

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

14349 Type of action: Below grade tank registration
 Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
 Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
 Modification to an existing permit/or registration
 Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

RECEIVED
By kcollins at 7:33 am, Mar 01, 2016

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP OGRID #: 14538
Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499
Facility or well name: Canyon Largo Unit 114
API Number: 30-039-20540 OCD Permit Number: _____
U/L or Qtr/Qtr A Section 33 Township 25N Range 7 W County: Rio Arriba
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.361765 °N Longitude -1070.573694 °W NAD: 1927 1983
Surface Owner: Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.
 Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: Drilling Workover
 Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no
 Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness _____ mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other _____
 String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other _____ Volume: _____ bbl Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ x D _____

3.
 Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water
Tank Construction material: Metal
 Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
 Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls only Other _____
Liner type: Thickness 45 mil HDPE PVC Other LLDPE

4.
 Alternative Method:
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks*)
 Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (*Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church*)
 Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
 Alternate. Please specify _____

6. **Netting:** Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)
 Screen Netting Other _____
 Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7. **Signs:** Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers
 Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8. **Variations and Exceptions:**
 Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:
 Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.
 Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9. **Siting Criteria (regarding permitting):** 19.15.17.10 NMAC
Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

<u>General siting</u>	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - <input type="checkbox"/> NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; <input type="checkbox"/> USGS; <input type="checkbox"/> Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<u>Below Grade Tanks</u>	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<u>Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid</u> (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<p>Within 100 feet of a wetland.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><u>Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid</u></p>	
<p>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 300 feet of a wetland.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><u>Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit</u></p>	
<p>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 500 feet of a wetland.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC

Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC

A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.

Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- Climatological Factors Assessment
- Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
- Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan
- Emergency Response Plan
- Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
- Monitoring and Inspection Plan
- Erosion Control Plan
- Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Fluid Management Pit
 Alternative

Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal
 Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
 On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
 In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial
 Alternative Closure Method

14.
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
- Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC
Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

16.
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
- Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.
Operator Application Certification:
 I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)

OCD Representative Signature: Jonathan D. Kelly Approval Date: 6/23/2016

Title: COMPLIANCE OFFICER OCD Permit Number: _____

19.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

Closure Completion Date: 1/13/2015

20.
Closure Method:
 Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
 If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
- Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
- Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
- Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
- Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
- Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
- Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
- Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
- Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude °N Longitude °W NAD: 1927 1983

22.

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Larissa Farrell Title: Regulatory Technician

Signature: *Larissa Farrell* Date: 1/21/14

e-mail address: Larissa.L.Farrell@cop.com Telephone: (505) 326-9504

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP
San Juan Basin
Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: Canyon Largo Unit 114

API No.: 30-039-20540

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.

2. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

3. BR will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

4. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

5. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. BR shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.0	250

6. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

7. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

8. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
- i. Operator's name
 - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Notification was not found.

9. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner was not found.

10. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

11. BR shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

12. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

13. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
 - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation **(See Report)**
 - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques **(See Report)**
 - Photo documentation of the site reclamation **(Included as an attachment)**
 - Confirmation Sampling Results **(Included as an attachment)**
 - Proof of closure notice **(Included as an attachment)**



February 10, 2015

Lisa Hunter
ConocoPhillips
San Juan Business Unit
Office 214-04
5525 Hwy 64
Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Via electronic mail to: SJBUE-Team@ConocoPhillips.com

**RE: Below Grade Tank Closure Report
Canyon Largo #114
Rio Arriba County, New Mexico**

Dear Ms. Hunter:

Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) is pleased to provide the final report associated with the below grade tank (BGT) closure at ConocoPhillips (CoP) Canyon Largo #114, located in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Tank removal had been completed by CoP contractors prior to AES' arrival at the location.

1.0 Site Information

1.1 Location

Site Name – Canyon Largo #114

Legal Description – NE¼ NE¼, Section 33, T25N, R7W, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

Well Latitude/Longitude – N36.36168 and W107.57368, respectively

BGT Latitude/Longitude – N36.36174 and W107.57370, respectively

Land Jurisdiction – Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map

Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, December 2014

604 W. Piñon St.
Farmington, NM 87401
505-564-2281

1911 Main, Ste 280
Durango, CO
970-403-3084

1.2 NMOCD Ranking

In accordance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) *Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993), the location was given a ranking score of 10 based on the following factors:

- **Depth to Groundwater:** A cathodic protection form dated January 1994 for the location reported the depth to groundwater as 235 feet below ground surface (bgs). (0 points)
- **Wellhead Protection Area:** The tank location is not within a wellhead protection area. (0 points)
- **Distance to Surface Water Body:** An unnamed wash 260 feet north of the location drains to a livestock pond 780 feet northwest of the location. Discharge is ultimately to the San Juan River via Palluche Canyon and Largo Canyon. (10 points)

1.3 BGT Closure Assessment

AES was initially contacted by Bobby Heinen, CoP representative, on December 15, 2014, and on December 16, 2014, Corwin Lameman and Dylan Davis of AES mobilized to the location. AES personnel collected six soil samples from below the BGT liner. Four samples were collected from the perimeter of the BGT footprint, one sample was collected from the center of the BGT footprint, and one sample was composited from the four perimeter samples and one center sample.

2.0 Soil Sampling

On December 16, 2014, AES personnel conducted field sampling and collected five soil samples (S-1 through S-5) and one 5-point composite (SC-1) from below the BGT. Soil samples were collected from approximately 0.5 feet below the former BGT for field screening of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH). Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for VOCs and chloride and was also submitted for confirmation laboratory analysis. Soil sample locations are included on Figure 2.

2.1 Field Sampling

2.1.1 Volatile Organic Compounds

A portion of each sample was utilized for field screening of VOC vapors with a photo-ionization detector (PID) organic vapor meter (OVM). Before beginning field screening, the PID-OVM was first calibrated with 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene gas.

2.1.2 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Soil samples were also analyzed in the field for TPH per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 418.1 using a Buck Scientific Model HC-404 Total Hydrocarbon Analyzer Infrared Spectrometer (Buck). A 3-point calibration was completed prior to conducting soil analyses. Field analytical protocol followed AES's *Standard Operating Procedure: Field Analysis Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons per EPA Method 418.1*.

2.1.3 Chlorides

Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for chlorides using Chloride Drop Count Titration with silver nitrate. Sampling and analysis methods followed procedures provided by Hach Company.

2.2 Laboratory Analyses

The composite soil sample SC-1 collected for laboratory analysis was placed into a new, clean, laboratory-supplied container, which was then labeled, placed on ice, and logged onto a sample chain of custody record. The sample was maintained on ice until delivery to the analytical laboratory, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall), in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Soil sample SC-1 was laboratory analyzed for:

- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) per USEPA Method 8021B;
- TPH for gasoline range organics (GRO) and diesel range organics (DRO) per USEPA Method 8015D; and
- Chloride per USEPA Method 300.0.

2.3 Field and Laboratory Analytical Results

Field screening readings for VOCs via OVM ranged from 0.0 ppm in S-1 up to 0.3 ppm in SC-1. Field TPH concentrations ranged from less than 20.0 mg/kg in S-4 up to 25.6 mg/kg in S-5. The field chloride concentration in SC-1 was 80 mg/kg. Field sampling results are summarized in Table 1 and presented on Figure 2. The AES Field Sampling Report is attached.

Table 1. Soil Field Sampling VOCs, TPH, and Chloride Results
 Canyon Largo #114 BGT Closure, December 2014

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth below BGT (ft)	VOCs	Field TPH (mg/kg)	Field Chlorides (mg/kg)
			OVM Reading (ppm)		
NMOCD Action Level (NMAC 19.15.17.13E)			--	100	250
S-1	12/16/14	0.5	0.0	21.7	NA
S-2	12/16/14	0.5	0.2	24.3	NA

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth below BGT (ft)	VOCs	Field TPH (mg/kg)	Field Chlorides (mg/kg)
			OVM Reading (ppm)		
S-3	12/16/14	0.5	0.2	23.0	NA
S-4	12/16/14	0.5	0.2	<20.0	NA
S-5	12/16/14	0.5	0.1	25.6	NA
SC-1	12/16/14	0.5	0.3	NA	80

NA - not analyzed

*Action level determined by the NMOCD ranking score per *NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993)

Laboratory analytical results reported benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 as less than 0.040 mg/kg and 0.201 mg/kg, respectively. TPH concentrations as GRO and DRO were reported at less than 4.0 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, respectively. The laboratory chloride concentration was reported at 320 mg/kg. Laboratory analytical results are summarized in Table 2 and included on Figure 2. The laboratory analytical report is attached.

Table 2. Soil Laboratory Analytical Results
 Canyon Largo #114 BGT Closure, December 2014

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth (ft)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH-GRO (mg/kg)	TPH-DRO (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOCD Action Level (NMAC 19.15.17.13E)			0.2	50	100	100	250
SC-1	12/16/14	0.5	<0.040	<0.201	<4.0	<10	320

*Action level determined by the NMOCD ranking score per *NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993)

3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

NMOCD action levels for BGT closures are specified in New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.17.13E. Field TPH concentrations were below the NMOCD action level of 100 mg/kg, with the highest concentration reported in S-5 with 25.6 mg/kg. Laboratory analytical results for TPH as GRO/DRO in SC-1 were reported below laboratory detection limits. Benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 were below the NMOCD action levels of 0.040 mg/kg and 0.201 mg/kg, respectively. In contrast, chloride concentrations in SC-1 exceeded the NMOCD action level of 250 mg/kg with 320 mg/kg.

As per Cory Smith of the NMOCD, no further work is recommended for the Canyon Largo #114.

If you have any questions about this report or site conditions, please do not hesitate to contact Emilee Skyles at (505) 564-2281.

Sincerely,



David J. Reese
Environmental Scientist




Elizabeth McNally, P.E.

Attachments:


- Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map
- Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, December 2014
- AES Field Sampling Report 121614
- Hall Analytical Report 1412820

C:\Users\eskyles\Dropbox (Animas Environmental)\0000 Animas Server Dropbox EM (1)\2015
Projects\ConocoPhillips\Canyon Largo #114\Canyon Largo #114 BGT Closure Report 021015.docx



SMOUSE MESA QUADRANGLE
 NEW MEXICO - RIO ARRIBA COUNTY
 PROVISIONAL EDITION 1985
 CROW MESA EAST QUADRANGLE
 NEW MEXICO - RIO ARRIBA COUNTY
 PROVISIONAL EDITION 1985

CANYON LARGO #114



DRAWN BY: S. Glasses	DATE DRAWN: December 16, 2014
REVISIONS BY: C. Lameman	DATE REVISED: December 16, 2014
CHECKED BY: E. Skyles	DATE CHECKED: December 16, 2014
APPROVED BY: E. McNally	DATE APPROVED: December 16, 2014

FIGURE 1
TOPOGRAPHIC SITE LOCATION MAP
 ConocoPhillips
 CANYON LARGO #114
 NE¼ NE¼, SECTION 33, T25N, R7W
 RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
 N36.36168, W107.57368


LEGEND	
	SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Field Sampling Results				
Sample ID	Date	OVM-PID (ppm)	TPH (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOC ACTION LEVEL		--	100	250
S-1	12/16/14	0.0	21.7	NA
S-2	12/16/14	0.2	24.3	NA
S-3	12/16/14	0.2	23.0	NA
S-4	12/16/14	0.2	<20.0	NA
S-5	12/16/14	0.1	25.6	NA
SC-1	12/16/14	0.3	NA	80

Laboratory Analytical Results						
Sample ID	Date	Benzene (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH - GRO (mg/kg)	TPH - DRO (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOC ACTION LEVEL		0.2	50	100		250
SC-1	12/16/14	<0.040	<0.201	<4.0	<10	320

SAMPLE WAS ANALYZED PER USEPA METHOD 8021B, 8015D AND 300.0.

SC-1 IS A 5-POINT COMPOSITE SAMPLE OF S-1 THROUGH S-5. NA - NOT ANALYZED



AERIAL SOURCE: © 2014 GOOGLE EARTH PRO, AERIAL DATE: MAY 2, 2013



DRAWN BY: S. Glasses	DATE DRAWN: December 16, 2014
REVISIONS BY: C. Lameman	DATE REVISED: December 16, 2014
CHECKED BY: E. Skyles	DATE CHECKED: December 16, 2014
APPROVED BY: E. McNally	DATE APPROVED: December 16, 2014

FIGURE 2
AERIAL SITE MAP
BELOW GRADE TANK CLOSURE
DECEMBER 2014
 ConocoPhillips
 CANYON LARGO #114
 NE¼ NE¼, SECTION 33, T25N, R7W
 RIO ARriba COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
 N36.36168, W107.57368

AES Field Sampling Report

Animas Environmental Services, LLC



Client: ConocoPhillips

Project Location: Canyon Largo #114

Date: 12/16/2014

Matrix: Soil

Sample ID	Collection Date	Collection Time	Sample Location	OVM (ppm)	Field Chloride (mg/kg)	Field TPH* (mg/kg)	Field TPH Analysis Time	TPH PQL (mg/kg)	DF	TPH Analysts Initials
S-1	12/16/2014	10:50	North	0.0	NA	21.7	11:25	20.0	1	CL
S-2	12/16/2014	10:51	South	0.2	NA	24.3	11:28	20.0	1	CL
S-3	12/16/2014	10:52	East	0.2	NA	23.0	11:31	20.0	1	CL
S-4	12/16/2014	10:53	West	0.2	NA	16.7	11:34	20.0	1	CL
S-5	12/16/2014	10:54	Center	0.1	NA	25.6	11:36	20.0	1	CL
SC-1	12/16/2014	10:55	Composite	0.3	80	Not Analyzed for TPH				

Field Chloride - Quantab Chloride Titrators or Drop Count
 Titration with Silver Nitrate
 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - USEPA 418.1

DF Dilution Factor
 NA Not Analyzed
 PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

*Field TPH concentrations recorded may be below PQL.

Analyst: 



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

December 22, 2014

Emilee Skyles
Animas Environmental
604 Pinon Street
Farmington, NM 87401
TEL: (505) 564-2281
FAX

RE: CoP Canyon Largo Unit 114

OrderNo.: 1412820

Dear Emilee Skyles:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 12/17/2014 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andy Freeman", is written in a cursive style.

Andy Freeman
Laboratory Manager
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Animas Environmental

Client Sample ID: SC-1

Project: CoP Canyon Largo Unit 114

Collection Date: 12/16/2014 10:55:00 AM

Lab ID: 1412820-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 12/17/2014 7:20:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS							Analyst: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10		mg/Kg	1	12/17/2014 10:08:17 AM	16875
Surr: DNOP	70.1	63.5-128		%REC	1	12/17/2014 10:08:17 AM	16875
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE							Analyst: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.0		mg/Kg	1	12/17/2014 9:06:38 PM	16857
Surr: BFB	92.9	80-120		%REC	1	12/17/2014 9:06:38 PM	16857
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES							Analyst: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.040		mg/Kg	1	12/17/2014 9:06:38 PM	16857
Toluene	ND	0.040		mg/Kg	1	12/17/2014 9:06:38 PM	16857
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.040		mg/Kg	1	12/17/2014 9:06:38 PM	16857
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.081		mg/Kg	1	12/17/2014 9:06:38 PM	16857
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	96.0	80-120		%REC	1	12/17/2014 9:06:38 PM	16857
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS							Analyst: lgp
Chloride	320	30		mg/Kg	20	12/18/2014 4:39:46 PM	16904

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
	O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH greater than 2.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1412820

22-Dec-14

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Canyon Largo Unit 114

Sample ID	MB-16904	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	16904	RunNo:	23255					
Prep Date:	12/18/2014	Analysis Date:	12/18/2014	SeqNo:	687161	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID	LCS-16904	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	16904	RunNo:	23255					
Prep Date:	12/18/2014	Analysis Date:	12/18/2014	SeqNo:	687162	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	93.0	90	110			

Qualifiers:

- | | |
|---|--|
| * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
| E Value above quantitation range | H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded |
| J Analyte detected below quantitation limits | ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit |
| O RSD is greater than RSDlimit | P Sample pH greater than 2. |
| R RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RI Reporting Detection Limit |
| S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits | |

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1412820

22-Dec-14

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Canyon Largo Unit 114

Sample ID	LCS-16875	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	16875	RunNo:	23192					
Prep Date:	12/17/2014	Analysis Date:	12/17/2014	SeqNo:	684996	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	46	10	50.00	0	92.7	68.6	130			
Surr: DNOP	3.5		5.000		70.3	63.5	128			

Sample ID	MB-16875	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	16875	RunNo:	23187					
Prep Date:	12/17/2014	Analysis Date:	12/17/2014	SeqNo:	685004	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	7.0		10.00		69.8	63.5	128			

Sample ID	MB-16898	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	16898	RunNo:	23210					
Prep Date:	12/18/2014	Analysis Date:	12/18/2014	SeqNo:	685651	Units:	%REC			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP	7.5		10.00		75.5	63.5	128			

Sample ID	LCS-16898	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	16898	RunNo:	23210					
Prep Date:	12/18/2014	Analysis Date:	12/18/2014	SeqNo:	685652	Units:	%REC			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP	4.5		5.000		89.4	63.5	128			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1412820

22-Dec-14

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Canyon Largo Unit 114

Sample ID	MB-16857	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	16857	RunNo:	23201					
Prep Date:	12/16/2014	Analysis Date:	12/17/2014	SeqNo:	685233	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	910		1000		90.7	80	120			

Sample ID	LCS-16857	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	16857	RunNo:	23201					
Prep Date:	12/16/2014	Analysis Date:	12/17/2014	SeqNo:	685234	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	20	5.0	25.00	0	79.0	65.8	139			
Surr: BFB	980		1000		98.2	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- | | |
|---|--|
| * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
| E Value above quantitation range | H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded |
| J Analyte detected below quantitation limits | ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit |
| O RSD is greater than RSDlimit | P Sample pH greater than 2. |
| R RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL Reporting Detection Limit |
| S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits | |

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1412820

22-Dec-14

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Canyon Largo Unit 114

Sample ID	MB-16857	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	16857	RunNo:	23201					
Prep Date:	12/16/2014	Analysis Date:	12/17/2014	SeqNo:	685309	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.96		1.000		95.8	80	120			

Sample ID	LCS-16857	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	16857	RunNo:	23201					
Prep Date:	12/16/2014	Analysis Date:	12/17/2014	SeqNo:	685310	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.89	0.050	1.000	0	89.2	80	120			
Toluene	0.88	0.050	1.000	0	87.6	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	0.91	0.050	1.000	0	91.2	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	2.7	0.10	3.000	0	89.9	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		100	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
 4901 Hawkins NE
 Albuquerque, NM 87109
 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: Animas Environmental

Work Order Number: 1412820

RcptNo: 1

Received by/date: LM 12/17/14

Logged By: Anne Thorne 12/17/2014 7:20:00 AM *Anne Thorne*

Completed By: Anne Thorne 12/17/2014 *Anne Thorne*

Reviewed By:

Chain of Custody

- 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Yes No Not Present
- 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present
- 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier

Log In

- 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA
- 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA
- 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No
- 7. Sufficient sample volume for Indicated test(s)? Yes No
- 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No
- 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA
- 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials
- 11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No
- 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No
- 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No
- 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No
- 15. Were all holding times able to be met?
(If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes No

of preserved bottles checked for pH: _____
 (<2 or >12 unless noted)

Adjusted? _____

Checked by: _____

Special Handling (if applicable)

- 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA

Person Notified:		Date	
By Whom:		Via:	<input type="checkbox"/> eMail <input type="checkbox"/> Phone <input type="checkbox"/> Fax <input type="checkbox"/> In Person
Regarding:			
Client Instructions:			

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	3.5	Good	Yes			

