District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
.1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

irrigation canals and ditches.)

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit I copy to appropriate District Office and I copy to the Santa Fe Office

(Revised 3/9/94)

90-045-13140	ION AND CLOSURE REPORT	701212233		
Operator: Fuller Petroleum (Site Closed by El.		10, 7		
Address:	73.37	73		
Facility Or: Standard Nickles #1, Meter 732 Well Name	287	2/0 c 1 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5		
Location: Unit or Qtr/Qtr SecGSec	21 T 31 R 13 County	San Juan		
Pit Type: Separator Dehydrator	X Other			
Land Type: BLM, State, Fee	e X Other			
Pit Location: Pit dimensions: length 13', width 12', depth 2' (Attach diagram) Reference: wellhead X, other				
Footage from reference: 78	,	_		
Direction from reference: 22:	5 Degrees X East North of			
	West So	uth		
Depth To Ground Water	Less than 50 feet	(20 points)		
(Vertical distance from	50 feet to 99 feet	(10 points)		
contaminants to seasonal	Greater than 100 feet	(0 points) <u>0</u>		
high water elevation of				
ground water.)				
Wellhead Protection Area:	Ve	s (20 points)		
(Less than 200 feet from a private	N	` • ′		
domestic water source, or; less than	- 1	(· r · · · · · ·)		
1000 feet from all other water sources.)				
Distance To Surface Water:	Less than 200 feet	(20 points)		
(Horizontal distance to perennial	200 feet to 1000 feet	(10 points)		
lakes, ponds, rivers, streams, creeks,	Greater than 1000 feet	(0 points) <u>0</u>		

RANKING SCORE (TOTAL POINTS):

Date Remediation Starte	d: 01/11/95 Date completed: 01/11/95	
Remediation Method:	Excavation Approx. cubic yards	
(Check all appropriate sections.)	Landfarmed Insitu Bioremediation	
,	Other Backfill Pit Without Excavation	
Remediation Location: (i.e. landfarmed onsite, name and location of offsite facility)	Onsite N/A Offsite N/A	
General Description of R	Remedial Action:Some line markers. Dug a test hole. Hit sandstone at 9'. Soil dark gray	
with a hydrocarbon od	lor.	
Ground Water Encounte	red: No X Yes Depth	
Final Pit: Closure Sampling: (if multiple samples,	Sample location _ Four walls and center of pit composite	
attach sample results and diagram of sample locations and depths)	Sample depth 9'	
locations and deputis)	Sample Date <u>01/11/95</u> Sample time <u>13:40</u>	
	Sample Results	
	Benzene(ppm) Not reported	
	Total BTEX(ppm) Not reported	
	Field headspace(ppm) 158	
	TPH 2740	
Ground Water Sample:	Yes NoX (If yes, attach sample results)	
I hereby certify that the information above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.		
Date 4/22/03		
Signature Sever	Printed Name and Title Scott Pope, Senior Environmental Scientist	



Standard Nickles #1 Meter/Line ID 73287

SITE DETAILS

Legals - Twn: 31N

Rng: 13W

Sec: 21

Unit: G

NMOCD Hazard Ranking: 0

Land Type: Fee

Operator: Fuller Petroleum Company

Pit Closure Date: 1/11/95

RATIONALE FOR CLEAN CLOSURE

The pit noted above was assessed and ranked according to the criteria in the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division's (NMOCD) Unlined Surface Impoundment Closure Guidelines.

A test pit was excavated to 9 feet (ft) below ground surface (bgs) where sandstone refusal was encountered and a soil sample was collected for field headspace and laboratory analysis for TPH. Groundwater was not encountered in the test pit. Headspace analysis indicated an organic vapor content of 158 ppm, while the laboratory indicated a TPH concentration of 2,740 mg/kg. The TPH measurement was below recommended remediation levels for the Hazard Ranking Score.

No soil was removed off site. The pit was backfilled with clean soil from the surrounding berms and graded in a manner to direct surface runoff away from the pit area.

A Phase II boring was not performed.

No Phase III activities were performed.

El Paso Field Services requests closure of the above mentioned pit location for the following reasons:

- The primary source, discharge to the pit, was removed for over eight years.
- Sandstone bedrock was encountered at 9 feet bgs making additional excavation impractical and further downward migration of contaminants unlikely.
- The test pit was backfilled and the former pit area graded to direct surface runoff away from the former pit.
- The clean soil from the berms placed on top of the excavation will limit the potential for direct contact with hazardous constituents by livestock or the public; i.e., direct exposure pathways are unlikely to be completed.
- There are no water supply wells or other sources of fresh water extraction within 1,000 feet of the site.
- Groundwater was not encountered during the excavation and local geologic features indicates the depth to groundwater is greater than 100 feet.
- TPH concentrations in the soil sample collected at the base of the test pit (9 feet bgs) were below recommended remediation levels for the Hazard Ranking Score.
- Residual hydrocarbons in the soil will likely degrade by natural attenuation with minimal risk to the environment.



ATTACHMENTS

Field Pit Assessment Form Revised Field Pit Assessment Form Field Pit Remediation/Closure Form Laboratory Analytical Results

REVISED FIELD PIT SITE ASSESSMENT FORM

GENERAL	Meter: 73237 Location: STANDARD Alleles #/ Operator #: Operator Name: Meter Perso P/L District: Korz Coordinates: Letter G Section 2/ Township: 3/ Range: /3 or Latitude Longitude Pit Type: Dehydrator x Location Drip: Line Drip: Other: Site Assessment Date: 1/4/95 Area: 02 Run: 42 Revised Date: 1/7/03		
	NMOCD Zone: Land Type: BLM (1) (from NMCOD Maps) State (2)		
	Intside (1) State (2) Outside (2) Indian		
	Depth to Groundwater		
	Less than 50 Feet (20 points) \square (1)		
	50 Feet to 99 Feet (10 Points) (2) Greater than 100 Feet (0 Points) (3)		
Z T			
M	Well Protection Area Is it less than 1000 feet from well, spring or other source of fresh water extraction?		
SSE	or; Is it less than 200 feet from a private domestic water source?		
ASSESSMENT	YES (20 Points) NO (0 Points)		
	Horizontal Distance to Surface Water Body		
SITE	Less than 200 Feet (20 points) (1)		
	200 Feet to 1000 Feet (10 Points)		
	Name of Surface Water Body		
	(Surface Water Body: Perennial River, Stream, Creek, Irrigation Canal, Ditch, Lake, Pond)		
	Distance to Nearest Ephemeral Stream (1) < 100 feet (Navajo Pits Only)		
	TOTAL HAZARD RANKING SCOREPOINTS		
RKS	Remarks: PUISION BUSED ON RG-ASSESSMENT OF		
	DISTANCE TO HEARTST SOURCE OF FRESHWATER		
EM	ZYTRACTION (72600 FEET).		

FIELD PIT SITE ASSESSMENT FORM

GENERAL	Meter: 73287 Location:
REMARKS SITE ASSESSMENT	State (2) State (2) Maps Inside (1) Fee (3) Outside (2) Indian Depth to Groundwater Less Than 50 Feet (20 points) (1) (2) Greater Than 100 Ft (0 points) (3) Wellhead Protection Area : Is it less than 1000 ft from wells, springs, or other sources of fresh water extraction?, or; Is it less than 200 ft from a private domestic water source? (1) YES (20 points) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (2) (4) (4) (5) (4) (5) (5) (6) (6) (6) (6) (7) (
R	Push IN