

INSPECTIONS & DATA



NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS & NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2040 South Pacheco Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 827-7131

Ł

August 22, 1997

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT NO. P-326-936-328

Mr. Morris D. Young Envirotech, Inc. 5796 U.S. Highway 64-3014 Farmington, NM 87401

RE: Landfarm #1 Inspection (NM-01-0007) Envirotech, Inc. NE/4 SE/4, of Section 26, Township 27 North, Range 11 West, NMPM San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Young:

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD), inspected Envirotech, Inc. Landfarm #1 (Envirotech #1) located in the NE/4 SE/4, of Section 26, Township 27 North, Range 11 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico on June 10, 1997.

Overall the OCD found Envirotech #1 to have a well maintained landfarm with good security. The OCD inspection and current file review of Envirotech #1 indicates some permit deficiencies. Attachment #1 lists the permit deficiencies found at Envirotech #1 during the inspection and the new Rule 711 requirements that are not on file. Attachment 2 contains photographs taken during the inspection. Envirotech #1 shall provide OCD with a detailed description of how the corrections will be made and a time table of when each of the corrections will be completed. A response is required by Envirotech #1 to these deficiencies by October 24, 1997.

Pursuant to Order R-10411-B the OCD General Rule 711 has been revised. The OCD is currently in the process of re-permitting all surface waste management facilities under the new Rule 711. Envirotech #1 landfarm is included under the new Rule 711. A copy of Order R-10411-B along with the new bond forms were given to you (Morris D. Young) during the OCD inspection on June 10, 1997. An additional set of these forms and the Order is included with this report. A permit application, Form C-137 (attachment 3), shall be filed with the OCD according to the instructions in Attachment 1, Section 14.

Please be advised that the bonding requirements have changed under the new Rule 711. Envirotech #1's current cash bond (bond No 868997) for \$25,000 will need to be replaced. The bonded amount will be based upon the estimated closure costs that the State of New Mexico would incur

Mr. Morris D. Young August 22, 1997 Page 2

if a third party contractor were to remediate the facility (see Rule 711.B.1.i and 711.B.3). Envirotech #1 must have a new bond in place for the approved estimated closure amount prior to receiving a new waste management facility permit.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at (505) 827-7153.

Sincerely,

githely

Martyne J. Kieling Environmental Geologist

Attachments xc: Aztec OCD Office

ATTACHMENT 1 INSPECTION REPORT June 10, 1997 ENVIROTECH, INC. LANDFARM #1 NE/4 SE/4, of Section 26, Township 27 North, Range 11 West, NMPM) SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

1. <u>Fencing and Signs</u>: The facility will be fenced and have a sign at the entrance. The sign shall be maintained in good condition and shall be legible from at least fifty (50) feet and contain the following information : a) name of facility, b) location by section, township and range, and c) emergency phone number.

Facility is secured with fence and locking gate and has a sign at the entrance. A section of the fence line has been moved (see pictures 3). The area remains part of the permitted facility and will have to be remediated as such.

2. <u>Berming</u> : An adequate berm will be constructed and maintained to prevent runoff and runon for that portion of the facility containing contaminated soils.

Cell berms are in good shape and well maintained.

3. <u>Setbacks</u>: All new landfarm facilities or modifications to existing landfarm facilities must have a setbacks along the facility boundary and along any pipelines crossing the landfarm. No contaminated soils will be placed within one-hundred (100) feet of the boundary of the facility. No contaminated soil will be placed within fifty (50) feet of any pipelines crossing the landfarm. In addition, no equipment will be operated within ten (10) feet of a pipeline. All pipelines crossing the facility will have surface markers identifying the location of the pipelines.

All future cells constructed must follow the setback requirements.

4. <u>Soil Spreading, Disking and Lift Thickness</u>: All contaminated soils received at the facility will be spread and disked within 72 hours of receipt. Soils will be spread on the surface in six inch lifts or less. Soils will be disked a minimum of one time every two weeks (biweekly) to enhance biodegradation of contaminants.

At the time of inspection, soils had been spread and disked accordingly (see picture 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7). Within those cells that have not been approved for discontinued maintenance status the biweekly disking program should be maintained until biodegradation of contaminants is complete and discontinued maintenance status is approved. This includes the area that is fenced off (see pictures 3).





The soil that was removed from the hazardous waste barrel area and is now fenced, east of the rubble pile, is considered a cell and must be remediated accordingly. The soil pile should be sampled and analytical results reviewed by the OCD prior to removal of pile.

5. <u>Free Liquids</u> : No free liquids or soils with free liquids will be accepted at the facility.

NA There were no free liquids at the facility.

6. <u>Trash and Potentially Hazardous Materials</u>: All trash and potentially hazardous materials should be properly disposed of.

Plastic within cells must be removed and properly disposed of. Most cells were free of plastic (see picture 3, 4, 5 and 6). However, the rubble pile that is near the remediated hazardous waste dump contained scrap iron, plastic, and other wastes. Trash within this rubble pile must be removed and properly disposed of prior to facility closure.

7. <u>Above Ground Tanks</u>: All above ground tanks which contain fluids other than fresh water must be bermed to contain a volume of one-third more than the total volume of the largest tank or of all interconnected tanks. All new facilities or modifications to existing facilities must place the tank on an impermeable type pad within the berm so that leaks can be identified.

N/A There are no above ground tanks located at this facility.

8. <u>Drum Storage</u>: All drums containing materials other than fresh water must be stored on an impermeable pad with curbing. All empty drums should be stored on their sides with the bungs in and lined up on a horizontal plane. Chemicals in other containers such as sacks or buckets should also be stored on an impermeable pad and curb type containment.

N/A There are no drums located at this facility.

All drums and chemical containers should be clearly labeled to identify their contents and other emergency information necessary if they were to rupture, spill or ignite.

- 9. <u>Above Ground Saddle Tanks</u>: Above ground saddle tanks must have impermeable pad and curb type containment unless they contain fresh water or fluids that are gases at atmospheric temperature and pressure.
 - N/A There are no saddle tanks located at this facility.

10. <u>Tank Labeling</u>: All tanks, drums and containers should be clearly labeled to identify their contents and other emergency information necessary if the tank were to rupture, spill or ignite.

N/A There are no tanks, drums or container located at this facility.

11. <u>Housekeeping</u>: All systems designed for spill collection/prevention should be inspected frequently to ensure proper operation and to prevent overtopping or system failure.

NA

12. <u>Spill Reporting</u>: All spills/releases shall be reported pursuant to OCD Rule 116 to the appropriate OCD District Office.

At the time of inspection, there were no spills evident at this facility.

13. <u>Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material (NORM)</u>: All generators submitting waste to a New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Permitted Commercial or Centralized 711 Waste Management Facility must include a Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material status declaration. The generator must declare that the waste was tested for Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material (NORM) and does not contain NORM at regulated levels pursuant to 20 NMAC 3.1 Subpart 1403.C and D.

Under the new 711 Waste Management Facility Permit all waste must be accompanied with a signed NORM declaration from the waste generator.

- 14. <u>Application Requirements for Permit Under the New Rule 711</u>: An application, Form C-137, for a permit renewal shall be filed in DUPLICATE with the Santa Fe Office of the Division and ONE COPY with the Hobbs OCD district office. The application shall comply with Division guidelines and shall include:
 - (a) The names and addresses of the applicant and all principal officers of the business if different from the applicant;

Please submit with C-137 application.

(b) A plat and topographic map showing the location of the facility in relation to governmental surveys (1/4 1/4 section, township, and range), highways or roads giving access to the facility site, watercourses, water sources, and dwellings within one (1) mile of the site;

This is already on file with the OCD.

(c) The names and addresses of the surface owners of the real property on which the management facility is sited and surface owners of the real property of record within one mile of the site;

3

This is already on file with the OCD.

(d) A description of the facility with a diagram indicating location of fences and cattle guards, and detailed construction/installation diagrams of any pits, liner, dikes, piping, sprayers, and tanks on the facility;

Please submit an updated facility map that shows all current and discontinued status landfarm cells, the gravel pit (see picture 7), rubble piles, rock piles (see picture 6), the fenced soil pile, and area of remediated hazardous waste dump (see pictures 1 and 2).

(e) A plan for management of approved wastes;

1

Please submit with C-137 application.

(f) A contingency plan for reporting a cleanup of spills or releases;

Please submit with C-137 application.

(g) A routine inspection and maintenance plan to ensure permit compliance;

Please submit with C-137 application.

(h) A Hydrogen Sulfide (H_2S) Prevention and Contingency Plan to protect public health;

Please submit with C-137 application.

(i) A closure Plan including a cost estimate sufficient to close the facility to protect public health and the environment; said estimate to be based upon the use of equipment normally available to a third party contractor;

Please submit with C-137 application.

(j) Geological/hydrological evidence, including depth to and quality of groundwater beneath the site, demonstrating that disposal of oil field wastes will not adversely impact fresh water; 1



(1) Certification by an authorized representative of the applicant that information submitted in the application is true, accurate and complete to the best of the applicant's knowledge.

Please submit with C-137 application.



PHOTO NO. 1 DATE: 06/10/97



PHOTO NO. 2 DATE:06/10/97



PHOTO NO. 3 DATE:06/10/97



PHOTO NO. 4 DATE:06/10/97



PHOTO NO. 5 DATE:06/10/97



PHOTO NO. 6 DATE:06/10/97



PHOTO NO. 7 DATE:06/10/97

.

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT



OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

FRFF

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR

June 20, 1994

POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

ANITA LOCKWOOD CABINET SECRETARY

> CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT NO P-176-012-228

Mr Morris D. Young, President Envirotech Inc. 5796 U.S. Highway 64 - 3014 Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Re: Landfarm No. 1 Envirotech Inc. San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Young:

Pursuant to Oil Conservation Division (OCD) Rule 711.A.(12), Envirotech Inc. is hereby ordered to cease operations at its Number 1 Landfarm located in the NE/4 SE/4 of Section 26, Township 27 North, Range 11 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

An investigation and accompanying excavation of an unpermitted landfill at the above-referenced location, which was initiated as a result of a citizen's complaint, revealed that unauthorized wastes were received and placed within the permitted facility. Below is a list of unauthorized wastes uncovered by Envirotech personnel at the permitted facility in the presence of an OCD inspector:

1	-drum with liquid hydrocarbon waste.
29	-drums with solid and semi-solid non-hydrocarbon
	waste.
Numerous	-gallon, quart and pint cans of apparently paint
	waste.
Numerous	-two ounce plastic touch-up paint vials.

Samples of the liquid and solid waste uncovered in the landfill were taken by both the OCD and the NM Environment Department in order to determine whether the waste will be classified as "hazardous waste".





Mr. Morris D. Young June 20, 1994 Page 2

The Envirotech Landfarm Number 1 will be closed and secured by the OCD. No person may enter the facility without the consent of the OCD and any person entering the facility may do so only in the presence of an OCD official. Envirotech will make arrangements with the OCD Aztec office to continue the required weekly disking of the soils on the landfarm.

Other requirements and conditions may be placed on Envirotech by the OCD based on the results of the laboratory analyses of the unauthorized wastes or due to other circumstances which require the protection of the public health and/or environment.

Please be advised that Envirotech Inc. may request a public hearing before the Oil Conservation Division to contest the closure of its Landfarm Number 1. In the event a public hearing is requested, this order will remain in effect pending a final ruling and order of the Division.

If you have any questions, please contact Roger Anderson at (505) 827-5812 or Rand Carroll, Division Counsel, at (505) 827-5805.

Sincerely: William J. LeMay Director

xc: Frank Chavez, OCD Aztec District Supervisor Benito Garcia, Bureau Chief, NMED Hazardous & Radioactive Materials Bureau



BRUCE KING GOVERNOR State of New Mexico ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMEN Harold Runnels Building 1190 St. Francis Drive, P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 (505) 827-2850

JUDITH M. ESPINOSA SECRETARY

RON CURRY DEPUTY SECRETARY

MEMORANDUM:

TO: Envirotech file

THRU: Edward Horst, RCRA Insp./Enf. Program Manager Coby Muckelroy, Insp./Enf. Supervisor (/h

FROM: Michael Le Scouarnec, Haz. Waste Inspector MV

DATE: December 3, 1993

RE: Visit at Envirotech

On December 2, 1993, John Tymkowych and myself responded to a referral received by Ed Horst from the voluntary Fire Dept. regarding the burning of used oil filters at Envirotech. The incident involved the burning of the oil filters in as many as three 55 gallon drums. Mr. Morris Young stated that the burning happened once, and that he was not aware of that activity. Concerning a separate matter, Mr. Young showed us a wood burner where he burns used oil filters generated by his company and by his clients. Mr. Young stated that he collects used oil filters in the region. It is very likely that some of the filters are terne-plated (lead) due to the origin of the filters from heavy duty diesel equipment. The inspectors requested a TCLP for metals for the ashes.

In the yard, four 55 gallon drums storing saturated sorbent materials and kitty litter saturated with oil were found. According to the owner, the oil comes from the oil field. Mr. Young told us that he believes that the kitty litter can go in the landfill.

Also, four 55 gallon drums storing diesel contaminated dirt from a spill were in the yard. The spill did not occur in oil fields, Mr. Young stated that Roger Anderson from OCD did not and would not give an okay for disposal at the landfarm, and that the drums were under the jurisdiction of HRMB. On the other hand, Mr. Young stated that Ed Horst told Mr. Young to dispose of these drums in the landfarm.



HRMB PHOTO SHEET FACILITY: Convive Teck рното #: 3 DATE: 12/2/93 LOCATION: in Maintenance shop, North Side of Moin building DESCRIPTION: Ashes W/ water from stove. VIOLATION: рното #: 4 DATE: 12/2/93 LOCATION: in yard <u>Canter of yard</u> <u>North Eof Main building</u> DESCRIPTION: <u>2×55</u> Jall. dream of burned oil filters. VIOLATION:



BRUCE KING GOVERNOR State of New Mexico ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT Harold Runnels Bulding 1190 St. Francis Drive, P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 (505).827-2850

JUDITH M. ESPINOSA SECRETARY

RON CURRY DEPUTY SECRETARY

MEMORANDUM:

TO: Roger Anderson, Oil Conservation Division Bureau Chief

THRU: Edward L. Horst, RCRA Program Manager Coby G. Muckelroy, Insp. / Enf. Supervisorom

FROM: Michael Le Scouarnec, Hazardous Waste Inspector Mc

DATE: March 16, 1993

RE: Haz. Waste at Envirotech

On March 3, 1993, Coby Muckelroy and Michael Le Scouarnec from the HRMB accompanied by Kathy Brown from OCD visited land farm #1 at Envirotech located south of Bloomfield. The purpose of this memo is to provide OCD with duplicates of our pictures taken at the site.

FACILITY: Envivo tech PHOTO #: _1_ DATE: 3/3/93 LOCATION: Landform #1 South of Blamfeld

DESCRIPTION: Mr. Young EMY. Glenn Riley inspecting 23X 55 gall. Im that carried the Solid Pant

VIOLATION:



PHOTO #: 2
DATE: 3/3/93
LOCATION: Landform#1
South of Blaimfeel

DESCRIPTION:	Mr. Younge Son,
E Mr Glenn	Riley
4	/

VIOLATION:

HRMB PHOTO SHEET FACILITY: Envivo Teck РНОТО #: <u>3</u> DATE: 3/3/93 LOCATION: Landform #1 South of Boomfield DESCRIPTION: Waste mixed with dirt (3 for 1). on plastic Liner VIOLATION: PHOTO #: 4 DATE: 3/3/93 LOCATION: Landfam #1 South of Bloomfold DESCRIPTION: Mr. Glenn Riley taking picture VIOLATION:



FACILITY: Envivoteck PHOTO #: 5 DATE: 3/3/93 LOCATION: Land form # 1 South of Bloomfold

DESCRIPTION: Wast pile è Mu. young, Kathy Brown è staff Virgendert è waste 3 for 1

VIOLATION:

PHOTO #:

DATE:

LOCATION:

DESCRIPTION:

VIOLATION:

STATE OF NEW MEXICO



ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR

ANITA LOCKWOOD CABINET SECRETARY POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

MEMORANDUM

TO: ROGER C. ANDERSON, Environmental Bureau Chief Oil Conservation Division

FROM: KATHY M. BROWN, Geologist

SUBJECT: AUDIT OF ENVIROTECH LANDFARM

DATE: MARCH 12, 1993

On March 10 and 11, 1993, Kathy Brown and Chris Eustice of the Environmental Bureau of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) conducted an audit of Envirotech Landfarm No. 1 and No. 2. The audit consisted of a thorough review of every load of material received at the landfarms. The file for each job was retrieved and the following items were determined:

- 1. Was the material RCRA Subtitle C exempt or nonexempt?
- 2. If exempt was their a certification from the generator?
- 3. If nonexempt was a TCLP conducted?
- 4. If nonexempt was their OCD or NMED authorization?

After determining the above information, we went to the landfarms and physically walked each of the cells to correlate the contaminated soils with the file investigation.

The audit revealed no violations or unauthorized activities besides some minor misfiling or missing accessory paperwork. STATE OF NEW MEXICO



ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

BRUCE KING GOVERNOR

ANITA LOCKWOOD CABINET SECRETARY POST OFFICE BOX 2088 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 (505) 827-5800

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

TO: ROGER C. ANDERSON, Environmental Bureau Chief Oil Conservation Division

FROM: KATHY M. BROWN, Geologist VMS Oil Conservation Division

SUBJECT: HAZARDOUS WASTE INSPECTION OF ENVIROTECH LANDFARM

DATE: MARCH 4, 1993

On March 3, 1993, I accompanied Coby Muchelroy and Mike LeScouarnec, hazardous waste inspectors with the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau, on a multimedia inspection of Envirotech Landfarm. The following report summarizes the significant facts uncovered during the site inspection:

<u>10:00 a.m.</u>: Coby Muchelroy questioned Morris Young, owner of Envirotech, on facts surrounding Envirotech's transportation and disposal of painting wastes from Riley Industrial Service located in Farmington, New Mexico. Morris said he had taken the wastes because he believed them to be non-hazardous. He said that he called them soils in the "request for authorization" because all solids accepted at Envirotech are mixed with soils prior to landfarming and has been standard operating procedure. Coby explained that the waste was hazardous because the benzene level exceeds the regulatory standard and because paint wastes are a listed "F" hazardous waste. Morris stated that he had overlooked the benzene level in the analysis, but as far as being a listed waste he had no idea that paint waste was listed and he doubted that Denny Foust did. Denny Foust is the OCD Aztec Environmental Geologist who authorized Envirotech to take the waste on January 6, 1993. Morris stated that he did not know about the high benzene

level until yesterday, March 2, 1993, when Frank Chavez (OCD Aztec District Supervisor) called Morris and asked him to check several analyses, Riley's being one of those. Frank then asked Morris if the levels were ppm or ppb and at that time Morris said he was first aware of the high level of benzene since the units were in ppm. Coby then called Glen Riley and asked him to come over to discuss proper disposal of the hazardous waste. Glen said he was bringing 2 Safety-Kleen personnel to discuss disposal.

<u>1:30 p.m.</u>: Glen Riley brought Greg Beall and Charlie Guyer with Safety-Kleen to discuss disposal. Greg said that they would transport the waste in accordance with hazardous waste manifesting and shipping requirements and that the wastes would probably go to their Denton Texas facility (a permitted "TSDF"). The quickest time that they could get the wastes there would be 2 weeks.

2:00 p.m.: All of the above people visited the landfarm to view the wastes. The landfarm supervisor was on site and said that originally there were 29 drums brought to the site on December 11, 1992. Today there were 23 empty drums in the receiving/holding area. The missing 6 drums had been removed to use as trash cans in various locations offsite. The 23 drums were empty and no residue was present. Coby said that for an "F" listed waste the drum that contained it is empty if it has less than 3% of the original contents or less than 1 inch of residue. If empty the drums can be disposed of in any manner (P wastes must have a triple rinse). Coby considered the 23 drums to be empty. The actual paint wastes were located in the holding area on plastic and had been mixed with clean overburden soil from the landfarm. The pile was mixed with 1 part paint waste to 3 parts soil. Morris stated that the paint waste had been emptied out of the drums maybe 2 to 3 weeks ago, but they were mixed with the soil and put on plastic only yesterday, March 2, 1992. Safety-Kleen took a sample of the waste. Coby said that the analysis they had of the liquid waste generated along with this solid waste was sufficient for NMED.



IN CONSTRUCTION DIVISION REC: JED

5796 U.S. HIGHWAY 64 - 3014 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 '91 SEP 12 AM 9 16 PHONE: (505) 632-0615

September 9, 1991

Mr. Roger Anderson, Environmental Engineer State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division PO Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

RE: Contaminated Soil Analysis Envirotech Soil Remediation Site

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Envirotech has sampled the soil previously placed on the original Soil Remediation Site in October - November of 1990. This soil was from the Thriftway and Caribou 4-Corners Refinery Sites.

Grid C-11 was sampled as sample A, and Grid C-8 was sampled as sample B, as per the attached Chain of Custody.

Sample A is in the center-east-half of the proposed Bio-Remediation Test Cell area and sample B was from the center-west-half of the Bio-Remediation Test Cell area.

Both samples were non-detectable when tested by USEPA method 8015modified.

We have started construction of the Bio-Remediation Test cell and should have it fully operation al within 30-45 days.

We appreciate your help and assistance.

Sincerely,

Morris D. Young

President

704.doc

Attachments



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS



5796 U.S. HIGHWAY 64-3014 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 PHONE: (505) 632-0615

TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Client: Envirotech Inc. Project Name: Soil Remediation Site Sample ID: BioRemediation Area Laboratory Number: 081691006-a Sample Matrix: Soil Temperature: Received on Ice Analysis Method: 8015 Modified

Report Date: 8-27-91 Date Sampled: 8-16-91 Date Received: 8-19-91 Date Extracted: 8-19-91 Date Analyzed: 8-19-91

Analyte	Concentration (mg/kg)	Detection Limit (mg/kg)

Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

ND

1.0

Method: Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste, USEPA, 1978.Extraction by Method 3550, SW-846, USEPA, 1986.

> Modified Method 8015, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Gas Chromatography. Test methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846, USEPA, 1990. Extraction by Method 3550, SW-846, USEPA, 1990.

ND - Analyte not detected at the stated detection limit.

Review



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS

5796 U.S. HIGHWAY 64-3014 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 PHONE: (505) 632-0615

TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Client: Envirotech Inc. Project Name: Soil Remediation Site Sample ID: BioRemediation Area Laboratory Number: 081691006-b Sample Matrix: Soil Temperature: Received on Ice Analysis Method: 8015 Modified

Report Date: 8-27-91 Date Sampled: 8-16-91 Date Received: 8-19-91 Date Extracted: 8-19-91 Date Analyzed: 8-19-91

12

Analyte	Concentration (mg/kg) 	Detection Limit (mg/kg)
Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	1.0

Method: Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste, USEPA, 1978.Extraction by Method 3550, SW-846, USEPA, 1986.

> Modified Method 8015, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Gas Chromatography. Test methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846, USEPA, 1990. Extraction by Method 3550, SW-846, USEPA, 1990.

ND - Analyte not detected at the stated detection limit.



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS

5796 U.S. HIGHWAY 64-3014 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 PHONE: (505) 632-0615

TOTAL RECOVERABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Client: Envirotech Inc.Report Date: 8-27-91Project Name: Soil Remediation SiteReport Date: 8-27-91Sample ID: BioRemediation AreaDate Sampled: 8-16-91Laboratory Number:081691006-b DuplicateDate Received: 8-19-91Sample Matrix: SoilDate Extracted: 8-19-91Temperature: Received on IceDate Analyzed: 8-19-91Analysis Method: 8015 ModifiedDate Analyzed: 8-19-91

Analyte	Concentration (mg/kg)	Detection Limit (mg/kg)
Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons	ND	1.0

Method: Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste, USEPA, 1978.Extraction by Method 3550, SW-846, USEPA, 1986.

> Modified Method 8015, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Gas Chromatography. Test methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846, USEPA, 1990. Extraction by Method 3550, SW-846, USEPA, 1990.

ND - Analyte not detected at the stated detection limit. Comments:

ENVIROT 5796 US HIG FARMINGTON, NE (505) 6	Relinquished by: (Signature)	Relinguished by Signature)	Date Time Date Time			AXA S IS	Somple B-2 8/16/91 10:15 Am 08 169100 6-6 Soul C-9	Samely B-1 8/14/10:15 Amos 16 51006-6 Soil	SAmple A 8/16/91/10/0 AM 081691006-a grid C-11	le Sample e Time Lab Number Ma	(Signature) Chain of Custody Tape	Client/Project Name Project Location	CHAIN OF CU
ENVIROTECH Inc. US HIGHWAY 64-3014 TON, NEW MEXICO 87401 (505) 632-0615	Received by: (Signature)	Received by: (Signature)	Received by: (Signature) Date Time					<u>ح</u>	~ mus TPH is ppm	T DH Modefin 80 11 5	No. Remarks	ANAYLSIS/PARAMETERS	CUSTODY RECORD

ENVIROTECH INC.

UNDERGROUND TANK TESTING • SITE ASSESSMENT • SITE REMEDIATION

5796 U.S. HIGHWAY 64 - 3014 Farmington, New Mexico 87401 Phone: (505) 632-0615

December 16, 1993

Mr. Edward L. Hourst RCRA Inspection/Enforcement Program Manager Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

Dear Mr. Hourst,

In the normal course of business Envirotech Inc. generates used oil and oil filters from our equipment and truck maintenance. As previously discussed with you, EPA regulations encourage recycling of this waste material rather than disposal at the county landfills.

We have purchased and installed a commercially designed used oil forced air heater for our maintenance shop area that works very well in heating the interior of the shop. This allows us to use most of our used oil. The excess oil is shipped to D & D Oil for recycling. We also have designed and constructed a forced draft heater that uses the oil filter elements for fuel. This provides heat for a maintenance area. The metal core and/or casing that remains from using the filter elements for fuel is then sent to Albuquerque Steel as steel scrap for recycling.

Attached please find laboratory analysis for the RCRA metals content of the filter ash. As per the analysis this ash is nonhazardous. We dispose of the ash at the San Juan County Landfill.

We feel this process exceeds the requirement of 40 CFR 261.4 Chapter 1, which requires only the draining of filter elements prior to disposal.

Subsequently several of our oilfield service clients have requested we assist them in recycling their used oil and oil filters so that they don't have to send this material to the San Juan County Landfill where there is a potential for future liability.

The purpose of this writing is to make sure that out understanding of the EPA guidelines coincides with the Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau policy in handling this waste disposal problem in the best possible manner.



We realize that EPA is currently in the process of promulgating regulations for this disposal problem and look forward to having some definitive guidance in this area.

Your assistance is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Morris D. Young MDY/cj2071





5796 US HIGHWAY 64-3014 • FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 PHONE: (505) 632-0615 • FAX: (505) 632-1865

TRACE METAL ANALYSIS

Client:	Envirotech	Project #:	91005
Sample ID:	POI Filter Residue	Date Reported:	12-10-93
Laboratory Number:	6602	Date Sampled:	12-03-93
Sample Matrix:	Ash	Date Received:	12-03-93
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	12-10-93
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Needed:	Trace metals

Parameter	Concentration (mg/Kg)	Det. Limit (mg/Kg)		
ARSENIC	ND	0.0001		
BARIUM	45.5	0.01		
CADMIUM	0.385	0.0001		
CHROMIUM	4.59	0.0001		
LEAD	3.18	0.0001		
MERCURY	ND	0.0002		
SELENIUM	ND	0.0001		
SILVER	ND	0.0001		

Method: Methods 3010A, 3020A, Acid Digestion of Aqueous Samples and Extracts for Total Metals, SW-846, USEPA 1992

> Methods 7060A, 7080, 7131, 7191, 7470, 7421, 7740, 7760A Analysis of Metals by GFAA and FLAA, SW-846, USEPA 1992

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

L. Cefemen Analyst





5796 US HIGHWAY 64-3014 • FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 PHONE: (505) 632-0615 • FAX: (505) 632-1865

TRACE METAL ANALYSIS - BLANKS

Client:	NA	Project #:	NA
Sample ID:	Blanks	Date Reported:	12-10-93
Laboratory Number:	NA	Date Sampled:	NA
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Received:	NA
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	12-10-93
Condition:	NA	Analysis Needed:	Trace Metals

BlankMethod BlankLimitParameter (mg/Kg)(mg/Kg)(mg/Kg)
ARSENIC ND ND 0.0001
BARIUM ND 0.01
CADMIUM ND 0.0001
CHROMIUM ND 0.0001
LEAD ND ND 0.0001
MERCURY ND 0.0002
SELENIUM ND 0.0001
SILVER ND ND 0.0001

Method: Methods 3010A, 3020A, Acid Digestion of Aqueous Samples and Extracts for Total Metals, SW-846, USEPA, Sept. 1992

Methods 7060A, 7080, 7131, 7191, 7470, 7421, 7740, 7760A Analysis of Metals by GFAA and FLAA, SW-846, USEPA, 1992

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Gener **Ån**alyst

has tome

Review





5796 US HIGHWAY 64-3014 • FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 PHONE: (505) 632-0615 • FAX: (505) 632-1865

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT

TRACE METAL ANALYSIS - MATRIX SPIKE

Client: Sample ID: Laboratory Number: Sample Matrix: Analysis Requested: Condition:	NA NA Soil Trace Me ^s NA	tals	Project #: Date Reported: Date Sampled: Date Received: Date Analyzed: Date Extracted:	NA 12-10-93 NA NA 12-10-93 NA
	Spike	-		
Parameter	(mg/Kg)	Result (mg/Kg)		
ARSENIC	0.100	ND	0.0945	95
BARIUM	10.00	0.45	10.3	99
CADMIUM	0.100	0.0114	0.111	100
CHROMIUM	0.100	0.0010	0.0975	97
LEAD	0.100	0.0480	0.151	103
MERCURY	0.050	0.0015	5 0.0522	101
SELENIUM	0.100	ND	0.0967	97
SILVER	1.000	ND	0.979	98

QA ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA:

Parameter Acceptance Range % ______ Trace Metals

______ 80 - 120

Method: Methods 3010, 3020, Acid Digestion of Aqueous Samples and Extracts for Total Metals, SW-846, USEPA, July 1992.

> Methods 7060, 7080, 7131, 7191, 7470, 7421, 7740, 7760A Analysis of Metals by GFAA and FLAA, SW-846, USEPA

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

ui L. Ciemen Analyst

Review

•		Remarks						Date Tim			ann Juan repro Form 578-81
RECORD	ANAL YSIS/PARAMETERS		4.01					Received by: (Signature)	Received by: (Signature)	Received by: (Signature)	IC. 114 87401
CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	the second		Sample Matrix Matrix	As Li				 Date Time Received by		Received by	ENVIROTECH INC. 5796 U.S. Highway 64-3014 Farmington, New Mexico 87401 (505) 632-0615
Ū	Project Location	Chain of Custody Tape No.	Lab Number	6602							
			Sample Time	0740				109	K		
		lat	Sample Date	12-3-43				100			
	Client/Project Name	Sampler: (Signature)	Sample No./ Identification	PUIFIKU Cosidue 12-3-43				Relinquished by: (Signature)	Relinquished by: (Signature)	Relinquished by: (Signature)	

.

9PR 5 '93 1	4:30 FROM SH	< 7-008-01		··· · · · ·	PAGE.004
30118 - R2271 (RUN 03/20 PRDJECT: PREQUALIFICAT BRANCH/TERRITORY: 7008 ALBUQUERQUE	ION	GENERATOR SURVE		COMPLETED:	PAGE 1 03/25/93 03/26/93
ACCEPT				ACCEF	P T
FLUID RECOVERY SERVICES RILEY INDUSTRIAL SERVIC PAINT WASTE/DIRT		satenyklee	n,	LAB #:	0000171758-6 0000063296-4 0000278752
CUSTOMER INFORMATION:	7008-01-9110		F	EDERAL EPA ID:	
		NM 87499		STATE EPA ID:	
ATTAL GELA		:			
BRANCH: 7008	01 - ALBUQUERO	QUE			
GENERATOR: RILEY INDUS NATURE OF BUSINESS: IND FEDERAL EPA ID: NMD161	DUSTRIAL	-	. NO: · ST: ID:	STATUS:	506
FACILITY ADDRESS: FOR I 2615 SAN JUAN BLVD	MANIFEST	BILLIN	G: FOR MANIFEST		
FARMINGTON GENERAL DESCRIPTION: PI	NM. 87401 AINT WASTE/DIRT	FARM	IINGTON	NM 87499	
PROCESS DESCRIPTION: PA GENERATION ANOUNT: AMOUNT ON HAND:	AINT GUN CLEANR WAS	STE DNE TIME ONLY			
SHIPPING FREQUENCY: OF COLOR: GF	NE TIME ONLY Réen & Brown	IN DRUMS PCT SOLIDS NOT	SAMPLED: PI	H RANGE:	4-10
LAYERS OR PHASES: TH MATERIAL COMPOSITION: N	D PHYSICAL STA	TE: SOLID VISC	DSITY: LOW DE MAX TYPIC		
DIRT WASTE MEK)		D	90.0 10.0		<u>`</u>
ATTACHMENTS: RESTRICTED SUBSTANCES:					
HAZARD CLASS: EPA WASTE DESCRIPTION AN		NUMBER: NRDS: RCRA HAZARDO	NEED ASSISTAN	NCE	
LISTED EPA WASTE CODES TECHNOLOGY BASED LDR STA	S: FOOS NDARD: YES	INCIN	3		
P.O. ND: NAME: GLEN RILEY	TITLE:		F DRUMS SAMPLED: 03/03/		3Y: SK REP 7-4947
REGULATORY :	ACCEPT AAD O	DATE 03/25/93 POSSIBL 03/25/93 658 03/25/93	E FACILITIES: 654 618 000161 TS209H	PRICING CO PART NUM WASTE, UN	
SAFETY-KLEEN CORP STATE HWY 146 NEW CASTLE KY 4 FED EPA#: KYD05334810 STATE EPA#:	SAFETY-KLEEN 633 EAST 138T 10050 DOLTON 28 ILD980613913 0310690006	H ST 1722 IL 60419 DENTO	7603371	20 8	• • •
TELEPHONE: 502/845-245 STATE CODE:	53 708/849-4850 000161		83-2611		· · · · · · ·
APPROVD 0001154 DRUM OR DOT-EPA RQ WASTE PAINT DESC. 3 UN1263 PG III (F005)(ERG#26)	R BULK RELATED MATERIAL			F	A WASTE CODES 005 D035 F003 007 D008
COMMENTS: TWC IS OUTS20 REGS.	99H. MUST BE INCINE	RATED DUE TO NEW B	IF		and the second s
THIS SERVES	AS NOTICE PER, 40 ROPRIATE PERMITS A	CFR254.12(B), THAT ND IS WILLING TO R	THE FACILITY(IES ECEIVE THE MATERIA) NOTED ABOVE AL DESCRIBED.	
				. *	
	•		• •		•.

I.

APR 5 '93 14:31	FROM SK 7-008-01	PAGE.005
918 - R2271 (RUN 03/25/93) F	PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION - BRANCH INDUSTRIAL SERVICES MATERIAL ANALYSIS	PAGE 2 : 03/26/93
BRANCH/SUBMITTER: 700801		: 03/26/93
A C C E P T FLUID RECOVERY SERVICES RILEY INDUSTRIAL SERVICES IN PAINT WASTE/DIRT	A C C E I Control #: Survey #:	
FLAMMABILITY :	BROWN LT GREEN 95.7 WT% DESCRIPTION: SOLIO NO FLASH AT 142 F BY SETAFLASH NO FLASH AT 75 F BY SETAFLASH EXTRACT BY METER 8.1 NONE DETECTED	
	1500 BTU/LB ASH UPON COMBUSTION: 80.2 WT : 1.0 WT% TOTAL SULFUR S : 0.2 WT R: 0.1 WT% TOTAL CHLORINE CL: 0.1 WT	× × ×
PHOSPHORUS P: ZINC ZN: BERYLLIUM BE: < SELENIUM (DO10) SE: < COMMENTS: HIGH BA,FE,1	1816 CHROMIUM (D007) CR: 450 CDPPER CU: 22076 MAGNESIUM MG: 7313 NICKEL NI: 247 LEAD (D008) PB: 143 TITANIUM TI: 692 SILVER (D011) AG: < 1 ARSENIC (D004) AS: 2 CADMIUM (D006) CD: < 3 MERCURY (D009) HG: 20 THALLIUM TL: < 30 MG,TI TI TL: 10	54 9438 < 10 < 10
GENERAL COMPOSITION:	GENERAL COMPOSITIO	N BY: ARANCE TOTAL
AQUEOUS PHASE (FREE WA Organic Phase (Freest Bottom Sludge (Semiso) Bottom Solid (Settled Total	GENERAL COMPOSITIO SPECIFIC VISCOSITY APPE GRAVITY (CENTIPOISE) (VC CCK)	0.0 100.0
VOLATILE ORGANICS BY I	DIFFERENCE.	AL TOTAL PPLE SAMPLE ITX) (WT%) 0.0 0.0 95.7 95.7 4.3 4.3
		0.0 100.0
SAMPLE PREPARATION ME DETECTION METHODS COMPOUND NAME NO VOLATILE ORGANICS DETECTED	COMPOSITION OF: VOLATILE VOLA ORGANICS DRGA CODE CAS NUMBER (WT%) (<0.1% EACH) NONE 0-62-4 100.0	NICS SAMPLE WT%) (WT%) 100.0 4.3
TOTAL		4.3
ALCOHOLS AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS ESTERS GLYCOL ETHERS KETONES	POSITION BY COMPOUND CHEMICAL CLASS WT%: ALIPHATIC HYDROCARBONS CHLORINATED SOLVENTS ETHERS INHIBITORS NITROGEN COMPOUNDS	
SPECIFIC ORGANIC COMPOSITION	NYLS (PCBS): NONE DETECTED <	
LABORATORY REVIEW: R	RELEASED: 03/26/93 ANALYZED: 03/25/93 ANALYZED: 03/25/93 SAMPLE RECEIVED : 03/12/93	FACILITY K TECHNICAL CEN
	CONTINUED O	N NEXT PAGE