District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

# State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method  US-25590 Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method  FEB 18 2015
Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method  US-25590 © Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method  FEB 18 2015
☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration ☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank;
or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the invironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
1.
Operator: BP America Production CompanyOGRID #:778
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name:Price Com 5E
API Number:3004525590OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/QtrLSection11Township28NRange8WCounty:San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.67229 Longitude107.65549 NAD: ☐1927 ☒ 1983
Surface Owner: X Federal X State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
2.
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary:  Drilling  Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: Lx Wx D
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D 3.
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D  3.    Below-grade tank: Subsection 1 of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank C
3.
3.   Below-grade tank: Subsection 1 of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Tank C
3.    Below-grade tank: Subsection 1 of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Tank C
3.    Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Tank C     Volume: 21.0
3.    Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank C   Volume: 21.0
Secondary containment with leak detection   Visible sidewalls and liner   Visible sidewalls and liner   Visible sidewalls only   Other _Single walled/double bottomed

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)	hospital,
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	•
Alternate. Please specify	
6.  Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
8.	
<u>Variances and Exceptions:</u> Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.	
Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9.	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.  - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. ( <b>Does not apply to below grade tanks</b> )  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - FEMA map	Yes No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	Yes No
application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	
lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
10. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N	MAC
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.	
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	NMAC
Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
ni.  Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc	cuments are
attached.  ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	.15.17.9 NMAC
Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached.  ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan ☐ Emergency Response Plan ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan ☐ Erosion Control Plan ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions Places complete the applicable boxes Poyes 14 through 18 in recentle to the proposed elegance plan.	
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.  Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Falternative  Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)  □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable south provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. In 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.	
US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site  Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	Yes No

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area.</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Yes No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including glosure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 3/19/  Title: OCD Permit Number:	2015
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	
☐ Closure Completion Date:12/19/2012_	
20.  Closure Method:  Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-lo ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	op systems only)
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please incommark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)	licate, by a check

Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirer	
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Yff Peace	Date:February 17, 2015
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

# BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

# Price Com 5E BGT Tank C (21 bbl) API No. 3004525590 Unit Letter L, Section 11, T28N, R8W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

## **General Closure Plan**

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
  - Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
  - Notice is attached.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
  - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
  - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	21 bbl BGT, Tank C	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover

BP will seed the area as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

    Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

# State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011

ubmit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notificati	on and Corrective Act	ion
	<b>OPERATOR</b>	☐ Initial Report
Name of Company: BP	Contact: Jeff Peace	
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	Telephone No.: 505-326-9479	
Facility Name: Price Com 5E	Facility Type: Natural gas wel	
Surface Owner: Federal Mineral Owner	r: Federal	API No. 3004525590
LOCATION	ON OF RELEASE	
	rth/South Line   Feet from the   E	ast/West Line   County: San Juan Vest
<b>Latitude</b> 36.67229	Longitude107.65549	· 
NATUR	E OF RELEASE	
Type of Release: none	Volume of Release: N/A	Volume Recovered: N/A
Source of Release: below grade tank – 21 bbl, Tank C	Date and Hour of Occurrence:	Date and Hour of Discovery:
Was Immediate Notice Given?	lf YES, To Whom?	
By Whom?	Date and Hour .	
Was a Watercourse Reached?  ☐ Yes ☒ No	If YES, Volume Impacting the	Watercourse.
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in TPH, BTEX and chloride below states the BGT.		
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was remove backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area.	ed and the area underneath the BGT v	as sampled. The area under the BGT was
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete t regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain releas public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remed or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	e notifications and perform corrective the NMOCD marked as "Final Repo- liate contamination that pose a threat t does not relieve the operator of resp	actions for releases which may endanger rt" does not relieve the operator of liability to ground water, surface water, human health consibility for compliance with any other
Signature: Off Poses	OIL CONSE	RVATION DIVISION
Printed Name: Jeff Peace	Approved by Environmental Spec	alist:
Title: Field Environmental Coordinator	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Conditions of Approval:	Attached
Date: February 17, 2015 Phone: 505-326-9479		

<sup>\*</sup> Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC. P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413	API #: 3004525590  TANK ID (if applicble): A, B, C
FIELD REPORT:	(505) 632-1199 (circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	(if applicble): <b>A, B, C</b> PAGE #: <b>1</b> of <b>1</b>
SITE INFORMATION QUAD/UNIT: L SEC: 11 TWP:	SITE NAME: PRICE COM # 5E  28N RNG: 8W PM: NM CNTY: SJ ST: NM	DATE STARTED: 12/04/12
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,340'S / 1,23		DATE FINISHED:  ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):  JCB
REFERENCE POINT  1) 95 BOT (SW/SB) - A	: WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COORD.: 36.67247 X 107.65	520 GL ELEV.: 5,932'
2) <b>21 BGT (SW/SB) - B</b> 3) <b>21 BGT (SW/SB) - C</b>	GPS COORD.: 36.67233 X 107.65498 DISTANCES	######################################
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED: HALL	BEARING FROM W.H.: OVM READING
1) SAMPLE ID: -95 BCT (A) 5 pt. (c) 2) SAMPLE ID: -21 BCT (B) 5-pt. (c)		1.1, 8015, 8021, 300.0 (GI) 0.0 (Ppm) 1.1, 8015, 8021, 300.0 (GI) 1.9
3) SAMPLE ID: 21 BGT (C) 5-pt. (c) 4) SAMPLE ID:	D 6'         SAMPLE DATE:         12/07/12         SAMPLE TIME:         1241         LAB ANALYSIS:         418           SAMPLE DATE:         SAMPLE TIME:         LAB ANALYSIS:	.1, 8015, 8021, 300.0 (CI) 1.0
SOIL DESCRIPTION:  SOIL COLOR: DARK YEL  COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SOILS): LO  MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST MOIST / WE  SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE #  DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED:	LOWISH ORANGE  COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE  OSE / FIRM / DENSE / VERY DENSE  T/ SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED  OF PTS5  PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC  DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): SOF  HC ODOR DETECTED: YES NO EXF	/COHESIVE/MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC FT / FIRM / STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: ALL BGTS IN SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:	BSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED: YES NO EXPLANATION:  NOODEN CELLARS: 95 BGT (A) - 15'X15 X 6' DEEP; 21 BGT (B) - 8' X 8' X 5'  NA ft. X NA ft. X NA ft. EXCAVATION ES	DEEP; 21 BGT (C) - 8' X 8' X 6' DEEP.  STIMATION (Cubic Yards): NA  DOD TPH CLOSURE STD: 100 ppm
SITE SKETCH  W.H.  21 (C) PBGTL T.B. ~ 6' B.G.  WOODEN R.W.	OVM CALIB. 12-7-12 @ TIME 1235: 51.0/100	MCALIB. READ. = 52.0 ppm  MCALIB. GAS = 100 ppm  ME: 1:15 an(pm) DATE: 12/04/12  MISCELL. NOTES  WO: N1516352  PO #: 79416  PK: ZEVH01BGT2  PJ #: Z2-00690-C  Permit date(s): 06/14/10  OCD Appr. date(s): 06/19/12  ank OVM = Organic Vapor Meter ppm = parts per million  A BGT Sidowalle Vicible V/ N
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELO	N DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW, T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD,	BGT Sidewalls Visible: N  Magnetic declination: 10° E

### **Analytical Report**

Lab Order 1212436

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 12/19/2012

**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 21 BGT (C) 5-pt @ 6'

Project: Price COM 5E

Collection Date: 12/7/2012 12:41:00 PM

Lab ID: 1212436-002 Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 12/11/2012 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANG	GE ORGANICS				Analyst: <b>MMD</b>
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	12/12/2012 6:26:41 AM
Surr: DNOP	89.9	72.4-120	%REC	1	12/12/2012 6:26:41 AM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE R	ANGE				Analyst: <b>NSB</b>
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	12/13/2012 3:57:22 PM
Surr: BFB	90.7	84-116	%REC	1	12/13/2012 3:57:22 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: <b>NSB</b>
Benzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	12/13/2012 3:57:22 PM
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	12/13/2012 3:57:22 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	12/13/2012 3:57:22 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	12/13/2012 3:57:22 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98.7	80-120	%REC	1	12/13/2012 3:57:22 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: <b>JRR</b>
Chloride	ND	7.5	mg/Kg	5	12/14/2012 10:17:29 AM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: <b>LRW</b>
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	12/13/2012

### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits 2 of 8

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1212436

19-Dec-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Price COM 5E

Sample ID 1212385-002AMS

SampType: MS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: BatchQC

Batch ID: 5277

**PQL** 

RunNo: 7529

Prep Date: 12/14/2012 Analysis Date: 12/14/2012

SeqNo: 218489

67.6

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result

Result

Result

Result

14

130

SPK value SPK Ref Val 123.2

SPK value SPK Ref Val

15.00

%REC LowLimit HighLimit

117

**RPDLimit** 

Chloride

Client ID:

130

7.5 15.00

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Qual

Sample ID 1212385-002AMSD

**BatchQC** Batch ID: 5277 RunNo: 7529

66.0

64.4

LowLimit

64.4

117

%RPD

Analyte Chloride

12/14/2012

Analysis Date: 12/14/2012

SampType: MSD

SeqNo: 218490 %REC

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** 

Qual 20

Sample ID MB-5277

Sample ID LCS-5277

Prep Date: 12/14/2012

Prep Date: 12/14/2012

LCSS

Prep Date: 12/14/2012

SampType: MBLK

**PQL** 

7.5

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: Prep Date:

**PBS** 

Batch ID: 5277 Analysis Date: 12/14/2012 RunNo: 7529

Units: mg/Kg

0.179

Analyte

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

0

123.2

HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Chloride

1.5

SampType: LCS

Batch ID: 5277

Analysis Date: 12/14/2012

1.5

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

SeqNo: 218495

RunNo: 7529

SeqNo: 218496

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Chloride

Client ID:

POL SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC

LowLimit HighLimit

95.0

90

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Sample ID 1212436-001AMS

SampType: MS

Batch ID: 5277

Analysis Date: 12/14/2012

**PQL** 

7.5

15.00

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

RunNo: 7529

Units: mg/Kg

SeqNo: 218498 %REC

HighLimit

Qual

Analyte Chloride

21 BGT (B) 5-pt @ 6'

Batch ID: 5277

PQL

7.5

15.00 2 430

SPK value SPK Ref Val

79.0

LowLimit 64.4

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

Sample ID 1212436-001AMSD

Client ID: 21 BGT (B) 5-pt @ 6'

14 SampType: MSD

Result

Result

14

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions RunNo: 7529

Units: mg/Kg

117

Qual

Analyte Chloride

Client ID:

Prep Date:

12/14/2012

Analysis Date: 12/14/2012

15.00

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

2.430

79.2

SeqNo: 218499

LowLimit 64.4 HighLimit 117 %RPD 0.210 **RPDLimit** 

20

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Sample pH greater than 2

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND RPD outside accepted recovery limits Page 4 of 8

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1212436

19-Dec-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Price COM 5E

Sample ID MB-5244

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1; TPH

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

LowLimit

80

80

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 5244

RunNo: 7478

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Prep Date: 12/12/2012 Analysis Date: 12/13/2012

SeqNo: 216786

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result PQL ND 20

Sample ID LCS-5244

LCSS

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 5244

RunNo: 7478

Prep Date: 12/12/2012

Analysis Date: 12/13/2012

SeqNo: 216787

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result 97

PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val

100.0

100.0

%REC

97.4

96.1

HighLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-5244

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

120

Client ID:

20

20

RunNo: 7478

Client ID: Prep Date: 12/12/2012

LCSS02

Batch ID: 5244 Analysis Date: 12/13/2012

96

SeqNo: 216788

Units: mg/Kg

120

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

0

LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD 1.32

%RPD

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

20

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range Е

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Sample pH greater than 2

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 5 of 8

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

46

4.1

10

50.00

5.000

WO#: 1212436 19-Dec-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

Surr: DNOP

Price COM 5E

Sample ID MB-5212	SampType: <b>MBLK</b>	8015B: Diesel Range (	Organics		
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 5212	RunNo: 7421			
Prep Date: 12/11/2012	Analysis Date: 12/11/2012	SeqNo: 215171	Units: mg/Kg		
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	e SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10				
Surr: DNOP	9.9 10.00	99.2 72.4	120		
Sample ID LCS-5212	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	8015B: Diesel Range (	Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 5212	RunNo: <b>7421</b>			
Prep Date: 12/11/2012	Analysis Date: 12/11/2012	SeqNo: 215172	Units: mg/Kg		
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	e SPK Ref Val %REC Low limit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual

47.4

72.4

81.1

122

120

### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 6 of 8

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1212436 19-Dec-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Price COM 5E

Sample ID MB-5216	Sampl	Гуре: <b>М</b> Е	BLK .	Tes	tCode: <b>EF</b>	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	line Rang	е	
Client ID: PBS	Batcl	h ID: <b>52</b>	16	F	RunNo: <b>7</b> 4	<b>1</b> 77				
Prep Date: 12/11/2012	Analysis D	Date: 12	2/13/2012	S	SeqNo: 21	17182	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB	ND 930	5.0	1000	·	93.5	84	116			
Sample ID LCS-5216	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: <b>EF</b>	A Method	8015B: Gaso	line Rang	e	<u> </u>
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n ID: <b>52</b>	16	F	RunNo: <b>7</b> 4	177				
Prep Date: 12/11/2012	Analysis D	)ate: 12	2/13/2012	S	SeqNo: 21	17183	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	Result 22	PQL 5.0	SPK value 25.00	SPK Ref Val	%REC 87.5	LowLimit 74	HighLimit 117	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
								%RPD	RPDLimit ·	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	22 970		25.00 1000	0	87.5 97.1	74 84	117			Qual

Sample 10 1212436-002AMS	Sampi	ype: Mis	•	res	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	oline Rang	е		
Client ID: 21 BGT (C) 5-pt (											
Prep Date: 12/11/2012	Analysis D	2/13/2012	S	SeqNo: 2	17190	Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	21	4.9	24.63	0	86.2	70	130				
Surr: BFB	930		985.2		94.2	84	116				

Sample ID 1212436-002AMS	SampT	уре: <b>М</b> S	SD	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range									
Client ID: 21 BGT (C) 5-pt @ 6' Batch ID: 5216 RunNo: 7477													
Prep Date: <b>12/11/2012</b>	Analysis D	ate: 12	2/13/2012	S	SeqNo: 2	17191	Units: mg/k	(g					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	21	4.9	24.61	0	84.4	70	130	2.16	22.1				
Surr: BFB	920		984.3		93.3	84	116	0	0				

### Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH greater than 2

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 7 of 8

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1212436

19-Dec-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

**Project:** 

Price COM 5E

Sample ID MB-5216	Sampl	Гуре: <b>МЕ</b>	BLK	Tes	PA Method	d 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: PBS	Batcl	h ID: <b>52</b>	16	F										
Prep Date: 12/11/2012	Analysis E	Date: 12	2/13/2012	S	SeqNo: 2	17333	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Benzene	ND	0.050												
Toluene	ND	0.050												
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050												
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10												
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		103	80	120							
0 1 15 1 00 5010	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method													
Sample ID LCS-5216	Sampi	ype: LC	S	res	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles						
Client ID: LCSS	•	ype: <b>LC</b> n ID: <b>52</b> ′			RunNo: <b>7</b>		8021B: Vola	tiles						
	•	n ID: <b>52</b> ′	16	F		477	Units: mg/k							
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	n ID: <b>52</b> ′	16 2/13/2012	F	RunNo: 7	477			RPDLimit	Qual				
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 12/11/2012	Batcl Analysis D	n ID: <b>52</b> <sup>2</sup> Date: <b>12</b>	16 2/13/2012	F	RunNo: 7	477 17334	Units: mg/k	(g	RPDLimit	Qual				
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 12/11/2012 Analyte	Batcl Analysis D Result	n ID: <b>52</b> / Date: <b>12</b> PQL	16 2/13/2012 SPK value	F S SPK Ref Val	RunNo: 7 SeqNo: 2 %REC	477 17334 LowLimit	Units: <b>mg/K</b> HighLimit	(g	RPDLimit	Qual				
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 12/11/2012 Analyte Benzene	Batcl Analysis E Result 0.99	PQL 0.050	16 2/13/2012 SPK value 1.000	SPK Ref Val	RunNo: <b>7</b> . BeqNo: <b>2</b> %REC 98.9	477 17334 LowLimit 76.3	Units: <b>mg/K</b> HighLimit 117	(g	RPDLimit	Qual				
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 12/11/2012 Analyte Benzene Toluene	Batcl Analysis D Result 0.99 1.0	PQL 0.050 0.050	16 2/13/2012 SPK value 1.000 1.000	SPK Ref Val 0 0	RunNo: 7- SeqNo: 2 %REC 98.9 99.6	477 17334 LowLimit 76.3 80	Units: mg/K HighLimit 117 120	(g	RPDLimit	Qual				
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 12/11/2012 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	Batcl Analysis E Result 0.99 1.0	PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050	16 2/13/2012 SPK value 1.000 1.000	SPK Ref Val  0 0 0	RunNo: <b>7</b> 8 SeqNo: <b>2</b> <u>%REC</u> 98.9 99.6 101	477 17334 LowLimit 76.3 80 77	Units: mg/K HighLimit 117 120 116	(g	RPDLimit	Qual				

Sample ID 1212436-001AMS	Samply	pe: MS	5	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles									
Client ID: 21 BGT (B) 5-pt @	6' Batch	D: <b>52</b>	16	F	RunNo: 7								
Prep Date: 12/11/2012	Analysis Da	te: 12	2/13/2012	8	SeqNo: 2	17355	Units: mg/K	(g					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Benzene	0.94	0.047	0.9461	0	99.6	67.2	113						
Toluene	0.95	0.047	0.9461	0	100	62.1	116						
Ethylbenzene	0.97	0.047	0.9461	0	102	67.9	127						
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.095	2.838	0	101	60.6	134						
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.97		0.9461		102	80	120						

			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles									
- <b>pt @ 6'</b> Batch	h ID: <b>52</b>	16	F	RunNo: <b>7477</b>								
Analysis D	Date: 12	2/13/2012	9	SeqNo: 2	17359	Units: mg/K	(g					
Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
0.94	0.047	0.9470	0	99.0	67.2	113	0.456	14.3				
0.94	0.047	0.9470	0	99.7	62.1	116	0.294	15.9				
0.96	0.047	0.9470	0	102	67.9	127	0.405	14.4				
2.9	0.095	2.841	0	101	60.6	134	0.255	12.6				
0.95		0.9470		100	80	120	0	0				
	Analysis D Result 0.94 0.94 0.96 2.9	Analysis Date: 12  Result PQL  0.94 0.047  0.94 0.047  0.96 0.047  2.9 0.095	Analysis Date: 12/13/2012  Result PQL SPK value  0.94 0.047 0.9470  0.94 0.047 0.9470  0.96 0.047 0.9470  2.9 0.095 2.841	Analysis Date: 12/13/2012         Result       PQL       SPK value       SPK Ref Val         0.94       0.047       0.9470       0         0.94       0.047       0.9470       0         0.96       0.047       0.9470       0         2.9       0.095       2.841       0	Analysis Date: 12/13/2012         SeqNo: 2           Result         PQL         SPK value         SPK Ref Val         %REC           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.0           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.7           0.96         0.047         0.9470         0         102           2.9         0.095         2.841         0         101	Analysis Date: 12/13/2012         SeqNo: 217359           Result         PQL         SPK value         SPK Ref Val         %REC         LowLimit           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.0         67.2           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.7         62.1           0.96         0.047         0.9470         0         102         67.9           2.9         0.095         2.841         0         101         60.6	Result         PQL         SPK value         SPK Ref Val         %REC         LowLimit         HighLimit           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.0         67.2         113           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.7         62.1         116           0.96         0.047         0.9470         0         102         67.9         127           2.9         0.095         2.841         0         101         60.6         134	Analysis Date: 12/13/2012         SeqNo: 217359         Units: mg/Kg           Result         PQL         SPK value         SPK Ref Val         %REC         LowLimit         HighLimit         %RPD           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.0         67.2         113         0.456           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.7         62.1         116         0.294           0.96         0.047         0.9470         0         102         67.9         127         0.405           2.9         0.095         2.841         0         101         60.6         134         0.255	Analysis Date: 12/13/2012         SeqNo: 217359         Units: mg/Kg           Result         PQL         SPK value         SPK Ref Val         %REC         LowLimit         HighLimit         %RPD         RPDLimit           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.0         67.2         113         0.456         14.3           0.94         0.047         0.9470         0         99.7         62.1         116         0.294         15.9           0.96         0.047         0.9470         0         102         67.9         127         0.405         14.4           2.9         0.095         2.841         0         101         60.6         134         0.255         12.6			

### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits



Hall Environmental Analysis Laborator) 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-410; Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

# Sample Log-In Check List

Received by/date:	Client Name:	BLAGG		£	,	Work Or	der N	umt	ber: 1	121243	6				
### Coolers for Custody    Were seals Intact?	Received by/date:	LM		12/4/	17										
### Coolers for Custody    Were seals Intact?	Logged By:	Michelle Ga	ercia	12/11/201	12 10:00:00	AM			mi	hell Gan	سف				
### Coolers for Custody    Were seals Intact?	Completed By:	Michelle Ga	arcia	12/11/201	12 11:32:43	AM			mi	hell Gan	رين				
1. Were seals intact? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 10. Was preservative added to bottles? 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? 12. Were any sample containers received broken? 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable) 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified:  By Whom:  Regarding: Client Instructions:  19. Cooler No Temp *C   Condition   Seal Intact   Seal No   Seal Date   Signed By	Reviewed By:	IO		12/11/2	012										
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered?    Courter	Chain of Custo	<u>odv</u>		/ /											
3. How was the sample delivered?    Courier	1. Were seals in	ntact?				Yes		No		Not I	Present 🖢				
4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information)  4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information)  5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?  6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C  7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?  8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?  9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?  10. Was preservative added to bottles?  11. VOA vials have zero headspace?  12. Were any sample containers received broken?  13. Does paperwork match bottle labels?  (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified:  By Whom:  Regarding:  Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler No. Temp °C. Condition   Seal Intact   Seal No. Seal Date   Signed By	2. Is Chain of C	ustody comp	lete?			Yes	✓	Νo		Not I	Present [				
4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information)  5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?  6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0* C to 6.0* C  7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?  8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?  9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved?  10. Was preservative added to bottles?  11. VOA vials have zero headspace?  12. Were any sample containers received broken?  13. Does paperwork match bottle labels?  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  16. Were all holding times able to be met?  (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  5. Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler No Temp *C Condition   Seal Intact   Seal No   Seal Date   Signed By	3. How was the	sample deliv	ered?			Cour	<u>ier</u>								
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?  7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?  8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?  9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?  10. Was preservative added to bottles?  11. VOA vials have zero headspace?  12. Were any sample containers received broken?  13. Does paperwork match bottle labels?  (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  16. Were all holding times able to be met?  (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified:  By Whom:  Regarding:  Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler No Temp *C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	<u>Log In</u>														
6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	4. Coolers are p	resent? (see	19. for cooler	specific inforr	nation)	Yes	<b>V</b>	Nο			NA [				
7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?  8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?  9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?  10. Was preservative added to bottles?  11. VOA vials have zero headspace?  12. Were any sample containers received broken?  13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp ℃ Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	5. Was an atten	npt made to o	cool the sample	es?		Yes	V	No			na [				
8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 10. Was preservative added to bottles? 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? 12. Were any sample containers received broken? 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  18. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  19. Was client notified or additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	6. Were all sam	ples received	i at a temperat	ure of >0° C	to 6.0°C	Yes	<b>V</b>	No			na [				
8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 10. Was preservative added to bottles? 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? 12. Were any sample containers received broken? 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  18. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  19. Was client notified.  10. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  10. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  11. VOA vials have zero headspace?  12. Vere any sample containers received broken?  13. No VOA Vials V  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  16. Were all holding times able to be met?  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  18. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  19. Cooler Information  10. Cooler Information  11. VOA vials have zero headspace?  12. No V  13. No VOA Vials V  14. or preserved bottles.  15. No V  16. No VOA Vials V  17. Ves V No V  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information  10. Cooler Information  11. Voa vials have zero headspace?  12. No V  13. No VOA Vials V  14. or V  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  15. Ves V No V  16. Ves V No V  17. Ves V No V  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information  19. Cooler Information  19. Cooler Information  19. Cooler Information  10. Ves V No V  10. No VOA Vials V  11. Voa V No V  12. Ves V No V  12. Ves V No V  13. No VOA Vials V  14. or Voa V No V  14. or Voa V No V  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  19. Cooler Information  10. Ves V No V  11. Ves V No V  12. Ves V No V  13. No V No V  14. Are preserved bottles.  15. No V Oa Voa V No V  16. Ves V No V Oa V Oa V No V  17. Ves V No V Oa V Oa V No V Oa V Oa V Oa V Oa V Oa V Oa	7 Sample(s) in	proper conta	iner(s)?			Yes	$\checkmark$	Νo							
10. Was preservative added to bottles?  Yes No No No VOA Vials 1. VOA vials have zero headspace?  Yes No No VOA Vials 1. NO VO	••		, -	st(s)?		Yes	$\checkmark$	No							٠
11. VOA vials have zero headspace?  12. Were any sample containers received broken?  13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified:  By Whom:  Regarding: Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By					ed?	Yes	<b>✓</b>	Νo							
12. Were any sample containers received broken?  13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	10. Was preserva	ative added to	bottles?			Yes		No	V		NA [				
12. Were any sample containers received broken?  13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	4.4. MOA . Jala b		0				$\Box$	ki.	ш	No VO	A 16-1- F	<b>2</b>			
13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp ℃ Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By				okon?			=			NO VO	A Viais				
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)  14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ✓ No ☐  15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ✓ No ☐  16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ✓  Person Notified: ☐  By Whom: ☐  Regarding: ☐  Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information ☐  Cooler No ☐ Temp ℃ Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date ☐ Signed By ☐ Cooler By ☐ Coole				OKEIIT											
15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?  16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)    Checked by:   Checked by:				•		100	_					ecked			
16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified:  By Whom:  Via:   eMail   Phone   Fax   In Person    Regarding: Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No   Temp °C   Condition   Seal Intact   Seal No   Seal Date   Signed By	14. Are matrices	correctly ider	ntified on Chair	of Custody?		Yes	✓	Νo					or >12	unless n	oted)
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)  Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified:  By Whom:  Regarding:  Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information  Cooler No Temp ℃ Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	15. Is it clear wha	it analyses w	ere requested	?							Adj	usted?	_	.,	_
Special Handling (if applicable)  17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?  Person Notified:  By Whom:  Regarding:  Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information  Cooler No Temp ℃ Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By		-				Yes	$\checkmark$	No			Cha	برجا امجاد			
Person Notified:  By Whom:  Regarding:  Client Instructions:  19. Cooler Information  Cooler No Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	• • •		·								Une	cked by.			_ ]
By Whom:  Regarding: Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By				ith this order?	•	Yes		No			NA E	<b>~</b>			
Regarding: Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	Person I	Notified:			Date:						•				
Client Instructions:  18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information  Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	By Who	m: É			Via:	eMai	I [	] Pł	none	☐ Fax	c 🗌 In F	Person	.		
18. Additional remarks:  19. Cooler Information  Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	Regardir	ng:		,											
19. Cooler Information  Cooler No   Temp °C   Condition   Seal Intact   Seal No   Seal Date   Signed By	Client In	structions:													
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	18. Additional ren	narks:													
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By															
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	10 Cooler Inform	nation													
			Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Da	te		Signe	ed By					
	1	1.4	Good	res				<u> </u>							

Chain-of-Custody Record			Turn-Around Time:						L	8 <b>A</b>			A II A		> ^~	me v	ME	: m: T	ΓAL		
Client:	BLAG	6 ENG	NUEERING INC.	∑KStandard	□ Rush		]													OR	
	RP	AMIER		Project Name	<b>:</b>		www.hallenvironmental.com														
Mailing	Address	P.O.	Box 87	PRICE COM SE					4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109												
E	>L00M	FIELD.	NM 87413	Project #:					el. 50	7											
Phone #			632-1199	]				A GIT			17.20	Α	naly	/sis	Req	uest					
email o	-			Project Mana	ger:			-(Şi	sel)					04)							
QA/QC Package:  Standard				J.	BLAGE I., BLAG		FMB's (8021)	+ MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	as/Die		•			PO <sub>4</sub> ,S(	PCB's						
Accreditation  NELAP □ Other			Sampler:	T. BLAG	6		TPH	5B (G	3.1)	<u>=</u>	£		,NO	8082						Ê	
				Onvices	uy yes ¢erature 1/13	Li No.		+ Ш	801	418	205	2	sis	် ပို	es /		Ø	3/2	.		jo
□ EDD	(Type)_			Samplement	gerature en p		-WIBE-	ITB	po	poq		o d	/lete	ر ان ان	icid	8	- <u>-</u> -	<u>2</u>			ျှ
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	EALMS IN	BTEX +I	BTEX + N	TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	8310 (PNA or PAH)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>2</sub> ,PO <sub>4</sub> ,SO <sub>4</sub> )	8081 Pesticides /	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHLORIDE			Air Bubbles (Y or N)
12/2/12	1307	501∟	21 BGT (B)	1182	COUL		×		<u> </u>	J		$\ddot{\Box}$			$\stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow}$		$\Box$	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		+	+
12/2/12	1241	SOIL	21 BGT (C) 5-P= CG 95 BGT (A)	11	ţ(	-002	X		×	×								X	$\neg$		十
11		11	95 BUT (A)		Λ	-203													_		+
	1332		5-p+e7				X		X	쉬						$\dashv$	$\dashv$	7	$\dashv$	+	_
																	}				-
***************************************																			$\bot$		
•																					
<u> </u>																					$\top$
					,			_			$\neg$								$\neg \dagger$	+	+
				<del> </del>			+	<u></u>										$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+
						, :- <u>v.=</u>					$\dashv$	$\dashv$							$\dashv$	+	$+\!\!-$
							_													$\perp$	4
Date: 2/10/2017	Time: 1257	Relinquish	ed by: 1 Bu	Received by:  Date Time  12/10/2017 1257				Remarks: GRO & DRO ON GOISB  7 BILL BP: WORKORDER: N 1516352													
Date:	Time:	Refinquish	ed by:	Received by	LIVOLIA	Date Time	†		<b>1</b> /1	•		レベベ	レビ	/=4°C, /	, '\ , '\	115	16	55	_		
2/11/12	1.47						,	·	+Λa'	T:						VH	01	. B6	- -T 2	ン	
If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be sub-				contracted to other a	credited laboratorie	IZ IOOO										ted on	the ar	nalytic	al repor		





BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

July 3, 2012

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 1235 La Plata Hwy Farmington, NM 87401

### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank

Well Name: PRICE COM 005E

Dear Mark Kelly,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about July 10, 2012. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper

9 DUaker

Surface Coordinator/Business Security Representative

**BP** America Production Company

### **BP America Production Company**

200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

### SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: BRANDON.POWELL@STATE.NM.US

July 18, 2012

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

PRICE COM 005E API 30-045-25590 (M) Section 11 – T28N – R08W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Brandon Powell:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close two 21 bbl. BGT's that will no longer be operational at this well site.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Buddy Shaw BP Environmental Advisor

(505) 320-0401



