

**1R -**

324

---

# REPORTS

**DATE:**

2004

---

December 29, 2004

Mr. Wayne Price  
New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department  
Oil Conservation Division  
1220 South St. Francis Drive  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Re: **Reed A Historic Tank Battery Site**  
**NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , Sec 24, T20S, R36E**  
**Request for Closure**  
**NMOCD Case No. IR-324**

Dear Mr. Price:

On behalf of ConocoPhillips, Maxim Technologies (Maxim) submits this request for closure for ConocoPhillips historic Reed A Tank Battery (Site). Mr. Bill Olsen was the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division's (NMOCD) lead person on this project until his departure from the Hydrologist position earlier this year. It is my understanding that you are now the Agency's lead person for this project and you have final authority for disposition of this work. The historic tank battery was located approximately 5.9 miles southwest of Monument in Lea County, New Mexico (Latitude 32° 33' 18.9" N and Longitude 103° 18' 42.4"W).

As agreed to in a negotiated settlement and mutual release agreement between ConocoPhillips and Mr. Dale Cooper, dated July 12, 2004, hydrocarbon affected soil was removed and replacement soil was backfilled at the Site (see Photographs). The NMOCD approved work plan, prepared and executed by Maxim Technologies (Maxim), included:

1. The utilization of the Cooper Ranch land farm, South Monument Surface Waste Facility, for placement of approximately 6,000 cubic yards (CY) of material hauled from the Site.
2. The construction of a new 0.3 mile caliche road.
3. Surveying the Site by a licensed contract land surveyor to determine pre-restoration and post-excavation contours (See Surveys). The surveyor also surveyed the excavation for depth and extent to confirm that excavation was conducted according to the "Recommendation" section on page 4 of the *Reed A Groundwater Investigation* letter as approved by the September 4, 2003, letter from the NMOCD (Attachment A). These surveys were recorded on maps as "as-built" contours. After the Site was restored, a final survey determined post-restoration contours.
4. Surface material was excavated to a depth of four feet or greater with a trackhoe (See Surveys).
5. Excavated material was placed in dump trucks and transported to the surface owners land farm, South Monument Waste Facility (Permit No. NM 01-0032). Each truckload was recorded with a manifest.

6. The Site was backfilled with neighboring native soil, after Mr. Dale Cooper gave his verbal approval and the final survey was completed.
7. No compaction specification for backfill was necessary since sufficient compaction occurred as a result of equipment traffic on placed soil.

## FINDINGS

All work at Reed A was done under the direction of Maxim and observed by Mr. Dale Cooper. This work was documented by photographs taken during various stages of soil remediation (See Photographs). In regard to NMOCD letter, dated September 4, 2003, part 3.b. there are no historical records indicating the placement of tank batteries, pits, or spills at this Site. Survey documentation of the excavated area is presented in the Survey section of this document. Maxim's letter to NMOCD, dated July 18, 2003, documents locations of soil borings and other pertinent site features (Appendix B).

## CONCLUSIONS

Approximately 6,000 CY of material were removed from area to a depth of 4 + feet and hauled to South Monument Waste Facility. The site was backfilled with native soil.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the work performed at this Site, Maxim recommends no further action is required. Upon your review and approval of this report, Maxim on behalf of ConocoPhillips, requests closure of NMOCD Case No. 1R-324. If you have any questions or need additional information, please call Mr. Neal Goates (ConocoPhillips, 823-379-6427) or me.

Sincerely,

**MAXIM Technologies**

**Charles Durrett**

Digitally signed by Charles Durrett  
DN: CN = Charles Durrett, C =  
US, O = Maxim Technologies, Inc.  
Date: 2004.12.29 08:30:08 -06'00'


Charles Durrett  
Senior Project Manager

## Attachments






Cc: Chris Williams, NMOCD District I  
Neal Goates, ConocoPhillips  
Ronald Crouch, ConocoPhillips






PHOTOGRAPHS

ConocoPhillips  
Reed A Project  
SW/4, Sec 24, T20S, R36E








Before Excavation










July 27, 2004 - Excavation began










XTO (was ChevronTexaco) Gathering Line




XTO (was ChevronTexaco) Gathering Line




December 7, 2004 – Work Completed






XTO Gathering Line



SURVEYS




TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY  
 MAXIM TECHNOLOGIES  
 REED "A" PIT EXCAVATION  
 SURVEYED AUGUST 6, 2004



LEGEND

=====	DIRT ROAD
-----	SUBJECT AREA



Scale 1" = 40'

INDEXING INFORMATION	
SEC. 24	T20S R36E N.M.P.M.
COUNTY: LEA	
STATE: NEW MEXICO	
DATE: AUGUST 6, 2004	



ATTACHMENT A

Mr. Neal Goates  
September 4, 2003  
Page 2

- c. The disposition of all wastes generated.
- d. Photos of various phases of the remedial activities.

Please be advised that OCD approval does not relieve ConocoPhillips of responsibility should the work plan fail to adequately remediate contamination related to ConocoPhillips' operations, or if contamination exists which is outside the scope of the work plan. In addition, OCD approval does not relieve ConocoPhillips of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state or local laws and regulations.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (505) 476-3491.

Sincerely,



William C. Olson  
Hydrologist  
Environmental Bureau

xc: Chris Williams, OCD Hobbs District Office  
Clyde Yancey, Maxim Technologies, Inc.  
Clay Cooper

10601 Lomas NE  
Suite 106  
Albuquerque, NM 87112

505-237-8440

July 18, 2003

ATTACHMENT B

Mr. William Olson, Hydrogeologist  
New Mexico Oil Conservation Division  
1220 South St. Francis Drive  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

**RE: Reed A Groundwater Investigation  
Cooper Ranch  
Lea County, New Mexico**

Dear Mr. Olson:

Attached is a copy of the results of the recent groundwater investigation at the former Conoco Reed A site, Lea County, New Mexico. We would appreciate your review and concurrence on the path forward stated in the report.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the attached report.

Sincerely,

**MAXIM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

Clyde L. Yancey, P.G.  
Senior Project Manager

Cc: Neal Goates, ConocoPhillips

Attachment

10601 Lomas NE, Suite 106  
Albuquerque, NM 87112  
(505) 237-8440  
(505) 237-8656 fax

July 18, 2003

Mr. Neal Goates, Site Manager  
ConocoPhillips, Risk Management & Remediation  
TN 5044 Threadneedle  
600 N. Dairy Ashford  
Houston, TX 77079

**RE Reed A Groundwater Investigation  
Lea County, New Mexico  
Maxim Project No. 3690063**

Dear Mr. Goates:

This letter report discusses findings of a groundwater investigation performed by Maxim Technologies, Inc. (Maxim) at the former ConocoPhillips Reed A Site, Lea County, New Mexico. A groundwater investigation workplan for the results presented herein was submitted to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) for review on ConocoPhillips letterhead dated May 5, 2003. Approval of the workplan was received by ConocoPhillips from NMOCD on May 23, 2003, with the following conditions:

- ConocoPhillips shall obtain soil samples from each borehole from the depth interval with the highest photo-ionization detector (PID) reading and the bottom of the hole.
- All soil samples shall be obtained and analyzed for concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX); total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH); and chloride using EPA-approved methods and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC).
- Each monitor well shall be drilled to first water or the top of the red bed, whichever is encountered first.

Maxim performed the fieldwork on June 10, 2003. The fieldwork followed the Maxim workplan dated May 5, 2003, as amended by the NMOCD on May 23, 2003. The NMOCD case number for this site is No. IR-324.

## **BACKGROUND**

Maxim performed a subsurface investigation at the Reed A site on March 12, 13, and 14, 2002. A report was submitted to the NMOCD on August 26, 2002, presenting the results of the investigation. In summary:

- Eleven soil borings were advanced within a natural depression area and a former tank battery area.
- Fifteen excavation pits were advanced within the natural depression area. During this investigation soil samples were collected from the borings at two-foot intervals using continuous split spoon sampling methods, and a sample was obtained from a bottom interval for laboratory analysis.
- The soil samples were analyzed by Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. (STL) in Austin, Texas, for TPH gasoline-range organics (TPH-GRO) and diesel-range organics (TPH-DRO) using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods SW-846 and 8015B; chloride using EPA Method MCAWW 300.0A; and percent moisture using ASTM D 2216-90.
- A PID was used to obtain field measurements of organic vapors in all soil samples.
- Composite soil samples were obtained from selected excavations in the natural depression area and from the soil borings in the former tank battery area. The composite samples were analyzed using the EPA Method 1312, Soil Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP).

Results from this subsurface investigation indicate source migration to groundwater from the two areas of concern is not occurring. In order to confirm that groundwater underlying the former tank battery area and the natural depression area is not being impacted, it was proposed by ConocoPhillips to the NMOCD that three groundwater monitor wells be installed.

## **GROUNDWATER ASSESSMENT**

### **Soil Boring Activities and Results**

On June 10, 2003, Maxim returned to the site to drill three monitor wells at locations approved by the NMOCD (Figure 1). The pilot borings were to be advanced until either groundwater or the red bed was encountered, per NMOCD's work plan approval letter dated May 23, 2003. In all three pilot borings, the red bed was encountered at approximately 75 feet below ground surface (bgs). Groundwater was not encountered in any of the borings and, therefore, no monitor wells were completed. Soil samples were collected and composited for PID analysis from the 5- to 10-foot intervals. The sample with the highest PID reading and the sample from the bottom of the boring were submitted to Lancaster Laboratories located in Lancaster, Pennsylvania, for analysis of BTEX by EPA Method 8260B; TPH-DRO and TPH-GRO by EPA Method 8015B; chloride by EPA Method 300.0; and percent moisture by EPA Method 160.3 per NMOCD stipulations.

Three soil borings (B-12, B-13 and B-14) were advanced around the perimeter of the depression area and the former tank battery area (Figure 1) using an air rotary drill rig operated by Scarborough Drilling of Lamesa, Texas. The borings were each drilled to a depth of 80 feet bgs. Soil samples were obtained from the 5- to 10-foot intervals, composited and split, with half placed on ice pending laboratory analysis and half retained for headspace field analysis with a PID. The boring logs illustrating boring depths, PID readings, sample locations, and lithologic descriptions are attached as Appendix A.

Soil samples were retained for laboratory analysis from the interval exhibiting the highest PID reading and the bottom interval of each boring and submitted to Lancaster Laboratories for analysis of BTEX, TPH-GRO, TPH-DRO, chloride, and percent moisture. Laboratory samples were obtained from the 50- to 60-foot bgs interval and the 70- to 80-foot bgs interval for analysis in B-12; the 60- to 70-foot bgs interval and 70- to 80-foot bgs interval in B-13, and the 0 to 5-foot bgs interval and 75- to 80-foot bgs interval in B-14. During drilling, PID readings indicated levels of volatile organic concentrations of less than 1 ppm consistently from surface to 80 feet bgs in borings B-12 and B-13. PID readings in B-14 ranged from 0 to 33.5 parts per million (ppm). No soil staining was observed. BTEX and TPH-GRO were not detected in any of the laboratory samples. TPH-DRO concentrations were reported at 8.5 milligrams per kilograms (mg/kg) in both samples from B-13 and 12 mg/kg in the 0 to 5-foot sample interval from B-14. Chloride concentrations ranged from 54.0 mg/kg in B-12 (70 to 80 feet bgs) to 454 mg/kg in B-14 (75 to 80 feet bgs). Percent moisture ranged from 8.7 percent in B-14 (75 to 80 feet bgs) to 25.8 percent in B-12 (50 to 60 feet bgs). Table I presents the laboratory analytical data for the samples obtained during boring activities. The laboratory analytical report is attached as Appendix B.

Following drilling, the borings were allowed to stand open for at least one-half hour to monitor if any groundwater infiltration was occurring. Following this period of time, a groundwater level indicator was used to determine the presence or absence of groundwater. In all borings, groundwater did not develop. In order to ensure that groundwater was absent underlying the Reed A site, the borings were left open overnight and again checked for the presence of groundwater. Groundwater was not present in any soil boring the following day. The borings were plugged back to the surface with bentonite pellets.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Groundwater was not encountered in any zones overlying the first occurrence of the red bed stratigraphic sequence. Therefore, there is no groundwater contamination associated with the former tank battery and natural depression. The soil encountered during the investigation can be described as clayey sand to sandy clay with caliche occurring at various intervals below 10 feet bgs with the red bed sequence occurring at approximately 75 feet bgs (Appendix A). Most of the surficial soil material is comprised of dry, loose sand.

Mr. Neal Goates  
July 18, 2003  
Page 4 of 4


## RECOMMENDATION

The north area identified by Figure 1 as "Stained Area 1" will be excavated to a maximum of four feet in depth indicated by surface staining. If impact is less than four feet below surface, excavation will cease given visual indication accompanied by PID field readings. Borrow soil for backfill will be supplied by neighboring soils identified by the surface owner as near to the site as practical. All residual soils will be properly transported to an NMOCD approved landfarm for final disposal or treatment. The south area identified by figure 2 as "Stained Area 2" currently has 3 to 6 feet of dry, loose sand cover. Based on the laboratory findings of the investigation and the absence of groundwater, it's our recommendation that no further action be required at the south area.


If you have any questions regarding this communication, please contact Clyde Yancey or Kelly Henderson at 505-237-8440.

Sincerely,

**MAXIM TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**




Clyde L. Yancey, P.G.  
Senior Project Manager

  
Kelly E. Henderson  
Staff Geologist

Enclosures

Cc: Neal Goates, ConocoPhillips

**FIGURE**



TARGET PROPERTY:	Former Tank Battery - Reed "A"	SOIL BORING LOCATIONS	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION			
CITY/STATE/ZIP:	Lea County, New Mexico	Drawing: Reed 'A' WellFig.DWG	FIGURE 1
LAT/LONG:	N32.55510/W103.31170		

**TABLE**

Table 1. ConocoPhillips Reed A Soil Analytical Results

Sample Location	Date Sampled	Sample Depth (feet bgs)	Results Reported in Parts Per Million (mg/kg)						EPA 160.3 Modified % Moisture
			EPA Method MCAWW 300.0A		EPA Method SW-846, 8015B		EPA Method 8260B		
			Chloride		TPH-GRO	TPH-DRO	Total BTEX		
B-12	06/10/03	50-60	72.5		ND	ND	ND	ND	25.8
B-12	06/10/03	70-80	54		ND	ND	ND	ND	24.1
B-13	06/10/03	60-70	343		ND	8.5	ND	ND	25.7
B-13	06/10/03	70-80	232		ND	8.5	ND	ND	23.7
B-14	06/10/03	0-5	ND		ND	12	ND	ND	9.2
B-14	06/10/03	75-80	454		ND	ND	ND	ND	8.7

**TPH-GRO** Total petroleum hydrocarbons - gasoline-range organics  
**TPH-DRO** Total petroleum hydrocarbons - diesel-range organics  
**BTEX** Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene & total xylenes  
**bgs** Below ground surface  
**EPA** Environmental Protection Agency  
**B** Boring

**APPENDIX A**

**Boring Logs**

PROJECT NAME: <u>Maxim #3690063</u> LOCATION: <u>Reed A, Lea County, New Mexico</u> DRILLED BY: <u>Scarborough Drilling</u> DATE HOLE DRILLED: <u>6/10/03 925 - 1050 am</u> DATE ABANDONED: <u>6/11/03 900 am</u> REMARKS: <u>bgs = below ground surface</u> <u>ND=Not Detected, NS=No Sample</u> <u>NA=Not Applicable</u>	SOIL VAPOR BORING NO. <u>B-12</u> FIELD LOGGED BY: <u>K.Henderson</u> GROUNDWATER LEVEL (bgs): <u>Not Encountered (ft)</u> DRILL TYPE: <u>Air Rotary</u> <u>Ford Midway 1300</u> BORE HOLE DIAMETER: <u>5</u> (in)
---	---


DEPTH (bgs) - ft	SAMPLE INTERVAL/ID #	CLASSIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	BLOW COUNT	ANALYTICAL	TIME	% RECOVERY	PID RESULT (ppm)	DEPTH (bgs) - ft
------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	------------	------------	------	------------	------------------	------------------

0.0		SAND, silty, clayey, very loose, very fine grained, yellowish-orange, trace organics, dry	SM	Hand Auger		925		0	0
5.0		SAND, clayey, silty, very loose, very fine grained, yellowish-orange to light gray	SC	Shovel Samples				0	5
10.0						930		0	10
15.0		SAND, clayey, silty, loose, very fine grained, tan, trace caliche	SC					0	15
20.0		SAND and CLAY, light reddish-orange, trace caliche powder, very fine grained	SC-CL			935		0	20
25.0		SAND, silty, clayey, light yellowish-orange, tan, very fine grained	SM					0	25
30.0						945		0	30
35.0								0	35
40.0		SAND, silty, clayey, very fine grained, reddish-orange to orange, dry	SM			955		0	40
45.0								0	45
50.0					X	1010		0.4	50
55.0									55

Boring Terminated at 80' bgs

PROJECT NAME: <u>Maxim #3690063</u> LOCATION: <u>Reed A, Lea County, New Mexico</u> DRILLED BY: <u>Scarborough Drilling</u> DATE HOLE DRILLED: <u>6/10/03 925 - 1050 am</u> DATE ABANDONED: <u>6/11/03 900 am</u> REMARKS: <u>bgs = below ground surface</u> <u>ND=Not Detected, NS=No Sample</u> <u>NA=Not Applicable</u>	SOIL VAPOR BORING NO. <u>B-12</u> FIELD LOGGED BY: <u>K.Henderson</u> GROUNDWATER LEVEL (bgs): <u>Not Encountered (ft)</u> DRILL TYPE: <u>Air Rotary</u> <u>Ford Midway 1300</u> BORE HOLE DIAMETER: <u>5</u> (in)
---	---


DEPTH (bgs) - ft	SAMPLE INTERVAL/ID #	CLASSIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	BLOW COUNT	ANALYTICAL	TIME	% RECOVERY	PID RESULT (ppm)	DEPTH (bgs) - ft
---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------------	------------	------	------------	------------------	---------------------



Boring Terminated at 80' bgs

PROJECT NAME: <u>Maxim #3690063</u> LOCATION: <u>Reed A, Lea County, New Mexico</u> DRILLED BY: <u>Scarborough Drilling</u> DATE HOLE DRILLED: <u>6/10/03 1145 - 1310 am</u> DATE ABANDONED: <u>6/11/03 840 am</u> REMARKS: <u>bgs = below ground surface</u> <u>ND=Not Detected, NS=No Sample</u> <u>NA=Not Applicable</u>	SOIL VAPOR BORING NO. <u>B-13</u> FIELD LOGGED BY: <u>K.Henderson</u> GROUNDWATER LEVEL (bgs): <u>Not Encountered</u> (ft) DRILL TYPE: <u>Air Rotary</u> <u>Ford Midway 1300</u> BORE HOLE DIAMETER: <u>5</u> (in)
--	---


DEPTH (bgs) - ft	SAMPLE INTERVAL/ID #	CLASSIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	BLOW COUNT	ANALYTICAL	TIME	% RECOVERY	PID RESULT (ppm)	DEPTH (bgs) - ft
------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	------------	------------	------	------------	------------------	------------------



Boring Terminated at 80' bgs

<p>PROJECT NAME: <u>Maxim #3690063</u></p> <p>LOCATION: <u>Reed A, Lea County, New Mexico</u></p> <p>DRILLED BY: <u>Scarborough Drilling</u></p> <p>DATE HOLE DRILLED: <u>6/10/03 1145 - 1310 am</u></p> <p>DATE ABANDONED: <u>6/11/03 840 am</u></p> <p>REMARKS: <u>bgs = below ground surface</u>  <u>ND=Not Detected, NS=No Sample</u>  <u>NA=Not Applicable</u></p>	<p>SOIL VAPOR BORING NO. <u>B-13</u></p> <p>FIELD LOGGED BY: <u>K.Henderson</u></p> <p>GROUNDWATER LEVEL (bgs): <u>Not Encountered (ft)</u></p> <p>DRILL TYPE: <u>Air Rotary</u>  <u>Ford Midway 1300</u></p> <p>BORE HOLE DIAMETER: <u>5</u> (in)</p>
---	--

DEPTH (bgs) - ft	SAMPLE INTERVAL/ID #	CLASSIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	BLOW COUNT	ANALYTICAL	TIME	% RECOVERY	PID RESULT (ppm)	DEPTH (bgs) - ft
---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------	------------	------------	------	------------	------------------	---------------------



Boring Terminated at 80' bgs

PROJECT NAME: <u>Maxim #3690063</u> LOCATION: <u>Reed A, Lea County, New Mexico</u> DRILLED BY: <u>Scarborough Drilling</u> DATE HOLE DRILLED: <u>6/10/03 1425 - 1525 am</u> DATE ABANDONED: <u>6/11/03 850 am</u> REMARKS: <u>bgs = below ground surface</u> <u>ND=Not Detected, NS=No Sample</u> <u>NA=Not Applicable</u>	SOIL VAPOR BORING NO. <u>B-14</u> FIELD LOGGED BY: <u>K.Henderson</u> GROUNDWATER LEVEL (bgs): <u>Not Encountered (ft)</u> DRILL TYPE: <u>Air Rotary</u> <u>Ford Midway 1300</u> BORE HOLE DIAMETER: <u>5</u> (in)
--	---


DEPTH (bgs) - ft	SAMPLE INTERVAL/ID #	CLASSIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	BLOW COUNT	ANALYTICAL	TIME	% RECOVERY	PID RESULT (ppm)	DEPTH (bgs) - ft
------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	------------	------------	------	------------	------------------	------------------

0.0		SAND and caliche, tan, dry, very fine grained, some pebble sized fragments	SC	Hand Auger	X	1425		33.5	0
5.0		CLAY and SAND, orange and orangish-red, dry to damp	CL-SC	Shovel Samples				4.1	5
10.0		CLAY and caliche, tan, gray, and light orangish-brown, dry	CL			1430		0	10
15.0								0.3	15
20.0		SAND and caliche, light orangish-brown, very fine grained, dry	SC			1435		0.3	20
25.0		SAND and caliche, light orangish-brown, very fine grained, dry, some gray and white powder	SC					0	25
30.0		SAND, clayey, silty, some caliche, light orangish-brown, very fine grained, dry	SC			1440		0	30
35.0									35
40.0						1450		0	40
45.0									45
50.0						1500		0.9	50

Boring Terminated at 80' bgs

PROJECT NAME: <u>Maxim #3690063</u> LOCATION: <u>Reed A, Lea County, New Mexico</u>  DRILLED BY: <u>Scarborough Drilling</u> DATE HOLE DRILLED: <u>6/10/03 1425 - 1525 am</u> DATE ABANDONED: <u>6/11/03 850 am</u> REMARKS: <u>bgs = below ground surface</u> <u>ND=Not Detected, NS=No Sample</u> <u>NA=Not Applicable</u>	SOIL VAPOR BORING NO. <u>B-14</u>  FIELD LOGGED BY: <u>K.Henderson</u>  GROUNDWATER LEVEL (bgs): <u>Not Encountered (ft)</u>  DRILL TYPE: <u>Air Rotary</u> <u>Ford Midway 1300</u>  BORE HOLE DIAMETER: <u>5</u> (in)
--	---

DEPTH (bgs) - ft	SAMPLE INTERVAL/ID #	CLASSIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL	BLOW COUNT	ANALYTICAL	TIME	% RECOVERY	PID RESULT (ppm)	DEPTH (bgs) - ft
------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	-------------	------------	------------	------	------------	------------------	------------------



Boring Terminated at 80' bgs

**APPENDIX B**

**Analytical Report**



2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

# Analysis Report

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared for:

ConocoPhillips  
P.O. Box 2197; 5027 TN

Houston TX 77252  
832-379-6415

Prepared by:

Lancaster Laboratories  
2425 New Holland Pike  
Lancaster, PA 17605-2425

## SAMPLE GROUP

The sample group for this submittal is 855773. Samples arrived at the laboratory on Friday, June 13, 2003. The PO# for this group is 4501663033 and the release number is NEAL GOATES.

<u>Client Description</u>	<u>Lancaster Labs Number</u>
B-12(50-60) Soil Sample	4063883
B-12(70-80) Soil Sample	4063884
B-13(60-70) Soil Sample	4063885
B-13(70-80) Soil Sample	4063886
B-14(0-5) Soil Sample	4063887
B-14(75-80) Soil Sample	4063888

1 COPY TO Maxim Technologies  
ELECTRONIC Maxim Technologies  
COPY TO

Attn: Clyde Yancey  
Attn: Kelly Henderson



## **Analysis Report**

2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Questions? Contact your Client Services Representative  
Danette S Blystone at (717) 656-2300.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert E. Mellinger".

Robert E. Mellinger  
Senior Chemist, Coordinator



# Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. SW 4063883

Collected: 06/10/2003 10:45 by KH Account Number: 11288

Submitted: 06/13/2003 09:15  
 Reported: 06/30/2003 at 13:41  
 Discard: 07/31/2003  
 B-12(50-60) Soil Sample  
 Site# EP01002  
 Monument, NM Lea County

ConocoPhillips  
 P.O. Box 2197; 5027 TN  
 Houston TX 77252

12-50

CAT No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Dry Result	Dry Method Detection Limit	Units	Dilution Factor
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B According to the SW-846 8015B method, the quantitation for Diesel Range Organics was performed by peak area comparison of the sample pattern to that of our #2 Fuel Oil reference standard (between C10 and C28 normal hydrocarbons).	n.a.	N.D.	5.4	mg/kg	1
00111	Moisture "Moisture" represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported above is on an as-received basis.	n.a.	25.8	0.50	%	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	16887-00-6	72.5	20.2	mg/kg	50
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil					
01641	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil The analysis for volatiles was performed on a sample which was preserved in methanol. The reporting limits were adjusted appropriately.	n.a.	N.D.	0.3	mg/kg	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B					
05460	Benzene	71-43-2	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1.01
05466	Toluene	108-88-3	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1.01
05474	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1.01
06301	Xylene (Total)	1330-20-7	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1.01

## Laboratory Chronicle

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Analysis		Analyst	Dilution Factor
			Trial#	Date and Time		
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B	SW-846 8015B	1	06/18/2003 19:45	Tracy A Cole	1
00111	Moisture	EPA 160.3 modified	1	06/16/2003 14:01	Scott W Freisher	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	EPA 300.0	1	06/26/2003 14:14	Shannon L Phillips	50
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	SW-846 8015B - modified	1	06/16/2003 17:37	Deborah S Garrison	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B	SW-846 8260B	1	06/17/2003 08:51	Anastasia Papadoplos	1.01
00374	GC/MS VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	06/17/2003 04:25	Anastasia Papadoplos	n.a.
01150	GC VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5035	1	06/16/2003 05:56	Stephanie A Selis	n.a.
01352	Deionized Water Extraction	EPA 300.0	1	06/24/2003 19:00	James S Mathiot	1
07004	Extraction - DRO (Soils)	SW-846 3550B	1	06/16/2003 08:45	Kenneth A Yingst	1



# Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. SW 4063884

Collected: 06/10/2003 10:50 by KH Account Number: 11288

Submitted: 06/13/2003 09:15  
 Reported: 06/30/2003 at 13:41  
 Discard: 07/31/2003  
 B-12(70-80) Soil Sample  
 Site# EP01002  
 Monument, NM Lea County  
 ConocoPhillips  
 P.O. Box 2197; 5027 TN  
 Houston TX 77252

12-70

CAT No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Dry Result	Dry Method Detection Limit	Units	Dilution Factor
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B	n.a.	N.D.	5.3	mg/kg	1
	According to the SW-846 8015B method, the quantitation for Diesel Range Organics was performed by peak area comparison of the sample pattern to that of our #2 Fuel Oil reference standard (between C10 and C28 normal hydrocarbons).					
00111	Moisture	n.a.	24.1	0.50	%	1
	"Moisture" represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported above is on an as-received basis.					
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	16887-00-6	54.0	19.8	mg/kg	50
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil					
01641	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	n.a.	N.D.	0.3	mg/kg	25
	The analysis for volatiles was performed on a sample which was preserved in methanol. The reporting limits were adjusted appropriately.					
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B					
05460	Benzene	71-43-2	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	0.99
05466	Toluene	108-88-3	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	0.99
05474	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	0.99
06301	Xylene (Total)	1330-20-7	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	0.99

## Laboratory Chronicle

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Analysis		Analyst	Dilution Factor
			Trial#	Date and Time		
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B	SW-846 8015B	1	06/19/2003 09:02	Tracy A Cole	1
00111	Moisture	EPA 160.3 modified	1	06/16/2003 14:01	Scott W Freisher	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	EPA 300.0	1	06/26/2003 14:29	Shannon L Phillips	50
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	SW-846 8015B - modified	1	06/16/2003 18:14	Deborah S Garrison	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B	SW-846 8260B	1	06/17/2003 09:21	Anastasia Papadoplos	0.99
00374	GC/MS VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	06/17/2003 04:27	Anastasia Papadoplos	n.a.
01150	GC VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5035	1	06/16/2003 05:57	Stephanie A Selis	n.a.
01352	Deionized Water Extraction	EPA 300.0	1	06/24/2003 19:00	James S Mathiot	1
07004	Extraction - DRO (Soils)	SW-846 3550B	1	06/16/2003 08:45	Kenneth A Yingst	1



# Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, PO Box 12425, Lancaster, PA 17605-2425 • 717-656-2300 Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.lancasterlabs.com

Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. SW 4063885

Collected: 06/10/2003 13:00 by KH

Account Number: 11288

Submitted: 06/13/2003 09:15  
 Reported: 06/30/2003 at 13:42  
 Discard: 07/31/2003  
 B-13(60-70) Soil Sample  
 Site# EP01002  
 Monument, NM Lea County

ConocoPhillips  
 P.O. Box 2197; 5027 TN  
 Houston TX 77252

13-60

CAT No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Dry Result	Dry Method Detection Limit	Units	Dilution Factor
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B According to the SW-846 8015B method, the quantitation for Diesel Range Organics was performed by peak area comparison of the sample pattern to that of our #2 Fuel Oil reference standard (between C10 and C28 normal hydrocarbons).	n.a.	8.5	5.4	mg/kg	1
00111	Moisture "Moisture" represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported above is on an as-received basis.	n.a.	25.7	0.50	%	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	16887-00-6	343.	202.	mg/kg	500
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil					
01641	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil The analysis for volatiles was performed on a sample which was preserved in methanol. The reporting limits were adjusted appropriately.	n.a.	N.D.	0.3	mg/kg	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B					
05460	Benzene	71-43-2	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
05466	Toluene	108-88-3	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
05474	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
06301	Xylene (Total)	1330-20-7	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1

## Laboratory Chronicle

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Analysis		Analyst	Dilution Factor
			Trial#	Date and Time		
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B	SW-846 8015B	1	06/19/2003 10:00	Tracy A Cole	1
00111	Moisture	EPA 160.3 modified	1	06/16/2003 14:01	Scott W Freisher	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	EPA 300.0	1	06/26/2003 14:43	Shannon L Phillips	500
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	SW-846 8015B - modified	1	06/16/2003 18:51	Deborah S Garrison	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B	SW-846 8260B	1	06/17/2003 09:53	Anastasia Papadoplos	1
00374	GC/MS VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	06/17/2003 04:28	Anastasia Papadoplos	n.a.
01150	GC VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5035	1	06/16/2003 05:58	Stephanie A Selis	n.a.
01352	Deionized Water Extraction	EPA 300.0	1	06/24/2003 19:00	James S Mathiot	1
07004	Extraction - DRO (Soils)	SW-846 3550B	1	06/16/2003 08:45	Kenneth A Yingst	1

**Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. SW 4063886**

Collected: 06/10/2003 13:10 by KH Account Number: 11288

 Submitted: 06/13/2003 09:15  
 Reported: 06/30/2003 at 13:42  
 Discard: 07/31/2003  
 B-13(70-80) Soil Sample  
 Site# EP01002  
 Monument, NM Lea County  
 ConocoPhillips  
 P.O. Box 2197; 5027 TN  
 Houston TX 77252

13-70

CAT No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Dry Result	Dry Method Detection Limit	Units	Dilution Factor
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B According to the SW-846 8015B method, the quantitation for Diesel Range Organics was performed by peak area comparison of the sample pattern to that of our #2 Fuel Oil reference standard (between C10 and C28 normal hydrocarbons).	n.a.	8.5	5.2	mg/kg	1
00111	Moisture "Moisture" represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported above is on an as-received basis.	n.a.	23.7	0.50	%	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	16887-00-6	232.	98.3	mg/kg	250
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil					
01641	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil The analysis for volatiles was performed on a sample which was preserved in methanol. The reporting limits were adjusted appropriately.	n.a.	N.D.	0.3	mg/kg	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B					
05460	Benzene	71-43-2	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
05466	Toluene	108-88-3	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
05474	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
06301	Xylene (Total)	1330-20-7	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1

### Laboratory Chronicle

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Analysis		Analyst	Dilution Factor
			Trial#	Date and Time		
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B	SW-846 8015B	1	06/19/2003 09:40	Tracy A Cole	1
00111	Moisture	EPA 160.3 modified	1	06/16/2003 14:01	Scott W Freisher	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	EPA 300.0	1	06/26/2003 14:58	Shannon L Phillips	250
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	SW-846 8015B - modified	1	06/16/2003 19:28	Deborah S Garrison	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B	SW-846 8260B	1	06/17/2003 10:24	Anastasia Papadoplos	1
00374	GC/MS VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	06/17/2003 04:30	Anastasia Papadoplos	n.a.
01150	GC VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5035	1	06/16/2003 05:59	Stephanie A Selis	n.a.
01352	Deionized Water Extraction	EPA 300.0	1	06/24/2003 19:00	James S Mathiot	1
07004	Extraction - DRO (Soils)	SW-846 3550B	1	06/16/2003 08:45	Kenneth A Yingst	1

**Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. SW 4063887**

Collected: 06/10/2003 14:30 by KH Account Number: 11288

 Submitted: 06/13/2003 09:15 ConocoPhillips  
 Reported: 06/30/2003 at 13:42 P.O. Box 2197; 5027 TN  
 Discard: 07/31/2003 Houston TX 77252  
 B-14(0-5) Soil Sample  
 Site# EP01002  
 Monument, NM Lea County

14-05

CAT No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Dry Result	Dry	Units	Dilution Factor
				Method Detection Limit		
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B	n.a.	12.	4.4	mg/kg	1
	According to the SW-846 8015B method, the quantitation for Diesel Range Organics was performed by peak area comparison of the sample pattern to that of our #2 Fuel Oil reference standard (between C10 and C28 normal hydrocarbons).					
00111	Moisture	n.a.	9.2	0.50	%	1
	"Moisture" represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported above is on an as-received basis.					
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	16887-00-6	N.D.	16.5	mg/kg	50
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil					
01641	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	n.a.	N.D.	0.2	mg/kg	25
	The analysis for volatiles was performed on a sample which was preserved in methanol. The reporting limits were adjusted appropriately.					
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B					
05460	Benzene	71-43-2	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
05466	Toluene	108-88-3	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
05474	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
06301	Xylene (Total)	1330-20-7	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1

### Laboratory Chronicle

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Analysis		Analyst	Dilution Factor
				Date	Time		
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B	SW-846 8015B	1	06/19/2003	10:19	Tracy A Cole	1
00111	Moisture	EPA 160.3 modified	1	06/16/2003	14:01	Scott W Freisher	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	EPA 300.0	1	06/26/2003	15:12	Shannon L Phillips	50
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	SW-846 8015B - modified	1	06/16/2003	20:05	Deborah S Garrison	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B	SW-846 8260B	1	06/17/2003	10:55	Anastasia Papadoplos	1
00374	GC/MS VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	06/17/2003	04:32	Anastasia Papadoplos	n.a.
01150	GC VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5035	1	06/16/2003	06:00	Stephanie A Selis	n.a.
01352	Deionized Water Extraction	EPA 300.0	1	06/24/2003	19:00	James S Mathiot	1
07004	Extraction - DRO (Soils)	SW-846 3550B	1	06/16/2003	08:45	Kenneth A Yingst	1

**Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. SW 4063888**

Collected: 06/10/2003 15:25 by KH Account Number: 11288

 Submitted: 06/13/2003 09:15 ConocoPhillips  
 Reported: 06/30/2003 at 13:42 P.O. Box 2197; 5027 TN  
 Discard: 07/31/2003 Houston TX 77252  
 B-14(75-80) Soil Sample  
 Site# EP01002  
 Monument, NM Lea County

14-75

CAT No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Dry Result	Dry Method Detection Limit	Units	Dilution Factor
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B According to the SW-846 8015B method, the quantitation for Diesel Range Organics was performed by peak area comparison of the sample pattern to that of our #2 Fuel Oil reference standard (between C10 and C28 normal hydrocarbons).	n.a.	N.D.	4.4	mg/kg	1
00111	Moisture "Moisture" represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported above is on an as-received basis.	n.a.	8.7	0.50	%	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	16887-00-6	454.	164.	mg/kg	500
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil					
01641	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil The analysis for volatiles was performed on a sample which was preserved in methanol. The reporting limits were adjusted appropriately.	n.a.	N.D.	0.2	mg/kg	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B					
05460	Benzene	71-43-2	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
05466	Toluene	108-88-3	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
05474	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1
06301	Xylene (Total)	1330-20-7	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	1

### Laboratory Chronicle

CAT No.	Analysis Name	Method	Analysis		Analyst	Dilution Factor
			Trial#	Date and Time		
08270	TPH-DRO by 8015B	SW-846 8015B	1	06/19/2003 09:21	Tracy A Cole	1
00111	Moisture	EPA 160.3 modified	1	06/16/2003 14:01	Scott W Freisher	1
07333	Chloride by IC (solid)	EPA 300.0	1	06/26/2003 15:27	Shannon L Phillips	500
01637	TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	SW-846 8015B - modified	1	06/16/2003 20:42	Deborah S Garrison	25
02304	UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B	SW-846 8260B	1	06/17/2003 14:48	Roy R Mellott Jr	1
00374	GC/MS VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5030A	1	06/17/2003 11:00	Roy R Mellott Jr	n.a.
01150	GC VOA Soil Prep	SW-846 5035	1	06/16/2003 06:01	Stephanie A Selis	n.a.
01352	Deionized Water Extraction	EPA 300.0	1	06/24/2003 19:00	James S Mathiot	1
07004	Extraction - DRO (Soils)	SW-846 3550B	1	06/16/2003 08:45	Kenneth A Yingst	1

## Quality Control Summary

 Client Name: ConocoPhillips  
 Reported: 06/30/03 at 01:42 PM

Group Number: 855773

### Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

<u>Analysis Name</u>	<u>Blank Result</u>	<u>Blank MDL</u>	<u>Report Units</u>	<u>LCS %REC</u>	<u>LCSD %REC</u>	<u>LCS/LCSD Limits</u>	<u>RPD</u>	<u>RPD Max</u>
Batch number: 03163A33C TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	N.D.	0.2	mg/kg	104		70-130		
Batch number: 031650010A TPH-DRO by 8015B	N.D.	4.0	mg/kg	96		74-118		
Batch number: 03167820002A Moisture				100		99-101		
Batch number: 03175175201A Chloride by IC (solid)	N.D.	3.0	mg/kg	101		90-110		
Batch number: D031621AB Benzene	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	99		83-118		
Toluene	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	100		81-116		
Ethylbenzene	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	95		82-115		
Xylene (Total)	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	97		82-117		
Batch number: D031621AC Benzene	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	99		83-118		
Toluene	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	100		81-116		
Ethylbenzene	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	95		82-115		
Xylene (Total)	N.D.	1.	ug/kg	97		82-117		

### Sample Matrix Quality Control

<u>Analysis Name</u>	<u>MS %REC</u>	<u>MSD %REC</u>	<u>MS/MSD Limits</u>	<u>RPD</u>	<u>MAX</u>	<u>BKG Conc</u>	<u>DUP Conc</u>	<u>DUP RPD</u>	<u>Dup RPD Max</u>
Batch number: 03163A33C TPH-GRO 8015B - soil	79	74	70-130	7	30				
Batch number: 031650010A TPH-DRO by 8015B	96		35-174			N.D.	N.D.	0 (1)	20
Batch number: 03167820002A Moisture						77.4	78.8	2	15
Batch number: 03175175201A Chloride by IC (solid)	(2)		90-110			379,000.	492,000.	26*	20
Batch number: D031621AB Benzene	99	107	52-141	7	30				
Toluene	100	124	53-137	19	30				
Ethylbenzene	106	145*	50-136	27	30				
Xylene (Total)	116	169*	47-139	32*	30				
Batch number: D031621AC Benzene	99	107	52-141	7	30				
Toluene	100	124	53-137	19	30				
Ethylbenzene	106	145*	50-136	27	30				
Xylene (Total)	116	169*	47-139	32*	30				

\*- Outside of specification

- (1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.  
 (2) The background result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

 Client Name: ConocoPhillips  
 Reported: 06/30/03 at 01:42 PM

Group Number: 855773

### Surrogate Quality Control

 Analysis Name: TPH-GRO 8015B - soil  
 Batch number: 03163A33C  
 Trifluorotoluene-F

4063883	101
4063884	99
4063885	97
4063886	98
4063887	99
4063888	100
Blank	105
LCS	104
MS	94
MSD	92

Limits: 66-117

 Analysis Name: TPH-DRO by 8015B  
 Batch number: 031650010A  
 Orthoterphenyl

4063883	96
4063884	98
4063885	97
4063886	102
4063887	103
4063888	100
Blank	95
LCS	99
MS	101

Limits: 59-124

 Analysis Name: UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B  
 Batch number: D031621AB

	Dibromofluoromethane	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Toluene-d8	4-Bromofluorobenzene
4063883	98	88	89	85
4063884	95	85	90	85
4063885	96	85	90	86
4063886	98	86	89	85
4063887	96	86	90	85
Blank	91	86	89	84
LCS	98	92	91	88
MS	98	92	88	86
MSD	98	90	89	87

Limits: 70-129                      70-121                      70-130                      70-128

 Analysis Name: UST-Unleaded Soils by 8260B  
 Batch number: D031621AC

	Dibromofluoromethane	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Toluene-d8	4-Bromofluorobenzene
4063888	95	90	90	85
Blank	93	86	89	83
LCS	98	92	91	88

\*- Outside of specification

- (1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
- (2) The background result was more than four times the spike added.

**Quality Control Summary**Client Name: ConocoPhillips  
Reported: 06/30/03 at 01:42 PM

Group Number: 855773

**Surrogate Quality Control**

MS	98	92	88	86
MSD	98	90	89	87
Limits:	70-129	70-121	70-130	70-128

\*- Outside of specification

- (1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
- (2) The background result was more than four times the spike added.

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

<b>N.D.</b>	none detected	<b>BMQL</b>	Below Minimum Quantitation Level
<b>TNTC</b>	Too Numerous To Count	<b>MPN</b>	Most Probable Number
<b>IU</b>	International Units	<b>CP Units</b>	cobalt-chloroplatinate units
<b>umhos/cm</b>	micromhos/cm	<b>NTU</b>	nephelometric turbidity units
<b>C</b>	degrees Celsius	<b>F</b>	degrees Fahrenheit
<b>meq</b>	milliequivalents	<b>lb.</b>	pound(s)
<b>g</b>	gram(s)	<b>kg</b>	kilogram(s)
<b>ug</b>	microgram(s)	<b>mg</b>	milligram(s)
<b>ml</b>	milliliter(s)	<b>l</b>	liter(s)
<b>m3</b>	cubic meter(s)	<b>ul</b>	microliter(s)
<b>&lt;</b>	less than - The number following the sign is the <u>limit of quantitation</u> , the smallest amount of analyte which can be reliably determined using this specific test.		
<b>&gt;</b>	greater than		
<b>J</b>	estimated value – The result falls within the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and Limit of Quantitation (LOQ).		
<b>ppm</b>	parts per million - One ppm is equivalent to one milligram per kilogram (mg/kg), or one gram per million grams. For aqueous liquids, ppm is usually taken to be equivalent to milligrams per liter (mg/l), because one liter of water has a weight very close to a kilogram. For gases or vapors, one ppm is equivalent to one microliter of gas per liter of gas.		
<b>ppb</b>	parts per billion		
<b>Dry weight basis</b>	Results printed under this heading have been adjusted for moisture content. This increases the analyte weight concentration to approximate the value present in a similar sample without moisture. All other results are reported on an as-received basis.		

## U.S. EPA CLP Data Qualifiers:

Organic Qualifiers		Inorganic Qualifiers	
<b>A</b>	TIC is a possible aldol-condensation product	<b>B</b>	Value is <CRDL, but ≥IDL
<b>B</b>	Analyte was also detected in the blank	<b>E</b>	Estimated due to interference
<b>C</b>	Pesticide result confirmed by GC/MS	<b>M</b>	Duplicate injection precision not met
<b>D</b>	Compound quantitated on a diluted sample	<b>N</b>	Spike sample not within control limits
<b>E</b>	Concentration exceeds the calibration range of the instrument	<b>S</b>	Method of standard additions (MSA) used for calculation
<b>N</b>	Presumptive evidence of a compound (TICs only)	<b>U</b>	Compound was not detected
<b>P</b>	Concentration difference between primary and confirmation columns >25%	<b>W</b>	Post digestion spike out of control limits
<b>U</b>	Compound was not detected	<b>*</b>	Duplicate analysis not within control limits
<b>X,Y,Z</b>	Defined in case narrative	<b>+</b>	Correlation coefficient for MSA <0.995

Analytical test results for methods listed on the laboratories' accreditation scope meet all requirements of NELAC unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.

Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

**WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY** - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL LANCASTER LABORATORIES BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF LANCASTER LABORATORIES AND (B) WHETHER LANCASTER LABORATORIES HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Lancaster Laboratories which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions of Lancaster Laboratories and we hereby object to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.