

February 27, 2018

#5E26784-BG5

NMOCD District II Mike Bratcher 811 S. First St. Artesia, NM 88210

SUBJECT: SOIL REMEDIATION CLOSURE REPORT FOR THE BIG EDDY UNIT DI #9 RELEASE (2RP-4552), EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Dear Mr. Bratcher:

On behalf of XTO Energy Inc (XTO), Souder, Miller & Associates (SMA) has prepared this CLOSURE REPORT that describes the assessment, initial delineation and remediation for a release associated with the Big Eddy Unit DI #9. The site is located in UNIT H, SECTION 28, TOWNSHIP 21S, RANGE 30E, NMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico, on State land. Figure 1 illustrates the vicinity and location of the site.

Table 1, below, summarizes information regarding the release.

Table 1: Rele	Table 1: Release information and Site Ranking							
Name	Big Eddy Unit DI #9							
Company	XTO Energy Inc							
Incident Number	2RP-4552							
API Number	30-015-42008							
Location	32.453746, -103.87823							
Estimated Date of Release	12/24/2017							
Date Reported to NMOCD	1/5/2018							
Land Owner	State							
Reported To	NMOCD District II							
Source of Release	SWD Pipeline Riser							
Released Material	Produced Water							
Released Volume	7 bbl							
Recovered Volume	3 bbl							
Net Release	4 bbl							
Nearest Waterway	Nash Draw is approximately 6 miles west of location							
Depth to Groundwater	Estimated to be greater than 100'							
Nearest Domestic Water Source	Greater than 1,000 feet							
NMOCD Ranking	0							
SMA Response Dates	1/22/2018							

1.0 Background

Table 2.

Around December 24, 2017, a small leak formed on the riser section of the SWD transfer line. The line was isolated and shut-in until repairs could be make. The surface impact affected approximately 250 square feet of pasture.

2.0 Site Ranking and Land Jurisdiction

The release site is located approximately 19.5 miles east of Carlsbad, with an elevation of approximately 3,145 feet above sea level. SMA searched the New Mexico State Engineer's Office (NMOSE) online water well database for water wells in the vicinity of the release. Several wells are located within a three-mile radius of the site, most of which represent soil borings installed for site delineations, and did not encounter water. After evaluation of the site using aerial photography and topographic maps, depth to groundwater is estimated to be greater than 100 feet below ground surface (bgs).

Recommended Remediation Action Levels (RRALs) are determined by the site ranking according to the NMOCD *Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (1993). Below in Table 2 are the remediation standards and the site ranking for this location. Justification for this site ranking is found in Figure 1 and Appendix B.

Soil Remediation Standards	0 to 9	10 to 19	>19
Benzene	10 PPM	10 PPM	10 PPM
BTEX	50 PPM	50 PPM	50 PPM
ТРН	5000 PPM	1000 PPM	100 PPM

 TPH
 5000 PPM
 1000 PPM
 100 PPM

 Depth to Groundwater
 NMOCD Numeric Rank

 < 50 BGS = 20 <math>

 <math>50' to 99' = 10 <math>
 <math>

 <math>>100' = 0 0 0 0

 Distance to Nearest Surface Water
 NMOCD Numeric Rank
 < 200' = 20 0

 200' - 1000' = 10 >1000' = 0 0

>1000' = 0	0
Well Head Protection	NMOCD Numeric Rank
<1000' (or <200' domestic) = 20	
> 1000' = 0	0
Total Site Ranking	0

3.0 Release Characterization

On January 22, 2018, SMA field personnel assessed the release area. The buried pipeline had been excavated to approximately seven feet below ground surface (bgs) on either side of the riser for repairs. This excavation was performed after the release and removed a majority of the impacted soil. Sample

Location L1 was collected from the north wall of the excavation closest to the point of release. Vertical delineation samples were collected from approximately 0.5 feet to a depth of seven feet bgs. Samples were collected to characterize and delineate the release. All samples were collected and processed according to NMOCD soil sampling procedures. The samples were sent under chain-of-custody protocols to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory for analysis for MRO, DRO, and GRO by EPA Method 8015D, BTEX by EPA Method 8021, and Chlorides by Method 300. The release area, excavated area, and sample location are depicted on Figure 2. All laboratory results are summarized in Table 3. Laboratory reports are included in Appendix C.

4.0 Proposed Soil Remediation Work Plan

Sample results indicate that contamination extends beyond seven feet bgs, and laterally at least several feet in each direction from the riser. SMA proposes to further delineate the release area. For the impacted area directly underneath the 12" riser, SMA proposes to use hydro excavation. While the area will be excavated to the maximum extent safely possible, we anticipate approximately 4 feet bgs in this area.

While the pipeline repair excavation removed a majority of the contaminated soils, due to safety concerns around the multiple pipelines and soft, sandy soils, we may need to defer the remaining. In addition to the SWD line, there are two gas lines in the vicinity, one of which is exposed in the current excavation.

All contaminated soils will be removed and replaced with clean backfill material to return the surface to previous contours. The contaminated soil will be transported for proper disposal at an NMOCD permitted disposal facility. Closure samples will be collected at the final depth of excavation and from the sidewalls and tested for chloride impact. Upon confirmation of remediation, SMA will submit a closure report to NMOCD.

5.0 Scope and Limitations

The scope of our services consisted of the performance of assessment sampling, verification of release stabilization, regulatory liaison, and preparation of this work plan. All work has been performed in accordance with generally accepted professional environmental consulting practices for oil and gas releases in the Permian Basin in New Mexico.

If there are any questions regarding this report, please contact either Austin Weyant at 575-689-8801 or Shawna Chubbuck at 505-325-7535.

Submitted by: SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES

J. Austr Weyant

Austin Weyant Project Scientist

Reviewed by:

hauna Chubbuck

Shawna Chubbuck Senior Scientist

ATTACHMENTS:

Figures:

Figure 1: Vicinity and Well Head Protection Map Figure 2: Site and Sample Location Map

Tables:

Table 3: Summary of Sample Results

Appendices:

Appendix A: Form C141 Initial Appendix B: NMOSE Wells Report Appendix C: Laboratory Analytical Reports

FIGURE 1 VICINITY AND NMOSE DATA MAP




FIGURE 2 SITE AND SAMPLE LOCATION MAP




TABLE 3 SUMMARY SAMPLE RESULTS

Big Eddy Unit #9

Sample	Sample			BTEX	Benzene	GRO	DRO	MRO	Total TPH	CI-
Number on Figure 2 Sample Date Depth (feet bgs)	Proposed Action	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	Laboratory mg/Kg		
1	NMOCD RRAL's fo	or Site Ranking	g 0	50 mg/Kg	10 mg/Kg				5000 mg/Kg	
	1/22/2018	0.5	excavate	<0.21	<0.023	<4.7	91	68	159	37000
	1/22/2018	2	excavate	<0.23	<0.025	<5.0	<10	<50	<65	35000
L4	1/22/2018	4	excavate	<0.21	<0.024	<4.7	<10	<51	<66	36000
	1/22/2018	6	in-situ	<0.21	<0.024	<4.9	<9.1	<46	<60	20000
	1/22/2018	7	in-situ	<0.21	<0.023	<4.6	<9.1	<45	<59	4300

Table 3.

"--" = Not Analyzed

APPENDIX A FORM C141 INITIAL

									VSERVA			
District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210				State of New Mexico				DISTRICT			Form C-141 d April 3, 2017	
District III 1000 Rio Brazo District IV	1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410			1220	Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505				Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in RECEIVED ^{accordance} with 19,15.29 NMAC.			trict Office in 5.29 NMAC.
			Rele	ease Notific	cation	and Co	orrective A	ction	l			
NAB 18 Name of Co	mpany: 2	XTO Energy	Bar	bad, N.M. 8822	$7 \mid 0$	OPERAT	yle Littrell		🛛 Initia	al Report		Final Report
				(API for BEU			No: 432-221-7 be: Exploration		oduction			
Surface Ow	ner: State	of NM		Mineral (Owner:	State of NM API No: 30-015-42008						
				LOCA	ATION	OF REI	LEASE					
Unit Letter H	Section 28	Township 21S	Range 30E	Feet from the 1365	North/ North	South Line	Feet from the 400	East/V East	Vest Line	County Eddy		
		I	atitude	32.453746°_	Lo	ngitude	-103.87823°	NA	D83			
				NAT	TURE	OF REL	EASE					
Type of Rele	ase	Produced W	ater			Volume of	Release 7 bbl	3	Volume F	lecovered	3 bbls	#****
Source of Re	Source of Release SWD pipeline riser							Date and Hour of Discovery 12/24/2017 8 am				
Was Immedi	ate Notice (Yes 🗌] No 🖾 Not R	equired	lf YES, To N/A	Whom?	<u></u>				
By Whom?							Iour: N/A					
Was a Water	course Read	hed?	Yes 🛛	No		If YES, Vo N/A	olume Impacting	the Wat	ercourse.			

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*

The 12" SWD transfer line developed a leak in the riser section due to corrosion. The line was isolated and LOTO until it can be repaired.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*

The leak affected approximately 250 square feet of pasture on the pipeline ROW. Free standing fluids were recovered. A remediation contractor will be contacted to assist with the delineation and remediation effort.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Signature: Stand	$\beta = A(\lambda - \lambda)$
Printed Name. Kyle Littrell	Approved by Environmental Specialist
Title: Environmental Coordinator	Approval Date: 1818 Expiration pate: NIA
E-mail Address: Kyle_Littrell@xtoenergy.com	Conditions of Approval:
Date: 01/05/2018 Phone: 432-221-7331	see attached \$280.4552
Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary	

118/18 AB

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on 1/5/18 regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number 3RP 4567 has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District II office in Artesia on or before 2/5/18. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

• Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.

• Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.

• Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.

• Composite sampling is not generally allowed.

• Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

•Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.

• If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

• Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us

APPENDIX B NMOSE WELLS REPORT



New Mexico Office of the State Engineer Water Column/Average Depth to Water

A CLW##### in the POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced & no longer serves a water right file.)	been O=or	OD has replace phaned, e file is ed)						2=NE 3: st to larg	=SW 4=SE lest) (NA) AD83 UTM in me	eters)	(n feet)	
·······	0.000	POD		(1								, v	,	
POD Number	Code	Sub- e basin	Count		Q (Twe	Rng	х	Y	Distance	-	Depth Water 0	
C 03773 POD1	C	CUB	ED				2 21S	-	604039	3589799 🌍	2042	55	Water C	
C 03774 POD1	С	CUB	ED	2	4	2 32	2 21S	30E	604039	3589799 🌍	2042	32		
<u>C 02722</u>			ED	1	2	1 21	21S	30E	604435	3593203* 🌍	2163	592		
C 03772 POD1	С	CUB	ED	2	4	2 32	2 21S	30E	603859	3589714 🌍	2228	30		
C 03772 POD2	С	CUB	ED	4	2	2 32	2 21S	30E	603850	3589707 🌍	2240	30		
C 03772 POD3	С	CUB	ED	4	2	2 32	2 21S	30E	603840	3589699 🌍	2252	30		
C 03772 POD4	С	CUB	ED	4	2	2 32	2 21S	30E	603824	3589692 🌍	2269	30		
C 03772 POD5	С	CUB	ED	4	2	2 32	2 21S	30E	603823	3589681 🌍	2277	30		
C 03772 POD6	С	CUB	ED	4	2	2 32	2 21S	30E	603814	3589666 🌍	2294	30		
C 03772 POD7	С	CUB	ED	4	2	2 32	2 21S	30E	603805	3589655 🌍	2308	30		
C 03772 POD8	С	CUB	ED	4	2	2 32	2 21S	30E	603797	3589636 🌍	2327	30		
C 03726 POD3		CUB	ED	4	3	2 20) 21S	30E	603463	3592652 🌍	2402	166		
C 03234 EXPLORE			ED	1	2	3 35	5 21S	30E	607695	3589207* 🌍	3068	410		
C 03726 POD1		CUB	ED	3	2	4 19) 21S	30E	602039	3592182 🌍	3515		220	
C 03625 POD1		CUB	ED	1	4	4 18	3 21S	30E	602108	3593530 🌍	4015	310	118	192
C 03726 POD2		CUB	ED	3	4	3 18	3 21S	30E	601214	3593389 🌍	4719	210		
C 03624 POD1		CUB	ED	3	2	3 18	3 21S	30E	601286	3593689 🌍	4797	370	110	260
										Avera	ge Depth to	Water:	149 f	eet
											Minimum	Depth:	110 f	eet
											Maximum	Depth:	220 f	eet
Record Count: 17														
UTMNAD83 Radius		(in met	ers):											
Easting (X): 6054	138.7			No	rthiı	ng (Y): 359	91286.3		Radius	5000			

*UTM location was derived from PLSS - see Help

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.

APPENDIX C LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

February 01, 2018

Austin Weyant Souder, Miller & Associates 201 S Halagueno Carlsbad, NM 88221 TEL: (575) 689-7040 FAX

OrderNo.: 1801B18

RE: Big Eddy Unit 9

Dear Austin Weyant:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 5 sample(s) on 1/24/2018 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report Lab Order 1801B18 Date Reported: 2/1/2018

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates

Project: Big Eddy Unit 9

Client Sample ID: L1-S Collection Date: 1/22/2018 12:42:00 PM

Lab ID: 1801B18-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received I	Received Date: 1/24/2018 9:45:00 AM					
Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch			
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	MRA			
Chloride	37000	3000	mg/Kg	2E	1/31/2018 3:01:41 AM	36235			
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANICS	5			Analyst	том			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	91	9.7	mg/Kg	1	1/28/2018 1:27:54 AM	36208			
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	68	48	mg/Kg	1	1/28/2018 1:27:54 AM	36208			
Surr: DNOP	113	70-130	%Rec	1	1/28/2018 1:27:54 AM	36208			
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analyst	NSB			
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 3:31:35 AM	36205			
Surr: BFB	90.7	15-316	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 3:31:35 AM	36205			
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	AG			
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	1/29/2018 2:02:44 PM	36205			
Benzene	ND	0.023	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 3:31:35 AM	36205			
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 3:31:35 AM	36205			
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 3:31:35 AM	36205			
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 3:31:35 AM	36205			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	84.5	80-120	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 3:31:35 AM	36205			

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Analytical Report Lab Order 1801B18 Date Reported: 2/1/2018

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates

Big Eddy Unit 9

1801B18-002

Project:

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: L1-2' Collection Date: 1/22/2018 12:43:00 PM

Matrix: SOIL **Received Date:** 1/24/2018 9:45:00 AM DE Doto Analy DOT 0 n / 1

Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	MRA
Chloride	35000	1500	mg/Kg	1E	1/31/2018 3:14:05 AM	36261
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	IGE ORGANICS	6			Analyst	: TOM
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2018 12:44:17 PM	36208
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2018 12:44:17 PN	36208
Surr: DNOP	95.5	70-130	%Rec	1	1/26/2018 12:44:17 PM	36208
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 12:39:51 AN	36205
Surr: BFB	92.1	15-316	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 12:39:51 AM	36205
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.10	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 12:39:51 AN	36205
Benzene	ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 12:39:51 AN	36205
Toluene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 12:39:51 AN	36205
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 12:39:51 AM	36205
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 12:39:51 AN	36205
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	107	80-120	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 12:39:51 AN	36205

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Oualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 9 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Analytical Report Lab Order 1801B18

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates

Project: Big Eddy Unit 9

Date Reported: 2/1/2018
Client Sample ID: L1-4'

Collection Date: 1/22/2018 12:50:00 PM

Lab ID: 1801B18-003	Matrix:	SOIL	Received	Received Date: 1/24/2018 9:45:00 AM					
Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch			
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	MRA			
Chloride	36000	1500	mg/Kg	1E	1/30/2018 1:59:51 PM	36261			
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANICS	6			Analyst	: TOM			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2018 1:08:30 PM	36208			
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	51	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2018 1:08:30 PM	36208			
Surr: DNOP	91.4	70-130	%Rec	1	1/26/2018 1:08:30 PM	36208			
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analyst	: NSB			
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:03:19 AM	36205			
Surr: BFB	90.5	15-316	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 1:03:19 AM	36205			
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB			
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.094	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:03:19 AM	36205			
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:03:19 AM	36205			
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:03:19 AM	36205			
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:03:19 AM	36205			
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.094	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:03:19 AM	36205			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	80-120	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 1:03:19 AM	36205			

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 3 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Analytical Report Lab Order 1801B18 Date Reported: 2/1/2018

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates

Project: Big Eddy Unit 9

Client Sample ID: L1-6' Collection Date: 1/22/2018 12:52:00 PM

Lab ID: 1801B18-004	Matrix:	SOIL	Received	Received Date: 1/24/2018 9:45:00 AM					
Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch			
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	MRA			
Chloride	20000	750	mg/Kg	500	1/30/2018 2:12:15 PM	36261			
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANICS	5			Analyst	: TOM			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.1	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2018 1:32:52 PM	36208			
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	46	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2018 1:32:52 PM	36208			
Surr: DNOP	102	70-130	%Rec	1	1/26/2018 1:32:52 PM	36208			
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analyst	NSB			
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:26:49 AM	36205			
Surr: BFB	90.3	15-316	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 1:26:49 AM	36205			
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB			
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:26:49 AM	36205			
Benzene	ND	0.024	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:26:49 AM	36205			
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:26:49 AM	36205			
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:26:49 AM	36205			
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:26:49 AM	36205			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	80-120	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 1:26:49 AM	36205			

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 4 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Analytical Report Lab Order 1801B18 Date Reported: 2/1/2018

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates

Big Eddy Unit 9

1801B18-005

Project:

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: L1-7'

 Collection Date: 1/22/2018 12:54:00 PM

 Matrix: SOIL
 Received Date: 1/24/2018 9:45:00 AM

 Result
 POL Qual Units
 DE Date Analyzed
 Batch

Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	MRA
Chloride	4300	150	mg/Kg	100	1/30/2018 2:24:39 PM	36261
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RA	NGE ORGANICS	6			Analyst	: ТОМ
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.1	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2018 1:57:04 PM	36208
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	45	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2018 1:57:04 PM	36208
Surr: DNOP	89.3	70-130	%Rec	1	1/26/2018 1:57:04 PM	36208
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	ANGE				Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.6	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:50:15 AM	36205
Surr: BFB	90.9	15-316	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 1:50:15 AM	36205
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.092	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:50:15 AM	36205
Benzene	ND	0.023	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:50:15 AM	36205
Toluene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:50:15 AM	36205
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:50:15 AM	36205
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.092	mg/Kg	1	1/27/2018 1:50:15 AM	36205
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	107	80-120	%Rec	1	1/27/2018 1:50:15 AM	36205

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 5 of 9
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:		der, Miller & Asso Eddy Unit 9	ociate	es							
Sample ID	MB-36235	SampType	e: mb	olk	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anion	S		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID): 36 2	235	F	RunNo: 4	8756				
Prep Date:	1/29/2018	Analysis Date	e: 1/2	29/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	569479	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	1.5								
Sample ID	LCS-36235	SampType	e: Ics	5	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID): 362	235	F	RunNo: 4	8756				
Prep Date:	1/29/2018	Analysis Date	e: 1/2	29/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	569480	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	92.0	90	110			
Sample ID	MB-36261	SampType	e: mb	olk	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anion	S		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID): 36 2	261	F	RunNo: 4	8793				
Prep Date:	1/30/2018	Analysis Date	e: 1/	30/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	570157	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	1.5								
Sample ID	LCS-36261	SampType	a. Ice		Tes	tCode: El		300 0. Anion			

Sample ID LCS-36261	SampTy	pe: Ics	;	Test	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s		
Client ID: LCSS	Batch I	ID: 36	261	R	unNo: 4	8793				
Prep Date: 1/30/2018	Analysis Da	te: 1/	30/2018	S	eqNo: 1	570158	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	92.1	90	110			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Practical Quanitative Limit PQL
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 6 of 9

,	Miller & As ly Unit 9	ssociate	es							
Sample ID LCS-36208	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 36	208	F	RunNo: 48	3716				
Prep Date: 1/25/2018	Analysis D	ate: 1/	26/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	567286	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	43	10	50.00	0	85.4	70	130			
Surr: DNOP	4.1		5.000		81.8	70	130			
Sample ID MB-36208	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: 36	208	F	RunNo: 48	3716				
Prep Date: 1/25/2018	Analysis D	ate: 1/	26/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	567287	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Notor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP	8.8		10.00		88.4	70	130			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified
- Page 7 of 9

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	1801B18
	01-Feb-18

,	Miller & A y Unit 9	ssociate	Ś							
Sample ID MB-36205	•	ype: ME					8015D: Gaso	oline Rang	e	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 36	205	R	unNo: 4	8738				
Prep Date: 1/25/2018	Analysis D	Date: 1/	26/2018	S	eqNo: 1	567794	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	830		1000		83.1	15	316			
Sample ID LCS-36205	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	oline Rang	e	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n ID: 36	205	R	unNo: 4	8738				
Prep Date: 1/25/2018	Analysis D	Date: 1/	26/2018	S	eqNo: 1	567795	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	26	5.0	25.00	0	104	75.9	131			
Surr: BFB	1000		1000		102	15	316			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified
- Page 8 of 9

QC SUMMARY REPORT
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	1801B18
	01-Feb-18

	ouder, Miller & A g Eddy Unit 9	Associate	es							
Sample ID MB-36205	Samp	Туре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	tiles		
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 36	205	F	RunNo: 4	8738				
Prep Date: 1/25/2018	Analysis I	Date: 1/	/26/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	567836	Units: mg/K	ζg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) ND	0.10								
Benzene	ND	0.025								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenze	ne 0.89		1.000		89.3	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-3620	5 Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	tiles		
Client ID: LCSS	Bato	ch ID: 36	205	F	RunNo: 4	8738				
Prep Date: 1/25/2018	Analysis I	Date: 1/	/26/2018	5	SeqNo: 1	567837	Units: mg/K	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE	.) 0.90	0.10	1.000	0	89.6	70.1	121			
Benzene	0.96	0.025	1.000	0	96.2	77.3	128			
Toluene	0.97	0.050	1.000	0	97.3	79.2	125			
Ethylbenzene	0.96	0.050	1.000	0	95.9	80.7	127			
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	98.3	81.6	129			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified
- Page 9 of 9

	RONMENTAL YSIS RATORY	TEL: 505-345-397	4901 Hawk buquerque, NM	ins NE 87109 San 5-4107	nple Log-In C	heck List
Client Name:	SMA-CARLSBAD	Work Order Numbe	er: 1801B18		RcptNo:	1
Received By:	Isaiah Ortiz	1/24/2018 9:45:00 AI	и	IG	-	
Completed By:	Erin Melendrez	1/24/2018 2:13:48 PI	N	In	7	
Reviewed By:	DDS	1/24/18				
Chain of Cus	tody					
1. Is Chain of C	ustody complete?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was the	sample delivered?		Courier			
Log In 3. Was an atten	npt made to cool the s	samples?	Yes 🗹	No 🗀		
4. Were all sam	ples received at a tem	perature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA 🗌	
5. Sample(s) in	proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
6. Sufficient sam	ple volume for indica	ted test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONC	G) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
8. Was preserva	tive added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
9. VOA vials hav	e zero headspace?		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🔽	
10. Were any sar	nple containers receiv	ved broken?	Yes 📙	No 🗹	# of preserved bottles checked	
	ork match bottle labels ancies on chain of cus		Yes 🔽	No 🗌	for pH:	>12 unless noted)
•	correctly identified on	• ·	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	Adjusted?	,
13. Is it clear wha	t analyses were reque	ested?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌		
	ng times able to be m ustomer for authorizat		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:	
Special Handl	ing (if applicable	9)				
	tified of all discrepand		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person	Notified:	Date:				
By Who	om:	Via:	🗌 eMail 📋	Phone 🗌 Fax	🗌 In Person	
Regard	ing:				an anna an	
Client I	nstructions:					
16. Additional re	marks:					
17. Cooler Infor						
Cooler No			Seal Date	Signed By		
[<u>]</u>	0.1 Good	Yes]	

......

. . .

Olient Auth - Carnt bad Carnt bad Carnt bad Nalling Address: Propertium Anth - Carnt bad Nalling Address: Propertium Nalling Address: Propertium Nalling Address: Propertium Nalling Address: Propertium Propertium Properime Properint Propertin		Chain	-of-CL	Chain-of-Custody Record	Turn-Around Time:	1				I		N H	VTP	Q	NN	LN.	TAI		
Project Mannes: Project Mannes: Project Mannes: Brig Eddy Writ # 9 Brig Eddy Writ # 9 Brig Eddy Writ # 9 Brig Eddy Writ # 9 Brig Eddy Writ # 9 Project Mannes: Brig Eddy Minde Project Mannes: <td< td=""><td>Client</td><td>1000</td><td>- H</td><td>Carlsbad</td><td></td><td>Rush</td><td>5 day</td><td>Л</td><td></td><td></td><td>AL</td><td>Ys.</td><td>S L</td><td></td><td>Ö</td><td>I S</td><td>N N</td><td>. بـ</td><td></td></td<>	Client	1000	- H	Carlsbad		Rush	5 day	Л			AL	Ys.	S L		Ö	I S	N N	. بـ	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					Project Name: /		× ·			3	leh.ww	lenviro	nment	al.coi	E				
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Mailin	g Address			Big Eddy	Un.	L+ H	4	1 1 0 61	awkin	S NE -	Albuq	nerque	e, NN	A 871(60			
Bit Antibase Antibase Or Face: Or Face: Or Face: Or Face: Or Face: Or Face: Or Face: Or Face: Dollar Dollar Dollar Dollar Dollar Dollar Offen: Markin Markin Markin Markin Markin Markin Markin Offen: Dollar Sample: Markin Dollar Sample: Markin Markin Markin Time Watk Sample: Markin Sample: Markin Sample: Markin Markin Markin Markin Time Markin Sample: Markin Sample: Markin				File.	Project#:	_			Tel. 5(5-345	-3975	Fa	¢ 505-	345-4	4107	-			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Phone	#									4	nalysi	s Req	uest		10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -		1	-
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	email	or Fax#:		4	Project Manager:						-	1.0	_					_	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	QA/QC) Package: ndard		1 evel 4 (Full Validation)	Austin	Weye	tur				(SMI	15.04							
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Accret	ditation			1.00	thread						0"						(
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		LAP	D Othe			the set	ON D			1.00.00	1000				(¥			N 10	
Time Matrix Sample Request ID Container 12:12 12:12 401. 1700 12:12 12:15 11-2 ¹ -001 12 12:12 12:15 11-1 -001 12 12:12 12:15 11-12 ¹ -001 12 12:12 12:15 11-12 ¹ -001 12 12:12 12:15 11:51 11:12 ¹ 12:12 12:12 11:12:12 11:12 ¹ 11:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 11:12:12 11:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 11:12:12 11:12 ¹ 11:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 11:12:12 11:12 ¹ 11:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 11:12:12 11:12 ¹ 11:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 11:12:12 11:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 11:12 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹ 12:12 ¹		D (Type)			Sample Temperatu	PO :en	「日本のない」の			12.00	1.20		_	(∀	0^-			(_
$[12:42$ C_{0} ; $]$ $LI - S$ 4_{0} ; -0_{0} ; -0_{0} $[12:53$ $LI - 4$; $LI - 4$; -0_{0} -0_{0} $[12:54$ $LI - 1$; -0_{0} -0_{0} $[12:64$ $LI - 1$; -0_{0} -0_{0} $[12:64$ $LI - 1$; -0_{0} -0_{0} $[12:64$ $LI - 1$; -1_{0} -1_{0} $[12:64$ $LI - 1$; -1_{0}	Date		Matrix	Sample Request ID			HEAL NO.			All and Break and	SP 2012 11 11 12 12			OV) 80928	mə2) 0728			səlddu 8 riA	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1/22/1	\$ 12:42		5-17	402.	- 1	100-	_			-	-							
12:50 L1-4' -003 12:52 L1-Le' -004 12:54 L1-1' -005 12:64 L1-7' -005 11:1:64 L1-7' -005 11:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1	<u>-</u>	1243	S	11-2'	-	1	-007	x	×			*							
12:52 L1-Le' -000 12:54 L1-7' -005 12:54 L1-7' -005 11:55 L1-7' -005 11:1:55 L1-7' Date 11:1:1:55 Recorded by: Date 11:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1	-	12:50		LI-4'		1	2003	×	×			_			-				-
12:64 12:64 1.1-7 -005 Inc. 1.1-7 1.1-7 1.1-7 Inc. Reinquished by: Recorded by: Date Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by: 1.23 Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by: Date Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by: Date Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by: Date	-	12:52		1-1-1		1	P00-	ير				~							_
Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Time: Relinquished by: Time: Relinquished by: Time: Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date	2	12:54	2.1	.1-17	•	-	005	2	×			Y							-
Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by: Recorded by: Recorded by: Recorded by: Recorded by: Recorded by: Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date															+				
Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by: Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by												++							
Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by: Date Date Time: Relinquished by: Recorded by: Date Date Time: Relinquished by: Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date									_										
Time: Relinquished by: Date Date 1800 Bate	Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	R				-list	0	-	-			-	_		_	-
	zzlr8	Time:	Relinquish	8 f				<	2									_	