Form 3160-5 (August 2007)

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 1004-0137 Expires July 31, 2010

# SUNDRY NOTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS

Do not use this form for proposals to drill or to re-enter an abandoned well. Use Form 3160-3 (APD) for such proposals.

fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations as to any matter within its jurisdiction

		MSF-078641 14-20-60 4-62
SEP	1 9	2006 Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name

	·····	<u> </u>		UTE MIN UT	
SUBMIT IN TRIPLICAT	TE - Other instruction	ons on page 2	Durango, Co	7 ff Unit or CANA N/A	A/Agreement, Name and/or No
1. Type of Well Oil Well X Gas Well Other	8. Well Name a				
2. Name of Operator					
XTO Energy Inc.  3a. Address		3b. Phone No. (incl	ude greg code	9. API Well No	
382 CR 3100 AZTEC, NM 87410	)	'	05-333-3100	30-045-332	Pool, or Exploratory Area
4. Location of Well (Footage, Sec., T., R., M., or Survey I	Description)	L	03 333 3100		E ISMAY/DESERT
1580' FNL & 925' FEL SEC 27H-T32N-	R14W			I	DOX/UPR BARKER CRK
	;			11. County or	Parish, State
				SAN JUAN	NM
12. CHECK APPROPRIATE	E BOX(ES) TO INI	DICATE NATURE	OF NOTICE; REPO	ORT, OR OTHE	R DATA
TYPE OF SUBMISSION			TYPE OF ACTION		
X Notice of Intent  Subsequent Report	Acidize  Alter Casing  Casing Repair	Deepen Fracture Trea	Reclamat		Water Shut-Off Well Integrity  Other CHANGE DHC
	Change Plans	Plug and Abai		rily Abandon	
Final Abandonment Notice				•	ALLOCATIONS
	Convert to Injects	on Plug Back	Water Di	sposai	
determined that the final site is ready for final inspection.  XTO Energy, Inc. requests approvation for the Barker Dome Ismay, Barker Creek Paradox pools in this well. allocations are based on the result follows:	nl to revise the Dome Desert Cr Oil allocatio	eek, Barker Do n percentages	me Akah/Upper B remain unchange	arker Creek d. The prop	and the Barker posed new
Barker (BK) Dome Ismay	Gas 0 %	Oil 10%	Water 0%		
Barker Dome Desert Creek	Gas 2.01%	Oil 0%	Water 0%	1	
BK Dome Akah/Upr BK Crk	Gas 3.09%	Oil 90%	Water 0%		
Barker Creek Paradox	Gas 94.10%	Oil 0%	Water 100%		
				RC	VD
	DHO	3783			L CONS. DIV DIST. 3
14. I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct Name (Printed Typed)					
LORRI D. BINCHAM		Title RE	SULATORY COMPL	IANCE TECH	
	an		8/08		•
JHIS	S SPACE FOR FEE		E OFFICE USE		
Approved by	12	Title	AMSC	Da	ne 10/17/042
Conditions of approval, if any, are attached. Approval of this not the applicant holds legal or equitable title to those rights in the subentitle the applicant to conduct operations thereon.		ify that Office	,	m	1. 1
Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, and Title 43 U.S.C. Section 1212.	makes it a crime for any ne	rson knowingly and willfi	illy to make to any departit	ent or agency of the	United States any false.



### **Purpose of Survey**

To quantify the production rate from each perforated interval in the well and identify water entries

#### **Discussion / Conclusions**

The log data set acquired for this well is of good quality.

Perforated sets that do not seem to produce any fluid or produce very little have been combined into single inflow zones for the purpose of the analysis.

The results of the log analysis indicate that the perforated interval 8704'-8712' is the main contributor of gas in this well with a calculated rate of 3725 Mscf/d. This interval is estimated to produce also some water (about 69 bwpd) as suggested by the fluid density and capacitance log response in the region from 8600' to 8700'. The majority of this produced water together with 534 Mscf/d of gas is lost to the interval 8547'-8553' which is acting as a thief zone as revealed but the flowmeter readings in all logging passes.

The perforation sets in the region from 8067' to 8167' are calculated to contribute with only 90 Mscf/d. Most of this gas contribution rises from the interval 8067'-8075'.

The interval 8295' to 8300' contributes with 99 Mscf/d.

Perforation sets in the region from 7890' to 7895', 7928' to 8037', 8318'-8352', 8378'-8526' and 8563'-8576' do not seem to be contributing to production as revealed by the production logging sensors.

No oil or condensate was detected downhole.

Field personnel reported that the well head pressure was 1500 psi at the time of logging operation.

Refer to page 3 for a graphical representation of the flow profile, and 6 for a tabular display of the calculated <u>results by analyzed zones in this well</u>.

Refer to page 10 to view a report of the <u>contributions in percentage of total fluid by phase, and by both Geologic zones and OCD Pools.</u>

ALL INTERPRETATIONS ARE OPINIONS BASED ON INFERENCES FROM ELECTRICAL OR OTHER MEASUREMENTS AND WE CANNOT AND DO NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OR CORRECTNESS OF ANY INTERPRETATION, AND WE SHALL NOT, EXCEPT IN THE CASE OF GROSS OR WILLFULL NEGLIGENCE ON OUR PART, BE LIABLE OR RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY LOSS, COSTS, DAMAGES, OR EXPENSES INCURRED OR SUSTAINED BY ANYONE RESULTING FROM ANY INTERPRETATION ANDE BY ANY OF OUR OFFICERS, AGENTS OR EMPLOYEES. THESE INTERPRETATIONS ARE ALSO SUBJECT TO OUR GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET OUT IN OUR CURRENT PRICE SCHEDULT.



## **Production Profile**

Gr - Ccl	In4b	Sketch	Flowmeter	Ref (	Channels	T		Rates					Rates	<del>-</del>
CCL S1,U2	Depth (ft)	(in)	CWFM (ros)		P \$1,12	1	Rates	(QZI)	(B/D)				(QZT) (B/	D)
-10000 2000		-7. 7.	-6. 12	215	°F 222	-1000				6000	-1000			9000
GR \$1,U2					R S1,I2	1								
0 GAPI 220		1		1920	psia 2000									
31.11 2.20	ĺ	1			S \$1,I2	1								
				0 (	g/cc 1.2	1						i		
	ļ	Ì `	·		TH \$1,12	1								
				20000	cps 33000.	1				•				
\$			1112	11		Si	rface	Prod	uctio	п		wnhole	Produ	ction
3 +	7900			111				3224					1, 5135	
<b>3</b>			}\$  ₹\$	} } }										
<u> </u>			}			1								
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													
3	50 00					<u> </u>								
When the second the second property and the second	8000			111		!								
<b>3</b>					_ [									
\$ +	<b>A</b>		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	3 11		,								
<b>\$</b>				}   {						_ '				
1	8100		111183				90 1	/Iscf/d					2	.08%
<b>₹</b>   <u> </u> <u> </u>					1   [									
2			13 18 1	3   1	1									
<u>_</u>			1 1 1 5						- 1					
	8200				1 1	+								
£   +			<b>381183</b>		1	i						1		
5				1	<del>- \        -</del>									1
	'				\ \ \		00.1	Viscf/c	1				2	.28%
<b>2</b>	8300=		18183	1	<del>│</del> ┃		99 1	VISCIA	4					
3	. 2			3	<b>\                                    </b>									
F 2	, <b>2</b>				t   [	<del>                                     </del>		-						
\$ T	<u></u>				1 1 1				ł					ĺ
Trail hand star	8400				<del>1  1   </del>	1	_							
₹   4					1 11	i								
	gge				1	1								
£ +	245				1 1	1 :								
<del>}                                    </del>	8500	/=				<del>  -                                   </del>								
	<b>2</b>	/= 1			\  <b>   </b>	i	-64	bwpd						13.13%
<del>}</del>   <del> </del>	128				<del>-} -  -</del>		-534	Mscf	d					
<b>≱</b> -  [ [									_					
<del>\$</del>	8600			-	-   }	+ +								-
3				{	3									
* +			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<del>  {                                   </del>	1				69 bw					
<b>\$</b>    <u> </u>									67 M					82.51%
The state of the s	8700		(8)	1	3	i	THE STREET		1219					22.0170
	22		23		110	[	4				1			-
<b>≯</b>			3111			ـنــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ								



## **Appendix (Additional Tables - Log Plots)**

## Estimated Contributions by Geologic zones %

7890.0 - 8037.0	0	0	0
8067.0 - 8167.0	0.00%	0	2.81%
8295.0 - 8352.0	0.00%	0	3.09%
8378.0 - 8434.0	0.00%	0	0.00%
8460.0 - 8576.0	-1112.61%	0	-16.55%
8704.0 - 8712.0	1212.61%	0	110.66%

Water total contribution SC:
Oil total contribution SC:

5.7 STB/D 0 STB/D

Gas total contribution SC:

3223.8 Mscf/D

## **Estimated Contributions by OCD Pools (%)**

7890.0 - 8037.0	0	0	0	Barker Dome Ismay
8067.0 - 8167.0	0.00%	0	2.81%	Borker Dome Desert Greek
8295.0 – 8434.0	0.00%	0	3.09%	Barker Dome Akah - Upr Barker Creek
8460.0 - 8712.0	100.00%	0	94.10%	Barker Dome Paradox

Water total contribution SC:

5.7 STB/D

Oil total contribution SC:

0 STB/D

Gas total contribution SC:

3223.8 Mscf/D