

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Form C-110  
Revised 7/1/55

(File the original and 4 copies with the appropriate district office)

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE AND AUTHORIZATION  
TO TRANSPORT OIL AND NATURAL GAS

Company or Operator Sun Oil Company Lease A. Eaton  
Well No. 1 Unit Letter E S 30 T 25N R 10W Pool Bisti-Lower Gallup  
County San Juan Kind of Lease (State, ~~Fed.~~ Navajo #14-20-603-  
If well produces oil or condensate, give location of tanks: Unit E S 30 T 25N R 10W 1304  
Authorized Transporter of Oil or Condensate Four Corners Pipe Line Company  
Address Shell Building, P. O. Box 2648, Houston 1, Texas  
(Give address to which approved copy of this form is to be sent)  
Authorized Transporter of Gas El Paso Natural Gas Company  
Address P. O. Box 1161, El Paso Texas Date Connected 1-8-60  
(Give address to which approved copy of this form is to be sent)  
If Gas is not being sold, give reasons and also explain its present disposition:

Reasons for Filing: (Please check proper box) New Well ( )  
Change in Transporter of (Check One): Oil ( ) Dry Gas ( ) C'head (X) Condensate ( )  
Change in Ownership --- ( ) Other See Below (X)  
Remarks: (Give explanation below)  
**Initial casinghead gas connection & delivery to El Paso Natural Gas Company**


The undersigned certifies that the Rules and Regulations of the Oil Conservation Commission have been complied with.

Executed this the 26th day of January 1960

Approved JAN 29 1960 1960

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
By Original Signed Emory C. Arnold  
Title Supervisor Dist. # 3

By [Signature]  
Title Asst. Div. Supt.-Oper. Dept.  
Company Sun Oil Company  
Address P. O. Box 1798  
Denver 1, Colorado



The first part of the report is a general description of the project. It includes the title, the objectives, the scope, and the methodology. The second part is a detailed description of the results. It includes the data, the analysis, and the conclusions. The third part is a discussion of the results. It includes the interpretation of the results, the limitations of the study, and the recommendations for future research.

The results of the study show that the proposed method is effective in reducing the error rate. The error rate is reduced by 10% compared to the baseline method. This result is significant because it shows that the proposed method is superior to the baseline method.

4

1	2	3
4	5	6
7	8	9
10	11	12
13	14	15
16	17	18
19	20	21
22	23	24
25	26	27
28	29	30
31	32	33
34	35	36
37	38	39
40	41	42
43	44	45
46	47	48
49	50	51
52	53	54
55	56	57
58	59	60
61	62	63
64	65	66
67	68	69
70	71	72
73	74	75
76	77	78
79	80	81
82	83	84
85	86	87
88	89	90
91	92	93
94	95	96
97	98	99
100	101	102