District I 1625 N. Franch Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

### State of New Mexico **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources** Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and

provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

| $\sim$     | ١ | $\sim$ | ١ |
|------------|---|--------|---|
| $\bigcirc$ | ı | $\sim$ | ١ |
| $\sim$     | • |        |   |

## Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

| Type of action:  Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method  Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method  Modification to an existing permit  Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method   |
|--|
| Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request   |
| Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.  |
| Operator: Huntington Energy, L.L.C. OGRID #: 208706  |
| Address: 908 N.W. 71 <sup>st</sup> St., Oklahoma City, OK 73116  |
| Pacility on well manage. Convey I am a Main #400   |
| API Number: 30-039-30039 OCD Permit Number:  |
| U/L or Qtr/Qtr G Section 18 Township 25N Range 6W County: Rio Arriba Co., NM   |
| Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.400031°N Longitude107.50575° W NAD: ⊠1927 □ 1983   |
| Surface Owner: Sederal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment  |
| Samuel Symbol Trivate In Modern Made of Made o |
| Z.   ✓ Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  |
| Temporary: ⊠ Drilling □ Workover   |
| ☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A   |
| ☑ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness 20 mil ☑ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other  |
| ⊠ String-Reinforced  |
| Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: 7000 bbl Dimensions: L 140' x W 65' x D 10'  |
| 3.   |
| Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   |
| Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new well Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice of intent)   |
| ☐ Drying Pad ☐ Above Ground Steel Tanks ☐ Haul-off Bins ☐ Other  |
| Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other  |
| Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Other  |
|  |
| Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   |
| Volume:bbl Type of fluid: \cdot \c               |
| Volume:bbl Type of fluid:  Tank Construction material:  Secondary containment with leak detection    Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off   |
| Secondary containment with leak detection  Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off   |
| ☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other   |
| Liner type: Thicknessmil   |
| 5.   |
| Alternative Method:  |
| Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.   |

| Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)  Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, he institution or church)  Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet  Alternate. Please specify  | ospītal,                    |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)  Screen Netting Other  Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)   |                             |
| Monthly inspections (if feeting of screening is not physically leasible)   |                             |
| Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers  Signed in compliance with 19.15.3.103 NMAC   |                             |
| Administrative Approvals and Exceptions:  Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.  Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau of consideration of approval.  Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.   | office for                  |
| Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the approp office or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of ap Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dryin above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system. | priate district<br>pproval. |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells   | Yes No                      |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site   | Yes No                      |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks)  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image  | ☐ Yes ☐ No<br>☐ NA          |
| Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  (Applies to permanent pits)  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image   | ☐ Yes ☐ No<br>☐ NA          |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site   | Yes No                      |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality  | ☐ Yes ☐ No                  |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site  | ☐ Yes ☐ No                  |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division  | ☐ Yes ☐ No                  |
| Within an unstable area - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map  | ☐ Yes ☐ No                  |
| Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map  | ☐ Yes ☐ No                  |

| Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Hydrogeologic Papert (Pelavy grade Tanks), based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 10.15.17.0 NMAC.  |
|---|
| Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC   |
| Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC   |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:  |
| Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.   |
| Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  |
| and 19.15.17.13 NMAC  |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design)  API Number:   |
| Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number: (Applies only to closed-loop system that use above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)   |
| 13.   |
| Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.   |
| <ul> <li>☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> <li>☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>☐ Climatological Factors Assessment</li> <li>☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan</li> <li>○ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>○ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>○ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan</li> <li>○ Emergency Response Plan</li> <li>○ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization</li> <li>○ Monitoring and Inspection Plan</li> <li>○ Erosion Control Plan</li> </ul>  |
| Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC  |
| Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.  |
| Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System Alternative  |
| Proposed Closure Method:  Waste Excavation and Removal  Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)  On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)  In-place Burial  On-site Trench Burial  Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)  |
| Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.   |
| ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)  |
| <ul> <li>Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>  |

| Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, facilities are required.  |   |                       |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Disposal Facility Name:  | Disposal Facility Permit Number:  |                       |
| Disposal Facility Name:  | Disposal Facility Permit Number:  |                       |
| Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities o  ☐ Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) ☐ No  |   |                       |
| Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operation  Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection   | e requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC<br>1 I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC   | 2                     |
| Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may requi considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmenta demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC  | re administrative approval from the appropriate disti<br>Il Bureau office for consideration of approval.  Justi   | rict office or may be |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Database search;   | ta obtained from nearby wells   | ☐ Yes ☑ No<br>☐ NA    |
| Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Database search; | ta obtained from nearby wells   | ☐ Yes ☑ No<br>☐ NA    |
| Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Database search; US | ta obtained from nearby wells   | ⊠ Yes □ No<br>□ NA    |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other signake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site   | gnificant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa  | ∵ Yes ⊠ No            |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or churc-<br>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellit   |   | ☐ Yes ☑ No            |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that les watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection  | spring, in existence at the time of initial application.  | ☐ Yes ⊠ No            |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh was adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written appro   |   | ☐ Yes ⊠ No            |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visu  | nal inspection (certification) of the proposed site   | ☐ Yes ☒ No            |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Minim  | g and Mineral Division  | ☐ Yes ☒ No            |
| Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geolog Society; Topographic map  | gy & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological   | ☐ Yes ⊠ No            |
| Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map  |   | ☐ Yes ☑ No            |
| On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the a Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.1 Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate rewards of Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection  | quirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. 15.17.13 NMAC quirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC f Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC in I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | 15.17.11 NMAC         |

| Operator Application Certification:  I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.  |
|--|
|  |
| Name (Print): _Catherine Smith Title: Regulatory   |
| Signature: Date:   |
| e-mail address:csmith@huntingtonenergy.com Telephone:405-840-9876  |
| 20.  OCD Approval: ✓ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☐ Closure Plan (only) ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)   |
| OCD Representative Signature: Brand Sell Approval Date: 2-10-09  |
| Title: Ewino spec OCD Permit Number:   |
| Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. |
| ☐ Closure Completion Date:   |
| 22.  Closure Method:  Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)  If different from approved plan, please explain.  |
| 23.  Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only:  Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than two facilities were utilized.   |
| Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:   |
| Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:   |
| Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or in areas that will not be used for future service and operations?  Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No  |
| Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:  Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique  |
| 24. Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check   |
| mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)  Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure)  Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)  Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)  Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number  Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation  Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique                                     |
| Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude Longitude NAD:1927 1983  |
| 25.  |
| Operator Closure Certification:  I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.  |
| Name (Print):  |
| Signature: Date:   |
| e-mail address: Telephone:   |

### New Mexico Office of the State Engineer POD Reports and Downloads

|  | 1 OD Reports and Downtoads   |
|--|--|
|  | Township: 25N Range: 06W Sections: 18  |
|  | NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius:   |
|  | County: Basin: Number: Suffix:   |
|  | Owner Name: (First) (Last) C Non-Domestic C Domestic C All   |
|  | P@D / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to Water Report Water Column Report  |
|  | Clear Form iWATERS Menu Helb   |
| ······································ |  |
|  | WATER COLUMN REPORT 01/30/2009   |
|  | (quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE) (quarters are biggest to smallest) Depth Depth Water (in feet)                  |
| POD                                    | (quarters are biggest to smallest) Depth Depth Water (in feet) Number Tws Rng Sec q q Q Zone X Y Well Water Column |

No Records found, try again

# New Mexico Office of the State Engineer POD Reports and Downloads


| Township: 25N Range: 06W Sections: 18                                   |
|---|
| NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius:  |
| County: Basin: Number: Suffix:  |
| Owner Name: (First) (Last) C Non-Domestic C Domestic C All              |
| POD / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to Water Report Water Column Report |
| Clear Form iWATERS Menu Help  |
|   |

AVERAGE DEPTH OF WATER REPORT 01/30/2009


(Depth Water in Feet)
Bsn Tws Rng Sec Zone X Y Wells Min Max Avg


No Records found, try again '

| New Mexico Office of the<br>POD Reports and D |   |
|---|---|
| Township 25N Range 06W Sections               | 18  |
| NAD27 X Y Zone Y                              | Search Radius.  |
| County. Basın.                                | Number Suffix   |
| Owner Name (First) (Last)                     | C Non-Domestic C Domestic & All   |
| POD / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to W      | ater Report Water Column Report   |
| Clear Form ( Line IWATERS                     | Ménu Help.  |
|   |   |
|   | rters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)  |
| DB File Nbr Use Diversion Owner POD Number    | rters are biggest to smallest X Y are in Feet UTM are in Meters) Source Tws Rng Sec q q q Zone X Y UTM_Zone Easting |



# CLU 489 Mines, Mills and Quarries Web Map





### **Geological Context:**

Tertiary sandstones and Quaternary alluvial deposits are present at the surface over much of the basin interior. These serve as the primary drinking water aquifers in the basin (Figure A1-2), and produced 55 million gallons per day in 1985 (Wilson, 1986). Cretaceous sandstones are an important source of water on the basin's periphery (Choate et al., 1993). The Paleocene Ojo Alamo Sandstone yields as much as 30 gallons per minute of potable water (Hale et al., 1965) and is mentioned as one of the primary drinking water aquifers of the region (Brown and Stone, 1979). Cleats and larger fractures in the Fruitland coals and the presence of interbedded permeable sandstones make the Fruitland Formation an aquifer and source of drinking water along the northern margin of the basin where TDS in the groundwater are less than 10,000. The Fruitland and upper Pictured Cliffs Sandstone aquifer is underlain and confined by the low-permeability main Pictured Cliffs Formation and is overlain and partly confined by the Kirtland shale, which is up to 1,000 feet thick in the central basin.


In the northern part of the basin, the Fruitland Formation and the underlying upper Pictured Cliffs Sandstone can be considered a single hydrogeologic unit on a regional scale because they exhibit the same hydraulic head and water quality characteristics and are the source of both the water and gas in the Pictured Cliffs sand tongues (Ayers and Zellers, 1994; Ayers et al., 1994). At the local scale, however, the two formations appear to exhibit poor hydraulic continuity, as evidenced by areas of over-pressuring (greater than 0.5 pounds per square inch per foot), abrupt changes in potentiometric surface (Figure A1-8), and upward flow (Kaiser et al., 1994). Discrete flow within individual units here is likely due to pinch out of thick, laterally extensive coal seams and truncation and offset of the beds by faults.

Mostly, the Fruitland system produces water containing less than 10,000 mg/L TDS, the water quality criteria for a USDW. Groundwater is usually freshest at the outcrop in recharge areas. The water dissolves salts and mixes with formation water as it flows, and the groundwater becomes increasingly saline as distance from the recharge source increases. The presence of low-salinity water at given locations in the San Juan Basin usually marks close proximity to the recharge source or the most permeable flow paths and implies a dynamic, active aquifer system (Kaiser et al., 1994). Figure A1-12 shows the chloride concentration of groundwater in the Fruitland Formation, and indicates that water nearest the northern recharge areas has a low dissolved solids and chloride content. Kaiser et al. (1994) reported that wells produced water containing from 180 to 3,015 mg/L TDS. This was found to be the case over large portions of the region, especially within freshwater plumes resulting from areas of high permeability or fracture trends (Kaiser and Swartz, 1990; Oldaker, 1991).

Kaiser et al. (1994) conducted a water-quality sampling program in the San Juan Basin. Analyses taken from Fruitland coal wells show that the majority of wells (16 of 27 wells) produce water containing less than 10,000 mg/L TDS, (Figures A1-13a and A113b), although some nearby wells thought to be in less permeable zones produce water with higher TDS concentrations up to 23,000 mg/L (Kaiser et al., 1994). The boundary between waters with more and less than 10,000 TDS has not been published. Another group of wells throughout the same area was also sampled, but these wells were completed (constructed) in the adjacent and underlying Pictured Cliffs Sandstone bodies, which are in hydrologic communication with the Fruitland system (Kaiser et al., 1994).

Although from the above information it would seem that the Fruitland would be classified a USDW, the following additional information about disposal of brackish water produced along with the methane would seem to indicate that most of the water in the Fruitland would not meet the TDS

criteria for USDW. Coalbed methane wells in the San Juan Basin produced from 0 to over 10,500 gallons of water per day, which contain from less than 300 mg/L TDS to over 25,000 mg/L (Kaiser et al., 1994; Kaiser and Ayers, 1994). Brackish water of various TDS concentrations and brine are produced in the basin.



14.

de.

### REFERENCES

Ayers, W.B. and Zellers. 1994. Coalbed methane in the Fruitland Formation, Navajo Lake area – geologic controls on occurrence and producibility. New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Minerals Bulletin 146: Coalbed methane in the upper Cretaceous Fruitland Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Colorado, pp. 63-86.

Brown, D.R. and Stone, W.J. 1979. Hydrogeology of the Aztec quadrangle, San Juan county, New Mexico. New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources (Sheet 1).

Choate, R., Lent, T., and Rightmire, C.T. 1993. Upper Cretaceous geology, coal, and the potential for methane recovery from coalbeds in the San Juan Basin – Colorado and New Mexico. AAPG Studies in Geology, 38:185-222.

Hale, W.E., Reiland, L.J., and Beverage, J.P. 1965. Characteristics of the water supply in New Mexico. New Mexico State Engineer, Technical Report 31.

Kaiser, W.R. and Swartz, T.E. 1988. Hydrology of the Fruitland Formation and coalbed methane producibility, *In* Geologic evaluation of critical production parameters for coalbed methane resources, Part 1: San Juan Basin. Annual Report to the Gas Research Institute, GRI-88/0332.1, pp. 61-81.

Kaiser, W.R. and Swartz, T.E. 1990. Hydrodynamics of the Fruitland Formation. *In* Geologic Evaluation of critical production parameters for coalbed methane resources, Part 1: San Juan Basin. Annual Report for 1990, Gas Research Institute, GRI-90/0014.1, pp. 99-126.

Kaiser, W.R. and Ayers, W.B. Jr. 1994. Coalbed methane production, Fruitland Formation, San Juan Basin: geologic and hydrologic controls. New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Minerals Bulletin 146: Coalbed methane in the upper Cretaceous Fruitland Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Colorado, pp. 187-207.

Kaiser, W.R., Swartz, T.E., and Hawkins, G.J. 1994. Hydrologic framework of the Fruitland Formation, San Juan Basin. New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Minerals Bulletin 146: Coalbed methane in the upper Cretaceous Fruitland Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico and Colorado, pp. 133-164.

Wilson, B. 1986. Water Use in New Mexico. New Mexico State Engineer Technical Report 46, 84 p.

### **Cathy Smith**

From:

To:

Cathy Smith

Sent: Monday, February 02, 2009 4:52 PM

'brandon.powell@state.nm.us'; 'mark\_kelly@nm.blm.gov'

Cc: Mike McKinney; Alan McNally

Subject: CLU 489 - Notification of Pit Closure

Pit Closure Notification as per NMOCD Pit Rule:

Canyon Largo Unit #489 API#: 30-039-30039 Lease #: NMSF 078883 SWNE Sec 18, T25N-R6W

Rio Arriba Co., NM Rig Release: 1/30/09

Please contact me if you need any additional information.

Thank you!

**Cathy Smith** (405) 840-9876 ext. 129 (405) 840-2011 Fax



Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstration & Hydro Geologic Analysis

The Canyon Largo Unit #489 is not located in an unstable area. The location is not over a mine or located on the side of a hill. The pit material will not be located within 300' of any flowing water source or 200' from any other water source. It is not within a 100 yr floodplain area. There are no iWATERS data to indicate groundwater depth. Therefore, the well location and formation will be a stable area for this location.

### Huntington Energy, L.L.C. San Juan Basin-Canyon Largo Unit Closure Plan

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.12 NMAC the following information describes the closure requirements of temporary pits on Huntington Energy, L.L.C. (HE) locations. This is HE's standard procedure for all temporary pits. A separate plan will be submitted for any temporary pit which does not conform to this plan.

All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of pit. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and include the following:

- Details on Capping and Covering, where applicable.
- Plot Plan (Pit Diagram)
- Inspection Reports
- Sampling Results
- C-105
- Copy of Deed Notice will be filed with County Clerk

### General Plan:

- 1. All free standing liquids will be removed at the start of the pit closure process from the pit and disposed of in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse or reclaim the liquids in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves. The facilities to be used for liquids will be IEI NM-010010B and IEI will be used for solids (#01001010B).
- 2. The preferred method of closure for all temporary pits will be on-site burial, assuming that all the criteria listed in sub-section (B) of 19.15.17.13 are met.
- 3. The surface owner shall be notified of HE's closing of the temporary pit.
- 4. Within 6 months of the rig off status occurring, HE will ensure that the temporary pits are closed, re-contoured and reseeded.
- 5. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email, or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
  - i. Operator's name
  - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range, Well name and API number.
- 6. Liner of temporary pit shall be removed above mud level after stabilization. Removal of liner will consist of manually or mechanically cutting liner at mud level and removing all remaining liner. Care will be taken to remove all of the liner. All excessive liner will be disposed of at the San Juan County Landfill located on CR 3100.
- 7. Pit contents shall be mixed with non-waste containing earthen material in order to achieve the solidification process. The solidification process will be accomplished using a combination of natural drying and mechanically mixing. Pit contents will be mixed with non-waste, earthen material to a consistency that is deemed as safe and stable. The mixing ratio shall not exceed 3 parts clean soil to 1 part pit contents.
- 8. A five point composite sample will be taken of the pit using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.13(B)(1)(b). In the event that the criteria are not met, all contents will be handled per Subparagraph (a) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.13 i.e., dig and haul.

| Components | Test Method               | Limit (mg/kg) |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Benzene    | EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 0.2           |
| BTEX       | EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 50            |
| TPH        | EPA SW-846 418.1          | 2500          |
| GRO/DRO    | EPA SW-846 8015M          | 500           |
| Chlorides  | EPA 300.1                 | 1000/500      |

- 9. Upon completion of solidification and testing standards being passed, the pit area will be backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing earthen material. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater. If standard testing fails, HE will dig and haul all contents pursuant to 19.15.17.13.i.a. After doing so, confirmation sampling will be conducted to ensure a release has not occurred.
- 10. During the stabilization process, if the liner is ripped by equipment, the Aztec OCD office will be notified within 48 hours and the liner will be repaired if possible. If the liner can not be repaired, then all contents will be excavated and removed.
- 11. Dig and Haul Material will be transported to IEI (Permit # 010010B).
- 12. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Reshaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.
- 13. Notification will be sent to the OCD when the reclaimed area is seeded.
- 14. HE shall seed the disturbed areas the first growing season after the operator closes the pit. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will be used on federal lands. Vegetative cover will equal 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (unimpacted) consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintain that cover through two successive growing seasons. Repeated seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

| Туре                     | Variety or Cultivator | PLS/A |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Western Wheatgrass       | Arriba                | 3.0   |
| Indian Ricegrass         | Paloma or Rimrock     | 3.0   |
| Slender Wheatgrass       | San Luis              | 2.0   |
| Crested Wheatgrass       | Hy-crest              | 3.0   |
| Bottlebrush Squirreltail | Unknown               | 2.0   |
| Four-wing Saltbrush      | Delar                 | 0.25  |

Species shall be planted in pounds of pure live seed per acre: Present Pure Live Seed (PLS) = Purity X Germination/100 Two lots of seed can be compared on the basis of PLS as follows:

Source No. One (poor quality)

Purity

50 percent

Germination

40 percent

Percent PLS

20 percent

5 lb bulk seed required to make

Source No. two (better quality)

Purity

80 percent

Germination

63 percent

Percent PLS

50 percent

2 lb bulk seed required to make

1 lb PLS . 1 lb PLS

15. The temporary pit will be located with a steel marker, no less than four inches in diameter, cemented in a hole three feet deep in the center of the onsite burial upon the abandonment of all the wells on the pad. The marker will be flush with the ground to allow access of the active well pad and for safety concerns. The marker will include a threaded collar to be used for future abandonment. The top of the marker will contain a welded steel 12" square plate that indicates the onsite burial of the temporary pit. The plate will be easily removable and a four foot tall riser will be threaded into the top of the collar marker and welded around the base with the operator's information at the time all wells on the pad are abandoned. The operator's information will include the following: Operator Name, Lease Name, Well Name and Number, Unit Number, Section, Township, Range and an indicator that the marker is an onsite burial location.

DISTRICT | P 0 Box 1980, Hobbs, N M 88241-1980

### State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department

Form C-102
Revised October 12, 2005
Instructions on back
Submit to Appropriate District Office
State Lease - 4 Copies

### DISTRICT II 1901 W Grand Avenue, Arlesia, N.M. 88210 DISTRICT III 1000 Rio Brazos Rd., Azlec, N.M. 87410

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1220 South St Francis Dr. Santa Fe. NM 87504-2088 State Lease - 4 Copies
Fee Lease 3 Copies

DISTRICT IV 1220 South St Francis Dr. Santa Fe. NM 87505

☐ AMENDED REPORT

### WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

| 'API Number<br>30-039-30039         | *Fool Code<br>71599                   | 'Pool Name<br>Basin Dakota |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| <sup>1</sup> Property Vode<br>32660 | <sup>6</sup> Property<br>CANYON LARGO |                            |
| OGIUD No                            | *Operator Name                        |                            |
| 208706                              | HUNTINGTON ENERGY, LLC                |                            |

10 Surface Location UL or lot no Section Township Feet Irom the North/South Ime Feet from the East/West line Range Lot Idn County G 18 25-N 6-W 2280 NORTH 1920 EAST RIO ARRIBA 11 Bottom Hole Location If Different From Surface UL or lot no Section Township Range Lot Ida Feet from the North/South line Feet from the East/West line County SOUTH 1980 ٥. 18 25-N 6-W 660 EAST RIC ARRIBA R Dedicated Acres 16 Order No is joint or Infill Consolidation Code RCVD NOV 20 'OI E/2 - 320


NO ALLOWABLE WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THIS COMPLETION UNTIL ALL INTERESTS HAVE BEEN CONSOLIDATED

OR A NON-STANDARD UNIT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE DIVISION PLOT STANDARD UNIT BY THE DIVISION PLOT STANDARD UNIT BY THE PLOT STA

|                            | OR A NON-SIAN  | INO UNI      | I HAS BEEN AF                 | FILOVED DI                 | THE DIVISION MIST 3  |
|----------------------------|--|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 18<br>LOT 1                | FD 3 1/4"<br>BLM BC<br>1965  | , 2286′      | S 89'42'03" W<br>2649.51' (M) | 5 3.1/4" E                 | OPERATOR CERTIFICATION  I hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief, and that this organization either owns a working interest or unleased mineral interest in the land including the proposed bottom hale location or has a right to drill this well at this location pursuant to a contract with an owner of such a mineral or working interest, or to a voluntary pooling agreement or a computery pooling order heretofore entered by the division. |
| LONG: 107,5<br>LAT: 36'24' | SURFACE:<br>0027" N (NAD 83)<br>0582" W (NAD 83)<br>00 98" N (NAD 27)<br>20 98" W (NAD 27) |              | 192                           | S 00.                      | Signature Smith Catherine Smith Printed Name   |
| LONG: 10                   | BOITOM HOL<br>36.39411* N (NAD 83<br>07.50597* W (NAD 83                                   | 5)<br>5)     |                               | FD 3 1/4" B.L.M BC 1965    | 18 SURVEYOR CERTIFICATION  i hereby certify that the well location shown on this plat was plotted from field notes of actual surveys made by me or under my superission, and that the same is true and correct to the best of my petief  OCTOBER 25. 2006  |
|                            | S 89'23'58" W 2643.70' (M)   | 7)<br>B.H.L. | 1980*                         | N 00'21'32"<br>2585 40' (V | Date of Survey of Professional Surveyor  Signature and Seal of Professional Surveyor  Section 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  |
|                            | FD. 3 1/4" BC.<br>1985 B.L.M.  | .099         | FD.                           | 3 1/4" BC.<br>1965 B.L.M   | Certificate Number   |


### HUNTINGTON ENERGY LLC CANYON LARGO UNIT NO. 489, 2280 FNL 1920 FEL SEC. 18, T-25-N, R-6-W, N.M.P.M., RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO GROUND ELEVATION: 6725, DATE: OCTOBER 25, 2006

CENTER OF PIT NAD 83 LAT. = 36.400031° N LONG. = 107.50575° W NAD 27 NAU 2/ LAT. = 36°24'00.07237" N LONG. = 107°30'18.51608" !



RESERVE PIT DIKE: TO BE 8' ABOVE DEEP SIDE (OVERFLOW - 3' WIDE AND 1' ABOVE SHALLOW SIDE)
BLOW PIT: OVERFLOW PIPE HALFWAY BETWEEN TOP AND BOTTOM AND TO EXTEND OVER PLASTIC LINER AND INTO BLOW PIT.

DAGGETT ENTERPRISES, INC. IS NOT LIABLE FOR UNDERCROUND UTILITIES OR PIPELINES. NEW MEXICO ONE CALL TO BE NOTIFIED 48 HOURS PRIOR TO EXCAVATION OR CONSTRUCTION.



CONTRACTOR SHOULD CALL ONE-CALL FOR LOCATION OF ANY MARKED OR UNMARKED BURIED PIPELINES OR CABLESON WELL PAD AND OR ACCESS ROAD AT LEAST TWO (2) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.