NM OIL CONSERVATION ARTESIA DISTRICT

AUG 10 2017

State of New Mexico **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources**

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Submit Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

Release Notification and Corrective Action OPERATOR Initial Report Final Rep Name of Company Lime Rock Resources II-A, L.P. 277558 Contact Mike Barrett Address 1111 Bagby St. Sts. 4600, Houston, TX 77002 Telephone No. 575-365-9724 Facility Name West Red Lake Unit #41 Facility Type Oil Surface Owner BLM Mineral Owner Federal API No. 30-015-28443 LOCATION OF RELEASE Unit Letter Section Township Range Feet from the B North/South Line Feet from the B Rast County B 7 188 27E 330 North/South Line Feet from the B Rast County B 7 188 27E Section Township Range Feet from the B North/South Line Feet from the B Rast County B County Eddy County Eddy Latitude 32,7687035 Longitude 104.3148575 NAD83 NATURE OF RELEASE Type of Release Produced Water Volume of Release 323bbs Volume Recovered 40bbfs Source of Release Flowline Date and Hour of Occurrence 8/5/2017 @ 10:00am 8/5/2017 @ 10:00am Was Immediate Notice Given? Mike Bratcher/Crystal Weaver-NMOCD Shelly Tucker-BLM By Whom? Sheldon Hiichcock Date and Hour 8/5/2017 @ 6:17pm If YES, To Whom? Mike Bratcher/Crystal Weaver-NMOCD Shelly Tucker-BLM Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Date and Hour 8/5/2017 @ 6:17pm If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Actio
Name of Company Lime Rock Resources II-A, L.P. 27558 Contact Mike Barrett
Name of Company Lime Rock Resources II-A, L.P. 27558 Contact Mike Barrett
Facility Name West Red Lake Unit #41 Facility Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Name
Surface Owner BLM
LOCATION OF RELEASE
Unit Letter B
Unit Letter B
Latitude 32.7687035 Longitude -104.3148575 NAD83 NATURE OF RELEASE Type of Release Produced Water Volume of Release 323bbls Volume Recovered 40bbls Source of Release Flowline Date and Hour of Occurrence 8/5/2017 @ 10:00am 8/5/2017 @ 11:00 am 1f YES, To Whom? Was Immediate Notice Given? If YES, To Whom? Mike Bratcher/Crystall Weaver-NMOCD Shelly Tucker-BLM By Whom? Sheldon Hitchcock Date and Hour 8/5/2017 @ 6:17pm Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be eaptured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
NATURE OF RELEASE Type of Release Produced Water Volume of Release 323bbls Volume Recovered 40bbls Date and Hour of Occurrence 8/5/2017 @ 10:00am Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required By Whom? Sheldon Hitchcock Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Not Required If YES, To Whom? Mike Bratcher/Crystal Weaver-NMOCD Shelly Tucker-BLM Date and Hour 8/5/2017 @ 6:17pm If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
NATURE OF RELEASE Type of Release Produced Water Volume of Release 323bbls Volume Recovered 40bbls Date and Hour of Occurrence 8/5/2017 @ 10:00am Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required By Whom? Sheldon Hitchcock Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Not Required If YES, To Whom? Mike Bratcher/Crystal Weaver-NMOCD Shelly Tucker-BLM Date and Hour 8/5/2017 @ 6:17pm If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
Type of Release Produced Water Source of Release Flowline Date and Hour of Occurrence 8/5/2017 @ 10:00am Was Immediate Notice Given? Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required By Whom? Sheldon Hitchcock By Whom? Sheldon Hitchcock Date and Hour of Discovery 8/5/2017 @ 11:00 am If YES, To Whom? Mike Bratcher/Crystal Weaver-NMOCD Shelly Tucker-BLM By Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Date and Hour #5/2017 @ 6:17pm If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
Source of Release Flowline Date and Hour of Occurrence
Was Immediate Notice Given? Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required If YES, To Whom? Mike Bratcher/Crystal Weaver-NMOCD Shelly Tucker-BLM By Whom? Sheldon Hitchcock Date and Hour \$85/2017 @ 6:17pm Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Not Required Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Not Required If YES, To Whom? Mike Bratcher/Crystal Weaver-NMOCD Shelly Tucker-BLM Date and Hour 8/5/2017 @ 6:17pm If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mittigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
Shelly Tucker-BLM By Whom? Sheldon Hitchcock Date and Hour 8/5/2017 @ 6:17pm If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sumps
By Whom? Sheldon Hitchcock Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sumps
Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No If Yes, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Approx. 250bbls If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sumps
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
The fluid from the release flowed into a drainage leading to the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained with earthen berms at the bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
bottom of the flow path. Lined sumps were built in the upper and lower portion of the drainage so that any runoff could be collected utilizing a vacuum truck. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
A flowline failed resulting in the release of approximately 323bbls of produced water. A vacuum truck was utilized to recover approximately 40bbls of produced water. The line was repaired and put back into service. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
The fluid from the release entered an adjacent drainage which flows into the Pecos River. No fluid entered the river. The fluid was contained at the end of the flow path with earthen berms. Lined sumps were constructed in the upper and lower portions of the drainage so that any fluid running down the drainage will be captured. In an effort to mitigate impacts from the release the draw was flushed with fresh water. The water was recovered from the sump
following the flush utilizing vacuum trucks. Soil samples were taken from the draw after the flush in order to determine the extent of the soil impacts.
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Signature: Mand Das
Printed Name: Mike Barrett Approved by Environment Brecialist / Democrate
Title: Production Superintendent Approval Date: 8 15 17 Expiration Date: A
E-mail Address: mbarrett@limerockresources.com Conditions of Approval:
Date: 8/10/2017 Phone: 575-365-9724 SEE AHACHED ARP-4345

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on 8/10/2017 regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number 2RP - 4346 has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District $\frac{2}{2}$ office in $\frac{ARTESIA}{ARTESIA}$ on or before $\frac{9/10/2017}{ARTESIA}$. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us