District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

13055

OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3

AUG 04 2015

45-22870

Type of action:
- ☐ Below grade tank registration
- ☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
- ☒ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
- ☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
- ☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: Burlington Resources OGRID #: 14538
Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499
Facility or well name: HUBBARD 2A
API Number: 30-045-22870 OCD Permit Number: ___
U/L or Qtr/Qtr O Section 11 Township 32N Range 12W County: San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.99609°N Longitude -108.06177°W NAD: ☐ 1927 ☒ 1983
Surface Owner: ☐ Federal ☐ State ☒ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.
☐ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.1
Temporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavita
Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness ___mil ☒ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other ___
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other ___ Volume: ___bbl Dimensions: L___ x W___ x D___

DENIED
No C-141 as required by 19.15.17.13.E(4) NMAC (2008) Please Review, Revise and Resubmit.
BY: Jonathan Kelly
DATE: 10/8/2015 (505) 334-6178 Ext. 122

3.
☒ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water
Tank Construction material: Metal
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☒ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other ___
Liner type: Thickness 45 mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☒ Other LLDPE

4.
☐ **Alternative Method:**
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC *(Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)*
☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top *(Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)*
☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
☐ Alternate. Please specify ___

35 BF

6.

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC *(Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)*

☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other_____

☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. **Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.**

General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit . NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. **(Does not apply to below grade tanks)** - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
- ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H_2S, Prevention Plan
- ☐ Emergency Response Plan
- ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
- ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
- ☐ Erosion Control Plan
- ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit ☐ Alternative

Proposed Closure Method:
- ☒ Waste Excavation and Removal
- ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
- ☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
  - ☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
- ☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☒ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
- ☒ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC
Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No

16.
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
- ☐ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.
Operator Application Certification:

I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.
OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (incl ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)

OCD Representative Signature: _____ **Approval Date:** _____

Title: _____ **OCD Permit Number:** _____

DENIED

19.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

☒ **Closure Completion Date:** 6/23/2015 OK per 10/5/2015 Sundry

20.
Closure Method:

☒ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)

☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: ***Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.***

- ☒ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
- ☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
- ☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
- ☒ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
- ☒ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
- ☒ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
- ☒ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude _____ °N _____ Longitude _____ °W _____ NAD: ☐ 1927 ☐ 1983

22.

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Arleen White Title: Staff Regulatory Technician

Signature: Arleen White Date: 8/4/15

e-mail address: Arleen.R.White@conocophillips.com Telephone: (505) 326-9517

White, Arleen R

From: White, Arleen R
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2015 8:32 AM
To: Cory Smith; Brandon Powell
Cc: Munkres, Travis W; Walker, Crystal; GRP:SJBU Regulatory
Subject: Hubbard 2A - 3004522870 - BGT Closure 72 Hour Notice

We have received the approved Closure Plan from Santa Fe for the subject BGT and it is on OCD online.

Subject: BGT Closure 72 Hour Notice

Anticipated Start Date: June 23, 2015 @ 8:30am

The subject well has a below-grade tank that will begin the closure process between 72 hours and one week from this notification. Please contact me at any time if you have any questions or concerns.

Well Name: Hubbard 2A

API#: 30-045-22870

Location: UL O (SWSE), Section 11, T32N, R12W

Footages: 9910' FSL & 1840' FEL

Operator: BR **Surface Owner: FEE'**

The surface owner on this well has been notified.

20150617084330....

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

ConocoPhillips

Arleen White
Staff Regulatory Technician
San Juan Business Unit
Ph: (505)326-9517
Cell: (505) 215-3985
arleen.r.white@conocophillips.com
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

ConocoPhillips

Juanita Farrell
Senior Associate
Real Estate & Facility Services
Property Tax, Real Estate, Right-of-Way & Claims (PTRRC)

ConocoPhillips Company
3401 E. 30th Street
PO Box 4289
Farmington, NM 87499-1429
(505) 326-9597
(505) 324-6136

CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
9214 7969 0099 9790 1000 9372 42

June 17, 2015

Danny & Donna Goetting Trust
9020 W. 82nd St.
Overland Park, KS 66204-3520

Subject: Below Grade Tank Closure
Hubbard 2A
UL O Section 11, T32N, R12W
San Juan County, New Mexico
API# 30-045-22870

Dear Landowner:

Pursuant to New Mexico Administrative Code § 19.15.17.13(E) (1) operator shall provide the surface owner 72-hours' notice of the operator's proposal to close a below-grade tank. In compliance with this requirement, please consider this letter as notification that ConocoPhillips intends to close a below-grade tank on the Hubbard 2A well pad on or near the date of June 23, 2015.

The subject well has a below-grade tank that will begin the closure process between 72 hours and one week from this notification.

If you have any questions, please contact the PTRRC department at (505) 324-6111.

Sincerely,

Juanita Farrell

Burlington Resources Oil Gas Company, LP
San Juan Basin
Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: Hubbard 2A
API No.: 30-045-22870

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

2. **The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.**

3. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

   All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

4. BR Will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

   The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

5. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

   All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

6. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. COPC shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

7. **A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.**

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.1	250

8. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

   A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

9. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

   The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

10. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
    i. Operator's name
    ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

    Notification is attached.

11. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

    The closure process notification to the landowner was sent via email. (See Attached) (Well located on Federal Land, certified mail is not required for Federal Land per BLM/OCD MOU.)

12. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

    The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

13. BR Shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative

approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

14. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

15. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
    - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation **(See Report)**
    - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques **(See Report)**
    - Photo documentation of the site reclamation **(Included as an attachment)**
    - Confirmation Sampling Results **(Included as an attachment)**
    - Proof of closure notice **(Included as an attachment)**

July 23, 2015

Crystal Walker
ConocoPhillips
San Juan Business Unit
(505) 326-9837

Via electronic mail to: SJBUE-Team@ConocoPhillips.com

RE: Below Grade Tank Closure Report
Hubbard 2A
San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Walker:

Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) is pleased to provide the final report associated with the below grade tank (BGT) closure at ConocoPhillips (COPC) Hubbard 2A, located in San Juan County, New Mexico. Tank removal was completed by COPC contractors while AES was on site.

1.0 Site Information

1.1 Location

Site Name – Hubbard 2A
Legal Description – SW¼ SE¼, Section 11, T32N, R12W, San Juan County, New Mexico
Well Latitude/Longitude – N36.99608 and W108.06208, respectively
BGT Latitude/Longitude – N36.99609 and W108.06176, respectively
Land Jurisdiction – Private
Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map
Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, June 2015

1.2 NMOCD Ranking

In accordance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) *Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993), the location was given a ranking score of 20 based on the following factors:

- **Depth to Groundwater:** Based on elevation, topographic interpretation and visual reconnaissance, depth to groundwater is interpreted to be 50 to 100 feet below ground surface (bgs). (10 points)
- **Wellhead Protection Area:** The tank location is not within a wellhead protection area. (0 points)
- **Distance to Surface Water Body:** Unnamed washes which discharge to McDermott Arroyo and ultimately to the La Plata River are located approximately 225 feet south and 435 feet north of the location. (10 points)

1.3 BGT Closure Assessment

AES was initially contacted by Crystal Walker of COPC on June 16, 2015, and on June 23, 2015, Corwin Lameman of AES mobilized to the location. AES personnel collected one five-point soil sample composited from four perimeter samples and one center sample of the BGT footprint from below the BGT liner.

2.0 Soil Sampling

On June 23, 2015, AES personnel conducted field sampling and collected one 5-point composite (SC-1) from below the BGT. Soil was collected from approximately 0.5 feet below the former BGT. Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH), and chloride, and was submitted for confirmation laboratory analysis. Soil sample locations are included on Figure 2.

2.1 Field Sampling

2.1.1 Volatile Organic Compounds

A portion of SC-1 was utilized for field screening of VOC vapors with a photo-ionization detector (PID) organic vapor meter (OVM). Before beginning field screening, the PID-OVM was first calibrated with 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene gas.

2.1.2 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Soil sample SC-1 was also analyzed in the field for TPH per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 418.1 using a Buck Scientific Model HC-404 Total Hydrocarbon Analyzer Infrared Spectrometer (Buck). A 3-point calibration was completed prior to conducting soil analyses. Field analytical protocol followed AES's *Standard Operating Procedure: Field Analysis Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons per EPA Method 418.1*.

2.1.3 Chlorides

Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for chlorides using Chloride Drop Count Titration with silver nitrate. Sampling and analysis methods followed procedures provided by Hach Company.

2.2 Laboratory Analyses

The composite soil sample SC-1 collected for laboratory analysis was placed into a new, clean, laboratory-supplied container, which was then labeled, placed on ice, and logged onto a sample chain of custody record. The sample was maintained on ice until delivery to the analytical laboratory, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall), in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Soil sample SC-1 was laboratory analyzed for:

- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) per USEPA Method 8021B;
- TPH per USEPA Method 418.1; and
- Chloride per USEPA Method 300.0.

2.3 Field and Laboratory Analytical Results

Field screening readings for VOCs via OVM were measured at 0.0 ppm in SC-1. Field TPH concentrations were reported at 24.6 mg/kg. The field chloride concentration was 240 mg/kg. Field sampling results are summarized in Table 1 and presented on Figure 2. The AES Field Sampling Report is attached.

Table 1. Soil Sampling VOCs, TPH, and Chloride Results
Hubbard 2A BGT Closure, June 2015

Sample ID	*Date Sampled*	*Depth below BGT (ft)*	*VOCs OVM Reading (ppm)*	*Field TPH (mg/kg)*	*Field Chlorides (mg/kg)*
NMOCD Action Level (NMAC 19.15.17.13E)			*--*	***100***	***250***
SC-1	6/23/15	0.5	0.0	24.6	240

Laboratory analytical results reported benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 as less than 0.048 mg/kg and 0.241 mg/kg, respectively. TPH concentrations were reported at less than 20 mg/kg. The laboratory chloride concentration was reported at 1,200 mg/kg. Laboratory analytical results are summarized in Table 2 and included on Figure 2. The laboratory analytical report is attached.

Table 2. Soil Laboratory Analytical Results
Hubbard 2A BGT Closure, June 2015

Sample ID	*Date Sampled*	*Depth (ft)*	*Benzene (mg/kg)*	*Total BTEX (mg/kg)*	*TPH (mg/kg)*	*Chlorides (mg/kg)*
	NMOCD Action Level (NMAC 19.15.17.13E)		*0.2*	*50*	*100*	*250*
SC-1	6/23/15	0.5	<0.048	<0.241	<20	**1,200**

3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

NMOCD action levels for BGT closures are specified in New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.17.13E. Field TPH concentrations in SC-1 were below the NMOCD action level of 100 mg/kg, with a concentration reported at 24.6 mg/kg. Benzene and total BTEX concentrations were below the NMOCD action levels of 0.2 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg, respectively. In contrast, chloride concentrations in SC-1 exceeded the NMOCD action level of 250 mg/kg with 1,200 mg/kg. As per Cory Smith of the NMOCD, due to no risk to groundwater or surface impact, no further work is recommended for the Hubbard 2A.

If you have any questions about this report or site conditions, please do not hesitate to contact Emilee Skyles at (505) 564-2281.

Sincerely,

David J. Reese
Environmental Scientist

Elizabeth McNally, P.E.

Attachments:

Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map
Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, June 2015
AES Field Sampling Report 062315
Hall Analytical Report 1506B16

SVRMAIN2\Shared\Animas 2000\Dropbox (Animas Environmental)\0000 Animas Server Dropbox EM\2015 Projects\ConocoPhillips\Hubbard 2A\Hubbard 2A BGT Closure Report 072315.docx



DRAWN BY: S. Glasses	**DATE DRAWN:** June 25, 2015	**FIGURE 1**
REVISIONS BY: C. Lameman	**DATE REVISED:** June 25, 2015	**TOPOGRAPHIC SITE LOCATION MAP**
CHECKED BY: E. Skyles	**DATE CHECKED:** June 25, 2015	ConocoPhillips HUBBARD 2A SW¼ SE¼, SECTION 11, T32N, R12W SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO N36.99608, W108.06208
APPROVED BY: E. McNally	**DATE APPROVED:** June 25, 2015	

AES
Animas Environmental Services, LLC



Field Sampling Results

Sample ID	Date	Depth (ft)	OVM-PID (ppm)	TPH (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOCD ACTION LEVEL			--	100	250
SC-1	6/23/15	0.5	0.0	24.6	240

SC-1 IS A 5-POINT COMPOSITE SAMPLE.

Laboratory Analytical Results

Sample ID	Date	Depth (ft)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOCD ACTION LEVEL			0.2	50	100	250
SC-1	6/23/15	0.5	<0.048	<0.241	<20	1,200

SAMPLE WAS ANALYZED PER USEPA METHOD 8021B, 418.1 AND 300.0.

Animas Environmental Services, LLC

DRAWN BY: S. Glasses	DATE DRAWN: June 25, 2015
REVISIONS BY: C. Lameman	DATE REVISED: June 25, 2015
CHECKED BY: E. Skyles	DATE CHECKED: June 25, 2015
APPROVED BY: E. McNally	DATE APPROVED: June 25, 2015

FIGURE 2

AERIAL SITE MAP
BELOW GRADE TANK CLOSURE
JUNE 2015
ConocoPhillips
HUBBARD 2A
SW¼ SE¼, SECTION 11, T32N, R12W
SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
N36.99608, W108.06208

AES Field Sampling Report

Animas Environmental Services, LLC

Client: ConocoPhillips

Project Location: Hubbard 2A

Date: 6/23/2015

Matrix: Soil

Sample ID	Collection Date	Collection Time	Sample Location	OVM (ppm)	Field Chloride (mg/kg)	Field TPH* (mg/kg)	Field TPH Analysis Time	TPH PQL (mg/kg)	DF	TPH Analysts Initials
SC-1	6/23/2015	9:50	Composite	0.0	240	24.6	10:07	20.0	1	CL

DF Dilution Factor
NA Not Analyzed
PQL Practical Quantitation Limit
**Field TPH concentrations recorded may be below PQL.*

Field Chloride - Quantab Chloride Titrators or Drop Count Titration with Silver Nitrate
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - USEPA 418.1

Analyst:

Report Finalized: 6/23/15

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

June 30, 2015

Emilee Skyles
Animas Environmental
604 Pinon Street
Farmington, NM 87401
TEL: (505) 564-2281
FAX

RE: CoP Hubbard 2A OrderNo.: 1506B16

Dear Emilee Skyles:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 6/24/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman
Laboratory Manager
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report
Lab Order **1506B16**
Date Reported: **6/30/2015**

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Hubbard 2A
Lab ID: 1506B16-001
Matrix: SOIL
Client Sample ID: SC-1
Collection Date: 6/23/2015 9:50:00 AM
Received Date: 6/24/2015 7:20:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analyst: **TOM**	
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015	19942
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst: **LGT**	
Chloride	1200	30		mg/Kg	20	6/29/2015 5:52:42 PM	19993
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst: **NSB**	
Benzene	ND	0.048		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911
Toluene	ND	0.048		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.097		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	88.6	80-120		%REC	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH Not In Range
R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1506B16**

30-Jun-15

Client: Animas Environmental

Project: CoP Hubbard 2A

Sample ID	**MB-19993**	SampType:	**MBLK**	TestCode:	**EPA Method 300.0: Anions**					
Client ID:	**PBS**	Batch ID:	**19993**	RunNo:	**27184**					
Prep Date:	**6/29/2015**	Analysis Date:	**6/29/2015**	SeqNo:	**813716**	Units:	**mg/Kg**			

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID	**LCS-19993**	SampType:	**LCS**	TestCode:	**EPA Method 300.0: Anions**					
Client ID:	**LCSS**	Batch ID:	**19993**	RunNo:	**27184**					
Prep Date:	**6/29/2015**	Analysis Date:	**6/29/2015**	SeqNo:	**813717**	Units:	**mg/Kg**			

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	94.7	90	110			

Qualifiers:

*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH Not In Range
R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1506B16**

30-Jun-15

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Hubbard 2A

Sample ID **MB-19942**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 418.1: TPH**							
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **19942**	RunNo: **27121**							
Prep Date: **6/25/2015**	Analysis Date: **6/26/2015**	SeqNo: **811084**	Units: **mg/Kg**						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20								

Sample ID **LCS-19942**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 418.1: TPH**							
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **19942**	RunNo: **27121**							
Prep Date: **6/25/2015**	Analysis Date: **6/26/2015**	SeqNo: **811085**	Units: **mg/Kg**						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	92	20	100.0	0	91.9	86.7	126			

Sample ID **LCSD-19942**	SampType: **LCSD**	TestCode: **EPA Method 418.1: TPH**							
Client ID: **LCSS02**	Batch ID: **19942**	RunNo: **27121**							
Prep Date: **6/25/2015**	Analysis Date: **6/26/2015**	SeqNo: **811086**	Units: **mg/Kg**						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	92	20	100.0	0	91.9	86.7	126	0	20	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1506B16**

30-Jun-15

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Hubbard 2A

Sample ID **MB-19911**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **19911**	RunNo: **27129**
Prep Date: **6/24/2015**	Analysis Date: **6/26/2015**	SeqNo: **811428** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.88		1.000		87.5	80	120			

Sample ID **LCS-19911**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **19911**	RunNo: **27129**
Prep Date: **6/24/2015**	Analysis Date: **6/26/2015**	SeqNo: **811429** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	76.6	128			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	75	124			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	107	79.5	126			
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	106	78.8	124			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.90		1.000		90.3	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: Animas Environmental | Work Order Number: 1506B16 | RcptNo: 1

Received by/date: AT 06/24/15

Logged By: Anne Thorne 6/24/2015 7:20:00 AM Anne Thorne

Completed By: Anne Thorne 6/24/2015 Anne Thorne

Reviewed By: 06/25/15

Chain of Custody

1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes ☐	No ☐	Not Present ☑
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes ☑	No ☐	Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier		

Log In

4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes ☑	No ☐	NA ☐
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes ☑	No ☐	NA ☐
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes ☐	No ☑	NA ☐
10. VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes ☐	No ☐	No VOA Vials ☑
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes ☐	No ☑	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes ☑	No ☐	
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes ☑	No ☐	

# of preserved bottles checked for pH: _____ (<2 or >12 unless noted)

Adjusted? _____

Checked by: _____

Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☐ No ☑ NA ☐

Person Notified: _____ Date _____

By Whom: _____ Via: ☐ eMail ☐ Phone ☐ Fax ☐ In Person

Regarding: _____

Client Instructions: _____

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	1.0	Good	Yes			

Chain-of-Custody Record

Client: Animas Environmental Services

Mailing Address: 604 W. Pinon St. Farmington NM 87401

Phone #: 505-564-2281

Email or Fax#: eskyles@animasenvironmental.com

QA/QC Package: ☒ Standard ☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)

Accreditation: ☐ NELAP ☐ Other

☐ EDD (Type)

Turn-Around Time: ☒ Standard ☐ Rush

Project Name: CoP Hubbard 2A

Project #:

Project Manager: E. Skyles

Sampler: C. Lameman

On Ice: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Sample Temperature: 1.0

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109

Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107

Analysis Request

Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No. 1506816	BTEX + ~~MTBE~~ + ~~TMB's~~ (8021)	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / MRO)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or 8270 SIMS)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,Cl,NO_3,NO_2,PO_4,SO_4)	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chlorides 300.0				Air Bubbles (Y or N)
23-15	0950	Soil	SC-1	1-4oz jar	Cool	-001	X			X								X				

Date:	Time:	Relinquished by:	Received by:	Date	Time
23/15	1740			6/23/15	1740
23/15	1840			06/24/15	0720

Remarks: Bill to ConocoPhillips
Area: 1
Run: 103
PO: KGARCIA
USERID: MUNKRTW
Ordered by: Travis Munkres
NO: 10377047

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

June 30, 2015

Emilee Skyles

Animas Environmental
604 Pinon Street
Farmington, NM 87401
TEL: (505) 564-2281
FAX

RE: CoP Hubbard 2A OrderNo.: 1506B16

Dear Emilee Skyles:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 6/24/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman
Laboratory Manager
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Analytical Report
Lab Order **1506B16**
Date Reported: **6/30/2015**

CLIENT: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Hubbard 2A
Lab ID: 1506B16-001
Matrix: SOIL
Client Sample ID: SC-1
Collection Date: 6/23/2015 9:50:00 AM
Received Date: 6/24/2015 7:20:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH							Analyst: **TOM**
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015	19942
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS							Analyst: **LGT**
Chloride	1200	30		mg/Kg	20	6/29/2015 5:52:42 PM	19993
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES							Analyst: **NSB**
Benzene	ND	0.048		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911
Toluene	ND	0.048		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.097		mg/Kg	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	88.6	80-120		%REC	1	6/26/2015 3:05:30 PM	19911

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH Not In Range
R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1506B16**

30-Jun-15

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Hubbard 2A

Sample ID	MB-19993	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	19993	RunNo:	27184					
Prep Date:	6/29/2015	Analysis Date:	6/29/2015	SeqNo:	813716	Units:	mg/Kg			

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID	LCS-19993	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	19993	RunNo:	27184					
Prep Date:	6/29/2015	Analysis Date:	6/29/2015	SeqNo:	813717	Units:	mg/Kg			

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	94.7	90	110			

Qualifiers:

*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH Not In Range
R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1506B16**

30-Jun-15

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: CoP Hubbard 2A

Sample ID	MB-19942	SampType:	MBLK		TestCode:	EPA Method 418.1: TPH				
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	19942		RunNo:	27121				
Prep Date:	6/25/2015	Analysis Date:	6/26/2015		SeqNo:	811084	Units:	mg/Kg		

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20								

Sample ID	LCS-19942	SampType:	LCS		TestCode:	EPA Method 418.1: TPH				
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	19942		RunNo:	27121				
Prep Date:	6/25/2015	Analysis Date:	6/26/2015		SeqNo:	811085	Units:	mg/Kg		

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	92	20	100.0	0	91.9	86.7	126			

Sample ID	LCSD-19942	SampType:	LCSD		TestCode:	EPA Method 418.1: TPH				
Client ID:	LCSS02	Batch ID:	19942		RunNo:	27121				
Prep Date:	6/25/2015	Analysis Date:	6/26/2015		SeqNo:	811086	Units:	mg/Kg		

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	92	20	100.0	0	91.9	86.7	126	0	20	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

30

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1506B16**

30-Jun-15

Client: Animas Environmental

Project: CoP Hubbard 2A

Sample ID **MB-19911**	SampType: **MBLK**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**
Client ID: **PBS**	Batch ID: **19911**	RunNo: **27129**
Prep Date: **6/24/2015**	Analysis Date: **6/26/2015**	SeqNo: **811428** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.88		1.000		87.5	80	120			

Sample ID **LCS-19911**	SampType: **LCS**	TestCode: **EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles**
Client ID: **LCSS**	Batch ID: **19911**	RunNo: **27129**
Prep Date: **6/24/2015**	Analysis Date: **6/26/2015**	SeqNo: **811429** Units: **mg/Kg**

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	76.6	128			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	75	124			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	107	79.5	126			
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	106	78.8	124			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.90		1.000		90.3	80	120			

Qualifiers:

*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH Not In Range
R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: Animas Environmental Work Order Number: 1506B16 RcptNo: 1

Received by/date: AT 06/24/15

Logged By: Anne Thorne 6/24/2015 7:20:00 AM Anne Thorne

Completed By: Anne Thorne 6/24/2015 Anne Thorne

Reviewed By: 06/25/15

Chain of Custody

1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes ☐	No ☐	Not Present ☑
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes ☑	No ☐	Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier		

Log In

4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes ☑	No ☐	NA ☐
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes ☑	No ☐	NA ☐
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes ☐	No ☑	NA ☐
10. VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes ☐	No ☐	No VOA Vials ☑
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes ☐	No ☑	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes ☑	No ☐	
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes ☑	No ☐	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes ☑	No ☐	

# of preserved bottles checked for pH: _____ (<2 or >12 unless noted)

Adjusted? _____

Checked by: _____

Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☐ No ☑ NA ☐

Person Notified: _____ Date _____

By Whom: _____ Via: ☐ eMail ☐ Phone ☐ Fax ☐ In Person

Regarding: _____

Client Instructions: _____

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	1.0	Good	Yes			

Chain-of-Custody Record

Client: Animas Environmental Services

Mailing Address: 604 W. Pinon St. Farmington NM 87401

Phone #: 505-564-2281

Email or Fax#: eskyles@animasenvironmental.com

QA/QC Package: ☒ Standard ☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)

Accreditation: ☒ NELAP ☐ Other ____

☐ EDD (Type) ____

Turn-Around Time: ☒ Standard ☐ Rush ____

Project Name: CoP Hubbard 2A

Project #:

Project Manager: E. Skyles

Sampler: C. Lameman

On Ice: ☒ Yes ☐ No

Sample Temperature: 1.0°

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109

Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107

Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No. 1506816	BTEX + ~~MTBE + TMB's~~ (8021)	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / MRO)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or 8270 SIMS)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions ($F, Cl, NO_3, NO_2, PO_4, SO_4$)	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chlorides 300.0				Air Bubbles (Y or N)
23-15	0950	Soil	SC-1	1-4oz jar	Cool	-001	X			X								X				

Analysis Request

Date:	Time:	Relinquished by:	Received by:	Date	Time
23/15	1740			4/23/15	1740
23/15	1840			04/24/15	0720

Remarks: Bill to ConocoPhillips
Area: 1
Run: 103
PO: KGARCIA
USERID: MUNKRTW
Ordered by: Travis Munkres
NO: 10377047

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.


BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS CO.
ConocoPhillips
HUBBARD #2A
910' FSL 840' FEL
SEC. 11 T32N R12W
LEASE NO. NMSF-078312 ELEV. 6218
SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO