

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
INITIAL POTENTIAL TEST-DATA SHEET

FORM C-122-B

This form must be used for reporting all pitot tube tests made in the State. It is particularly important that it be used for reporting Initial Potential Tests in the San Juan Basin as prescribed by Order No. R-333 and by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Manual of Tables and Procedure for Initial Potential (Pitot Tube) Tests.

POOL Blanco - Mesaverte FORMATION Mesaverte  
COUNTY San Juan DATE WELL TESTED January 19, 1956

Operator Delhi-Taylor Oil Corp. Lease Hammer Well No. 3  
Unit  
1/4 Section SW Letter M Sec. 29 Twp. 29N Rge. 9W  
Casing: 4.500 "O.D. Set At 4540 Tubing 1.9 "WT. 2.75 Set At 4461  
Pay Zone: From 4040 to 4074 Gas Gravity: Meas. 4395 Est. 0.650  
Tested Through: Casing X Tubing \_\_\_\_\_  
Test Nipple 2.067 I.D. Type of Gauge Used X  
(Spring) (Monometer)

OBSERVED DATA

Shut In Pressure: Casing 1001 psig Tubing: 1012 psig S. I. Period 168 Hours  
Time Well Opened: 12:01 P.M. Time Well Gauged: 3:01 P.M.  
Impact Pressure: 154  
Volume (Table I) . . . . . 2415.6 (a)  
Multiplier for Pipe or Casing (Table II) . . . . . 1.068 (b)  
Multiplier for Flowing Temp. (Table III) . . . . . 1.000 (c)  
Multiplier for SP. Gravity (Table IV) . . . . . 1.000 (d)  
Ave. Barometer Pressure at Wellhead (Table V) . . . . . 12.00  
Multiplier for Barometric Pressure (Table VI) . . . . . 1.000 (e)  
Initial Potential, Mcf/24 Hrs. (a) x (b) x (c) x (d) x (e) = 2,580

Witnessed by: \_\_\_\_\_  
Company: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Tested by: J. E. Keger  
Company Delhi-Taylor Oil Corporation  
Title: Dist. Engineer

[illegible]

1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

1. *Chlorophyll *a** and *Chlorophyll *b** were determined by the method of Arar and Collins (1971).

*Journal of Management Studies*, 19(1), 67-80.

Trial	Control (n = 10)	MCI (n = 10)	AD (n = 10)
1	95	85	75
2	95	85	75
3	95	80	70
4	95	75	65
5	95	75	65

100

**Table 1**

1

10

100

2000

100



1.  $\frac{1}{2}$  2.  $\frac{1}{2}$  3.  $\frac{1}{2}$

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml (a), 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml (b), 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml (c), and 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml (d). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml (a), 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml (b), 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml (c), and 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml (d). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml (a), 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml (b), 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml (c), and 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml (d). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml (a), 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml (b), 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml (c), and 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml (d).

100

100

100

...

4

[illegible]