# 3Q & 4Q

# 2019

# Progress Report



March 15, 2020

1000 Rio Brazos Road

Aztec, New Mexico 87410

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Cory Smith

RCVD via Email 6/12/2020

long his

Continue to Monitor/ Remediate No additional Conditions at this time

Re: 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2019 Progress Report Monitor Well Installation and Groundwater Monitoring Report Benson-Montin-Greer Highway 537 Llaves Pipeline 2008 Release Rio Arriba County, New Mexico AP-136 (Formerly 3RP-447)

Dear Mr. Smith:

On behalf of Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation (BMG), Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) has prepared this this 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2019 Progress Report, which provides details of the installation of one groundwater monitor well (MW-9R) and monitoring and sampling of site wells at the BMG Llaves Pipeline 2008 Release location. Site activities were conducted in accordance with a Stage 1 and 2 Abatement Plan dated June 6, 2019, and Plan approval is currently pending.

# 1.0 Site Information

# 1.1 Site Location

The 2008 release originated on the Schmitz Ranch, on the south side of Highway 537 and flowed south and southwest through a small unnamed arroyo for a distance of approximately 920 linear feet (ft). This arroyo eventually drains to the Los Ojitos Arroyo, which ultimately drains to Largo Canyon. The release location is legally described as being located within the NW¼ NE¼ Section 18, T25N, R3W in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Latitude and longitude were recorded as being N36.40357 and W107.18422, respectively. A topographic site location map, based on an excerpt from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute Schmitz Ranch, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico topographic quadrangle, is included as Figure 1, and a general site plan is presented as Figure 2.

# 1.2 Release History

**December 31, 2007** - A Western Refining truck driver discovered the Llaves pipeline leak and immediately contacted BMG. BMG personnel confirmed the release and shut down the Llaves pipeline pumps and block valve located about one mile upstream. BMG contracted with TNT Excavating to remove the oil that had pooled along the surface of the small arroyo. Approximately 40 barrels (bbls) of oil were recovered and placed in storage tanks at the BMG Hwy 537 Transfer Station. A total of 3,932 cubic yards of contaminated soils were excavated and transported to the TNT Landfarm facility for disposal.

**January 9, 2008** - Llaves pipeline was repaired. BMG notified the National Response Center of the spill on January 23, 2008, and the release was given identification number 860429.

# 1.3 Site Investigation and Initial Monitor Well Installation

**April and May 2008** - A total of 15 soil borings (TH-1 through TH-15) and nine groundwater monitor wells (TH-3/MW-1 through TH-11/MW-9) were installed by AES between April 14 and 16, 2008. Soils were found to consist of interbedded layers of brown silty clay, poorly sorted tan sands, and very moist plastic brown clays, and groundwater was found to exist about 28 to 35 feet bgs. The locations of the monitoring wells are presented on Figure 2.

Soil petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was evident in TH-1 (below the area of excavation) and in TH-2 (between the excavation and the service road). TH-13, located within the small arroyo, was also impacted by contaminated soils. Soil contaminant concentrations exceeded NMOCD action levels for total BTEX in TH-1 and TH-2 and for total total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in TH-1, TH-2, and TH-13. The highest total BTEX concentrations and total TPH concentrations were reported at 479 mg/kg and 29,000 mg/kg, respectively, at 34 feet bgs in TH-2.

AES conducted baseline groundwater sampling on May 5, 2008. Groundwater analytical results showed that groundwater was impacted above the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) standard for benzene in MW-8 (26  $\mu$ g/L) and MW-9 (6.2  $\mu$ g/L). Monitor wells MW-1, MW-7, MW-8, and MW-9 had TPH-GRO concentrations above laboratory detection limits. Details of the site investigation were presented in the *Site Investigation Report* dated June 23, 2008 (AES, 2008), and *Corrective Action Plan* 

dated October 25, 2010 (AES, 2010), both submitted to NMOCD.

**March 21-22 and April 14, 2011** – On March 21 and 22, 2011, AES installed five remediation wells, MPE-1 through MPE-5, in and around the area of the release, primarily in the area of MW-9. AES returned to install two additional MPE wells, MPE-6 and MPE-7, at the site on April 14, 2011. The locations of the remediation wells are presented on Figure 2. Installation details were presented in the *Periodic Progress Report* submitted to NMOCD and dated August 10, 2011 (AES, 2011).

# 1.4 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling – 2008 to Present

Monitor wells MW-1 through MW-7 were monitored and sampled from 2008 to 2011 and had dissolved phase concentrations which remained below laboratory detection limits or applicable standards for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) for eight consecutive quarters. Well MW-8 was monitored and sampled from 2008 to 2013 and had dissolved phase BTEX concentrations below laboratory detection limits for nine consecutive quarters. Cumulative groundwater measurement and water quality data are presented in Table 1, and a summary of groundwater analytical results is presented in Table 2.

Groundwater monitoring and measurement of NAPL has been conducted on a periodic basis since 2014. MW-9 and MPE-1 through MPE-6 have continued to have measurable NAPL thicknesses. MPE-7, which is hydraulically down-gradient, has had measurable NAPL only in April 2014 (0.01 ft).

# 1.5 NAPL Recovery – May 2011 to April 2019

#### 1.5.1 Multi-Phase Extraction (MPE) Operations, 2011

The MPE unit was installed in May 2011 and operated until October 2011, when it was removed for the winter season. An estimated **26,250 lbs** of petroleum hydrocarbons were removed via the RSI mobile MPE system.

#### 1.5.2 Additional MPE Operations, 2014 and 2015

In 2014 and 2015, AES re-installed an RSI mobile MPE system to remove residual contaminants. The unit operated from July to September 2014 and from May 8 to August 6, 2015. It is estimated that approximately **7,172 lbs** and **7,052 lbs** of petroleum hydrocarbons were removed during this time.

#### 1.5.3 Residual NAPL Recovery Efforts – December 2017 to April 2019

AES conducted residual NAPL recovery though hand-bailing at the site, with events occurring on a monthly basis from December 2017 through April 2019. Wells included in hand-bailing efforts are MPE-1 through MPE-6 and MW-9.

Because of the low transmissivity of residual NAPL, a total of 5.4 gallons (approximately 33 lbs) were removed from the site from January through April 2019. The cumulative mass of petroleum hydrocarbons removed through 2019 (including 2011, 2014, and 2015 mechanical operations) is approximately 41,421 lbs (6,796 gallons).

	2006 Release
Time Period	Mass Petroleum Hydrocarbons Removed (lbs)
Through August 2015	40,474
August 2015 to April 2019	947
Cumulative Mass Removal (lbs)	41,421

#### Petroleum Hydrocarbon Mass Removal 2015 through 2019 BMG Hwy 537 2008 Release

Cumulative depth to groundwater and NAPL measurements are presented in Table 1. Further details are presented in the *2018 Annual Report* (AES, 2019), dated February 18, 2019.

# 1.6 Monitor Well Plugging and Abandonment – August 2017

On August 7, 2017, AES, with approval from NMOCD and with approved Well Plugging Plans from the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE), oversaw the plugging and abandonment (P&A) of six of the existing monitor wells, including MW-1, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6 and MW-8. Note that two wells, MW-7 (upgradient) and MW-2 (downgradient), were left open to measure depth to groundwater and to assist in calculating hydraulic gradient. P&A activities were detailed in the *Remedial Activities Update Report* dated September 1, 2017 (AES, 2017).

# 1.7 NAPL Recovery Pilot Study – August to September 2017

AES conducted a pilot study utilizing low vacuum enhancement to promote NAPL migration to the recovery wells. The Hwy 537 2008 Release pilot study was performed in two phases, passive skimming recovery (August 2017) and low vacuum enhanced recovery (September 2017). Phase I results were reported in the *Remedial Activities Update Report*, dated September 1, 2017 (AES, 2017). Phase II results resulted in insufficient NAPL migration to the recovery wells (i.e. decreased NAPL transmissivity) and

MPE operations continuing to be less than effective at addressing the residual NAPL mass.

# 1.8 Abatement Plan

A pending Stage 1 and 2 Abatement Plan dated June 6, 2019 has been submitted to NMOCD. As required by New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.30.11, this plan was requested from NMOCD in correspondence dated March 18, 2019.

The purpose of a Stage 1 Abatement Plan is to design and conduct a site investigation that adequately defines site conditions, and to provide the data necessary to select and design an effective abatement option. The plan proposed that previous site data and associated reports adequately defined site conditions, thereby meeting the requirements of a Stage 1 Abatement Plan.

The proposed activities of the Stage 2 Abatement Plan included replacement monitor well MW-9R installation and sampling, installation of a solar-powered low vacuum NAPL recovery system, groundwater monitoring and sampling, and compliance soil sampling.

# 2.0 Monitor Well MW-9R Installation and Groundwater Sampling, September 2019

In accordance with the Abatement Plan dated June 6, 2019, AES advanced one soil boring and installed one replacement groundwater monitor well (MW-9R) on September 5, 2019. The soil boring was advanced via hollow stem auger (HSA) drilling by Enviro-Drill, Inc. of Albuquerque, New Mexico. The soil boring and monitor well location is included on Figure 2, and a photograph of site work is included in Appendix A.

# 2.1 NMOSE Permitting

Prior to site work, AES obtained NMSOE permits to install MW-9R at the BMG site and to permit existing wells MW-2, MW-7, and MPE-1 through MPE-7. AES submitted a WR-07 non-consumptive use permit application for the wells as required by NMOSE. Also, in compliance with permitting requirements, the MW-9R well log was submitted to the office within 30 days of completion of the well. Copies of the NMOSE permits are included in Appendix B.

# 2.2 Soil Boring Installation

The soils encountered at MW-9R remained consistent with those observed during the 2008 monitor well installation and are characterized by sandy clay from the surface to 5 ft

bgs, underlain by sandy soils. The boring was drilled to 40 ft bgs, and groundwater was encountered at 31 ft bgs. The driller well record is included in Appendix B, and a soil boring log is presented in Appendix C.

#### 2.2.1 Soil Field Sampling and Results

AES collected soil samples for field screening and laboratory analysis from the boring. Soil samples were attempted via 1.5-foot split spoon samplers at 5-foot intervals in each boring. As noted in the soil boring log, field screening results for the borings varied, and AES recorded OVM readings from recovered samples. OVM readings varied from 0.0 ppm between 5 and 25 ft bgs, to 1,058 ppm in at 30 ft bgs. Grey staining and an odor were observed at 31 ft bgs. Soil staining and petroleum hydrocarbon odors were noted between 35 and 40 ft bgs. Field screening OVM results are included on Figure 3.

#### 2.2.2 Soil Laboratory Analyses

Soil samples were collected from soil boring MW-9R at 15 and 30 ft bgs, with the latter submitted for laboratory analysis based upon it being the interval just above water at capillary fringe, and the interval with highest OVM reading. Samples collected for laboratory analysis were placed in new, clean, laboratory-supplied containers, labeled, placed on ice, and logged onto a sample chain of custody record. The samples were maintained on ice until delivery to the analytical laboratory, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall), in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Soil samples were analyzed for:

- BTEX per USEPA Method 8021B; and
- TPH (GRO/DRO/MRO) per USEPA Method 8015M.

#### 2.2.3 Soil Analytical Results

Soil samples from boring MW-9R at 15 ft bgs and 30 ft bgs reported BTEX concentrations below laboratory detection limits in both samples except xylenes (1.6 mg/kg) at MW-9R at 30 ft bgs. The saturated soil sample collected from MW-9R at 30 ft bgs showed elevated concentrations of TPH as GRO (130 mg/kg), DRO (2,100 mg/kg), and MRO (880 mg/kg). Laboratory analytical results are summarized and presented in Table 4 and on Figure 3.

#### Groundwater Monitor Well Installation and Sampling 2.3

#### 2.3.1 Groundwater Monitor Well Installation

Monitor well MW-9R was constructed using 2.0-inch Schedule 40 PVC and 0.020-inch slotted screen installed extending 10 ft up from each well's terminal depth. The well was sanded with silica sand 10/20 from terminal depth up to 1.9 ft above the top of well screen. The remainder of the well was finished with 3/8-inch bentonite chip seal to 3.2 ft

p. 6

above the sand pack, at which point the well was completed and grouted. The top of well casing is metallic and extends approximately 3-ft above surface grade. Monitor well construction details are presented on driller well record included in Appendix B and on the soil boring log presented in Appendix C.

#### 2.3.2 Monitor Well Development

On September 6, 2019, well MW-9R was developed by Envirodrill to remove fine-grained sediments and to increase hydraulic conductivity through the well screen.

#### 2.3.3 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling

Groundwater gauging of all site wells and sampling of monitor well MW-9R was conducted by AES on September 25, 2019. Samples were able to be collected from MW-9R for laboratory analysis. All groundwater measurement, purge volumes and water quality readings (where obtainable) were recorded onto Water Sample Collection Forms, which are included in Appendix D.

#### Groundwater Elevations and Water Quality Measurements

Depth to groundwater at the site ranged from 33.12 ft bgs at MPE-7 to 40.85 ft bgs at MW-7. Well MW-2 was dry. NAPL was measured in 6 of the 10 on-site wells: MPE-1 (1.92 ft), MPE-2 (0.04 ft), MPE-3 (1.91 ft), MPE-4 (2.16 ft), MPE-5 (0.54), and MPE-6 (0.80 ft). Field water quality measurements were obtained from MW-9R. Groundwater gradient is historically to the southwest. Groundwater elevations are summarized in Table 1, and groundwater elevation and contours are presented in Figure 4. NAPL contours are presented on Figure 5.

#### Groundwater Laboratory Analyses

Groundwater samples from MW-9R were submitted to Hall in Albuquerque, New Mexico, for analysis of the following parameters listed in NMAC 20.6.2.3103(A, B, and C):

- BTEX per USEPA Method 8021B;
- TPH (GRO/DRO/MRO) per USEPA Method 8015B;
- Metals per USEPA Method 200.7;
- RCRA 8 metals per USEPA Method 200.8;
- Mercury per USEPA Method 245.1;
- Anions per USEPA Method 200.0;
- Total dissolved solids per USEPA Method SM2540C;
- pH per USEPA Method SM4500-H+B/9040C;
- Phenolics per USEPA Method SW-846 9067;
- Cyanide per USEPA Method 4500CN; and
- Radium-226 and -228 per USEPA Methods 903.1 and 904.0, respectively.

All samples were preserved in laboratory-supplied containers and stored in an insulated cooler containing ice. Samples were shipped by Hall personnel in chilled and insulated coolers at less than 6°C to the analytical laboratory.

#### Groundwater Laboratory Analytical Results

Groundwater analytical results for dissolved phase benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylene concentrations were reported below laboratory detection limits or the applicable WQCC standards in all wells. TPH as GRO was detected (0.87 mg/L) and TPH-DRO and TPH-MRO levels were below laboratory detection limits.

Total dissolved solid (TDS) levels (1,040 mg/L) exceeded the WQCC standard of 1,000 mg/L. Similarly, total iron (4.2 mg/L) and manganese (3.3 mg/L) levels exceeded the respective dissolved WQCC standards of 1.0 mg/L and 0.2 mg/L. In contrast, other metals, anions, cyanide, pH, phenols, and combined radium-226 and -228 were reported below applicable WQCC standards.

Groundwater analytical results are tabulated in Tables 2 and 3, and are also presented on Figure 6. The laboratory analytical reports are included in Appendix E.

# 3.0 Solar Sipper Installation

On October 24 and 25, 2019, AES personnel installed a Geotech<sup>®</sup> Solar Sipper at the location. The solar sipper is a solar powered remediation system designed to recover NAPL and other fluids from depths up to 180 ft bgs. Skimmer pumps were set at 35.20 ft below top of casing (TOC) at MPE-1 and at 36.20 ft below TOC at MPE-6. BMG personnel operated and maintained the system as needed.

The solar sipper was taken offline on December 11, 2019, because of low NAPL recovery in wells and low sunlight hours. On this date, 0.03 ft of NAPL was detected in MPE-1 and no NAPL was noted in MPE-6. The solar sipper was returned to service at MPE-1 on March 10, 2020, and the second skimmer is planned for transfer from MPE-6 to MPE-3. Photographs of the solar sipper system are included in Appendix A.

# 4.0 Conclusions

On September 5, 2019, AES installed one groundwater monitor well (MW-9R) to better determine vertical and lateral extent of petroleum hydrocarbon impacts at the Llaves

Pipeline 2008 Release location. AES advanced one boring, MW-9R, to replace MW-9, a 0.75-inch well which has been blocked by roots since December 2018. Site lithology was observed to consist of sandy clay to about 5 ft bgs, and sandy soils to the terminal depth of 40 ft bgs. Grey staining and an odor were observed at 31 ft bgs. Stained soils and a petroleum hydrocarbon odor were observed near the terminal depth, from 35 to 40 ft bgs.

The boring was completed as a 2-inch diameter monitor well. On September 6, 2019, the well was developed, and on September 25, 2019, groundwater samples were collected from MW-9R for laboratory analysis. Monitoring occurred at other site wells.

Based on September 2019 field observations, field screening, and laboratory analytical results, the following is concluded:

- 1. Residual petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants as GRO/DRO/MRO are present in soil at MW-9R at a depth of 30 ft bgs (top of groundwater), with a total concentration of 3,110 mg/kg.
- 2. Depth to groundwater at the site ranged from 33.12 ft bgs at MPE-7 to 40.85 ft bgs at MW-7. Well MW-2 was dry.
- 3. Residual NAPL was observed in MPE-1 (1.92 ft), MPE-2 (0.04 ft), MPE-3 (1.91 ft), MPE-4 (2.16 ft), MPE-5 (0.54 ft), and MPE-6 (0.80 ft).
- 4. MW-9R TDS levels (1,040 mg/L) exceeded the WQCC standard of 1,000 mg/L. Similarly, total iron (4.2 mg/L) and total manganese (3.3 mg/L) levels exceeded the respective WQCC standards of 1.0 mg/L and 0.2 mg/L. Note that March 2020 sampling will include collection of filtered samples for analysis of dissolved iron and manganese in MW-9R and upgradient MW-7. Groundwater concentrations were either below laboratory detection limits or below applicable WQCC standards for all other parameters analyzed.

A solar sipper was installed at the site for recovery of residual NAPL on October 24 and 25, 2019. The solar sipper was taken off-line on December 11, 2019, and returned to service on March 10, 2020.

# 5.0 Scheduled Site Activities

The following site activities are currently scheduled for 2020:

- Ongoing recovery of residual NAPL via solar sipper from wells where NAPL recovery is sufficient for removal;
- June 2020 Sampling of MW-7 (upgradient well) for TDS and dissolved iron and manganese. A report summarizing activities will be combined with those of the March 25, 2020 sampling (of MW-7 and MW-9R) event; and
- September 2020 Semi-annual gauging events of the remaining monitor wells, MW-2, MW-7 and MW-9R; Sampling of MW-9R for BTEX, TPH (GRO, DRO, MRO).

If you have any questions regarding this report or site conditions, please do not hesitate to contact Elizabeth McNally at (505) 564-2281.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ward & Reve

David J. Reese Environmental Scientist

Elizabeth V Merdly

Elizabeth McNally, P.E.

# Tables

- Table 1. Summary of Groundwater Measurement and Water Quality Data
- Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results VOCs and TPH
- Table 3. Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results NMAC 20.6.2.3103
- Table 4. Summary of Soil Analytical Results

# Figures

- 1. Topographic Site Location Map
- 2. General Site Plan
- 3. Soil Field Screening and Laboratory Analysis Results, September 2019
- 4. Groundwater Elevation Contours, September 2019

- 5. Residual NAPL Thickness Contours, September 2019
- 6. Groundwater Contaminant Concentrations, September 2019

#### **Appendices**

- A. Photograph Log
- B. NMOSE Well Permits WR-07 (MW-2, MW-7, MW-9R, MPE-1 through MPE-7) and Well Records WR-20 (MW-9R)
- C. Soil Boring Log/Well Construction Schematic (MW-9R)
- D. Water Sample Collection Forms
- E. Laboratory Analytical Reports (Hall Nos. 1909341 and 1909E81)
- F. Solar Sipper Manufacturer's Information
- Cc: Zach Stradling (<u>zstradling@bmqdrilling.com</u>) Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corp.
  4900 College Blvd Farmington, NM 87402

Craig Schmitz, Private Landowner (hard copy) #70 County Road 405 Lindrith, NM 87029

### References

- Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES). 2008, June 23. Site Investigation Report: Highway 537 Llaves Oil Pipeline Spill.
- ------2010, October 25. Corrective Action Plan.
- ————2011, August 10. *Periodic Progress Report for the Benson-Montin-Greer Highway* 537 Llaves Pipeline 2008 Oil Release.
- -------2017, September 1. *Remedial Activities Update Report*.

https://animasenvironmental.sharepoint.com/sites/bmgprojectsnon-spcc/Shared Documents/Hwy 537 2008/Reports and Workplans/MW Installation and GW Monitoring Report 031520 EM2 DR.docx

Tables

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MW-1	14-Jan-14	7082.57		33.51		7049.06	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	04-Apr-14	7082.57		33.50		7049.07	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	10-Sep-14	7082.57		33.75		7048.82	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	03-Dec-14	7082.57		33.83		7048.74	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	27-Mar-15	7082.57		33.64		7048.93	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	08-Dec-15	7082.57		33.84		7048.73	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	17-Jun-16	7082.57		33.91		7048.66	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	20-Oct-16	7082.57		34.20		7048.37	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	27-Jan-17	7082.57		34.12		7048.45	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-1	07-Aug-17	7082.57				Plugged an	d Abando	ned			
MW-2	14-Jan-14	7079.94		31.28		7048.66	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-2	04-Apr-14	7079.94		31.15		7048.79	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-2	10-Sep-14	7079.94		Dry		NA		NI	M - WELL DF	RΥ	
MW-2	03-Dec-14	7079.94		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DF	RΥ	
MW-2	27-Mar-15	7079.94		Dry		NA		NI	M - WELL DF	RY	
MW-2	08-Dec-15	7079.94		Dry		NA		NI	M - WELL DF	RY	
MW-2	17-Jun-16	7079.94		Dry		NA		NI	M - WELL DF	RY	
MW-2	20-Oct-16	7079.94		Dry		NA		NI	M - WELL DF	RΥ	
MW-2	27-Jan-17	7079.94		Dry		NA		NI	M - WELL DF	RΥ	
MW-2	14-Apr-17	7079.94		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DF	RΥ	
MW-2	25-Sep-19	7079.94		, Dry		NA			M - WELL DF		
				,				Ι			
MW-3	14-Jan-14	7081.10		31.77		7049.33	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-3	04-Apr-14	7081.10		31.66		7049.44	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	рН	ORP (mV)
MW-3	10-Sep-14	7081.10		32.19		7048.91	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-3	03-Dec-14	7081.10		32.18		7048.92	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-3	27-Mar-15	7081.10		31.78		7049.32	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-3	08-Dec-15	7081.10		32.12		7048.98	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-3	17-Jun-16	7081.10		32.21		7048.89	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-3	20-Oct-16	7081.10		32.47		7048.63	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-3	27-Jan-17	7081.10		32.36		7048.74	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-3	07-Aug-17	7081.10				Plugged an	d Abando	ned			
MW-4	14-Jan-14	7084.79		34.85		7049.94	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	04-Apr-14	7084.79		34.84		7049.95	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	10-Sep-14	7084.79		35.14		7049.65	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	03-Dec-14	7084.79		35.21		7049.58	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	27-Mar-15	7084.79		35.04		7049.75	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	08-Dec-15	7084.79		35.28		7049.51	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	17-Jun-16	7084.79		35.31		7049.48	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	20-Oct-16	7084.79		35.54		7049.25	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	27-Jan-17	7084.79		35.52		7049.27	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-4	07-Aug-17	7084.79				Plugged an	d Abando	ned			
MW-5	05-May-08	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DF	RY	
MW-5	24-Sep-08	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DF	RY	
MW-5	02-Jan-09	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DF	RY	
MW-5	07-Apr-09	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DF	RY	
MW-5	07-Jul-09	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DF	RY	
MW-5	12-Oct-09	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DF	RΥ	

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	рН	ORP (mV)
MW-5	12-Jan-10	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	13-Oct-10	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	20-Jan-11	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	09-May-11	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	15-Aug-11	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	21-Nov-11	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	21-Feb-12	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	24-May-12	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	18-Sep-12	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	04-Dec-12	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	۲Y	
MW-5	26-Mar-13	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	26-Jun-13	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	25-Sep-13	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	14-Jan-14	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	04-Apr-14	7087.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	10-Sep-14	7088.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	03-Dec-14	7088.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	27-Mar-15	7088.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	08-Dec-15	7088.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	17-Jun-16	7088.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	20-Oct-16	7088.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	27-Jan-17	7088.98		Dry		NA		N	M - WELL DI	RY	
MW-5	07-Aug-17	7088.98				Plugged an	d Abando	ned			
MW-6	14-Jan-14	7088.43		38.14		7050.29	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-6	04-Apr-14	7088.43		38.14		7050.29	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MW-6	10-Sep-14	7088.43		38.37		7050.06	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-6	03-Dec-14	7088.43		38.55		7049.88	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-6	27-Mar-15	7088.43		38.28		7050.15	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-6	08-Dec-15	7088.43		38.55		7049.88	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-6	17-Jun-16	7088.43		38.57		7049.86	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-6	20-Oct-16	7088.43		38.79		7049.64	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-6	27-Jan-17	7088.43		38.81		7049.62	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-6	07-Aug-17	7088.43				Plugged an	d Abando	ned			
MW-7	14-Jan-14	7090.15		39.85		7050.30	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	04-Apr-14	7090.15		39.89		7050.26	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	10-Sep-14	7090.15		40.07		7050.08	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	03-Dec-14	7090.15		40.24		7049.91	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	27-Mar-15	7090.15		39.94		7050.21	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	08-Dec-15	7090.15		40.27		7049.88	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	17-Jun-16	7090.15		40.30		7049.85	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	20-Oct-16	7090.15		40.51		7049.64	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	27-Jan-17	7090.15		40.49		7049.66	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	14-Apr-17	7090.15		40.23		7049.92	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-7	25-Sep-19	7090.15		40.85		7049.30	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	14-Jan-14	7085.20		35.87		7049.33	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	04-Apr-14	7085.20		35.79		7049.41	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	10-Sep-14	7085.20		36.04		7049.16	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	03-Dec-14	7085.20		36.15		7049.05	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	27-Mar-15	7085.20		35.94		7049.26	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MW-8	08-Dec-15	7085.20		36.19		7049.01	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	17-Jun-16	7085.20		36.28		7048.92	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	20-Oct-16	7085.20		36.54		7048.66	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	27-Jan-17	7085.20		36.49		7048.71	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MW-8	07-Aug-17	7085.20				Plugged an	d Abando	ned			
MW-9	05-May-08	7083.64		31.81		7051.83	15.01	1.955	2.59	7.85	-37.9
MW-9	24-Sep-08	7083.64		32.26		7051.38	14.03	1.515	2.84	7.08	43.3
MW-9	05-Jan-09	7083.64				7083.64		N	M - WELL DF	Υ	
MW-9	07-Apr-09	7083.64		32.34		7051.30	12.85	1.876	1.11	6.89	7.0
MW-9	07-Jul-09	7083.64		32.41		7051.23	16.77	1.672	1.14	7.19	-9.7
MW-9	12-Oct-09	7083.64		32.63		7051.01	13.78	1.352	2.10	7.22	72.9
MW-9	12-Jan-10	7083.64	32.43	34.80	2.37	7050.68		NM	- 2.37 feet N	APL	
MW-9	13-Oct-10	7083.64	32.63	35.29	2.66	7050.42		NM	- 2.66 feet N	APL	
MW-9	20-Jan-11	7083.64	32.71	35.21	2.50	7050.38		NM	- 2.50 feet N	APL	
MW-9	09-May-11	7083.64	32.43	34.96	2.53	7050.65		NM	- 2.53 feet N	APL	
MW-9	15-Aug-11	7083.64	33.11	35.33	2.22	7050.04		NM	- 2.22 feet N	APL	
MW-9	07-Oct-11	7083.64	33.14	35.23	2.09	7050.04		NM	- 2.09 feet N	APL	
MW-9	21-Nov-11	7083.64	33.25	35.37	2.12	7049.92		NM	- 2.12 feet N	APL	
MW-9	21-Feb-12	7083.64	33.14	35.06	1.92	7050.07		NM	- 1.92 feet N	APL	
MW-9	24-May-12	7083.64	33.15	35.19	2.04	7050.04		NM	- 2.04 feet N	APL	
MW-9	18-Sep-12	7083.64	33.47	35.26	1.79	7049.77		NM	- 1.79 feet N	APL	
MW-9	04-Dec-12	7083.64	33.68	35.64	1.96	7049.52			- 1.96 feet N		
MW-9	26-Mar-13	7083.64	33.53	35.22	1.69	7049.73			- 1.69 feet N		
MW-9	26-Jun-13	7083.64	33.70	35.27	1.57	7049.59			- 1.57 feet N		
MW-9	25-Sep-13	7083.64	32.96	36.46	3.50	7049.90		NM	- 3.50 feet N	APL	

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MW-9	14-Jan-14	7083.64	33.95	34.31	0.36	7049.61		NM	- 0.36 feet N	APL	
MW-9	04-Apr-14	7083.64	33.94	34.01	0.07	7049.68		NM	- 0.07 feet N	APL	
MW-9	10-Sep-14	7083.64	34.15	34.27	0.12	7049.46		NM	- 0.12 feet N	APL	
MW-9	03-Dec-14	7083.64	34.25	34.31	0.06	7049.38		NM	- 0.06 feet N	APL	
MW-9	27-Mar-15	7083.64	33.96	34.03	0.07	7049.66		NM	- 0.07 feet N	APL	
MW-9	08-Dec-15	7083.64	34.30	34.36	0.06	7049.33		NM	- 0.01 feet N	APL	
MW-9	17-Jun-16	7083.64	34.50	34.51	0.01	7049.14		NM	- 0.01 feet N	APL	
MW-9	20-Oct-16	7083.64	34.63	34.90	0.27	7048.95		NM	- 0.27 feet N	APL	
MW-9	27-Jan-17	7083.64	34.62	35.12	0.50	7048.91		NM	- 0.50 feet N	APL	
MW-9	14-Apr-17	7083.64	34.32	34.87	0.55	7049.20		NM	- 0.55 feet N	APL	
MW-9	21-Jun-17	7083.64	34.25	35.81	1.56	7049.04		NM	- 1.56 feet N	APL	
MW-9	09-Aug-17	7083.64	34.32	36.68	2.36	7048.80		NM	- 2.36 feet N	APL	
MW-9	07-Dec-17	7083.64	34.29	36.68	2.39	7048.82		NM	- 2.39 feet N	APL	
MW-9	09-Jan-18	7083.64	34.19	36.59	2.40	7048.92		NM	- 2.40 feet N	APL	
MW-9	18-Feb-18	7083.64	34.27	36.65	2.38	7048.84		NM	- 2.38 feet N	APL	
MW-9	05-Mar-18	7083.64	34.26	36.52	2.26	7048.88		NM	- 2.26 feet N	APL	
MW-9	05-Apr-18	7083.64	34.34	36.27	1.93	7048.87		NM	- 1.93 feet N	APL	
MW-9	18-May-18	7083.64	34.26	36.49	2.23	7048.88		NM	- 2.23 feet N	APL	
MW-9	12-Jun-18	7083.64	34.45	36.72	2.27	7048.69		NM	- 2.27 feet N	APL	
MW-9	09-Jul-18	7083.64	34.55	36.88	2.33	7048.57		NM	- 2.33 feet N	APL	
MW-9	13-Aug-18	7083.64	34.56	36.76	2.20	7048.59		NM	- 2.20 feet N	APL	
MW-9	24-Sep-18	7083.64	34.68	36.87	2.19	7048.47		NM	- 2.19 feet N	APL	
MW-9	26-Oct-18	7083.64	34.73	36.90	2.17	7048.43		NM	- 2.17 feet N	APL	
MW-9	19-Nov-18	7083.64	34.74	37.00	2.26	7048.40		NM	- 2.26 feet N	APL	
MW-9	14-Dec-18	7083.64	34.85	37.00	2.15	7048.31		NM	- 2.15 feet N	APL	

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MW-9R	25-Sep-19	TBS		35.32		NA	13.6	1.413	1.41	6.65	24.9
MPE-1	14-Jan-14	TBS	35.12	37.44	2.32	NA		NM	- 2.32 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	04-Apr-14	TBS	35.10	37.40	2.30	NA		NM	- 2.30 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	10-Sep-14	TBS	35.36	37.70	2.34	NA		NM	- 2.34 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	03-Dec-14	TBS	35.44	37.77	2.33	NA		NM	- 2.33 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	09-Oct-15	TBS	35.48	37.37	1.89	NA		NM	- 1.89 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	27-Mar-15	TBS	35.22	37.29	2.07	NA		NM	- 2.07 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	09-Oct-15	TBS	35.48	37.37	1.89	NA		NM	- 1.89 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	08-Dec-15	TBS	35.58	37.60	2.02	NA		NM	- 2.02 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	17-Jun-16	TBS	35.62	37.72	2.10	NA		NM	- 2.10 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	20-Oct-16	TBS	35.84	38.05	2.21	NA		NM	- 2.21 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	27-Jan-17	TBS	35.80	37.88	2.08	NA		NM	- 2.08 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	14-Apr-17	TBS	35.58	37.37	1.79	NA		NM	- 1.79 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	21-Jun-17	TBS	35.74	37.65	1.91	NA		NM	- 1.91 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	09-Aug-17	TBS	35.96	37.50	1.54	NA		NM	- 1.54 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	07-Dec-17	TBS	35.83	37.69	1.86	NA		NM	- 1.86 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	09-Jan-18	TBS	35.79	37.69	1.90	NA		NM	- 1.90 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	12-Feb-18	TBS	35.85	37.19	1.34	NA		NM	- 1.34 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	05-Mar-18	TBS	35.93	37.06	1.13	NA		NM	- 1.13 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	05-Apr-18	TBS	35.95	37.23	1.28	NA		NM	- 1.28 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	18-May-18	TBS	35.92	37.40	1.48	NA		NM	- 1.48 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	12-Jun-18	TBS	36.10	37.35	1.25	NA		NM	- 1.25 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	09-Jul-18	TBS	36.23	37.30	1.07	NA		NM	- 1.07 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	13-Aug-18	TBS	36.33	37.17	0.84	NA		NM	- 0.84 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-1	24-Sep-18	TBS	36.44	36.98	0.54	NA		NM	- 0.54 feet N	IAPL	

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MPE-1	26-Oct-18	TBS	36.51	36.75	0.24	NA		NM	- 0.24 feet N	APL	
MPE-1	19-Nov-18	TBS	36.54	36.86	0.32	NA		NM	- 0.32 feet N	APL	
MPE-1	14-Dec-18	TBS	36.63	36.78	0.15	NA		NM	- 0.15 feet N	APL	
MPE-1	25-Sep-19	TBS	36.19	38.11	1.92	NA		NM	- 1.92 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	14-Jan-14	TBS	33.80	34.13	0.33	NA		NM	- 0.33 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	04-Apr-14	TBS	33.74	34.03	0.29	NA		NM	- 0.29 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	10-Sep-14	TBS	34.03	34.44	0.41	NA		NM	- 0.41 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	03-Dec-14	TBS	34.10	34.55	0.45	NA		NM-	- 0.45 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-2	09-Oct-15	TBS	34.07	34.43	0.36	NA		NM	- 0.36 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	27-Mar-15	TBS	33.85	34.20	0.35	NA		NM	- 0.35 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	09-Oct-15	TBS	34.07	34.43	0.36	NA		NM	- 0.36 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	08-Dec-15	TBS	34.20	34.38	0.18	NA		NM	- 0.18 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	17-Jun-16	TBS	34.31	34.43	0.12	NA		NM	- 0.12 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	20-Oct-16	TBS	34.52	34.77	0.25	NA		NM	- 0.75 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	27-Jan-17	TBS	34.48	34.73	0.25	NA		NM	- 0.25 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	14-Apr-17	TBS	34.22	34.36	0.14	NA		NM	- 0.14 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	21-Jun-17	TBS	34.36	34.62	0.26	NA		NM	- 0.26 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	09-Aug-17	TBS	34.57	34.74	0.17	NA		NM	- 0.17 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	07-Dec-17	TBS	34.47	34.62	0.15	NA		NM	- 0.15 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	09-Jan-18	TBS	34.43	34.58	0.15	NA		NM	- 0.15 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	12-Feb-18	TBS	34.41	34.50	0.09	NA		NM	- 0.09 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	05-Mar-18	TBS	34.52	34.54	0.02	NA		NM	- 0.02 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	05-Apr-18	TBS	34.52	34.57	0.05	NA		NM	- 0.05 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	18-May-18	TBS	34.50	34.55	0.05	NA		NM	- 0.05 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	12-Jun-18	TBS	34.67	34.79	0.12	NA		NM	- 0.12 feet N	APL	

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	рН	ORP (mV)
MPE-2	09-Jul-18	TBS	34.78	34.83	0.05	NA		NM	- 0.05 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	13-Aug-18	TBS	34.83	34.87	0.04	NA		NM	- 0.04 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	24-Sep-18	TBS	34.90	34.99	0.09	NA		NM	- 0.09 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	26-Oct-18	TBS	34.95	35.00	0.05	NA		NM	- 0.05 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	19-Nov-18	TBS	34.99	35.03	0.04	NA		NM	- 0.04 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	14-Dec-18	TBS	35.03	35.09	0.06	NA		NM	- 0.06 feet N	APL	
MPE-2	25-Sep-19	TBS	34.84	34.88	0.04	NA		NM	- 0.04 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	14-Jan-14	TBS	33.86	34.32	0.46	NA		NM	- 0.46 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	04-Apr-14	TBS	33.83	34.18	0.35	NA		NM	- 0.35 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	10-Sep-14	TBS	34.15	34.55	0.40	NA		NM	- 0.40 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	03-Dec-14	TBS	34.20	34.57	0.37	NA		NM	- 0.37 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	09-Oct-15	TBS	34.10	34.47	0.37	NA		NM	- 0.37 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	27-Mar-15	TBS	33.96	34.20	0.24	NA		NM	- 0.24 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	09-Oct-15	TBS	34.10	34.47	0.37	NA		NM	- 0.37 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	08-Dec-15	TBS	34.28	34.56	0.28	NA		NM	- 0.28 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	17-Jun-16	TBS	34.18	36.01	1.83	NA		NM	- 1.83 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	20-Oct-16	TBS	34.35	36.53	2.18	NA		NM	- 2.18 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	27-Jan-17	TBS	34.29	36.48	2.19	NA		NM	- 2.19 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	14-Apr-17	TBS	34.05	35.85	1.80	NA		NM	- 1.80 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	21-Jun-17	TBS	34.24	35.59	1.35	NA		NM	- 1.35 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	09-Aug-17	TBS	34.39	36.39	2.00	NA		NM	- 2.00 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	07-Dec-17	TBS	34.27	36.39	2.12	NA		NM	- 2.12 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	09-Jan-18	TBS	34.22	36.33	2.11	NA		NM	- 2.11 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	12-Feb-18	TBS	34.25	36.04	1.79	NA		NM	- 1.79 feet N	APL	
MPE-3	05-Mar-18	TBS	34.40	35.81	1.41	NA		NM	- 1.41 feet N	APL	

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MPE-3	05-Apr-18	TBS	34.38	36.05	1.67	NA		NM	- 1.67 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	18-May-18	TBS	34.43	36.11	1.68	NA		NM	- 1.68 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	12-Jun-18	TBS	34.53	36.26	1.73	NA		NM	- 1.73 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	09-Jul-18	TBS	34.66	36.19	1.53	NA		NM	- 1.53 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	13-Aug-18	TBS	34.73	36.15	1.42	NA		NM	- 1.42 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	24-Sep-18	TBS	34.85	35.95	1.10	NA		NM	- 1.10 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	26-Oct-18	TBS	34.90	35.95	1.05	NA		NM	- 1.05 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	19-Nov-18	TBS	34.84	36.43	1.59	NA		NM	- 1.59 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	14-Dec-18	TBS	34.90	36.48	1.58	NA		NM	- 1.58 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-3	25-Sep-19	TBS	34.66	36.57	1.91	NA		NM	- 1.91 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	14-Jan-14	TBS	34.62	37.00	2.38	NA		NM	- 2.38 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	04-Apr-14	TBS	34.59	36.91	2.32	NA		NM	- 2.32 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	10-Sep-14	TBS	34.89	37.22	2.33	NA		NM	- 2.33 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	03-Dec-14	TBS	34.95	37.30	2.35	NA		NM	- 2.35 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	09-Oct-15	TBS	34.90	36.86	1.96	NA		NM	- 1.96 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	27-Mar-15	TBS	34.73	36.82	2.09	NA		NM	- 2.09 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	09-Oct-15	TBS	34.90	36.86	1.96	NA		NM	- 1.96 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	08-Dec-15	TBS	35.09	37.17	2.08	NA		NM	- 2.08 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	17-Jun-16	TBS	35.13	37.51	2.38	NA		NM	- 2.38 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	20-Oct-16	TBS	35.38	37.83	2.45	NA		NM	- 2.45 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	27-Jan-17	TBS	35.31	37.83	2.52	NA		NM	- 2.52 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	14-Apr-17	TBS	35.06	37.16	2.10	NA		NM	- 2.10 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	21-Jun-17	TBS	35.21	37.53	2.32	NA		NM	- 2.32 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	09-Aug-17	TBS	35.42	37.65	2.23	NA		NM	- 2.23 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	07-Dec-17	TBS	35.53	37.53	2.00	NA		NM	- 2.00 feet N	IAPL	

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MPE-4	09-Jan-18	TBS	35.26	37.52	2.26	NA		NM	- 2.26 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	12-Feb-18	TBS	35.31	37.15	1.84	NA		NM	- 1.84 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	05-Mar-18	TBS	35.44	37.04	1.60	NA		NM	- 1.60 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	05-Apr-18	TBS	35.47	37.03	1.56	NA		NM	- 1.56 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	18-May-18	TBS	35.42	37.10	1.68	NA		NM	- 1.68 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	12-Jun-18	TBS	35.73	36.58	0.85	NA		NM	- 0.85 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	09-Jul-18	TBS	35.93	36.14	0.21	NA		NM	- 0.21 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	13-Aug-18	TBS	35.99	36.04	0.05	NA		NM	- 0.05 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	24-Sep-18	TBS	36.05	36.16	0.11	NA		NM	- 0.11 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	26-Oct-18	TBS	36.11	36.17	0.06	NA		NM	- 0.06 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	19-Nov-18	TBS	36.15	36.19	0.04	NA		NM	- 0.04 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	14-Dec-18	TBS	36.21	36.26	0.05	NA		NM	- 0.05 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-4	25-Sep-19	TBS	35.70	37.86	2.16	NA		NM	- 2.16 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	14-Jan-14	TBS	36.15	38.50	2.35	NA		NM	- 2.35 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	04-Apr-14	TBS	36.15	38.32	2.17	NA		NM	- 2.17 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	10-Sep-14	TBS	36.38	38.86	2.48	NA		NM	- 2.48 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	03-Dec-14	TBS	36.49	38.91	2.42	NA		NM	- 2.42 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	09-Oct-15	TBS	36.45	38.57	2.12	NA		NM	- 2.12 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	27-Mar-15	TBS	36.27	38.28	2.01	NA		NM	- 2.01 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	09-Oct-15	TBS	36.45	38.57	2.12	NA		NM	- 2.12 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	08-Dec-15	TBS	36.58	38.92	2.34	NA		NM	- 2.34 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	17-Jun-16	TBS	36.66	38.90	2.24	NA		NM	- 2.24 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	20-Oct-16	TBS	36.88	39.31	2.43	NA		NM	- 2.43 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	27-Jan-17	TBS	36.84	39.20	2.36	NA		NM	- 2.36 feet N	IAPL	
MPE-5	14-Apr-17	TBS	36.61	38.55	1.94	NA		NM	- 1.94 feet N	IAPL	

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	рН	ORP (mV)		
MPE-5	21-Jun-17	TBS	36.75	38.82	2.07	NA		NM	- 2.07 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	09-Aug-17	TBS	36.91	39.22	2.31	NA		NM	- 2.31 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	26-Sep-17	TBS	37.09	38.65	1.56	NA		NM	- 1.56 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	07-Dec-17	TBS	36.85	38.97	2.12	NA		NM	- 2.12 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	09-Jan-18	TBS	36.79	38.88	2.09	NA		NM	- 2.09 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	12-Feb-18	TBS	36.86	38.49	1.63	NA		NM	- 1.63 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	05-Mar-18	TBS	36.96	38.46	1.50	NA		NM	- 1.50 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	05-Apr-18	TBS	37.01	38.38	1.37	NA		NM	- 1.37 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	18-May-18	TBS	37.03	38.07	1.04	NA		NM	- 1.04 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	12-Jun-18	TBS	37.21	38.18	0.97	NA		NM	- 0.97 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	09-Jul-18	TBS	37.33	38.13	0.80	NA		NM	- 0.80 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	13-Aug-18	TBS	37.36	38.25	0.89	NA		NM	- 0.89 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	24-Sep-18	TBS	37.42	38.37	0.95	NA		NM	- 0.95 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	26-Oct-18	TBS	37.50	38.26	0.76	NA		NM	- 0.76 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	19-Nov-18	TBS	37.52	38.41	0.89	NA		NM	- 0.89 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	14-Dec-18	TBS	37.61	38.21	0.60	NA		NM	- 0.60 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-5	25-Sep-19	TBS	37.43	37.97	0.54	NA		NM	- 0.54 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-6	14-Jan-14	TBS	33.88	36.14	2.26	NA		NM	- 2.26 feet N	IAPL			
MPE-6	04-Apr-14	TBS	33.82	36.10	2.28	NA	NM - 2.28 feet NAPL						
MPE-6	10-Sep-14	TBS	34.12	36.42	2.30	NA	NM - 2.30 feet NAPL						
MPE-6	03-Dec-14	TBS	34.20	36.50	2.30	NA	NM - 2.30 feet NAPL						
MPE-6	09-Oct-15	TBS	34.16	36.21	2.05	NA		NM	- 2.05 feet N	IAPL			

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	рН	ORP (mV)			
MPE-6	27-Mar-15	TBS	33.97	35.95	1.98	NA		NM	- 1.98 feet N	feet NAPL				
MPE-6	09-Oct-15	TBS	34.16	36.21	2.05	NA		NM	- 2.05 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	08-Dec-15	TBS	34.63	36.68	2.05	NA		NM	- 2.05 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	17-Jun-16	TBS	34.36	36.65	2.29	NA		NM	- 2.29 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	20-Oct-16	TBS	34.62	36.80	2.18	NA		NM	- 2.18 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	27-Jan-17	TBS	34.55	36.76	2.21	NA		NM	- 2.21 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	14-Apr-17	TBS	34.30	36.20	1.90	NA		NM	- 1.90 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	21-Jun-17	TBS	34.45	36.60	2.15	NA		NM	- 2.15 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	09-Aug-17	TBS	34.71	36.44	1.73	NA		NM	- 1.73 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	07-Dec-17	TBS	34.60	36.56	1.96	NA		NM	- 1.96 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	09-Jan-18	TBS	34.51	36.54	2.03	NA	NM - 2.03 feet NAPL							
MPE-6	12-Feb-18	TBS	34.58	36.08	1.50	NA	NM - 1.50 feet NAPL							
MPE-6	05-Mar-18	TBS	34.73	35.81	1.08	NA		NM	- 1.08 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	05-Apr-18	TBS	34.73	36.02	1.29	NA		NM	- 1.29 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	18-May-18	TBS	34.68	36.13	1.45	NA		NM	- 1.45 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	12-Jun-18	TBS	34.95	35.76	0.81	NA		NM	- 0.81 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	09-Jul-18	TBS	35.10	35.60	0.50	NA		NM	- 0.50 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	13-Aug-18	TBS	35.17	35.50	0.33	NA		NM	- 0.33 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	24-Sep-18	TBS	35.27	35.48	0.21	NA		NM	- 0.21 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	26-Oct-18	TBS	35.30	35.56	0.26	NA		NM	- 0.26 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	19-Nov-18	TBS	35.06	35.34	0.28	NA		NM	- 0.28 feet N	APL				
MPE-6	14-Dec-18	TBS	35.40	35.60	0.20	NA	NM - 0.20 feet NAPL							
MPE-6	25-Sep-19	TBS	35.13	35.93	0.80	NA	NM - 0.80 feet NAPL							
MPE-7	14-Jan-14	TBS		NM		NA	NM NM NM NM							
MPE-7	04-Apr-14	TBS	32.00	32.01	0.01	NA		NM	- 0.01 feet N	APL				

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT AND WATER QUALITY DATA

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

#### Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

Well ID	Date Sampled	Surveyed TOC (ft)	Depth to NAPL (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	NAPL Thickness (ft)	GW Elev. (ft)	Temp. (°C)	Specific Conduct. (mS)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	pН	ORP (mV)
MPE-7	10-Sep-14	TBS		32.34		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	03-Dec-14	TBS		32.41		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	09-Oct-15	TBS		32.29		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	27-Mar-15	TBS		32.14		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	09-Oct-15	TBS		32.29		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	08-Dec-15	TBS		32.47		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	17-Jun-16	TBS		32.56		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	20-Oct-16	TBS		32.79		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	27-Jan-17	TBS		32.76		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
MPE-7	25-Sep-19	TBS		33.12		NA	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM

**NOTE:** \*\*Table includes only data from 2014 through present; comprehensive table available upon request.

NA = NOT AVAILABLE NM = NOT MEASURED NS = NOT SAMPLED TBS = TO BE SURVEYED

# SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS -VOLATILE ORGANICS AND PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

	Date			Ethyl-	Total					
Well ID	Sampled	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes	<b>TPH-GRO</b>	<b>TPH-DRO</b>	<b>TPH-MRO</b>		
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)		
Analytica	al Method	8021B	8021B	8021B	8021B	8015D	8015M/D	8015M/D		
New Mex	ico WQCC	5	1000	700	620	NE	NE	NE		
MW-1	05-May-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	0.092	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	24-Sep-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	02-Jan-09	09 <1.0 <1.0 <1.0		<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	07-Apr-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	07-Jul-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	12-Oct-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	12-Jan-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	13-Oct-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	20-Jan-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	10-May-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-1	07-Aug-17			Plugge	d and Aband	loned				
MW-2	05-May-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-2	24-Sep-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-2	02-Jan-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-2	07-Apr-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-2	07-Jul-09	<1.0	<1.0 <1.0		<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-2	12-Oct-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-2	12-Jan-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-2	13-Oct-10			NS - We	ll Filled with	Roots				
MW-2	20-Jan-11		-	NS - We	ll Filled with	Roots				
MW-2	10-May-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	05-May-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	24-Sep-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	02-Jan-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	07-Apr-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	07-Jul-09				filled with s					
MW-3	12-Oct-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	12-Jan-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	13-Oct-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	20-Jan-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	10-May-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		
MW-3	07-Aug-17			Plugge	d and Aband	oned	ned			
MW-4	05-May-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0		

# SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS -VOLATILE ORGANICS AND PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

	Date			Ethyl-	Total										
Well ID	Sampled	Benzene	Toluene	, benzene	Xylenes	TPH-GRO	TPH-DRO	TPH-MRO							
		(μg/L)	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)							
Analytica	l Method	8021B	8021B	8021B	8021B	8015D	8015M/D	8015M/D							
New Mex	ico WQCC	5	1000	700	620	NE	NE	NE							
MW-4	24-Sep-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<5.0								
MW-4	02-Jan-09	<1.0 <1.0		<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-4	07-Apr-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-4	07-Jul-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-4	12-Oct-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-4	12-Jan-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-4	13-Oct-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-4	20-Jan-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-4	09-May-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-4	07-Aug-17			Plugge	d and Aband	loned									
MW-5	05-May-08			N	S - Well Dry										
MW-5	24-Sep-08			N	S - Well Dry										
MW-5	02-Jan-09			Ν	S - Well Dry										
MW-5	07-Apr-09	NS - Well Dry													
MW-5	07-Jul-09	NS - Well Dry													
MW-5	12-Oct-09			Ν	S - Well Dry										
MW-5	12-Jan-10			Ν	S - Well Dry										
MW-5	13-Oct-10			N	S - Well Dry										
MW-5	20-Jan-11			N	S - Well Dry										
MW-5	09-May-11			N	S - Well Dry										
MW-5	07-Aug-17			Plugge	d and Aband	loned									
MW-6	05-May-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-6	24-Sep-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-6	02-Jan-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-6	07-Apr-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-6	07-Jul-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-6	12-Oct-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-6	12-Jan-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-6	13-Oct-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0 <5.0							
MW-6	20-Jan-11														
MW-6	09-May-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0							
MW-6	07-Aug-17			Plugge	d and Aband	oned									
ļ															
MW-7	05-May-08	2.8	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	0.40	<1.0	<5.0							

# SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS -VOLATILE ORGANICS AND PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

	Date			Ethyl-	Total				
Well ID	Sampled	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes	<b>TPH-GRO</b>	<b>TPH-DRO</b>	<b>TPH-MRO</b>	
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
Analytica	al Method	8021B	8021B	8021B	8021B	8015D	8015M/D	8015M/D	
New Mex	ico WQCC	5	1000	700	620	NE	NE	NE	
MW-7	24-Sep-08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	0.069	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-7	02-Jan-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-7	07-Apr-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-7	07-Jul-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-7	12-Oct-09	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-7	12-Jan-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-7	13-Oct-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-7	20-Jan-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-7	09-May-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	05-May-08	26	10	<1.0	<2.0	1.10	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	24-Sep-08	65	26	<1.0	<2.0	0.90	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	05-Jan-09	45	25	<1.0	2.2	1.0	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	07-Apr-09	25	20	<1.0	2.9	0.89	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	07-Jul-09	7.5	4.5	<1.0	<2.0	0.21	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	12-Oct-09	15	11	<1.0	<2.0	0.52	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	12-Jan-10	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	0.088	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	13-Oct-10	12	<1.0	1.7	16	0.25	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	20-Jan-11	35	<1.0	6.5	6.3	0.16	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	10-May-11	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	15-Aug-11	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<0.10	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	21-Nov-11	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<0.10	2.2	<5.0	
MW-8	21-Feb-12	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<0.10	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	24-May-12	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<4.0	<0.10	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	21-Sep-12	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	04-Dec-12	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	26-Mar-13	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	26-Jun-13	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<2.0	<0.050	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-8	07-Aug-17			Plugge	d and Abanc	loned			
MW-9	05-May-08	6.2	7.5	<1.0	2.3	0.90	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-9	24-Sep-08	17	12	<1.0	<2.0	0.32	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-9	05-Jan-09			N	S - Well Dry				
MW-9	07-Apr-09	12	6.2	<1.0	<2.0	0.32	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-9	07-Jul-09	7.0	5.3	<1.0	<2.0	0.28	<1.0	<5.0	
MW-9	12-Oct-09	26	2.0	<1.0	<2.0	0.31	<1.0	<5.0	

# SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS -VOLATILE ORGANICS AND PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

	Date			Ethyl-	Total								
Well ID	Sampled	Benzene Toluene		benzene	Xylenes	<b>TPH-GRO</b>	<b>TPH-DRO</b>	<b>TPH-MRO</b>					
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)							
Analytica	l Method	8021B	8021B	8021B	8021B	8015D	8015M/D	8015M/D					
New Mex	ico WQCC	5	1000	700	620	620 NE NE NE							
MW-9	12-Jan-10			NAPL Presen	t through Cu	urrent Date							
MW-9R	25-Sep-19	<1.0	<1.0	56	80	0.87	<1.0	<5.0					

#### Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

**NOTE:** NS = Not Sampled

NA = Not Analyzed

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

GRO = Gasoline Range Organics

DRO = Diesel Range Organics

MRO = Motor Oil Range Organics

#### SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS - NMAC 20.6.2.3103

BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

#### Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

Well ID	Sample Date	Antimony	Arsenic	Copper	Lead	Selenium	Thallium	Uranium	Fluoride	Chloride	Nitrite-N	Nitrate-N	Sulfate	TDS	Aluminum	Barium	Beryllium	Boron	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Iron	Manganese	Molybdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc	Mercury	Phenols	Cyanide	рH	Radium 226/228
Analyti	cal Method			ź	200.8	8				3	800.0	)		2540 C						2	00.7	7						245.1	SW-846 9067			903.1 904.0
	NM WQCC Standard	0.006	0.01	1.0	0.015	0.05	0.002	0.03	1.6	250	1.0	10.0	600	1,000	5.0	2.0	0.004	0.75	0.005	0.05	0.05	1.0	0.2	1.0	0.2	0.05	10.0	0.002	0.005	0.2	6 to 9	5.0
																(	mg/	′L)														pCi/L
MW-9R	25-Sep-19	<0.0010	0.0016	0.0057	0.0015	0.0011	<0.00050	0.0061	<0.50	110	<0.50	<0.50	76	1,040	3.7	0.31	<0.0020	0.078	<0.0020	<0.0060	<0.0060	4.2 (T)	3.3 (T)	<0.0080	<0.010	<0.0050	0.017	<0.00020	0.0042	<0.00500	7.44	3.11

Notes:

< Analyte not detected above listed method limit

NA Not analyzed

NE Not established

*mg/L* Milligrams per liter (ppm)

Bold where results are above WQCC standards.

#### SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS BMG HWY 537 LLAVES PIPELINE 2008 OIL RELEASE

_					Ethyl-	Total			
Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth	Benzene	Toluene	benzene	Xylenes	GRO	DRO	MRO
		(feet)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
	Anal	ytical Method	8021B	8021B	8021B	8021B	8015	8015	8015
	NMOCD	Action Level**		5		100			
TH-1	14-Apr-08	17-19	15	81	23	130	2,700	14,000	3,100
TH-1	14-Apr-08	33-33.5	<0.050	0.064	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	710	110
TH-2	14-Apr-08	7.5-8	<0.050	0.082	<0.050	0.13	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-2	14-Apr-08	19	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-2	14-Apr-08	34	45	160	40	230	5,200	20,000	3,800
TH-3/MW-1	14-Apr-08	37-38	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-4/MW-2	14-Apr-08	31	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-5/MW-3	14-Apr-08 29		<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-6/MW-4	14-Apr-08	28.5	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-8/MW-6	15-Apr-08	31	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-9/MW-7	15-Apr-08	33	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-10/MW-8	16-Apr-08	31	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-11/MW-9	16-Apr-08	27	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-12	16-Apr-08	4	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-13	16-Apr-08	4	1.5	12	5.1	31	320	300	56
TH-14	16-Apr-08	4	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
TH-15	16-Apr-08	4	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<5.0	<10	<50
MW-9R	05-Sep-19	15	15 <0.024		<0.049	<0.097	<4.9	15	<49
MW-9R	05-Sep-19	30	<0.12 <0.24		<0.24	1.6	130	2,100	880

Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

NOTE: NE = Not Established

**GRO = Gasoline Range Organics** 

**DRO = Diesel Range Organics** 

MRO = Motor Oil Range Organics

\*\*NMAC 19.15.17.13 Table I

Figures






			FIGURE 3		
			BMG HIGH LLAVES 2008 PIPE NW¼ NE¼, SECTIO RIO ARRIBA COUN	NALYSIS RESULTS HWAY 537 LINE OIL RELEASE DN 18, T25N, R3W	
			AES AES Farm	nimas nvironmental ervices nington, NM • Durango, CO nasenvironmental.com	
			DRAWN BY:	DATE DRAWN:	
			C. Lameman	November 21, 2019	
			REVISIONS BY: C. Lameman	DATE REVISED: November 21, 2019	
			CHECKED BY:	DATE CHECKED:	
			D. Reese	November 21, 2019	
			APPROVED BY: E. McNally	<b>DATE APPROVED:</b> November 21, 2019	
			LEG	·	
				ELL LOCATION EBRUARY 2008)	
			·	EXTRACTION WELL	
			MARCH & AP	RIL 2011)	
			+ PLUGGED AN (AUGUST 201	D ABANDONED WELL 7)	
			MONITOR WELL LOCATION (INSTALLED SEPTEMBER 2019)		
01/4	4 Docu 140				
UVIN	1 Results Depth	PID-OVM			
	(ft)	(ppm)			
	G LEVELS	100			
	<b>G LEVELS</b>	<b>100</b> 0.0			
	G LEVELS	100			
NIN	<b>G LEVELS</b> 5 10	<b>100</b> 0.0 0.0			
NIN	G LEVELS 5 10 15 20 25	100           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0			
NIN	G LEVELS 5 10 15 20 25 30	100 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1,058			
NIN	G LEVELS 5 10 15 20 25 30 35	100           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           30.2			
NIN	G LEVELS 5 10 15 20 25 30	100 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1,058	ļ		
NIN 19 RO 10	G LEVELS 5 10 15 20 25 30 35	100           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           0.0           30.2	j e		
e NIN 19 RO 10 g)	G LEVELS 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 TPH - DRO C10 - C28 (mg/kg) 100	100 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1,058 30.2 12.4 TPH - MRO C29 - C26 (mg/kg)	SC	ALE	
19 <b>RO</b> 10	G LEVELS 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 TPH - DRO C10 - C28 (mg/kg) 100 15	100 0.0 0.0 0.0 1,058 30.2 12.4 TPH - MRO C29 - C26 (mg/kg) <49	() 30 20 <u>SC</u>	ALE 30	
19 <b>RO</b> 10	G LEVELS 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 TPH - DRO C10 - C28 (mg/kg) 100	100 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1,058 30.2 12.4 TPH - MRO C29 - C26 (mg/kg)			







Appendix A

Photo 1: MW-9R Well Installation. MW-9 at center. *Photo taken 9/5/2019*.



Photo 2: Geotech<sup>®</sup> Solar Sipper installed at MPE-1 (left) and MPE-6 (right). *Photo taken 3/25/2020*.



Photo 3: Geotech® Solar Sipper instrumentation. *Photo taken 3/25/2020*.



Photo 4: Product recovery drum. *Photo taken 3/25/2020.* 



Appendix B



## STATE OF NEW MEXICO **OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER** AZTEC

John R. D'Antonio, Jr., P.E. State Engineer

100 Gossett Drive, Suite A Aztec, New Mexico 87410

August 27, 2019

Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corp. Attn: Zach Stradling 4900 College Blvd. Farmington, NM 87402

#### RE: Permit Approval for Monitoring Wells, SJ-4364 POD1-POD10 and Approval for Use of Conditioned Standardized Plugging Method for Existing Well, MW-9; BMG HWY 537 2008 Abatement Site; Rural **Rio Arriba County, New Mexico**

Dear Mr. Stradling,

On August 21, 2019, the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer received an application for a permit for the installation of one new monitoring well, and use of two existing monitoring wells and seven existing pollution recovery wells at the above referenced location. The application also requested permission to use the standardized plugging method prescribed by the Conditions of Approval of the Permit for new monitoring wells, to plug and abandon existing monitoring well, MW-9.

Enclosed is a copy of the above numbered permit that has been approved subject to the conditions set forth on the approval page and in the attached Conditions of Approval. Furthermore, your request therein for permission to use the standardized plugging method prescribed by said Conditions of Approval is also hereby granted.

Please be aware that there are deadlines to submit well records for the newly installed monitoring well. These deadlines can be found in the attached Conditions of Approval. A standardized plugging method has also been included in the Conditions of Approval for the future abandonment of the wells covered by this permit. This eliminates the need to submit a separate Well Plugging Plan of Operations for approval by the NMOSE prior to plugging, unless an alternate plugging method is proposed, required by a separate oversight agency, necessary due to incompatibility with actual conditions, or artesian conditions are encountered. The well and plugging records should be sent to the NMOSE District V, 100 Gossett Drive, Suite A, Aztec, NM, 87410.

If you have any questions regarding this permitting action, please feel free to contact me at (505) 334-4571.

Sincerely,

Miles Juett Assistant Watermaster Water Rights Division – District V

Enclosures

cc: Aztec Reading (w/o enclosures) SJ-4364 File WATERS Elizabeth McNally, PE, Animas Environmental, via email: emcnally@animasenvironmental.com

File No. SJ-4364 POD1-POD	10
---------------------------	----

### **NEW MEXICO OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER** WR-07 APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL A WELL WITH NO WATER RIGHT (check applicable box): For fees, see State Engineer website: http://www.ose.state.nm.us/ Pollution Control And/Or Recovery Purpose: Ground Source Heat Pump Other(Describe): Construction Site/Public Works Dewatering Exploratory Well (Pump test) Monitoring Well Mine Dewatering A separate permit will be required to apply water to beneficial use regardless if use is consumptive or nonconsumptive. Temporary Request - Requested Start Date: September 5, 2019 Requested End Date: Unknown Plugging Plan of Operations Submitted? Yes No No

### 1. APPLICANT(S)

Name: Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Co	orp. (Site Name: Hwy 537 2008)	Name:	
Contact or Agent:	check here if Agent	Contact or Agent:	check here if Agent
Zach Stradling		Elizabeth McNally, PE	
Mailing Address: 4900 College Blvd.		Mailing Address: 624 E. Comanche St.	
City: Farmington		City: Farmington	all the loss
State: NM	Zip Code: 87402	State: NM	Zip Code: 87401
Phone: 505-325-8874 work Phone (Work):	🗍 Home 🔲 Cell	Phone: 505-564-2281 work Phone (Work):	
E-mail (optional): zstradling@bmgdrilling.com		E-mail (optional): emcnally@animasenvironment	al.com

				Rev 11/17/16		
NZ :01 WY	27 90 Vielar	Fields SJ-4364 POD1-POD10	Tm. No.:	107	Receipt No.: 5-6444	50
00 0/ /10		Trans Description (optional);				
<b>N WEXICO</b>	EC' NEA	ISVo-Basin: HVIS	1-1	PCW/LOG Due D	ate: 8-22-2020	
ER OFFICE	ENGNE	SIAIS				Page 1 of 3

### 2. WELL(S) Describe the well(s) applicable to this application.

(Lat/Long - WGS84).			State Plane (NAD 83), UTM (NAD 83), <u>or</u> Latitude/Longitude
District II (Roswell) and Dist	trict VII (Cimarron) c	ustomers, provid	e a PLSS location in addition to above.
<ul> <li>NM State Plane (NAD83)</li> <li>NM West Zone</li> <li>NM East Zone</li> <li>NM Central Zone</li> </ul>	E	ITM (NAD83) (Met Zone 12N Zone 13N	ers) E Lat/Long (WGS84) (to the nearest 1/10 <sup>th</sup> of second)
Well Number (if known):	X or Easting or Longitude:	Y or Northing or Latitude:	Provide if known: -Public Land Survey System (PLSS) (Quarters or Halves , Section, Township, Range) OR - Hydrographic Survey Map & Tract; OR - Lot, Block & Subdivision; OR - Land Grant Name
MW-9R (SJ-4364 POD8)	-107.18423	36.40338	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
MW-2 (SJ-4364 POD9)	-107°11'04.12"	36*24'11.49"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
MW-7 (SJ-4364 POD10)	-107°11'01.38"	36°24"14.51"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
NOTE: If more well location Additional well descriptions	s need to be describ are attached:	ed, complete forr Yes 🔲 No	n WR-08 (Attachment 1 – POD Descriptions) If yes, how many7
Other description relating well on the south side of NM Highw			ation Map; 2) Site Map with well locations.
Well is on land owned by: Sch	mitz Ranch - see atta	ched permission fo	or access
Well Information: NOTE: If n If yes, how many <u>12 ma</u>		Il needs to be des	scribed, provide attachment. Attached? 🔲 Yes 🗌 No
Approximate depth of well (fe	et): MW-9R approxima	ately 40-45 feet	Outside diameter of well casing (inches): 2-inch nominal PVC
Driller Name: Enviro-Drill, Inc.			Driller License Number: 1186

### 3. ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS OR EXPLANATIONS

One groundwater monitor well MW-9R (replacement well) will be installed by Enviro-Drill as part of ongoing remedial activities under an Abatement Plan (NMOCD). Well will be advanced to 45 feet bgs and completed as a 2-inch diameter well with screened interval from 30-45 ft. Purpose of the well is for groundwater monitoring and also removal of non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL); no removal of groundwater has been planned. Existing monitor wells include MW-2, MW-7 and MW-9 (which is currently obstructed). Previously P&A'd wells (August 2017) include MW-1, MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-8 (these were approved for P&A by NMOSE) and were installed by Earth Worx of Los Lunas, NM in April 2008. Existing remediation wells (NAPL removal) include MPE-1 through MPE-7. Requesting permission to plug obstructed MW-9 using standardized plugging method prescribed by COA for this permit if approved.

2019 AUG 22 AM 10: 20	distance and a	STIFVICSS VILLESO
	FOR OSE INTERNAL USE	Application for Permit, Form WR-0
AZTEC, NEW MEXICO	File No.: SJ-4364 POD1-POD10	Tm No.:
STATE ENGINEER OFFICE		Page 2 of 3

4. SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS: The applicant must include the following, as applicable to each well type. Please check the appropriate boxes, to indicate the information has been included and/or attached to this application:

Exploratory:	Pollution Control and/or Recovery:	Construction	Mine De-Watering:
🗌 🔲 Include a	Include a plan for pollution	De-Watering:	Include a plan for pollution
description of	control/recovery, that includes the	Include a description of the	control/recovery, that includes the following:
any proposed	following:	proposed dewatering	A description of the need for mine
pump test, if	A description of the need for the	operation,	dewatering.
applicable.	pollution control or recovery operation.	The estimated duration of	The estimated maximum period of time
	The estimated maximum period of	the operation,	for completion of the operation.
	time for completion of the operation.	The maximum amount of	The source(s) of the water to be diverted.
	The annual diversion amount.	water to be diverted,	The geohydrologic characteristics of the
1	The annual consumptive use	A description of the need	aquifer(s).
	amount.	for the dewatering operation,	The maximum amount of water to be
	The maximum amount of water to be	and,	diverted per annum.
	diverted and injected for the duration of	A description of how the	The maximum amount of water to be
	the operation.	diverted water will be disposed	diverted for the duration of the operation.
	The method and place of discharge.	of.	The quality of the water.
Monitoring:	The method of measurement of	Ground Source Heat Pump:	The method of measurement of water
Include the	water produced and discharged.	Include a description of the	diverted.
reason for the	The source of water to be injected.	geothermal heat exchange	The recharge of water to the aquifer.
monitoring	The method of measurement of	project,	Description of the estimated area of
well, and,	water injected.	The number of boreholes	hydrologic effect of the project.
The	The characteristics of the aquifer.	for the completed project and	The method and place of discharge.
duration	The method of determining the	required depths.	An estimation of the effects on surface
of the planned	resulting annual consumptive use of	The time frame for	water rights and underground water rights
monitoring.	water and depletion from any related	constructing the geothermal	from the mine dewatering project.
	stream system.	heat exchange project, and,	A description of the methods employed to
	Proof of any permit required from the	The duration of the project.	estimate effects on surface water rights and
	New Mexico Environment Department.	Preliminary surveys, design	underground water rights.
	An access agreement if the	data, and additional	Information on existing wells, rivers,
	applicant is not the owner of the land on	information shall be included to	springs, and wetlands within the area of
	which the pollution plume control or	provide all essential facts	hydrologic effect.
	recovery well is to be located.	relating to the request.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I, We (name of applicant(s)),	Zach Stradling,	Benson-Montin-Greer	Drilling Corp.
-------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	----------------

Print Name(s)

affirm that the foregoing statements are true to the	e best of (my, our) knowledge and belief.	
E CAA		
Applicant Signature	Applicant Signature	
$\bigcirc$	ACTION OF THE STATE ENGINEER	
	This application is:	
s <u>kx</u> t	pproved 🗌 partially approved 🗌 c	lenied
provided it is not exercised to the detriment of a Mexico nor detrimental to the public welfare and		
Witness my hand and seal this <u>27</u> day of		the State Engineer,
John R. D'Antonio, Jr., P.1	E, State Engineer	
By: Mark	Miles Juett	
Signature	Print	
Title: Assistant Watermaster		
5019 VIC 55 VH 10- 50 Inire		
	FOR OSE INTERNAL USE	Application for Permit, Form WR-07
STATE ENGINEER OFFICE	File No.:SJ-4364 POD1-POD10	Trn No.:

Page 3 of 3



# **NEW MEXICO OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER**



# **ATTACHMENT 1** POINT OF DIVERSION DESCRIPTIONS

This Attachment is to be completed if more than one (1) point of diversion is described on an Application or Declaration.

a. Is this a: Move-From Point of D Move-To Point of Dive		Number o	ation on Attachment(s): of points of diversion involved in the application: <u>10</u> ober of pages attached to the application: <u>2</u>
Surface Point of Diversion	OR [	Well	
Name of ditch, acequia	, or spring:		
Stream or water course	<del>)</del> :		
Tributary of:			
c. Location (Required): Required: Move to POD location	n coordinate must be	either New Mexico State Pl	ane (NAD 83), UTM (NAD 83), <u>or</u> Lat/Long (WGS84)
NM State Plane (NAD83) (feet) NM West Zone NM Central Zone NM East Zone	UTM (NAD83) (meters) Zone 13N Zone 12N	<ul> <li>Lat/Long–</li> <li>(WGS84)</li> <li>1/10<sup>th</sup> of second</li> </ul>	OTHER (allowable only for move-from descriptions - see application form for format) PLSS (quarters, section, township, range) Hydrographic Survey, Map & Tract Lot, Block & Subdivision Grant
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:
MPE-1 (SJ-4364 POD1)	107°11'03.29"	36°24'12.27"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:
MPE-2 (SJ-4364 POD2)	-107°11'03.07"	36°24'12.07"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:
MPE-3 (SJ-4364 POD3)	-107°11'03.46"	36*24"11.90"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:
MPE-4 (SJ-4364 POD4)	-107°11'03.60"	36*24'12.21"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:
MPE-5 (SJ-4364 POD5)	-107*11'03.13"	36"24'12.63"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:
MPE-6 (SJ-4364 POD6)	-107*11'03.29"	36*24'12.06"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:
MPE-7 (SJ-4364 POD7)	-107*11'03.48"	36"24'11.58"	NW1/4 NE1/4, Sec 18, T25N, R3W
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:
POD Number:	X or Longitude	Y or Latitude	Other Location Description:

2019 AUG 22 AM 10: 20

AZTEC, NEW MEXICO

FOR OSE INTERNAL USE

Trans Description (optional):

Form wr-08 POD DESCRIPTIONS - ATTACHMENT 1 File Number: SJ-4364 POD1-POD10 Trn Number:

## NMOSE Permit to Drill a Well(s) With No Water Right Conditions of Approval SJ-4364 POD1 – POD10

The New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) has determined that existing water rights will not be impaired by this activity. This application is approved without publication provided it is not exercised to the detriment of any others having existing rights, and is not contrary to the conservation of water in New Mexico nor detrimental to the public welfare of the state. This application approval (i.e., permit) is further subject to the following conditions of approval.

1. This permit is approved as follows:

Point(s) of Diversion:

Permittee(s):

	Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corp. 4900 College Blvd. Farmington, NM 87402
Permit Number:	SJ-4364
Application File Date:	August 21, 2019
Priority:	N/A
Source:	Groundwater

Ten points of diversion (POD), SJ-4364 POD1 through POD10 (Tables 1 and 2), will be used. The PODs consist of nine existing monitoring wells and one new groundwater monitoring well that will be used for periodic groundwater sampling. Seven of the existing wells (MPE-1 through MPE-7) are also being used for temporary removal of non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL). The method for NAPL removal approved by this permit does not produce groundwater. The wells are all located at the BMG HWY 537 2008 abatement site. The facility is located on the south side of NM HWY 537 on Schmitz Ranch land, in Rural Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. The PODs will be located within the NW/4 NE/4 Section 18, Township 25 North, Range 3 West, NMPM, at the following approximate point locations (Lat/Long, WGS84).

POD Name and Owner's Well Identification	Longitude (DMS, W)	Latitude (DMS, N)
SJ-4364 POD1 (MPE-1)	107°11'03.29"	36°24'12.27"
SJ-4364 POD2 (MPE-2)	107°11'03.07"	36°24'12.07"
SJ-4364 POD3 (MPE-3)	107°11'03.46"	36°24"11.90"
SJ-4364 POD4 (MPE-4)	107°11'03.60"	36°24'12.21"
SJ-4364 POD5 (MPE-5)	107°11'03.13"	36°24'12.63"
SJ-4364 POD6 (MPE-6)	107°11'03.29"	36°24'12.06"
SJ-4364 POD7 (MPE-7)	107°11'03.48"	36°24'11.58"
SJ-4364 POD9 (MW-2)	107°11'04.12"	36°24'11.49"
SJ-4364 POD10 (MW-7)	107°11'01.38"	36°24"14.51"

## Table 1: Existing Monitoring Wells

Table 2: New Monitoring Well

POD Name and Owner'	s Well Identification	Longitude (decimal degrees, W)	Latitude (decimal degrees, N)		
SJ-4364 POD8 (MW-9F	l)	107.18423	36.40338		
Purpose of Use:	Groundwater mo	onitoring and sampling, a	nd Pollution Recovery		
Place of Use: N/A					
Amount of Water: N/A					

- 2. No water shall be appropriated and beneficially used from any wells or borings approved under this permit.
- 3. No water shall be diverted from the well(s) except for initial well development and periodic sampling purposes. Upon completion of monitoring activities the well(s) shall be plugged in accordance with Subsection C of 19.27.4.30 NMAC, unless a permit to use water is acquired from the NMOSE.
- 4. The well(s) may continue to be used indefinitely for groundwater sampling or monitoring required for the current site investigation and any associated remediation, so long as they remain in good repair. A new permit shall be obtained from the NMOSE prior to replacing a well(s) or for any change in use as approved herein.
- 5. Water well drilling and well drilling activities, including well plugging, are regulated under NMOSE Regulations 19.27.4 NMAC. These regulations apply, and provide both general and specific direction regarding the drilling of wells in New Mexico. Note that the construction of any well that allows groundwater to flow uncontrolled to the land surface or to move appreciably between geologic units is prohibited.
- 6. In accordance with Subsection A of 19.27.4.29 NMAC, on-site supervision of well drilling/plugging is required by the holder of a New Mexico Well Driller License or a NMOSE-registered Drill Rig Supervisor. The New Mexico licensed Well Driller shall ensure that well drilling activities are completed in accordance with 19.27.4.29, 19.27.4.30 and 19.27.4.31 NMAC. However, pursuant to 72-12-12 NMSA 1978 and 19.27.4.8 NMAC, a driller's license is not required for the construction of a driven well with an outside casing diameter of 2<sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub> inches or less and that does not require the use of a drill rig (e.g., auger) for installation. This exemption is not applicable to well plugging.
- 7. The permittee has not stated whether artesian conditions are likely to be encountered at the proposed well/borehole location(s). However, if artesian conditions are encountered during drilling, all rules and regulations pertaining to the drilling and casing and plugging of artesian wells shall be followed.
- 8. A Well Record documenting the as-built well construction and materials used shall be filed for each of the new wells in accordance with Subsection N of 19.27.4.29 NMAC. Well Records shall be filed with the State Engineer (NMOSE District V, 100 Gossett Drive, Suite A, Aztec, NM, 87410) within 30 days after completion of the well(s). Well installation(s) shall be complete and the well record(s) filed no later than one year from the date of approval of this permit. The required Well Record form is available at http://www.ose.state.nm.us/WR/forms.php.

### NMOSE Permit to Drill a Well(s) With No Water Right

### Conditions of Approval

- 9. If the required Well Record documentation is not received within one year of the date of permit approval, this permit will automatically expire.
- 10. When the permittee receives approval or direction to permanently abandon the well(s)/borehole(s) covered by this permit, plugging shall be performed by a New Mexico licensed well driller. The well(s)/borehole(s) shall be plugged pursuant to Subsection C of 19.27.4.30 NMAC using the following method, unless an alternate plugging method has been proposed by or on behalf of the well owner and approved by the NMOSE. If a well/borehole has encountered artesian conditions, a Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be submitted and NMOSE approval obtained *prior* to the initiation of *any* well plugging activities concerning artesian wells. Additionally, if the following standardized plugging sealant is not appropriate for use due to incompatibility with the water quality or any soil and water contaminates encountered, a Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be submitted and NMOSE approval obtained *prior* to the initiation of *any* well obtained *prior* to the initiation of *any* well plugging activities concerning artesian wells.
  - a. Obstructions in a well/borehole shall be identified and removed if possible. If an obstruction cannot be removed, the method used to grout below and around the obstruction shall be described in detail in the plugging record.
  - b. Prior to plugging, calculate the theoretical volume of sealant needed for abandonment of the well/borehole based on the actual measured pluggable depth of the well/borehole and the volume factor for the casing/borehole diameter. Compare the actual volume of sealant placed in the well/borehole with the theoretical volume to verify the actual volume of sealant is equal to or exceeds the theoretical volume.
  - c. Portland Type I/II cement shall be used for the plugging sealant. The water mixed with the cement to create the plugging sealant shall be potable water or of similar quality. Portland cement has a fundamental water demand of 5.2 gallons of water per 94-lb sack of cement. Up to a maximum of 6.0 gallons per 94-lb sack is acceptable to allow for greater pumpability.

Pure bentonite powder ("90 barrel yield") is allowed as a cement additive by NMOSE and American Water Works Association (AWWA) guidelines. If a bentonite additive is used, the following rates and mixing guidelines shall be followed. For a rate or a mixing procedure other than that provided below, the NMOSE District V office must be contacted for pre-approval. Neither granular bentonite nor extended-yield bentonite shall be mixed with cement for the purpose of this plugging activity. When supplementing a cement slurry with bentonite powder, water demand for the mix increases at a rate of approximately 0.65 gallon of water for each 1% increment of bentonite bdwc (by dry weight cement) above the stated base water demand of 5.2 gallons water per 94-lb sack of cement for neat cement. Bentonite powder must be hydrated separately with its required increment of water before being mixed into the wet neat cement. If water is otherwise added to the combination of dry ingredients or the dry bentonite is blended into wet cement, the alkalinity of the cement will restrict the yield of the bentonite powder, resulting in excess free water in the slurry and excessive cement shrinkage upon curing.

- d. Placement of the sealant within the well/borehole shall be by pumping through a tremie pipe extended to near the bottom of the well/borehole and kept below the top of the slurry column (i.e., immersed in the slurry) as the well/borehole is plugged from bottom upwards in a manner that displaces the standing water column.
- e. Prior to, or upon completion of plugging, the well casing may be cut-off below grade as necessary to allow for approved construction onsite, provided a minimum six-inch thickness of reinforced abandonment plugging sealant or concrete completely covers the top of the cut-off

NMOSE Permit to Drill a Well(s) With No Water Right

Conditions of Approval

casing. Any remaining void to the surface may be filled with native soil, concrete, or asphalt as needed to match the surrounding surface material and blended with the surface topography to prevent ponding.

- f. Within 30 days after completion of well/borehole plugging, a complete Plugging Record shall be filed with the State Engineer in accordance with Paragraph (3) of Subsection C of 19.27.4.30 NMAC for each well/boring plugged. The Well Plugging Record(s) shall be filed with the State Engineer at the NMOSE District V Office, 100 Gossett Drive, Suite A, Aztec, NM 87410. The required Plugging Record form is available at http://www.ose.state.nm.us/WR/forms.php.
- 11. In accordance with Subsection C of 19.27.4.30 NMAC, a well/borehole that does not encounter groundwater may be immediately plugged by filling with drill cuttings or clean native fill to within 10 feet of land surface and by plugging the remaining 10 feet to the land surface with a sealant approved by the Office of the State Engineer. A Plugging Record shall be filed with the State Engineer as described above.
- 12. Should another regulatory agency sharing jurisdiction of the project authorize, or by regulation require, more stringent requirements than stated herein, the more stringent procedure should be followed. These, among others, may include provisions regarding pre-authorization to proceed, type of methods and materials used, inspection, or prohibition of free discharge of any fluid or other material to or from the well that is related to the drilling and/or monitoring process.
- 13. Pursuant to 72-12-3 NMSA 1978, the applicant has provided written documentation with the application, which the applicant claims as confirmation that access has been or will be granted for the aforementioned well(s) to be located on property owned by someone other than the well owner/applicant. NMOSE approval of this permit in no way infers the right of access to land not owned by the well owner/applicant.
- 14. The State Engineer retains jurisdiction of this permit.

The application for permitting one existing well and drilling three new well(s) <u>SJ-4364 POD1-POD10</u> without a water right, submitted on <u>August 21, 2019</u>, is hereby approved with the aforesaid conditions applied, when signed by an authorized designee of the State Engineer:

Witness my hand and seal this <u>27<sup>th</sup></u> day of <u>August</u>, A.D. <u>2019</u>. John R. D'Antonio, Jr., P.E., State Engineer

milt

By:

Miles Juett, Assistant Watermaster District V Office, Water Rights Division



R/INTERSTATE STREAM COMMISSION - AZTEC OFFICE	FILE NO.: 727 DOILARS I CASH: ACHECK NO.: 13278 RECEIVED BY: M7	Even of filling. Complete the receipt information. Application for valuer Right.       Complete the receipt information. Orginal to payor: pairk cory to Program Support/ASD value Right.         Acte: If a mistake is made, widd the original to apport: pairk cory to Program Support/ASD valuer Right.       C. Weill Driller Fees       \$ 5000         Acte: Water Right.       25000       Program Support/ASD valuer Right.       \$ 5000         Acte: Water Right.       25000       Program Support/ASD valuer Right.       \$ 5000         Acte: Water Right.       25000       Program Support/ASD valuer Right.       \$ 5000         Application for Valuer Right.       25000       Program Support/ASD valuer Right.       \$ 5000         Application for Change Point of Diversion Diversion of Diversion of Diversion of Divers	
NGINEER/INTERSTATE STREAM C	DATE: 8-21-2019 FIFYY Erites, 1LC ADDRESS: PO BO NM ZIP: 87499	INSTRUCTORS: Indicate the number of actions to the left of the appropriate type of filing. Complete the receipt information, remains in district office; and goldemoid copy to accompany application being filed. If a mistake is made, yold the original and all and all and all and all and all and all and	
OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEE	OFFICIAL RECEIPT NUMBER: 5 - 6444 TOTAL: 50.00 RECEIVED: PAYOR: Animas Equipanal	INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate the number of actons to the left of the appropriate in district office; and goldenrod copy to accompany application being       B. Suit         A. Ground Water Filing Fees       1. Change of Ownership of Water Right \$ 2.00       2. Application to Appropriate or Supplement       2. Application to Appropriate or Supplement       2. Application to Appropriate or Supplement         3. Application to Appropriate or Supplement       5. 75.00       5. 75.00       5. 75.00       5. 75.00         6. Application to Repair or Deepen       5. 75.00       5. 75.00       5. 75.00       5. 75.00       5. 75.00         7. 7. 2.12-1 Well       5. 75.10       5. 75.00	



# WELL RECORD & LOG

# OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

www.ose.state.nm.us

	OFF BOT	0 41000				1					
NO	SJ-4364 P	O. (WELL NO OD8 MW	•	<b>WELL TAG ID NO.</b> NA		OSE FILE NO	(S). D 8 MW-9R				
OCATT	1	ER NAME(S -MONTIN		G CORP (SITE NAME HW	Y 537 2008)	PHONE (OPT) 505-325-88					
WELL L	1	IER MAILING LEGE BO	GADDRESS			city FARMING	TON	STATE NM 87401	ZIP		
ERAL AND	SJ-4364 POD8 MW-9R       BMG 366       NA       SJ-4364 POD 8 MW-9R         WELL OWNER NAME(S)       PHONE (OPTIONAL)         BENSON-MONTIN-GREER DRILLING CORP (SITE NAME HWY 537 2008)       505-325-8874         WELL OWNER MAILING ADDRESS       CITY         4900 COLLEGE BOULEVARD       CITY         WELL       DEGREES         MINUTES       SECONDS         VELL       LOCATION         LOCATION       LATITUDE         JONGITUDE       -107.18423         WELL LOCATION RELATING WELL LOCATION TO STREET ADDRESS AND COMMON LANDMARKS – PLSS (SECTION, TOWNSHIP, RANGE) WHERE AVAILABLE										
1. GEN			NG WELL LOCATION TO IM HIGHWAY 537	D STREET ADDRESS AND COMMON	LANDMARKS – PLS	S (SECTION, TO	WNSHJIP, RANGE) WI	HERE AVAILABLE			
	LICENSE NO WD		NAME OF LICENSEE	DRILLER RODNEY HAMME	ER			RILLING COMPANY			
	DRILLING S		DRILLING ENDED 09/06/19	DEPTII OF COMPLETED WELL (FT	) BORE HO	LE DEPTH (FT)	DEPTII WATER FIR	ST ENCOUNTERED (FT	)		
N	COMPLETE	D WELL IS:			W (UNCONFINED)		STATIC WATER LE	VEL IN COMPLETED W	ELL (FT)		
DIT	DRILLING F	LUID:			ES – SPECIFY:						
ORM/	DRILLING N	METHOD:	<b>ROTARY</b>	HAMMER CABLE TO	DOL COTIE	R - SPECIFY:	HSA				
2. DRILLING & CASING INFORMATION	DEPTH (feet bgl) FROM TO DIAM (inches)			CASING MATERIAL AND, GRADE (include each casing string, a note sections of screen)	ASING NECTION YPE	CASING INSIDE DIAM. (inches)	CASING WALL THICKNESS (inches)	SLOT SIZE (inches)			
ING & CA	38 28	28 Ø	8	SCREEN	FT.	ling diameter)	22	50440	020		
2. DRILL											
L		(feet bgl)	BORE HOLE DIAM. (inches)	LIST ANNULAR SE			AMOUNT (cubic feet)	METHO			
ANNULAR MATERIAL	FROM 40 26. 229	то 26. 22. 9		10.20 5161 3/8 8605	GRAVEL PACK SIZE-RANGE BY INTERVAL 10.20 516164 5AND 3/8" BENT. CHIPS			AL.	11E		
3. ANNUL											
FOR	OSE INTER	NAL USE			leiter and leiter	WR-20	WELL RECORD	& LOG (Version 06/3	0/17)		
	NO.			POD NO.		TRN N					
LOC	ATION					WELL TACH		PAGE	10E2		

<u> </u>	T			1						
	DEPTH (f	cct bgl) TO	THICKNESS (feet)	INCLUDE WATI	ND TYPE OF MATERIA ER-BEARING CAVITIE pplemental sheets to full	S OR FRA	CTURE ZONE	ES BEAR (YES	ING?	ESTIMATED YIELD FOR WATER- BEARING ZONES (gpm)
1000								Y	N	
1			1	71.000				Y	N	
				See a	thacked be	pring	109	Y	N	
								Y	N	
								Y	N	
Н								Y	N	
4. HYDROGEOLOGIC LOG OF WELL								Y	N	
OF								Y	N	
LOG					-			Y	N	
GIC								Y	N	
OLO								Y	N	
GEC								Y	N	
DRO								Y	N	
È.								Y	N	
4								Y	N	
12-CA								Y	N	
								Y	N	
								Y	N	
								Y	N	
								Y	N	
	-							Y	N	
	METHOD US			D OF WATER-BEARING	G STRATA:	lonit	r LOell	TOTAL ESTIM	(gpm):	0.00
NC	WELL TEST	TEST STAR	RESULTS - AT T TIME, END T	TACH A COPY OF DAT IME, AND A TABLE SH	A COLLECTED DURIN	G WELL	TESTING, INC	CLUDING DISCI	IARGE N G PERIO	AETHOD, D.
TEST; RIG SUPERVISION	MISCELLAN	IEOUS INF	ORMATION:							
5. TEST;	PRINT NAM	E(S) OF DI	RILL RIG SUPE	RVISOR(S) THAT PRO	VIDED ONSITE SUPER	VISION O	F WELL CON	STRUCTION OT	THER TH	AN LICENSEE:
6. SIGNATURE	CORRECT R	ECORD OF	F THE ABOVE	FIES THAT, TO THE B DESCRIBED HOLE AN 20 DAYS AFTER COM 20 DAYS AFTER COM ER / PRINT SIGNEE 1	D THAT HE OR SHE W PLETION OF WELL DR	'ILL FILE ' RILLING:	THIS WELL R	ecord with 1		
EOI	OSE INITEDA	AT TICE					110 20 110	LL BECORD 4	00.01	in ormania
	<u>R OSE INTERN</u> E NO.	NE USE			POD NO.		TRN NO.	LL RECORD & I	UU (Ver	sion 06/30/2017)
						PAGE 2 OF 2				

SOIL BORING LOG	Anim	as Envi	ronme	ental S	ervi	ces
Soil Boring No:	604	W. Piñon	St., Farm	ington, N	IM 8	7401
Monitor Well No: MW-9R	Tel. (505)	564-2281	animase	nvironme	ental	.com
Project: BMG Haily 537 2018 Rea	Date: 9-5-19	21.70	07-7-72	5. en 1e i		
Client: BMG	Latitude/Longitude	36.40	1351	101.184	23	
Driller: Enindrill	Elevation:	_				_
Drilling Method: #3A	Logged by: CC				-	_
Depth to Water (ft): ~ 3/. 4(9+-1)Time Recorded		10'				
	/336				0	
Depth (ft) Sample interval Sample interval Sample Type (SPT, Grab, etc) (SPT, etc	n consistency, color, grain size, moisture, other	USCS Symbol	OVM (ppm)	OVM Time	MW Schematic and	ription
Brown Saude	cley, No Saining Dry				140	
	j, j e				545	11
5' 56 SPT. 10:45 67,5 Tan BODM, 5	and, Dry, No Dder, No Strin, Loose	SN	0.0	12:12	en	stace-
Ton Bround	Sand No Staks Dry					Stu
10 10-11 SPT 10:50 44.5 Tax Bonn, Su	Sand, No Starks, Dry Loose -, Dry, Hed-Cornsod, Ab Starn, No Ster	SN	0.0	12:13		
and the second s	-jug a would wishing vouce		0.0	12-13	- 2	- 3
		and the second sec	anaritatan 11 dia piyapan		20	
where I are a long and	end Dry, No Eder, No Stain	energyan salara tahankan		-1	m	5
15 15-16 SPT 10:55 5,6,11 Jun Brown, C. No Olin	layer Shad, Coose to Med Den No Stavin (205)	5 <	0.0	12:14	t in	66
2.5 20-21 Spr 11:00 7, 6, 7 Ta. 1 Brown, 6	and day lance, lover, by, No Odar	sω	0.0	12:15	Han Swi	
Nothining	a a a a a f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f	1.000 at 01 finite annuares.		publicana amadahili Malayak.	PVC	
<u>B-1103</u>		matha ana angkalada ang			- 4	3.5
25 2514 SPT 11:05 4,5,5 Tanform Soul	Md Grain, Lorse, Pry No 8 day, No Stain	5W	0.0	12:10		2
						1
30 3-71 SPT 1.11. 473,3 TAN, Sund, 612	y e 21, Moist, Spin e 31, Oder (Lab)	SN	1058	12:17	Creen -	- Prive
35 536 Str 1144 3,3,3 "The Swith	Grained, Saturated, Some Stain Ota	52-1	31.2	12:18	28 12	5.8
		1949-99 970-994 9 Arris 1944 4	The SE of The Article Annual Article		18	71
10 40-4 SPT 11:30 3.3.4 Tan Sand Kid	Grand Strated Some Olar No buse of Blayey Sand Held	SW	12.4	12:19	V	IJ
stain of	bure of Elaypy Sand Had	-	t (haljo V (halo de sje ije obsja pj	hay or part of the second	Samp	and the second second
Talel Dett	he Lop' C. I Singer A		de linge engendersterne var var var og			*******
lota thep	n 40' Set screen Q		angles o talp to be and an	dişkərəp q-əhətər əfadərilək		di la
28-38'						
			6. 10 <sup>1</sup> Des all'agine, agains, ag		-	
		ang sara na mana dinda di sala di sala di sa	97 - 107 107 (0.106) (d. 100 (0.107) (d. 106 (0.107))	unarectalistanar entroistat	anariatian's s	Papers (Profile
	alarra a construição de defensa popular maior a como term depensação de de se feit futuram termedamentamentamenta da terma d			taaliseks teratoo teratii	native subsets	ern saerel
anner et estatet i anne et estatet i anne et an		-			Aut = 1 = 1	phages at A
Page1 of 2						

August 3, 2015

Soil Boring Log

## **Animas Environmental Services**

604 W. Piñon St., Farmington, NM 87401 Tel. (505) 564-2281 Fax (505) 324-2022

Soil Boring No: Monitor Well No: MW-9R NOTES 1625 - Mason Hawkins of Enterprise arrived on trantion 1140 - Start MW Construction 1336 - MW Construction Complete 28-38 Soven 6" Sump 25.5-38 Send 22.3-25.8 Bentrivite Chips 9/6/19 Envirodrill returned and developed well for 1 kr. - disposal into waste truck anside. SKETCH -metal Stickup Casing MW-9 Druw-9K 3' Astrop Concrete Growt Surface - 22.3 East of mung to maximize Barti Note 223 - 258 distance from enterprise Buried Line E Sud 25.8-38,5 10 souch 28-38 6"sump

Page2 of 2

August 3, 2015

Appendix C

A A	ES P	er se	ervice	nmental es		LOG	OF: M	IW-9F	र
		Farn anim	nington, NM nasenvironn	1 • Durango, CO nental.com				(Page 1 of 1)	
	GHWAY	537 200		REER INE SPILL W MEXICO	Date Started Date Completed Hole Diameter Drilling Method Sampling Method	: 09/05/19 : 09/05/19 : 4.25 in. : H.S.A. : Split Spoon	1	Latitude Longitude Survey By Logged By	: GPS
Depth in Feet	Surf. Elev. 0	nscs	GRAPHIC		DESCRIPTI	ON	Blow Count	PID (ppm)	Well: MW-9R Elev.: TBS
-	- 0 2 4	SC		SANDY CLAY brown, dry, no	, very loose, well sort hydrocarbon odor or	ed, fine grained, staining.			
-	6 8	SP		SAND, very loo brown, dry, no	ose to loose, well sor hydrocarbon odor or	ted, fine grained, staining.	675	0.0	
10	12	SC		SAND, very loo tan-brown, dry	ose to loose, well sor , no hydrocarbon odc	ted, fine grained, or or staining.	445	0.0	Concrete Grout
		SC		CLAYEY SAN tan-brown, dry	D, loose to medium d , no hydrocarbon odc	ense, fine grained, r or staining.	5611	0.0	2" PVC Casing
20- - 22- - 24-	22	SP			well sorted, fine grain n odor or staining, ler		767	0.0	Bentonite Plug
-	26 28	SC		SAND, loose, dry, no hydroc	well sorted, medium g arbon odor or staining	grained, tan-brown, g.	455	0.0	
-	30 32	SP			ose, well sorted, fine arbon odor or staining lor.		433	1,058	Sand Pack (10/20)
-	34	- Or-		SAND, loose.	well sorted, fine grain	ed, tan-brown, dry,	333	30.2	2" 0.010' PVC Screen
36	36 38	SP		some hydroca	rbon odor and stainin	g.			2" PVC Sump
40	40	SP			well sorted, medium ( arbon odor or stainin		334	12.4	

Appendix D

# DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENT FORM

# **Animas Environmental Services**

624 E. Comanche St, Farmington NM 87401 Tel. (505) 564-2281 Fax (505) 324-2022

 Project:
 Groundwater Monitoring

 Site:
 BMG

 Location:
 Hwy 537 2008 Release

 Tech:
 Growne & C. Lameman

Project No.:

Date:	9-25-19
Time:	10:50-12:15
Form:	1 of 1

Well I.D.	Time	Depth to NAPL (ft.)	Depth to Water (ft.)	NAPL Thickness (ft.)	Notes / Observations
MW-2	11:48		DRY	Ngillio-	0.75 "well 30.98 Total Depth 0.75" Well 2" Well - Initial Bailer had ND NAPL! See
MW-7	11:45		40.85		0.75" well
MW-9R	10:54	35.31	35.32	0.01	2" Well - Initial Bailer had NO NAPL! See Notes
MPE-1	11:36	36.19	38.11	1.92	2" Well
MPE-2	11:42	34.84	34.88	0-04	2" Well
MPE-3	11:29	34.66	36.57	1.91	2" Well
MPE-4	11:33	35.70	37.86	2.16	2" Well
MPE-5	11:33	36.19 37.43	38.11 52.97	1.92 0.54	2" Well
MPE-6	11:26	35.13	35.93	0.80	2" Well
MPE-7	11:32	-	33.12	-	2" Well
			-		
* 100 m					
14					

	TFR SAMP			M	Anima	s Environmental S	ervices		
		MW-9		•••					
Wion	itor Well No:		7		624 E Comanche St., Farmington NM Tel. (505) 564-2281 animasenvironmental.com				
Citor	Llichwov 527	2008 Saill			[Tel. (50)	Project No.: AES 0801			
	Highway 537	unty, New Mexic				Date: 9-25-19			
		Monitoring and			•	Arrival Time: /0:53			
	g Technician:		./6B		- '	Air Temp:			
	e / No Purge:	<u> </u>	161		т.о	D.C. Elev. (ft): TE	35		
-	Diameter (in):	2		· .		ell Depth (ft): 3			
	al D.T.W. (ft):		Time:			(taken at initial gaugin	g of all wells)		
	m D.T.W. (ft):				-	(taken prior to purging			
		36.84	Time:	11:1	9	(taken after sample co	llection)		
		D.T.P.: 35. 3/		-		kness: <u>0.01</u> Tim	e: 10:54		
		Water Qualit	y Paramet	ers - Rec	orded Du	uring Well Purging	ce Nates!		
			YSI #_/	_ Calibra	tion Dat	e: 9-25-19 6B			
	Temp	Conductivity	DO		ORP	PURGED VOLUME	Notes/Observations		
Time	(deg C)	(µ̀\$) (mS)	(mg/L)	рН	(mV)	(see reverse for calc.)			
10:59	14.5	1451	1.86	6.78	73.0	Insticl	dus/oder		
11:01	13.6	1413	1.41	6.65	24.9	1.0 bal	Brown / Oder		
11:15							Samples Williete d Low Recharge & Yield.		
							Low Recharge &		
					i a Nair		Yield.		
Analytical	Parameters (i	nclude analysis	method an	d numbe	er and ty	pe of sample container	s)		
	See Ab	oatement plan o	r Chain of C	Custody f	or Analy	tical Analysis and Conta	iners		
		Disposal of Dur	rod Matar	in d	T. 1.	ecte			
		Disposal of Purg			INNK O	7) / <b>*</b>			
		les Stored on Ice		5					
	Chain of	Custody Record							
		-	-			tal Analysis Laboratory			
Equip	oment Used D					terface Level, YSI Wate	r Quality Meter		
	- 1		w Disposa	the second se			1 2 4 14		
Notes/Cor	nments: Calcu	lated purge vo	ume 24	ballons.	Initial	Bailer had Asbo AL	osolutely NO		
CRUDE (	>1L or SHE	EN!							
revised: (	revised: 08/10/09								



Appendix E



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

September 16, 2019

Elizabeth McNally Animas Environmental Services 604 Pinon Street Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 564-2281 FAX:

RE: BMG Hwy 537 2008 Release

OrderNo.: 1909341

Dear Elizabeth McNally:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 2 sample(s) on 9/7/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

**Analytical Report** Lab Order 1909341

Date Reported: 9/16/2019

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Animas Environmental Services Client Sample ID: MW-9R@15' **Project:** BMG Hwy 537 2008 Release Collection Date: 9/5/2019 10:55:00 AM Lab ID: 1909341-001 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 9/7/2019 1:30:00 PM Analyses Result **RL** Oual Units **DF** Date Analyzed Batch **EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS** Analyst: BRM **Diesel Range Organics (DRO)** 15 9.8 mg/Kg 1 9/12/2019 9:28:54 AM 47410 Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) ND 49 mg/Kg 1 9/12/2019 9:28:54 AM 47410 Surr: DNOP %Rec 107 70-130 1 9/12/2019 9:28:54 AM 47410 **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: NSB Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 9/11/2019 5:12:53 PM 47395 4.9 mg/Kg 1 9/11/2019 5:12:53 PM Surr: BFB 84.1 77.4-118 %Rec 1 47395 **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: NSB Benzene ND 0.024 mg/Kg 9/11/2019 5:12:53 PM 47395 1 Toluene ND 9/11/2019 5:12:53 PM 47395 0.049 mg/Kg 1 Ethvlbenzene ND 0.049 mg/Kg 1 9/11/2019 5:12:53 PM 47395 Xylenes, Total ND 0.097 mg/Kg 1 9/11/2019 5:12:53 PM 47395 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 89.6 80-120 %Rec 1 9/11/2019 5:12:53 PM 47395

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

\*

- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range RL
  - Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 5

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1909341

Date Reported: 9/16/2019

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Animas Environmental Services Client Sample ID: MW-9R@30' **Project:** BMG Hwy 537 2008 Release Collection Date: 9/5/2019 11:11:00 AM Lab ID: 1909341-002 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 9/7/2019 1:30:00 PM Analyses Result **RL** Oual Units **DF** Date Analyzed Batch **EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS** Analyst: BRM **Diesel Range Organics (DRO)** 2100 100 mg/Kg 10 9/12/2019 9:51:01 AM 47410 Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) 880 520 mg/Kg 10 9/12/2019 9:51:01 AM 47410 Surr: DNOP 70-130 %Rec 47410 0 S 10 9/12/2019 9:51:01 AM **EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE** Analyst: NSB Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 130 24 mg/Kg 9/12/2019 12:02:39 PM 47395 5 Surr: BFB 385 77.4-118 S %Rec 5 9/12/2019 12:02:39 PM 47395 **EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES** Analyst: **NSB** Benzene ND 0.12 mg/Kg 9/12/2019 12:02:39 PM 47395 5 Toluene ND 0.24 9/12/2019 12:02:39 PM 47395 mg/Kg 5 Ethvlbenzene ND 0.24 mg/Kg 5 9/12/2019 12:02:39 PM 47395 Xylenes, Total mg/Kg 5 9/12/2019 12:02:39 PM 47395 1.6 0.47 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 100 80-120 %Rec 5 9/12/2019 12:02:39 PM 47395

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
   D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 5

# QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

1909341 16-Sep-19

	Environmental Serv wy 537 2008 Releas								
Sample ID: LCS-47410	SampType: LCS	6	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Range	e Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 474	10	R	unNo: 62	2854				
Prep Date: 9/11/2019	Analysis Date: 9/1	2/2019	S	SeqNo: 21	41591	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	47 10	50.00	0	93.3	63.9	124			
Surr: DNOP	4.6	5.000		91.6	70	130			
Sample ID: MB-47410	SampType: MB	LK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Range	e Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 474	10	R	unNo: 62	2854				
Prep Date: 9/11/2019	Analysis Date: 9/1	2/2019	S	eqNo: 21	41592	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10								
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND 50								
Surr: DNOP	9.2	10.00		91.7	70	130			
Sample ID: LCS-47446	SampType: LCS	3	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Range	e Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 474	46	R	unNo: 62	2893				
Prep Date: 9/12/2019	Analysis Date: 9/1	3/2019	S	eqNo: 21	43741	Units: %Rec			
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP	5.6	5.000		112	70	130			
Sample ID: MB-47446	SampType: MB	LK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Range	e Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 474	46	R	lunNo: 62	2893				
Prep Date: 9/12/2019	Analysis Date: 9/1	3/2019	S	eqNo: 21	43742	Units: %Rec			
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP	12	10.00		118	70	130			

### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

WO#:	1909341
	16 6 10

16-Sep-19	)
-----------	---

	Environmer wy 537 200											
Sample ID: MB-47395	D: MB-47395 SampType: MBLK				TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range							
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 47395			F	unNo: 62	2824						
Prep Date: 9/10/2019	Analysis D	Analysis Date: 9/11/2019			SeqNo: 2141121			Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB	ND 900	5.0	1000		90.1	77.4	118					
							-					
Sample ID: LCS-47395	Sampl	ype: LC	LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range									
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n ID: 473	395	F	unNo: 62	2824						
Prep Date: 9/10/2019	Analysis Date: 9/11/2019			SeqNo: 2141122			Units: mg/K	g				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	21	5.0	25.00	0	86.0	80	120					
Surr: BFB	920		1000		91.8	77.4	118					

### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

# **QC SUMMARY REPORT** Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	1909341

16-Sep-19

	s Environme Hwy 537 200									
Sample ID: MB-47395	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles									
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 47395			F						
Prep Date: 9/10/2019	Analysis Date: 9/11/2019			S	SeqNo: 2	141150	Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.025								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.99		1.000		99.5	80	120			
Sample ID: LCS-47395	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles									
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	395	F	RunNo: 62824						
Prep Date: 9/10/2019	Analysis E	Date: <b>9/</b>	11/2019	SeqNo: 2141151		Units: <b>mg/Kg</b>				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.91	0.025	1.000	0	90.9	80	120			
Toluene	0.95	0.050	1.000	0	95.1	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	0.96	0.050	1.000	0	95.7	80	120			
Kylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	95.7	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.87		1.000		87.4	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 5
besived By:       Yaznine Garduno       9/7/2019 1:30:00 PM       Impediate         smpleted By:       Yaznine Garduno       9/9/2019 9:48:00 AM       Impediate         sviewed By:       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate         sviewed By:       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate         stain of Custody       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate         stain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not Present         How was the sample delivered?       Courier       Impediate       Impediate         Og In       Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA       Impediate         Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No       NA       Impediate         Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       Impediate       Na         Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate         VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate       Impediate       Imped	LABORATORY	TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.hc	allenvir	onmental.co							
ompleted By:       Yazmine Garduno       9/9/2019 9.48:00 AM       Improvidents         hain of Custody       Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not Present         How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Od In       Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No       NA         Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No       NA         Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       NA         Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       Na         VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No       No VOA Viais         Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       No Code VoA Viais       # of preserved bottles         Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Is clear that analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       In Person       Adjusted?       Yes       <	Client Name: Animas Environmen	tal Work Order Number	: 1909	341			RcptNo: 1				
twiewed By:       Image:	Received By: Yazmine Garduno	9/7/2019 1:30:00 PM			sfaqnir	r léfndari					
How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       .         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         . Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         . Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         . Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         . Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         . Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         . Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No       NA         . Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       Na         . Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No       NA         . Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       No       VOA vials         . Was any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       No       For preserved bottles checked         . Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       Adjusted       Cor >12 unless noted)         . Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted       Cor >12 unless noted)	Completed By: Yazmine Garduno	9/9/2019 9:48:00 AM			Normin	reléfendente					
Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not Present         How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In	Reviewed By:	9/9/19									
How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In       .         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       Na         Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No       NA         Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       NA          VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No       # of preserved bottles checked for pt+:         Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       No       Adjusted       Adjusted         Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Checked by:       DA       9/9/1/4         Were all holdi	hain of Custody										
Log In            Was an attempt made to cool the samples?         Yes         No         No         NA         NA         NA	. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes	$\checkmark$	No		Not Present				
Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA   Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA   Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA   Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No No   Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No No   Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No No   Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No No   VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No   Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No Was preserved bottles checked for pH:   (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No   Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Checked by: DAD 9/9/14   Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: DAD 9/9/14   Checked by: DAD 9/9/14 Date: Date: Date:   By Whom: Date: Date: Date: In Person   By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person   Regarding: Client Instructions: Via: eMail Phone Fax	How was the sample delivered?		Couri	ier							
Not required   Not required   Sample(s) in proper container(s)?   Yes   Yes   No   Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?   Yes   No   Was preservative added to bottles?   Yes   No   VOA vials have zero headspace?   Yes   No   VOA vials have zero headspace?   Yes   No   Were any sample containers received broken?   Yes   No   Mot required   No   No <tr< td=""><td></td><td>amples?</td><td>Yes</td><td></td><td>No</td><td></td><td>NA 🔽</td></tr<>		amples?	Yes		No		NA 🔽				
Not required         Not required         Yes         No         Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?         Yes         Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?         Yes         Was preservative added to bottles?         Yes         VOA vials have zero headspace?         Yes         No         VOA vials have zero headspace?         Yes         No         Vers         No         No bees paperwork match bottle labels?         Yes       No         No tote discrepancies on chain of custody?         Yes       No         Adjusted         for pH:       (-2 of >12 unless noted)         Adjusted       (-2 of >12 unless noted)         Ability custome for authorization.)       (-2 of >12 unles)											
b. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No   c. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No   c. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No   c. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No   d. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No   d. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No   D. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No   1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No   (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No   2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No   2. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No   (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes No   Descial Handling (if applicable) Date: Date:   By Whom: Via: eMail Phone   By Whom: Via: eMail Phone   Regarding: Client Instructions: Via: In Person	. Were all samples received at a temp	perature of >0° C to 6.0°C	10 2020			$\checkmark$					
Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No   Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No   VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No   O. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No   Yes No Image: Control of Custody Image: Control of Custody   Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No   B is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No   Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No   (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Decial Handling (if applicable)   5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No   Person Notified: Date: Image: Client Instructions:	. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		VALAN								
A. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA   A. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No   D. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles   D. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH:   Chock discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No Adjusted?   P. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted?   A re matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted?   B. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Cpecked by:   D. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Cpecked by:   D. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Na   Checked for pH: Cpecked by: DAD 9/9/10   Decial Handling (if applicable) Date:	Sufficient sample volume for indicate	ed test(s)?	Yes	✓	No						
YOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials   Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No   Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH:   (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No   Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No   Adjusted? Yes No   Adjusted? Yes No   Adjusted? Yes No   Adjusted? AD 9/9/10 Solution of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No No Na Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: Client Instructions: No Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Client Instructions: No <p< td=""><td>Are samples (except VOA and ONG</td><td>properly preserved?</td><td>Yes</td><td><math>\checkmark</math></td><td>No</td><td></td><td></td></p<>	Are samples (except VOA and ONG	properly preserved?	Yes	$\checkmark$	No						
D. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottle abels?   1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH:   (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No Adjusted?   2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted?   3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted?   4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by:   DAD 9/9/10   (If no, notify customer for authorization.)      5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No No NA Person Notified: By Whom: Client Instructions: Client Instructions: Client Instructions:	Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes		No	$\checkmark$	NA 🗌				
1. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       # of preserved bottles checked for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes       No       Adjusted         2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted         3. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Adjusted         4. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Checked by:       DAD       9/9/10         5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA       ✓         Person Notified:       Date:	VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes		No		No VOA Vials 🗹				
1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes ✓ No for pH:   (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes ✓ No Adjusted?   2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ✓ No Adjusted?   3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ✓ No Adjusted?   4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No Checked by: DAD 9/9/10   (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Decial Handling (if applicable) S. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No Na ✓   5. Was client notified: Date:	. Were any sample containers receive	ed broken?	Yes		No		# of preserved				
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) (<2 or >12 unless noted)   2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ♥ No   3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ♥ No   4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ♥ No   (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Checked by: DAD 9/9/10   5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes   Person Notified: Date:   By Whom: Via:   Regarding: Client Instructions:	Does paperwork match bottle labels?	)	Voc	<b>v</b>	No		bottles checked				
By ls it clear what analyses were requested?   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   No   Checked by:   DAD   9/9/10   Checked by:   DAD   Yes   Yes   No   Checked by:   DAD   9/9/10   Checked by:   DAD   Yes   Yes   No   Checked by:   DAD   9/9/10   Checked by:   DAD   Yes   No   Checked by:   DAD   9/9/10   Checked by:   DAD   Yes   No   Checked by:   DAD   Yes   No   Checked by:   DAD   9/9/10   Checked by:   DAD   Yes   No   Na   Person Notified:   Date:   By Whom:   Regarding:   Client Instructions:			103		NO						
Were all holding times able to be met?   (If no, notify customer for authorization.)   Decial Handling (if applicable) 5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No No Na  Person Notified: By Whom: Client Instructions: Client Instructions: Yes No Checked by: DAD 9/9/10 Checked by: DAD 9/9/10 Checked by: DAD 9/9/10	Are matrices correctly identified on C	hain of Custody?	Yes	✓	No		Adjusted?				
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)     Decial Handling (if applicable)     5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?     Yes   No   NA     Person Notified:   By Whom:   Regarding:   Client Instructions:     Client Instructions:					No						
Decial Handling (if applicable)   5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?   Yes   No   Na   Person Notified:   By Whom:   Via:   eMail   Phone   Fax   In Person   Regarding:   Client Instructions:			Yes	$\checkmark$	No		Checked by: DAD 9/9/19				
5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA     Person Notified:   By Whom:   Regarding:   Client Instructions:     Yes     No     Na     Na     Via:     Person     Na     Na     Na     Na     Via:     Person     Na     Na     Na     Na     Na     Na     Na     Person Notified:     Date:     Via:     Phone     Fax     In Person     Na											
By Whom:   Via:   eMail   Phone   Fax   In Person     Regarding:   In Client Instructions:   In Person			Yes		No		NA 🗹				
Regarding:	Person Notified:	Date:									
Client Instructions:	By Whom:	Via:	eMa	il 🗌 Pho	ne	Fax	In Person				
	Regarding:						and and a second se				
Additional remarks:	Client Instructions:			*****							
	3. Additional remarks:										
7. <u>Cooler Information</u>											

	ANALYSIS LABORATORY	www.hallenvironmental.com	4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109	Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107	Analysis Request		08)(	160			0.3 3)	9)HJL XZLA									Remarks:		If hecessary, bandles submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.
Time:	🗆 Rush		BMG HWY 537 2008 Release			ger:	E with	(10	Ves DNo		7.0 +0.3~ 1.3	Preservative 1000 301	Carl -001	100-							Date Time Mp/19 (703	Via: 'Date' Time	iccredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this p
Turn-Around Time:	K Standard	Project Name	BMG HW	Project #:		Project Mana			On Ice:	# of Coolers:	Cooler Temp(including CF):	Container Type and #	2-402jars	2-402iars	<b>`</b>						Received by:	Received by:	ontracted to other ac
Chain-of-Custody Record			Mailing Address: 624 E. Conanche St.	87461	2281	email or Fax#: CMCVIally & Duinas China want 2. 6. Project Manager:						Matrix Sample Name	MADE MW-9RE 15'	MW-9K e 30'							ihed by:		amples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subc
in-of-C	ues Envi		ess: 624	Farmington NN 87451	os. 504.	#: emcnall	:age:	2.2					J Soil	1/ Soil					_		$\sim$		sary, samples su
Cha	Client: Ani		Mailing Addi	Farmin	Phone #: S	email or Fax	QA/QC Package:	A conditation		EDD (Type)		Date Time	9-5-19 10:55	11:11 61-5-6								Date: Time: P	I d l d l l heces



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

October 21, 2019

Elizabeth McNally Animas Environmental Services 604 Pinon Street Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 564-2281 FAX:

RE: BMG Hwy 537 -2008

OrderNo.: 1909E81

Dear Elizabeth McNally:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 9/26/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1909E81

Date Reported: 10/21/2019

CLIENT: Animas Environmental Services Project: BMG Hwy 537 -2008				ample ID ion Date		W-9R 25/2019 11:15:00 AM	
Lab ID: 1909E81-001	Matrix: AQUE	OUS	Recei	ved Date	:9/2	26/2019 8:15:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA 200.8: METALS						Analyst:	ELS
Antimony	ND	0.0010		mg/L	1	10/9/2019 10:40:31 AM	47918
Arsenic	0.0016	0.0010		mg/L	1	10/9/2019 10:40:31 AM	47918
Copper	0.0057	0.0010		mg/L	1	10/11/2019 12:27:31 PM	/ 47918
Lead	0.0015	0.00050		mg/L	1	10/9/2019 10:40:31 AM	47918
Selenium	0.0011	0.0010		mg/L	1	10/9/2019 10:40:31 AM	47918
Thallium	ND	0.00050		mg/L	1	10/9/2019 10:40:31 AM	47918
Uranium	0.0061	0.00050		mg/L	1	10/9/2019 10:40:31 AM	47918
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst:	MRA
Fluoride	ND	0.50		mg/L	5	10/10/2019 5:39:06 PM	R63603
Chloride	110	10		mg/L	20	9/27/2019 3:45:26 AM	R63250
Nitrogen, Nitrite (As N)	ND	0.50		mg/L	5	9/27/2019 3:33:05 AM	R63250
Nitrogen, Nitrate (As N)	ND	0.50		mg/L	5	9/27/2019 3:33:05 AM	R63250
Sulfate	76	2.5		mg/L	5	9/27/2019 3:33:05 AM	R63250
SM2540C MOD: TOTAL DISSOLVED SOL	IDS					Analyst:	KS
Total Dissolved Solids	1040	200	*D	mg/L	1	10/2/2019 5:37:00 PM	47856
SM4500-H+B / 9040C: PH						Analyst:	JRR
рН	7.44		н	pH units	1	10/1/2019 10:48:09 AM	R63331
EPA METHOD 200.7: METALS						Analyst:	bcv
Aluminum	3.7	0.10	*	mg/L	5	10/7/2019 7:24:35 PM	47918
Barium	0.31	0.0020		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Beryllium	ND	0.0020		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Boron	0.078	0.040		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Cadmium	ND	0.0020		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Chromium	ND	0.0060		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Cobalt	ND	0.0060		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Iron	4.2	0.10	*	mg/L	5	10/7/2019 7:24:35 PM	47918
Manganese	3.3	0.010	*	mg/L	5	10/7/2019 7:24:35 PM	47918
Molybdenum	ND	0.0080		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Nickel	ND	0.010		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Silver	ND	0.0050		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
Zinc	0.017	0.010		mg/L	1	10/7/2019 6:22:47 PM	47918
EPA METHOD 245.1: MERCURY						Analyst:	rde
Mercury	ND	0.00020		mg/L	1	9/30/2019 4:23:30 PM	47813
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE						Analyst:	BRM
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	1.0		mg/L	1	10/1/2019 9:53:56 PM	47812
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	5.0		mg/L	1	10/1/2019 9:53:56 PM	47812

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

NDNot Detected at the Reporting LimitPQLPractical Quanitative Limit

**Qualifiers:** 

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 12

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1909E81

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Animas Environmental Services Project: BMG Hwy 537 -2008

**Project:** BMG Hwy 53 **Lab ID:** 1909E81-001 Client Sample ID: MW-9R Collection Date: 9/25/2019 11:15:00 AM Received Date: 9/26/2019 8:15:00 AM

Lab ID: 1909E81-001	Matrix: AQUE	OUS	Recei	ived Dat	<b>e:</b> 9/2	26/2019 8:15:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE						Analyst	BRM
Surr: DNOP	115	70-130		%Rec	1	10/1/2019 9:53:56 PM	47812
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE	1					Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	0.87	0.050		mg/L	1	9/30/2019 8:53:13 PM	G63313
Surr: BFB	289	65.8-143	S	%Rec	1	9/30/2019 8:53:13 PM	G63313
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	: NSB
Benzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	10/1/2019 2:09:58 PM	B63336
Toluene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	10/1/2019 2:09:58 PM	B63336
Ethylbenzene	56	1.0		µg/L	1	10/1/2019 2:09:58 PM	B63336
Xylenes, Total	80	2.0		µg/L	1	10/1/2019 2:09:58 PM	B63336
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	146	80-120	S	%Rec	1	10/1/2019 2:09:58 PM	B63336
TOTAL PHENOLICS BY SW-846 9067						Analyst	CFC
Phenolics	4.2	2.5		µg/L	1	10/14/2019	48115

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 12

## Date Reported: 10/21/2019



# ANALYTICAL REPORT

09E8

Ср

Тс

Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

AI

Sc

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group: Samples Received: Project Number: Description:

Report To:

L1144075 09/27/2019

4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Jason Romer Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV-SOP-MTJL-0068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the acmelae are received. and as the samples are received

PROJECT:

SDG: L1144075

DATE/TIME: 10/03/19 10:18 PAGE: 1 of 10

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

Cp: Cover Page	1
Tc: Table of Contents	2
Ss: Sample Summary	3
Cn: Case Narrative	4
Sr: Sample Results	5
1909E81-001F MW-9R L1144075-01	5
Qc: Quality Control Summary	6
Wet Chemistry by Method 4500CN E-2011	6
GI: Glossary of Terms	7
Al: Accreditations & Locations	8
Sc: Sample Chain of Custody	9

<sup>1</sup>Cp <sup>2</sup>Tc <sup>3</sup>Ss <sup>4</sup>Cn <sup>5</sup>Sr <sup>5</sup>Qc <sup>7</sup>GI <sup>8</sup>AI <sup>9</sup>Sc

製

ACCOUNT:	
Hall Environmental Analysis Laborato	ry

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

1909E81-001F MW-9R L1144075-01 WW			Collected by	Collected date/time 09/25/19 11:15	Received da 09/27/19 08:	
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation	Analysis	Analyst	Location
			date/time	date/time		
Wet Chemistry by Method 4500CN E-2011	WG1354837	1	10/01/19 15:00	10/02/19 11:59	SDL	Mt. Juliet, TN

题

ACCOUNT:	
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory	

## CASE NARRATIVE

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

Jason Romer Project Manager



1

SDG: L1144075 DATE/TIME: 10/03/19 10:18

## 1909E81-001F MW-9R Collected date/time: 09/25/19 11:15

## SAMPLE RESULTS - 01

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

Wet Chemistry by Method 4500CN E-2011

	Result	Qualifier	RDL	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	
Analyte	mg/l		mg/l		date / time		
Cyanide	ND		0.00500	1	10/02/2019 11:59	WG1354837	<sup>2</sup> Tc

1

ACCOUNT: Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory PROJECT:

SDG: L1144075

DATE/TIME: 10/03/19 10:18

*	(	2 CP	U L	SS	C C D	Ľ	ې	åc	۲ ח	5	а Д		S S S	
ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.														PAGE: 6 of 10
														DATE/TIME: 10/03/19 10:18
QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY														SDG: L1144075
ALITY CO						DUP Qualifier DUP RPD		20			LCS Qualifier			ü
0U/		MB RDL	mg/I 0.00500	ć				1 <u>4</u>			c. Limits	%	0-112	PROJECT:
		MB MDL	mg/l 0.00180		0/02/19 12:17	Dilution DUP RPD	%	1 42.1			LCS Rec.	\$ }	202	
E-2011		MB Qualifier			(0.0) • 0.00 33456795-8 1	DUP Result	l/gm	0.00339	CS)			mg/l	0.105	ratory
WG1354837 Wet Chemistry by Method 4500CN E-2011	(MB)	1/02/19 11:47 MB Result	ng/l U		(05) L1144706-04 10/02/19 12:16 (DUP) R3456795-8 10/02/19 12:17	Original Result DUP Result	I/gm	0.00520	Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)	0/02/19 11:48	Spike Amount	l/gm	0.10	ACCOUNT: Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
WG1354837 Wet Chemistry by Me	Method Blank (MB)	(MB) R3456795-1 10/02/19 11:47 MB R	Analyte Cyanide	0 10 202 01 0	(OS) L1144706-04 10	×.	Analyte	Cyanide	Laboratory Co	(LCS) R3456795-2 10/02/19 11:48		Analyte	Cyanide	Hall Envir

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

#### Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

#### Abbreviations and Definitions

MDL	Method Detection Limit.
ND	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
RDL	Reported Detection Limit.
Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
U	Not detected at the Reporting Limit (or MDL where applicable).
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.
Qualifier	Description
J	The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.
P1	RPD value not applicable for sample concentrations less than 5 times the reporting limit.

AI

Sc

1

Ср

SDG: L1144075

## **ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS**

3

Ср

Tc

Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

AI

Sc

Pace National is the only environmental laboratory accredited/certified to support your work nationwide from one location. One phone call, one point of contact, one laboratory. No other lab is as accessible or prepared to handle your needs throughout the country. Our capacity and capability from our single location laboratory is comparable to the collective totals of the network laboratories in our industry. The most significant benefit to our one location design is the design of our laboratory campus. The model is conducive to accelerated productivity, decreasing turn-around time, and preventing cross contamination, thus protecting sample integrity. Our focus on premium quality and prompt service allows us to be YOUR LAB OF CHOICE.

Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace National.

#### State Accreditations

Alabama	40660	Nebraska	NE-0S-15-05
Alaska	17-026	Nevada	TN-03-2002-34
Arizona	AZ0612	New Hampshire	2975
Arkansas	88-0469	New Jersey-NELAP	TN002
California	2932	New Mexico 1	n/a
Colorado	TN00003	New York	11742
Connecticut	PH-0197	North Carolina	Env375
Florida	E87487	North Carolina 1	DW21704
Georgia	NELAP	North Carolina 3	41
Georgia <sup>1</sup>	923	North Dakota	R-140
Idaho	TN00003	Ohio-VAP	CL0069
Illinois	200008	Oklahoma	9915
Indiana	C-TN-01	Oregon	TN200002
lowa	364	Pennsylvania	68-02979
Kansas	E-10277	Rhode Island	LAO00356
Kentucky <sup>15</sup>	90010	South Carolina	84004
Kentucky <sup>2</sup>	16	South Dakota	n/a
Louisiana	AI30792	Tennessee 14	2006
Louisiana <sup>1</sup>	LA180010	Texas	T104704245-18-15
Maine	TN0002	Texas <sup>5</sup>	LAB0152
Maryland	324	Utah	TN00003
Massachusetts	M-TN003	Vermont	VT2006
Michigan	9958	Virginia	460132
Minnesota	047-999-395	Washington	C847
Mississippi	TN00003	West Virginia	233
Missouri	340	Wisconsin	9980939910
Montana	CERT0086	Wyoming	A2LA

#### Third Party Federal Accreditations

A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP, LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 5	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA-Crypto	TN00003		

<sup>1</sup> Drinking Water <sup>2</sup> Underground Storage Tanks <sup>3</sup> Aquatic Toxicity <sup>4</sup> Chemical/Microbiological <sup>5</sup> Mold <sup>5</sup> Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

#### **Our Locations**

Pace National has sixty-four client support centers that provide sample pickup and/or the delivery of sampling supplies. If you would like assistance from one of our support offices, please contact our main office. Pace National performs all testing at our central laboratory.



ACCOUNT: PROJECT: SDG: DATE/TIME: Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory L1144075 10/03/19 10:18

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Havkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com	(615) 758-5859	L1144675-01			TAL DESTRED: TAL DESTRED: DEMAIL ONLINE ONLY Autompt to Cool? Manupt
1 <sup>off</sup> 1	(800) 767-5859 FAX: EMAIL: A N A I VTICA	1 Total Cyanide 3/2		Please include the LAB ID and the CLIENT SAMPLE ID on all final reports. Please e-mail results to lab@hailenvironmental.com. Please return all coolers and blue ice. Thank you	REPORT TRANSMIT HARDCOPY (entre cont) AN PARDCOPY (entre cont) AN FOR LAB USE Temp of samples 1, 9/L-3/2/J
CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD	ESC PACE RHONE: ACCOUNT #	GP		l final reports. Please e-mail results to lab@hallenvironn	Received By: International By: International By: Received By: Date: Time: Received By: Date: Time: Net: RD
J.	SUR CONTRATOR ESC PACE COMPANY: ADDRESS 12065 Lebanon Rd CITY.STATE.ZIP. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 TIEM SAMPLE CLIENT SAMPLE ID	1 1909E81-001F MW-9R	STECTAL INSTRUCTIONS / CONTINUES	rease include the LAB ID and the CLIENT SAMPLE ID on a	teringkarpertry, LLUL Patter 2019 Trans 9-30 AM Rec Reinquished By: Trans 9-30 AM Rec Reinquished By: Trans 9-30 AM Rec Reinquished By: Trans 8-30 AM Rec Reconstruction By: Trans 8-30 AM Rec Reconstruction By: Trans 9-30 AM Rec Recon

Pace Analytical National Center for Testing & Innovation	ting & Innov	ation	
Cooler Receipt Form			
Client: HALLENVANN		1 IIN	21044075
Cooler Received/Opened On: 4 /27 /19 Temperature:	rature:	1.6	
Received By: Cole Medley			
Signature: CUR			
	「ないない」のないのないであるというない		ないのないである
Receipt Check List	NP	Yes	No
COC Seal Present / Intact?			
COC Signed / Accurate?		~	
Bottles arrive intact?		1	
Correct bottles used?	「「「「「「」」」」	1	and the second second
Sufficient volume sent?		1	
If Applicable			
VOA Zero headspace?			
Preservation Correct / Checked?			<b>建設時間的</b>



Pace Analytical Services, LLC 1638 Roseytown Road - Suites 2,3,4 Greensburg, PA 15601 (724)850-5600

October 18, 2019

Ms. Anne Thorne Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

RE: Project: 1909E81 Pace Project No.: 30327369

Dear Ms. Thorne:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on October 02, 2019. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current, applicable TNI/NELAC standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Sergnelylettins

Jacquelyn Collins jacquelyn.collins@pacelabs.com (724)850-5612 Project Manager

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Jackie Ball, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory Felicia Candelario, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory Michelle Garcia, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory



## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

ace Analytical www.pacelabs.com Pace Analytical Services, LLC 1638 Roseytown Road - Suites 2,3,4 Greensburg, PA 15601 (724)850-5600

#### CERTIFICATIONS

 Project:
 1909E81

 Pace Project No.:
 30327369

#### Pennsylvania Certification IDs

1638 Roseytown Rd Suites 2,3&4, Greensburg, PA 15601 ANAB DOD-ELAP Rad Accreditation #: L2417 Alabama Certification #: 41590 Arizona Certification #: AZ0734 Arkansas Certification California Certification #: 04222CA Colorado Certification #: PA01547 Connecticut Certification #: PH-0694 Delaware Certification EPA Region 4 DW Rad Florida/TNI Certification #: E87683 Georgia Certification #: C040 Florida: Cert E871149 SEKS WET **Guam Certification** Hawaii Certification Idaho Certification Illinois Certification Indiana Certification Iowa Certification #: 391 Kansas/TNI Certification #: E-10358 Kentucky Certification #: KY90133 KY WW Permit #: KY0098221 KY WW Permit #: KY0000221 Louisiana DHH/TNI Certification #: LA180012 Louisiana DEQ/TNI Certification #: 4086 Maine Certification #: 2017020 Maryland Certification #: 308 Massachusetts Certification #: M-PA1457 Michigan/PADEP Certification #: 9991

Missouri Certification #: 235 Montana Certification #: Cert0082 Nebraska Certification #: NE-OS-29-14 Nevada Certification #: PA014572018-1 New Hampshire/TNI Certification #: 297617 New Jersey/TNI Certification #: PA051 New Mexico Certification #: PA01457 New York/TNI Certification #: 10888 North Carolina Certification #: 42706 North Dakota Certification #: R-190 Ohio EPA Rad Approval: #41249 Oregon/TNI Certification #: PA200002-010 Pennsylvania/TNI Certification #: 65-00282 Puerto Rico Certification #: PA01457 Rhode Island Certification #: 65-00282 South Dakota Certification Tennessee Certification #: 02867 Texas/TNI Certification #: T104704188-17-3 Utah/TNI Certification #: PA014572017-9 USDA Soil Permit #: P330-17-00091 Vermont Dept. of Health: ID# VT-0282 Virgin Island/PADEP Certification Virginia/VELAP Certification #: 9526 Washington Certification #: C868 West Virginia DEP Certification #: 143 West Virginia DHHR Certification #: 9964C Wisconsin Approve List for Rad Wyoming Certification #: 8TMS-L

### **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**



#### SAMPLE SUMMARY

30327369001	1909E81-001G MW-9R	Water	09/25/19 11:15	10/02/19 09:30
Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received
Pace Project No	b.: 30327369			
Project:	1909E81			

#### **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**



Pace Analytical Services, LLC 1638 Roseytown Road - Suites 2,3,4 Greensburg, PA 15601 (724)850-5600

#### SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

 Project:
 1909E81

 Pace Project No.:
 30327369

				Analytes		
Lab ID	Sample ID	Method	Analysts	Reported	Laboratory	
30327369001	1909E81-001G MW-9R	EPA 903.1	MK1	1	PASI-PA	
		EPA 904.0	VAL	1	PASI-PA	

#### **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**



#### **PROJECT NARRATIVE**

 Project:
 1909E81

 Pace Project No.:
 30327369

#### Method: EPA 903.1

Description:903.1 Radium 226Client:Hall Environmental Analysis LaboratoryDate:October 18, 2019

#### **General Information:**

1 sample was analyzed for EPA 903.1. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

#### Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

#### Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

#### Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

#### Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

#### Additional Comments:

#### **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**



#### PROJECT NARRATIVE

 Project:
 1909E81

 Pace Project No.:
 30327369

#### Method: EPA 904.0

Description904.0 Radium 228Client:Hall Environmental Analysis LaboratoryDate:October 18, 2019

#### General Information:

1 sample was analyzed for EPA 904.0. All samples were received in acceptable condition with any exceptions noted below or on the chain-of custody and/or the sample condition upon receipt form (SCUR) attached at the end of this report.

#### Hold Time:

The samples were analyzed within the method required hold times with any exceptions noted below.

#### Method Blank:

All analytes were below the report limit in the method blank, where applicable, with any exceptions noted below.

#### Laboratory Control Spike:

All laboratory control spike compounds were within QC limits with any exceptions noted below.

#### Matrix Spikes:

All percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were within acceptance criteria with any exceptions noted below.

#### Additional Comments:

This data package has been reviewed for quality and completeness and is approved for release.

#### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS



#### **ANALYTICAL RESULTS - RADIOCHEMISTRY**

 Project:
 1909E81

 Pace Project No.:
 30327369

Sample: 1909E81-001G MW-9R PWS:	Lab ID: 303273 Site ID:	69001 Collected: 09/25/19 11:15 Sample Type:	Received:	10/02/19 09:30	Matrix: Water	
Parameters	Method	Act ± Unc (MDC) Carr Trac	Units	Analyzed	CAS No.	Qual
Radium-226	EPA 903.1	1.12 ± 0.705 (0.926) C:NA T:81%	pCi/L	10/16/19 14:35	5 13982-63-3	
Radium-228	EPA 904.0	1.99 ± 0.621 (0.807) C:70% T:86%	pCi/L	10/17/19 11:50	15262-20-1	

#### **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**



#### **QUALITY CONTROL - RADIOCHEMISTRY**

Project:	1909E81					
Pace Project No.:	30327369					
QC Batch:	364876		Analysis Method:	EPA 903.1		· · · · · · · · · · ·
QC Batch Method:	EPA 903.1		Analysis Description:	903.1 Radium-226		
Associated Lab Sa	mples: 30327369	001				
METHOD BLANK:	1770158		Matrix: Water			
Associated Lab Sa	mples: 30327369	001				
Para	meter	Act ± L	Jnc (MDC) Carr Trac	Units	Analyzed	Qualifiers
Radium-226		-0.0445 ± 0.203	(0.414) C:NA T:89%	pCi/L	10/16/19 14:14	19

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.

#### **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**



#### **QUALITY CONTROL - RADIOCHEMISTRY**

Project: 19	09E81					
Pace Project No.: 30	327369					
QC Batch: 3	64880		Analysis Method:	EPA 904.0		
QC Batch Method: E	EPA 904.0		Analysis Description:	904.0 Radium 228		
Associated Lab Sample	es: 30327369	001				
METHOD BLANK: 17	70165		Matrix: Water			
Associated Lab Sample	es: 30327369	001				
Paramete	er	Act :	- Unc (MDC) Carr Trac	Units	Analyzed	Qualifiers
Radium-228		0.274 ± 0.396	(0.853) C:71% T:81%	pCi/L	10/17/19 11:50	

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.

#### **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**



#### QUALIFIERS

Project:	1909E81
Pace Project No .:	30327369

#### DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to dilution of the sample aliquot.

ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.

TNTC - Too Numerous To Count

J - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.

PQL - Practical Quantitation Limit.

RL - Reporting Limit - The lowest concentration value that meets project requirements for quantitative data with known precision and bias for a specific analyte in a specific matrix.

S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine decomposes to and cannot be separated from Azobenzene using Method 8270. The result for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate % recovery and RPD values.

LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP - Sample Duplicate

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

NC - Not Calculable.

SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up

U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

N-Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Act - Activity

Unc - Uncertainty: For Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) analyses, the reported Unc. Is the calculated Count Uncertainty (95% confidence interval) using a coverage factor of 1.96. For all other matrices (non-SDWA), the reported Unc. is the calculated Expanded Uncertainty (aka Combined Standard Uncertainty, CSU), reported at the 95% confidence interval using a coverage factor of 1.96.

Gamma Spec: The Unc. reported for all gamma-spectroscopy analyses (EPA 901.1), is the calculated Expanded Uncertainty (CSU) at the 95.4% confidence interval, using a coverage factor of 2.0.

(MDC) - Minimum Detectable Concentration

Trac - Tracer Recovery (%)

Carr - Carrier Recovery (%)

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

TNI - The NELAC Institute.

#### LABORATORIES

PASI-PA Pace Analytical Services - Greensburg

#### **REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS**

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Havkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com	× (724) 850-5601	EMALE		ANALYTICAL COMMENTS	A rater la	30327369	bl lae ice. Thank you.	REPORT TRANSMITTAL DISCIDED.		FOR LAB USE ONLY	C Attempt to Cool ?	
PAGE: 1 OF: 1	е: (724) 850-5600 <sup>FAX:</sup>			# CONTAINERS		WO# : 30327369	ronmental.com. Please return all coolers and		HARDCOPY (extra c		Termp of sumples Contracents:	
CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD PAGE:	Pace Analytical Services, Inc. PHONE:	ACCOUNT #:		BOTTLE TYPE MATRLY DATE	1LHDPEHNO Aqueous 9/25/2019 11:15:00 AM 2 Radium 226/228		ll final reports. Please e-mail results to lab@hallenvironmental.com. Please return all coolers and blue ice. Thank you.	Received By: 64,4 4 1 Litter Dates 7-1 a Tiges		Received By: Date: Time:	Next BD 2nd BD 3rd BD 3	
	ualytical-PA company:	1638 Roseytown Rd Ste 2,3,4	burg, PA 15601	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	IW-9R	MENTS:	r rease include the LAB ID and the CLIENT SAMPLE ID on all final	Date: 9262019 Time: 9:30 AM Rot	Time:	Date: Time: Rec	Standard 🖼 RUSH	
HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	SUB CONTRATOR: Pace Analytical-PA ADDRESS:	1638 Rt	CITY, STATE, ZIP: Greensburg, PA 15601	Z	1 1909E81-001G MW-9R	SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS / COMMENTS:	Licase include the LAB	Relinquipped by 11 11	Relinquished By:	Relinquished By:	ËYI Page 11	of 12

Pittsburgh Lab Sample Condit	ion l	Jpor	Re	ceipt	ξ ÷
Pace Analytical' Client Name:	_1-	all	Er	∩V.         Project # # 30327	369
Courier: Fed Ex UPS USPS Client		omme	rcial	Dace Other	
Tracking #: 7744 3192. 9133	3			LIMS Login FT	
Custody Seal on Cooler/Box Present:		- 0	Seals	intact: ves no	•
Thermometer Used NIA	Туре	of Ice:	Wet	Blue None	
Cooler Temperature Observed Temp		۰c		ection Factor: C Final Temp: C	
Temp should be above freezing to 6°C		-			L.
			r	pH paper Lof#     Date and Initials of person examining contents:       10D35581     contents:	
Comments:	Yes	No	N/A		
Chain of Custody Present:	K			1.	
Chain of Custody Filled Out:		-		2.	
Chain of Custody Relinquished:			<u>}</u>	3.	
Sampler Name & Signature on COC:		$\leq$		4.	
Sample Labels match COC:	Ł			5.	
-Includes date/time/ID Matrix:	w	<u> </u>	r		
Samples Arrived within Hold Time:				6.	
Short Hold Time Analysis (<72hr remaining):			ļ	7.	
Rush Turn Around Time Requested:			ļ	8.	
Sufficient Volume:	$\vdash$			9	
Correct Containers Used:	$\sim$		ļ	10.	
-Pace Containers Used:			ļ		-
Containers Intact:	$\vdash$	ļ		11.	
Orthophosphate field filtered	ļ		/	12.	
Hex Cr Aqueous sample field filtered				13.	2
Organic Samples checked for dechlorination:				14.	
Filtered volume received for Dissolved tests All containers have been checked for preservation.			/	15.	
exceptions: VOA, coliform, TOC, O&G, Phenolics, Non-aqueous matrix	Radon	<u> </u>		16. pHCZ	
All containers meet method preservation requirements.		T		Initial when LT Date/time of preservation	
note in the second s				Lot # of added	
	<u>г</u>	1		preservative	
Headspace in VOA Vials ( >6mm):				17.	
Trip Blank Present:		1		18.	
Trip Blank Custody Seals Present Rad Samples Screened < 0.5 mrem/hr					÷.
	$\angle$		41000	Initial when completed: ET Date: 10-2-19	
Client Notification/ Resolution:					
Person-Gontacted:			-Date/-	Firme: Contacted-By:	
Comments/ Resolution:				· · ·	
A check in this box indicates that addit	lonal	inforr	natior	n has been stored in ereports.	

Note: Whenever there is a discrepancy affecting North Carolina compliance samples, a copy of this form will be sent to the North Carolina DEHNR Certification Office (i.e. out of hold, incorrect preservative, out of temp, incorrect containers)

\*PM review is documented electronically in LIMS. When the Project Manager closes the SRF Review schedule in LIMS. The review is in the Status section of the Workorder Edit Screen.

J:\QAQC\Master\Document Management\Sample Mgt\Sample Condition Upon Receipt Pittsburgh (C056-9 5April2019)

Hall Er	vironmen	tal Ana	lysis I	aborat	ory, Inc.					WO#:	1909E81 21-Oct-19
Client: Project:		Animas Environmental Services BMG Hwy 537 -2008									
Sample ID:	MB-47918	Samp	туре: <b>МЕ</b>	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 200.7: Metals						
Client ID:	PBW	Bate	ch ID: 47	918	F	RunNo: 6	3483				
Prep Date:	10/3/2019	Analysis	Date: 10	)/7/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	168828	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aluminum		ND	0.020								
Barium		ND	0.0020								
Beryllium		ND	0.0020								
Cadmium		ND	0.0020								
Iron		ND	0.020								
Zinc		ND	0.010								
Sample ID:	LLLCS-47918	Samp	Type: LC	SLL	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	200.7: Metals	1		
Client ID:	BatchQC	Bate	ch ID: 47	918	RunNo: 63483						
Prep Date:	10/3/2019	Analysis	Date: 10	)/7/2019	5	168830					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aluminum		ND	0.020	0.01000	0	121	50	150			
Barium		0.0023	0.0020	0.002000	0	113	50	150			
Beryllium		ND	0.0020	0.002000	0	95.2	50	150			
Cadmium		ND	0.0020	0.002000	0	94.3	50	150			
Iron		ND	0.020	0.02000	0	81.7	50	150			
Zinc		0.014	0.010	0.01000	0	140	50	150			
Sample ID:	LCS-47918	Samp	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	200.7: Metals			
Client ID:	LCSW	Bate	ch ID: 47	918	F	RunNo: 6	3483				
Prep Date:	10/3/2019	Analysis	Date: 10	)/7/2019	5	SeqNo: 2	168832	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Aluminum		0.54	0.020	0.5000	0	108	85	115			
Barium		0.47	0.0020	0.5000	0	94.4	85	115			
Beryllium		0.49	0.0020	0.5000	0	98.4	85	115			
Cadmium		0.49	0.0020	0.5000	0	98.5	85	115			
Iron		0.49	0.020	0.5000	0	98.4	85	115			
Zinc		0.49	0.010	0.5000	0	98.1	85	115			

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

QC SUMMARY REPORT

- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

WO#: 1909E81

•		Y REPORT		ory, Inc.					WO#:	1909E8 21-Oct-19
Client: Project:		Environmental Se Iwy 537 -2008	rvices							
Sample ID:	MB-47918	SampType: <b>M</b>	BLK	TestCode: EPA 200.8: Metals						
Client ID:	PBW	Batch ID: 4	7918	F	RunNo: 6	3536				
Prep Date:	10/3/2019	Analysis Date: 10/9/2019		S	SeqNo: 2	170779	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Antimony		ND 0.0010					5			
Arsenic		ND 0.0010	1							
Lead		ND 0.00050	)							
Selenium		ND 0.0010	1							
Thallium		ND 0.00050	)							
Uranium		ND 0.00050	1							
Sample ID:	LLLCS-47918	SampType: L	CSLL	TestCode: EPA 200.8: Metals						
Client ID:	BatchQC Batch ID: 47918		F	3536						
Prep Date:	<b>10/3/2019</b> Analysis Date: <b>10/9/2019</b>		SeqNo: 2170781			Units: mg/L				
Analyte		Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Antimony		ND 0.0010	0.001000	0	89.3	50	150			
Arsenic		0.0011 0.0010	0.001000	0	109	50	150			
Lead		0.00052 0.00050		0	104	50	150			
Selenium		0.0011 0.0010		0	107	50	150			
Thallium		ND 0.00050		0	85.9	50	150			
Uranium		0.00051 0.00050	0.0005000	0	101	50	150			
Sample ID:	LCS-47918	SampType: L	cs	TestCode: EPA 200.8: Metals						
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch ID: 4	7918	F	3536					
Prep Date:	10/3/2019	Analysis Date: 1	0/9/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	170783	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Antimony		0.026 0.0010	0.02500	0	103	85	115			
Arsenic		0.023 0.0010		0	92.0	85	115			
Lead		0.012 0.00050		0	95.4	85	115			
Selenium		0.022 0.0010		0	89.3	85	115			
Thallium		0.012 0.00050		0	93.0	85	115			
Uranium		0.012 0.00050	0.01250	0	94.2	85	115			
Sample ID:	MB-47918	SampType: <b>M</b>	BLK	TestCode: EPA 200.8: Metals						
Client ID:	PBW	Batch ID: 4	7918	RunNo: 63621						
Prep Date:	10/3/2019	Analysis Date: 1	0/11/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	173469	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Conner		ND 0.0010								

Copper

ND 0.0010

Qualifiers:

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

QC SUMMARY REPORT

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

Client: Project:		Environme wy 537 -20		vices							
Sample ID: L	D: LLLCS-47918 SampType: LCSLL			Tes	tCode: EF	PA 200.8: N					
Client ID: E	BatchQC	Bato	h ID: 47	918	F	RunNo: 63	3621				
Prep Date:	10/3/2019	Analysis I	Date: 10	)/11/2019	SeqNo: 2173491		173491	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Copper		0.0010	0.0010	0.001000	0	102	50	150			
Sample ID: L	-CS-47918	Samp	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA 200.8: N	letals			
Client ID: L	CSW	Bato	h ID: 47	918	F	RunNo: 63	3621				
Prep Date:	10/3/2019	Analysis I	Date: 10	)/11/2019	S	SeqNo: 21	173493	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Copper		0.024	0.0010	0.02500	0	95.2	85	115			

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 12

WO#:	1909E81
	21-Oct-19

	as Environmental Services Hwy 537 -2008				
Sample ID: MB-47813	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	245.1: Mercury		
Client ID: PBW	Batch ID: 47813	RunNo: 63308			
Prep Date: 9/30/2019	Analysis Date: 9/30/2019	SeqNo: 2160440	Units: <b>mg/L</b>		
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Mercury	ND 0.00020				
Sample ID: LCS-47813	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	245.1: Mercury		
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: 47813	RunNo: 63308			
Prep Date: 9/30/2019	Analysis Date: 9/30/2019	SeqNo: 2160441	Units: <b>mg/L</b>		
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Mercury	0.0051 0.00020 0.005000	0 103 80	120		

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 12

QC SUMMARY REPORT
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:	1909E81

21-Oct-19
-----------

Client: Project:	Animas Environmer BMG Hwy 537 -200		vices								
Sample ID: MB	SampT	ype: <b>ml</b>	olk	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions							
Client ID: PBW	Batch	n ID: R6	3250	RunNo: 63250							
Prep Date:	rep Date: Analysis Date: 9/26/2019		S	SeqNo: 21	58467	Units: mg/L					
Analyte Result PQL		SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Chloride	ND	0.50									
Nitrogen, Nitrite (As N)	ND	0.10									
Nitrogen, Nitrate (As N)	ND	0.10									
Sulfate	ND	0.50									
Sample ID: LCS	SampT	ype: Ics	5	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5			
Client ID: LCSW	Batch	Batch ID: R63250			RunNo: 63	3250					
Prep Date:	Analysis D	Analysis Date: 9/26/2019			SeqNo: 2158468						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Chloride	4.7	0.50	5.000	0	94.4	90	110				
Nitrogen, Nitrite (As N)	0.96	0.10	1.000	0	96.0	90	110				
Nitrogen, Nitrate (As N)	2.5	0.10	2.500	0	98.6	90	110				
Sulfate	9.8	0.50	10.00	0	98.1	90	110				
Sample ID: MB	SampT	ype: <b>ml</b>	olk	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions							
Client ID: PBW	Batch	n ID: R6	3603	RunNo: <b>63603</b>							
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ate: 10	0/10/2019	S	SeqNo: 21	173172	Units: mg/L				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Fluoride	ND	0.10									
Sample ID: LCS	SampT	ype: Ics	5	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5			
Client ID: LCSW	Batch	1D: R6	3603	RunNo: 63603							
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ate: 10	0/10/2019	S	SeqNo: 21	173173	Units: mg/L				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Fluoride	0.54	0.10	0.5000	0	109	90	110				

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

WO#:	1909E81
	A1 0 · 10

21-Oct-19
-----------

	Environmer lwy 537 -20		vices							
Sample ID: MB-47812	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range						
Client ID: <b>PBW</b> Prep Date: <b>9/30/2019</b>	Batch ID: <b>47812</b> Analysis Date: <b>10/1/2019</b>		RunNo: <b>63328</b> SeqNo: <b>2161909</b>			Units: <b>mg/L</b>				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	1.0								
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: DNOP	1.0		1.000		104	70	130			
Sample ID: LCS-47812	SampT	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	sel Range	9	
Client ID: LCSW	Batch	h ID: 478	812	F	RunNo: <b>6</b> :	3328				
Prep Date: 9/30/2019	Analysis D	Date: 10	)/1/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	161973	Units: mg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	5.7	1.0	5.000	0	114	71.8	135			
Surr: DNOP	0.50		0.5000		101	70	130			

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 8 of 12

21-Oct-19
-----------

	Environme vy 537 -20		vices							
Sample ID: RB	SampT	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gasol	line Rang	e	
Client ID: PBW	Batch ID: G63313		RunNo: 63313							
Prep Date:	Analysis D	Date: 9/	30/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	160630	Units: mg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	0.050								
Surr: BFB	19		20.00		97.0	65.8	143			
Sample ID: 2.5UG GRO LCS	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: LCSW	Batcl	h ID: <b>G6</b>	3313	F	unNo: 6	3313				
Prep Date:	Analysis D	Date: 9/	30/2019	S	eqNo: 2	160631	Units: mg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	0.49	0.050	0.5000	0	98.7	73.6	119			
Surr: BFB	22		20.00		112	65.8	143			

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 9 of 12

## QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:	Animas Environmo BMG Hwy 537 -20		rvices							
Sample ID: RB	Samp	Type: MI	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: PBW	Bate	ch ID: <b>B6</b>	3313	F	anNo: 6	3313				
Prep Date:	Analysis	Date: 9/	/30/2019	S	SeqNo: 2'	160656	Units: %Red	;		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobe			20.00		96.3	80	120	,		
Sample ID: 100NG	BTEX LCS Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: LCSW		ch ID: <b>B6</b>			RunNo: 6					
Prep Date:		Date: 9/			SeqNo: 2		Units: %Red	;		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobe	nzene 20		20.00		101	80	120		-	
Sample ID: RB	Samp	Туре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: PBW		ch ID: <b>B6</b>		F	RunNo: <b>6</b> :	3336				
Prep Date:	Analysis	Date: 1	0/1/2019	S	SeqNo: 2'	162464	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	1.0					0			
Toluene	ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total	ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobe	nzene 20		20.00		98.8	80	120			
Sample ID: 100NG	BTEX LCS Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: LCSW	Bate	ch ID: <b>B6</b>	3336	F	RunNo: 6:	3336				
Prep Date:	Analysis	Date: 10	0/1/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	162465	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	19	1.0	20.00	0	97.0	80	120			
Toluene	20	1.0	20.00	0	98.8	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	20	1.0	20.00	0	98.9	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	59	2.0	60.00	0	97.7	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobe	nzene 21		20.00		103	80	120			

#### **Qualifiers:**

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

WO#:	1909E81
	A1 0 · 10

	Environmental Services wy 537 -2008			
Sample ID: MB-48115	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: Total Pheno	lics by SW-846 9067	
Client ID: PBW	Batch ID: 48115	RunNo: 63666		
Prep Date: 10/14/2019	Analysis Date: 10/14/2019	SeqNo: 2175491	Units: µg/L	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Phenolics	ND 2.5			
Sample ID: LCS-48115	SampType: LCS	TestCode: Total Pheno	lics by SW-846 9067	
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: 48115	RunNo: 63666		
Prep Date: 10/14/2019	Analysis Date: 10/14/2019	SeqNo: 2175492	Units: µg/L	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Phenolics	18 2.5 20.00	0 90.5 57.7	149	
Sample ID: LCSD-48115	SampType: LCSD	TestCode: Total Pheno	lics by SW-846 9067	
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 48115	RunNo: 63666		
Prep Date: 10/14/2019	Analysis Date: 10/14/2019	SeqNo: 2175493	Units: µg/L	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Phenolics	19 2.5 20.00	0 94.5 57.7	149 4.28	21.7

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

	nimas Environmental Services MG Hwy 537 -2008	
Sample ID: MB-47856	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: SM2540C MOD: Total Dissolved Solids
Client ID: PBW	Batch ID: 47856	RunNo: 63371
Prep Date: 10/1/2019	Analysis Date: 10/2/2019	SeqNo: 2163681 Units: mg/L
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Total Dissolved Solids	ND 20.0	
Sample ID: LCS-47856	SampType: LCS	TestCode: SM2540C MOD: Total Dissolved Solids
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: 47856	RunNo: 63371
Prep Date: 10/1/2019	Analysis Date: 10/2/2019	SeqNo: 2163682 Units: mg/L
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Total Dissolved Solids	999 20.0 1000	0 99.9 80 120

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 12 of 12

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmental Albu TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.ha	4901 Haw querque, NN FAX: 505-3	vkins NE M 87109 45-4107	San	nple Log-In Cheo	ck List
Client Name: Animas Environmental	Work Order Number:	1909E81	1. 1919 BAR 41. 1999		RcptNo: 1	
	9/26/2019 8:15:00 AM 9/26/2019 9:29:33 AM		Ti M	کے iiruu G	onus)	
<ul><li><u>Chain of Custody</u></li><li>1. Is Chain of Custody complete?</li><li>2. How was the sample delivered?</li></ul>		Yes <b>⊻</b> <u>Courier</u>	N	lo 🗌	Not Present	
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🖌	Ν	o 🗌		
4. Were all samples received at a temperature of	>0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗸	Ν	o 🗌		
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	Ν	o 🗌		
<ul><li>6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?</li><li>7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly p</li></ul>		Yes ✔ Yes ✔	No	_		
8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes	No		NA 🗌	
9. VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes 🗹	No		No VOA Vials	
10. Were any sample containers received broken?		Yes 🗌	N	o 🗸 🏾	# of preserved	<b>\</b>
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes 🗹	No	•	for pH:	) nlęss noted)
2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Cu	stody?	Yes 🗹	No		Adjusted?	
<ul><li>13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?</li><li>14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)</li></ul>		Yes ✔ Yes ✔	No No		Checked by:	1740
Special Handling (if applicable)						(- )
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this	order?	Yes	N	o 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding:	Date: Via:	] eMail 🗌	] Phone [	] Fax	In Person	
Client Instructions:	Aded ~ 2	. 0 r	n o	FH	NO3 to se	mple
17. <u>Cooler Information</u> 0016. for	r accepter	Sle	PH		on gladia	
Cooler No         Temp °C         Condition         Seal           1         1.4         Good         Yes		eal Date	Signed			
2 0.7 Good Yes 3 1.1 Good Yes						

Τ		
Animas Environmental Services	X Standard	
	Project Name:	
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 8	BMG Hwy 537 - 2008	4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87105
Farmington, NM 87499-0008 F	Project #:	Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107
505-564-2281		Analysis Request
Email or Fax#: emcnally@animasenvironmental.com F QA/QC Package:	Project Manager:	
□ Level 4 (Full Validation)	() Elizabeth McNally	
Accreditation:	Sampler: GB / CL	
□ Other	On Ice: A Vac I No 1.140. 3 = 145	108
	Temperature: 0.4+0.5=A.7	<mark>\\O</mark>
me Matrix Sample Request ID	υ	6 & 228 ВЕС 1
	1909681	Aletals Anions Jainde Jitrates/N Sadium 22 Phenols Phenols BTEX 802 BTEX 802 Alenols Phenols BTEZ 802 Alenols Phenols BTEZ NOT
(1) //:/5 H20 MW-9R	(6) 40 mL VOAs       (6) HCl         (1) 250 mL Amber       (1) non         (1) 250 mL plast       (1) non         (1) 500mL plastic       (1) non         (1) 250 mL Amber plast       (1) NaOH         (1) 500mL plastic       (1) NaOH         (1) 500mL plastic       (1) NaOH         (1) 500mL plastic       (1) H2S04         (1) 1L Amber Glass       (1) H2S04	X       X
Relinquished by:	Received by: Date Time Mutthe Reserved by: Date Time	NOTE: sample for all parameters listed in 20.6.2.3103 Parts A, B, and C (ATTACHMENT).
If the Antista Mail and Le	10 Micture 10 Le Courrier 9/26/19 8:15 If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this nossibility. Any sub-contracted data will be closely protected as the contracted data	f this mossibility. Anv suh-montracted data will be cloady instead on the or

Appendix F



Environmental Equipment, Inc.

February 1, 2019

Ms. Beth NcNally Animas Environmental Services 604 West Pinon Farmington, NM 87401 (505) 564-2281

RE: Geotech Quote #JAS 020119-02 Solar Sipper

Dear Beth:

As the premier supplier of environmental sampling, monitoring and remediation equipment since 1978, Geotech Environmental Equipment is pleased to provide you with the following quotation for our Solar Sipper.

## Geotech Solar Sipper – Multi- Well System

The Geotech Solar Sipper is a solar powered remediation system, designed for remote applications where electrical power is either not available or not economically feasible to provide. The compact, easy to install features make this unit an industry favorite! Unlike other solar powered pumping systems, which use a standard bladder pump operated by an air compressor, the Solar Sipper uses a unique vacuum/pressure canister pump to recover hydrocarbons through a floating oleophilic/hydrophobic intake filter. The pump canister is filled under vacuum. Once the fill cycle is finished the system reverses, pressurizes the canister and pushes the recovered fluid to the surface and into a storage vessel.

The Geotech Solar Sipper can effectively extract fluids from depths up to 150-180 feet below ground surface and recover viscous hydrocarbons such as 90 weight gear oil, when a fixed intake is utilized. The Geotech Solar Sipper recovers floating hydrocarbons LNAPL) from wells using a solar powered pumping system.

The system utilizes a density float skimmer with an integral 60, or 100 mesh screen, or specific gravity float with an adjustable intake, depending on the LNAPL application.

The skimmer floats just above the oil/water interface to collect and remove hydrocarbons from the well into the optional above ground storage tank.

The Solar Sipper is also available for recovery of sinking product (DNAPL) from wells when using a fixed intake. Additionally, fixed intakes with conductivity sensors for water over ride are available for applications with extremely low re-charge rates prohibiting the use of oleophilic/hydrophobic screen technology.

## Multi- Well Controller

The Solar Sipper multi-well controller is designed to operate between 1 and 8 down well canister pumps/ skimmer assemblies. The controller is programmable for each recovery wells specific vacuum, pressure and delay (time between on/ off) cycle requirements based on the type of product and recharge rate of the well. We quote and build the controller to meet our customer's site specific needs.

Because the controller operates multiple down well assemblies, the priority programming is to start with pump # 1, run through the vacuum cycle, pressure cycle and delay cycle. Once the delay cycle is completed, the controller switches to the next (pump #2) down well assembly. This process continues for the complete number of pumps the controller is programmed to control.

In general, Geotech recommends a maximum distance of 500 feet (including the well depth) between the Sipper controller and the pump. Longer runs can be accommodated but are not recommended. Careful consideration must be given to additional power requirements as well as protecting the tubing from damage. In certain situations, multiple controllers with separate solar panels and batteries may be a better solution on sites of a relatively larger area The optional AC Sipper is designed for locations where line voltage is readily available.

## **Installation Precautions (LNAPL)**

10

 $\overline{}$ 

The specific gravity of the product to be recovered must be less than 1.0 and its viscosity is less than 100 SSU for use with the "light" oil filter (100 mesh), and 400 SSU for use with the "heavy" oil filter (60 mesh) Geotech application engineers should be consulted for product recovery operations with viscosities outside that range. The Solar Sipper is designed to be used in wells with free product of at least 1/8 inch thickness. The presence of surfactants or detergents in the product requires careful application of the Solar Sipper by consulting with Geotech engineers.

General Specifications:	
Applications	2" (5.8cm) or larger recovery wells
<b>Recovery Rate</b>	.2 gallons (.750 ml) per cycle per pump assembly
Max. Operating Depth	150 – 180 feet
Max. Pressure	100 PSIG
Max. Vacuum	20" HggV @ MSL
<b>Oil/Water Separation</b>	Oleophilic/hydrophobic mesh screen
Power Usage	90-105 Watts
<b>Recovered Product Storage</b>	Customer Supplied
Voltage	12-14 VDC
<b>Over Current Protection</b>	15 AMPS

Fill Timer Range Discharge Timer Range Control Panel Solar Panel Output Duty Cycle Operating Temperature 0-99 Minutes 0-99 Minutes NEMA 4X, Weather Resistant Approx. 50-100 Watts 40% On / 60% Off 32 °F to 104 °F

## Multi – Well Controller

Size: 18" H x 16" W x 10.5" D Approximate Weight: 50 lbs. Rating: NEMA 4

## **Down Well Collection Canister**

Size: 23.5" L x 1.75" OD Weight: 4.5 lbs. Materials: 303 and 304 Stainless Steel, Flexible tubing, PVC and Brass

## **Skimmer Assembly**

2" Model

Effective travel range: 12" Size: 35.5" L x 1.75" Weight: 1.75 lbs. Operating Temperature: 32° to 104° F Materials: 304 - Stainless Steel, Polyethylene, PVC, Polypropylene, Brass **Minimum fluid level to activate skimmer = 15**"

## **Tubing Sizes:**

Air: .17" ID x .25" OD, PE Discharge: 3/8" ID x 1/2" OD, Rubber

### Standard Configuration includes Control Panel with:

- Tank full shut off switch (2-inch NPT bung-fitting)
- Microprocessor controller with alpha-numeric vacuum fluorescent display
- On/Off switch
- Pressure/Vacuum Pump
  - Vacuum Cycle timer range: 0- 30 seconds
  - Pressure Cycle timer range: 30 seconds to 4 minutes
  - Delay Cycle timer range: 30 seconds to 24 hours
- Pressure/Vacuum Gauge