## NM OIL CONSERVATION

ARTESIA DISTRICT

District 1
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

MAY 17 2017

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

**Release Notification and Corrective Action** 

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in RECEIVED NMAC.

NAB17	1384	1324				OPERA	ΓOR		🛚 Initia	l Report	Final Repo		
Name of Co		- (	Contact CASEY L SUMMERS										
	PO BOX 4	77210		lephone No. 575-513-8289									
Facility Name PEACHES 19 CTB Facility Type BATTERY													
Surface Owner FEDERAL Mineral Owner						FEDERAL			API No. 30-015-40250				
LOCATION OF RELEASE													
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/	South Line	Feet from the	East/V	Vest Line	County			
В	19 25S 27E 330			N	ORTH	2310	EAST		EDDY				
Latitude_32.121769_ Longitude104.2283325_ NAD83													
NATURE OF RELEASE													
Type of Release OIL & PRODUCED WATER							Volume of Release 6bbls/6bbls Volume Re						
Source of Re	lease Site	glass on pro	parator		Date and Hour of Occurrence Date and F 5-12-2017 5-16-2017			lour of Discovery					
Was Immediate Notice Given?							Whom?	1	5-10-2017				
		quired	MIKE BRATCHER-NMOCD, CRYSTAL WEAVER-NMOCD, SHELLY TUCKER-BLM										
By Whom? CASEY SUMMERS						Date and Hour 5-15-2017 @ 10:12AM							
Was a Watercourse Reached? ☐ Yes ☒ No						If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.							
If a Watercon	urse was Im	pacted, Descr			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
Describe Course of Development Described Assistant Described Assis													
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*													
The leak was caused by a failure with the site glass on production separator. It has been repaired and 10 bbls of free fluids was recovered via vacuum truck.													
Describe Are	a Affected	and Cleanup	Action Tal	ken.*				**************************************	***************************************				
		•			حدد وسياما	-h with	Gataran CDC tamala	\ Dama	distina will	l ba aamalata	d in secondana		
		approved by t		isurements area su CD.	ibject to	change with	luture GPS track	). Keme	cuation will	oe complete	ed in accordance		
I hereby cert	ify that the	information g	ven above	is true and comp	lete to ti	ne best of my	knowledge and u	ınderstaı	nd that purs	uant to NMC	OCD rules and		
regulations a	ll operators	are required t	o report a	nd/or file certain n	elease n	otifications a	nd perform correc	ctive acti	ions for rela	ases which r	nay endanger		
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have falled to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health													
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other													
		ws and or reg						-					
				OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION									
Signature:	/N			G: 3.D	CAL.								
Printed Nam	e: CASE	Y L SUMME	RS		Approved by Environmental Specialist & Exercise								
Title: EN	VIRONME	NTAL ADVIS			Approval Da	10:5/18/17		Expiration	Date: N	H			
E-mail Addr	ess: rases	v summers@			Conditions o	f Approval:				\ <u>\</u>			
Date:	_   N _	1	9780	-	See attached			Attached	<b>V</b>				
Attach Add	itional She		575-513 ary	-0207		eeeste	<u>u u</u>	שמני		1	2RP-42		
			•								LKY-46		

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District  $\frac{2}{2}$  office in  $\frac{ARTESIA}{ARTESIA}$  on or before  $\frac{6/17/2017}{6/17/2017}$ . If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us