-6 0820 14	5-6 0900 15	5-6 0940 16	5-6 1035 17	5-6 1130 17
TOTAL METALS, mg/kg	nd	nd	280	230	300	370	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
ANTHONY	3.8	4.4					6.2	5.8	7	6	2.8	7.2
ARSENIC	300	250					180	280	250	170	320	280
BARIUM	1	1					1.3	1.2	1.3	1	0.8	1.3
BERYLLIUM	nd	nd	3	5	5	6	nd	nd	nd	0.5	nd	nd
CADMIUM	2.9	3.7					7	4	7	3	3	5
CHROMIUM	4.4	4.1					4	2.1	3.7	2.2	0.5	2.6
COBALT	4.4	4.1					5.7	4.6	5.5	4.7	2.9	5.4
COPPER	12	13	9	11	9	11	12	11	12	9	9	10
LEAD	0.06	nd					nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
MERCURY	9	9					10	7	10	5	7	9
NICKEL	2100	2900					1700	1600	1700	1000	700	1500
POTASSIUM	nd	nd					nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
SELENIUM	13	15	9	12	12	13	16	13	15	11	8.7	13
VANADIUM	18	15					14	12	15	9	8	13
ZINC												

TABLE 5-2

Fifth sampling trip  
after application  
4-5-88 and 4-6-88

Background Plot Data

METALS	0-1	0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	5-6	5-6	5-6	5-6	5-6
ANTHONY	nd	nd										
ARSENIC	0.5	0.4					0.6			0.6		1.1
BARIUM	300	300	280	300	380	290	270	330	270	260	270	220
BERYLLIUM	1	1					1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2
CADMIUM	nd	nd					nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
CHROMIUM	6	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	3
COBALT	3	2					2	3	3	3	3	3
COPPER	4	3					4	6	5	5	5	5
LEAD	12	10	11	12	1	10	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
MERCURY	nd	nd					nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
NICKEL	8	7					7	9	8	8	7	7
POTASSIUM	1400	1400					1300	1300	1300	1400	1300	1100
SELENIUM	nd	nd					nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
VANADIUM	13	11					13	12	11	13	12	12
ZINC	16	12	11	11	10	11	10	12	11	11	10	10

TOTAL METALS, mg/kg

ANTHONY	nd	nd										
ARSENIC	0.5	0.4					0.6			0.6		1.1
BARIUM	300	300	280	300	380	290	270	330	270	260	270	220
BERYLLIUM	1	1					1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2
CADMIUM	nd	nd					nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
CHROMIUM	6	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	3
COBALT	3	2					2	3	3	3	3	3
COPPER	4	3					4	6	5	5	5	5
LEAD	12	10	11	12	1	10	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
MERCURY	nd	nd					nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
NICKEL	8	7					7	9	8	8	7	7
POTASSIUM	1400	1400					1300	1300	1300	1400	1300	1100
SELENIUM	nd	nd					nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
VANADIUM	13	11					13	12	11	13	12	12
ZINC	16	12	11	11	10	11	10	12	11	11	10	10

### Statistical Analysis.

The statistical analysis is concerned with the problem of comparing observations of the concentrations of metals from compliance data with observations of concentrations from background data in order to determine if the concentrations of metals from the compliance data exceed, in a statistically significant fashion, the concentrations from the background data. The primary method used is that of the construction of a *tolerance interval* and the use of the resulting *upper tolerance limit*. The analysis was carried out in the following way:

1. The background data consisted, for each of the eleven metals analyzed, of from four to twelve values at each of two depths.
2. For each metal, at each of the two depths, the (one-sided) tolerance interval was constructed using the following technique:
  - a) Calculate the mean,  $\bar{X}$ , and the standard deviation, SD, from the background data.
  - b) Construct the one-sided upper tolerance limit as  $TL = \bar{X} + KS$ , where K is the one-sided normal tolerance factor found in Table 1.
  - c) The tolerance interval is the interval [0, TL]. This interval will contain, with 95% confidence, 95% of random observations from the same distribution as the background data.
3. The observations forming the compliance data (for the same metal at the same depth) are now compared, one by one, with the upper tolerance limit, TL, found above. If an observation exceeds TL, this is interpreted as statistically significant evidence that the observation is from a distribution with a higher concentration of the metal and that, therefore, contamination has occurred.

Notes on the Statistical Analysis.

1. In order to construct the upper tolerance limit, the background data is assumed to be approximately normally distributed. This assumption was checked by calculating the coefficient of variation (CV) for each of the background data sets. If this value exceeds 1, then that fact indicates non-normality. In none of the data sets analyzed did the coefficient of variation exceed 1. It was therefore assumed that the values observed in the background wells were normally distributed. No other tests of normality were carried out.
  
2. If the standard deviation for the background data is zero, (i.e., all values are the same), then the tolerance interval approach is not particularly useful. In that case the upper tolerance limit is identical to the common value of the background data values and any observation coming from the compliance wells which exceeds that upper tolerance limit must be taken as evidence of contamination. This was the situation for beryllium at the shallow depth; the background data consisted of four values, all equal to 1 mg/kg. For this one data set an analysis of variance was also carried out; this test indicated no statistically significant difference in the concentrations of beryllium in the background data and the compliance data, even though many of the individual values from the compliance wells indicated contamination using the tolerance interval approach.
  
3. No detectable amounts of arsenic, cadmium, mercury or selenium were found in the background. Therefore, no tests were carried out for these elements.
  
4. The analytical results reported under the sample data column is reported in mg/kg.

Background Data for Arsenic: 0-5 foot level

Data: 3.8, 4.4, 0.5, 0.4  
Mean: 2.27  
SD: 1.84  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 11.73  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.81

Background Data for Arsenic: 5-6 foot level

Data: 6.2, 5.8, 7, 6, 2.8, 7.2, 0.6, 0.6, 1.1  
Mean: 4.14  
SD: 2.67  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 12.23  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.64

Background Data for Barium: 0-5 foot level

Data: 300, 250, 280, 230, 300, 370, 300, 300, 280, 300, 380, 290  
Mean: 298.33  
SD: 40.38  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 408.81  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.14

Background Data for Barium: 5-6 foot level

Data: 180, 280, 250, 170, 320, 280, 270, 330, 270, 260, 220, 270  
Mean: 258.33  
SD: 46.34  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 385.11  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.18

Background Data for Beryllium: 0-5 foot level

Data: 1, 1, 1, 1  
Mean: 1.00  
SD: 0.00  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 1.00  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.00

Background Data for Beryllium: 5-6 foot level

Data: 1.3, 1.2, 1.3, 1, 0.8, 1.3, 1.1, 1.2, 1.2, 1.3, 1.2, 0.8  
Mean: 1.14  
SD: 0.18  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 1.62  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.15

Background Data for Chromium: 0-5 foot level

Data: 7, 7, 3, 5, 5, 6, 6, 5, 4, 4, 4, 4  
Mean: 5.00  
SD: 1.22  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 8.35  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.24

Background Data for Chromium: 5-6 foot level

Data: 7, 4, 7, 3, 3, 5, 4, 5, 4, 4, 3, 4  
Mean: 4.42  
SD: 1.32  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 8.03  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.30

Background Data for Cobalt: 0-5 foot level

Data: 2.9, 3.7, 3, 2  
Mean: 2.90  
SD: 0.60  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 6.01  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.21

Background Data for Cobalt: 5-6 foot level

Data: 4, 2.1, 3.7, 2.2, 0.5, 2.6, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2  
Mean: 2.59  
SD: 0.88  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 5.01  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.34

Background Data for Copper: 0-5 foot level

Data: 4.4, 4.1, 4, 3  
Mean: 3.88  
SD: 0.53  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 6.58  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.14

Background Data for Copper: 5-6 foot level

Data: 5.7, 4.6, 5.5, 4.7, 2.9, 5.4, 4, 6, 5, 5, 5, 4  
Mean: 4.82  
SD: 0.82  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 7.07  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.17

Background Data for Lead: 0-5 foot level

Data: 12, 13, 9, 11, 9, 11, 12, 10, 11, 12, 1, 10  
Mean: 10.08  
SD: 2.98  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 18.25  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.30

Background Data for Lead: 5-6 foot level

Data: 12, 11, 12, 9, 9, 10  
Mean: 10.50  
SD: 1.26  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 15.16  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.12

Background Data for Nickel: 0-5 foot level

Data: 9, 9, 8, 7  
Mean: 8.25  
SD: 0.83  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 12.52  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.10

Background Data for Nickel: 5-6 foot level

Data: 10, 7, 10, 5, 7, 9, 7, 9, 8, 8, 7, 6  
Mean: 7.75  
SD: 1.48  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 11.80  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.19

Background Data for Potassium: 0-5 foot level

Data: 2100, 2900, 1400, 1400  
Mean: 1950.00  
SD: 618.47  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 5132.01  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.32

Background Data for Potassium: 5-6 foot level

Data: 1700, 1600, 1700, 1000, 700, 1500, 1300, 1300, 1300, 1400  
1100, 1300  
Mean: 1325.00  
SD: 280.25  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 2091.77  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.21

Background Data for Vanadium: 0-5 foot level

Data: 13, 15, 13, 11  
Mean: 13.00  
SD: 1.41  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 20.28  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.11

Background Data for Vanadium: 5-6 foot level

Data: 16, 13, 15, 11, 8.7, 13, 13, 12, 11, 11, 10, 9  
Mean: 11.89  
SD: 2.14  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 17.74  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.18

Background Data for Zinc: 0-5 foot level

Data: 18, 15, 9, 12, 12, 13, 16, 12, 11, 11, 10, 11  
Mean: 12.50  
SD: 2.50  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 19.34  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.20

Background Data for Zinc: 5-6 foot level

Data: 14, 12, 15, 9, 8, 13, 10, 12, 11, 11, 10, 9  
Mean: 11.17  
SD: 2.03  
The Upper Tolerance Limit = 16.73  
The Coefficient of Variance = 0.18

RFI #	METAL	DATA	NOTE
RFI0625V11.0	Nickel	17.0	Exceeds the tolerance limits by 44.0%
RFI0628V11.0	Lead	8.1	Within the tolerance limit
	Nickel	42.0	Exceeds the tolerance limits by 255.9%

RFI #	METAL	DATA	NOTE
RFI1006V15.0	Chromium	4.2	Within the tolerance limit
	Copper	4.8	Within the tolerance limit
	Lead	9.0	Within the tolerance limit
	Zinc	12.9	Within the tolerance limit
RFI1006D15.0	Chromium	8.4	Exceeds the tolerance limit by 4.6%
	Copper	6.8	Within the tolerance limit
	Lead	11.0	Within the tolerance limit
	Zinc	22.0	Exceeds the tolerance limit by 31.5%
RFI1007V15.0	Chromium	4.8	Within the tolerance limit
	Copper	5.5	Within the tolerance limit
	Lead	10.0	Within the tolerance limit
	Zinc	13.3	Within the tolerance limit

SECTION 6.0  
TABULATED ANALYTICAL SUMMARY

PHASE 1, RFI SUPPLEMENTAL

Additional Data requested for Phase I, RFI  
 Sample dates are 5/6/91 & 5/7/91

RFI06  
 TANK FARM

Sample Point #	21	22	23	24	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
Depth of Sample	V11.0	V11.0	V11.0	D11.0	V11.0	E11.0	V11.0	V14.0						

Parameter	Units	Result												
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	19	<0.050	<0.025	<0.125	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	0.58	<0.025
Toluene	mg/kg	0.070	0.23	<0.025	<0.025	450	0.20	0.037	<0.125	<0.025	0.10	<0.025	2.9	<0.025
Ethyl Benzene	mg/kg	0.041	0.15	0.062	<0.025	160	0.17	0.45	0.62	<0.025	0.20	<0.025	0.94	<0.025
Total Xylenes	mg/kg	0.15	0.57	0.24	<0.025	1000	1.4	3.8	5.7	<0.025	1.9	<0.025	7.2	<0.025
MTBE	mg/kg	<0.125	<0.125	<0.125	<0.125	<6.250	0.41	<0.125	7	<0.125	<0.125	<0.125	<0.125	<0.125
Lead	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	8.1	---	---	---	---	---
Nickel	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	17	---	---	42	---	---	---	---	---

PHASE 1, RFI SUPPLEMENTAL  
RFI08

Sample point number	14	15	15
Depth of sample	V7.0	V7.0	D7.0
Parameter	Units		
Method 8270			
Anthracene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Benzo(a)anthracene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Chrysene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Diethyl phthalate	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)- anthracene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Dimethyl phthalate	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Fluoranthene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Indene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
1-Methylnaphthalene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Naphthalene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Phenanthrene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Pyrene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Pyridine	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17
Quinoline	ug/kg	<0.85	<0.85
Benzenethiol	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17

PHASE 1, RFI SUPPLEMENTAL, CONT.  
RFI08

Sample point number	14	15	15
Depth of sample	V7.0	V7.0	D7.0

Parameter	Units
-----------	-------

Method 8270 (con't)

Dibenz(a,j)acridine	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85
4-Nitrophenol	ug/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85
Phenol	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17
2-Methylphenol	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17
4-Methylphenol	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17
Methylchrysene	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17
3-Methylphenol	ug/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17

PHASE 1, RFI SUPPLEMENTAL, CONT.  
RFI10

Sample point number		06	06	07	07	07*
Depth of sample		V15.0	D15.0	V15.0	E15.0	V6.0
Parameter	Units					(MG/L)
Total Metals						
Antimony	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---
Arsenic	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	<0.1
Barium	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	1.98
Beryllium	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---
Cadmium	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	0.007
Chromium	mg/kg	4.2	8.4	4.8	<0.010	<0.010
Cobalt	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---
Copper	mg/kg	4.8	6.8	5.5	0.010	---
Lead	mg/kg	9.0	11.0	10.0	0.002	0.10
Mercury	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	0.0002
Nickel	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---
Potassium	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---
Selenium	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	<0.10
Vanadium	mg/kg	---	---	---	---	---
Zinc	mg/kg	12.9	22.0	13.3	0.016	---

\* RFI1007V6.0 is a composite sample from 5.0-9.0', with a TCLP Extraction for for the analytical results.

PHASE 1, RFI SUPPLEMENTAL  
RF110

Sample point number		06	06	07	07	07*
Depth of sample		V15.0	D15.0	V15.0	E15.0	V6.0
Parameter	Units				(UG/L)	(UG/L)
Method 8240						
Chloromethane	mg/kg	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<5	<10
Bromomethane	mg/kg	<1	<1	<1	<10	<10
Vinyl chloride	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.5	<10
Chloroethane	mg/kg	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.5	<10
Methylene chloride	mg/kg	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<3	240 B
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
1,2-Dichloroethene (cis/trans)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Chloroform	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
1,2-Dichloroethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Carbon tetrachloride	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Bromodichloromethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
1,2-Dichloropropane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Trichloroethene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Dibromochloromethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
1,1,2-Trichloroethene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Benzene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<3	<5
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	NA
Bromoform	mg/kg	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<3	<5
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Tetrachloroethene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Toluene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	8
Chlorobenzene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Acetone	mg/kg	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	44
Acrolein	mg/kg	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	---
Acrylonitrile	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	---
Carbon disulfide	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Dibromomethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	---
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	mg/kg	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<2.5	---
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	---
1,4-Dichloro-2-butane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	---
Ethanol	mg/kg	<10	<10	<10	<100	---
Iodomethane	mg/kg	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<2.5	---
2-Butanone (NEK)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<10
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<10
Styrene	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<5
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	---
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	---
Vinyl acetate	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<10
Xylenes (total)	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	16
2-Hexanone	mg/kg	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<5	<10
Ethyl methacrylate	mg/kg	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25	<2.5	---

\* RFI1007V6.0 is a composite sample from 5.0-9.0', with a TCLP Extraction for the analytical results.

B Also detected in reagent blank.

PHASE 1, RFI SUPPLEMENTAL, CONT.

RFI10

Sample point number		06	06	07	07	07*
Depth of sample		V15.0	D15.0	V15.0	E15.0	V6.0
Parameter	Units					(UG/L)
Method 8270						
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Acetophenone	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
4-Aminobiphenyl	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Aniline	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Anthracene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	<0.17 <sup>+</sup>	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	<10
Benzyl alcohol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)-methane	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)-ether	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	25
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Butyl benzyl phthalate	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
4-Chloroaniline	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
2-Chloronaphthane	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
2-Chlorophenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Chrysene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Di-n-butyl phthalate	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	mg/kg	<0.34	<0.34	<0.34	---	---
2,4-Dichlorophenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
2,6-Dichlorophenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Diethyl phthalate	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
p-Dimethylaminoazobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)-anthracene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
a,a-Dimethylphenethyl-amine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
2,4-Dimethylphenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Dimethyl phthalate	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	---	---
2,4-Dinitrophenol	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	<25	<50
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Di-n-octyl phthalate	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Diphenylamine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Dibenzo(a,j)acridine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
2-Methylphenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
3-Methylphenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
4-Methylphenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---

\* RFI1007V6.0 is a composite sample from 5.0-9.0', with a TCLP Extraction for the analytical results.

PHASE 1, RFI SUPPLEMENTAL, CONT.  
RFI10

Sample point number		06	06	07	07	07*
Depth of sample		V15.0	D15.0	V15.0	E15.0	V6.0
Parameter	Units					
Method 8270 (con't)						
Ethyl methanesulfonate	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Fluorene	mg/kg	<0.17	TR	TR	<5	<10
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Hexachloroethane	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
Isophorone	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
3-Methylcholanthrene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Methyl methanesulfonate	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	<0.17	3	1.1	<5	28
Naphthalene	mg/kg	<0.17	TR	0.2	<5	13
1-Naphthylamine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
2-Naphthylamine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
2-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	<25	<50
3-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	<25	<50
4-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	<25	<50
Nitrobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
2-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
4-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	---	<50
N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
N-Nitrosopiperidine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Pentachlorobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Pentachloronitrobenzene	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	---	---
Pentachlorophenol	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	0.2	<25	<50
Phenacetin	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	<0.17	4	<0.17	<5	<10
Phenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
2-Picoline	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Pronamide	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
Pyrene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<50	<10
1,2,4,5-Tetrachloro-benzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	---	---
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	---	---
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	<10
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	<25	<50
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<25	<10
Benzidine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<50	<100
Benzoic acid	mg/kg	<0.85	<0.85	<0.85	<25	<50
1-Chloronaphthane	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	---
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	mg/kg	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<5	---

\* RFI1007V6.0 is a composite sample from 5.0-9.0', with a TCLP Extraction for for the analytical results.

SECTION 7.0  
ORIGINAL ANALYTICAL DATA



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

9830 S. 51st Street Suite B-113 Phoenix, AZ 85044 (602) 496-4400

ATI I.D. 105625

May 29, 1991

Giant Refining Company  
Route 3, P.O. Box 7  
Gallup, NM 87301

Project Name/Number: Phase I RFI

Attention: Claud Rosendale

On 05/08/91, Analytical Technologies, Inc. received a request to analyze soil & aqueous sample(s). The sample(s) were analyzed with EPA methodology or equivalent methods. The results of these analyses and the quality control data, which follow each set of analyses, are enclosed.

Sample collection dates for the samples listed below were confirmed off of the sample container's labels. The chain of custody reflected the samples being collected on 9/6 rather than 5/6.

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>ATI ID</u>
RFI 0624V11.0	10562504
RFI 0624D11.0	10562505
RFI 0627V11.0	10562508

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us at (602) 496-4400.

Elizabeth Proffitt  
Senior Project Manager

Robert V. Woods  
Laboratory Manager

RVW:clf  
Enclosure



CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
REPORT DATE : 05/23/91

ATI I.D. : 105625

ATI #	CLIENT DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	DATE COLLECTED
01	RFI0621V11.0	SOIL	05/07/91
02	RFI0622V11.0	SOIL	05/07/91
03	RFI0623V11.0	SOIL	05/07/91
04	RFI0624V11.0	SOIL	05/06/91
05	RFI0624D11.0	SOIL	05/06/91
06	RFI0625V11.0	SOIL	05/07/91
07	RFI0626V11.0	SOIL	05/07/91
08	RFI0627V11.0	SOIL	05/06/91
09	TRIP BLANK	AQUEOUS	05/06/91
10	RFI0628V11.0	SOIL	05/06/91
11	RFI0629V11.0	SOIL	05/06/91
12	RFI0630V11.0	SOIL	05/07/91
13	RFI0625E11.0	AQUEOUS	05/07/91

----- TOTALS -----

MATRIX	# SAMPLES
SOIL	11
AQUEOUS	2

ATI STANDARD DISPOSAL PRACTICE

The samples from this project will be disposed of in thirty (30) days from the date of this report. If an extended storage period is required, please contact our sample control department before the scheduled disposal date.



METALS RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 105625

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91

REPORT DATE : 05/23/91

PARAMETER	UNITS	06	10
NICKEL	MG/KG	-	8.1
LEAD	MG/KG	17	42



METALS - QUALITY CONTROL

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

ATI I.D. : 105625

PARAMETER	UNITS	ATI I.D.	SAMPLE RESULT	DUP. RESULT	RPD	SPIKED SAMPLE	SPIKE CONC	% REC
NICKEL	MG/KG	10559203	15.9	16.6	4	61.5	50.0	91
LEAD	MG/KG	10559203	9	9	0	49	50	80

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative Percent Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Result})}{\text{Average Result}} \times 100$$

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562513

TEST : BTEX & MTBE (EPA METHOD 602)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0625E11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : AQUEOUS

DATE SAMPLED : 05/07/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : N/A  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/10/91  
UNITS : UG/L  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.5
TOLUENE	0.7
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<2.5

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	95
------------------------	----



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562509

TEST : BTEX & MTBE (EPA METHOD 602)

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/06/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: N/A
CLIENT I.D.	: TRIP BLANK	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/10/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: AQUEOUS	UNITS	: UG/L
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.5
TOLUENE	<0.5
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<2.5

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	93
------------------------	----



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

TEST : BTEX & MTBE (EPA METHOD 602)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105625  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/10/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/10/91  
UNITS : UG/L  
DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

---

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BENZENE	<0.5
TOLUENE	<0.5
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<2.5

---

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 100



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105625

TEST : BTEX & MTBE (EPA METHOD 602)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.

PROJECT # : (NONE)

DATE ANALYZED : 05/10/91

PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

SAMPLE MATRIX : AQUEOUS

REF I.D. : 10599802

UNITS : UG/L

COMPOUNDS	SAMPLE CONC.		SPIKED SAMPLE	% SPIKED REC.	DUP. SPIKED SAMPLE REC.		RPD
	RESULT	SPIKED			%	%	
BENZENE	<0.5	20	20	100	19	95	5
TOLUENE	<0.5	20	20	100	19	95	5
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5	20	20	100	19	95	5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5	60	60	100	57	95	5
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<2.5	40	39	98	38	95	3

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative \% Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Spiked Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Spike Sample Result})}{\text{Average of Spiked Sample}} \times 100$$



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562501

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0621V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/07/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	0.070
ETHYLBENZENE	0.041
TOTAL XYLENES	0.15
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	95
------------------------	----



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

### GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562502

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0622V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/07/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	0.23
ETHYLBENZENE	0.15
TOTAL XYLENES	0.57
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	93
------------------------	----



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562503

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0623V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/07/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	<0.025
ETHYLBENZENE	0.062
TOTAL XYLENES	0.24
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	111
------------------------	-----



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562504

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0624V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/06/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/10/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	<0.025
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.025
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.025
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 90



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562505

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0624D11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/06/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/10/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	<0.025
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.025
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.025
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 92



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562506

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0625V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/07/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/10/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 50

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	19
TOLUENE	450
ETHYLBENZENE	160
TOTAL XYLENES	1000
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<6.250

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	92
------------------------	----



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562507

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0626V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/07/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 2

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.050
TOLUENE	0.20
ETHYLBENZENE	0.17
TOTAL XYLENES	1.4
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	0.41

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	76
------------------------	----



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562508

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0627V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/06/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	0.037
ETHYLBENZENE	0.45
TOTAL XYLENES	3.8
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	96
------------------------	----



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562510

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0628V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/06/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 5

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BENZENE	<0.125
TOLUENE	<0.125
ETHYLBENZENE	0.62
TOTAL XYLENES	5.7
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.625

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 112



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562511

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0629V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/06/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	<0.025
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.025
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.025
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 89



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

### GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562512

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0630V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/07/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	0.10
ETHYLBENZENE	0.20
TOTAL XYLENES	1.9
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	93
------------------------	----



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105625  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/08/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/09/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	<0.025
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.025
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.025
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 116



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105625

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.
PROJECT # : (NONE)
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI
REF I.D. : 10599920

DATE ANALYZED : 05/10/91
SAMPLE MATRIX : NON-AQUEOUS
UNITS : MG/KG

Table with columns: COMPOUNDS, SAMPLE CONC. RESULT, SPIKED SPIKED, % SAMPLE REC., DUP. SPIKED SAMPLE REC., DUP. % SAMPLE REC., RPD. Rows include BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, TOTAL XYLENES, METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER.

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) / Spike Concentration X 100

RPD (Relative % Difference) = (Spiked Sample Result - Duplicate Spike Sample Result) / Average of Spiked Sample X 100

# Chain of Custody

PROJECT MANAGER: Elizabeth Proffitt

COMPANY: Great Defining Co.

ADDRESS: Box 7  
Gallop, NM 87301

BILL TO: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPANY: Small

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

Lyn Shuron  
SAMPLERS: (Signature) (505) 722 0217  
PHONE NUMBER

SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	LAB ID
BFE0621V11.0	5-7	9:20	Soil	1
BFE0622V11.0	5-7	11:05	Soil	2
BFE0623V11.0	5-7	8:20	Soil	3
BFE0624V11.0	9-6	10:00	Soil	4
BFE0624DV11.0	9-6	10:00	Soil	5
BFE0625V11.0	5-7	9:20	Soil	6
BFE0626V11.0	5-7	8:50	Soil	7
BFE0627V11.0	9-6	10:45	Soil	8
<u>Trip Block</u>	—	—	<u>Waste</u>	9

PROJECT INFORMATION		SAMPLE RECEIPT	
PROJECT NO:	—	TOTAL NO. OF CONTAINERS	10
PROJECT NAME:	<u>Phase I BFE</u>	CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS	<u>Y</u>
P.O. NO.	<u>01908</u>	INTACT?	<u>Y</u>
SHIPPED VIA:	<u>FEL Exp</u>	RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD	<u>Y</u>
SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS		LAB NUMBER	<u>105625</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATI <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN		PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS REQUIRED FOR RUSH PROJECTS	
TAT: (NORMAL) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (RUSH) <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 24 <input type="checkbox"/> 48 <input type="checkbox"/> 72 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 WEEK	Comments:	

ANALYSIS REQUEST	RELINQUISHED BY: 1.	RELINQUISHED BY: 2.	RELINQUISHED BY: 3.
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)	Signature: <u>Maureen</u> Time: <u>12:00</u>	Signature:	Signature:
(MOD 8015) Gas/Diesel	Printed Name: <u>Maureen</u> Date: <u>5-7-07</u>	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
Diesel/Gasoline/BTXE (MOD 8015/8020)	Company: <u>Great</u>	Company:	Company:
BTXE (8020)	Signature:	Signature:	Signature:
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons (601/8010)	Printed Name:	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
Aromatic Hydrocarbons (602/8020)	Company:	Company:	Company:
MTBE	Signature:	Signature:	Signature:
Pesticides/PCB (608/8080)	Printed Name:	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
Herbicides (615/8150)	Company:	Company:	Company:
Base/Neutral/Acid Compounds GC/MS (625/8270)	Signature:	Signature:	Signature:
Volatile Organics GC/MS (624/8240)	Printed Name:	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
SDWA Primary Standards	Company:	Company:	Company:
SDWA Secondary Standards	Signature:	Signature:	Signature:
SDWA Volatiles (502.1/503.1)	Printed Name:	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
The 13 Priority Pollutant Metals	Company:	Company:	Company:
The 8 EP Tox Metals by EP Tox Prep. (1310)	Signature:	Signature:	Signature:
The 8 EP Tox Metals by Total Digestion	Printed Name:	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
The 8 EP Tox Metals by TCLP (1311)	Company:	Company:	Company:
NUMBER OF CONTAINERS			<u>Total Lead</u>
			<u>Total Nickel</u>

# Chain of Custody

PROJECT MANAGER: Elizabeth Griffin

COMPANY: Giant Refining Co.

ADDRESS: Box 7  
Cadya NM. 87301

BILL TO: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPANY: Sam

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

SAMPLERS: (Signature) \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE NUMBER 505 222-2217

SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	LAB ID
BFE0628V11.0	5-6	11:40	Soil	10
BFE0629V11.0	5-6	11:10	Soil	11
BFE0630V11.0	5-7	11:45	Soil	12
BFE0625E11.0	5-7	9:00	Water	13

ANALYSIS REQUEST	NUMBER OF CONTAINERS
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)	
(MOD 8015) Gas/Diesel	
Diesel/Gasoline/BTXE (MOD 8015/8020)	
BTXE (8020)	X
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons (601/8010)	X
Aromatic Hydrocarbons (602/8020)	X
MTBE	
Pesticides/PCB (608/8080)	
Herbicides (615/8150)	
Base/Neutral/Acid Compounds GC/MS (625/8270)	
Volatile Organics GC/MS (624/8240)	
SDWA Primary Standards	
SDWA Secondary Standards	
SDWA Volatiles (502.1/503.1)	
The 13 Priority Pollutant Metals	
The 8 EP Tox Metals by EP Tox Prep. (1310)	
The 8 EP Tox Metals by Total Digestion	
The 8 EP Tox Metals by TCLP (1311)	
Total Lead	X
Total Nickel	X

PROJECT INFORMATION	SAMPLE RECEIPT	RELINQUISHED BY: 1	RELINQUISHED BY: 2	RELINQUISHED BY: 3
PROJECT NO: _____	TOTAL NO. OF CONTAINERS: <u>4</u>	Signature: <u>[Signature]</u>	Signature: _____	Signature: _____
PROJECT NAME: <u>Phase I REE</u>	CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS: <u>V</u>	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____
P.O. NO. <u>01908</u>	INTACT?: <u>Y</u>	Date: <u>5-7-01</u>	Date: _____	Date: _____
SHIPPED VIA: <u>FEDEX</u>	RECEIVED GOOD COND./GOLD: <u>Y</u>	Company: <u>Giant</u>	Company: _____	Company: _____
SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS: _____	LAB NUMBER: <u>105625</u>	RECEIVED BY: 1	RECEIVED BY: 2	RECEIVED BY: (LAB) 3
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATI <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN	PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS REQUIRED FOR RUSH PROJECTS	Signature: _____	Signature: _____	Signature: <u>R. Jubegeusk</u>
TAT: (NORMAL) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (RUSH) <input type="checkbox"/> 24 <input type="checkbox"/> 48 <input type="checkbox"/> 72 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 WEEK	Comments: _____	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: _____	Printed Name: <u>R. Jubegeusk</u>
		Company: _____	Company: _____	Company: <u>Analytical Technologies, Inc.</u>



Analytical **Technologies**, Inc.

9830 S. 51st Street Suite B-113 Phoenix, AZ 85044 (602) 496-4400

ATI I.D. 106789

July 1, 1991

Giant Refining Company  
Route 3, P.O. Box 7  
Gallup, NM 87301

Project Name/Number: Phase I, RFI

Attention: Claud Rosendale

On 06/27/91, Analytical Technologies, Inc. received a request to analyze soil sample(s). The sample(s) were analyzed with EPA methodology or equivalent methods. The results of these analyses and the quality control data, which follow each set of analyses, are enclosed.

The sample marked on the chain-of-custody as RFI0633V15.0 was marked RFI0633V14.0 on the bottle, and has been logged in by the bottle description.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us at (602) 496-4400.

Elizabeth Proffitt  
Senior Project Manager

Robert V. Woods  
Laboratory Manager

RVW:clf  
Enclosure



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I, RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 06/20/91  
REPORT DATE : 06/27/91

ATI I.D. : 106789

ATI #	CLIENT DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	DATE COLLECTED
01	RFI0631V16.0	SOIL	06/18/91
02	RFI0632V11.0	SOIL	06/18/91
03	RFI0633V14.0	SOIL	06/18/91

----- TOTALS -----

MATRIX	# SAMPLES
SOIL	3

ATI STANDARD DISPOSAL PRACTICE

The samples from this project will be disposed of in thirty (30) days from the date of this report. If an extended storage period is required, please contact our sample control department before the scheduled disposal date.



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10678901

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I, RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0631V16.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 06/18/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 06/20/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 06/22/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 06/26/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 10

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BENZENE	0.58
TOLUENE	2.9
ETHYLBENZENE	0.94
TOTAL XYLENES	7.2
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<1.250

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 78



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10678902

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I, RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0632V11.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 06/18/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 06/20/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 06/22/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 06/26/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	<0.025
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.025
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.025
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 96



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10678903

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I, RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI0633V14.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 06/18/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 06/20/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 06/22/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 06/26/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	<0.025
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.025
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.025
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	94
------------------------	----



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I, RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 106789  
DATE EXTRACTED : 06/22/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 06/26/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

---

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BENZENE	<0.025
TOLUENE	<0.025
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.025
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.025
METHYL-t-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125

---

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%) 102



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 106789

TEST : BTEX (8020) AND MTBE

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I, RFI  
 REF I.D. : 10678003

DATE ANALYZED : 06/26/91  
 SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL  
 UNITS : MG/KG

COMPOUNDS	SAMPLE CONC. RESULT	CONC. SPIKED	SPIKED SAMPLE	% REC.	DUP.		RPD
					SPIKED SAMPLE	% REC.	
BENZENE	<0.025	1.0	1.05	105	0.91	91	14
TOLUENE	<0.025	1.0	1.06	106	0.96	96	10
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.025	1.0	1.06	106	0.98	98	8
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.025	3.0	3.11	104	2.90	97	7
METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER	<0.125	1.0	0.82	82	0.78	78	5

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative \% Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Spiked Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Spike Sample Result})}{\text{Average of Spiked Sample}} \times 100$$



Analytical Technologies, Inc.  
Phoenix, Arizona

# Chain of Custody

DATE 6-18-91 PAGE 1 OF 2

PROJECT MANAGER: CLAUD ROSENDALE			ANALYSIS REQUEST																				
COMPANY: <u>GIANT REFINING</u>																							
ADDRESS: <u>RT 3 BOX 7</u>																							
BILL TO: <u>GALLUP, NM 87301</u>																							
COMPANY: <u>SAFARI</u>																							
ADDRESS: _____																							
SAMPLERS: (Signature) <u>Lynn Shelton</u> (505) 722 0227																							
PHONE NUMBER																							
SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	LAB ID	(MOD 8015) Gas/Diesel	Diesel/Gasoline/BTXE (MOD 8015/8020)	BTXE (8020)	Chlorinated Hydrocarbons (601/8010)	Aromatic Hydrocarbons (602/8020)	MTBE	Pesticides/PCB (608/8080)	Herbicides (615/8150)	Base/Neutral/Acid Compounds GC/MS (625/8270)	Volatile Organics GC/MS (624/8240)	SDWA Primary Standards	SDWA Secondary Standards	SDWA Volatiles (502.1/503.1)	The 13 Priority Pollutant Metals	The 8 EP Tox Metals by EP Tox Prep. (1310)	The 8 EP Tox Metals by Total Digestion	The 8 EP Tox Metals by TCLP (1311)	NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	
RFE0631V16.0	6-18-91	8:15 AM	SOIL	1		X																	1
RFE0632V11.0	6-18-91	12:10 PM	SOIL	2		X																	1
RFE0633V15.0	6-18-91	10:50 AM	SOIL	3		X																	1
REPO6	6-18-91		SOIL																				

PROJECT INFORMATION		SAMPLE RECEIPT	
PROJECT NO:		TOTAL NO. OF CONTAINERS	3
PROJECT NAME: <u>PHASE I, RFE</u>		CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS	7
P.O. NO. <u>01908</u>		INTACT?	7
SHIPPED VIA: <u>FED EXPRESS</u>		RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD	7
SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS		LAB NUMBER	106789
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATI <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN		PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS REQUIRED FOR RUSH PROJECTS	
TAT: (NORMAL) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (RUSH) <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> 24 <input type="checkbox"/> 48 <input type="checkbox"/> 72 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 WEEK	
Comments:			

RELINQUISHED BY:	RELINQUISHED BY:	RELINQUISHED BY:
Signature: <u>Lynn Shelton</u>	Signature:	Signature:
Printed Name: <u>Lynn Shelton</u>	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
Date: <u>6-18-91</u>	Date:	Date:
Company: <u>GIANT REFINING</u>	Company:	Company:
Signature:	Signature:	Signature:
Printed Name:	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
Date:	Date:	Date:
Company:	Company:	Company:

RECEIVED BY:	RECEIVED BY:	RECEIVED BY:
Signature: <u>Linda Estelma</u>	Signature:	Signature:
Printed Name: <u>Linda Estelma</u>	Printed Name:	Printed Name:
Date: <u>6/20/91</u>	Date:	Date:
Company: <u>Analytical Technologies, Inc.</u>	Company:	Company:

ATT Labs: San Diego (619)458-9141 • Phoenix (602)438-1530 • Seattle (206)228-8335 • Pensacola (904)474-1001 DISTRIBUTION: White, Canary • ANALYTICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. • Pink • ORIGINATOR



Analytical **Technologies**, Inc.

9830 S. 51st Street Suite B-113 Phoenix, AZ 85044 (602) 496-4400

ATI I.D. 105624

June 10, 1991

Giant Refining Company  
Route 3, P.O. Box 7  
Gallup, NM 87301

Project Name/Number: Phase I RFI

Attention: Claud Rosendale

On 05/08/91, Analytical Technologies, Inc. received a request to analyze soil sample(s). The sample(s) were analyzed with EPA methodology or equivalent methods. The results of these analyses and the quality control data, which follow each set of analyses, are enclosed.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us at (602) 496-4400.

Elizabeth Proffitt  
Senior Project Manager

Robert V. Woods  
Laboratory Manager

RVW:clf  
Enclosure



CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 05/08/91  
REPORT DATE : 05/22/91

ATI I.D. : 105624

ATI #	CLIENT DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	DATE COLLECTED
01	RFI0814V7.0	SOIL	05/06/91
02	RFI0815V7.0	SOIL	05/06/91
03	RFI0815D7.0	SOIL	05/06/91

----- TOTALS -----

MATRIX	# SAMPLES
SOIL	3

ATI STANDARD DISPOSAL PRACTICE

The samples from this project will be disposed of in thirty (30) days from the date of this report. If an extended storage period is required, please contact our sample control department before the scheduled disposal date.

## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562401

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8270

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/06/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI0814V7.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/17/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
PHENOL	<0.17
- 1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
- 1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
- 1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
4-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<0.17
- NAPHTHALENE	<0.17
- DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
- 2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<0.85
- 4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85
- DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
- PHENANTHRENE	<0.17
- ANTHRACENE	<0.17
- DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
- FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
- PYRENE	<0.17
- BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
- BENZO (a) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
- BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE	<0.17
- CHRYSENE	<0.17
- DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
- BENZO (b) FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
- BENZO (k) FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
- BENZO (a) PYRENE	<0.17
- DIBENZO (a, h) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
- BENZENETHIOL	<0.17
- DIBENZO (A, J) ACRIDINE	<0.17 *
- 7, 12-DIMETHYLBENZ (a) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
- INDENE	<0.17
- METHYLCHRYSENE	<0.17
- 1-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<0.17
- 3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
- PYRIDINE	<0.17
- QUINOLINE	<0.85

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA.



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8270

ATI I.D. : 10562401

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	46
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	64
TERPHENYL (%)	85
PHENOL-D6 (%)	35
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	53
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	29



## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562402

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8270

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/06/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI0815V7.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/17/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----------	---------

PHENOL	<0.17
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
4-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<0.17
NAPHTHALENE	<0.17
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<0.85
4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
PHENANTHRENE	<0.17
ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
PYRENE	<0.17
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
BENZO (a) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE	<0.17
CHRYSENE	<0.17
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
BENZO (b) FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO (k) FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO (a) PYRENE	<0.17
DIBENZO (a, h) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BENZENETHIOL	<0.17
DIBENZO (A, J) ACRIDINE	<0.17 *
7,12-DIMETHYLBENZ (a) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
INDENE	<0.17
METHYLCHRYSENE	<0.17
1-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<0.17
3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
PYRIDINE	<0.17
QUINOLINE	<0.85

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA.



TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8270

ATI I.D. : 10562402

-----  
COMPOUNDS

-----  
RESULTS  
-----

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	38
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	50
TERPHENYL (%)	70
PHENOL-D6 (%)	29
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	46
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	22

## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10562403

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8270

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/06/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI0815D7.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/17/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
PHENOL	<0.17
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
4-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<0.17
NAPHTHALENE	<0.17
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<0.85
4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
PHENANTHRENE	<0.17
ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
PYRENE	<0.17
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
BENZO (a) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE	<0.17
CHRYSENE	<0.17
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
BENZO (b) FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO (k) FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO (a) PYRENE	<0.17
DIBENZO (a, h) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BENZENETHIOL	<0.17
DIBENZO (A, J) ACRIDINE	<0.17 *
7,12-DIMETHYLBENZ (A) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
INDENE	<0.17
METHYLCHRYSENE	<0.17
1-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<0.17
3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
PYRIDINE	<0.17
QUINOLINE	<0.85

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA.



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8270

ATI I.D. : 10562403

-----  
COMPOUNDS

-----  
RESULTS  
-----

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	45
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	59
TERPHENYL (%)	74
PHENOL-D6 (%)	33
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	47
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	22

## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8270

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	ATI I.D.	: 105624
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/17/91
CLIENT I.D.	: REAGENT BLANK	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: N/A

---

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----------	---------

---

PHENOL	<0.17
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
4-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<0.17
NAPHTHALENE	<0.17
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<0.85
4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
PHENANTHRENE	<0.17
ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
PYRENE	<0.17
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
BENZO (a) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE	<0.17
CHRYSENE	<0.17
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
BENZO (b) FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO (k) FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO (a) PYRENE	<0.17
DIBENZO (a, h) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BENZENETHIOL	<0.17
DIBENZO (A, J) ACRIDINE	<0.17 *
7,12-DIMETHYLBENZ (a) ANTHRACENE	<0.17
INDENE	<0.17
METHYLCHRYSENE	<0.17
1-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<0.17
3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
PYRIDINE	<0.17
QUINOLINE	<0.85

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA.



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (SKINNER LIST) EPA 8270

ATI I.D. : 105624

-----  
COMPOUNDS

-----  
RESULTS  
-----

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	46
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	51
TERPHENYL (%)	76
PHENOL-D6 (%)	46
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	54
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	15



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105624

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 REF I.D. : 10599923

DATE ANALYZED : 05/20/91  
 SAMPLE MATRIX : NON-AQUEOUS  
 UNITS : MG/KG

COMPOUNDS	SAMPLE RESULT	CONC. SPIKED	SPIKED SAMPLE	% REC.	DUP. SPIKED SAMPLE	% REC.	RPD
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17	1.7	1.6	76	1.2	71	8
ACENAPHTHENE	<0.17	1.7	1.3	76	1.2	71	8
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<0.17	1.7	0.9	53	0.9	53	0
PYRENE	<0.17	1.7	1.7	100	1.6	94	6
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<0.17	1.7	1.4	82	1.3	76	7
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17	1.7	1.3	76	1.1	65	17
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<0.85	3.3	3.9	118	3.5	106	11
PHENOL	<0.17	3.3	2.8	85	2.6	79	7
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<0.17	3.3	2.7	82	2.6	79	4
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17	3.3	3.0	91	3.0	91	0
4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85	3.3	2.9	88	3.0	91	3

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative \% Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Spiked Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Spike Sample Result})}{\text{Average of Spiked Sample}} \times 100$$

# Chain of Custody

PROJECT MANAGER: Elizabeth Proffitt		ANALYSIS REQUEST																																	
COMPANY: Giant Refining Co.		PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (418.1)		DIESEL/GASOLINE/BTEX (MOD 8015/8020)		CHLORINATED HYDROCARBONS (601/8010)		AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS (602/8020)		MTBE		PESICIDES/PCB (608/8080)		HERBICIDES (615/8150)		BASE/NEUTRAL/ACID COMPOUNDS GC/MS (8270)		VOLATILE ORGANICS GC/MS (624/8240)		SDWA PRIMARY STANDARDS		SDWA SECONDARY STANDARDS		SDWA VOLATILES (502.1/503.1)		THE 13 PRIORITY POLLUTANT METALS		THE 8 EP TOX METALS BY EP TOX PREP. (1310)		THE 8 EP TOX METALS BY TOTAL DIGESTION		THE 8 EP TOX METALS BY TCLP (1311)		NUMBER OF CONTAINERS	
ADDRESS: Rt 3 Box 7		SAMPLE ID		DATE		TIME		MATRIX		LAB ID		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)			
BILL TO: Same		BFE0814V70		5-6		0840		Soil		1		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]			
COMPANY: Same		BFE0815V70		5-6		0900		Soil		2		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]	
ADDRESS: Same		BFE0815D70		5-6		0900		Soil		3		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]	
SAMPLERS: (Signature) _____		PHONE NUMBER		505 722-0217																															
PROJECT NO:		TOTAL NO OF CONTAINERS		CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS		INTACT?		RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD		LAB NUMBER		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)		RECEIVED BY: (LAB)			
PROJECT NAME: Phase I BFE		P.O. NO. 01908		SHIPPED VIA: Fed. Exp		SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS		PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS REQUIRED FOR RUSH PROJECTS		TAT: (NORMAL) X		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]		Signature: [Signature]	
Comments: See Attachment for specific Analysis		(RUSH) <input type="checkbox"/> 24 <input type="checkbox"/> 48 <input type="checkbox"/> 72 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 WEEK		DISTRIBUTION: White, Canary - ANALYTICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. • Pink - ORIGINATOR																															

TABLE -1  
BACKGROUND METALS

Total Metals

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Analytical Method</u>	<u>Reporting Limit mg/kg</u>
✓Antimony	6010	6.0
✓Arsenic	7060	0.5
✓Barium	6010	1.0
✓Beryllium	6010	0.2
✓Cadmium	6010	0.5
✓Chromium	6010	1.0
✓Cobalt	6010	1.0
✓Copper	6010	2.0
✓Lead	6010	5.0
✓Mercury	7471	0.2
✓Nickel	6010	4.0
✓Potassium	6010	500
✓Selenium	7740	0.5
✓Vanadium	6010	1.0
✓Zinc	6010	2.0

TABLE-4  
SKINNER LIST

METHOD 8240

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Reporting Limit (ug/kg)</u>
Benzene	500
Carbon disulfide	500
Chlorobenzene	500
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	1,000
1,2-Dibromomethane	1,000
1,2-Dichloroethane	500
1,4-Dioxane	50,000
*Ethyl Benzene	500
Methyl ethyl ketone (2-butanone)	1,000
Styrene	500
Toluene	500
Xylenes	500

METHOD 8270

Anthracene	5,000
Benzenethiol	-
Benzo(a)anthracene	5,000
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5,000
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5,000
Benzo(a)pyrene	5,000
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	5,000
Bucyl benzyl phthalate	5,000
Chrysene	5,000
Dibenzo(a,X)acridine	-
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5,000
Di-n-butylphthalate	5,000
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5,000
Diethyl phthalate	5,000
7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	5,000
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5,000
Dimethyl phthalate	5,000
2,4-Dinitrophenol	25,000
Di-n-octyl phthalate	5,000
Fluoranthene	5,000
Indene	5,000
Methylchrysene	-
1-Methylnaphthalene	5,000
2-Methylphenol	5,000
3-Methylphenol	5,000
4-Methylphenol	5,000
Naphthalene	5,000
4-Nitrophenol	25,000
Phenanthrene	5,000

TABLE-4 Continued

Phenol.	5,000
Pyrene	5,000
Pyridine	10,000
Quinoline	25,000



Analytical **Technologies**, Inc.

9830 S. 51st Street Suite B-113 Phoenix, AZ 85044 (602) 496-4400

ATI I.D. 105674

June 11, 1991

Giant Refining Company  
Route 3, P.O. Box 7  
Gallup, NM 87301

Project Name/Number: Phase I RFI

Attention: Claud Rosendale

On 05/10/91, Analytical Technologies, Inc. received a request to analyze soil & aqueous sample(s). The sample(s) were analyzed with EPA methodology or equivalent methods. The results of these analyses and the quality control data, which follow each set of analyses, are enclosed.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us at (602) 496-4400.

Elizabeth Proffitt  
Senior Project Manager

Robert V. Woods  
Laboratory Manager

RVW:clf  
Enclosure



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 05/10/91

REPORT DATE : 05/29/91

ATI I.D. : 105674

ATI #	CLIENT DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	DATE COLLECTED
01	RFI1006V15.0	SOIL	05/08/91
02	RFI1006D15.0	SOIL	05/08/91
03	RFI1007V15.0	SOIL	05/09/91
04	RFI1007E15.0	AQUEOUS	05/09/91

----- TOTALS -----

MATRIX	# SAMPLES
SOIL	3
AQUEOUS	1

ATI STANDARD DISPOSAL PRACTICE

The samples from this project will be disposed of in thirty (30) days from the date of this report. If an extended storage period is required, please contact our sample control department before the scheduled disposal date.



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

METALS RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 105674

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 05/10/91

REPORT DATE : 05/29/91

PARAMETER	UNITS	01	02	03
CHROMIUM	MG/KG	4.2	8.4	4.8
COPPER	MG/KG	4.8	6.8	5.5
LEAD	MG/KG	9	11	10
ZINC	MG/KG	12.9	22.0	13.3



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

METALS RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 105674

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 05/10/91

REPORT DATE : 05/29/91

PARAMETER	UNITS	04
CHROMIUM	MG/L	<0.010
COPPER	MG/L	0.010
LEAD	MG/L	<0.002
ZINC	MG/L	0.016



METALS - QUALITY CONTROL

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

ATI I.D. : 105674

PARAMETER	UNITS	ATI I.D.	SAMPLE RESULT	DUP. RESULT	RPD	SPIKED SAMPLE	SPIKE CONC	% REC
CHROMIUM	MG/L	10566901	0.015	0.014	7	0.983	1.00	97
CHROMIUM	MG/KG	10559203	10.0	12.1	19	54.5	50.0	89
COPPER	MG/L	10569401	0.261	0.264	1	0.365	0.100	104
COPPER	MG/KG	10559203	16.3	15.5	5	36.3	25.0	80
LEAD	MG/L	10569401	0.006	0.006	0	0.061	0.050	110
LEAD	MG/KG	10559203	9	9	0	49	50	80
ZINC	MG/L	10566901	0.062	0.063	2	0.572	0.500	102
ZINC	MG/KG	10559201	58.6	57.9	1	110	50.0	103

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative Percent Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Result})}{\text{Average Result}} \times 100$$

## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567401

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/10/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/14/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI1006V15.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/20/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
CHLOROMETHANE	<0.50
VINYL CHLORIDE	<0.05
CHLOROETHANE	<0.05
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	<0.3
ACETONE	<1.0
CARBON DISULFIDE	<0.5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<0.5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	<0.5
CHLOROFORM	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<0.50
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<0.5
VINYL ACETATE	<0.50
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	<0.5
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<0.5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<0.5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
BENZENE	<0.5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<0.5
BROMOFORM	<0.3
2-HEXANONE (MBK)	<0.50
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	<0.5
TETRACHLOROETHENE	<0.5
TOLUENE	<0.5
CHLOROBENZENE	<0.5
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5
STYRENE	<0.5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5
ACROLEIN	<1
ACRYLONITRILE	<0.50
DIBROMOMETHANE	<0.5
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	<0.5
METHYL IODIDE	<0.25
TRANS-1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTENE	<0.25
TRICHLOROMONOFUOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	<0.5



TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
ETHYL METHACRYLATE	<0.25
ETHANOL	<10
BROMOMETHANE	<1
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLETHER	<0.5
1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTANE	<0.5

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	114
BFB (%)	102
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	105

## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567402

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/10/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/14/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI1006D15.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/20/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

---

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----------	---------

---

CHLOROMETHANE	<0.50
VINYL CHLORIDE	<0.05
CHLOROETHANE	<0.05
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	<0.3
ACETONE	<1.0
CARBON DISULFIDE	<0.5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<0.5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	<0.5
CHLOROFORM	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<0.50
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<0.5
VINYL ACETATE	<0.50
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	<0.5
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<0.5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<0.5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
BENZENE	<0.5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<0.5
BROMOFORM	<0.3
2-HEXANONE (MBK)	<0.50
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	<0.5
TETRACHLOROETHENE	<0.5
TOLUENE	<0.5
CHLOROBENZENE	<0.5
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5
STYRENE	<0.5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5
ACROLEIN	<1
ACRYLONITRILE	<0.50
DIBROMOMETHANE	<0.5
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	<0.5
METHYL IODIDE	<0.25
TRANS-1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTENE	<0.25
TRICHLOROMONOFUOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	<0.5

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
ETHYL METHACRYLATE	<0.25
ETHANOL	<10
BROMOMETHANE	<1
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLETHER	<0.5
1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTANE	<0.5

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	117
BFB (%)	101
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	106



## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567403

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : RFI1007V15.0  
 SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/09/91  
 DATE RECEIVED : 05/10/91  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/14/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/20/91  
 UNITS : MG/KG  
 DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
CHLOROMETHANE	<0.50
VINYL CHLORIDE	<0.05
CHLOROETHANE	<0.05
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	<0.3
ACETONE	<1.0
CARBON DISULFIDE	<0.5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<0.5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	<0.5
CHLOROFORM	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<0.50
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<0.5
VINYL ACETATE	<0.50
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	<0.5
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<0.5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<0.5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
BENZENE	<0.5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<0.5
BROMOFORM	<0.3
2-HEXANONE (MBK)	<0.50
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	<0.5
TETRACHLOROETHENE	<0.5
TOLUENE	<0.5
CHLOROBENZENE	<0.5
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5
STYRENE	<0.5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5
ACROLEIN	<1
ACRYLONITRILE	<0.50
DIBROMOMETHANE	<0.5
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	<0.5
METHYL IODIDE	<0.25
TRANS-1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTENE	<0.25
TRICHLOROMONOFUOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	<0.5

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
ETHYL METHACRYLATE	<0.25
ETHANOL	<10
BROMOMETHANE	<1
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLEETHER	<0.5
1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTANE	<0.5

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	98
BFB (%)	107
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	105



## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105674  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/14/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/20/91  
 UNITS : MG/KG  
 DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
CHLOROMETHANE	<0.50
VINYL CHLORIDE	<0.05
CHLOROETHANE	<0.05
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	<0.3
ACETONE	<1.0
CARBON DISULFIDE	<0.5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<0.5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	<0.5
CHLOROFORM	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<0.50
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<0.5
VINYL ACETATE	<0.50
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	<0.5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	<0.5
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<0.5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<0.5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	<0.5
BENZENE	<0.5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<0.5
BROMOFORM	<0.3
2-HEXANONE (MBK)	<0.50
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	<0.5
TETRACHLOROETHENE	<0.5
TOLUENE	<0.5
CHLOROBENZENE	<0.5
ETHYLBENZENE	<0.5
STYRENE	<0.5
TOTAL XYLENES	<0.5
ACROLEIN	<1
ACRYLONITRILE	<0.50
DIBROMOMETHANE	<0.5
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	<0.5
METHYL IODIDE	<0.25
TRANS-1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTENE	<0.25
TRICHLOROMONOFUOROMETHANE	<0.5
1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	<0.5
ETHYL METHACRYLATE	<0.25
ETHANOL	<10

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



GCMS - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105674

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BROMOMETHANE	<1
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLETHER	<0.5
1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTANE	<0.5

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	111
BFB (%)	103
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	110



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105674

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.
PROJECT # : (NONE)
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI
REF I.D. : 10699918

DATE ANALYZED : 05/23/91
SAMPLE MATRIX : NON-AQUEOUS
UNITS : MG/KG

Table with 8 columns: COMPOUNDS, SAMPLE RESULT, CONC. SPIKED, SPIKED SAMPLE, % REC., DUP. SPIKED SAMPLE, DUP. % REC., RPD. Rows include 1,1-DICHLOROETHENE, TRICHLOROETHENE, CHLOROBENZENE, TOLUENE, and BENZENE.

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) / Spike Concentration x 100

RPD (Relative % Difference) = (Spiked Sample Result - Duplicate Spike Sample Result) / Average of Spiked Sample x 100



GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567404

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.
PROJECT # : (NONE)
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI
CLIENT I.D. : RFI1007E15.0
SAMPLE MATRIX : AQUEOUS

DATE SAMPLED : 05/09/91
DATE RECEIVED : 05/10/91
DATE EXTRACTED : N/A
DATE ANALYZED : 05/23/91
UNITS : UG/L
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS

RESULTS

Table with 2 columns: COMPOUNDS and RESULTS. Lists various chemical compounds and their corresponding results, such as CHLOROMETHANE <5, VINYL CHLORIDE <0.5, etc.



GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567404

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
ETHYL METHACRYLATE	<2.5
ETHANOL	<100
BROMOMETHANE	<10
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLEETHER	<5
1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTANE	<0.5

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	103
BFB (%)	103
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	104



## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105674  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/23/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/23/91  
 UNITS : UG/L  
 DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
CHLOROMETHANE	<5
VINYL CHLORIDE	<0.5
CHLOROETHANE	<0.5
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	<3
ACETONE	<10
CARBON DISULFIDE	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	<5
CHLOROFORM	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<5
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	<5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<5
VINYL ACETATE	<5
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	<5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	<5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	<5
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	<5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	<5
BENZENE	<5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<5
BROMOFORM	<3
2-HEXANONE (MBK)	<5
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	<5
TETRACHLOROETHENE	<5
TOLUENE	<5
CHLOROBENZENE	<5
ETHYLBENZENE	<5
STYRENE	<5
TOTAL XYLENES	<5
ACROLEIN	<10
ACRYLONITRILE	<5
DIBROMOMETHANE	<5
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	<5
METHYL IODIDE	<2.5
TRANS-1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTENE	<2.5
TRICHLOROMONOFUOROMETHANE	<5
1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	<5
ETHYL METHACRYLATE	<2.5
ETHANOL	<100

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



GCMS - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105674

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
BROMOMETHANE	<10
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLEETHER	<5
1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTANE	<0.5

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	105
BFB (%)	103
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	106



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105674

TEST : VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8240) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.

PROJECT # : (NONE)

DATE ANALYZED : 05/28/91

PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

SAMPLE MATRIX : AQUEOUS

REF I.D. : 10699904

UNITS : UG/L

COMPOUNDS	SAMPLE RESULT	CONC. SPIKED	SPIKED SAMPLE	% REC.	DUP.	DUP.	RPD
					SPIKED SAMPLE	% REC.	
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<5	50	41	82	43	86	5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<5	50	47	94	48	96	2
CHLOROBENZENE	<5	50	45	90	46	92	2
TOLUENE	<5	50	44	88	44	88	0
BENZENE	<5	50	41	82	42	84	2

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative \% Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Spiked Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Spike Sample Result})}{\text{Average of Spiked Sample}} \times 100$$

## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567401

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
CLIENT I.D. : RFI1006V15.0  
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/08/91  
DATE RECEIVED : 05/10/91  
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/13/91  
DATE ANALYZED : 05/21/91  
UNITS : MG/KG  
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	<0.17
PHENOL	<0.17
ANILINE	<0.17
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	<0.17
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
BENZYL ALCOHOL	<0.17
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER	<0.17
4-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<0.17
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<0.17
NITROBENZENE	<0.17
ISOPHORENE	<0.17
2-NITROPHENOL	<0.17
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<0.17
BENZOIC ACID	<0.85
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE	<0.17
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
NAPHTHALENE	<0.17
4-CHLOROANILINE	<0.17
HEXACHLOROBU'TADIENE	<0.17
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<0.17
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	<0.17
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<0.85
2-NITROANILINE	<0.85
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<0.17
3-NITROANINLINE	<0.85
ACENAPHTHENE	<0.17
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<0.85
4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<0.17
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	<0.17
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
4-CHLOROPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<0.17

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567401

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
FLUORENE	<0.17
4-NITROANILINE	<0.85
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.85
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	<0.17
4-BROMOPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<0.17
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<0.85
PHENANTHRENE	<0.17
ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZIDINE	<0.17
PYRENE	<0.17
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	<0.34
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	<0.17
CHRYSENE	<0.17
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO(a)PYRENE	<0.17
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	<0.17
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	<0.17
1-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<0.17
2-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<0.17
DIBENZO(A,J)ACRIDINE	<0.17 *
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	<0.17

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	77
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	76
TERPHENYL (%)	90
PHENOL-D6 (%)	63
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	76
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	57

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA



GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567401

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/10/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI1006V15.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/21/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

-----	-----
COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----	-----

ACETOPHENONE	<0.17
a,a-DIMETHYLPHENETHYLAMINE	<0.17
4-AMINOBIIPHENYL	<0.17
2,6-DICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
p-(DIMETHYLAMINO)AZOBENZENE	<0.17
7,12-DIMETHYLBENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DIPHENYLAMINE	<0.17
ETHYL METHANESULFONATE	<0.17
3-METHYLCHOLANTHRENE	<0.17
METHYL METHANESULFONATE	<0.17
3-METHYLPHENOL (m-CRESOL)	<0.17
1-NAPHTHYLAMINE	<0.17
2-NAPHTHYLAMINE	<0.17
N-NITROSO-DI-BUTYLAMINE	<0.17
N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE	<0.17
PENTACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
PENTACHLORONITROBENZENE	<0.85
PHENACETIN	<0.17
2-PICOLINE	<0.17
PRONAMIDE	<0.17
1,2,4,5-TETRACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2,3,4,6-TETRACHLOROPHENOL	<0.85



Analytical **Technologies**, Inc.

ADDITIONAL COMPOUNDS (SEMI-QUANTITATED)

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

ATI I.D. : 10567401

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
SUBSTITUTED NAPHTHALENES	10
TOTAL EXTRACTABLE HYDROCARBONS C8-C14	30

## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567402

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/10/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: N/A
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI1006D15.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/13/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 10

-----	-----
COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----	-----

N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	<1.7
PHENOL	<1.7
ANILINE	<1.7
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	<1.7
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<1.7
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<1.7
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<1.7
BENZYL ALCOHOL	<1.7
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<1.7
2-METHYLPHENOL	<1.7
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER	<1.7
4-METHYLPHENOL	<1.7
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<1.7
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<1.7
NITROBENZENE	<1.7
ISOPHORENE	<1.7
2-NITROPHENOL	<1.7
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<1.7
BENZOIC ACID	<8.5
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE	<1.7
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<1.7
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<1.7
NAPHTHALENE	TR
4-CHLOROANILINE	<1.7
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	<1.7
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<1.7
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	3
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	<1.7
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<1.7
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<8.5
2-NITROANILINE	<8.5
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<1.7
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<1.7
3-NITROANINLINE	<8.5
ACENAPHTHENE	<1.7
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<8.5
4-NITROPHENOL	<8.5
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<1.7
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	<1.7
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<1.7
4-CHLOROPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<1.7

TR - Compound detected at an unquantifiable trace level

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567402

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
FLUORENE	TR
4-NITROANILINE	<8.5
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	<8.5
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	<1.7
4-BROMOPHENYL-PHENYLEETHER	<1.7
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<1.7
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<8.5
PHENANTHRENE	4
ANTHRACENE	<1.7
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<1.7
FLUORANTHENE	<1.7
BENZIDINE	<1.7
PYRENE	<1.7
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<1.7
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	<3.4
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<1.7
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	<1.7
CHRYSENE	<1.7
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	<1.7
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	<1.7
BENZO(a)PYRENE	<1.7
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	<1.7
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	<1.7
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	<1.7
1-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<1.7
2-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<1.7
DIBENZO(A,J)ACRIDINE	<1.7*
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<1.7
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	<1.7

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	65
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	81
TERPHENYL (%)	85
PHENOL-D6 (%)	58
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	59
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	39

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA



GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567402

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/08/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/10/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI1006D15.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/21/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 10

-----	-----
COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----	-----

ACETOPHENONE	<1.7
a,a-DIMETHYLPHENETHYLAMINE	<1.7
4-AMINOBIHENYL	<1.7
2,6-DICHLOROPHENOL	<1.7
p-(DIMETHYLAMINO)AZOBENZENE	<1.7
7,12-DIMETHYLBENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<1.7
DIPHENYLAMINE	<1.7
ETHYL METHANESULFONATE	<1.7
3-METHYLCHOLANTHRENE	<1.7
METHYL METHANESULFONATE	<1.7
3-METHYLPHENOL (m-CRESOL)	<1.7
1-NAPHTHYLAMINE	<1.7
2-NAPHTHYLAMINE	<1.7
N-NITROSO-DI-BUTYLAMINE	<1.7
N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE	<1.7
PENTACHLOROBENZENE	<1.7
PENTACHLORONITROBENZENE	<8.5
PHENACETIN	<1.7
2-PICOLINE	<1.7
PRONAMIDE	<1.7
1,2,4,5-TETRACHLOROBENZENE	<1.7
2,3,4,6-TETRACHLOROPHENOL	<8.5



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

ADDITIONAL COMPOUNDS (SEMI-QUANTITATED)

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

ATI I.D. : 10567402

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
SUBSTITUTED NAPHTHALENES	50
TOTAL EXTRACTABLE HYDROCARBONS C8-C25	1000
METHYL ANTHRACENE	10



## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567403

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : RFI1007V15.0  
 SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/09/91  
 DATE RECEIVED : 05/10/91  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/13/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/21/91  
 UNITS : MG/KG  
 DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----------	---------

N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	<0.17
PHENOL	<0.17
ANILINE	<0.17
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	<0.17
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
BENZYL ALCOHOL	<0.17
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER	<0.17
4-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<0.17
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<0.17
NITROBENZENE	<0.17
ISOPHORENE	<0.17
2-NITROPHENOL	<0.17
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<0.17
BENZOIC ACID	<0.85
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)METHANE	<0.17
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
NAPHTHALENE	0.2
4-CHLOROANILINE	<0.17
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	<0.17
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	1.1
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	<0.17
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<0.85
2-NITROANILINE	<0.85
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<0.17
3-NITROANILINE	<0.85
ACENAPHTHENE	<0.17
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<0.85
4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<0.17
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	<0.17
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
4-CHLOROPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<0.17

TR - Compound detected at an unquantifiable trace level

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
FLUORENE	TR
4-NITROANILINE	<0.85
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.85
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	<0.17
4-BROMOPHENYL-PHENYLEETHER	<0.17
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<0.85
PHENANTHRENE	0.2
ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZIDINE	<0.17
PYRENE	<0.17
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	<0.34
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	<0.17
CHRYSENE	<0.17
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO(a)PYRENE	<0.17
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	<0.17
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	<0.17
1-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<0.17
2-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<0.17
DIBENZO(A,J)ACRIDINE	<0.17*
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	<0.17

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	81
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	79
TERPHENYL (%)	106
PHENOL-D6 (%)	76
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	84
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	78

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA



GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567403

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/09/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/10/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI1007V15.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/21/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: SOIL	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
ACETOPHENONE	<0.17
a,a-DIMETHYLPHENETHYLAMINE	<0.17
4-AMINOBIIPHENYL	<0.17
2,6-DICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
p-(DIMETHYLAMINO)AZOBENZENE	<0.17
7,12-DIMETHYLBENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DIPHENYLAMINE	<0.17
ETHYL METHANESULFONATE	<0.17
3-METHYLCHOLANTHRENE	<0.17
METHYL METHANESULFONATE	<0.17
3-METHYLPHENOL (m-CRESOL)	<0.17
1-NAPHTHYLAMINE	<0.17
2-NAPHTHYLAMINE	<0.17
N-NITROSO-DI-BUTYLAMINE	<0.17
N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE	<0.17
PENTACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
PENTACHLORONITROBENZENE	<0.85
PHENACETIN	<0.17
2-PICOLINE	<0.17
PRONAMIDE	<0.17
1,2,4,5-TETRACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2,3,4,6-TETRACHLOROPHENOL	<0.85



Analytical **Technologies**, Inc.

ADDITIONAL COMPOUNDS (SEMI-QUANTITATED)

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

ATI I.D. : 10567403

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
SUBSTITUTED NAPHTHALENES	8
METHYL ANTHRACENE	1
TOTAL EXTRACTABLE HYDROCARBONS C8-C25	500



## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	ATI I.D.	: 105674
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/17/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/17/91
CLIENT I.D.	: REAGENT BLANK	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: N/A

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	<0.17
PHENOL	<0.17
ANILINE	<0.17
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	<0.17
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
BENZYL ALCOHOL	<0.17
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER	<0.17
4-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<0.17
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<0.17
NITROBENZENE	<0.17
ISOPHORENE	<0.17
2-NITROPHENOL	<0.17
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<0.17
BENZOIC ACID	<0.85
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)METHANE	<0.17
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
NAPHTHALENE	<0.17
4-CHLOROANILINE	<0.17
HEXACHLOROBTADIENE	<0.17
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<0.17
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	<0.17
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<0.85
2-NITROANILINE	<0.85
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<0.17
3-NITROANINLINE	<0.85
ACENAPHTHENE	<0.17
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<0.85
4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<0.17
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	<0.17
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
4-CHLOROPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<0.17
FLUORENE	<0.17
4-NITROANILINE	<0.85

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



## GCMS - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105674

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	<0.85
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	<0.17
4-BROMOPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<0.17
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<0.85
PHENANTHRENE	<0.17
ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZIDINE	<0.17
PYRENE	<0.17
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	<0.34
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	<0.17
CHRYSENE	<0.17
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	<0.17
BENZO(a)PYRENE	<0.17
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	<0.17
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	<0.17
1-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<0.17
2-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<0.17
DIBENZO(A,J)ACRIDINE	<0.17 *
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<0.17
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	<0.17

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	46
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	51
TERPHENYL (%)	76
PHENOL-D6 (%)	46
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	54
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	15

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA

## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	ATI I.D.	: 105674
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/17/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/17/91
CLIENT I.D.	: REAGENT BLANK	UNITS	: MG/KG
		DILUTION FACTOR	: N/A

---

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----------	---------

---

ACETOPHENONE	<0.17
a,a-DIMETHYLPHENETHYLAMINE	<0.17
4-AMINOBIHENYL	<0.17
2,6-DICHLOROPHENOL	<0.17
p-(DIMETHYLAMINO)AZOBENZENE	<0.17
7,12-DIMETHYLBENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<0.17
DIPHENYLAMINE	<0.17
ETHYL METHANESULFONATE	<0.17
3-METHYLCHOLANTHRENE	<0.17
METHYL METHANESULFONATE	<0.17
3-METHYLPHENOL (m-CRESOL)	<0.17
1-NAPHTHYLAMINE	<0.17
2-NAPHTHYLAMINE	<0.17
N-NITROSO-DI-BUTYLAMINE	<0.17
N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE	<0.17
PENTACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
PENTACHLORONITROBENZENE	<0.85
PHENACETIN	<0.17
2-PICOLINE	<0.17
PRONAMIDE	<0.17
1,2,4,5-TETRACHLOROBENZENE	<0.17
2,3,4,6-TETRACHLOROPHENOL	<0.85



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105674

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 REF I.D. : 10699918

DATE ANALYZED : 05/20/91  
 SAMPLE MATRIX : NON-AQUEOUS  
 UNITS : MG/KG

COMPOUNDS	SAMPLE RESULT	CONC. SPIKED	SPIKED SAMPLE	% REC.	DUP. SPIKED SAMPLE	DUP. % REC.	RPD
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17	1.7	1.3	76	1.2	71	8
ACENAPHTHENE	<0.17	1.7	1.3	76	1.2	71	8
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<0.17	1.7	0.9	53	0.9	53	0
PYRENE	<0.17	1.7	1.7	100	1.6	94	6
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYL AMINE	<0.17	1.7	1.4	82	1.3	76	7
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<0.17	1.7	1.3	76	1.1	65	17
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<0.85	3.3	3.9	118	3.5	106	11
PHENOL	<0.17	3.3	2.8	85	2.6	79	7
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<0.17	3.3	2.7	82	2.6	79	4
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<0.17	3.3	3.0	91	3.0	91	0
4-NITROPHENOL	<0.85	3.3	2.9	88	3.0	91	3

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative \% Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Spiked Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Spike Sample Result})}{\text{Average of Spiked Sample}} \times 100$$



## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567404

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	DATE SAMPLED	: 05/09/91
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE RECEIVED	: 05/10/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
CLIENT I.D.	: RFI1007E15.0	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/21/91
SAMPLE MATRIX	: AQUEOUS	UNITS	: UG/L
		DILUTION FACTOR	: 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	<5
PHENOL	<5
ANILINE	<5
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	<5
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<5
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<5
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<5
BENZYL ALCOHOL	<5
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<5
2-METHYLPHENOL	<5
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER	<5
4-METHYLPHENOL	<5
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<5
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<5
NITROBENZENE	<5
ISOPHORENE	<5
2-NITROPHENOL	<5
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<5
BENZOIC ACID	<25
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE	<5
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<5
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<5
NAPHTHALENE	<5
4-CHLOROANILINE	<5
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	<5
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<5
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<5
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	<5
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<5
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<25
2-NITROANILINE	<25
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<5
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<5
3-NITROANINLINE	<25
ACENAPHTHENE	<5
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<25
4-NITROPHENOL	<25
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<5
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	<5
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<5
4-CHLOROPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<5

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10567404

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
FLUORENE	<5
4-NITROANILINE	<25
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	<25
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	<5
4-BROMOPHENYL-PHENYLEETHER	<5
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<5
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<25
PHENANTHRENE	<5
ANTHRACENE	<5
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<5
FLUORANTHENE	<5
BENZIDINE	<50
PYRENE	<5
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<5
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	<10
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<5
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	<5
CHRYSENE	<5
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	<5
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	<5
BENZO(a)PYRENE	<5
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	<5
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	<5
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	<5
1-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<5
2-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<5
DIBENZO(A,J)ACRIDINE	<5*
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<5
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	<5

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	76
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	73
TERPHENYL (%)	103
PHENOL-D6 (%)	62
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	73
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	50

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

ADDITIONAL COMPOUNDS (SEMI-QUANTITATED)

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

ATI I.D. : 10567404

-----  
COMPOUNDS

RESULTS  
-----

NO ADDITIONAL COMPOUNDS

<20

## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT	: GIANT REFINING CO.	ATI I.D.	: 105674
PROJECT #	: (NONE)	DATE EXTRACTED	: 05/13/91
PROJECT NAME	: PHASE I RFI	DATE ANALYZED	: 05/16/91
CLIENT I.D.	: REAGENT BLANK	UNITS	: UG/L
		DILUTION FACTOR	: N/A

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	<5
PHENOL	<5
ANILINE	<5
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	<5
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<5
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<5
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<5
BENZYL ALCOHOL	<5
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<5
2-METHYLPHENOL	<5
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER	<5
4-METHYLPHENOL	<5
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<5
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<5
NITROBENZENE	<5
ISOPHORENE	<5
2-NITROPHENOL	<5
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<5
BENZOIC ACID	<25
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY)METHANE	<5
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<5
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<5
NAPHTHALENE	<5
4-CHLOROANILINE	<5
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	<5
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<5
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<5
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	<5
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<5
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<25
2-NITROANILINE	<25
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<5
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<5
3-NITROANILINE	<25
ACENAPHTHENE	<5
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<25
4-NITROPHENOL	<25
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<5
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	<5
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<5
4-CHLOROPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<5
FLUORENE	<5
4-NITROANILINE	<25

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



## GCMS - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105674

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	<25
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	<5
4-BROMOPHENYL-PHENYLEETHER	<5
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<5
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<25
PHENANTHRENE	<5
ANTHRACENE	<5
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<5
FLUORANTHENE	<5
BENZIDINE	<50
PYRENE	<5
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<5
3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	<10
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<5
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	<5
CHRYSENE	<5
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	<5
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	<5
BENZO(a)PYRENE	<5
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	<5
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	<5
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	<5
1-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<5
2-CHLORONAPHTHANE	<5
DIBENZO(A,J)ACRIDINE	<5*
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<5
1,2-DIPHENYLHYDRAZINE	<5

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	69
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	63
TERPHENYL (%)	57
PHENOL-D6 (%)	42
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	47
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	46

\* ESTIMATED PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMIT BASED ON A REVIEW OF THE MASS SPECTRA DATA



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105674

TEST : SEMI-VOLATILE ORGANICS (EPA 8270) APPENDIX IX

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.
PROJECT # : (NONE)
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI
REF I.D. : 10699904

DATE ANALYZED : 05/16/91
SAMPLE MATRIX : AQUEOUS
UNITS : UG/L

Table with 8 columns: COMPOUNDS, SAMPLE RESULT, CONC. SPIKED, SPIKED SAMPLE, % REC., DUP. SPIKED SAMPLE, DUP. % REC., RPD. Lists compounds like 1,2,4-TRICHLOROENZENE and their respective values.

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) / Spike Concentration X 100

RPD (Relative % Difference) = (Spiked Sample Result - Duplicate Spike Sample Result) / Average of Spiked Sample X 100

# Chain of Custody

PROJECT MANAGER: Elizabeth Proffitt  
 COMPANY: Grant Refining Co.  
 ADDRESS: Box 7  
Gallop, N.M. 87301  
 BILL TO: \_\_\_\_\_  
 COMPANY: Grant  
 ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

Lynn Shelton  
 SAMPLERS: (Signature) 1505722-0212  
 PHONE NUMBER

SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	MATRIX	LAB ID
BFE1006V150	5-9-91	1:10pm	Soil	1
BFE1006D150	5-8-91	1:10	Soil	2
BFE1007V150	5-9-91	9:10	Soil	3
BFE1007E150	5-9-91	9:25	Water	4
Top blank			Water	5

ANALYSIS REQUEST		RELINQUISHED BY: 1.		RELINQUISHED BY: 2.		RELINQUISHED BY: 3.	
		Signature:	Time:	Signature:	Time:	Signature:	Time:
Petroleum Hydrocarbons (418.1)							
(MOD 8015) Gas/Diesel							
Diesel/Gasoline/BTXE (MOD 8015/8020)							
BTXE (8020)							
Chlorinated Hydrocarbons (601/8010)							
Aromatic Hydrocarbons (602/8020)							
MTBE							
Pesticides/PCB (608/8080)							
Herbicides (615/8150)							
Base/Neutral/Acid Compounds GC/MS (8270)	X						
Volatile Organics GC/MS (8240)	X						
SDWA Primary Standards							
SDWA Secondary Standards							
SDWA Volatiles (502.1/503.1)							
The 13 Priority Pollutant Metals							
The 8 EP Tox Metals by EP Tox Prep. (1310)							
The 8 EP Tox Metals by Total Digestion							
The 8 EP Tox Metals by TCLP (1311)	X						
Total Metals (Cr, Cu, Pb, Zn)	X						
NUMBER OF CONTAINERS							

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT NO: \_\_\_\_\_

PROJECT NAME: Plant I Ref

P.O. NO. 01908

SHIPPED VIA: Fed Exp

SAMPLE DISPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS

ATI  RETURN

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION IS REQUIRED FOR RUSH PROJECTS

TAT: (NORMAL)  (RUSH)  24  48  72  1 WEEK

Comments: See attachments for analytical parameters

SAMPLE RECEIPT

TOTAL NO. OF CONTAINERS 5

CHAIN OF CUSTODY SEALS 4

INTACT? Y

RECEIVED GOOD COND./COLD

LAB NUMBER 105674

RELINQUISHED BY: 1. Signature: Lynn Shelton Time: 11:00 AM

Printed Name: Lynn Shelton Date: 5-9-91

Company: Grant Refining

RELINQUISHED BY: 2. Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Company: \_\_\_\_\_

RELINQUISHED BY: 3. Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Company: \_\_\_\_\_



Analytical Technologies, Inc.

9830 S. 51st Street Suite B-113 Phoenix, AZ 85044 (602) 496-4400

ATI I.D. 105696

*Composite TCLP of  
Sludge Pits*

June 12, 1991

Giant Refining Company  
Route 3, P.O. Box 7  
Gallup, NM 87301

Project Name/Number: Phase I RFI

Attention: Claud Rosendale

On 05/13/91, Analytical Technologies, Inc. received a request to analyze soil sample(s). The sample(s) were analyzed with EPA methodology or equivalent methods. The results of these analyses and the quality control data, which follow each set of analyses, are enclosed.

Zero Headspace Extraction and 8240 analyses were performed by ATI, Fort Collins. The results reported for TCLP analyses are the actual measured values, and are not corrected for matrix spike recovery bias. The matrix spike recovery results for TCLP analyses are included in this report.

B indicates the compound was also detected in the reagent blank. 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether was not analyzed.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us at (602) 496-4400.

Elizabeth Proffitt  
Senior Project Manager

Robert V. Woods  
Laboratory Manager

RVW:clf  
Enclosure



CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 05/13/91  
REPORT DATE : 06/06/91

ATI I.D. : 105696

ATI #	CLIENT DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	DATE COLLECTED
01	RFI 1007V6.0	SOIL	05/09/91
02	ZHE REAGENT BLANK	NON-AQUEOUS	05/09/91

----- TOTALS -----

MATRIX	# SAMPLES
SOIL	1
NON-AQUEOUS	1

ATI STANDARD DISPOSAL PRACTICE

The samples from this project will be disposed of in thirty (30) days from the date of this report. If an extended storage period is required, please contact our sample control department before the scheduled disposal date.

METALS RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 105696

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

DATE RECEIVED : 05/13/91

REPORT DATE : 06/06/91

PARAMETER	UNITS	01
SILVER (IN TCLP)	MG/L	<0.010
ARSENIC (IN TCLP)	MG/L	<0.1
BARIUM (IN TCLP)	MG/L	1.98
CADMIUM (IN TCLP)	MG/L	0.007
CHROMIUM (IN TCLP)	MG/L	<0.010
MERCURY (IN TCLP)	MG/L	<0.0002
LEAD (IN TCLP)	MG/L	<0.10
SELENIUM (IN TCLP)	MG/L	<0.10



METALS - QUALITY CONTROL

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
PROJECT # : (NONE)  
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI

ATI I.D. : 105696

PARAMETER	UNITS	ATI I.D.	SAMPLE RESULT	DUP. RESULT	RPD	SPIKED SAMPLE	SPIKE CONC	% REC
SILVER (IN TCLP)	MG/L	10568904	<0.010	<0.010	NA	0.903	1.00	90
ARSENIC (IN TCLP)	MG/L	10568904	<0.1	<0.1	NA	1.1	1.0	110
BARIUM (IN TCLP)	MG/L	10568904	1.15	1.12	3	2.09	1.00	94
CADMIUM (IN TCLP)	MG/L	10568904	<0.005	0.005	NA	0.950	1.00	95
CHROMIUM (IN TCLP)	MG/L	10568904	<0.010	<0.010	NA	0.948	1.00	95
MERCURY (IN TCLP)	MG/L	10568904	<0.0002	<0.0002	NA	0.0047	0.0050	94
LEAD (IN TCLP)	MG/L	10568904	<0.10	<0.10	NA	0.90	1.00	90
SELENIUM (IN TCLP)	MG/L	10568904	<0.10	<0.10	NA	1.22	1.00	122

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative Percent Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Result})}{\text{Average Result}} \times 100$$



GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10569601

TEST : METHOD 8240 (TCLP EXTRACT)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.
PROJECT # : (NONE)
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI
CLIENT I.D. : RFI 1007V6.0
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/09/91
DATE RECEIVED : 05/13/91
DATE EXTRACTED : 05/15/91
DATE ANALYZED : 05/29/91
UNITS : UG/L
DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS RESULTS

Table with 2 columns: COMPOUNDS and RESULTS. Lists various chemical compounds and their corresponding results, such as CHLOROMETHANE <10, METHYLENE CHLORIDE 240 B, and TOTAL XYLENES 16.

SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

Table with 2 columns: Surrogate Name and Percent Recovery. Lists 1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%), BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%), and TOLUENE-D8 (%).



## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10569602

TEST : METHOD 8240 (TCLP EXTRACT)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : ZHE REAGENT BLANK  
 SAMPLE MATRIX : NON-AQUEOUS

DATE SAMPLED : 05/09/91  
 DATE RECEIVED : 05/13/91  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/15/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/28/91  
 UNITS : UG/L  
 DILUTION FACTOR : 1

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
-----------	---------

CHLOROMETHANE	<10
BROMOMETHANE	<10
VINYL CHLORIDE	<10
CHLOROETHANE	<10
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	57 B
ACETONE	<5
CARBON DISULFIDE	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	<5
CHLOROFORM	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<10
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	<5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<5
VINYL ACETATE	<10
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	<5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	<5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	<5
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	<5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	<5
BENZENE	<5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<5
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLEETHER	NA
BROMOFORM	<5
2-HEXANONE (MBK)	<10
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	<10
TETRACHLOROETHENE	<5
TOLUENE	<5
CHLOROBENZENE	<5
ETHYLBENZENE	<5
STYRENE	<5
TOTAL XYLENES	<5

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	105
BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	99
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	96



## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : METHOD 8240 (TCLP EXTRACT)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105696  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/15/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/28/91  
 UNITS : UG/L  
 DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
CHLOROMETHANE	<10
BROMOMETHANE	<10
VINYL CHLORIDE	<10
CHLOROETHANE	<10
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	20
ACETONE	<10
CARBON DISULFIDE	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	<5
CHLOROFORM	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<10
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	<5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<5
VINYL ACETATE	<10
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	<5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	<5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	<5
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	<5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	<5
BENZENE	<5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<5
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLEETHER	NA
BROMOFORM	<5
2-HEXANONE (MBK)	<10
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	<10
TETRACHLOROETHENE	<5
TOLUENE	<5
CHLOROBENZENE	<5
ETHYLBENZENE	<5
STYRENE	<5
TOTAL XYLENES	<5

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	94
BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	87
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	110



## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : METHOD 8240 (TCLP EXTRACT)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105696  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/15/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/29/91  
 UNITS : UG/L  
 DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
CHLOROMETHANE	<10
BROMOMETHANE	<10
VINYL CHLORIDE	<10
CHLOROETHANE	<10
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	11
ACETONE	<5
CARBON DISULFIDE	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	<5
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (TOTAL)	<5
CHLOROFORM	<5
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	<5
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	<10
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	<5
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	<5
VINYL ACETATE	<10
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	<5
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	<5
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	<5
TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<5
TRICHLOROETHENE	<5
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	<5
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	<5
BENZENE	<5
CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	<5
2-CHLOROETHYLVINYLEETHER	NA
BROMOFORM	<5
2-HEXANONE (MBK)	<10
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	<10
TETRACHLOROETHENE	<5
TOLUENE	<5
CHLOROBENZENE	<5
ETHYLBENZENE	<5
STYRENE	<5
TOTAL XYLENES	<5

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4 (%)	90
BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (%)	95
TOLUENE-D8 (%)	100



QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105696

TEST : METHOD 8240 (TCLP EXTRACT)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.
PROJECT # : (NONE)
PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI
REF I.D. : 10569601

DATE ANALYZED : 05/29/91
SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL
UNITS : UG/L

Table with 8 columns: COMPOUNDS, SAMPLE RESULT, CONC. SPIKED, SPIKED SAMPLE, % REC., DUP. SPIKED SAMPLE, DUP. % REC., RPD. Rows include 1,1-DICHLOROETHENE, TRICHLOROETHENE, CHLOROBENZENE, TOLUENE, and BENZENE.

% Recovery = (Spike Sample Result - Sample Result) / Spike Concentration x 100

RPD (Relative % Difference) = (Spiked Sample Result - Duplicate Spike Sample Result) / Average of Spiked Sample x 100



## GCMS - RESULTS

ATI I.D. : 10569601

TEST : METHOD 8270 (TCLP EXTRACT)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : RFI 1007V6.0  
 SAMPLE MATRIX : SOIL

DATE SAMPLED : 05/09/91  
 DATE RECEIVED : 05/13/91  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/14/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/25/91  
 UNITS : UG/L  
 DILUTION FACTOR : 1

---

 COMPOUNDS RESULTS
 

---

N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	<10
PHENOL	<10
ANILINE	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	<10
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<10
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<10
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<10
BENZYL ALCOHOL	<10
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<10
2-METHYLPHENOL	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER	<10
4-METHYLPHENOL	<10
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<10
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<10
NITROBENZENE	<10
ISOPHORONE	<10
2-NITROPHENOL	<10
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<10
BENZOIC ACID	<50
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE	<10
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<10
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<10
NAPHTHALENE	13
4-CHLOROANILINE	<10
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	<10
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<10
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	28
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	<10
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<10
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<50
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE	<10
2-NITROANILINE	<50
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<10
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<10
3-NITROANINLINE	<50
ACENAPHTHENE	<10
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<50
4-NITROPHENOL	<50
DIBENZOFURAN	<10
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<10
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	<10



TEST : METHOD 8270 (TCLP EXTRACT)

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<10
4-CHLOROPHENYL-PHENYLEETHER	<10
FLUORENE	<10
4-NITROANILINE	<50
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	<50
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	<10
4-BROMOPHENYL-PHENYLEETHER	<10
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<10
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<50
PHENANTHRENE	<10
ANTHRACENE	<10
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<10
FLUORANTHENE	<10
BENZIDINE	<100
PYRENE	<10
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<10
3,3-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	<20
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<10
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	25
CHRYSENE	<10
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<10
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	<10
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	<10
BENZO(a)PYRENE	<10
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	<10
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	<10
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	<10

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	46
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	41
TERPHENYL (%)	49
PHENOL-D6 (%)	22
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	29
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	32



Analytical **Technologies**, Inc.

ADDITIONAL COMPOUNDS (SEMI-QUANTITATED)

TEST : METHOD 8270 (TCLP EXTRACT)

ATI I.D. : 10569601

-----  
COMPOUNDS

-----  
RESULTS

-----  
SUBSTITUTED BENZENES

-----  
40



## GCMS - RESULTS

## REAGENT BLANK

TEST : METHOD 8270 (TCLP EXTRACT)

CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 CLIENT I.D. : REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105696  
 DATE EXTRACTED : 05/14/91  
 DATE ANALYZED : 05/25/91  
 UNITS : UG/L  
 DILUTION FACTOR : N/A

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE	<10
PHENOL	<10
ANILINE	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROETHYL) ETHER	<10
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<10
1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	<10
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<10
BENZYL ALCOHOL	<10
1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	<10
2-METHYLPHENOL	<10
BIS(2-CHLOROISOPROPYL) ETHER	<10
4-METHYLPHENOL	<10
N-NITROSO-DI-N-PROPYLAMINE	<10
HEXACHLOROETHANE	<10
NITROBENZENE	<10
ISOPHORONE	<10
2-NITROPHENOL	<10
2,4-DIMETHYLPHENOL	<10
BENZOIC ACID	<50
BIS(2-CHLOROETHOXY) METHANE	<10
2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL	<10
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<10
NAPHTHALENE	<10
4-CHLOROANILINE	<10
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	<10
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<10
2-METHYLNAPHTHALENE	<10
HEXACHLOROCYCLOPENTADIENE	<10
2,4,6-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<10
2,4,5-TRICHLOROPHENOL	<50
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE	<10
2-NITROANILINE	<50
DIMETHYLPHTHALATE	<10
ACENAPHTHYLENE	<10
3-NITROANINLINE	<50
ACENAPHTHENE	<10
2,4-DINITROPHENOL	<50
4-NITROPHENOL	<50
DIBENZOFURAN	<10
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<10
2,6-DINITROTOLUENE	<10
DIETHYLPHTHALATE	<10
4-CHLOROPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<10

(CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)



## GCMS - RESULTS

REAGENT BLANK

ATI I.D. : 105696

TEST : METHOD 8270 (TCLP EXTRACT)

COMPOUNDS	RESULTS
FLUORENE	<10
4-NITROANILINE	<50
4,6-DINITRO-2-METHYLPHENOL	<50
N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE	<10
4-BROMOPHENYL-PHENYLETHER	<10
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	<10
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<50
PHENANTHRENE	<10
ANTHRACENE	<10
DI-N-BUTYLPHTHALATE	<10
FLUORANTHENE	<10
BENZIDINE	<100
PYRENE	<10
BUTYLBENZYLPHTHALATE	<10
3,3-DICHLOROBENZIDINE	<20
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	<10
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE	<10
CHRYSENE	<10
DI-N-OCTYLPHTHALATE	<10
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	<10
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	<10
BENZO(a)PYRENE	<10
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	<10
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	<10
BENZO(g,h,i)PERYLENE	<10

## SURROGATE PERCENT RECOVERIES

NITROBENZENE-D5 (%)	50
2-FLUOROBIPHENYL (%)	44
TERPHENYL (%)	66
PHENOL-D6 (%)	42
2-FLUOROPHENOL (%)	51
2,4,6-TRIBROMOPHENOL (%)	44

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

ATI I.D. : 105696

TEST : METHOD 8270 (TCLP EXTRACT)

 CLIENT : GIANT REFINING CO.  
 PROJECT # : (NONE)  
 PROJECT NAME : PHASE I RFI  
 REF I.D. : 10599922

 DATE ANALYZED : 05/25/91  
 SAMPLE MATRIX :  
 UNITS : UG/L

COMPOUNDS	SAMPLE CONC. RESULT	CONC. SPIKED	SPIKED SAMPLE	% REC.	DUP. SPIKED SAMPLE	DUP. % REC.	RPD
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	<10	50	23	46	23	46	0
ACENAPHTHENE	<10	50	24	48	22	44	9
2,4-DINITROTOLUENE	<10	50	30	60	25	50	18
PYRENE	<10	50	37	74	33	66	11
NITROSO-DI-PROPYLAMINE	<10	50	26	52	23	46	12
1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	<10	50	24	48	23	46	4
PENTACHLOROPHENOL	<50	100	98	98	102	102	4
PHENOL	<10	100	60	60	60	60	0
2-CHLOROPHENOL	<10	100	59	59	62	62	5
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	<10	100	72	72	72	72	0
4-NITROPHENOL	<50	100	57	57	63	63	10

$$\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\text{Spike Sample Result} - \text{Sample Result})}{\text{Spike Concentration}} \times 100$$

$$\text{RPD (Relative \% Difference)} = \frac{(\text{Spiked Sample Result} - \text{Duplicate Spike Sample Result})}{\text{Average of Spiked Sample}} \times 100$$



SECTION 8.0  
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

## SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Giant Refining Company has completed the sampling requirements for Phase I of the RCRA Facility Investigative for the Ciniza Refinery. These requirements included the following:

- A. SWMU Site-Specific Facility Investigation Workplan and the May 31, 1990 approval letter for the following SWMU's:
  - 1. SWMU #6 - Tank Farm
  - 2. SWMU #8 - Railroad Rack Lagoon
  - 3. SWMU #9 - Inactive Land Treatment Area and Associated Drainage Ditch
  - 4. SWMU #10 - Two Sludge Pits and,
  - 5. SWMU #12 (Partial) - Contact Wastewater Collection System
  
- B. Phase I Supplemental Sampling outlined in the Phase I Report dated April 8, 1991 and the approval letter dated July 9, 1991 for the following SWMU's:
  - 1. SWMU #6 - Tank Farm
  - 2. SWMU #8 - Railroad Rack Lagoon and,
  - 3. SWMU #10 - Two Sludge Pits
  
- C. Additional samples as required by Giant for:
  - 1. SWMU #6 - Tank Farm (Tank 569) and,
  - 2. SWMU #10 - Two Sludge Pits

After reviewing the sampling information and analysis of all the samples associated with the SWMU's listed in Phase I of the RFI, Giant proposes the following recommendations and Final Remedy Plans (FRP).

## SWMU #6 - Tank Farm

Soil borings for this SWMU was collected around ten (10) tanks which had previously contained leaded gasolines. A vertical boring was made near the manway of each tank and an angle boring was made at a pre-approved location around each tank. Samples were collected at three (3) intervals for each tank (0- $\frac{1}{2}$  ft., 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -4 ft. and 7-7 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft.) resulting in a total of 60 soil samples being collected.

Each sample was analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX), lead and nickel as outlined in the Generic Sampling Plan. Table 8-1 outlines all lead and nickel results which exceeded the background tolerances. This table also outlines the total BTEX concentration of each sample collected.

After reviewing this data, Giant decided that it would be necessary to collect samples at deeper intervals. It was agreed as part of the supplemental sampling requirements that ten (10) additional samples would be collected to a depth of 11-11 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft.. These samples would all be analyzed for BTEX with two (2) of the samples being analyzed for metals (sample no. 25V for lead and sample no. 28V for lead and nickel).

After Giant conducted the supplemental sampling requirements and reviewed the results of the analytical, it was determined that additional samples should be collected around Tank 569. Three additional borings were made with one sample collected from each boring. These samples were collected at different depth intervals as follows: 11-11 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft., 14-14 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft. and 16-16 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft.. All sample numbers, depths and analytical results for these samples are included in Table 8-1.


The BTEX levels are all below any of the proposed corrective action levels. There has been no proposed corrective action levels for lead. This coupled with the low permeability of the soils in this area and the low rainfall amounts contribute to the unlikely possibility of the lead leaching into any aquifers. Therefore, Giant does not believe any corrective action is required for this SWMU.


Metals pb(Ni)  
Sample Depths (ft)

Total BTX (ppm)  
Sample Depths (ft)

Tank #	Sample #	0	3 1/4	7	11	0	3 1/4	7	11	14	16
<u>3</u>	19A	19.1	-	-	-	180	-	0.42	-	-	-
	20V	21.6(49.8)	-	-	-	-	104.6	10.0	-	-	-
	30V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.2	-	-
<u>451</u>	1A	-	-	-	-	-	0.64	28.6	-	-	-
	2V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.26	-	-
	21V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>452</u>	3A	-	27.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	4V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>453</u>	5A	138	-	-	-	-	119	0.26	-	-	-
	6V	28.5	-	-	-	0.37	7.6	54.1	-	-	-
	22V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.95	-	-
<u>567</u>	7A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	8V	23.1	-	-	-	-	-	51.3	-	-	-
	23V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.30	-	-
<u>568</u>	9A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	10V	129(15.2)	-	-	-	-	2.68	4.66	-	-	-
	24V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	-	-
<u>569</u>	11A	44.6	21.4	23.9	-	-	269	248.4	-	-	-
	12V	736(413)	-	-	-	488	1677	160.	-	-	-
	25V	-	-	-	(17)	-	-	-	1629	-	-
	26V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.77	-	-
	31V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.62	-
	32V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	-	-
33V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	-	
<u>570</u>	13A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	14V	57.4	-	-	-	1.58	28	460	-	-	-
	27V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.29	-	-
<u>571</u>	15A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16V	238(32.9)	301(58.2)	55.3	-	0.47	41.3	23.9	-	-	-
	28V	-	-	-	8.1(42)	-	-	-	6.32	-	-
<u>572</u>	17A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	18V	-	-	-	-	-	113.6	26.65	-	-	-
	29V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ND	-	-

FIGURE 8-1





<b>Applied Earth Sciences</b> FILE NO. 5202 MADE BY: R.G. CHECKED BY:		NAME DATE: 12-6-39 DATE:
<b>GIANT REFINERY</b> Gallup, New Mexico		

FIGURE 8-2

## SWMU #8 - Railroad Rack Lagoon

The railroad rack lagoon is approximately seven (7) feet deep and maintains approximately three (3) feet of liquid. A total of three (3) vertical and three (3) angle soil borings were collected around the lagoon. Samples were collected at three (3) different intervals, (5-5½ ft., 8-8½ ft. and 10½-11 ft.) for a total of 28 soil samples around the lagoon. Seven (7) additional vertical borings were collected (three (3) along the "discharge stream" and four (4) along the "fan out" area) at three (3) different intervals (0-½ ft., 2-2½ ft. and 4½-5 ft.) for 21 more samples. This resulted in the collection of 49 soil samples. The discharge stream was not flowing therefore, no surface water was collected. Each sample was analyzed for the Skinner List constituents, including metals, that are listed in the Generic Sampling Plan.

Very low levels of ethylbenzene, xylene, toluene, 1-methylnaphthalene, naphthalene and phenanthrene was detected in some of the samples. The greatest composite detection of all volatiles and semi-volatiles for any one sample was 131 ppm. Assorted metals were detected in various samples at or above the background limits. However, none of these levels were excessive enough to exceed the proposed corrective action levels.

It was determined that due to the composite concentration of volatile and semi-volatile constituents in sample nos. 09V2.5 (106 ppm), 09V4.5 (6.7 ppm) and, 11V4.5 (131 ppm), that an addition vertical sample would be collected from the 7-7½ ft. interval near original borings 09 and 11. These samples were analyzed for the 8270 portion of the Skinner List. The analytical results of these samples indicated that all constituents were below detection limits.

None of the semi-volatiles that were detected in the samples listed above are referenced in the proposed corrective action rule. The greatest concentration of volatiles are as follows: ethylbenzene-0.69 ppm, toluene-0.95 ppm and, xylene-6.6. These concentrations are at least 10,000 fold less than the proposed corrective action levels. All metals that were detected above background levels were also well below the proposed corrective action levels.

As a result of these analysis, Giant does not believe that any remediation of soils is required for this SWMU. However, as indicated in previous correspondence, it has been agreed that continued operation of the railroad rack lagoon is not an acceptable environmental practice. As a result, Giant proposes the following Final Remedy Plan (FRP) for the Railroad Rack Lagoon.

## CINIZA REFINERY

### FINAL REMEDY PLAN FOR SWMU #8 RAILROAD RACK LAGOON

Giant proposes to divert the drainage water around the railroad rack area from the existing lagoon to the refinery waste water system which results in separation at the API pit and storage in the existing evaporation lagoons. The existing drainage system will be plugged and the liquids in the lagoon will be transferred to the refinery waste water system for treatment. Soil will be removed from the surface of the lagoon and analyzed for TCIP constituents. Analytical from the liquids in the lagoon and from the soil core samples around the lagoon indicates this soil will not contain hazardous constituents. After confirmation of the sample, the soil will be land applied and farmed as approved in the Ciniza Refinery Part B Permit non-hazardous waste requirements. This proposed work is detailed in the following paragraphs.

Details of the existing drainage system is outlined in attached drawing EZ-80-09-504EP, EZ-80-09-505EP and EZ-80-09-506EP. Two lines will require flushing with water and purging with air to remove all traces of hydrocarbons for the prevention of fires. These lines are the existing railroad rack drainage pipe and the railroad pipe rack collection basin, drain pipe and transfer sump (Detail A). A connecting line will be installed to connect the existing process sewer line to the pipe rack collection drain pipe (Detail B). This will allow the flow of liquid from the existing drainage system to the transfer sump. The drain from the collection basin at the ethyl building (lead house) will be diverted and routed to the existing drainage trough along the rail spur (Detail D1 and D2). This will allow for any emergency spillage and overflow to drain to the transfer sump. Once this piping has been modified, the lower collection box in the existing drainage system will be filled with concrete (Detail C) to prevent the possibility of any liquids reaching the railroad rack lagoon. This will force the flow of all liquids in this system to the transfer sump which is equipped with a submersible pump and level control switch. This pump will transfer liquid to the refinery waste water system.

Once the above modifications have been completed, the liquids in the lagoon will be pumped to the refinery waste water system. If necessary, a vacuum truck will be used to remove all residual liquids.

A backhoe, front end loader or dragline will be used, as required, to remove the top layer of soil from the lagoon.

Soil with any visual discoloration will be removed and stockpiled at the land treatment unit. It is anticipated that six (6) inches or less of soil (approximately 200 cubic yards or less) will need to be removed.

A soil auger will be used to collect one composite sample of this stockpiled soil. The soils of three (3) core samples, each to a depth of three (3) feet will be composited to one sample. Sample collection procedures and methodologies will be the same as outlined in the original Generic Sampling Plan. This sample will be extracted by the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) and will be analyzed for the constituents in Table 8-7 by the SW846 methods listed in the original Generic Sampling Plan. As a result of extensive sampling and analytical from the Phase I RFI which indicates very low concentrations of any organics, Giant does not believe any additional sampling of soils around the lagoons is required.

Once the TCLP analytical has been received and confirms the material is non-hazardous, the soil will be spread in the land treatment unit and farmed as outlined by the refinery's Part B Permit.

There is no reason to believe this material will be hazardous however, if the analytical indicates that hazardous constituents are present, Giant will determine alternative handling methods (depending on the constituent) and receive EPA approval before proceeding with treatment and disposal.

After completing the remediation, a survey will be performed as required by 40 CFR 264.116. Giant will supply documentation of this survey to your office and will then request a Class III permit modification as required by 40 CFR 270.42 to remove the railroad rack lagoon from further investigation in the permit. Giant will submit a final report outlining all activities conducted during the remediation of this SWMU.

TABLE 8-7


EPA HW NO.	CONTAMINANT	CAS No.
D004	Arsenic	7440-38-2
D005	Barium	7440-39-3
D018	Benzene	71-43-2
D006	Cadmium	7440-43-9
D019	Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5
D021	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7
D022	Chloroform	67-66-3
D007	Chromium	7440-47-3
D023	o-Cresol	95-48-7
D024	m-Cresol	108-39-4
D025	p-Cresol	106-44-5
D026	Cresol	
D027	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7
D028	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2
D029	1,1-Dichloroethylene	75-35-4
D030	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2
D032	Hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1
D033	Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3
D034	Hexachloroethane	67-72-1
D008	Lead	7439-92-1
D009	Mercury	7439-97-6
D035	Methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3
D036	Nitrobenzene	98-95-3
D037	Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5
D038	Pyridine	110-86-1
D010	Selenium	7782-49-2
D011	Silver	7440-22-4
D039	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4
D040	Trichloroethylene	79-01-6
D041	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-94-4
D042	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	88-06-2
D043	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4

ANTICIPATED COST FOR  
SWMU #8  
CORRECTIVE ACTION

	<u>COST</u>
<u>Piping Modifications</u>	
Supplies	\$ 320.00
Labor	750.00
 <u>Liquid Removal</u>	
Equipment	\$ 500.00
Labor	150.00
 <u>Soil Excavation &amp; Transfer</u>	
Backhoe	\$ 1440.00
Truck	480.00
 <u>Level and Farm</u>	
Blade	\$ 200.00
Farm Equipment	450.00
 <u>Analytical</u>	
TCLP Analysis	\$ 1800.00
Part B Requirements	300.00
 <b>Total</b>	 <b>\$6,390.00</b>







TO CUSTOMER DATE  
 ISSUED  
 REV. 1  
 REV. 2  
 REV. 3

TO SHOP DATE  
 ISSUED  
 REV. 1  
 REV. 2  
 REV. 3

- EZ-80-09-523 CATCH BASINS FOR TANK CAR DRAINAGE
- EZ-80-119 EP CATCH BASIN & MANHOLE DETAILS
- EZ-80-09501 EP KEY PLAN
- EZ-02-104 EP SITE GRADING PLAN
- DWG. N. REFERENCE DRAWING

NO.	BY	DATE	REVISIONS
4	JFJ	7-21-66	CHANGED C.B. NO. 4 TO 1
3	JFJ	7-21-66	CHANGED DIKE ELEV., INSTALL 18" R.C.P. & 6" OVERFLOW (NO. 65006)
2	JFJ	5-31-57	ELIMINATED 2 DIKES-ADDED SLOPES
1	JH	3-21-57	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION
0	JH	3-19-57	ISSUED FOR APPROVAL

THIS PRINT IS THE PROPERTY OF  
**SOUTHWESTERN ENGINEERING COMPANY**  
 4800 SANTA FE AVE, LOS ANGELES, CALIF.  
 AND IS SUBJECT TO RETURN ON DEMAND. IT IS ISSUED ON EXPRESS CONDITION THAT IT IS NOT TO BE  
 USED DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY IN ANY WAY DETRIMENTAL TO THE INTERESTS OF THIS COMPANY.

**SEWERAGE & DRAINAGE PLAN**  
 4150N TO 4750N  
 AND  
 000W TO 300W

SCALE 1/80" = 1'-0" DATE 3-8-57  
 DRAWN BY FREDW DATE 3-8-57  
 CHECKED BY JFH DATE 3-19-57  
 APPROVED BY JFH DATE 3-19-57  
 JOB ENGR. JFH DATE 3-22-57

EL PASO NATURAL GAS PRODUCTS COMPANY  
 GALLUP CINIZA REFINERY NEW MEXICO.

EZ 80-09-506 EP  
 REV. 4

APPROVED FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 SIGNED *W. J. DeJoy* DATE 3-21-57  
*E. Lane* 3-21-57

DETAIL A




PAVED ROAD

○ EXISTING PROCESS

□ EXISTING TRANSFER SUMP WITH AUTOMATIC PUMP + SWITCH

▨ EXISTING SUMP BELOW LOADING RACK




EXISTING LINE FROM RAIL SUMP

PROCESS SEWER LINE

EXISTING TRANSFER SUMP


TO LAKE

RAILROAD



DETAIL B

← EAST WEST →




PROPOSED CONNECTION


4" WYE W/ STEEL DRESSER CONNECTIONS

4" DRAIN FROM RAIL SUMP →

DETAIL C




DETAIL D1



TLS 5-30-91 DRAWING NOT TO SCALE


DETAIL D2




PIPE IS 3" SCH 80 CARBON STEEL

DRAWING IS NOT TO SCALE

TL5 5-30-91



(See Sht. 1)



LEGEND

- Impressed Soil Boring Locations
- ▲ Impressed Surface Water Sample Locations

0 30 60  
Scale in Feet

GIANT REFINERY  
Gallup, New Mexico

Railroad Kirk Lagoon  
and Fan Out Area SHT. 2

515 W

**SUPPLEMENT A  
TO THE  
COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN  
RCRA FACILITIES INVESTIGATIVE PROJECT  
GIANT REFINERY  
GALLUP, NEW MEXICO**

**Section 2.0 "INTERNAL MEETINGS" will include the following:**

Any corrective action measures, including remediations and modifications to existing operations, which are a result of the RCRA facility investigation, will be discussed with the employees during the semi-annual meetings.

**Section 3.1 "Information Repositories - Potential materials for the file include" will include:**

- Documents of Final Remedy Plans
- Final Documents outlining the completion of actions required by the Final Remedy Plan

**Section 3.2 "Public Notices" will include:**

Prior to implementing approved Final Remedy Plans, an advertisement will be placed in the local Gallup, New Mexico newspaper which will describe the corrective actions being conducted and refer interested parties to the information repository at the public library.

SUPPLEMENT A  
TO THE  
DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN  
RCRA FACILITIES INVESTIGATION PROJECT  
GIANT REFINERY  
GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

Section 1.4 "Reporting". "Examples of specific reports that may be required include" will be updated to include:

- Records of remediation actions

**SUPPLEMENT A  
TO THE  
SAFETY EXECUTION PLAN  
RCRA FACILITIES INVESTIGATION PROJECT  
GIANT REFINERY  
GALLUP, NEW MEXICO**

The following sections will be included:

**5.1.4 Sewer Line Cleaning, Purging and Repair**

- Compliance with Giant Refining's Safe Work Procedure #420 "Confined Space Entry" is required.

**5.1.5 Soil Excavation**

- Follow the procedures listed in Drilling Rig Safety (5.1.1) for the movement of equipment.
- Avoid dermal contact
- Although the soil will be wet, avoid inhalation of windblown dust if it exists.
- Anchor equipment on level ground to assure no tipping will occur when working on the slopes of the lagoon.
- Assure earth moving equipment is not overloaded during transport.
- Assure equipment is level when dumping soils to prevent tipping.

Section 5.4.3 "Levels of Protection" will include:

Non Hazardous Protection

When working with non hazardous waste the potential exposure to constituents is minimal. However, the following protective equipment will be required for corrective actions associated with SWMU #8:

- Full body clothing including Tyvek
- Boots
- Safety glasses

- Hard hat
- Dust mask
- Gloves - Cotton

Section 5.4.4. "Decontamination" will include:

Equipment will be decontaminated using water or steam at the completion of the project. The decontamination water will be diverted to the refinery waste water system.

"APPENDIX D - Emergency Telephone Numbers - Emergency Coordination" is modified as follows:

Giant Contact	Claud Rosendale (505) 863-5942
Project Manager Health & Safety	Lynn Shelton (505) 722-6842

SWMU #9 - Inactive Land Treatment Area and Associated Drainage Ditch

A total of seven (7) vertical soil borings were collected for this SWMU. Three (3) of the borings were collected from the Drainage Ditch and the remaining four (4) borings were collected in the Inactive Land Treatment Area. Samples were collected at four (4) different intervals for each boring resulting in a total of 28 soil samples being collected. Each sample was analyzed for 15 background metals and the SW-846 Methods 8240 and 8270 priority pollutants listed in the Generic Sampling Plan.

Ethanol was detected in Method 8240 in samples from three (3) of the soil borings. The highest concentration of ethanol was 24.0 ppm. Method 8270 detected chrysene at 26.0 ppm and pyrene at 20.0 ppm in one sample.

Any of the metals that exceeded the tolerance limits were substantially below the proposed corrective action levels listed in the July 27, 1990 Federal Register. Ethanol, chrysene and pyrene are at very low levels and are not listed as constituents requiring corrective action.

As a result of the investigation of SWMU #9, Giant does not believe any remediation or corrective action is required. Giant will conduct a survey of the inactive land treatment unit and drainage ditch as required by 40 CFR 264.116, and forward the documentation to your office. Giant will also initiate a Class III modification to remove this SWMU from the permit. Giant proposes to conduct these activities in 1992 which should allow sufficient time to accumulate data to submit information for several of the SWMU's at one time.

## SWMU #10 - Two Sludge Pits

One vertical soil boring was collected at the discharge of the overflow pipe with samples collected at the 0- $\frac{1}{2}$  ft. and 3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft. intervals. Two (2) additional soil borings were collected in each of the two (2) sludge pits with samples collected at the 0- $\frac{1}{2}$  ft., 3-3 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft., 6-6 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft., 9-9 $\frac{1}{2}$  ft., and 12 $\frac{1}{2}$ -13 ft. intervals. This resulted in the collection of 22 soil samples which were analyzed for the background metals and the SW-846 Methods 8240 and 8270 priority pollutants listed in the Generic Sampling Plan.

The maximum total concentration of priority pollutants for any sample from borings 1001, 1002 and 1003 was 84.0 ppm. Total chromium was present above background levels but was still well below the proposed corrective action levels for Chromium VI. Recordings of visual inspections indicated layers of what appeared to be dried oil sludges from approximately 3 $\frac{1}{2}$  foot to 9 $\frac{1}{2}$  foot depths in sample borings 1004 and 1005. Indications of these layers was also evidenced by readings on the photoionization detector (PID) as high as 150. The analytical results also indicated elevated levels of volatiles, semi-volatiles and some metals. Table 8-2 through Table 8-6 indicates all volatile and semi-volatile constituents that exceeded detection limits and the metals which may exceed corrective action levels.

After reviewing these results and logs, it appeared that leaching of potential contaminants below ten feet was minimal. However, Giant believed it was critical to assure no "sludge" layers existed below these levels. As proposed in the supplemental sampling plan, three additional borings were made to a depth of 18 feet. Visual and PID readings were recorded and samples were collected at the 15-15 $\frac{1}{2}$  foot level in borings 1006 and 1007. The greatest concentration of any constituent from these samples was 4.0 ppm. Both the visual and PID readings indicated no contamination at deeper levels. Giant also decided that a composite sample would be collected from the 5.0-9.0 foot level. This sample was extracted by the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TLCP) and analyzed for hazardous constituents. Giant believes this material is not regulated since the analytical indicates that all hazardous constituents are below regulated levels. Methylene chloride was detected in this sample however, it was also detected in the trip blank.

Since the material is not an EPA regulated waste, Giant proposes to excavate and land treat the oil/dirt as outlined in the following Final Remedy Plan (FRP).

TABLE 8-2  
SWMU #10 Comparisons

Sample #	1001	
Sample Depth	0	3
Constituent	PPM	
Total Chromium	-	-
Lead	-	-
Benzene	-	-
Ethylbenzene	-	-
Toluene	-	-
Xylene (Total)	-	-
2-Methylnaphthalene	-	-
Napthalene	-	-
Phenanthrene	-	-
O-Cresol	-	-
M & P Cresol(s)	-	-
Fluorene	-	-
2,4-Dimethylphenol	-	-
Phenol	-	-

TABLE 8-3  
SWMU #10 Comparisons

Sample #	1002				
Sample Depth	0	3	6	9	12.5
Constituent	PPM				
Total Chromium	-	-	-	-	-
Lead	-	-	-	-	-
Benzene	-	-	-	-	-
Ethylbenzene	-	-	-	-	-
Toluene	-	-	-	-	-
Xylene (Total)	-	-	-	-	-
2-Methylnaphthalene	-	56	-	-	-
Napthalene	-	-	-	-	-
Phenanthrene	-	28	-	-	-
O-Cresol	-	-	-	-	-
M & P Cresol(s)	-	-	-	-	-
Fluorene	-	-	-	-	-
2,4-Dimethylphenol	-	-	-	-	-
Phenol	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 8-4  
SWMU #10 Comparisons

Sample #	1003				
Sample Depth	0	3	6	9	12.5
Constituent	PPM				
Total Chromium	-	-	-	-	-
Lead	-	-	-	-	-
Benzene	-	-	-	-	-
Ethylbenzene	-	-	-	-	-
Toluene	-	-	-	-	-
Xylene (Total)	-	-	-	-	-
2-Methylnaphthalene	-	-	-	-	-
Napthalene	-	-	-	-	-
Phenanthrene	-	-	-	-	-
O-Cresol	-	-	-	-	-
M & P Cresol(s)	-	-	-	-	-
Fluorene	-	-	-	-	-
2,4-Dimethylphenol	-	-	-	-	-
Phenol	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 8-5  
SWMU #10 Comparisons

Sample #	1004				
Sample Depth	0	3	6	9	12.5
Constituent	PPM				
Total Chromium	-	-	398	-	-
Lead	-	-	-	-	-
Benzene	-	1.7	-	1.4	-
Ethylbenzene	-	5.6	81	43	-
Toluene	-	14	220	120	-
Xylene (Total)	-	54	470	310	-
2-Methylnaphthalene	-	-	290	-	5
Napthalene	-	-	54	-	-
Phenanthrene	-	-	23	-	-
O-Cresol	-	-	16	-	-
M & P Cresol(s)	-	-	26	-	-
Fluorene	-	-	13	-	-
2,4-Dimethylphenol	-	-	27	-	-
Phenol	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 8-6

## SWMU #10 Comparisons

Sample #	1005				
Sample Depth	0	3	6	9	12.5
Constituent	PPM				
Total Chromium	-	-	4020	-	-
Lead	-	-	337	-	-
Benzene	-	-	37	-	-
Ethylbenzene	-	-	76	1.2	-
Toluene	-	-	290	2.6	.98
Xylene (Total)	-	-	540	9.7	1.7
2-Methylnaphthalene	-	-	1400	-	-
Napthalene	-	-	34	-	-
Phenanthrene	-	-	250	-	-
O-Cresol	-	-	-	34	19
M & P Cresol(s)	-	-	120	68	34
Fluorene	-	-	100	-	-
2,4-Dimethylphenol	-	-	-	12	7.9
Phenol	-	-	-	71	32

## CINIZA REFINERY

### FINAL REMEDY PLAN FOR SWMU #10 TWO SLUDGE PITS

Visual inspections and analytical results of sludge pit #2 of this SWMU does indicate the presence of intermittent layers of an old oil sludge and soil. These layers appear to exist in the  $3\frac{1}{2}$  to  $9\frac{1}{2}$  foot layer. Composite samples of this material extracted by the TCLP method and analyzed for hazardous constituents indicates that this material is non-hazardous. As a result, Giant proposes to excavate all portions of this SWMU which contains any of the intermittent sludge layer. The excavated material will be transported to the land farm where it will be dumped, leveled and farmed as outlined in the facility Part B Permit. The excavated area will be backfilled and contoured as required to maintain natural drainage of the area. This proposed work is detailed in the following paragraphs.

The visual observation, photoionization detector (PID) reading and analytical, all indicate the area of contamination that is of concern is restricted to Sludge Pit #2 (see attached Sludge Pit drawing). As a result, the estimated quantities, cost, etc., are based on volumes anticipated in Sludge Pit #2. However, as the remediation is conducted, all soils with visual evidence of any contamination (intermittent sludge layers, discoloration, etc.) will be included in the scope of this work.

Visual inspections and PID readings will be used to determine if material is included with the land applied portion. If any visual contamination occurs and if PID readings exceed 20 ppm, the material will be considered contaminated and will require land farming. The soils with no visual contamination and a PID reading of less than 20 ppm will be stockpiled and used for backfilling.

The contamination appears to be in the  $3\frac{1}{2}$  to  $9\frac{1}{2}$  foot range. Giant proposes to use an excavator and dozer to remove and stockpile the top three (3) feet of soil. This is estimated to be 1,330 cubic yards of soil. A dozer and wheel loader will then be used to remove the next seven (7) feet of soil, depending on the extent of contamination, and load onto dump trucks for transfer to the land treatment area. This is estimated at approximately 3,111 cubic yards.

Previous excavations to a depth of 18 feet, indicates there is no contamination below the  $9\frac{1}{2}$  to 10 foot range. Therefore, if PID readings are less than 20 ppm at the ten (10) foot level, no further excavation will be required. The extent of lateral excavation will also depend on visual observations and PID readings.

The overflow pipe will be removed during the excavation. This pipe will be cleaned with steam to remove any oily sludge that may exist. The wash water and residuals will be routed through the refinery waste water system.

The material being transferred to the land treatment unit will be dumped as evenly as possible over the entire area. A grader will be used to spread the piles in the land treatment unit. The area will then be farmed as required by the Part B Permit.

A dozer or scraper will be used to backfill the area to surrounding levels. A grader will be used for contouring of the area to natural drainage.


All equipment will be decontaminated as required in the Safety Execution Plan.

After completing the remediation, a survey will be performed as required by 40 CFR 264.116. Giant will supply documentation of this survey to your office and will then request a Class III permit modification as required by 40 CFR 270.42 to remove the Sludge Pits from further investigation in the permit. Giant will submit a final report outlining all activities conducted during the remediation of this SWMU.

ANTICIPATED COST FOR  
SWMU #10  
CORRECTIVE ACTION


<u>Excavation</u>	<u>COST</u>
Remove & Stockpile 3'	\$ 1,615.00
Remove next 7'	2,730.00
<u>Load &amp; Transfer</u>	
Load	\$ 2,652.00
Transfer	4,972.00
<u>Level &amp; Farm</u>	
Level	\$ 1,143.00
Farm	1,000.00
<u>Fill &amp; Contour</u>	
Backfill	\$ 1,615.00
Contour	600.00
<u>Pipe Clean-up</u>	\$ 100.00
<u>Analytical</u>	
Part B Requirements	\$ 1,000.00
*Total	\$17,427.00

\* Based on quantity estimated in Sludge Pit #2



LEGEND  
● Soil Boring Locations

0 25 50 75 100  
Scale in Feet



GIANT REFINERY  
Gallop, New Mexico

Sludge Pits

— 2500 W

— 8500 N

**SUPPLEMENT B  
TO THE  
COMMUNITY RELATIONS PLAN  
RCRA FACILITIES INVESTIGATIVE PROJECT  
GIANT REFINERY  
GALLUP, NEW MEXICO**

Section 2.0 "INTERNAL MEETINGS" will include the following:

Any corrective action measures, including remediations and modifications to existing operations, which are a result of the RCRA facility investigation will be discussed with the employees during the semi-annual meetings.

Section 3.1 "Information Repositories - Potential materials for the file include" will include:

- Documents of Final Remedy Plans
- Final Documents outlining the completion of actions required by the Final Remedy Plan

Section 3.2 "Public Notices" will include:

Prior to implementing approved Final Remedy Plans, an advertisement will be placed in the local Gallup, New Mexico newspaper which will describe the corrective actions being conducted and refer interested parties to the information repository at the public library.

SUPPLEMENT B  
TO THE  
DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN  
RCRA FACILITIES INVESTIGATION PROJECT  
GIANT REFINERY  
GALLUP, NEW MEXICO

Section 1.4 "Reporting". "Examples of specific reports that may be required include" will be updated to include:

- Records of remediation actions

**SUPPLEMENT B  
TO THE  
SAFETY EXECUTION PLAN  
RCRA FACILITIES INVESTIGATION PROJECT  
GIANT REFINERY  
GALLUP, NEW MEXICO**

The following sections will be included:

**5.1.5 Soil Excavation**

- Follow the procedures listed in Drilling Rig Safety (5.1.1) for the movement of equipment.
- Avoid dermal contact
- Although the soil will be wet, avoid inhalation of windblown dust if it exists.
- Anchor equipment on level ground to assure no tipping will occur when working on the slopes of the lagoon.
- Assure earth moving equipment is not overloaded during transport.
- Assure equipment is level when dumping soils to prevent tipping.

**Section 5.1.6 Steam Cleaning**

- Precautions should be taken to assure the steam pressures are directed only at the equipment being cleaned.

**Section 5.4.3 "Levels of Protection" will include:**

Non Hazardous Protection

When working with non hazardous waste the potential exposure to constituents is minimal. However, the following protective equipment will be required for corrective actions associated with SWMU #8:

- Full body clothing including Tyvek
- Boots
- Safety glasses

- Hard hat
- Dust mask
- Gloves - Cotton

Steam Cleaning Protection

When working with steam pressures the following protective equipment is required in addition to the above:

- Goggles
- Insulated Gloves

Section 5.4.4. "Decontamination" will include:

Equipment will be decontaminated using water or steam at the completion of the project. The decontamination water will be diverted to the refinery waste water system.

"APPENDIX D - Emergency Telephone Numbers - Emergency Coordination" is modified as follows:

Giant Contact	Claud Rosendale (505) 863-5942
Project Manager Health & Safety	Lynn Shelton (505) 722-6842